

## RUSCHEWEYH DERIVATIVE AND STRONGLY STARLIKE FUNCTIONS

By

Liu JINLIN

**Abstract.** Let  $A$  denote the class of analytic functions  $f(z)$  defined in the unit disc satisfying the condition  $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ . Let  $\bar{S}^*(\beta, \gamma)$  be the class of strongly starlike functions of order  $\beta$  and type  $\gamma$ , and let  $\bar{C}(\beta, \gamma)$  denote the class of strongly convex functions of order  $\beta$  and type  $\gamma$ . Certain new classes  $\bar{S}_\alpha^*(\beta, \gamma)$  and  $\bar{C}_\alpha(\beta, \gamma)$  are introduced by virtue of Ruscheweyh derivative and some properties of  $\bar{S}_\alpha^*(\beta, \gamma)$  and  $\bar{C}_\alpha(\beta, \gamma)$  are discussed.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $A$  be the class of functions  $f(z)$  of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

which are analytic in the unit disc  $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . A function  $f(z)$  belonging to  $A$  is said to be starlike of order  $\gamma$  if it satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \gamma \quad (z \in E)$$

for some  $\gamma$  ( $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ ). We denote by  $S^*(\gamma)$  the subclass of  $A$  consisting of functions which are starlike of order  $\gamma$  in  $E$ . Also, a function  $f(z)$  in  $A$  is said to be convex of order  $\gamma$  if it satisfies  $zf'(z) \in S^*(\gamma)$ , or

$$(1.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \gamma \quad (z \in E)$$

---

*Keywords and Phrases.* Strongly starlike function, Strongly convex function, Ruscheweyh derivative.  
1991 *AMS Subject Classification.* 30C45.

Received August 17, 1999

Revised January 5, 2000

for some  $\gamma$  ( $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ ). We denote by  $C(\gamma)$  the subclass of  $A$  consisting of all functions which are convex of order  $\gamma$  in  $E$ .

If  $f(z) \in A$  satisfies

$$(1.4) \quad \left| \arg \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \beta \quad (z \in E)$$

for some  $\gamma$  ( $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ ) and  $\beta$  ( $0 < \beta \leq 1$ ), then  $f(z)$  is said to be strongly starlike of order  $\beta$  and type  $\gamma$  in  $E$ , and denoted by  $f(z) \in \bar{S}^*(\beta, \gamma)$ . If  $f(z) \in A$  satisfies

$$(1.5) \quad \left| \arg \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \beta \quad (z \in E)$$

for some  $\gamma$  ( $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ ) and  $\beta$  ( $0 < \beta \leq 1$ ), then we say that  $f(z)$  is strongly convex of order  $\beta$  and type  $\gamma$  in  $E$ , and we denote by  $\bar{C}(\beta, \gamma)$  the class of all such functions. It is obvious that  $f(z) \in A$  belongs to  $\bar{C}(\beta, \gamma)$  if and only if  $zf'(z) \in \bar{S}^*(\beta, \gamma)$ . Also, we note that  $\bar{S}^*(1, \gamma) = S^*(\gamma)$  and  $\bar{C}(1, \gamma) = C(\gamma)$ .

Let  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in A$  and  $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in A$ , then the Hadamard product (or convolution product)  $(f * g)(z)$  of  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  is defined by

$$(1.6) \quad (f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

By the Hadamard product, we define

$$(1.7) \quad D^\alpha f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{1+\alpha}} * f(z) \quad (\alpha \geq -1)$$

for  $f(z) \in A$ .  $D^\alpha f(z)$  is called the Ruscheweyh derivative and was introduced by Ruscheweyh in [1].

We now introduce the following classes:

$$\bar{S}_x^*(\beta, \gamma) = \left\{ f(z) \in A : D^\alpha f(z) \in \bar{S}^*(\beta, \gamma), \alpha \geq -1 \text{ and } \frac{z(D^\alpha f(z))'}{D^\alpha f(z)} \neq \gamma \text{ for } z \in E \right\}$$

and

$$\bar{C}_x(\beta, \gamma) = \left\{ f(z) \in A : D^\alpha f(z) \in \bar{C}(\beta, \gamma), \alpha \geq -1 \text{ and } 1 + \frac{z(D^\alpha f(z))''}{(D^\alpha f(z))'} \neq \gamma \text{ for } z \in E \right\}$$

In this note, we shall investigate some properties of  $\bar{S}_x^*(\beta, \gamma)$  and  $\bar{C}_x(\beta, \gamma)$ .

## 2. Main Results

We shall need the following lemma.

LEMMA. (see [2] [3]). *Let a function  $p(z) = 1 + b_1z + \dots$  be analytic in  $E$  and  $p(z) \neq 0$  ( $z \in E$ ). If there exists a point  $z_0 \in E$  such that*

$$|\arg(p(z))| < \frac{\pi}{2}\beta \quad (|z| < |z_0|) \quad \text{and} \quad |\arg(p(z_0))| = \frac{\pi}{2}\beta \quad (0 < \beta \leq 1),$$

then we have

$$\frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{p(z_0)} = ik\beta,$$

where

$$k \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( a + \frac{1}{a} \right) \quad \left( \text{when } \arg(p(z_0)) = \frac{\pi}{2}\beta \right),$$

$$k \leq -\frac{1}{2} \left( a + \frac{1}{a} \right) \quad \left( \text{when } \arg(p(z_0)) = -\frac{\pi}{2}\beta \right),$$

and  $(p(z_0))^{1/\beta} = \pm ia$  ( $a > 0$ ).

THEOREM 1.  $\bar{S}_{\alpha+1}^*(\beta, \gamma) \subset \bar{S}_\alpha^*(\beta, \gamma)$  for  $\alpha \geq -\gamma$  and  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ .

PROOF. Let  $f(z) \in \bar{S}_{\alpha+1}^*(\beta, \gamma)$ . Then we set

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{z(D^\alpha f(z))'}{D^\alpha f(z)} = \gamma + (1 - \gamma)p(z),$$

where  $p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$  is analytic in  $E$  and  $p(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in E$ . According to the well known identity (see [1] [4])

$$(2.2) \quad z(D^\alpha f(z))' = (\alpha + 1)D^{\alpha+1}f(z) - \alpha D^\alpha f(z),$$

we have

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{D^{\alpha+1}f(z)}{D^\alpha f(z)} = \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} \left[ \frac{z(D^\alpha f(z))'}{D^\alpha f(z)} + \alpha \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} [(1 - \gamma)p(z) + \gamma + \alpha].$$

Differentiating both sides of (2.3) logarithmically, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{z(D^{\alpha+1}f(z))'}{D^{\alpha+1}f(z)} &= \frac{z(D^\alpha f(z))'}{D^\alpha f(z)} + \frac{(1-\gamma)zp'(z)}{(1-\gamma)p(z) + \gamma + \alpha} \\ &= (1-\gamma)p(z) + \gamma + \frac{(1-\gamma)zp'(z)}{(1-\gamma)p(z) + \gamma + \alpha},\end{aligned}$$

or

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{z(D^{\alpha+1}f(z))'}{D^{\alpha+1}f(z)} - \gamma = (1-\gamma)p(z) + \frac{(1-\gamma)zp'(z)}{(1-\gamma)p(z) + \gamma + \alpha}.$$

Suppose that there exists a point  $z_0 \in E$  such that

$$|\arg(p(z))| < \frac{\pi}{2}\beta \quad (|z| < |z_0|) \quad \text{and} \quad |\arg(p(z_0))| = \frac{\pi}{2}\beta.$$

Then, applying the Lemma, we can write that  $z_0 p'(z_0)/p(z_0) = ik\beta$  and  $(p(z_0))^{1/\beta} = \pm ia$  ( $a > 0$ ).

Therefore, if  $\arg(p(z_0)) = \frac{\pi}{2}\beta$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{z_0(D^{\alpha+1}f(z_0))'}{D^{\alpha+1}f(z_0)} - \gamma &= (1-\gamma)p(z_0) \left[ 1 + \frac{z_0 p'(z_0)/p(z_0)}{(1-\gamma)p(z_0) + \gamma + \alpha} \right] \\ &= (1-\gamma)a^\beta e^{i\pi\beta/2} \left[ 1 + \frac{ik\beta}{(1-\gamma)a^\beta e^{i\pi\beta/2} + \gamma + \alpha} \right].\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\arg \left\{ \frac{z_0(D^{\alpha+1}f(z_0))'}{D^{\alpha+1}f(z_0)} - \gamma \right\} &= \frac{\pi}{2}\beta + \arg \left\{ 1 + \frac{ik\beta}{(1-\gamma)a^\beta e^{i\pi\beta/2} + \gamma + \alpha} \right\} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2}\beta + \text{Tan}^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \frac{k\beta \left( \gamma + \alpha + (1-\gamma)a^\beta \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\beta\right) \right)}{(\gamma + \alpha)^2 + 2(\gamma + \alpha)(1-\gamma)a^\beta \cos((\pi/2)\beta) + (1-\gamma)^2 a^{2\beta} + k\beta(1-\gamma)a^\beta \sin((\pi/2)\beta)} \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{\pi}{2}\beta. \quad \left( \text{where } k \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( a + \frac{1}{a} \right) > 1 \right),\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the hypothesis that  $f(z) \in \bar{S}_{\alpha+1}^*(\beta, \gamma)$ .

Similarly, if  $\arg(p(z_0)) = -(\pi/2)\beta$ , then we obtain that

$$\arg\left\{\frac{z_0(D^{x+1}f(z_0))'}{D^{x+1}f(z_0)} - \gamma\right\} \leq -\frac{\pi}{2}\beta,$$

which also contradicts the hypothesis that  $f(z) \in S_{x+1}^*(\beta, \gamma)$ .

Thus the function  $p(z)$  has to satisfy  $|\arg(p(z))| < \frac{\pi}{2}\beta$  ( $z \in E$ ). This shows that

$$\left|\arg\left\{\frac{z(D^x f(z))'}{D^x f(z)} - \gamma\right\}\right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\beta \quad (z \in E),$$

or  $f(z) \in \bar{S}_x^*(\beta, \gamma)$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\alpha \geq -\gamma$  and  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ , then  $\bar{C}_{x+1}(\beta, \gamma) \subset \bar{C}_x(\beta, \gamma)$ .*

**PROOF.**  $f(z) \in \bar{C}_{x+1}(\beta, \gamma) \Leftrightarrow D^{x+1}f(z) \in \bar{C}(\beta, \gamma) \Leftrightarrow z(D^{x+1}f(z))' \in \bar{S}^*(\beta, \gamma)$   
 $\Leftrightarrow D^{x+1}(zf'(z)) \in \bar{S}^*(\beta, \gamma) \Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in \bar{S}_{x+1}^*(\beta, \gamma)$   
 $\Rightarrow zf'(z) \in \bar{S}_x^*(\beta, \gamma) \Leftrightarrow D^x(zf'(z)) \in \bar{S}^*(\beta, \gamma)$   
 $\Leftrightarrow z(D^x f(z))' \in \bar{S}^*(\beta, \gamma) \Leftrightarrow D^x f(z) \in \bar{C}(\beta, \gamma)$   
 $\Leftrightarrow f(z) \in \bar{C}_x(\beta, \gamma)$ .

For  $c > -1$ , and  $f(z) \in A$ , we define the integral operator  $L_c(f)$  as

$$(2.5) \quad L_c(f) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt.$$

The operator  $L_c(f)$  when  $c \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  was studied by Bernardi [6]. For  $c = 1, L_1(f)$  was investigated by Libera [5].

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $c > -\gamma$  and  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ . If  $f(z) \in \bar{S}_x^*(\beta, \gamma)$  with  $z(D^x(L_c(f)))' / (D^x(L_c(f))) \neq \gamma$  for all  $z \in E$ , then we have  $L_c(f) \in \bar{S}_x^*(\beta, \gamma)$ .*

**PROOF.** Set

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{z(D^x(L_c(f)))'}{D^x(L_c(f))} = \gamma + (1 - \gamma)p(z),$$

where  $p(z)$  is analytic in  $E$ ,  $p(0) = 1$  and  $p(z) \neq 0$  ( $z \in E$ ). From (2.5), we have

$$(2.7) \quad z(D^{\alpha}(L_c(f)))' = (c+1)D^{\alpha}f - cD^{\alpha}(L_c(f)).$$

Using (2.6) and (2.7), we get

$$(2.8) \quad (c+1)\frac{D^{\alpha}f}{D^{\alpha}(L_c(f))} = c + \gamma + (1-\gamma)p(z).$$

Differentiating (2.8) logarithmically, we obtain

$$\frac{z(D^{\alpha}f(z))'}{D^{\alpha}f(z)} - \gamma = (1-\gamma)p(z) + \frac{(1-\gamma)zp'(z)}{c + \gamma + (1-\gamma)p(z)}.$$

Suppose that there exists a point  $z_0 \in E$  such that

$$|\arg(p(z))| < \frac{\pi}{2}\beta \quad (|z| < |z_0|) \quad \text{and} \quad |\arg(p(z_0))| = \frac{\pi}{2}\beta.$$

Then, applying the Lemma, we can write that  $z_0p'(z_0)/p(z_0) = ik\beta$  and

$$(p(z_0))^{1/\beta} = \pm ia \quad (a > 0).$$

If  $\arg(p(z_0)) = -(\pi/2)\beta$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z_0(D^{\alpha}f(z_0))'}{D^{\alpha}f(z_0)} - \gamma &= (1-\gamma)p(z_0) \left[ 1 + \frac{z_0p'(z_0)/p(z_0)}{c + \gamma + (1-\gamma)p(z_0)} \right] \\ &= (1-\gamma)a^{\beta}e^{-i\pi\beta/2} \left[ 1 + \frac{ik\beta}{c + \gamma + (1-\gamma)a^{\beta}e^{-i\pi\beta/2}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\arg \left\{ \frac{z_0(D^{\alpha}f(z_0))'}{D^{\alpha}f(z_0)} - \gamma \right\} \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{2}\beta + \arg \left\{ 1 + \frac{ik\beta}{c + \gamma + (1-\gamma)a^{\beta}e^{-i\pi\beta/2}} \right\} \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{2}\beta + \tan^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \frac{k\beta \left( c + \gamma + (1-\gamma)a^{\beta} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\beta\right) \right)}{(c+\gamma)^2 + 2(c+\gamma)(1-\gamma)a^{\beta} \cos((\pi/2)\beta) + (1-\gamma)^2 a^{2\beta} - k\beta(1-\gamma)a^{\beta} \sin((\pi/2)\beta)} \right\} \\ &\leq -\frac{\pi}{2}\beta \quad \left( \text{where } k \leq -\frac{1}{2} \left( a + \frac{1}{a} \right) < -1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the condition  $f(z) \in \bar{S}_z^*(\beta, \gamma)$ .

Similarly, we can prove the case  $\arg(p(z_0)) = (\pi/2)\beta$ . Thus we conclude that the function  $p(z)$  has to satisfy  $|\arg(p(z))| < (\pi/2)\beta$  for all  $z \in E$ . This gives that

$$\left| \arg \left\{ \frac{z(D^\alpha(L_c(f)))'}{D^\alpha(L_c(f))} - \gamma \right\} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\beta \quad (z \in E),$$

or  $L_c(f) \in \bar{S}_\alpha^*(\beta, \gamma)$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $c > -\gamma$  and  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ . If  $f(z) \in \bar{C}_\alpha(\beta, \gamma)$  and  $1 + z(D^\alpha(L_c(f)))''/(D^\alpha(L_c(f)))' \neq \gamma$  for all  $z \in E$ , then we have  $L_c(f) \in \bar{C}_\alpha(\beta, \gamma)$ .*

**PROOF.**  $f \in \bar{C}_\alpha(\beta, \gamma) \Leftrightarrow zf' \in \bar{S}_\alpha^*(\beta, \gamma) \Rightarrow L_c(zf') \in \bar{S}_\alpha^*(\beta, \gamma)$

$$\Leftrightarrow z(L_c(f))' \in \bar{S}_\alpha^*(\beta, \gamma) \Leftrightarrow L_c(f) \in \bar{C}_\alpha(\beta, \gamma).$$

### References

- [ 1 ] S. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **49** (1975), 109–115.
- [ 2 ] M. Nunokawa et al., Some results for strongly starlike functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **212** (1997), 98–106.
- [ 3 ] M. Nunokawa, On properties of non-caratheodory functions, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci., **68** (1992), 152–153.
- [ 4 ] R. M. Goel and N. S. Sohi, A new criterion for  $p$ -valent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **78** (1980), 353–357.
- [ 5 ] R. J. Libera, Some classes of regular univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **16** (1965), 755–758.
- [ 6 ] S. D. Bernardi, Convex and starlike univalent functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **135** (1969), 429–446.
- [ 7 ] A. Gangadharan and V. Ravichandran, Radii of convexity and strong starlikeness for some classes of analytic functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **211** (1997), 301–313.
- [ 8 ] W. Ma and D. Minda, Coefficient inequalities for strongly close-to-convex functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **205** (1997), 537–553.

Department of Mathematics  
Yangzhou University  
Yangzhou 225002  
People's Republic of China