

# CELLULOSE/BIOCHAR AEROGELS: THERMAL PROPERTIES AND LIFETIME PREDICTION

Lídia K. Lazzari<sup>\*1</sup>, Roberta M. Neves<sup>1</sup>, Daniele Perondi<sup>2</sup>, Ademir J. Zattera<sup>2</sup> and Ruth M. C. Santana<sup>1</sup>

1 – Postgraduate Program in Mining, Metallurgical and Materials Engineering (PPGE3M), Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Av. Bento Gonçalves, 9500, Porto Alegre/RS

\* lidia\_lazzari@yahool.com.br

2- Postgraduate Program in Engineering of Processes and Technologies (PGEPROTEC), Universidade de Caxias do Sul (UCS), R. Francisco Getúlio Vargas, 1130, Caxias do Sul/RS

Abstract – Aerogels can be applied in thermal insulation and because they are exposed to the action of temperature and weathering, it is necessary to know about the degradation kinetics and the thermal properties of these materials. For the production of aerogels, a suspension of cellulose is produced at a concentration of 1.5% (w/w), aerogel AC-1, and at this suspension was applied 40% (w/w) of biochar (to cellulose mass), aerogel AC-1.B40. The addition of biochar to the cellulose aerogel causes a decrease in the rate of degradation and loss of mass. The values of  $E_a$  showed no dependence on conversion degree ( $\alpha$ ) and two steps of degradation were observed for both samples, following the same degradation model (A $\rightarrow$ B $\rightarrow$ C). Regarding the lifetime prediction, the aerogel AC-1.B40 demonstrated to be more stable than AC. It means that after 1 year exposed to a temperature of 150 °C AC-1.B40 has not completely degraded as seen in the aerogel AC-1. In all, the addition of biochar to the cellulose aerogel is a great option for further production of thermal insulators.

Keywords: cellulose Pinus elliotti, biochar, aerogel, thermal analysis.

### Introduction

Cellulose is the most abundant natural polymer in nature, as it is the main constituent of the cell wall of plants, and is also present in algae, fungi, animals, and bacteria. The three-dimensional structure formed by its fibers provides materials with excellent mechanical properties, in addition to being able to produce light and porous materials such as aerogel [1] [2].

Aerogels can be used as thermal insulation, and for this, is exposed to a certain temperature for a long period time, it is important to know the degradation kinetics, and, consequently, the lifetime prediction of materials is highly recommended. A *Pinus elliotti* cellulose was used as raw material for the production of aerogel and also for biochar, allowing this form to add a high value to this biomass combined with a low processing cost.

From the knowledge of the degradation kinetics and the lifetime prediction, it is possible to plan materials replacement before catastrophic failure occurs and determines a possible prediction of the behavior for the materials in a large range of temperatures. Additionally, thermal predictions can be made by accessible experimental conditions (TG) and by simulation [3] [4].

In the present study, Pinus elliotti cellulose was used as raw material for the production of aerogel and also for biochar, allowing this form to add a high value to this biomass combined with a low processing cost. This study investigates the influence of biochar in the aerogel regarding degradation kinetics and lifetime prediction of 1 year exposed at 150°C.

# **Experimental**

The Pinus elliotti cellulose used was of the unbleached long fiber type supplied by the company Trombini - RS.

Initially, Pinus elliotti cellulose was ground in a stone mill for 5 hours at 4500 rpm, to obtain the cellulose suspension with 1.5% (w/w) cellulose fiber concentration [5].

The biochar (BC) was produced from cellulose residue pyrolysis with a heating rate of 5 °C min<sup>-1</sup>, isotherm time of 60 min, the final operating temperature of 800 °C, and N<sub>2</sub> flow of 150 mL min<sup>-1</sup> [6].

Aerogels were produced using the methodology presented by [6]. Initially, the cellulose suspension was centrifuged and BC was added to the supernatant at a concentration of 40% (to cellulose mass). The supernatant was drained over the precipitate and mechanically stirred for 5 min to completely homogenize the suspension. The final suspension was sonicated for 30 minutes and 50% amplitude (maximum equipment capacity - 500W). Finally, the suspension was frozen in an ultrafreezer in square molds and then lyophilized for 72 hours under vacuum at -  $45^{\circ}C$ .

Thermogravimetric analysis (TG) was performed in a Shimadzu TGA-50 equipment in an N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere with 50 mL min<sup>-1</sup> rate. Four different heating rates were used: 10, 20, and 40 °C min<sup>-1</sup>, with temperature ranging from 25 to 600 °C. The mass of each sample was ca. 10 mg. The kinetic triplet composed by  $E_a$  and A values, degradation model, and degradation mechanism was determined by Kissinger-Akahira-Sunose (KAS) and Friedman (FR) in the *Netzsch Thermokinetics* software [7]. After that, a year of lifetime prediction at 30-180 °C range was performed based on the previously obtained kinetic parameters.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Figure 1 presents the photograph of the aerogel AC-1 and AC-1.B40 as well as their thermogravimetric curves. In the photographs, it is possible to see the porous structure of the aerogel, which has a porosity greater than 94%. As previously mentioned, these aerogels can be used as thermal insulators due to their low thermal conductivity (0.024 W m<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) [6]. And due to this application, where they can be exposed to high temperatures, it is essential to know about their thermal properties.

Analyzing the curves in Figure 1, cellulosic materials show two mass loss events: between 50 and 100°C, related to the humidity present in the sample; and from 250°C, related to cellulose degradation and decomposition and also to the lignin and hemicellulose present in aerogel. Still, it is observed that the aerogel AC-1, lost the highest percentage of mass at a higher speed than the aerogel AC-1.B40. Besides, the addition of the biochar to the aerogel AC-1.B40 reduces the rate of degradation and mass loss, showing greater thermal stability than the aerogel AC-1. This result can be justified by the insertion of the biochar in the aerogel AC-1.B40 since it is composed mainly of carbon, 68% while the AC-1 has about 34%.



Figure 1 – TGA curves, micrographs and photographs: (a) AC-1 and (b) AC-1.B40.

As can be seen, when increasing the heating rate, Tonset gradually increases, because there is a shorter exposure time of cellulose to high temperatures at higher heating rates, in addition to the increase in the heating rate there is the displacement, from molecular events to higher temperatures [8] [9].

Table 1 shows the values of  $E_a$  and A calculated using the Friedman and Kissinger-Akahira-Sunose methods. As seen in Table 1, the aerogel AC-1 showed  $E_a$  and A values higher than AC-1.B40. This result corroborates with the literature, once Yao et al. [10] claim that cellulose is the main contributor to activation energy values. In other words, the higher content of cellulose in the sample leads to higher  $E_a$  values. Additionally to it, according to Vyazovkin et al. [11]  $E_a$  is associated with the energy barrier, so in this case, AC-1 presented more barrier to the heat transfer than AC-1.B40. Regarding A values, the same trend was observed.

Table 1- Ea and A mean values evaluated by FR and KAS methods				
Sample	FR		KAS	
	E <sub>a</sub> (kJ.mol <sup>-1</sup> )	Α	E <sub>a</sub> (kJ.mol <sup>-1</sup> )	Α
AC-1	$226.3 \pm 32.9$	$26.9\pm2.3$	$188.0 \pm 3,5$	$13.45\pm0.8$
AC-1.B40	$116.4 \pm 21.4$	$7.6 \pm 1.7$	$109.7 \pm 23,9$	6.7 ±1.7

From the parameters presented in Table 1, it was possible to simulate the experimental degradation curves based on the most common theoretical degradation mechanisms for the solid-

state [12]. The simulation was performed on the *Thermokinetics*, which has the advantage of the quality of the statistical analysis, and, consequently, minimizing operator error. More information about the software and how it works could be found elsewhere [13] [7]. The simulation was based on Waterloo's reaction pathway, which states that all compounds in solid-state degradated into volatiles and charcoal [14].

The results statistically suggest that two-steps degradation model  $(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C)$ , for both samples. The degradation model could be associated with main cellulose chain (A) which degrades in low molecular weight celluloses (B), which degrades in smaller cellulose chains, in the case of AC-1, or biochar particles, in the case of AC-1.B40 (C). Regarding the degradation mechanism, the addition of biochar did not influence it, since for both samples presented an autocatalytic degradation followed by other autocatalytic degradation mechanisms. The reported results corroborate with the literature [13] [15].

Based on all kinetics parameters obtained in the previous steps, a lifetime prediction predictions for both aerogels using the 30-180°C temperature range (in steps of 25°C) until  $10^5$  min (1 year) were performed and the resulting curves are shown in Figures 1(c) and (d).

In the range of 30-55°C both aerogels have the same behavior, from 55°C, the aerogel AC-1 has degraded over the years, reaching a loss of mass of 50% at a temperature of 105°C. While the AC-1.B40 aerogel maintains its stability up to a temperature of 105°C. At 130°C, values for residual mass (%) were 90 and 40 for AC-1 and AC-1.B40, respectively. This shows that after 1 year of exposure to a temperature of 130°C, the aerogel AC-1.B40 has greater thermal stability than the aerogel AC-1. At 155°C, the aerogel AC-1 reaches 90% of mass loss in the first year of exposure, whereas the aerogel AC-1.B40 reaches this percentage of mass loss from the third year. Above this temperature, both aerogels reach maximum degradation up to two years of exposure. This demonstrates that the addition of the biochar to the cellulose aerogel acts synergistically concerning cellulose degradation, providing greater stability to the cellulose aerogel when it is exposed to temperatures above 105°C for extended periods.

### Conclusions

The addition of the biochar to the cellulose aerogel provides improvements in the thermal properties of the material, such as a reduction in the rate of thermal degradation and loss of mass, besides, there is an increase in the thermal stability of the aerogel AC-1.B40 (compared to the aerogel AC-1) when it is exposed to temperatures above 105°C for extended periods.

# Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq), the Foundation for Research Support of the State of Rio Grande do Sul (FAPERGS), Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS) and University of Caxias do Sul (UCS).

# References

- [1] Fischer F, Rigacci A, Pirard R, Berthon-Fabry S, Achard P. Cellulose-based aerogels. Polymer (Guildf) 2006;47:7636–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2006.09.004.
- [2] Nakagaito A, Kondo H, Takagi H. Cellulose nanofiber aerogel production and applications. J Reinf Plast Compos 2013;32:1547–52. https://doi.org/10.1177/0731684413494110.
- [3] Vyazovkin S, Burnham AK, Criado JM, Pérez-Maqueda LA, Popescu C, Sbirrazzuoli N. ICTAC Kinetics Committee recommendations for performing kinetic computations on thermal analysis data. Thermochim Acta 2011;520:1–19.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tca.2011.03.034.

- [4] Friedman HL. Kinetics of thermal degradation of char-forming plastics from thermogravimetry. Application to a phenolic plastic. J Polym Sci Part C Polym Symp 1964;6:183–95. https://doi.org/10.1002/polc.5070060121.
- [5] Neves RM, Lopes KS, Zimmermann MVG, Poletto M, Zattera AJ. Characterization of polystyrene nanocomposites and expanded nanocomposites reinforced with cellulose nanofibers and nanocrystals. Cellulose 2019;26:4417–29. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-019-02392-2.
- [6] Lazzari LK, Perondi D, Zampieri VB, Zattera AJ, Santana RMC. Cellulose / biochar aerogels with excellent mechanical and thermal insulation properties. Cellulose 2019;26:9071–83. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-019-02696-3.
- [7] Neves RM, Ornaghi Júnior HL, Ornaghi FG, Amico SC, Zattera AJ. Degradation kinetics and lifetime prediction for polystyrene / nanocellulose nanocomposites. J Therm Anal Calorim 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-020-10316-7.
- [8] Lazzari LK, Zampieri VB, Zanini M, Zattera AJ, Baldasso C. Sorption capacity of hydrophobic cellulose cryogels silanized by two different methods. Cellulose 2017;24:3421– 31. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-017-1349-z.
- [9] Poletto M, Zattera AJ, Forte MMC, Santana RMC. Thermal decomposition of wood: Influence of wood components and cellulose crystallite size. Bioresour Technol 2012;109:148–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.11.122.
- [10] Yao F, Wu Q, Lei Y, Guo W, Xu Y. Thermal decomposition kinetics of natural fibers: Activation energy with dynamic thermogravimetric analysis. Polym Degrad Stab 2008;93:90–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2007.10.012.
- [11] Vyazovkin S, Burnhamb AK, Criadoc JM, Pérez-Maquedac LA, Popescud C, Sbirrazzuoli N. ICTAC Kinetics Committee recommendations for collecting experimental thermal analysis data for kinetic computations. Thermochim Acta 2011;590:1–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tca.2014.05.036.
- [12] Bourbigot S, Devaux E, Flambard X. Flammability of polyamide-6/clay hybrid nanocomposite textiles. Polym Degrad Stab 2002;75:397–402. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-3910(01)00245-2.
- [13] Ornaghi HL, Ornaghi FG, Neves RM, Monticeli F, Bianchi O. Mechanisms involved in thermal degradation of lignocellulosic fibers: a survey based on chemical composition. Cellulose 2020;7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-020-03132-7.
- [14] Cabeza A, Sobrón F, Yedro FM, García-Serna J. Autocatalytic kinetic model for thermogravimetric analysis and composition estimation of biomass and polymeric fractions. Fuel 2015;148:212–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2015.01.048.
- [15] Neves RM, Ornaghi HL, Zattera AJ, Amico SC. The influence of silane surface modification on microcrystalline cellulose characteristics. Carbohydr Polym 2020;230:115595. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2019.115595.