

Platelet interaction with activated endothelium

Citation for published version (APA):

Coenen, D. M., Mastenbroek, T. G., & Cosemans, J. M. E. M. (2017). Platelet interaction with activated endothelium: mechanistic insights from microfluidics. Blood, 130(26), 2819-2828. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2017-04-780825

Document status and date: Published: 28/12/2017

DOI: 10.1182/blood-2017-04-780825

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license: Taverne

Please check the document version of this publication:

 A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

 The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these riahts.

· Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Platelet interaction with activated endothelium: mechanistic insights from microfluidics

Daniëlle M. Coenen, Tom G. Mastenbroek, and Judith M. E. M. Cosemans

Department of Biochemistry, CARIM School for Cardiovascular Research, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands

Traditionally, in vitro flow chamber experiments and in vivo arterial thrombosis studies have been proved to be of vital importance to elucidate the mechanisms of platelet thrombus formation after vessel wall injury. In recent years, it has become clear that platelets also act as modulators of inflammatory processes, such as atherosclerosis. A key element herein is the complex cross talk between platelets, the coagulation system, leukocytes, and the activated endothelium. This review provides insight into the plateletendothelial interface, based on in vitro flow chamber studies and cross referenced with in vivo thrombosis studies. The main mechanisms of platelet interaction with the activated endothelium encompass (1) platelet rolling via interaction of platelet glycoprotein Ib-IX-V with endothelial-released von Willebrand factor with a supporting role for the P-selectin/P-selectin glycoprotein ligand 1 axis, followed by (2) firm platelet adhesion to the endothelium via interaction of platelet $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ with endothelial $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and intercellular adhesion molecule 1, and (3) a stimulatory role for thrombin,

the thrombospondin-1/CD36 axis and cyclooxygenase 1 in subsequent platelet activation and stable thrombus formation. In addition, the molecular mechanisms underlying the stimulatory effect of platelets on leukocyte transendothelial migration, a key mediator of atheroprogression, are discussed. Throughout the review, emphasis is placed on recommendations for setting up, reporting, interpreting, and comparing endothelial-lined flow chamber studies and suggestions for future studies. (*Blood.* 2017;130(26):2819-2828)

Introduction

Under physiological conditions, platelets, the coagulation system and the endothelium function in favor of each other. Platelets maintain the integrity of the endothelium, while this endothelium releases nitric oxide and prostacyclin and expresses the ectonucleotidase CD39 to keep platelets in a resting state.¹ Additionally, platelets arrest bleeding (hemostasis) after vessel wall injury by forming a thrombus. Our understanding of the reactions leading to thrombus formation after injury of a healthy, nonatherosclerotic artery has been largely based on in vitro flow chamber studies with human and mouse blood and in vivo mouse studies, with the main processes being platelet activation, thrombin generation, and fibrin clot formation.² In spite of different characteristics of mouse platelets in comparison with human platelets, such as the lack of the protease activated receptor-1 (PAR-1) receptor, an approximately twofold higher plasma concentration, and a shorter life span,³ the thrombus forming process of human and mouse platelets over surfaces coated with collagen occurs via similar mechanisms.^{4,5} Moreover, the experimental outcome of these flow chamber experiments correlates well with the outcome of in vivo arterial thrombosis models.⁶ Commonly used schemes of hemostasis start with platelet adhesion to von Willebrand factor (VWF), which is facilitated by the high shear rate at the arterial vessel wall. Subsequent activation of platelets is promoted by the release of paracrine mediators, like adenosine diphosphate (ADP) and thromboxane.² Thrombin, generated at the surface of a subpopulation of procoagulant platelets and at disrupted subendothelial membranes, activates platelets and cleaves fibrinogen into fibrin,^{5,7} promoting thrombus growth and stabilization.⁴ Thrombin also activates a negative feedback loop to suppress its own generation by binding to endothelial-expressed thrombomodulin. Thrombomodulinbound thrombin proteolytically activates the anticoagulant factor protein C, which is also bound to the endothelium via endothelial protein C receptor. Activated protein C inactivates coagulation factors Va and VIIIa leading to dampening of thrombin generation.²

Under inflammatory conditions, the cross talk between platelets, the coagulation system, and the endothelium is no longer favorable but is thought to exacerbate inflammation.^{8,9} Although some players in this cross talk have been identified, the puzzle is far from complete. A key aspect in the cross talk appears to be the stimulatory effect of platelets and platelet-derived microvesicles on leukocyte transendothelial migration, which is a known mediator of atheroprogression. Platelets interact via P-selectin with leukocyte P-selectin glycoprotein ligand 1 (PSGL-1). The platelet-leukocyte interaction is strengthened via interaction of leukocyte $\alpha_M \beta_2$ integrin with platelet receptors glycoprotein Ib (GPIb), junctional adhesion molecule A or junctional adhesion molecule C, or $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ (via fibrinogen).¹⁰⁻¹³ Vice versa, leukocytes can secrete substances, like human neutrophil peptides,14 that induce platelet activation. An important consequence of these cellular interactions is platelet-, leukocyte-, and endothelial cell (EC)–granular secretion, leading to the release of soluble constituents and to an increased surface expression of membrane receptors, which fuels a complex and vicious proinflammatory circle. Interestingly, platelets can also actively deposit granular constituents on the inflamed endothelium, as demonstrated for the chemokines CCL5 (RANTES) and CXCL4 (platelet factor 4). The presence of CXCL4 was found to enhance the CCL5-induced arrest of leukocytes on activated ECs.15 For a more in-depth description about the role of chemokines in inflammatory and thrombotic processes, the authors refer to recent reviews by van der Vorst et al,¹⁶ Koenen,¹⁷ and Soehlein et al.¹⁸

A true challenge for the future is not to obtain new pieces of the puzzle, but to integrate the mechanistic evidence and identify the main players in this cross talk in the human system. This review article

Submitted 24 April 2017; accepted 3 October 2017. Prepublished online as *Blood* First Edition paper, 10 October 2017; DOI 10.1182/blood-2017-04-780825.

The online version of this article contains a data supplement.

^{© 2017} by The American Society of Hematology



Figure 1. Key methodological variables in endothelial-lined flow chamber studies. Flexibility in flow chamber design has resulted in a range of different endothelial-lined flow chamber setups. Listed here are key variables between those studies: (1) Flow chamber dimensions: to achieve laminar flow, a height/width ratio of <0.2 is recommended. (2) Presence/absence of RBCs: RBCs are required for platelet margination and may influence the efficacy of certain antithrombotic agents, like dipyridamole. (3) Culturing of ECs under static or shear stress conditions: ECs change phenotype upon sensing mechanical stress; hence, culturing under shear stress is advised. (4) Presence/absence of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} levels are required for integrin function and for coagulation to take place. (5) Different EC sources: distinctive endothelial heterogeneity throughout the vasculature illustrates the importance of using an EC source appropriate to the research question. In addition, the expression level of several proteins that are involved in thrombus formation is affected by the passage number. (6) Confluency of EC layer: should be reported as a lack of confluency may trigger thrombus formation, because of exposure of subendothelial matrix components to the blood. Additional clarification is provided in the text. To enable comparison between studies, we encourage reporting the variables specified in this figure.

provides a means to do so with regard to the direct cell-cell interactions between platelets and the endothelium.

Status quo of endothelial-lined flow chamber studies: blood compartment

Flow chamber studies into mechanisms of platelet-endothelial interactions have been rapidly emerging over recent years. Although technical advances in microfluidics have increased flexibility in flow chamber design, this has also hampered standardization and comparison of results between studies.^{19,20} In this paragraph, key variables between flow chamber studies are listed, and it is explained how these variables could influence the platelet-endothelial interface (Figure 1). Strikingly, a major potential source of variation, flow channel dimension, is only reported in a minority of papers. Grosso modo platelets can undergo 2 types of interactions: platelet-platelet interactions and platelet-surface interactions. The transition between situations in which platelet-surface interactions or platelet-platelet interactions dominate is a function of channel size and aspect ratio (height/width) with values of <0.2are being recommended to achieve constant shear stress, and thus laminar flow, across the middle of the adhesive surface.²⁰ Under these physiological conditions, red blood cells (RBCs) become centered in the middle of the vessel/flow chamber and push platelets towards the wall of the flow chamber (ie, so-called platelet margination). Importantly, RBCs may also influence the efficacy of antithrombotic agents. For example, the antithrombotic effects of the phosphodiesterase type 5 (PDE5) inhibitor dipyridamole are largely attributed to its inhibition of adenosine reuptake by RBCs and to a lesser extent to its inhibition of platelet PDE5.²¹ Hence, having RBCs present in the blood sample is of key importance to mimic the physiological process of platelet adhesion under flow, and, in general, superior to the use of platelet-rich plasma. The fact that there are no clear differences observed in study outcomes between studies with whole blood vs platelet-rich plasma (Table 1) does not impact the importance of having RBCs present, if only because several other factors also vary between these studies.

The type of anticoagulant used and in particular whether the anticoagulant does (eg, citrate) or does not (eg, hirudin, PPACK) remove divalent cations forms another potential source of variation. The adhesive properties of integrins $\alpha_2\beta_1$ and $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ are quite sensitive to the extracellular levels of divalent cations, because of their Ca²⁺ and/ or Mg²⁺ binding domains, which control ligand binding.²² Indeed, Rataj et al²³ reported reduced thrombus coverage in the presence of citrate when compared with heparin anticoagulated whole blood. Even with physiological Ca2+ and Mg2+ levels present (eg, in heparinanticoagulated blood), one has to be aware that, although integrin function is no longer impaired, the coagulation process is still blocked. As a consequence, the role of thrombin, a key protease in the coagulation cascade, and also a potent platelet activator, is not taken into account. Importantly, thrombin, and coagulation factors Xa, activated protein C, and the tissue factor/factor VIIa complex, also interact with PAR-1 on the endothelium, thereby promoting the release of endothelial-derived VWF and the exposure of tissue factor and P-selectin at the endothelial surface.² Using a method where native non-anticoagulated whole blood was perfused directly from the vein of the donor through the flow chamber, Kirchhofer et al²⁴ were the first to demonstrate that inhibition of thrombin led to greatly reduced platelet deposition, and fibrin deposition, on TNF- α -stimulated ECs under flow. Since then, to the best of our knowledge, only 2 groups have studied the contribution of the coagulation system to thrombus formation and/or channel occlusion in endothelium-lined flow chambers. Harris et al²⁵ found a strong reduction in platelet surface area coverage and thrombus volume upon treatment of heparinized whole blood with the thrombin inhibitor bivalirudin, suggesting that anticoagulation with heparin did not suffice to block thrombin generation in this model where human blood was perfused over porcine ECs. Ciciliano et al²⁶ recalcified citrated blood to allow coagulation to occur and observed reduced channel occlusion with hirudin and heparin in this setup. In contrast, in collagen-lined flow chambers the interplay between coagulation and platelets has been more extensively characterized, as reviewed elsewhere.⁵ The variables reported here (Figure 1) are not an exhaustive list, and other differences affect results, as reported elsewhere.27-29

Target	Intervention	Flow chamber	Shear rate	EC source	EC treatment	Platelet source	Effect intervention on thrombus formation	Reference
αs	CBL497 Ab	Parallel plate	2.5 dynes/cm ²	HUVECS	Histamine	WPs, fixed	Platelet-VWF strings =	44*
α ₆	GoH3 Ab	Parallel plate	150/s	HUVECS	Heat	WB	Platelet aggregate volume ↓	40
ανβ3	LM609 Ab	Parallel plate	2.5 dynes/cm ²	HUVECs	Histamine	WPs, fixed	Platelet-VWF strings = , VWF strings =	44*
α _{llb} β ₃ /α _v β ₃	Abciximab (7E3, ReoPro)	Parallel plate	1000/s	HUVECS	$IFN-\gamma + TNF-\alpha$	WPs	Platelet SAC ↓	59
	Abciximab (7E3, ReoPro)	Parallel plate	50 dynes/cm ²	pPAECs	Serum	WB	Platelet SAC ↓	46
	Abciximab (7E3, ReoPro)	Parallel plate	750/s	HUVECs, fixated	$TNF-\alpha$	WB	Platelet SAC ↓	34
	Abciximab (7E3, ReoPro)	Capillary, rectangular	100/s	pHUVECs	$TNF-\alpha + TGF-\beta$	WPs + RBCs	Platelet SAC ↓	58
	Abciximab (7E3, ReoPro)	Bioflux 1000	5 dynes/cm ²	PAECs	Xenoactivation	WB	Platelet SAC, platelet aggregate volume ↓	25
	Abciximab (7E3, ReoPro)	Parallel plate	150/s	HUVECs	Heat	WB	Platelet aggregate volume ↓	40
	β_3 function neutralizing Ab	Capillary, rectangular	140/s	pHUVECs + pSMCs	TGF- _{β1}	WB	Platelet SAC ↓	47
α _{llb} β ₃	Eptifibatide (Integrilin)	μ-slide VI	50/s	LECs	None	WB	Stable platelet-EC interactions = , platelet SAC \uparrow	71
	Eptifibatide (Integrilin)	Branching microchannel	1-4, 10-40 dynes/cm ²	HUVECs	STX-2	WB	Platelet aggregate volume ↓, channel	60
							occlusion ↓	
	Eptifibatide (Integrilin)	Branching microchannel	4 dynes/cm ²	HUVECs	FeCI ₃	WB	Channel occlusion ↓	26
	Lotrafiban	μ-slide VI	50/s	LECs	None	WB, mouse	Platelet SAC =	71
	MA-16N7C2 Ab	Parallel plate	24 dynes/cm ²	Ea.hy926	PLPC	WB, rec.†	Platelet translocation = , number of adhered	43
							platelets ↓	
	P2 mAb	SPAA	170/s	HUVECs	None	PRP, ADP stimulated	Platelet SAC ↓	57
	P2 mAb	Cone and plate, adapted	250/s	pBAECs	None	PRP, TRAP-6	Number of adhered platelets ↓	56
						stimulated		
	RGDS peptide	Parallel plate	2.5 dynes/cm ²	HUVECS	Histamine	WPs, fixed	Platelet-VWF strings ↓, VWF strings ↓	44*
	RGDS peptide	SPAA	170/s	HUVECs	None	PRP, ADP stimulated	Platelet SAC ↓	57
	Ro 43-8857	SPAA	170/s	HUVECS	None	PRP, ADP stimulated	Platelet SAC ↓	57
	TAK-029	Not indicated	10/s	HBECs	None	PRP, ADP stimulated	Number of adhered platelets ↓	85
	TAK-029	Not indicated	10/s	HAECS	None	PRP, ADP stimulated	Number of adhered platelets ↓	86
ADAMTS13	ADAMTS13 function	Capillary, rectangular	400/s	pHUVECs	TNF- α +	WPs + RBCs	VWF strings \uparrow , platelets in VWF strings \uparrow	58
	neutralizing pAb				TGF-β			
C5	Eculizumab	Bioflux 200	3, 10 dynes/cm ²	pPAECs	Xenoactivation	PRP	Platelet SAC ↓	23
	Eculizumab	Bioflux 200	3, 10 dynes/cm ²	GTKO/hCD46- nPAFCs	Xenoactivation	PRP	Platelet SAC ↓	23
CD36	E46 152 m4h	l aboratory. Tak 1	100/s	Fa hv926	TNF-~	WB rec	Platelet SAC I	ЯR
	Aconict: AN11	Laboratory Tok 1	100/s	Ea hydo6	TNE-2	WB, 100.		SE CO
100		Develled whether I above the 1	100/6	Ea himage	The	AM D		200
		Parallal alata	100/2					
	C047	Parallel plate	100/5	Ea.nyazo	INF-a	WB, mouse		ço
CLEC-2	Clec2 ^{-/-}	μ-slide VI	50/s	LECs	None	WB, mouse	Platelet SAC ↓	71
COX-1	Aspirin	Branching microchannel	4 dynes/cm ²	HUVECs	FeCI ₃	WB	Channel occlusion ↓	26
F-actin	Cytochalasin D	μ-slide VI	50/s	LECs	None	WB	Platelet SAC ↓	71
All platel	et sources are of human origin un	nless indicated otherwise. Decreas	sed (↓); no change (=); ir	creased (†).		-		-
Ab, antib	odv: ADAMTS13. a disinteorin ar	nd metalloproteinase with a thromb	nosnondin tyne 1 motif m	ambar 12. AB adanceir	ATA	- A - hino oil and on the second of A	rn odereciae tuickeesteten nn Arn herdre suide	100400.0000

HAEC, human aortic endothelial cell; HBEC, human brain microvascular endothelial cell; HUVEC, human umbilical vein endothelial cell; IL, interleukin; INF-y, interferon-y; LEC, lymphatic endothelial cell; mAP, monoconal antibody; pAA, polyclonal antibody; PAEC, porcine aortic endothelial cell; BAEC, primary bovine aortic endothelial cell; PLPC, palmitoyilysophosphatidylcholine; PLC/2, phosholipase γ^2 ; PMA, photohol-12-myristate-13-acetate; pPAEC, primary bovine aortic endothelial cell; PUVEC, primary human umbilical vein endothelial cell; rec. reconstituted; RGDS, arginine glycine asparagine serine; SAC, surface area coverage; SIRPa, signal regulatory protein o; SPAA, stagnation point flow adhesio-aggregometer; STX-2, shiga toxin 2; TGF-81, transforming growth factor β1; TNF-a, tumor necrosis factor o; TRAP-6, thrombin receptor-activating peptide 6; VCAM-1, endothelial cell; C5, complement component; CLEC-2, c-type lectinlike receptor 2; COX-1, cyclooxygenase 1; GTKO/hCD46-pPAEC, alpha 1.3-galactosyltransferase deficient/human CD46 transgenic-primary porcine aortic endothelial cell; vascular cell adhesion molecule 1; VWD, von Willebrand disease; WB, whole blood; WP, washed platelet.

*No statistics applied.

†Spiked with TRAP-6-activated platelets.

Table 1. (c	continued)							
Target	Intervention	Flow chamber	Shear rate	EC source	EC treatment	Platelet source	Effect intervention on thrombus formation	Reference
GPIb∝	6D1 Ab	Parallel plate	2.5 dynes/cm ²	HUVECs	Histamine	WPs, fixed	Platelet-VWF strings ↓, VWF strings =	44*
	AK2, SZ2 mAb's	Parallel plate	2 dynes/cm ²	pHUVECs	Histamine	WPs + RBCs	Platelet translocation ↓	33
	AK2 mAb	Parallel plate	2.5 dynes/cm ²	pHUVECs	Histamine	WPs	Platelet-VWF strings ↓	39
	AK2 mAb	Branching microchannel	10-30 dynes/cm ²	HUVECs	Shear	WB, rec.	Platelet adhesion ↓	45
	ATA	Parallel plate	50 dynes/cm ²	pPAECs	Serum	WB	Platelet SAC ↓	46
	G19H10 Ab	Parallel plate	24 dynes/cm ²	Ea.hy926	PLPC	WB, rec.†	Platelet translocation ↓, number of adhered	43
							platelets ↓	
	GPIb∞ function blocking Ab	Parallel plate	150/s	HUVECs	Heat	WB	Platelet aggregate volume ↓	40
	GPIb ₆ function neutralizing Ab	Capillary, rectangular	140/s	pHUVECs + pSMCs	TGF- _β 1	WB	Platelet SAC ↓	47
	GUR20-5 Ab	Not indicated	10/s	HBECs	None	PRP, ADP stimulated	Number of adhered platelets =	85
	GUR20-5 Ab	Not indicated	10/s	HAECs	None	PRP, ADP stimulated	Number of adhered platelets =	86
	IL4-R/Iba	Branching microchannel	4 dynes/cm ²	HUVECs	FeCl ₃	WB, mouse	Channel occlusion ↓	26
	SZ2 mAb	Parallel plate	1000/s	HUVECs	IFN- γ + TNF- α	WPs	Platelet SAC ↓	59
	SZ2 mAb	Capillary, rectangular	100/s	pHUVECs	$TNF-_{\alpha} + TGF-_{\beta}$	WPs + RBCs	Platelet SAC ↓	58
PAR-1	Agonist: TRAP-6	Parallel plate	310/s	Ea.hy926	None	WB, rec.	Number of adhered platelets \uparrow	48
	Agonist: TRAP-6	Parallei plate	24 dynes/cm ²	Ea.hy926	PLPC	WB, rec.†	Platelet translocation ↓, number of adhered platelets ↑	43
P2X1	Agonist: ATP	Rectangular	Not indicated	BPAECs	None	WPs	Number of adhered platelets \downarrow (ATP <50 nM), \uparrow (ATP >100 nM)	64
	Agonist: RBC derived ATP	Rectangular	Not indicated	BPAECs	None	WPs + RBCs	Number of adhered platelets ↓	64
	Glibenclamide	Rectangular	Not indicated	BPAECs	None	WPs + RBCs	Number of adhered platelets \uparrow	64
PDE/AR	Theophylline	Capillary, rectangular	140/s	pHUVECs	TGF-B1	WB	Platelet SAC ↓	47
P-selectin	Agonist: soluble P-selectin	Parallel plate	2.5 dynes/cm ²	HUVECs	Histamine	WPs, fixed	Platelet-VWF strings ↓, VWF strings =	44*
	AK-6 mAb	Cone and plate, adapted	250/s	pBAECs	None	PRP, TRAP-6 stimulated	Number of adhered platelets ↓	56
	CLB-Thromb/6 mAb	Parallel plate	24 dynes/cm ²	Ea.hy926	PLPC	WB, rec.†	Platelet translocation ↓, number of adhered	43
	pAb	Parallel plate	2 dynes/cm ²	pHUVECs	Histamine	WPs + RBCs	Platelet translocation ↓	33
	pAb	Parallel plate	2.5 dynes/cm ²	HUVECs	Histamine	WPs, fixed	Platelet-VWF strings = , VWF strings =	44*
	WASP12.2	Not indicated	6 dynes/cm ²	HUVECs	TNF-α	WPs	Number of adherent platelets ↓	50
Rac	EHT1864	μ-slide VI	50/s	LECs	None	WB	Platelet SAC =	71
SIRPα	SE5A5 mAb	Laboratory-Tek 1	100/s	Ea.hy926	TNF-α	WB, rec.	Platelet SAC =	65
Src	Dasatinib	μ-slide VI	50/s	LECs	None	WB	Stable platelet-EC interactions $\downarrow,$ platelet SAC \downarrow	71
	Dasatinib	μ-slide VI	50/s	LECs	None	WB, mouse	Platelet SAC ↓	71
Syk	PRT060318	μ-slide VI	50/s	LECs	None	WB	Stable platelet-EC interactions 4, platelet SAC =	71
	Syk ^{-/-}	μ-slide VI	50/s	LECs	None	WB, mouse	Platelet SAC ↓	71
1-4-1- IIV								

All platelet sources are of human orgin unless indicated ornerwise. Decreaseu (1), ino criariye (--), increaseu (1), i polyclonal antibody; PAEC, porcine aortic endothelial cell; pBAEC, primary bovine aortic endothelial cell; pHUVEC, primary human umbilical vein endothelial cell; PLPC, palmitoyllysophosphatidylcholine; PLCy2, phosholipase γ^2 ; PMA, phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate; PAEC, primary porcine aortic endothelial cell; PRP, platelet-rich plasma, pSMC, primary smooth muscle cell; rec., reconstituted; RGDS, arginine glycine asparagine serine; SAC, surface area coverage; SIRPα, signal regulatory protein α; SPAA, stagnation point flow adhesio-aggregometer; STX-2, shiga toxin 2; TGF-β1, transforming growth factor β1; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor α; TRAP-6, thrombin receptor-activating peptide 6; VCAM-1, vascular cell adhesion molecule 1; VWD, von Willebrand disease; WB, whole blood; WP, washed platelet. HAEC, human aortic endothelial cell; HBEC, human brain microvascular endothelial cell; HUVEC, human umbilical vein endothelial cell; IL, interferon-y; LEC, lymphatic endothelial cell; mAb, monoclonal antibody; pAb,

*No statistics applied.

†Spiked with TRAP-6-activated platelets.

lable 1. (c	sontinued)							
Target	Intervention	Flow chamber	Shear rate	EC source	EC treatment	Platelet source	Effect intervention on thrombus formation	Reference
Thrombin	Bivalirudin	Bioflux 1000	5 dynes/cm ²	PAECs	Xenoactivation	WB	Platelet SAC \downarrow , platelet aggregate volume \downarrow	25
	Hirudin, heparin	Branching microchannel	4 dynes/cm ²	HUVECs	FeCl ₃	WB	Channel occlusion ↓	26
	Ro 46-6240, hirudin, heparin	Parallel plate	65/s	pHUVECs	$TNF-\alpha$	WB	Number of platelet aggregates ↓	24
VCAM-1	1.4C3 Ab	Capillary, rectangular	100/s	pHUVECs	$TNF-\alpha + TGF-\beta$	WPs + RBCs	Platelet SAC =	58
WF	6G1 mAb	Parallel plate	2.5 dynes/cm ²	pHUVECs	Histamine	WPs	Platelet-VWF strings ↓	39
	AJvW-2 Ab	Parallel plate	24 dynes/cm ²	Ea.hy926	PLPC	WB, rec.†	Platelet translocation 4, number of adhered	43
							platelets ↓	
	AJvW-2 Ab	Not indicated	24 dynes/cm ²	Aorta, rabbit	Cholesterol diet	WB, rec.†	Platelet translocation 4, number of adhered	43
							platelets ↓	
	VWD type 3	Branching microchannel	4 dynes/cm ²	HUVECs	FeCl ₃	WB, rec.	Channel occlusion ↓	26

All platelet sources are of human origin unless indicated otherwise. Decreased (U); no change (=); increased (1)

endothelial cell; HUVEC, human umbilical vein endothelial cell; IL, interfeukin; INF-y, interferon-y; LEC, lymphatic endothelial cell; mAb, monoclonal antibody; pAb, phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate; PAEC, primary porcine aortic endothelial cell; PRP, platelet-rich plasma; pSMC, primary smooth muscle cell; rec., reconstituted; RGDS, arginine glycine asparagine serine; SAC, surface area coverage; SIRPa, signal regulatory protein a; SPAA, stagnation point flow adhesio-aggregometer; STX-2, shiga toxin 2; TGF-B1, transforming growth factor B1; TNF-a, tumor necrosis factor a; TRAP-6, thrombin receptor-activating peptide 6; VCAM-1, antibody; ADAMTS13, a disintegrin and metalloproteinase with a thrombospondin type 1 motif, member 13; AR, adenosine receptor; ATA, aurin tricarboxylic acid; ATP, adenosine triphosphate; BPAEC, bovine pulmonary arten component; CLEC-2, c-type lectinlike receptor 2; COX-1, cyclooxygenase 1; GTKO/hCD46-pPAEC, alpha 1.3-galactosyltransferase deficient/human CD46 transgenic-primary porcine aortic endothelial cell polyclonal antibody; PAEC, porcine aortic endothelial cell; pBAEC, primary bovine aortic endothelial cell; pHUVEC, primary human umbilical vein endothelial cell; PLPC, parmitoyllysophosphatidylcholine; PLCy2, phosholipase γ^2 ; PMA vascular cell adhesion molecule 1; VWD, von Willebrand disease; WB, whole blood; WP, washed platelet. cell: HBEC, human brain microvascular complement HAEC, human aortic endothelial endothelial cell; C5, Ab.

*No statistics applied. †Spiked with TRAP-6-activated platelets. Status quo of endothelial-lined flow chamber studies: endothelial compartment

Regarding the endothelial compartment, the following key aspects often vary between studies: culturing of ECs under shear vs static conditions, the type of stimulus used to trigger EC activation, the source and passage number of ECs, and the confluency of the EC layer (Figure 1). ECs sense mechanical stresses through several proteins that are also involved in the interaction of ECs with platelets and leukocytes, for example, $\beta 2$ and $\beta 3$ integrins, platelet and endothelial cell adhesion molecule 1, vascular endothelial cadherin, G-protein coupled receptors, and the cytoskeleton itself,³⁰ triggering a differential regulation of \sim 3% to 6% of endothelial genes.³¹ Following a shear stress transcriptional response, initiated by these receptors, 3 major types of responses can occur in ECs: (1) a detrimental, immediate response leading to the induction of endothelial activation; (2) a protective, chronic response causing suppression of endothelial activation, anti-inflammatory effectors, and morphologic adaptation to flow; or (3) a dysfunctional response to disturbed stresses leading to endothelial activation, inflammation, and atherogenesis.³² TNF- α is the most frequently used EC stimulus in flow chamber studies (supplemental Table 1, available on the Blood Web site). In response, ECs release VWF and present several effector proteins on their membrane, such as E-selectin, P-selectin, intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1), VCAM-1, and tissue factor.^{33,34} Alternatively, PMA, TGF-B1, STX-2, IFN-y, cholesterol, FeCl₃, and heat have been used to activate or damage the endothelium (supplemental Table 1). To our knowledge, no comprehensive comparison of cellular changes in ECs has been made between these agonists.

Of those genes that are influenced by the shear stress transcriptional response, the following nonexhaustive subset is involved in hemostasis and thrombosis: thrombomodulin, tissue factor, endothelial nitric oxide synthase, platelet and endothelial cell adhesion molecule 1, VCAM-1, and P-selectin.³¹ By and large, a pattern of protective antithrombotic changes can be seen when the ECs are cultured under physiological laminar flow patterns, while changes in flow profiles during the experiment are prothrombotic and proatherogenic.³¹ Without flow, the long-term shear induced alterations to EC protein expression and phenotype will likely not present.³⁰ Altogether, the previously mentioned points advocate for culturing ECs under flow conditions that are representative for the vessel and the underlying (patho)physiology. Of note, not only shear stress, but also the interaction of platelets³⁵ or platelet-derived microRNAs^{36,37} with ECs can modulate EC gene expression.

It is important to note that there is distinctive endothelial heterogeneity throughout the vasculature, including differences in tissue factor pathway inhibitor (predominantly in the capillaries), endothelial protein C receptor (large veins and arteries), endothelial nitric oxide synthase (found mostly arterial), and VWF (predominantly present in the veins under physiological conditions).³⁸ The study by Dong et al³⁹ represents one of few studies where different sources of ECs were examined in flow studies in a quantitative manner. They showed that the number of ultralong VWF strings is EC source dependent, with fewer ultralarge VWF strings forming on human coronary artery endothelial cells and human lung microvascular endothelial cells, both used after 4 to 5 passages, than on primary HUVECs and human umbilical artery endothelial cells. It remains to be determined whether the difference in passage number underlies the decreased ultralarge VWF strings formation in human coronary artery endothelial cells and human lung microvascular endothelial cells, or whether this is related to an inherent difference in VWF production.



Figure 2. Schematic overview of the various interactions between platelet and endothelial surface molecules. Platelets interact with activated ECs via the following main mechanisms: (1) Platelet rolling via interaction of platelet GPIb-IX-V with endothelial-released VWF with a supporting role for the P-selectin/PSGL-1 axis. (2) Firm platelet adhesion to the endothelium via interaction of platelet $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ with endothelial $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (via VWF, fibrinogen, fibronectin) and ICAM-1 (via fibrinogen). Alternatively, platelet $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ can also interact with the endothelial GPIb-IX-V (via VWF). (3) A stimulatory role for thrombin and the thrombospondin-1 (TSP-1)/CD36 axis in platelet activation. More detailed information is provided in the text.

Measurements of cell viability and confluence of the EC layer are another set of meaningful quality controls. Because platelets can interact with the extracellular matrix on which ECs are seeded, a lack of confluence can affect the outcome of the study. An example of the latter is provided by Sylman et al,⁴⁰ who used heat to activate ECs and found that the large contribution of α_6 to platelet aggregate formation in their model was because of exposure of the laminin-rich matrix after receding of the EC upon heat treatment. In addition, it is of relevance to report the passage number of the ECs used in the flow studies, because the expression level of several proteins that are involved in thrombus formation, such as galectin 1,^{41,42} are affected by the number of passages. Altogether, a main aim of this review is to increase awareness of the variables that may cause variation in flow chamber studies and to facilitate comparison between studies by reporting these variables.

Mechanisms of platelet rolling over activated endothelium

To integrate the experimental data obtained from flow chamber studies into a unifying model of platelet-EC interaction, in this review, experimental data from flow studies were combined with knowledge obtained from in vivo thrombosis studies. It is thought that the main mechanism of platelet rolling on the activated endothelium is mediated via interaction of platelet GPIb-IX-V complex with VWF,35 with a supporting role for the P-selectin/PSGL-1 axis (Figure 2). Inhibition of GPIb α , in flow chamber experiments, resulted in a shear-dependent reduction in platelet translocation and binding to VWF strings^{33,39,43-45} and is accompanied by a reduction in platelet surface area coverage,^{46,47} aggregate volume,40 and channel occlusion.26 Similar results were obtained upon targeting the A1 domain of VWF,^{39,43} in the presence of VWF-free plasma²⁶ or upon desmopressin treatment of the endothelium.⁴⁸ This fits with the textbook knowledge that the contribution of platelet adhesion to immobilized VWF via GPIb-V-IX is considerably accelerated by high shear forces present in the arterial circulation, as a consequence of conformational changes in the immobilized VWF. Shear rate (per second) and shear stress (in dynes per square centimeter) are the 2 shear variables that are used in flow chamber studies. Shear rate is the rate at which adjacent layers of the blood are moving with respect to each other and increases while approaching the vessel wall. As platelet adhesion occurs at the vessel wall, wall shear rate is meant in this review when talking about shear rate. Shear stress is the (viscous) force of the blood applied on the vessel wall and is a linear function of the wall shear rate and the blood viscosity. For the major vessels of the human circulatory system, the wall shear rate can be compared with the shear stress (Figure 3). The variety in shear between the vessels is partly dependent on the diameter of the vessel (ie, smaller blood vessels have a higher shear rate/stress than bigger blood vessels). An area for future research is to investigate whether platelets have a shear optimum when adhering to the endothelium and, if so, at which wall shear rate this optimum occurs.

In addition to VWF-GPIb, multiple in vivo and in vitro studies also show a role for both platelet PGSL-1 with endothelial P-selectin^{46,49} and platelet P-selectin with endothelial PSGL-1⁵⁰ in mediating platelet rolling and adhesion to activated endothelium. Antibodies against anti-P-selectin have been shown to reduce platelet translocation and binding to VWF strings,44 platelet adhesion,51 and surface area covered⁴⁶ under both low shear (2.5 dynes/cm²) and high shear (50 dynes/cm², 1500/s) flow conditions (Table 1; supplemental Table 2). Although platelets contain ~8900 copies of P-selectin per platelet,⁵² PSGL-1 was shown to be only present in small amounts on the platelet protein level.⁴⁹ Burkhart et al⁵² even failed to detect PGSL-1 in the human platelet proteome. Yet, the latter finding could be influenced by the fact that PSGL-1 is heavily glycosylated, which potentially hampers its digestion by trypsin, and hence the subsequent detection by mass spectrometry. PSGL-1 is functionally expressed, albeit at low levels, by ECs in certain organs under conditions of chronic inflammation.^{50,53} Furthermore, it has been suggested that activated platelets might also use the GPIb-IX-V complex as an alternative receptor for rolling over endothelial P-selectin.³³ Yet, to our knowledge, the latter study by Romo et al received no follow up in literature so far, and a binding site for P-selectin on GPIb has not been reported. Moreover, inhibition of GPIb or P-selectin did not result in a complete inhibition of the adhesive potential of P-selectin or GPIbexpressing Chinese hamster ovary cells.³³ Taken together, this points to a supporting role of the P-selectin/PSGL-1 axis in platelet-endothelium interaction, in particular under conditions where little VWF is present.

Mechanisms of platelet adhesion, activation, and thrombus formation on activated endothelium

Stable adhesion of platelets to the endothelium requires activation of integrins on the platelet and endothelial surface. A key player herein is



Figure 3. Wall shear rates and corresponding shear stresses in the vascular system. The data presented are from de Groot and Sixma⁸³ and Sakariassen et al⁸⁴ assuming blood as a Newtonian fluid with a constant viscosity and a laminar flow. Asterisk indicates that shear stress is irrelevant because of microcirculatory blood flow.

platelet $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin (Table 1).⁵⁴ Its contribution to platelet adhesion and thrombus formation on activated endothelium was examined using a range of inhibitors that compete with the binding of VWF and fibrinogen to the integrin, often by (in)directly interacting with the RGD binding pockets of $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$.⁵⁵ Similar to blockage of GPIba, $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ inhibition resulted in reduced platelet-containing VWF strings⁴⁴ accompanied by a reduction in platelet adhesion⁵⁶ and hence reduced platelet surface area coverage, 25,34,46,47,57-59 platelet aggregate volume,⁴⁰ and channel occlusion^{26,60} (Table 1). Integrin $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ interacts with the endothelium via the bridging molecules VWF, fibrinogen, and fibronectin, which can all bind to endothelial $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{3}$ integrin. Inhibition of endothelial $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{3}$ reduces stable platelet adhesion by \sim 50%, both under flowing⁶¹ and static conditions,^{62,63} suggesting the involvement of other receptors in mediation stable platelet-endothelial interactions. Indeed, endothelial ICAM-1, using fibrinogen as a bridging molecule, and endothelial GPIb-IX-V, via VWF, have also been shown to interact with platelet $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ and mediate platelet adhesion (Figure 2).62

Strikingly, the contribution of platelet receptors in the subsequent thrombus forming process has only investigated in a limited manner. So far, interventions into soluble platelet activation factors revealed the importance of the coagulation cascade, primarily via thrombin,²⁴⁻²⁶ but also of secondary feedback loops via cyclooxygenase 1²⁶ and of adenosine triphosphate-P2X₁ interaction⁶⁴ in mediating thrombus formation on activated endothelium. In addition, there appears to be a role for the TSP-1–CD36/CD47 axis in mediating platelet arrest.⁶⁵ Lagadec et al⁶⁵ hypothesized that the interaction of TSP-1 with CD36 induces the exposition of the cell-binding domain of TSP-1, allowing its ligation with platelet CD47 and subsequent activation of platelet $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin. This fits with in vitro flow chamber and in vivo

arterial thrombosis studies, which indicate a platelet activating and thrombus-stabilizing role for TSP-1, via CD36.^{66,67} Moreover, TSP-1 has also been shown to protect endothelium-bound VWF from ADAMTS13-mediated degradation, thereby further enhancing the dynamic recruitment of platelets into developing thrombi.⁶⁸ The observation that the TSP-1/CD36 interaction may also stimulate platelet activation by inhibition of the inhibitory cyclic adenosine monophosphate/cyclic guanosine monophosphate signaling pathways in platelets, ^{69,70} and that TSP-1 is present in high amounts in the α -granules of platelets (±100 000 copies/platelet),⁵² makes TSP-1 potentially a vital player in platelet activation and stable thrombus formation on inflamed endothelium.

Platelet interactions with ECs from lymphatic or xenogeneic origin

Beyond their well-known role as mediators of hemostasis and thrombosis, platelets are also involved in mouse embryonic lymphatic development. Intriguingly, platelet adhesion and activation to lymphatic ECs is regulated via a different mechanism to that in vascular ECs. Podoplanin, constitutively expressed by LECs but not present in vascular ECs, supports platelet arrest to LECs via interaction with platelet CLEC-2.⁷¹ The CLEC-2 receptor signals via a similar signaling pathway as GPVI,⁷ and inhibition of their downstream kinases Src and Syk reduces stable platelet-LEC interactions and platelet surface area coverage.⁷¹ Interestingly, although blockage of integrin $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ did abolish aggregate formation on LECs, it also led to an increase in platelet surface area coverage. This latter is likely

because of the fact that CLEC-2 levels in human platelets are much lower than those for $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ (2000 vs 64 200–83 300 per platelet, respectively),⁵² and platelets thus preferentially bind to activated $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$, and form compact 3D aggregates, on LECs. Yet, upon blockage of $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$, platelets only adhere to podoplanin and form a homogeneous monolayer, which results in an increased surface area coverage. This example highlights that merely taking output parameters into account does not always adequately reflect the actual underlying process.

Endothelial-lined flow chamber studies have also been used as a model for xenograft rejection, showing an essential role for the complement system in platelet interaction with ECs of xenogeneic origin.^{23,25,46} Whether perfusion of human blood over allogeneic ECs (ie, from different individuals of the same species) also induces some activation of the complement system or not remains to be established. Briefly, Galbusera et al⁴⁶ found that human serum induced porcine EC activation and thrombosis in a parallel plate flow chamber. The underlying mechanism involved complement-induced endothelial activation, with reactive oxygen species-triggered overexpression of endothelial-expressed P-selectin and $\alpha_{v}\beta_{3}$ as a consequence (supplemental Table 2). This proposed mechanism was confirmed by Rataj et al,²³ who demonstrated that blockage of complement factor 5 reduced platelet surface area coverage. In addition, Harris et al²⁵ recently showed that galactose 1,3a-galactose transferase-deficient porcine ECs resist hyperacute rejection and display a significant decrease in human platelet surface area coverage and aggregate volume when compared with wild-type porcine ECs. Combined, these findings from flow chamber studies on xenoactivation could be useful to assist with finding new strategies to control platelet activation and prevent xenograft rejection. This is relevant given the interest in xenotransplantation of pig organs into humans as a possible strategy to solve the current shortage of organs for human transplant.

Conclusion and future perspectives

Over recent years, technical advances have led to the development of a range of endothelial-lined flow chamber devices. In this review, we show that these devices hold promise in the field of hemostasis to provide insight into the main players in the cross talk between platelets, the coagulation system, leukocytes, and the endothelium. Although there is ample insight into the mechanisms underlying platelet rolling and arrest on activated endothelium (Figure 2), it remains obscure what happens after platelet adhesion. So far, the importance of the coagulation cascade, primarily via thrombin, and secondary feedback loops, among others via TSP-1, in mediating thrombus formation and stabilization on activated endothelium have been revealed. Of thrombi formed on collagen type I, it has been demonstrated that they consist of at least 3 platelet subpopulations: aggregating platelets with (reversible) integrin activation, procoagulant platelets exposing phosphatidylserine and binding coagulation factors, and contracting platelets with cell-cell contacts residing in the core of the thrombus.^{72,73} An open question is if, and to what extent, thrombus composition on activated endothelium differs from thrombus formation on collagen and between ECs from different vascular beds. Herein, an important technical challenge lies in including the coagulation system in endothelial-lined flow chamber studies in a controlled way. The study by Ciciliano et al²⁶ is a solid attempt at this in recent years.

Recent technological advances in the flow chamber field partly stem from the high flexibility in design of microfluidics and have, among others, resulted in microfluidics that model the microvasculature^{60,74} or stenotic arteries.^{75,76} A multiparameter-based analysis of flow chamber experiments is another recent development.⁷⁷ Overall, the different designs, setups, and analyses between flow chamber studies do not necessarily have to hamper comparison of experimental results, as long as key variables, such as flow channel dimensions, EC origin plus passage number, presence/absence of RBCs, and/or divalent cations Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ are routinely reported. Despite a good correlation between the experimental outcome of in vitro flow chamber experiments and in vivo arterial thrombosis studies,⁶ it is important to be aware of the characteristics of each model. For instance, the CLEC-2 ligand podoplanin is not present in vascular ECs but does become upregulated in the wall of the inferior vena cava during a murine model of deep vein thrombosis, modulating thrombosis.⁷⁸ In a vascular endotheliallined flow chamber model this prothrombotic role of CLEC-2 would not be observed. Vice versa, flow chamber studies can provide insight into in vivo studies, for instance in the underlying mechanism of how FeCl₃, a commonly used trigger of vascular damage, induces thrombosis.²⁶

Mechanistically, a rapidly emerging and in vogue topic is on the interactions of platelet-derived microparticles with the (activated) endothelium and the short- and long-term cellular effects of these interactions.⁷⁹ In addition, studies into the role of the glycocalyx in platelet-endothelial interactions,⁸⁰ postthrombotic processes such as fibroblast infiltration into the thrombus,⁸¹ and platelet-dependent matrix degradation⁸² provide promising avenues to provide novel mechanistic insight.

In sum, in the short-term endothelial-lined flow chamber studies are suited to increase our knowledge of the mechanisms underlying platelet activation and thrombus formation on activated endothelium. In the long term, they hold promise for opening up new investigatory paths to find targets that are only involved in the interplay of vascular cells and hemostatic cells in pathological chronic conditions such as diabetes and atherosclerosis.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to R. Koenen (Maastricht University) and M. T. Harper (Cambridge University, Cambridge, United Kingdom) for providing valuable suggestions and critical reading of the manuscript.

This work was supported by grants from the Dutch Heart Foundation (2015T79) (D.M.C., T.G.M., and J.M.E.M.C.) and the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO Vidi 016.156.421) (J.M.E.M.C.).

Authorship

Contribution: D.M.C., T.G.M., J.M.E.M.C. analyzed literature and wrote the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

ORCID profiles: T.G.M., 0000-0002-2620-6395; J.M.E.M.C., 0000-0001-7429-0109.

Correspondence: Judith M. E. M. Cosemans, Maastricht University, Universiteitssingel 50, 6200 MD Maastricht, The Netherlands; e-mail: judith.cosemans@maastrichtuniversity.nl.

References

- 1. Smolenski A. Novel roles of cAMP/cGMPdependent signaling in platelets. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2012;10(2):167-176.
- 2. Versteeg HH, Heemskerk JW, Levi M, Reitsma PH. New fundamentals in hemostasis. *Physiol Rev.* 2013;93(1):327-358.
- Weiss DJ. Comparative physiology of platelets from different species. In: Rao FHR, ed. Handbook of Platelet Physiology and Pharmacology. New York, NY: Springer;1999: 379-393.
- Cosemans JM, Angelillo-Scherrer A, Mattheij NJ, Heemskerk JW. The effects of arterial flow on platelet activation, thrombus growth, and stabilization. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2013;99(2): 342-352.
- de Witt SM, Verdoold R, Cosemans JM, Heemskerk JW. Insights into platelet-based control of coagulation. *Thromb Res.* 2014; 133(suppl 2):S139-S148.
- de Witt SM, Nergiz-Ünal N, Feijge MA, et al. Genes in arterial thrombus formation: a comparison of in vivo and in vitro studies. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2013;11(suppl 2):352-353.
- Heemskerk JW, Mattheij NJ, Cosemans JM. Platelet-based coagulation: different populations, different functions. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2013; 11(1):2-16.
- Kalz J, ten Cate H, Spronk HM. Thrombin generation and atherosclerosis. *J Thromb Thrombolysis*. 2014;37(1):45-55.
- Mastenbroek TG, van Geffen JP, Heemskerk JW, Cosemans JM. Acute and persistent platelet and coagulant activities in atherothrombosis. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2015;13(suppl 1):S272-S280.
- Weber C, Springer TA. Neutrophil accumulation on activated, surface-adherent platelets in flow is mediated by interaction of Mac-1 with fibrinogen bound to alphallbbeta3 and stimulated by plateletactivating factor. J Clin Invest. 1997;100(8): 2085-2093.
- Santoso S, Sachs UJ, Kroll H, et al. The junctional adhesion molecule 3 (JAM-3) on human platelets is a counterreceptor for the leukocyte integrin Mac-1. J Exp Med. 2002;196(5):679-691.
- Wang Y, Sakuma M, Chen Z, et al. Leukocyte engagement of platelet glycoprotein Ibα via the integrin Mac-1 is critical for the biological response to vascular injury. *Circulation*. 2005; 112(19):2993-3000.
- Zhao Z, Vajen T, Karshovska E, et al. Deletion of junctional adhesion molecule A from platelets increases early-stage neointima formation after wire injury in hyperlipidemic mice. J Cell Mol Med. 2017;21(8):1523-1531.
- Quinn KL, Henriques M, Tabuchi A, et al. Human neutrophil peptides mediate endothelial-monocyte interaction, foam cell formation, and platelet activation. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2011; 31(9):2070-2079.
- von Hundelshausen P, Koenen RR, Sack M, et al. Heterophilic interactions of platelet factor 4 and RANTES promote monocyte arrest on endothelium. *Blood.* 2005;105(3):924-930.
- van der Vorst EP, Döring Y, Weber C. Chemokines. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2015;35(11):e52-e56.
- Koenen RR. The prowess of platelets in immunity and inflammation. *Thromb Haemost*. 2016;116(4): 605-612.
- Soehnlein O, Steffens S, Hidalgo A, Weber C. Neutrophils as protagonists and targets in chronic inflammation. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2017;17(4): 248-261.

- Westein E, de Witt S, Lamers M, Cosemans JM, Heemskerk JW. Monitoring in vitro thrombus formation with novel microfluidic devices. *Platelets.* 2012;23(7):501-509.
- McCarty OJ, Ku D, Sugimoto M, King MR, Cosemans JM, Neeves KB; Subcommittee on Biorheology. Dimensional analysis and scaling relevant to flow models of thrombus formation: communication from the SSC of the ISTH. J Thromb Haemost. 2016;14(3):619-622.
- Gresele P, Arnout J, Deckmyn H, Vermylen J. Mechanism of the antiplatelet action of dipyridamole in whole blood: modulation of adenosine concentration and activity. *Thromb Haemost.* 1986;55(1):12-18.
- Cosemans JM, Iserbyt BF, Deckmyn H, Heemskerk JW. Multiple ways to switch platelet integrins on and off. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2008; 6(8):1253-1261.
- Rataj D, Werwitzke S, Haarmeijer B, et al. Inhibition of complement component C5 prevents clotting in an ex vivo model of xenogeneic activation of coagulation. *Xenotransplantation*. 2016;23(2):117-127.
- Kirchhofer D, Tschopp TB, Hadváry P, Baumgartner HR. Endothelial cells stimulated with tumor necrosis factor-α express varying amounts of tissue factor resulting in inhomogenous fibrin deposition in a native blood flow system. Effects of thrombin inhibitors. *J Clin Invest*. 1994;93(5): 2073-2083.
- Harris DG, Benipal PK, Cheng X, Burdorf L, Azimzadeh AM, Pierson RN III. Four-dimensional characterization of thrombosis in a live-cell, shearflow assay: development and application to xenotransplantation. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(4): e0123015.
- Ciciliano JC, Sakurai Y, Myers DR, et al. Resolving the multifaceted mechanisms of the ferric chloride thrombosis model using an interdisciplinary microfluidic approach. *Blood*. 2015;126(6):817-824.
- Roest M, Reininger A, Zwaginga JJ, King MR, Heemskerk JW; Biorheology Subcommittee of the SSC of the ISTH. Flow chamber-based assays to measure thrombus formation in vitro: requirements for standardization. J Thromb Haemost. 2011;9(11):2322-2324.
- Van Kruchten R, Cosemans JM, Heemskerk JW. Measurement of whole blood thrombus formation using parallel-plate flow chambers – a practical guide. *Platelets*. 2012;23(3):229-242.
- Neeves KB, McCarty OJ, Reininger AJ, Sugimoto M, King MR; Biorheology Subcommittee of the SSC of the ISTH. Flow-dependent thrombin and fibrin generation in vitro: opportunities for standardization: communication from SSC of the ISTH. J Thromb Haemost. 2014;12(3):418-420.
- Baeyens N, Bandyopadhyay C, Coon BG, Yun S, Schwartz MA. Endothelial fluid shear stress sensing in vascular health and disease. *J Clin Invest.* 2016;126(3):821-828.
- Frueh J, Maimari N, Homma T, et al. Systems biology of the functional and dysfunctional endothelium. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2013;99(2): 334-341.
- Resnick N, Yahav H, Shay-Salit A, et al. Fluid shear stress and the vascular endothelium: for better and for worse. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol.* 2003; 81(3):177-199.
- Romo GM, Dong JF, Schade AJ, et al. The glycoprotein Ib-IX-V complex is a platelet counterreceptor for P-selectin. *J Exp Med.* 1999; 190(6):803-814.
- 34. Jain A, van der Meer AD, Papa AL, et al. Assessment of whole blood thrombosis in a

microfluidic device lined by fixed human endothelium. *Biomed Microdevices*. 2016;18:73.

- Massberg S, Brand K, Grüner S, et al. A critical role of platelet adhesion in the initiation of atherosclerotic lesion formation. *J Exp Med.* 2002; 196(7):887-896.
- Gidlof O, van der Brug M, Ohman J, et al. Platelets activated during myocardial infarction release functional miRNA, which can be taken up by endothelial cells and regulate ICAM1 expression. *Blood*. 2013;121(19):3908-3917.
- Laffont B, Corduan A, Plé H, et al. Activated platelets can deliver mRNA regulatory Ago2•microRNA complexes to endothelial cells via microparticles. *Blood.* 2013;122(2):253-261.
- 38. Aird WC. Endothelium and haemostasis. *Hamostaseologie*. 2015;35(1):11-16.
- Dong JF, Moake JL, Nolasco L, et al. ADAMTS-13 rapidly cleaves newly secreted ultralarge von Willebrand factor multimers on the endothelial surface under flowing conditions. *Blood.* 2002; 100(12):4033-4039.
- Sylman JL, Artzer DT, Rana K, Neeves KB. A vascular injury model using focal heat-induced activation of endothelial cells. *Integr Biol.* 2015; 7(7):801-814.
- Thijssen VL, Hulsmans S, Griffioen AW. The galectin profile of the endothelium: altered expression and localization in activated and tumor endothelial cells. *Am J Pathol.* 2008;172(2): 545-553.
- Romaniuk MA, Croci DO, Lapponi MJ, et al. Binding of galectin-1 to αllbβ₃ integrin triggers "outside-in" signals, stimulates platelet activation, and controls primary hemostasis. *FASEB J.* 2012; 26(7):2788-2798.
- Theilmeier G, Michiels C, Spaepen E, et al. Endothelial von Willebrand factor recruits platelets to atherosclerosis-prone sites in response to hypercholesterolemia. *Blood.* 2002;99(12): 4486-4493.
- 44. Huang J, Roth R, Heuser JE, Sadler JE. Integrin $\alpha_v\beta_3$ on human endothelial cells binds von Willebrand factor strings under fluid shear stress. *Blood.* 2009;113(7):1589-1597.
- Zheng Y, Chen J, López JA. Flow-driven assembly of VWF fibres and webs in in vitro microvessels. *Nat Commun.* 2015;6:7858.
- Galbusera M, Buelli S, Gastoldi S, et al. Activation of porcine endothelium in response to xenogeneic serum causes thrombosis independently of platelet activation. *Xenotransplantation*. 2005; 12(2):110-120.
- Kuckleburg CJ, Yates CM, Kalia N, et al. Endothelial cell-borne platelet bridges selectively recruit monocytes in human and mouse models of vascular inflammation. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2011; 91(1):134-141.
- Calmer S, Ferkau A, Larmann J, et al. Desmopressin (DDAVP) improves recruitment of activated platelets to collagen but simultaneously increases platelet endothelial interactions in vitro. *Platelets.* 2014;25(1):8-15.
- Frenette PS, Denis CV, Weiss L, et al. P-Selectin glycoprotein ligand 1 (PSGL-1) is expressed on platelets and can mediate platelet-endothelial interactions in vivo. *J Exp Med.* 2000;191(8): 1413-1422.
- da Costa Martins P, García-Vallejo JJ, van Thienen JV, et al. P-selectin glycoprotein ligand-1 is expressed on endothelial cells and mediates monocyte adhesion to activated endothelium. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2007;27(5): 1023-1029.
- 51. Terrisse AD, Puech N, Allart S, et al. Internalization of microparticles by endothelial

cells promotes platelet/endothelial cell interaction under flow. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2010;8(12): 2810-2819.

- Burkhart JM, Vaudel M, Gambaryan S, et al. The first comprehensive and quantitative analysis of human platelet protein composition allows the comparative analysis of structural and functional pathways. *Blood*. 2012;120(15):e73-e82.
- Rivera-Nieves J, Burcin TL, Olson TS, et al. Critical role of endothelial P-selectin glycoprotein ligand 1 in chronic murine ileitis. *J Exp Med*. 2006; 203(4):907-917.
- Massberg S, Schürzinger K, Lorenz M, et al. Platelet adhesion via glycoprotein Ilb integrin is critical for atheroprogression and focal cerebral ischemia: an in vivo study in mice lacking glycoprotein Ilb. *Circulation*. 2005;112(8): 1180-1188.
- Coller BS. αIIbβ3: structure and function. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2015;13(suppl 1):S17-S25.
- Dardik R, Savion N, Kaufmann Y, Varon D. Thrombin promotes platelet-mediated melanoma cell adhesion to endothelial cells under flow conditions: role of platelet glycoproteins P-selectin and GPIIb-IIIA. *Br J Cancer*. 1998;77(12): 2069-2075.
- Reininger AJ, Korndörfer MA, Wurzinger LJ. Adhesion of ADP-activated platelets to intact endothelium under stagnation point flow in vitro is mediated by the integrin αllbβ3. *Thromb Haemost.* 1998;79(5):998-1003.
- Tull SP, Anderson SI, Hughan SC, Watson SP, Nash GB, Rainger GE. Cellular pathology of atherosclerosis: smooth muscle cells promote adhesion of platelets to cocultured endothelial cells. *Circ Res.* 2006;98(1):98-104.
- Schulz C, Schäfer A, Stolla M, et al. Chemokine fractalkine mediates leukocyte recruitment to inflammatory endothelial cells in flowing whole blood: a critical role for P-selectin expressed on activated platelets. *Circulation*. 2007;116(7): 764-773.
- Tsai M, Kita A, Leach J, et al. In vitro modeling of the microvascular occlusion and thrombosis that occur in hematologic diseases using microfluidic technology. J Clin Invest. 2012;122(1):408-418.
- Reininger AJ, Agneskirchner J, Bode PA, Spannagl M, Wurzinger LJ. c7E3 Fab inhibits low shear flow modulated platelet adhesion to endothelium and surface-absorbed fibrinogen by blocking platelet GP IIb/IIIa as well as endothelial vitronectin receptor-results from patients with acute myocardial infarction and healthy controls. *Thromb Haemost.* 2000;83(2):217-223.
- Bombeli T, Schwartz BR, Harlan JM. Adhesion of activated platelets to endothelial cells: evidence for a GPIIbIIIa-dependent bridging mechanism

and novel roles for endothelial intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1), $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_3$ integrin, and GPIb α . *J Exp Med.* 1998;187(3):329-339.

- Gawaz M, Neumann FJ, Dickfeld T, et al. Vitronectin receptor α_vβ₃ mediates platelet adhesion to the luminal aspect of endothelial cells: implications for reperfusion in acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation.* 1997;96(6):1809-1818.
- Karunarathne W, Ku CJ, Spence DM. The dual nature of extracellular ATP as a concentrationdependent platelet P2X1 agonist and antagonist. *Integr Biol.* 2009;1(11-12):655-663.
- Lagadec P, Dejoux O, Ticchioni M, et al. Involvement of a CD47-dependent pathway in platelet adhesion on inflamed vascular endothelium under flow. *Blood.* 2003;101(12): 4836-4843.
- Nergiz-Ünal R, Lamers MM, Van Kruchten R, et al. Signaling role of CD36 in platelet activation and thrombus formation on immobilized thrombospondin or oxidized low-density lipoprotein. J Thromb Haemost. 2011;9(9): 1835-1846.
- Kuijpers MJ, de Witt S, Nergiz-Ünal R, et al. Supporting roles of platelet thrombospondin-1 and CD36 in thrombus formation on collagen. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2014;34(6): 1187-1192.
- Bonnefoy A, Daenens K, Feys HB, et al. Thrombospondin-1 controls vascular platelet recruitment and thrombus adherence in mice by protecting (sub)-endothelial VWF from cleavage by ADAMTS13. *Blood*. 2006;107(3):955-964.
- Isenberg JS, Romeo MJ, Yu C, et al. Thrombospondin-1 stimulates platelet aggregation by blocking the antithrombotic activity of nitric oxide/GMP signaling. *Blood*. 2008; 111(2):613-623.
- Roberts W, Magwenzi S, Aburima A, Naseem KM. Thrombospondin-1 induces platelet activation through CD36-dependent inhibition of the cAMP/protein kinase A signaling cascade. *Blood*. 2010;116(20):4297-4306.
- Navarro-Núñez L, Pollitt AY, Lowe K, Latif A, Nash GB, Watson SP. Platelet adhesion to podoplanin under flow is mediated by the receptor CLEC-2 and stabilised by Src/Syk-dependent platelet signalling. *Thromb Haemost.* 2015; 113(5):1109-1120.
- Munnix IC, Cosemans JM, Auger JM, Heemskerk JW. Platelet response heterogeneity in thrombus formation. *Thromb Haemost.* 2009;102(6): 1149-1156.
- Ivanciu L, Stalker TJ. Spatiotemporal regulation of coagulation and platelet activation during the hemostatic response in vivo. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2015;13(11):1949-1959.

- Zheng Y, Chen J, Craven M, et al. In vitro microvessels for the study of angiogenesis and thrombosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2012;
- Westein E, van der Meer AD, Kuijpers MJ, Frimat JP, van den Berg A, Heemskerk JW. Atherosclerotic geometries exacerbate pathological thrombus formation poststenosis in a von Willebrand factor-dependent manner. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2013;110(4):1357-1362.
- Costa PF, Albers HJ, Linssen JEA, et al. Mimicking arterial thrombosis in a 3D-printed microfluidic in vitro vascular model based on computed tomography angiography data. *Lab Chip.* 2017;17(16):2785-2792.
- de Witt SM, Swieringa F, Cavill R, et al. Identification of platelet function defects by multiparameter assessment of thrombus formation. *Nat Commun.* 2014;5:4257.
- Payne H, Ponomaryov T, Watson SP, Brill A. Mice with a deficiency in CLEC-2 are protected against deep vein thrombosis. *Blood.* 2017;129(14): 2013-2020.
- Edelstein LC. The role of platelet microvesicles in intercellular communication. *Platelets*. 2017; 28(3):222-227.
- Chappell D, Brettner F, Doerfler N, et al. Protection of glycocalyx decreases platelet adhesion after ischaemia/reperfusion: an animal study. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2014;31(9):474-481.
- Zhang YS, Davoudi F, Walch P, et al. Bioprinted thrombosis-on-a-chip. Lab Chip. 2016;16(21): 4097-4105.
- Mastenbroek TG, Feijge MA, Kremers RM, et al. Platelet-associated matrix metalloproteinases regulate thrombus formation and exert local collagenolytic activity. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2015;35(12):2554-2561.
- de Groot PG, Sixma JJ. Perfusion chambers. In: Michelson AD, ed. Platelets. San Diego, CA: Academic Press; 2002:347-356
- Sakariassen KS, Orning L, Turitto VT. The impact of blood shear rate on arterial thrombus formation. *Future Sci OA*. 2015;1(4):FSO30.
- Tanahashi N, Fukuuchi Y, Tomita M, et al. Adhesion of adenosine diphosphate-activated platelets to human brain microvascular endothelial cells under flow in vitro is mediated via GPIIb/IIIa. *Neurosci Lett.* 2001;301(1):33-36.
- Tomita Y, Tanahashi N, Tomita M, et al. Role of platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa in ADP-activated platelet adhesion to aortic endothelial cells in vitro: observation with video-enhanced contrast microscopy. *Clin Hemorheol Microcirc*. 2001; 24(1):1-9.

109(24):9342-9347.