AFFINE INNER AUTOMORPHISMS OF SU(2)

By

U-Hang KI and Joon-Sik PARK1

Abstract. We show which inner automorphisms of (SU(2),g) with an arbitrary left invariant metric g into itself are affine transformations, and obtain affine transformations of (SU(2),g) which are not harmonic, and study geodesics of (SU(2),g) with some conditions.

0. Introduction

It is interesting to show which diffeomorphisms between two Riemannian manifolds are affine transformations. In this paper, we treat the case (SU(2),g) with a left invariant Riemannian metric g. It is well known that every inner automorphism of G a compact connected semisimple Lie group into itself is both affine and harmonic with respect to a bi-invariant Riemannian metric g_0 on G. However, we here deal with an arbitrary left invariant metric g on SU(2), and show which inner automorphisms of SU(2) are affine transformations of (SU(2), g) into itself.

On the other hand we study geodesics in (SU(2),g). In case of naturally reductive homogeneous space, it is well known that geodesics are orbits of 1-parameter subgroups. R. Dohira (cf. [1]) studied geodesics in reductive homogeneous spaces satisfying certain conditions. Using Dohira's Theorem, we give a complete description of geodesics in (SU(2),g) satisfying some conditions.

In §1, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for inner automorphisms A_x , $(x \in SU(2))$, of (SU(2), g), to be affine transformations (cf. Proposition 1.3–1.5). Moreover, in Theorem 1.7 and 1.8, we show that for any left invariant but not bi-invariant Riemannian metric g on SU(2), there always exist on (SU(2), g)

Keywords: affine transformations, harmonic maps, left invariant metrics on Lie groups. MS classification: 58E20, 53C42, 58G25.

¹ The second author wishes to acknowledge the financial support of the Korean Research Foundation made in the program year of 1997 Received June 30, 1998

both a non-affine inner automorphism, and a non-harmonic but affine inner automorphism.

In §2, using R. Dohira's Theorem we give a complete description of geodesics in (SU(2), g) satisfying certain conditions (cf. Theorem 2.1). Finally we get necessary and sufficient conditions for arbitrary given geodesics in (SU(2), g) with certain left invariant metric g to be closed (cf. Theorem 2.3).

§ 1. Affine Inner Automorphisms of (SU(2), g)

Let B be the Killing form of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ of SU(2). Then the Killing form satisfies

$$(1.1) B(X,Y) = 4\operatorname{Trace}(XY), \quad (X,Y \in \mathfrak{su}(2)).$$

We define an inner product \langle , \rangle_0 on $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ by

$$(1.2) \qquad \langle , \rangle_0 := -B(X, Y), \quad (X, Y \in \mathfrak{su}(2)).$$

The following lemma is known (cf. [5, Lemma 1.1, p. 154]):

LEMMA 1.1. Let g be a left invariant Riemannian metric. Let \langle , \rangle be an inner product on $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ defined by $\langle X, Y \rangle := g_e(X_e, Y_e)$, where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ and e is the identity matrix of SU(2). Then there exist an orthonormal basis (X_1, X_2, X_3) of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ with respect to \langle , \rangle_0 such that

(1.3)
$$\begin{cases} [X_1, X_2] = (1/\sqrt{2})X_3, & [X_2, X_3] = (1/\sqrt{2})X_1, \\ [X_3, X_1] = (1/\sqrt{2})X_2, & \langle X_i, X_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}a_i^2, \end{cases}$$

where a_i , $(1 \le i \le 3)$, are positive real numbers determined by the given left invariant Riemmannian metric g of SU(2).

Let ∇ be the Riemannian connection on (SU(2),g). Here g is an arbitrary given left invariant Riemannian metric in SU(2). Let (X_1,X_2,X_3) be left invariant vector fields related to B and g which appear in Lemma 1.1.

An inner automorphism $A_x: (SU(2),g) \to (SU(2),g), (x \in SU(2)),$ is an affine transformation if and only if

(1.4)
$$Ad(x)\nabla_{X_i}X_i = \nabla_{Ad(x)X_i}Ad(x)X_i, \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3).$$

With respect to the Riemannian connection, we have

(1.5)
$$2 \cdot g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = X \cdot g(Y, Z) + Y \cdot g(X, Z) - Z \cdot g(X, Y)$$
$$+ g([X, Y], Z) + g([Z, X], Y) + g(X, [Z, Y])$$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z. In this section, for simplicity we put

(1.6)
$$\begin{cases} F_1 := (2\sqrt{2})^{-1} (a_1^2 - a_2^2) a_3^{-2}, & F_2 := (2\sqrt{2})^{-1} (a_2^2 - a_3^2) a_1^{-2}, \\ F_3 := (2\sqrt{2})^{-1} (a_3^2 - a_1^2) a_2^{-2}, \end{cases}$$

From (1.3) and (1.5), we get

(1.7)
$$\begin{cases} \nabla_{X_i} X_i = 0 & (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad \nabla_{X_1} X_2 = \{(2\sqrt{2})^{-1} - F_1\} X_3, \\ \nabla_{X_1} X_3 = -\{(2\sqrt{2})^{-1} + F_3\} X_2, \quad \nabla_{X_2} X_3 = \{(2\sqrt{2})^{-1} - F_2\} X_1. \end{cases}$$

We put

$$(1.8) Y_i := 2\sqrt{2}X_i, (i = 1, 2, 3).$$

Then, from (1.3) and (1.8) we have

$$(1.9) [Y_1, Y_2] = 2Y_3, [Y_2, Y_3] = 2Y_1, [Y_3, Y_1] = 2Y_1.$$

In order to prove the following Propositions, we get:

LEMMA 1.2. For an inner automorphism A_x , $(x \in SU(2))$,

$$\nabla_{Ad(x)X_i} Ad(x)X_j = Ad(x)(\nabla_{X_i}X_j)$$

if and only if

$$\nabla_{Ad(x)X_j} Ad(x)X_i = Ad(x)(\nabla_{X_j}X_i), \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3).$$

PROPOSITION 1.3. An inner automorphism A_x , $(x = \exp(rY_1), r \in \mathbb{R})$, of (SU(2), g) is an affine transformation if and only if $a_2 = a_3$ or $\sin(2r) = 0$, that is,

(1.10)
$$a_2 = a_3 \text{ or } r \in \{(n\pi)/2 \mid n \text{ is an integer}\}.$$

PROOF. Using (1.3), (1.8) and (1.9), we have

(1.11)
$$\begin{cases} Ad(x)X_1 = X_1, \\ Ad(x)X_2 = \cos(2r)X_2 + \sin(2r)X_3, \\ Ad(x)X_3 = \cos(2r)X_3 - \sin(2r)X_2. \end{cases}$$

Putting $\phi := Ad(x)$, from (1.6), (1.7) and (1.11) we get

$$\begin{cases} \phi^{-1}(\nabla_{\phi X_1}\phi X_1) = 0, & \phi^{-1}(\nabla_{\phi X_2}\phi X_2) = -\phi^{-1}(\nabla_{\phi X_3}\phi X_3) = -F_2\sin(4r)X_1, \\ \phi^{-1}(\nabla_{\phi X_1}\phi X_2) = -2^{-1}(F_1 + F_2)\sin(4r)X_2 \\ & + \{(2\sqrt{2})^{-1} + F_3\sin^2(2r) - F_1\cos^2(2r)\}X_3, \\ \phi^{-1}(\nabla_{\phi X_1}\phi X_3) = \{F_1\sin^2(2r) - F_3\cos^2(2r) - (2\sqrt{2})^{-1}\}X_2 \\ & + 2^{-1}(F_1 + F_3)\sin(4r)X_3, \\ \phi^{-1}(\nabla_{\phi X_2}\phi X_3) = \{(2\sqrt{2})^{-1} - F_2\cos(4r)\}X_1. \end{cases}$$
 Hence, we find from (1.6), (1.7), (1.12) and Lemma 1.2 that A_x is an affine transformation if and only if

transformation if and only if

(1.13)
$$a_2 = a_3 \text{ or } \sin(2r) = 0.$$
 q.e.d.

Proposition 1.4. An inner automorphism A_x , $(x = \exp(rY_2), r \in \mathbb{R})$, of (SU(2),g) is an affine transformation if and only if

(1.14)
$$a_3 = a_1 \text{ or } r \in \{(n\pi)/2 \mid n \text{ is an integer}\}.$$

PROOF. Using (1.3), (1.8) and (1.9), we have
$$\begin{cases} Ad(x)X_1 = \cos(2r)X_1 - \sin(2r)X_3, \\ Ad(x)X_2 = X_2, \quad Ad(x)X_3 = \sin(2r)X_1 + \cos(2r)X_3. \end{cases}$$
 From (1.6), (1.7) and (1.15), we have

From (1.6), (1.7) and (1.15), we have

From (1.6), (1.7) and (1.15), we have
$$\begin{cases} \phi^{-1}(\nabla_{\phi X_1}\phi X_1) = -\phi^{-1}(\nabla_{\phi X_3}\phi X_3) = F_3\sin(4r)X_2, \phi^{-1}(\nabla_{\phi X_2}\phi X_2) = 0, \\ \phi^{-1}(\nabla_{\phi X_1}\phi X_2) = 2^{-1}(F_1 + F_2)\sin(4r)X_1 \\ \qquad \qquad + \{(2\sqrt{2})^{-1} + F_2\sin^2(2r) - F_1\cos^2(2r)\}X_3, \\ \phi^{-1}(\nabla_{\phi X_1}\phi X_3) = -\{(2\sqrt{2})^{-1} + F_3\cos(4r)\}X_2, \\ \phi^{-1}(\nabla_{\phi X_2}\phi X_3) = \{(2\sqrt{2})^{-1} + F_1\sin^2(2r) - F_2\cos^2(2r)\}X_1 \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad - 2^{-1}(F_1 + F_2)\sin(4r)X_3, \end{cases}$$
 where $\phi := Ad(x)$. We know from (1.6), (1.7), (1.16) and Lemma 1.2 that A_X

where $\phi := Ad(x)$. We know from (1.6), (1.7), (1.16) and Lemma 1.2 that A_x is an affine transformation if and only if

(1.17)
$$a_1 = a_3$$
 or $\sin(2r) = 0$. q.e.d.

PROPOSITION 1.5. An inner automorphism A_x , $(x = \exp(rY_3), r \in \mathbb{R})$, is an affine transformation if and only if

(1.18)
$$a_1 = a_2 \text{ or } r \in \{(n\pi)/2 \mid n \text{ is an integer}\}.$$

PROOF. We get from (1.3), (1.8) and (1.9)

(1.19)
$$\begin{cases} Ad(x)(X_1) = \cos(2r)X_1 + \sin(2r)X_2, \\ Ad(x)(X_2) = \cos(2r)X_2 - \sin(2r)X_1, \quad Ad(x)(X_3) = X_3. \end{cases}$$

From (1.6), (1.7) and (1.19), we obtain

(1.20)
$$\begin{cases} \phi^{-1}(\nabla_{\phi X_{1}}\phi X_{1}) = -\phi^{-1}(\nabla_{\phi X_{2}}\phi X_{2}) = -F_{1}\sin(4r)X_{3}, \\ \phi^{-1}(\nabla_{\phi X_{3}}\phi X_{3}) = 0, & \phi^{-1}(\nabla_{\phi X_{1}}\phi X_{2}) = \{(2\sqrt{2})^{-1} - F_{1}\cos(4r)\}X_{3}, \\ \phi^{-1}(\nabla_{\phi X_{1}}\phi X_{3}) = -2^{-1}(F_{2} + F_{3})\sin(4r)X_{1} \\ & + \{F_{2}\sin^{2}(2r) - F_{3}\cos^{2}(2r) - (2\sqrt{2})^{-1}\}X_{2}, \\ \phi^{-1}(\nabla_{\phi X_{2}}\phi X_{3}) = \{(2\sqrt{2})^{-1} + F_{3}\sin^{2}(2r) - F_{2}\cos^{2}(2r)\}X_{1} \\ & + 2^{-1}(F_{2} + F_{3})\sin(4r)X_{2}, \end{cases}$$

where $\phi := Ad(x)$. Using (1.6), (1.7) and Lemma 1.2, we obtain this proposition. q.e.d.

Since the metric g of (SU(2),g) is bi-invariant iff $a_1=a_2=a_3$, we obtain from Proposition 1.3, 1.4 and 1.5:

THEOREM 1.6. An inner automorphism A_x of (SU(2), g) for any $x \in SU(2)$ is an affine transformation if and only if the metric g of (SU(2), g) is bi-invariant.

Harmonic maps of a compact Riemannian manifold into another Riemannian manifold are the extrema (cf. [2, 6]). In the case of (SU(2), g), the following Lemma (cf. [4]) is known:

LEMMA 1.7. A necessary and sufficient condition for an inner automorphism A_x of (SU(2), g) to be harmonic is

$$\begin{cases} a_2 = a_3 \ or \ \sin(4r) = 0, & in \ case \ of \ x = \exp(rY_1) \ and \ r \in \mathbb{R}, \\ a_1 = a_3 \ or \ \sin(4r) = 0, & in \ case \ of \ x = \exp(rY_2) \ and \ r \in \mathbb{R}, \\ a_1 = a_2 \ or \ \sin(4r) = 0, & in \ case \ of \ x = \exp(rY_3) \ and \ r \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

From Propositions 1.3-1.5 and Lemma 1.7, we get:

THEOREM 1.8. Assume that a left invariant metric g of (SU(2),g) is not bi-invariant. Then, there always exists harmonic inner automorphisms A_x of (SU(2),g) which are not affine transformations.

REMARK. An affine transformation between two Riemannian manifolds is harmonic.

Moreover, from (1.11), (1.15), (1.19) and Propositions 1.3-1.5, we have

COROLLARY 1.9. If an inner automorphism A_x for $x \in SU(2)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \exp(rY_1) & \text{if } a_2 \neq a_3, \\ \exp(rY_2) & \text{if } a_3 \neq a_1, \\ \exp(rY_3) & \text{if } a_1 \neq a_2, \end{cases}$$

is an affine transformation, then A_x is an isometry.

§ 2. Geodesics in (SU(2), g)

We retain the notations as in §1. R. Dohira's Theorem and Corollary which appear in [1] can be stated in our case (SU(2),g) as follows:

THEOREM 2.1. Assume $a_2 = a_3$. Let $\sigma(t)$ be a geodesic in (SU(2), g) such that

$$\sigma(0) = e, \quad \dot{\sigma}(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} k_i Y_i \quad (each \ k_i \in \mathbf{R}).$$

Then

(2.1)
$$\sigma(t) = \exp(t(k_2 Y_2 + k_3 Y_3 + a_1^2 a_2^{-2} k_1 Y_1)) \exp(t(1 - a_1^2 a_2^{-2}) k_1 Y_1).$$

PROOF. We put $\{Y_2, Y_3\}_R =: \mathfrak{m}_1$ and $\{Y_1\}_R =: \mathfrak{m}_2$. Then

$$[\mathfrak{m}_1,\mathfrak{m}_1] \subset \mathfrak{m}_2, \qquad [\mathfrak{m}_1,\mathfrak{m}_2] \subset \mathfrak{m}_1,$$

(2.3)
$$g([X, Y], Z) + a_1^2 a_2^{-1} g(X, [Z, Y]) = 0$$

for each $X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}_1, Z \in \mathfrak{m}_2$. In view of Dohira's Theorem (cf. [1]), we can get this Theorem.

COROLLARY 2.2. Assume $a_2 = a_3$. A geodesic in (SU(2), g) which intersects itself is a closed geodesic.

Using Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2, we obtain

THEOREM 2.3. Let $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{(n\pi)/2 \mid n \text{ is an integer}\}$ and $x = \exp(rY_1)$. Assume A_x is an affine transformation. Then, a geodesic $\sigma(t)$ in (SU(2),g) with condition $\sigma(0) = e$ and $\dot{\sigma}(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} k_i Y_i$ is closed if and only if there exist a real number $L(\in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ satisfying

(2.4)
$$\begin{cases} \cos(EL) = \cos((a_1^2 a_2^{-2} - 1)k_1 L), \\ a_1^2 a_2^{-2} k_1 \sin(EL) = E \sin((a_1^2 a_2^{-2} - 1)k_1 L), \\ k_2 \sin(EL) = 0, \text{ and } k_3 \sin(EL) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where
$$E := \sqrt{(k_2^2 + k_3^2 + a_2^{-4}k_1^2)}$$
.

PROOF. In this proof, we put $c := a_1^2 a_2^{-2}$. Then, from Proposition 1.3 and Theorem 2.1 we have

(2.5)
$$\sigma(t) = \exp(t(k_2Y_2 + k_3Y_3 + ck_1Y_1)) \exp((1-c)k_1tY_1).$$

And then, if $\sigma(t)$ is closed, by Corollary 2.2 we know that there exist real numbers $L(\in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\})$ satisfying

(2.6)
$$\exp(L(k_2 Y_2 + k_3 Y_3 + ck_1 Y_1)) = \exp((c-1)k_1 L Y_1).$$

We may assume (cf. [5, Proof of Lemma 1.1, p. 154]) that (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) , which appears in (1.8), satisfies

$$(2.7) Y_i^{4n} = e, Y_i^{4n+1} = Y_i, Y_i^{4n+2} = -e, Y_i^{4n+3} = -Y_i, (i = 1, 2, 3),$$

for every non-negative integer n, and

$$(2.8) Y_1 Y_2 = -Y_2 Y_1 = Y_3, Y_2 Y_3 = -Y_3 Y_2 = Y_1, Y_3 Y_1 = -Y_1 Y_3 = Y_2.$$

Using (2.7) and (2.8), we get

(2.9)

$$\begin{cases} (k_2 Y_2 + k_3 Y_3 + ck_1 Y_1)^{4n} &= (k_2^2 + k_3^2 + c^2 k_1^2)^{2n} e, \\ (k_2 Y_2 + k_3 Y_3 + ck_1 Y_1)^{4n+1} &= (k_2^2 + k_3^2 + c^2 k_1^2)^{2n} (k_2 Y_2 + k_3 Y_3 + ck_1 Y_1), \\ (k_2 Y_2 + k_3 Y_3 + ck_1 Y_1)^{4n+2} &= -(k_2^2 + k_3^2 + c^2 k_1^2)^{2n+1} e, \\ (k_2 Y_2 + k_3 Y_3 + ck_1 Y_1)^{4n+3} &= -(k_2^2 + k_3^2 + c^2 k_1^2)^{2n+1} (k_2 Y_2 + k_3 Y_3 + ck_1 Y_1), \end{cases}$$

for every non-zero integer n. From (2.7), we have

$$(2.10) \qquad \exp((c-1)k_1LY_1) = \cos((c-1)k_1L)I_2 + \sin((c-1)k_1L)Y_1.$$

By the help of (2.9), we obtain

(2.11)
$$\exp(L(k_2 Y_2 + k_3 Y_3 + ck_1 Y_1))$$

$$= \cos(EL)I_2 + ck_1 E^{-1} \sin(EL) Y_1 + k_2 E^{-1} \sin(EL) Y_2$$

$$+ k_3 E^{-1} \sin(EL) Y_3.$$

Comparing (2.10) with (2.11), we can get this Theorem.

q.e.d.

From this Theorem, we obtain the following:

COROLLARY 2.4. Assume that $k_1k_2k_3 \neq 0$ and the metric g in (SU(2),g) with $a_2=a_3$ is not bi-invariant. Then, if $k_1^{-1}(a_1^2a_2^{-2}-1)\sqrt{(k_2^2+k_3^2+a_1^4a_2^{-4}k_1^2)}$ is not a rational number, the geodesic $\sigma(t)$ with $\sigma(0)=e$ and $\dot{\sigma}(0)=\sum_{i=1}^3 k_i Y_i$ is not closed.

References

- [1] R. Dohira, Geodesics in reductive homogeneous spaces, Tsukuba J. Math., 19 (1995), 233-243.
- [2] J. Eells and L. Lemaire, Selected Topics in Harmonic Maps, CBMS Regional Conf., 1981.
- [3] S. Helgason, Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces, Academic Prees, New York, 1978.
- [4] Joon-Sik Park, Harmonic inner automorphisms of compact connected semisimple Lie groups, Tohoku Math. J., 42 (1990), 83-91.
- [5] K. Sugahara, The sectional curvature and the diameter estimate for the left invariant metrics on $SU(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, \mathbb{R})$, Math. Japonica, 26 (1981), 153-159.
- [6] H. Urakawa, Stability of harmonic maps and eigenvalues of Laplacian, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 301 (1987), 557-589.

Topology and Geometry Research Center Kyungpook National University Taegu 702-701, Korea

Department of Mathematics Pusan University of Foreign Studies 55-1, Uam Dong Nam-Gu, Pusan, 608-738, Korea