

Source Model of the October 9, 1995 Jalisco-Colima Tsunami as constrained by field survey reports, and on the numerical simulation of the tsunami

Elizabeth Trejo-Gómez*, Modesto Ortiz and Francisco Javier Núñez-Cornú

Received: October 11, 2013; accepted: June 17, 2014; published on line: March 31, 2015

Resumen

En este trabajo se modela la fuente sísmica del tsunami de Jalisco-Colima del 9 de octubre de 1995 ajustando los resultados del modelo numérico del tsunami para reproducir adecuadamente las alturas de inundación del tsunami documentadas in situ durante los trabajos de reconocimiento del área afectada por el tsunami. La fuente sísmica corresponde a un sismo de magnitud $M_w \sim 8.0$ con un área de ruptura $A = 9000 \text{ km}^2$, $L = 150 \text{ km}$, $W = 60 \text{ km}$, con dislocación cosísmica heterogénea: dislocación de 1 m en los primeros 60 km a lo largo del plano de falla iniciando en el extremo NW, mar adentro frente a Chalacatepec y Chamela, y dislocación de 3 m en los restantes 90 km, mar adentro frente a Tenacatita, Navidad y Manzanillo. Como se esperaba, la fuente sísmica obtenida en este estudio es un modelo simplificado en comparación con la obtenida invirtiendo datos sísmicos y de GPS. Sin embargo, el hecho de que este modelo simplificado reproduzca aproximadamente la dislocación cosísmica y el área de ruptura y su localización, es importante para validar la fuente sísmica de aquellos tsunamis históricos de los que únicamente se cuenta con algunos testimonios y relatos vagos de sus efectos y de los cuales no existen registros sísmicos ni del nivel del mar.

Palabras Clave: Tsunami Local, Bloque Jalisco, Costa sur de Jalisco.

Abstract

A model of the seismic source of the October 9, 1995 Jalisco-Colima tsunami is obtained using the numerical modeling of the tsunami as constrained by field survey reports of tsunami run-up and the extent of flooding, by considering an earthquake ($M_w \sim 8.0$) with rupture area $A = 9000 \text{ km}^2$, $L = 150 \text{ km}$, $W = 60 \text{ km}$, with two different coseismic dislocation patches: 1 m the dislocation of the first 60 km along the fault plane starting from its NW edge, offshore Chalacatepec and Chamela, and 3 m the dislocation of the next 90 km; offshore Tenacatita, Navidad and Manzanillo. As expected, the seismic source obtained in this study is a simplified model in comparison of the seismic source obtained by inverting seismic and GPS data. However, the fact that this simplified model reproduces adequately the location of the rupture area and its coseismic dislocation is still important in order to provide some degree of certainty for the tsunami source of those historical tsunamis for which no seismic nor tide records are available, and only testimonies and vague relates of its effects are documented.

Key words: Local Tsunami, Jalisco Block; Southern Coast of Jalisco.

E. Trejo*
F.J. Núñez-Cornú
Centro de Sismología y Volcanología de Occidente
Centro Universitario de la Costa
Universidad de Guadalajara
Avenida Universidad 203, C.P. 48200
Ixtapa, Puerto Vallarta
Jalisco, México
**Corresponding author: elyt@cuc.udg.mx*

M. Ortiz
Departamento de Oceanografía Física
Centro de Investigación Científica
y de Educación Superior de Ensenada

Background

Tectonic frame

In this region, the North-American, Pacific, and Rivera lithospheric plates are interacting and several models of triple junctions have been proposed, but the seismotectonic setting is still poorly understood. A tectonic unit known as the Jalisco block has been proposed in this region (Luhr *et al.*, 1985). The Jalisco block extends northward from the Colima graben, along the Pacific coast, and connects at its northern end with two other major extensional structures: the Tepic-Zacoalco rif zone (trending roughly northwest-southeast), which is defined as the northern boundary of the Jalisco block; and the Chapala rift zone (trending roughly east-

west). The connection between the northwest border of the Jalisco block (the Tamayo Fault Zone (Tamayo FZ) and the continent is not well defined. Previous studies have related this border to the San Blas fault as a continuation of the Tamayo FZ or to the Tres Mariás escarpment (west of Marias Islands) and the Río Ameca graben which crosses the Bahía de Banderas and continues along the Vallarta graben to join the Tepic-Zacoalco rif zone (Figure 1). Another possible connection is to the Tres Mariás escarpment. The last two possibilities suggest the existence of an additional small block, the Tres Mariás block, which may be experiencing strong crustal stresses as a result of the convergence direction of the Rivera plate (Kostoglodov and Bandy, 1995).




Figure 1. Tectonic frame of Jalisco Block region, modified from Núñez-Cornú *et al.* (2011).

Regional seismicity

The seismicity in the coast of Jalisco is associated with the subduction of the Rivera plate beneath the Jalisco block. Macroseismic data of seismicity in the region date back to 1544 and are described by Núñez-Cornú (2011). It is believed that both the 1932 earthquakes (Figure 2) ruptured the entire seismogenic contact between the Rivera plate and the Jalisco block (Singh *et al.*, 1985): The June 3, 1932 earthquake (M8.2) with an estimated rupture area of $L = 220$ km and $W = 80$ km; and the June 18, 1932 earthquake (M7.8) with an estimated rupture area of $L = 60$ km, and $W = 80$ km. Moreover, the complexity of this tectonic region reflects the existence of unknown or unstudied structures which may generate medium size earthquakes (M7.0-7.6), as the one occurred near Marias Islands, where the M7.0 earthquake turned

down masonry buildings of the presidio in María Madre island on December 3, 1948.

Shallow thrust earthquakes have also occurred resulting from intraplate activity, such as the M7.4 January 22, 2003 earthquake, located on the coast near of the city of Armería, Colima (Núñez-Cornú *et al.*, 2004, 2010).

The last large earthquake (M8.0) and tsunami in the southern part of the Jalisco Blok occurred on October 9, 1995. The rupture area was estimated to be $L = 160 \pm 20$ km, $W = 60$ km (Ortiz *et al.*, 1998; and Ortiz *et al.*, 2000), with a dislocation of less than 5 m. This rupture zone represents only the southern half of the area proposed by Singh *et al.* (1985) for the earthquakes of 1932, therefore the northern coast of Jalisco and Bahía de Banderas, Nayarit, remains to break.




Figure 2. Seismotectonic features of the Jalisco region, modified from Rutz López *et al.* (2013). RFZ: Rivera Fracture Zone; R1: Armería River; R2: Coahuayana River; MIA: Maximum Intensity Areas for earthquakes in 1932 (dates and magnitudes indicated); AA: Aftershocks Areas; Cities; ★ 2003 Epicenter of the Armería earthquake (after Núñez-Cornú *et al.*, 2004) and ★ 2010 Marias Islands earthquake.

Tsunamis in Mexico

The Mexican tide gauge network began in 1952, since then, until 1985 (Sanchez and Farreras, 1993), non-destructive transoceanic or distant generated tsunamis have been recorded with maximum heights in the range of $0.1 \leq h \leq 2.5$ m. These distant tsunamis were caused by major earthquakes in areas of high seismicity, such as Alaska, Chile, Colombia, Kuril Islands, Japan, Kermadec, Kamchatka, and Perú.

While recent (Sumatra 2004; Chile 2010; Japan 2011) and historical (Chile 1960; Alaska 1964; Aleutians 1957) distant tsunamis have not generated damage on the west coast of Mexico, historical destructive tsunamis were originated by local earthquakes with seismic moment magnitude $M_w \geq 7$, along the interplate contact area located between the coast and the Middle America Trench, such as the one occurred off the coast of Oaxaca in 1787 (Núñez-Cornú *et al.*, 2008).

Local tsunamis in Jalisco

In the case of Jalisco, to the twentieth century, there are records of locally generated destructive tsunamis, three of them in June 1932 and one in October 1995. Historical reports mixed different tsunami damage in the month of June 1932, since the three of them occurred in a very short period of time in a sparsely populated and poorly communicated region. There is little information of these tsunamis and no published studies about its source mechanism. It is estimated that two of them were generated after the first two large earthquakes and the other probably by a submarine slump of sediments provided by the Armería River.

The June 3, 1932 tsunami, associated to the M8.2 earthquake, was observed to flood Barra de Navidad and partially flooded the port of San Blas, Nayarit. In Manzanillo Bay, the coast guard ship was in danger of running aground and capsizing due to the rapid sea level change. In Santiago Bay, the sea level rose about 3 m. In Cuyutlán, the coast seemed to rise and the sea flooded the resort, sweeping away several houses (Sanchez and Farreras, 1993).

After the June 18, 1932 earthquake (M7.8), the sea level in Manzanillo Bay decreased and then rose about 1 m. Sea waters flooded part of the port, and large part of the rocky coast lost its previous shape. Some of the shoals indicated in nautical charts disappeared.

The tsunami produced on the June 22, 1932 earthquake (M7.7) was the most destructive of all those produced in this region. It destroyed the resort of Cuyutlán. The estimated maximum height was $h \leq 15$ m and the average extent of flooding was 1 km along 20 km of coast. This tsunami was generated probably by a submarine slump of sediments provided by the Armería River and accumulated on the continental shelf, to one of the creeks of the Middle America Trench (Ortiz, cited by Pacheco *et al.*, 1997). Cuyutlán was invaded by two tsunami waves of less intensity: one during the night of 22 June, and the other in the morning of the next day (Sanchez and Farreras, 1993).

The most recent and well documented tsunami in Jalisco is the one that occurred on the morning of October 9, 1995. "Historical" testimonies of the inhabitants describing the manner in which the waves invaded the coast were carefully collected, and the extent and height of the flooding or tsunami run-up along the Jalisco and Colima coasts were documented during the tsunami field survey conducted by Ortiz *et al.*, (1995), where the observed run-up heights were corrected or reduced to the height (h_c) above the tide level at the time of flooding. The highest tsunami run-up ($h_c \sim 5$ m) was observed in Tenacatita Bay in the towns of La Manzanilla and Boca de Iguanas. Figure 3, illustrates the tsunami flooding marks inside and outside the temple of La Manzanilla, Jalisco. The extents of flooding and run-up heights are shown in Table 1.

In spite of the very well documented seismic source of the October 9, 1995 Jalisco-Colima tsunami by inverting seismic and GPS data (see e.g., Courboulex *et al.*, 1997; and Pacheco *et al.*, 1997), inversion of this "historical" tsunami run-up records to model the 1995 Jalisco tsunami source is still important in order to provide some degree of certainty for the tsunami source of those historical tsunamis for which no seismic nor tide records are available, and only testimonies and vague relates of its effects are documented.

Method

This paper follows the methodology used by Núñez-Cornú *et al.* (2008) to model the mechanism of the 1787 Tsunami source from historical data of the effects of flooding waves.

The rupture length of the October 9, 1995 Jalisco-Colima earthquake is estimated here as the length along the coast where tsunami

Figure 3. To illustrate the tsunami flooding marks inside and outside the temple of La Manzanilla, Jalisco, modified from Ortiz *et al.* (1995).




Table 1. Synthetic and observed tsunami run-up heights

Field survey, 1995					Model results				
+Distance km	Long	Lat	Extent of flooding (m)	Run up height \odot hc (m)	Run up height \blacklozenge hm (m)	Δ (%)	Time minutes	VTG/zone	County
15	105.26	19.68	100	2.90	2.63	- 9	41	1/Chalacatepec	Tomatlán
15	105.26	19.67	60	2.60		- 1			
32	105.13	19.59	40	3.3	3.90	18	40	2/Chamela	La Huerta
33	105.12	19.59	40	3.4		15			
35	105.11	19.58	40	4.9		- 20			
40	105.08	19.53	30	3.2		22			
40	105.08	19.53	15	3.0		30			
50	105.04	19.44	115	3.5	3.67	5	48	3/Careyes	La Huerta
67	104.94	19.31	70	3.8	4.30	13	72	4/El Tecuán	La Huerta
75	104.81	19.30	15	5.10	3.62	- 29	39	5/Tenacatita	La Huerta
78	104.81	19.30	30	4.20		- 14			
81	104.79	19.28	172	3.80		- 5			
81	104.79	19.28	141	4.00		- 9			
81	104.79	19.28	77	3.20		13			
90	104.73	19.23	30	4.10	4.64	13	46	6/San Patricio (Melaque)	Cihuatlán
92	104.71	19.22	41	4.50		3			
93	104.70	19.22	25	5.10		- 9			
96	104.68	19.20	17	3.70		26			
-	-	-	-	-	3.68	-	37	7/Marabasco	Cihuatlán
114	104.51	19.13	-	3.87	4.12	7	48	8/Playa de Oro	Manzanillo
125	104.41	19.10	-	4.40	3.69	- 16	82	9/Majagua	Manzanillo
127	104.38	19.12	-	3.74	3.38	- 10	51	10/Manzanillo	Manzanillo
129	104.35	19.16	-	3.00		13			
136	104.31	19.07	-	3.79		- 11			

+ Distance along the fault plane illustrated in Figure 4, starting from its NW edge.

\odot hc = Observed tsunami run up height (Ortiz *et al.*,1995)

\blacklozenge hm = Synthetic run-up height

Δ (%) = 100 (hm-hc)/hc; relative difference

flooding was observed (150-160 km, from Chalacatepec to Cuyutlán; Ortiz *et al.* (1995). In turn, to delineate the extent of the flooding of the tsunami we used the observed tsunami run-up data (Ortiz *et al.*, 1995). The run-up height (hc) facilitates the delineation of the extent of flooding on the regional digital terrain elevation model by interpolating hc along the coast.

The rupture area proposed here (Figure 4), is a buried fault plane of $L = 150$ km, $W = 60$ km, dipping 11° towards the coast at the depth of 10 km in the interplate region, corresponding to an earthquake of $Mw \sim 8.0$, according to the relation:

$$Mw = \log_{10}(A) + 4.0,$$

where A is the area in km^2 (Utsu and Seki, 1954; Wyss, 1979, Singh *et al.*, 1980). To this case, we are using an upper limit of $Mw \sim 8.0$ obtained by seismic information (Pacheco *et al.*, 1997).

The total area is composed by ten segments with individual subareas $A_i = 30 \times 30$ km^2 . The seismic moment Mo_i of each one of the segments may be varied by varying the coseismic dislocation (d_i) according to the relationship $Mo_i = \mu A_i d_i$, to fit the moment magnitude of the earthquake (Hanks and Kanamori, 1979). Moment estimates assume a rigidity modulus $\mu = 5 \times 10^{11}$ $dyne/cm^2$):

$$Mw = \frac{2}{3} \log_{10} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{10} Mo_i \right) - 10.7.$$




Figure 4. Map of the region and location of rupture area and subareas (rectangles) assumed in the numerical modeling of tsunami. Black dots indicate locations where tsunami run-up heights (hc) were surveyed by Ortiz *et al.*, (1995). Black triangles indicate VTG locations where model results or synthetic run-up values (hm) were obtained.

The coseismic vertical deformation of the seafloor as produced by the buried fault plane is computed by using the dislocation model of Mansinha and Smylie, (1971), by prescribing a reverse fault mechanism on each one of the segments. For the tsunami initial condition, the sea-level change was taken to be the same as the sea floor uplift calculated from the dislocation model.

The propagation of the tsunami was simulated by the vertically integrated long-wave equations (Pedlosky, 1979):

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{M} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial t} + gh \nabla \eta = 0$$

In these equations, t is time, η is the vertical displacement of the water surface above the equipotential level, h is the depth of the water column, g is the gravity acceleration, and \mathbf{M} is the vector of the discharge fluxes in longitudinal and latitudinal directions. These equations are solved in a spherical coordinate system by the method of finite differences with Leap-Frog scheme (Goto *et al.*, 1997). In the computation, the time step was set to 1 sec, and a grid spacing of 27 sec was used for the whole region, whereas a grid spacing of 3 sec was used to describe the shallow areas.

For near shore bathymetry, from 1000 m depth to the coast, we used data from local navigational charts, while for depths greater than 1000 m we used data from the ETOPO-2 data set (Smith and Sandwell, 1997).

A digital terrain model (cells of 10×10 m²) for mapping the observed tsunami run-up values, as well as the synthetic ones resulting from the tsunami model, was interpolated from the digital topographic data set (GEMA), obtained by photogrammetry in a grid spacing of 3 sec in the years 1980-1990 (INEGI, 1994).

Results

Model results or synthetic tsunamis are heights and times of arrival of wave trains within the first ten hours after the quake at locations defined here as virtual tide gauges (VTG), distributed along the coasts of Jalisco and Colima as illustrated in Figure 4. Synthetic run-

up values are defined here as the maximum height (hm) of the synthetic tsunami above the sea level at the tsunami arrival time, at each one of the VTG locations.

Rupture area and dislocation

The initial hypothesis considered the offshore rupture area $L = 150$ km and $W = 60$ km with a maximum coseismic dislocation of 4 m (Pacheco *et al.*, 1997). However, by setting $d_i = 4$ m for the ten segments, all of the resulting synthetic run-up values (hm) overestimate the corresponding observed ones (hc). Guided by our prejudice that causal faults slipped with simple geometry, and that seafloor deformation field should be homogeneous and "smooth" we varied d_i smoothly as to obtain our best fit between hm_i and hc by setting up to 1 m the dislocation of the fault plane for the first 60 km along the fault plane starting from its NW edge (offshore Chalcatepec and Chamela), and to 3 m the dislocation of the next 90 km (offshore Tenacatita, Navidad and Manzanillo). For 19 of the 23 surveyed sites, the resulting synthetic run-up values are within 20% of the observed ones. Larger differences (less than 30%) are observed at the Bays of Chamela, Tenacatita, and Melaque, probably by the effects of local bathymetry. Observed and synthetic run-up values are shown in Table 1. The moment magnitude for this heterogeneous fault model is $Mw7.93$.

A series of plots (Figure 5) illustrate the sensitivity of the results (hm) by shifting the location of the fault plane 30 km onshore; 30 km NW, and 30 km SW, respectively from our preferred location illustrated in Figure 4.

As expected, by shifting the fault plane 30 km onshore, most of the synthetic run-up values are 40% smaller than the observed ones; by shifting the fault 30 km NW, some of the synthetics are 50% larger than the ones observed in Chamela, and 40% smaller in Manzanillo; while by shifting the fault 30 SE, most of the synthetics underestimate the observed ones, with exception of the ones in Manzanillo.

Arrival times

Synthetic tsunami waveforms at VTG's are shown in Figure 6; the synthetic tsunami arrival time in Jalisco is $9 \leq t \leq 12$ minutes after the quake, while the time of the maximum wave height is $39 \leq t \leq 46$ minutes, with exception at El Tecuán where the maximum occurs at $t = 72$ minutes.




Figure 5. Observed h_c versus synthetic h_m run-up values obtained for the coast of Jalisco and Colima: a) by considering the rupture area proposed in Figure 4; b) by shifting the rupture area 30 km onshore; c) by shifting the rupture area 30 km NW; d) by shifting the rupture area 30 km SE. The origin of the distance axis corresponds to the NW edge of the fault plane illustrated in Figure 4.

Extent of flooding

By mapping observed run-up values as well as synthetic ones along the coast, we delineated the extent of flooding by the tsunami (Figure 7). In general, the flooding is extending in a strip of 50 m wide from the shoreline. Larger flooding areas are observed in Chalacatepec, the northern part of Chamela Bay, and in the Bays of Tenacatita and Navidad along rivers beds and wetland areas, where eyewitnesses reported that the tsunami penetrated up to 400 m inland. These maps can be used for urban planning and preparedness for large local tsunamis as the one occurred in 1995.

Conclusions

We model the source mechanism of the October 9, 1995 Jalisco-Colima earthquake as constrained by field survey reports of tsunami run-up and extent of flooding, and on the numerical simulation of the tsunami by considering an earthquake ($M_w \sim 8.0$) with rupture area $A = 9000 \text{ km}^2$, $L = 150 \text{ km}$, $W = 60 \text{ km}$, with two different coseismic dislocation patches: 1 m the dislocation of the first 60 km along the fault plane starting from its NW edge (offshore Chalacatepec and Chamela), and 3 m the dislocation of the next 90 km (offshore Tenacatita, Navidad and Manzanillo).

As expected, the source mechanism of the earthquake obtained in this study is a simplified model in comparison of the seismic source obtained by inverting seismic and GPS data. However, the fact that this simplified model reproduces adequately the location of the rupture area and its coseismic dislocation, is still important in order to provide some degree of certainty for the tsunami source of those historical tsunamis for which no seismic nor tide records are available, and only testimonies and vague relates of its effects are documented.

Acknowledgments

We thank CONACYT for the scholarship to support the PhD student Elizabeth Trejo in the graduate program BEMARENA at the University of Guadalajara. To anonymous reviewers for their helpful review. We also acknowledge CONACYT-FOMIXJAL support for the Project M2012-08-189963 "Caracterización del Peligro Sísmico y Tsunamigénico asociado a la estructura cortical del contacto Placa de Rivera-Bloque Jalisco (TSUJAL)". TSUJAL Project CGL2011-29474-C02-01, Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Dirección General de Investigación y Gestión del Plan Nacional de I+D+i, España.




Figure 6. Synthetic tsunami waveforms at obtained the VTG locations illustrated in Figure 4.




Figure 7. Extent of flooding by the October 9, 1995 Jalisco-Colima tsunami by mapping observed run-up values as well as synthetic ones along the coast of Jalisco and Colima: a) synthetic run-up values (hm) along the coast of Jalisco and Colima; b, c, and d) enlarged view of the extent of flooding in Chalacatepec, Chamela, and Tena-catita, respectively.

Bibliography

Courboux F., Singh S.K., Pacheco J.F., Ammon C.J., 1997, The 1995 Colima Jalisco, Mexico, Earthquake (Mw8): A Study of the Rupture Process. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24, 1019-1022.

Goto C., Ogawa Y., Shuto N., Imamura F., 1997, IUGG/IOC TIME Project: Numerical Method of Tsunami Simulation with the Leap-Frog Scheme. *Manual and Guides*, 35, 4, Intergovt. Oceanogr. Comm. of UNESCO, Paris.

Hanks T.C., Kanamori H., 1979, A Moment Magnitude Scale, 1979. *J. Geophys. Res. SE.*, 84, B5, 2348-2350. DOI:10.1029/JB084iB05p02348.

INEGI, 1994, Geo Modelo de Altitud del Territorio Nacional (GEMA-1994). Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía (INEGI), Aguascalientes, México.

Kostoglodov V., Bandy W., 1995, Seismotectonic Constraints on the Convergence Rate Between the Rivera and North American Plates. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100. DOI:10.1029/95JB01484.

Luhr J., Nelson S., Allan J., Carmichael I., 1985, Active Rifting in Southwestern Mexico: Manifestations of an Incipient Eastward Spreading-ridge jump. *Geology*, 13, 54-57.

Luhr J., Nelson S., Allan J., Carmichael I., 1985, Active Rifting in Southwestern Mexico: Manifestations of an Incipient Eastward Spreading-ridge jump. *Geology*, 13, 54-57.

- Mansinha L., Smylie E., 1971, The Displacement Field of Inclined *Faults*. *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, 61, 1433-1440.
- Núñez-Cornú F.J., Ortiz M., Sánchez J.J., 2008, The Great 1787 Mexican Tsunami. *Nat. Haz.*, 47, 569-576. DOI:10.1007/s11069-008-9239-1.
- Núñez-Cornú F.J., 2011, Peligro Sísmico en el Bloque Jalisco. *Física de la Tierra*, 23, 199-299.
- Núñez-Cornú F.J., Reyes-Dávila G.A., Rutz-López M., Trejo-Gómez E., Camarena-García M.A., Ramírez-Vázquez C.A., 2004, The 2003 Armería, Mexico Earthquake (Mw7.4): Mainshock and Early Aftershocks. *Seis. Res. Lett.*, 75, 6, 734-743.
- Núñez-Cornú F.J., Rutz López M., Márquez Ramírez V., Suárez-Plascencia C., Trejo Gómez E., 2010, Using an Enhanced Dataset for Reassessing the Source Region of the 2003 Armería, México Earthquake. *Pure and App Geoph.*, 168, 1293-1302. (ISSN: 0033-4553). DOI 10.1007/s00024-010-0178-x.
- Ortiz M., González J.I., Reyes J., Nava C., Torres E., Saenz G., Arrieta J., 1995, Efectos Costeros del Tsunami del 9 de Octubre de 1995 en la Costa de Colima y Jalisco, Informe Técnico. Centro de Investigación Científica y de Educación Superior de Ensenada (CICESE), Baja California, México, 19 pp.
- Ortiz M., Kostoglodov V., Singh S.K., Pacheco J., 2000, New Constraints on the Uplift of October 9, 1995 Jalisco-Colima Earthquake (Mw8) Based on the Analysis of Tsunami Records at Manzanillo and Navidad, Mexico. *Geofísica Internacional*, 39, 349-357.
- Ortiz M., Singh S.K., Pacheco J., Kostoglodov V., 1998, Rupture Length of the October 9, 1995 Colima-Jalisco earthquake (Mw8) estimated from Tsunami Data. *Geophys. Res.*, 25, 2857-2860.
- Pacheco J., Singh S.K., Domínguez J., Hurtado A., Quintanar L., Jiménez Z., Yamamoto J., Gutiérrez C., Santoyo S., Bandy W., Guzmán M., Kostoglodov V., 1997, The October 9, 1995 Colima-Jalisco Mexico Earthquake (Mw8): and Aftershock Study and Comparison of this Earthquake with those of 1932. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24, 17, 2223-2226.
- Pedlosky J., 1979, *Geophysical Fluid Dynamics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 664 pp.
- Rutz López M., Núñez Cornú F.J., Suárez Plascencia C., 2013, Study of Seismic Clusters at Bahía de Banderas Region, Mexico. *Geofísica Internacional*, 52, 1, 59-72.
- Sánchez A.J., Farreras S., 1993, Catalog of Tsunamis on the Western Coast of Mexico, World Data Center A for Solid Earth Geophysics. Publication SE-50, National Geophysical Data Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Washington, 94 pp.
- Singh S.K., Bazan E., Estevas L., 1980, Expected Earthquake Magnitude from a Fault. *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, 70, 903-914.
- Singh S.K., Ponce L., Nishenko S. P., 1985, The Great Jalisco, México, Earthquakes of 1932: Subduction of the Rivera plate. *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, 75, 1301-1313.
- Smith W.H.F., Sandwell D.T., 1997, Global Sea Floor Topography from Satellite Altimetry and Ship Depth Soundings. *Science*, 277, 1956-1962.
- Utsu T., Seki A., 1954, A Relation Between the Area of Aftershock Region and the Energy of Main Shock (in Japanese). *J. Seism. Soc. Jap.*, 7, 233-240.
- Wyss M., 1979, Estimation Maximum Expectable Magnitude of Earthquakes from Fault Dimensions. *Geology*, 7, 336-340.