Predictive value of Tp-e interval, Tp-e/QT, and Tp-e/QTc for disease severity in patients with liver cirrhosis

S. BARUTCU¹, I. INANC², C. SABANOGLU², E. POLAT³

¹Department of Gastroenterology, University of Gaziantep, Gaziantep, Turkey

²Department of Cardiology, Kırıkkale Yuksek Ihtisas Hospital, Kirikkale, Turkey

³Department of Cardiology, Dr. Ersin Arslan Education and Research Hospital, Gaziantep, Turkey

Abstract. – **OBJECTIVE:** The cardiovascular system is one of the most affected systems in the liver cirrhosis (LC) process, especially due to the tendency to arrhythmia. Since the data about the relationship between LC and novel electrocardiography (ECG) indexes are lacking, we aimed to investigate the association between LC and Tp-e interval, Tp-e/QT, and Tp-e/QTc ratio.

PATIENTS AND METHODS: The study included 100 patients in the study group (56 male, median age 60) and 100 in the control group (52 female, 60 median age) between January 2021 and January 2022. ECG indexes and laboratory findings were analyzed.

RESULTS: The patient group had significantly higher heart rate (HR), Tp-e, Tp-e/QT, and Tp-e/ QTc compared to the control group (p < 0.001 for all). There was no difference in terms of QT, QTc, QRS (depolarization of ventricles, involving Q, R, and S waves on ECG) duration, and ejection fraction between the two groups. Kruskal-Wallis test results revealed that there was a significant difference between Child stages in terms of HR, QT, QTc, Tp-e, Tp-e/QT, Tp-e/QTc, and QRS duration. There was also a significant difference between the model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score groups in terms of all these parameters except for Tp-e/QTc. In the ROC analyses of Tp-e, Tp-e/QT and Tp-e/QTc to predict the Child C, the AUC values were 0.887; (95% CI: 0.853-0.921), 0.730; (95% CI: 0.680-0.780), and 0.670; (95% CI: 0.614-0.726), respectively. Similarly, AUC values for the MELD score > 20 were 0.877; (95% CI: 0.854-0.900), 0.935; (95% CI: 0.918-0.952), and 0.861; (95% CI: 0.835-0.887); (p < 0.001 for all).

CONCLUSIONS: Tp-e, Tp-e,QT, and Tp-e/QTc values were significantly higher in patients with LC. These indexes can be useful for arrhythmia risk stratification and to predict the end-stage of the disease.

Key Words:

Liver cirrhosis, Arrhythmia, Tp-e interval, Tp-e/QT ratio, Tp-e/QTc ratio.

Introduction

Liver cirrhosis (LC) is a characteristic result of a chronic inflammation due to several infectious, toxic, metabolic, or autoimmune conditions such as viral hepatitis, alcoholism, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), autoimmune hepatitis (AIH), primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC), primary biliary cholangitis (PBC), hemochromatosis, Wilson's disease, and alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency^{1,2}. LC is still one of the most important causes of mortality and morbidity worldwide. According to the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) report, LC caused more than one million death worldwide in 2010, compared with 676,000 deaths in 1980³.

LC may lead to many fatal complications such as electrolyte imbalance, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, hepato-renal syndrome, hepato-pulmonary syndrome, gastroesophageal varice-related or non-related gastrointestinal system bleeding, hepatic encephalopathy, portal hypertension, portal vein thrombosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma⁴⁻⁸. It is well known that one of the most affected systems in the LC process is the cardiovascular system, and one of the most affected organs in cardiovascular system disorders is the liver⁹. LC causes increased mortality by affecting the cardiovascular system in many ways such as cardiomyopathy, prolongation of QT duration, arrhythmia, autonomic dysfunction, decreased peripheral vascular resistance, inflammation, and hyperdynamic circulation^{10,11}. The presence of cardiomyopathy, remodeling of ion channels, electrolyte imbalance, autonomic nervous system dysfunction, hepatorenal syndrome, and altered liver anabolic and catabolic processes are the main factors increasing the risk of arrhythmia in LC patients¹². Moreover, the alcohol itself may increase sudden cardiac death, especially by triggering ventricular arrhythmias in patients with alcoholic cirrhosis¹³.

To date, several studies¹⁴⁻¹⁶ have been conducted investigating the association between LC and arrhythmia, and most of them have focused on prolonging the QT interval (QT). T wave peakto-end (Tp-e) interval, Tp-e/QT ratio, and Tp-e/ QTc are novel electrocardiography (ECG) parameters reflecting cardiac repolarization abnormalities as well as QT and corrected QT interval (QTc). The number of studies¹⁷ showing the relationship between these markers and LC is limited and includes relatively few patients. In our study, we aimed to investigate the association of QT, QTc, Tp-e, Tp-e/QT, Tp-e/QTc, and the severity of LC.

Patients and Methods

This single-center, retrospective study was approved by the local Ethics Committee of Kırıkkale University Hospital in terms of compliance with the Helsinki principles (Decision number: 2022.06.19) and informed written consent was obtained from all participants. The study included 100 patients diagnosed with LC and 100 healthy subjects recruited from both the cardiology and gastroenterology outpatient clinics between January 2021 and January 2022. Patients with coronary artery disease, valvular heart disease, atrial fibrillation, uncontrolled hypertension, left/right bundle branch block, ST-T changes on electrocardiography, permanent pacemaker, left ventricular hypertrophy, use of drugs that may a possible effect on QT or Tp-e (except for the propranolol use due to LC), spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, upper gastrointestinal bleeding, hepatocellular carcinoma, sepsis, and chronic inflammatory disease were excluded from the study. The data about patients' demographics, Child stage, medical history, laboratory parameters, radiological, and clinical outcome data were obtained through the electronic patient database.

Clinical Criteria for Diagnosis of Cirrhosis

The diagnosis of LC was made based on a combination of clinical features, blood profile, and radiological imaging. Clinical features were the presence of signs of portal hypertension such as ascites and/or gastrointestinal varices. The blood profile included evidence of thrombocytopenia and/or coagulopathy. Radiological features were a shrunken liver with or without splenomegaly and intra-abdominal varices on imaging with transabdominal ultrasound, MRI, or computed tomography¹⁸⁻²⁰.

The Child-Pugh classification and the model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score were used to assess the severity of LC. Child A was defined as mild, Child B as moderate, and Child C as severe LC, respectively²¹. Patients were also classified based on MELD scores as low (< 10), intermediate (10-19), and high (≥ 20)²².

Echocardiographic Measurements

Standard 2-dimensional echocardiography was performed on all subjects lying in the left lateral decubitus position with a Vivid 7 Doppler echocardiographic unit (GE Vingmed Ultrasound, Horten, Norway) using a 3.5-MHz transducer. Echocardiographic measurements were made according to ACC and AHA standard protocols²³. Two-dimensional and M-mode echocardiography was applied to assess ejection fraction (EF). An EF of less than 50% was defined as heart failure.

Electrocardiography

A 12-lead electrocardiogram with standard chest and limb leads was used to evaluate Tp-e and QTc intervals. The 12- lead ECG was recorded at a paper speed of 50 mm/s in the supine position. The QT interval was measured from the beginning of the QRS complex to the end of the T wave and corrected for heart rate using the Bazett formula: $cQT=QT\sqrt{(R-R interval)}$. Tp-e interval was defined as the interval between the peak and the end of the T wave. Measurements of the Tp-e interval were performed from precordial leads. Tp-e/QTc ratio was calculated from these measurements. Measurements of Tp-e intervals and QTc were calculated by two cardiologists who were blinded to patient data.

Statistical Analysis

SPSS 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) program was used in the analysis of the variables. Quantitative variables with a non-normal distribution were specified as the median (1st quartile/3rd quartile) and categorical variables were specified with the number and percentage values. The normality of the data was evaluated by Shaphiro-Wilk's test. Mann-Whitney U test (for 2 groups) and Kruskal-Wallis' test (for 3 groups) were used for the comparison of non-normal numerical variables between groups. The Chi-square test was used to evaluate the relationship

between categorical variables. The ROC curves were used to determine the cut-off values of Tp-e, Tp-e/QT, and Tp-e/QTc to end-stage LC (Child C or MELD score ≥ 20). A *p*-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 200 patients were analyzed in our study, including 108 (54 %) males and 92 (46 %) females with a median age of 61.0 (55.3-69.0) years. The basic demographic profile, ECG, and laboratory findings were presented in Table I. Both groups were similar in terms of gender, age, and smoking status. Fifty (50%) patients were using beta blockers.

Regarding ECG parameters, the patient group had significantly higher heart rate, Tp-e interval, Tp-e/QT, and Tp-e/QTc ratio compared to the control group (p < 0.001 for all). However, there was no difference in terms of QT, QTc, QRS duration, and ejection fraction between the two groups. As laboratory findings, international normalized ratio (INR) (p<0.001), total protein (p = 0.005), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) (p < 0.001), total bilirubin (p < 0.001), and direct bilirubin (p < 0.001) levels were higher whereas hemoglobin (p < 0.001) platelet (p < 0.001), and albumin (p < 0.001) levels were lower in the patient group compared to control group. There was no significant difference in terms of potassium and alanine transaminase (ALT) levels and glomerular filtration rate (GFR) between the two groups.

Table II presents the Kruskal-Wallis's test results of the ECG parameters of the patient group, grouped according to the Child stages and MELD scores. The subgroup analysis demonstrated that there was a significant difference between Child stages in terms of heart rate (A vs. C, p = 0.024; A vs. B, p = 0.011; B vs. C, p = 0.77), QT duration (A vs. C, p = 0.002; A vs. B, p = 0.13; B vs.

	Table I. Basic	demographic	profile, ECG	, and laborator	y findings	of patients
--	----------------	-------------	--------------	-----------------	------------	-------------

	Patient (n=100) Median [25-75%]	Control (n=100) Median [25-75%]	Ρ
Age, years Gender, male (%)	60 (25-82) 56 (56)	60 (27-81) 52 (52)	0.840 0.570
Smoking, n (%)	25 (25)	23 (23)	0.741
ECG parameters			
HR, bpm	82 [71.5-95.5]	72.5 [70-80.5]	0.001*
QT, ms	391 [364-409]	400 [388-402.5]	0.096
QTc, ms	444 [414.5-480]	443.5 [415-458.5]	0.144
Tp-e, ms	75 [70-85]	60 [55-65]	0.001*
Tp-e/QT, ms	0.2 [0.19-0.22]	0.16 [0.15-0.17]	0.001*
Ip-e/QIc, ms	0.17 [0.16-0.19]	0.14 [0.13-0.15]	0.001*
QRS, ms	85 [80-92]	85 [80-90] 59 [55 (0]	0.919
Ejection iraction, n %	60 [55-60] 50 (50)	38 [55-60]	0.212
Deta blocket use, li 70	50 (50)	-	-
Laboratory findings			
INR	1.43 [1.24-1.76]	0.9 [0.9-1]	0.001*
Hemoglobin, g/dL	11.6 [10.1-12.65]	14.1 [13.25-15]	0.001*
Platelet, 10*9/L	102 [73.5-147.5]	242 [201-294]	0.001*
GFR, mL/min/1.73 m^2	93 [75.5-105]	94 [81-110]	0.100
Potassium, mmol/L	4.38 [4.01-4.74]	4.5 [4.06-4.74]	0.832
Iotal protein, mg/dL	6.95 [6.4-7.65]	6.6 [6.3-6.78]	0.005*
Albumin, mg/dL	3.1 [2.8-3.7]	3.8 [3.6-4.1]	0.001*
	39 [29-32] 24 5 [16 22]	24 [21-28]	0.001*
Total hiliruhin mg/dI	24.5 [10-52] 1 64 [0 96-2 3]	23 [21-20] 0 4 [0 31_0 75]	0.740
Direct bilirubin mg/dL	0 54 [0 31-0 83]	0 13 [0 1-0 17]	0.001*
	0.01[0.01 0.00]	0.10 [0.1 0.17]	0.001

Shown as median (1st quartile/3rd quartile) for non-normally distributed data, and n (%) for categorical data. Mann-Whitney U test. *Statistically significant. ALT: Alanin aminotransferase, AST: Aspartate aminotransferase, ECG: Electrocardiography, GFR: Glomerular filtration rate, HR: Heart rate, INR: international normalized ratio, QRS: Depolarization of ventricles, involving Q,R, and S waves on ECG.



Figure 1. Boxplot of Tp-e (A), Tp-e/QT (B), and Tp-e/QTc (C) indexes according to Child stages.

C, p = 0.08), QTc duration (A vs. C, p < 0.001; A *vs.* B, p < 0.001; B *vs.* C, p = 0.09), Tp-e interval (p < 0.001 for all), Tp-e/QT (A vs. C, p < 0.001;)A vs. B, p = 0.052; B vs. C, p = 0.02), Tp-e/QTc ratio (A vs. C, p = 0.018; A vs. B, p = 0.98; B vs. C, p = 0.015), and QRS duration (A vs. C, p =0.002; A vs. B, p = 0.013; B vs. C, p = 0.58). There was also a significant difference between MELD score groups in terms of QT (low vs. intermediate, p = 0.019; low vs. high, p < 0.001; intermediate vs. high, p = 0.055), QTc (low vs. intermediate, p < 0.001; low vs. high, p < 0.001; intermediate vs. high, p = 0.07), Tp-e interval (p < 0.001for all), Tp-e/QT (low vs. intermediate, p = 0.059; low vs. high, p = 0.006; intermediate vs. high, p =0.21), and QRS duration (low vs. intermediate, p <0.001; low vs. high, p = 0.09; intermediate vs. high, p = 0.16) (Figure 1-2).

In the ROC analysis of Tp-e interval, Tp-e/QT and Tp-e/QTc ratios designed to estimate the end-stage (Child C) LC, the area under the curve (AUC) values were 0.887; (95% CI: 0.853-0.921; p < 0.001), 0.730; (95% CI: 0.680-0.780; p < 0.001), and 0.670; (95%

CI: 0.614-0.726; p < 0.001), respectively. Similarly, the ROC analyzes of Tp-e interval, Tp-e/QT and Tp-e/QTc ratios designed to estimate the end-stage (MELD score \geq 20) LC, the AUC values were 0.877; (95% CI: 0.854-0.900; p < 0.001), 0.935; (95% CI: 0.918-0.952; p < 0.001), and 0.861; (95% CI: 0.835-0.887; p < 0.001) (Table III) (Figure 3-4).

Discussion

The main findings of this study are as follows: i) patients with LC had a significantly longer Tp-e interval and Tp-e/QT and Tp-e/QTc ratio compared to control subjects, ii) higher values of QT, QTc, Tp-e, Tp-e/QT, and QRS duration was associated with higher MELD score and advanced Child stage. Tp-e/QTc was also associated with advanced Child stage, iii) Tp-e, Tp-e/ QT, and Tp-e/QTc are useful parameters to predict end-stage (Child C, MELD score \geq 20) in patients with LC.

QT and QTc, which are indicators of abnor-

S. Barutcu, I. Inanc, C. Sabanoglu, E. Polat

Table II. Kruskal-Wallis' test results of the ECG para	meters of the patient group, grouped	according to the CHILD stages and MELD scores.
---	--------------------------------------	--

	Child A (n=32) Median [25-75%]	Child B (n=34) Median [25-75%]	Child C (n=34) Median [25-75%]	P	MELD < 10 (n=26) Median [25-75%]	MELD 10-19 (n=48) Median [25-75%]	MELD ≥ 20 (n=26) Median [25-75%]	P
HR, bpm	72 [63-83]	86 [74-97]	84 [75-96]	0.022*	75.5 [70-97]	85.5 [73-96]	81 [72-95]	0.482
QT, ms	375 [320-400]	391 [360-410]	400 [380-423]	0.007*	369 [320-392]	392 [362-412]	400 [384-424]	0.001*
QTc, ms	406 [397-426]	457.5 [429-480]	477 [438-506]	0.001*	406 [399-433]	448 [420.5-482.5]	470 [449-495]	0.001*
Tp-e, ms	65 [65-75]	75 [75-80]	85 [80-90]	0.001*	65 [65-75]	77.5 [75-80]	85 [80-90]	0.001*
Tp-e/QT, ms	0.18 [0.16-0.21]	0.2 [0.19-0.22]	0.21 [0.2-0.22]	0.001*	0.19 [0.16-0.21]	0.2 [0.19-0.22]	0.21 [0.2-0.22]	0.021*
Tp-e/QTc, ms	0.17 [0.15-0.19]	0.17 [0.16-0.18]	0.18 [0.17-0.2]	0.021*	0.17 [0.15-0.19]	0.17 [0.16-0.19]	0.18 [0.17-0.19]	0.061
QRS, ms	80 [76.5-88]	86.5 [84-90]	87 [80-104]	0.006*	80 [78-84]	88 [84-97]	85 [80-92]	0.004*
EF, %	60 [55-60]	60 [53-60]	58 [55-60]	0.713	60 [55-60]	58 [53-60]	60 [55-60]	0.226

Shown as median (1st quartile/3rd quartile) for non-normally distributed data. Kruskal-Wallis' test. *Statistically significant. ECG: Electrocardiography, EF: Ejection fraction, HR: Heart rate, QRS: Depolarization of ventricles, involving Q,R, and S waves on ECG..

1114

	AUC	95% CI	Cut-off	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Р
Child stage C						
Тр-е	0.887	0.853-0.921	> 80	73.5	92.5	<0.001
Tp-e/QT	0.730	0.680-0.780	> 0.192	91	50	< 0.001
Tp-e/QTc	0.670	0.614-0.726	> 0.161	85	33.5	<0.001
MELD score ≥2	0					
Тр-е	0.877	0.854-0.900	> 65	80	80	<0.001
Tp-e/QT	0.935	0.918-0.952	> 0.175	84	90	< 0.001
Tp-e/QTc	0.861	0.835-0.887	> 0.157	78	83	<0.001

Table III. ROC analysis results of patients.

AUC: Area under the curve; CI: Confidence interval; hs-TnI: High-sensitivity cardiac troponin I; NT-proBNP: N-terminal brain-type natriuretic peptide.

mal repolarization, are the main traditional clinical markers for arrhythmic risk estimation. Prolonged QT interval length has been associated with an increased risk of total, cardiovascular, coronary, and sudden cardiac death mortality²⁴. However, due to the limitations of using QTc alone in predicting arrhythmogenicity, new parameters such as Tp-e, Tp-e/QT, and Tp-e/QTc have been used recently²⁵. Tp-e is defined as the interval between the peak of the T wave and the end of the T wave and represents the repolarization distribution. Studies^{25,26} have shown that it is a marker of global repolarization distribution rather than transmural repolarization and prolongation of the Tp-e interval is associated with malignant ventricular arrhythmias. The Tp-e/QT ratio is used as



Figure 2. Boxplot of Tp-e (A), Tp-e/QT (B), and Tp-e/QTc (C) indexes according to MELD scores.

an electrocardiographic arrhythmogenesis index to evaluate the risk of both hereditary ion channel pathologies such as long QT and Brugada syndrome, and acquired ion channel pathologies such as acute myocardial infarction, leading to ventricular arrhythmias²⁶. The role of these parameters has been investigated²⁷⁻³³ in many diseases that affect the electrophysiological state of the heart and lead to mortality and morbidity, such as coronary artery disease, Fabry disease, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, hypothyroidism, diabetes mellitus, acute pulmonary embolism, and vasculitis.

LC may predispose to arrhythmia by causing electrophysiological changes in the heart during the course of the disease. The mechanisms leading to arrhythmia are the following: i) induction of atrial arrhythmias by the toxic effect of an excess of

serum bile acids³⁴; ii) dysfunction of membrane potassium channels, hyperreactivity of sympathetic-adrenergic discharges, and downregulation of beta-adrenergic receptors defined as ion channel remodelling³⁵; iii) chronic alcohol consumption can lead to arrhythmia through myocardial toxic effect, myocardial dysfunction, and segmental delays in electrical intracardiac conduction³⁶; iv) autonomic system dysfunction³⁴; v) chronic inflammation³⁴; vi) electrolyte imbalance due to the disease itself or spironolactone use¹²; vii) hepatorenal syndrome¹². All these factors directly affect QT, Tp-e, and QRS parameters, which are the parameters related to ventricular repolarization and depolarization in ECG, and may cause increased morbidity and mortality by inducing ventricular arrhythmia. Importantly, since the autono-



Figure 3. ROC cirves for Tp-e (A), Tp-e/QT (B), and Tp-e/QTc (C) indexes according to Child stages.



Figure 4. ROC curves for Tp-e (A), Tp-e/QT (B), and Tp-e/QTc (C) indexes according to MELD scores.

mic dysfunction is permanent, the risk of arrhythmia may remain, even if cirrhotic cardiomyopathy improves after liver transplantation¹². In a recent study³⁷ including 406 patients with end-stage LC, no significant change in the QT interval was observed after liver transplantation in patients.

There are very few studies^{14,17} investigating the relationship between the combination of QT, QTc, Tp-e interval, Tp-e/QTc parameters, and LC. Akboga et al¹⁷ compared 88 patients diagnosed with LC and 73 control subjects and showed that the Tp-e interval, Tp-e/QTc ratio, and the rate of fragmented QRS were increased in parallel with the severity of the disease in the LC group. In sup-

port of this study, we found that the Tp-e interval, Tp-e/QT, and Tp-e/QTc ratios were higher in the patient group compared to the control group. There was also a significant difference between the Child stage and MELD score groups. Similarly, QT and QTc were higher in the patient group as expected. Tsiompanidis et al¹⁴ compared 51 patients diagnosed with cirrhosis and 51 control subjects and found that QT was prolonged in the patient group. Moreover, decompensated patients tended to have longer QTc. In contrast, in our study, there was no difference in terms of QT and QTc between the two groups. The use of propranolol can be shown as an important reason why there was no difference between the two groups in terms of these parameters. Because propranolol significantly shortens the QT, it is even used in the treatment of long QT syndrome³⁸. In our study, 50% of the patient group was using propranolol. Another reason is that the number of patients in the study was less than ours and the patients using propranolol were excluded from the study.

Our study has a different aspect from previous studies^{14,17,34}. Importantly, we presented the cut-off values of Tp-e, Tp-e/QT, and Tp-e/QTc parameters to distinguish end-stage (Child C or MELD score > 20) patients. This may be important in determining the degree of liver dysfunction in patients and the risk of arrhythmia while waiting for organ transplantation. In addition, to the best of our knowledge, our study is the largest study in which the combination of Tp-e, Tp-e/QT, and Tp-e/QTc parameters related to arrhythmia risk in LC patients has been investigated to date.

Limitations of the Study

The study has several limitations. First of all, the study was designed retrospectively and included a relatively small number of patients. Second, the use of beta-blockers may have affected the more objective evaluation of data on the parameter related to ventricular repolarization. Third, due to the cross-sectional nature of the study, patients in whom the relationship between ventricular arrhythmias and Tp-e interval, Tp-e/QT, and Tp-e/QTc ratio could not be determined were not followed-up for future arrhythmic attacks.

Conclusions

LC has an association with significantly higher Tp-e interval, Tp-e/QT, and Tp-e/QTc ratio indices, which are ECG parameters related to ventricular arrhythmia risk, compared to normal healthy individuals, and there is also a significant association between end-stage LC and electrophysiological abnormalities. The prognostic significance of these indices in patients with LC needs further evaluation, and if confirmed by larger studies, they can be used as an important prognostic marker, especially in patients with Child C stage or high MELD score.

Conflict of Interest

The Authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

Ethics Approval

The study was approved by the local Ethics Committee of Kırıkkale University (Decision number: 2022.06.19).

Informed Consent

Informed written consent was obtained from all participants.

Availability of Data and Materials

Data and materials used during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Funding

None.

Authors' Contributions

Concept: İ.H.İ, S.B; Design: İ.H.İ, S.B, C.Ş, E.P; Supervision: S.B, İ.H.İ, Materials: S.B, İ.H.İ; Data Collection and/ or Processing: S.B, C.Ş, E.P; Analysis and/or Interpretation: S.B, İ.H.İ, C.Ş, E.P; Literature Review: İ.H.İ, E.P; Writing: İ.H.İ; Critical Review: S.B, İ.H.İ, C.Ş, E.P.

References

- Schuppan D, Afdhal NH. Liver cirrhosis. Lancet 2008; 37: 838-851.
- Wu QM, Zhao XY, You H. Quantitative fibrosis parameters highly predict esophageal-gastro varices in primary biliary cirrhosis. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2016; 20: 1037-1043.
- Wong MCS, Huang J. The growing burden of liver cirrhosis: implications for preventive measures. Hepatol Int 2018; 12: 201-203.
- Nusrat S, Khan MS, Fazili J, Madhoun MF. Cirrhosis and its complications: evidence based treatment. World J Gastroenterol 2014; 20: 5442-5460.
- Hepatobiliary Disease Study Group, Chinese Society of Gastroenterology, Chinese Medical Association. Consensus for management of portal vein thrombosis in liver cirrhosis (2020, Shanghai). J Dig Dis 2021; 22: 176-186.
- Benz F, Mohr R, Tacke F, Roderburg C. Pulmonary Complications in Patients with Liver Cirrhosis. J Transl Int Med 2020; 8: 150-158.
- Cammarota S, Citarella A, Bernardi FF, Claar E, Fogliasecca M, Manzo V, Rosato V, Toraldo B, Trama U, Valente A, Conti V. Burden of compensated and decompensated cirrhosis: real world data from an Italian population-based cohort study. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2021; 25: 4490-4498.

- Stelmach A, Słowik Ł, Cichoń B, Lemm M, Poński M, Kaszuba M, Bakon I, Witek A. Esophageal varices during pregnancy in the course of cirrhosis. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2020; 24: 9615-9617.
- 9) Møller S, Bernardi M. Interactions of the heart and the liver. Eur Heart J 2013; 34: 2804-2811.
- 10) Alqahtani SA, Fouad TR, Lee SS. Cirrhotic cardiomyopathy. Semin Liver Dis 2008; 28: 59-69.
- Fede G, Privitera G, Tomaselli T, Spadaro L, Purrello F. Cardiovascular dysfunction in patients with liver cirrhosis. Ann Gastroenterol 2015; 28: 31-40.
- 12) Mozos I. Arrhythmia risk in liver cirrhosis. World J Hepatol 2015; 7: 662-672.
- Milovanovic B, Milinic N, Trifunovic D, Krotin M, Filipovic B, Bisenic V, Djuric D. Autonomic dysfunction in alcoholic cirrhosis and its relation to sudden cardiac death risk predictors. Gen Physiol Biophys 2009; 28: 251-261.
- 14) Tsiompanidis E, Siakavellas SI, Tentolouris A, Eleftheriadou I, Chorepsima S, Manolakis A, Oikonomou K, Tentolouris N. Liver cirrhosis-effect on QT interval and cardiac autonomic nervous system activity. World J Gastrointest Pathophysiol 2018; 9: 28-36.
- 15) Koshy AN, Gow PJ, Testro A, Teh AW, Ko J, Lim HS, Han HC, Weinberg L, VanWagner LB, Farouque O. Relationship between QT interval prolongation and structural abnormalities in cirrhotic cardiomyopathy: A change in the current paradigm. Am J Transplant 2021; 21: 2240-2245.
- Bernardi M, Maggioli C, Dibra V, Zaccherini G. QT interval prolongation in liver cirrhosis: innocent bystander or serious threat? Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2012; 6: 57-66.
- 17) Akboga MK, Yuksel M, Balci KG, Kaplan M, Cay S, Gokbulut V, Yayla C, Ertem AG, Ayhan MA, Topaloglu S, Aras D. Tp-e Interval, Tp-e/QTc Ratio, and Fragmented QRS Are Correlated with the Severity of Liver Cirrhosis. Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol 2017; 22: e12359.
- Brancatelli G, Federle MP, Ambrosini R, Lagalla R, Carriero A, Midiri M, Vilgrain V. Cirrhosis: CT and MR imaging evaluation. Eur J Radiol 2007; 61: 57-69.
- Goyal N, Jain N, Rachapalli V, Cochlin DL, Robinson M. Non-invasive evaluation of liver cirrhosis using ultrasound. Clin Radiol 2009; 64: 1056-1066.
- Berzigotti A, Seijo S, Reverter E, Bosch J. Assessing portal hypertension in liver diseases. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2013; 7: 141-155.
- Durand F, Valla D. Assessment of the prognosis of cirrhosis: Child-Pugh versus MELD. J Hepatol 2005; 42: S100-S107.
- 22) Kamath PS, Kim WR; Advanced Liver Disease Study Group. The model for end-stage liver disease (MELD). Hepatology 2007; 45: 797-805.
- 23) Douglas PS, Carabello BA, Lang RM, Lopez L, Pellikka PA, Picard MH, Thomas JD, Varghese P, Wang TY, Weissman NJ, Wilgus R. 2019 ACC/ AHA/ASE Key Data Elements and Definitions for

Transthoracic Echocardiography: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Data Standards (Writing Committee to Develop Cardiovascular Endpoints Data Standards) and the American Society of Echocardiography. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 2019; 12: e000027.

- Zhang Y, Post WS, Blasco-Colmenares E, Dalal D, Tomaselli GF, Guallar E. Electrocardiographic QT interval and mortality: a meta-analysis. Epidemiology 2011; 22: 660-670.
- Tse G, Yan BP. Traditional and novel electrocardiographic conduction and repolarization markers of sudden cardiac death. Europace 2017; 19: 712-721.
- 26) Gupta P, Patel C, Patel H, Narayanaswamy S, Malhotra B, Green JT, Yan GX. T(p-e)/QT ratio as an index of arrhythmogenesis. J Electrocardiol 2008; 41: 567-574.
- 27) Hidayet Ş, Demir V, Turan Y, Gürel G, Taşolar MH. Evaluation of Tp-e interval, Tp-e/QT ratio, and Tp-e/QTc ratio in patients with Behçet's disease. Anatol J Cardiol 2019; 22: 85-90.
- 28) Akın A, Unal E, Yıldırım R, Ture M, Balık H, Haspolat YKJP. Evaluation of qt dispersion and tp-e interval in children with subclinical hypothyroidism. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2018; 41: 372-375.
- 29) Tokatli A, Kiliçaslan F, Alis M, Yiginer O, Uzun M. Prolonged Tp-e Interval, Tp-e/QT Ratio and Tp-e/ QTc Ratio in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Endocrinol Metab (Seoul) 2016; 31: 105-112.
- 30) Onur ST, Emet S, Surmen S, Kara K, Kose M, Oflaz H, Onur I. A novel parameter for the diagnosis of acute pulmonary embolism: the T-wave peakto-end interval. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2016; 20: 1566-1570.
- 31) Taşolar H, Ballı M, Çetin M, Otlu YÖ, Altun B, Bayramoğlu A. Effects of the coronary collateral circulation on the Tp-e interval and Tp-e/QT ratio in patients with stable coronary artery disease. Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol 2015; 20: 53-61.
- 32) Yenerçağ M, Arslan U. Tp-e interval and Tp-e/ QT ratio and their association with left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in Fabry disease without left ventricular hypertrophy. J Electrocardiol 2020; 59: 20-24.
- 33) Akboğa MK, Gülcihan Balcı K, Yılmaz S, Aydın S, Yayla Ç, Ertem AG, Ünal S, Balcı MM, Balbay Y, Aras D, Topaloğlu S. Tp-e interval and Tp-e/ QTc ratio as novel surrogate markers for prediction of ventricular arrhythmic events in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Anatol J Cardiol 2017; 18: 48-53.
- 34) Toma L, Stanciu AM, Zgura A, Bacalbasa N, Diaconu C, Iliescu L. Electrocardiographic Changes in Liver Cirrhosis-Clues for Cirrhotic Cardiomyopathy. Medicina (Kaunas) 2020; 56: 68.
- 35) Ma Z, Meddings JB, Lee SS. Membrane physical properties determine cardiac beta-adrenergic receptor function in cirrhotic rats. Am J Physiol 1994; 267: G87-G93.

- Kupari M, Koskinen P. Alcohol, cardiac arrhythmias and sudden death. Novartis Found Symp 1998; 216: 68-79.
- 37) Kim SM, George B, Alcivar-Franco D, Campbell CL, Charnigo R, Delisle B, Hundley J, Darrat Y, Morales G, Elayi SC, Bailey AL. Qt prolongation is associated with increased mortality in end stage liver disease. World J Cardiol 2017; 9: 347.
- 38) Hirose S, Makiyama T, Melgari D, Yamamoto Y, Wuriyanghai Y, Yokoi F, Nishiuchi S, Harita T, Hayano M, Kohjitani H, Gao J, Kashiwa A, Nishikawa M, Wu J, Yoshimoto J, Chonabayashi K, Ohno S, Yoshida Y, Horie M, Kimura T. Propranolol Attenuates Late Sodium Current in a Long QT Syndrome Type 3-Human Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell Model. Front Cell Dev Biol 2020; 8: 761.