

Comment on 'value of cranial ultrasound at initiation of therapeutic hypothermia for neonatal encephalopathy'

Vries, L.S. de; Steggerda, S.J.; Groenendaal, F.; Cowan, F.M.

Citation

Vries, L. S. de, Steggerda, S. J., Groenendaal, F., & Cowan, F. M. (2022). Comment on 'value of cranial ultrasound at initiation of therapeutic hypothermia for neonatal encephalopathy'. *Journal Of Perinatology*, *42*, 418-419. doi:10.1038/s41372-021-01307-z

Version:Publisher's VersionLicense:Licensed under Article 25fa Copyright Act/Law (Amendment Taverne)Downloaded from:https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3575903

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Check for updates CORRESPONDENCE Comment on 'value of cranial ultrasound at initiation of therapeutic hypothermia for neonatal encephalopathy'

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature America, Inc. 2022

Journal of Perinatology (2022) 42:418-419; https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41372-021-01307-z

We read with interest the paper by Sanislow et al. [1]. on the role of cranial ultrasound (cUS) prior to starting therapeutic hypothermia (TH) for infants with hypoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy (HIE). They suggest that cUS is mainly used to detect major intracranial hemorrhage (ICH), a potential reason not to start TH. They compare day 1 cUSs to day 4 post-TH MRIs and argue that pre-TH cUS is unnecessary as no major ICH was detected. In our longstanding experience of admission cUS we have seldom encountered an infant with severe ICH meeting criteria for TH; such infants usually present later with a different history [2].

The main reason for performing cUS prior to TH is to look for evidence of antenatally acquired lesions, HIE mimics, congenital infections and malformations. Table 1 lists some cases we have come across over many years. Such findings are uncommon and unsurprisingly not reported among the 108 infants studied here [1]. The abnormalities in Table 1, although suggestive of non-HIE diagnoses, need confirmation and generally TH will not be withheld. Meanwhile investigations can be instituted early and parental counselling can include concerns about another possible diagnosis from the outset rather than later on.

Changes in echogenicity on cUS following acute hypoxic-ischaemia take time to develop, as do changes on MRI. A normal cUS soon after birth is strong supporting evidence that injury seen later is of immediate perinatal onset. White matter echogenicity takes at least 12-24 h to develop and basal ganglia/thalamic echogenicity even longer depending on insult severity. Echogenicity seen on admission, would suggest an insult of recent origin but not immediately antepartum. However a common finding on cUS in term infants

especially after vaginal delivery is slit-like ventricles [3]. Thus Sanislow and colleagues' observation of slit-like ventricles in almost half their infants should not be interpreted as cerebral oedema unless accompanied by other indicators of swelling, such as loss of tissue definition and small extracerebral spaces. Comparing this finding on a day 1 cUS with day 4 MRIs is therefore unhelpful.

In contrast to preterm infants, intraventricular haemorrhage (IVH) in full-term infants, whilst uncommon, usually develops from the choroid plexus and when small, can be difficult to detect on cUS. The example in Fig. 2A could be easily missed, but the small temporal periventricular haemorrhagic infarct (Fig. 2B) should have been diagnosed, though not on the coronal view shown (Fig. 2F). It may be that it developed after day 1 and was not present when the cUS was done.

Whilst fully agreeing that MRI is the neuro-imaging gold standard for infants treated with TH we strongly recommend an admission cUS for assessing anatomy, the absence of evolving acute injury or evidence for antenatal injury and for detecting indicators of underlying problems rather than only for detecting severe haemorrhage. A second cUS at the end of TH will allow recognition of abnormalities developing following a peripartum insult and allow a valid comparison of cUS and MRI findings. We consider the two neuro-imaging techniques complimentary for the encephalopathic full-term infant.

L. S. de Vries $\mathbb{D}^{1,2^{\boxtimes}}$, S. J. Steggerda \mathbb{D}^2 , F. Groenendaal \mathbb{D}^1 and F. M. Cowan 10³ ¹Department of Neonatology, University medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands. ²Department of Neonatology, Leiden University medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands. ³Department of Paediatrics, Imperial College London, London, UK. [™]email: l.s.devries@umcutrecht.nl

Ultrasound observation **Possible interpretation** Enlarged ventricles, sometimes with widening of the interhemispheric Established antenatal insult fissure and extracerebral space Porencephaly Antenatal parenchymal haemorrhage suggestive of a coagulopathy or mutation of the COL4A1 gene Germinolytic cysts, lenticulostriate vasculopathy and enlarged ventricles Peroxisomal disorder or cytomegalovirus and a shallow Sylvian fissure Molybdenum cofactor deficiency or sulphite oxidase deficiency Diffuse echogenicity and/or anterior white matter cysts with early-onset seizures Small vermis and pons Pontocerebellar hypoplasia Hypoplastic corpus callosum with and without an abnormal cerebellum Non-ketotic hyperglycinaemia

Table 1. Observations made by the authors on admission cUS in newborn term infants presenting with encephalopathy.

Received: 9 November 2021 Revised: 25 November 2021 Accepted: 23 December 2021 Published online: 11 January 2022

REFERENCES

- Sanislow W, Singh E, Yang E, Inder T, El-Dib M Value of cranial ultrasound at initiation of therapeutic hypothermia for neonatal encephalopathy. J Perinatol. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41372-021-01233-0.
- van Steenis A, Fumagalli M, Kruit MC, Peeters-Scholte CMPCD, de Vries LS, Steggerda SJ. Cranial ultrasound is an important tool in the recognition of life-threatening infratentorial hemorrhage in newborns. Neuropediatrics. 2021;52:170–8.
- Nelson MD Jr, Tavaré CJ, Petrus L, Kim P, Gilles FH. Changes in the size of the lateral ventricles in the normal-term newborn following vaginal delivery. Pediatr Radio. 2003;33:831–5.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LSdeV wrote the first draft of the comment and finalized it following reviews by the other authors. FC, SS and FG reviewed and approved the comment.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to L. S. de Vries.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.