## Close-to-native bone repair via tissue engineered endochondral ossification approaches

Sara Nadine<sup>1</sup>, Inês J. Fernandes<sup>1</sup>, Clara R. Correia<sup>1\*</sup>, João F. Mano<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CICECO – Aveiro Institute of Materials, Department of Chemistry, University of Aveiro, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

\*Corresponding authors: claracorreia@ua.pt, jmano@ua.pt

### Abstract

In order to solve the clinical challenges related to bone grafting, several tissue engineering (TE) strategies have been proposed to repair critical-sized defects. Generally, the classical TE approaches are designed to promote bone repair via intramembranous ossification (IMO). Although promising, strategies that direct the osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem/stromal cells are usually characterized by a lack of functional vascular supply, often resulting in necrotic cores and subsequent failure to integrate the implant. A less explored alternative is the engineering of bone constructs through a cartilage-mediated approach, resembling the embryological process of endochondral ossification (ECO). The remodeling of an intermediary hypertrophic cartilaginous template triggers vascular invasion and bone tissue deposition. Thus, employing this knowledge can be a promising direction for the next generation of bone TE constructs. This review highlights the most recent biomimetic strategies for applying ECO in bone TE while summarizing and discussing the plethora of cell types, culture conditions, and biomaterials essential to promote a successful bone regeneration process.

## 1. Introduction

Bone Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine (TERM) strategies aim to solve challenges related to large bone non-unions and defects caused by trauma, congenital anomalies, infection, or surgical resection. Although bone tissue presents an incredible intrinsic self-repair capability, large defects may result in the cessation of the regenerative process.<sup>1,2</sup> Moreover, unhealthy conditions, such as osteoporosis, diabetes, and genetic factors, increase the chances of suffering a fracture or delayed repair.<sup>1</sup> Bone grafting has been widely employed over the last years and remains the gold standard for repairing large bone defects.<sup>2–4</sup> Although these surgical procedures are generally successful, 10% of the bone grafts do not fully recover, which results in bone non-unions. Furthermore, these techniques are invasive and painful and may lead to disease transmission, infection, and compatibility issues.<sup>2,3,5</sup>

During the last decades, less invasive surgery techniques have been emerging. For instance, kyphoplasty is used to repair spine compression fractures through a minimally invasive half-inch incision, followed by poly(methyl methacrylate) injection to create an internal cast in the fractured cavity.<sup>6,7</sup> Likewise, applying robots to support or perform surgery, such as Mako®, allows total hip replacement with more precision and less blood loss.<sup>8</sup> However, these minimally invasive surgeries are unsuccessful in treating most large bone defects. To overcome these drawbacks, advanced bone TE strategies are being developed to replace the use of inert prostheses and improve bone grafting. Although inert biomaterials, such as bioceramics and biomedical metals, can replace the function and structure of the bone tissue, the lack of bioactivity and biodegradability make them unattractive for long-term implantation.<sup>9</sup> Biomaterial scientists aim to create smart constructs that can fully integrate with the host's environment while improving vascularization. Therefore, the design of biomaterials has been getting closer to the architecture of the native scenario of bone.<sup>10</sup>

One of the main focuses of developing biomimetic engineered bone strategies is the recapitulation of the ontological processes that occur during bone formation/healing. In the native environment, bone is formed by two distinct mechanisms, namely endochondral and intramembranous ossification.<sup>11</sup> Briefly, endochondral ossification (ECO) is characterized by the chondrogenic differentiation of recruited mesenchymal stem/stromal cells (MSCs), forming an initial cartilaginous template, followed by hypertrophic differentiation that will result in the release of pro-angiogenic factors. The release of such biomolecules consequently leads to the vascularization and remodeling of the previously formed cartilaginous template, giving rise to newly deposited bone tissue. In the case of intramembranous ossification (IMO), MSCs are directly stimulated to differentiate into bone cells, namely osteoblasts, which later deposit bone matrix.<sup>12</sup> Most bone TE strategies have been mimicking the IMO process to generate bone tissue. Seeding undifferentiated MSCs on scaffolds, utilizing cell-free approaches loaded with bone-stimulating growth factors, adding mechanical signals, dynamic stimuli, or co-culturing with other cell phenotypes are successful examples employed to induce direct osteogenic differentiation of osteoprogenitor cells.<sup>13–17</sup> However, one of the major barriers that hamper the translation of IMO-based TE strategies into the clinics is the lack of a functional vascular supply of the generated tissues.<sup>18</sup> Some authors have observed that the implantation of MSC-seeded scaffolds in the presence of osteogenic supplementation factors affects cell viability, resulting in necrotic cores and avascular implants.<sup>19,20</sup> Additionally, the *in vitro* osteogenic differentiation of cell-laden scaffolds can block the entrance of the host's regenerative macrophages, impairing the remodeling of the implanted tissue and new bone formation.<sup>20</sup> The in vitro pre-vascularization of the IMO-engineered tissues might be a tactic to enhance the perfusion of the calcified matrix by the host's blood vessels. More recently, the recapitulation of ECO has been increasingly explored as an alternative to overcome these limitations. One of the reasons is that

cartilage cells are physiologically adapted to avascular conditions. Besides, hypertrophic chondrocytes secrete osteogenic factors to transform the cartilage template into bone tissue, while they also release angiogenic factors that induce tissue vascularization.<sup>21</sup> Comparisons between IMO and ECO-based strategies were already evaluated in vitro and in vivo.<sup>22-24</sup> For instance, Thompson and colleagues, analyzed the efficacy of ECO-based constructs over conventional IMO approaches by seeding MSCs in collagen-hyaluronic acid scaffolds and priming cells along chondrogenic or osteogenic lineages. Although both methods supported new bone formation when implanted in rat critical-sized calvarial defects, micro-CT and histomorphometry showed significantly higher levels of mature bone deposition in ECO-based constructs after 8 weeks of implantation. Additionally, the histological analysis of the chondrogenically primed constructs indicated the presence of osteocytes and lamellar-like structures surrounded by osteoblasts, typical characteristics of cortical bone.<sup>22</sup> Besides mature bone deposition, TE strategies based on ECO approaches were shown to influence vascularization positively compared to IMO constructs. For instance, the implantation of polycaprolactone scaffolds seeded with chondrogenic primed MSCs in mouse critical-sized cranial defects significantly enhanced vessel infiltration compared to osteogenic primed and unprimed MSCs.<sup>23</sup> Although it is also expected to observe blood vessel invasion in IMO constructs, ECO strategies have been shown to express higher levels of endothelial cell markers and pro-angiogenic factors and support greater bone remodeling.<sup>22,25</sup> It is well known that during ECO, hypertrophic chondrocytes express vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), leading to cartilage blood vessel invasion.<sup>26</sup> However, the therapeutic application of VEGF itself or loaded in scaffolds implies its release at supraphysiological levels due to its narrow therapeutic window, which may be potentially toxic.<sup>27</sup> Therefore, ECO constructs are interesting from a clinical point of view as they can continuously produce a cocktail of angiogenic molecules that may substitute the exogenous media factors usually added to scaffolds.

This review highlights the most recent and successful biomimetic strategies for applying ECO in bone TE while describing which type of cells, culture conditions, and biomaterials can be leveraged to up-scale tissue-engineered hypertrophic cartilaginous grafts suitable for promoting bone regeneration through ECO. Ultimately, future trends in biomaterial science that may accelerate the ECO approach towards implementation in a clinical setting will be discussed.

## 2. Recapitulation of the endochondral ossification process after bone fracture

ECO is a biological process responsible for creating every bone below the skull in human beings, except the clavicle.<sup>2</sup> This process begins in the second month of gestation and goes to adulthood; however, the majority of the process occurs until birth. Most critical-sized fractures are regenerated at some level via ECO, even though IMO can co-occur. While IMO occurs predominantly in the

periosteum through the direct differentiation of MSCs into osteoblasts, ECO occurs mainly at the center of the fracture, where a cartilaginous callus is formed and remodeled into mature bone.<sup>28</sup> **Figure 1** represents an overview of the ECO process during fracture healing. The inflammatory phase



is the first step following a fracture, and it is characterized by the release of several mediators that recruit and activate inflammatory cells. Then, molecules such as fibroblast growth factor (FGF), transforming growth factor- $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ ), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), insulin-like growth factor (IGF), and bone morphogenic proteins (BMPs) are implicated in the recruitment and differentiation of osteoprogenitor cells. After migration and differentiation of cells from the periosteum and bone marrow, endothelial cells, fibroblasts, and osteoblasts promote the granulation tissue formation at the gap zone. At the same time, macrophages regulate early angiogenesis.<sup>29,30</sup> Afterwards, MSCs present in the created fibrovascular tissue differentiate into chondrocytes or osteoblasts.<sup>31</sup> At this stage, chondrocytes lay down extracellular matrix (ECM) rich in collagen type II (Col II), aggrecan, and sulfated glycosaminoglycans (GAGs), giving rise to cartilage callus bridges that stabilize the fracture.<sup>32</sup> Inside this template, chondrocytes enter into a hypertrophic state, distinguished by the production of Col X, secreting key angiogenic growth factors, including VEGF and PDGF, to facilitate the cartilage callus vascular invasion. Then, hypertrophic chondrocytes express osteogenic markers, including alkaline phosphatase (ALP), matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-13, osteocalcin, osteopontin, and BMP-6, to promote the calcification of the cartilage matrix.<sup>2,18</sup> Following cartilage calcification, osteoblasts migrate and invade the acellular cartilage matrix along with blood vessels to produce the hard callus. More recently, it was found that osteoblasts are not just brought by the periosteal bud but also result from a chondrocyte-to-osteoblast transformation.<sup>31</sup> The created marrow spaces are obtained though the apoptosis of hypertrophic chondrocytes and osteoblasts. Remodeling is the last stage of fracture repair, which is characterized by the degradation of woven bone and its replacement with mature lamellar bone. Osteoclasts are key components of callus remodeling.33

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the bone endochondral ossification repair process.

## 2.1. Regulation of the hypertrophic stage during endochondral ossification

The molecular and cellular mechanisms that control the hypertrophic stage during ECO are highly complex processes that have not been elucidated in detail. The regulation of hypertrophy is performed through local and systemic factors. Sox9, Runx2, and BMPs are transcription and growth factors crucial for the viability and development of chondrocytes, which are regulated through several signaling pathways (**Figure 2**).<sup>34</sup> For instance, after the condensation of MSCs, the transcription factor Sox9 is expressed to promote the differentiation into chondrocytes. When Sox9 is not expressed, the cartilaginous template is not formed, as shown in a knockout mice model.<sup>35</sup> After chondrogenic differentiation, the expression of Sox9 decreases since this transcription factor inhibits chondrogenic hypertrophy.<sup>36</sup> The lack of Sox9 expression can also result in the absence of Col X, indicating that the expression of Sox9 is essential for the existence of a hypertrophic stage.<sup>37</sup> Sox5 and Sox6 also play fundamental roles during cartilage development. Although mice deficient in Sox5 or Sox6 alone survive with mild skeletal dysplasia, the formation of cartilage in Sox5/Sox6 double-knockout mice is harshly compromised.<sup>38</sup>

The Indian hedgehog (IHH) factor signaling pathway promotes the chondrocytes' proliferation and differentiation, which is controlled through the IHH-parathyroid hormone-related protein (PTHrp) feedback loop. The regulation of these two pathways is made by negative feedback, which regulates the growth of the proliferative region and makes the connection between cortical and longitudinal bone formation.<sup>39</sup> Briefly, PTHrp is induced by the IHH signal to promote chondrocyte proliferation, while PTHrp acts on PTH/PTHrp receptors (PPR) to block chondrocyte terminal differentiation. The chondrocyte hypertrophy may increase when the IHH signal is absent and the expression of PHHrp is reduced.<sup>40,41</sup> Scotti *et al.* highlighted the importance of the IHH pathway in the maturation of the cartilaginous template of ECO. For that, a cyclopamine derivative was used to perform a functional inhibition of the IHH pathway. Results showed that the expression of genes involved in the IHH and parathyroid hormone signaling and chondrogenic/hypertrophic and osteogenic genes were significantly reduced.<sup>42</sup> Of note, IHH is only involved in osteoblastogenesis during ECO and not during IMO, and thus it is hypothesized that it may influence the chondrocyte-to-osteoblast transformation.<sup>43</sup>

Runx2 is a vital transcription factor for regulating chondrocyte hypertrophy and differentiation. Different studies evidenced that the lack of Runx2 inhibits chondrocytes from undergoing hypertrophy.<sup>44</sup> Another important molecule is the core binding factor- $\beta$  (CBF- $\beta$ ), a co-activator of Runx2, CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein- $\beta$  (*C/EBP-\beta*), and activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4), which are critical mediators of hypertrophy in chondrocytes.<sup>45</sup> Runx2 also contributes to Osterix (Osx) expression, an essential transcription factor required for osteoblastogenesis during

ECO and IMO. Particularly, in ECO, Osx stimulates the expression of MMP-13, which is responsible for the calcification and degradation of cartilage templates.<sup>35</sup>

BMPs, which belong to the TGF- $\beta$  family, are critical mediators during ECO, namely in the regulation of proliferation and differentiation of MSCs-derived chondrocytes.<sup>46</sup> For instance, BMP-2, 4, and 7 have been shown to regulate the expression of Sox9 and stimulate ECO through the transcription of Runx2.<sup>47,48</sup> Differently, BMP-3 can stimulate the maturation of terminal hypertrophic chondrocytes.<sup>49</sup>

The canonical form of the Wnt signaling pathway is also a key player in mediating the hypertrophic state of chondrocytes. Wnt canonical pathway controls the activation of  $\beta$ -catenin, which binds to lymphoid enhancer factor and T cell factor proteins. The resultant complex can promote the expression of Runx2, which induces hypertrophy. This phenomenon only occurs when the Wnt canonical pathway is activated; otherwise,  $\beta$ -catenin is degraded.<sup>50</sup> In that scenario, chondrocytes will not be able to undergo hypertrophy, and consequently, a hyaline-like cartilaginous template is obtained, expressing high levels of Col II and aggrecan.<sup>43,51</sup> Moreover, the Wnt pathway seems to be involved in regulating chondrocyte-to-osteoblast transformation since when the  $\beta$ -catenin gene was deleted from hypertrophic chondrocytes, bone formation substantially decreased.<sup>52</sup> Inhibition or enhancement of this signaling pathway has been shown to control cartilage and bone formation in an on/off fashion.<sup>53,54</sup> Furthermore, the activation of the Wnt pathway during the chondrocyte-to-osteoblast transformation.<sup>53</sup>

Notch signaling is another important pathway in the ECO process and is particularly relevant during hypertrophic maturation.<sup>43,55</sup> Notch signaling pathway is triggered by cell-to-cell contact. When the receptor binds to ligands from hypertrophic chondrocytes, it leads to the proteolytic cleavage of the Notch receptor extracellular domain. Thus, it forms a complex with specific nucleus molecules, and consequently, the gene is transcripted. When the Notch pathway is inhibited during the final stages of ECO, the bone healing process is jeopardized, originating in shorter limbs composed of high populations of hypertrophic chondrocytes.<sup>56</sup> Notch is also crucial for the preservation of osteochondroprogenitor's multipotency.<sup>55</sup> Likewise, the TGF-β signaling pathway can control chondrocyte proliferation and differentiation since it can suppress Runx2, stabilize Sox9, and induce the expression of BMP-2.<sup>57,58</sup> In the perichondrium, FGF-18 and IGF-1 are produced to inhibit the proliferation of proliferative chondrocytes.<sup>59,60</sup>



**Figure 2.** Regulation of chondrogenic differentiation and hypertrophy. The transcription factor Sox9 is crucial for the chondrogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells, while Runx2 is necessary for chondrocyte maturation.

## 3. Design principles for endochondral tissue engineering

## 3.1. Cell sources for bone formation

MSCs are the most used source of cells for bone engineering due to their fast proliferation, multipotency, self-renewal capacity, and ability to secrete a wide range of cytokines and growth factors.<sup>18,61</sup> Such secreted bioactive molecules have anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive effects, which allow MSCs to regulate inflammation while promoting tissue regeneration.<sup>61–63</sup> One of the main advantages of using MSCs-based strategies is due to their immune privilege characteristic since they do not trigger an immune response.<sup>64,65</sup> Additionally, these cells have crucial roles in the ECO process. MSCs can easily differentiate into chondrocytes and osteoblasts, enhance cell proliferation and differentiation, and turn the microenvironment auspicious to chondrocytes to become hypertrophic.<sup>66</sup> MSCs are present in several tissues, including bone marrow, adipose tissue, synovial membrane, periosteal, and umbilical cord.<sup>18,67</sup> The selection process must consider the specificities of each tissue and the advantages/disadvantages of each isolation procedure. Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (BMMSCs) have been the most used source of cells in bone TE strategies, specifically those recapitulating the ECO process, due to their extensive characterization in vitro and well-established isolation protocols.<sup>18</sup> During the healing process, BMMSCs are recruited to the injury site, indicating that these cells are highly sensitive to the release of bioactive molecules. In fact, these cells show appetence to respond to several molecules released after bone damage, inflammation, and bone repair.<sup>18</sup> Another advantage is that bone marrow is a rich source of BMMSCs.<sup>68</sup> However, the highly invasive harvesting procedure as well as the decline of the quantity and differentiation ability of BMMSCs with increasing donor age and *in vitro* passage, are major disadvantages.<sup>69</sup> BMMSCs-based TE strategies have shown the capacity to regenerate bone defects in several animal models. In most strategies, BMMSCs were applied in ECO approaches by differentiating into chondrocytes, achieving a hypertrophic phenotype *in vitro*, and then developing bone-like tissues *in vivo*.<sup>19,22,25,70–73</sup> For instance, ceramic scaffolds seeded with BMMSCs have been successfully tested in a clinical trial to repair large bone defects. Impressive results were obtained *in vivo*, as after 7 years, the implants showed good integration without any fracture.<sup>74</sup>

On the other hand, adipose-derived mesenchymal stem/stromal cells (ASCs) are becoming highly attractive in TE, mainly due to their ease of harvest and high abundance. Additionally, isolating ASCs through the minimally invasive liposuction procedure adds value to a tissue that is normally discarded.<sup>69,75</sup> ASCs have been incorporated into different scaffolds to construct bone grafts. For instance, osteogenically-induced autologous ASCs seeded in scaffolds composed of polylactic acid coated with fibronectin<sup>76</sup> or in a coral graft<sup>77</sup> showed enhanced bone healing in rabbit and canine models, respectively. Interestingly, ASCs were also tested in a clinical trial by seeding them in  $\beta$ tricalcium phosphate scaffolds combined with BMP-2 to develop a microvascular custom-made ectopic bone flap. The combination of ASCs with the osteoconductive scaffold allowed the development of well-ossified and vascularized constructs.<sup>78</sup> ASCs combined with fibrin glue and cancellous bone grafts were also utilized to treat severe calvarial defects. Results showed deposition of new bone and almost complete calvarial continuity.<sup>79</sup> More recently, fractionated human adipose tissue was obtained by washing and shuffling liposuction samples through syringes until obtaining small tissue particles. Instead of isolating ASCs, the particles were cultured in a proliferation medium combined with a dispersion of ceramic granules. Afterward, ASCs differentiated within the composites into hypertrophic chondrocytes. The composites were implanted in nude mice, resulting in reproducible bone and bone marrow-like tissue.<sup>80</sup>

Umbilical cord-derived stem/stromal cells (UCMSCs) have also been utilized for bone-engineered constructs due to their promising characteristics. The umbilical cord is a perinatal tissue rich in MSCs. These cells are at a development level between adult MSCs and embryonic stem/stromal cells (ESCs). One of the advantages is that collecting and banking UCMSCs after delivery has become a trendy procedure.<sup>81</sup> In the past, the umbilical cord was considered a poor source of MSCs. However, the isolation protocols have been significantly improved, and nowadays, the umbilical cord is regarded as a promising source, allowing to obtain UCMSCs with high efficiency.<sup>82</sup> The UCMSCs' immune properties have been tested, and it was found that they also have immunosuppressive properties and low immunogenicity, indicating that it may be possible to utilize them in allogeneic transplants.<sup>83–86</sup> UCMSCs demonstrate similar biological and therapeutic properties compared to BMMSCs and ASCs.<sup>84</sup> Comparisons between MSCs isolated from bone marrow, adipose tissue, and umbilical cord were performed by evaluating their morphology, easiness of isolation, colony

frequency, expansion potential, ability to differentiate into multiple types of cells, and immune phenotype.<sup>69</sup> Although no differences were found regarding the morphology and phenotype, ASCs and BMMSCs successfully differentiated into the classical triple lineage, while UCMSCs could not undergo adipogenic differentiation. Additionally, the isolation yield of UCMSCs was the lowest (63%) compared to its counterparts (100%). In contrast, UCMSCs showed the highest proliferation potential.<sup>69</sup>

The dental pulp is another interesting source of MSCs that would otherwise be discarded during dentist interventions. Dental pulp-derived MSCs (DPMSCs) present good angiogenic and osteogenic potential and were already applied in an *in vivo* ECO experiment.<sup>89–93</sup> In that experiment, DPMSCs were seeded in collagen scaffolds, and subsequently implanted in calvaria critical defects. DPMSCs were not induced to undergo chondrogenic differentiation before implantation. During a follow-up of three months, it was possible to observe DPMSCs undergoing chondrogenic differentiation, chondrogenic hypertrophy, and finally, developing new bone tissue.<sup>92</sup> Periosteum-derived cells (PDCs) are another cellular source that has become of great interest for bone-engineered strategies. These progenitor cells present in the outer layer of bone structures are recruited during bone repair and have been shown to support both chondrogenesis and osteogenesis.<sup>30</sup> Interestingly, PDCs present an improved bone regenerative capacity compared to BMMSCs in vivo. Moreover, PDCs show higher levels of proliferation, clonogenicity, and differentiation into chondrogenic lineages than bone marrow-derived counterparts.<sup>94</sup> Due to the contribution of PDCs cells during the formation of the cartilaginous soft callus, they have been utilized for bone TE strategies. For instance, PDCs were used to create callus organoids in vitro until achieving an intermediate cartilage stage. Afterward, the organoids were seeded in an agarose mold, fused for 24 hours, and implanted in murine critical-sized defects. X-ray and micro-CT scans exhibited the full bridge of the gap, resulting from the formation of cortical-like bone tissue with a nonmineralized compartment, suggesting the presence of a medullary cavity containing bone marrow.95 However, PDCs can present different profiles and properties depending on the anatomical locations. For instance, scaffolds seeded with tibial and mandibular PDCs were able to promote mineralization 8 weeks after ectopic implantation, while maxilla-derived PDCs did not.96

During the initial acute immune response, macrophages are recruited to the injury site and remain *in situ* until the end of the regeneration process. Macrophages are one of the first cells that arrive at the injury place, cleaning cell debris and protecting the tissue from pathogens.<sup>97</sup> Furthermore, these immune cells produce growth factors to recruit other cell phenotypes to start natural bone regeneration. Macrophages are key elements in the bone regenerative process due to their plasticity. Macrophages' phenotype polarizes depending on the stimuli from the surrounding environment.<sup>98</sup> During the early stages of bone repair, macrophages tend to assume the M1 pro-inflammatory

phenotype. Following the normal regenerative process, macrophages switch to the M2 antiinflammatory phenotype. Only an efficient and timely switch from the M1 to the M2 macrophage phenotype results in an appropriate production of molecular cues to support bone regeneration. More recently, the specific role of macrophages during ECO regenerative process has been studied. For instance, Schlundt *et al.* observed the effect of macrophages in the early and late stages of bone regeneration, namely during inflammation and ossification, respectively.<sup>99</sup> The reduction of macrophages using clodronate liposomes *in vivo* resulted in no significant effects on the early stages of bone healing. However, the reduced number of macrophages affected the ECO, specifically the maturation of the chondrogenic stages towards the woven bone formation. Furthermore, it was concluded that M2 macrophages were essential to occur ECO. The stimulation of macrophages into a pro-healing M2 phenotype in a collagen scaffold with IL-4 and IL-13 improved bone formation *in vivo*, with significantly higher callus and bone volumes when compared with a control collagen scaffold only with PBS treatment.<sup>99</sup>

Another option for bone TE strategies is utilizing immature or differentiated progenitor cells, such as chondrocytes and chondroprogenitors, for endochondral bone repair. Porcine articular chondrocytes combined with polycaprolactone (PCL) scaffolds loaded with BMP-2 successfully recreated the ECO process *in vitro*. Upon implantation, bone formation occurred, but only in the periphery of the scaffold.<sup>100</sup> Chick embryo chondrocytes were also employed to produce bone following *in vivo* implantation.<sup>101</sup> Although chondrocytes are an appealing source of cells for ECO, they present limited proliferation and may become hypertrophic after implantation. Chondroprogenitor cells are also primed for chondrogenic differentiation, and their expansion does not modify differentiation.<sup>102</sup>

The endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) can also be helpful for ECO strategies. EPCs, which are derived from mononuclear cells, can be applied to enhance vascularization during ECO.<sup>103</sup> It is possible to obtain two distinct populations of EPCs: early and late EPCs.<sup>104</sup> Usually, late EPCs are the most employed in TE strategies and are named after their late outgrowth potential. Interestingly, EPCs can potentially promote osteogenic differentiation of MSCs via the MAPK-dependent pathway.<sup>105</sup> Peripheral blood CD34<sup>+</sup> cells and fracture hematoma-derived cells have also been considered appealing for bone regeneration. Matsumoto and colleagues transplanted these cells intravenously in athymic nude rats to verify if the fracture healing is supported by vasculogenesis and osteogenesis via regenerative plasticity of CD34<sup>+</sup> cells. Results indicated that peripheral blood CD34<sup>+</sup> cells enhance bone regeneration by ECO.<sup>106</sup>

Although several studies utilize only one type of cells to recreate the ECO process, co-culture systems have been applied to better mimic what happens in the native environment following a bone injury, where several types of cells are recruited and interact together to proceed with bone repair. Their

crosstalk is crucial for successful bone regeneration to occur.<sup>107</sup> For example, MSCs directly influence macrophage polarization and regulate part of the immune response. On the other hand, the proteins secreted by these immune cells regulate the behavior of MSCs, and the progress of ECO.<sup>62,66</sup> Co-culture systems are being adopted, for example, to overcome vascularization problems. As previously explained, MSCs play a crucial role in cell inter-communication during ECO, including during vascularization. The produced angiogenic factors by MSCs induce the differentiation of endothelial cells. Subsequently, endothelial cells release osteogenic factors that lead to MSCs differentiation.<sup>108</sup> In an attempt to enhance bone formation, Correia et al. co-cultured human ASCs and human adipose-derived microvascular endothelial cells (hAMECs) in semi-permeable and liquified capsules, with or without osteogenic supplementation. Results showed that osteogenesis was enhanced compared to monocultures of ASCs, even without supplemental osteogenic differentiation factors.<sup>109</sup> Using the same system, in vivo implantation was performed with or without in vitro pre-differentiation of human ASCs.<sup>110</sup> Interestingly, the co-culture system without any predifferentiation obtained similar mineralization levels in vivo than the former pre-differentiated ones. Such results evidence that the cues secreted by endothelial cells successfully induced the osteogenic differentiation of ASCs, thus highlighting the importance of cell-cell direct contact and signaling. The same encapsulation system was also employed in two different approaches: the co-culture of ASCs with human primary osteoblasts and the triculture of UCMSCs, human umbilical vein endothelial cells, and macrophages.<sup>13,17</sup> The results were similar, with better osteogenic differentiation and mineralization in cocultures and tricultures compared to monocultures.

### 3.2. In vitro culture conditions to reproduce ECO process

In the literature, there is no consensus on the conditions, medium composition, and days of culture necessary to recapitulate *in vitro* the ECO process. The cell type chosen is an important topic that dictates the endochondral priming medium formulation. Usually, the chondrogenic differentiation of MSCs induces a certain degree of hypertrophy, although an additional *in vitro* hypertrophic priming step is typically applied.<sup>42,111</sup> The chondrogenic differentiation medium is commonly composed of Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with penicillin/streptomycin, sodium pyruvate, L-proline, L-ascorbic acid 2-phosphate, linoleic acid, bovine serum albumin, insulin-transferrin-selenium premix, and dexamethasone, along with various growth factors.<sup>111</sup> Besides the chondrogenic medium, some growth factors are likewise crucial during early-stage and terminal MSCs differentiation and chondrogenic phenotype maintenance. For instance, the TGF- $\beta$  superfamily is polyvalent, inducing chondrogenesis, proliferation, matrix deposition in MSCs, and hypertrophy, thus acting in all the essential stages of endochondral bone formation.<sup>18,112</sup> Supplementing the culture medium with TGF- $\beta$ 2 and TGF- $\beta$ 3 seems to promote chondrogenesis,

hypertrophy, and vascularization in MSCs. On the other hand, it is described that TGF- $\beta$ 3, together with  $\beta$ -glycerophosphate ( $\beta$ -GP), induces a higher level of mineralization than the combination of TGF- $\beta$ 1 and  $\beta$ -GP.<sup>73</sup> TGF- $\beta$  along with thyroid hormones in the culture medium for 14 days accelerate MSCs-derived chondrocytes entering into a hypertrophic state.<sup>113</sup> Furthermore, BMPs have an active role in bone homeostasis. In particular, supplementation with BMP-6 increased the expression of Col X, one of the main ECO markers of hypertrophic chondrocytes.<sup>114</sup>

VEGF is a crucial factor in angiogenesis that directly influences ECO. During ECO, hypertrophic chondrocytes produce VEGF to recruit the host blood vessels that invade the diaphysis by the periosteal bud.<sup>62</sup> The inhibition of VEGF expression results in lower neovascularization and modifies the behavior of hypertrophic chondrocytes, harming the bone repair process. Therefore, this growth factor is crucial for enhancing endochondral bone regeneration and vascularization.<sup>115</sup> Furthermore, the presence of VEGF seems necessary to recruit MSCs to the injured site. VEGF is present in the platelet-rich plasma. Besides VEGF, platelet-rich plasma possesses several other growth factors, including PDGF, TGF-β, and IGF. Strategies using platelet-rich plasma can simultaneously improve angiogenesis and bone formation while showing improved results compared with VEGF.<sup>116</sup> All the described constituents of platelet-rich plasma seem to have a beneficial effect on bone regeneration. PDGF has been shown to improve vascularization during bone regeneration, induce the proliferation of osteoblasts, and increase VEGF production by endothelial cells.<sup>117</sup> When recombinant human PDGF was administered in Sprague-Dawley rats, higher bone density and strength could be observed, resulting in a bone regeneration improvement.<sup>118</sup> IGF influences endothelial cell recruitment and tubular formation. However, its effect on vascularization during bone repair is unknown.<sup>119</sup> The inhibition of IGF triggered the production of bone with lower density and shorter hypertrophic zones, evidencing its relation with bone development.<sup>120</sup>

Several ECO approaches utilize ascorbic acid (AA),  $\beta$ -GP, and dexamethasone in low amounts as supplements to achieve hypertrophy of MSCs-derived chondrocytes, as shown in **Tables 1** and **2** (ECO scaffold-based and scaffold-free approaches). These three components are well-known osteogenic differentiation factors used in IMO approaches. Dexamethasone induces and regulates RUNX2 expression in hypertrophic chondrocytes. AA enhances Col I production by MSCs.  $\beta$ -GP provides phosphate for the mineralization stage, stimulating the production of hydroxyapatite, while its inorganic phosphate also regulates osteogenic pathways.<sup>121</sup> Thyroxine, triiodothyronine, and IL-1 $\beta$  have also been employed to promote hypertrophic markers' expression or improve cartilage remodeling.<sup>42,122,123</sup> Of note, previously to the hypertrophic stimulus, it is required that MSCs differentiate into chondrocytes to create the cartilaginous template. For that, MSCs are required to be in a 3D environment, which can be achieved by creating cell aggregates or seeding MSCs in 3D bioengineered matrices.<sup>124</sup> Ultimately, MSCs are stimulated to differentiate into chondrocytes by *in*  *vitro* culture for 2-4 weeks in a chondrogenic medium. Usually, mineralization occurs after three weeks of culture in a chondrogenic differentiation medium, followed by two weeks in a hypertrophic medium. The different *in vitro* and *in vivo* ECO models and the respective culture conditions described in the literature are summarized in **Tables 1** and **2**. Overall, there is no consensus in the literature considering the time required for the chondrogenic and hypertrophic *in vitro* stimuli as well as the supplementary factors necessary for each culture medium.

# **3.3.** Current tissue engineering approaches: how to achieve endochondral ossification *in vitro* and *in vivo*

Building an ECO strategy is a complex process with many variants. There is a need to select the type of cells, the proper supplementation, the composition of the scaffold, as well as if the study will be performed *in vitro* or *in vivo*. Furthermore, the strategy can combine materials and cells, named scaffold-based approaches, or utilize them individually, entitled cell-free and scaffold-free approaches (**Figure 3**). In the last decades, increasing TE strategies have been envisioned to mimic the ECO process. Regardless of the differences between the scaffold-based, cell-free, and scaffold-free approaches, the TE construct must provide biochemical, mechanical, or structural cues to promote tissue repair after implantation.<sup>125</sup> In fact, the design of TE strategies should consider essential features for proper bone tissue regeneration, including biocompatibility, biodegradability, osteoinductivity, osteoconductivity, and appropriate surface properties.<sup>75</sup> **Figure 4** identifies the cell types and biomaterials more employed in each strategy.



**Figure 3.** Examples of different ECO-based strategies. (A) Schematic representation of the bioprinted cartilaginous templates fabrication process. Micro-CT analysis of bone formation in the defects after 12 weeks of implantation. Reprinted with permission<sup>144</sup>. Copyright 2022, Elsevier. (B) Schematic representation of the fabrication of the hybrid scaffold and *in vivo* implantation. Representative photomicrographs of hematoxylin and eosin staining of calvarial defects at 9 weeks of post-surgery. The control, PCL/nHA, and PCL/nHA+HPCP groups are respectively represented. NB, new bone; S, scaffold. Reprinted with permission<sup>126</sup>. Copyright 2020, Ivyspring International Publisher. (C) Representative sections of callus organoids. Nano-CT 3D rendering images over time of defect and cross-section of 3D rendering of the native tibia. Reprinted with permission<sup>95</sup>. Copyright 2019, Wiley-VCH. (D) Hematoxylin and eosin and immunohistochemical staining of VEGF of the scaffolds in tibial defects after 2 weeks of surgery. Porous structure of plotted  $\beta$ -TCP scaffolds with different pore-size. Reprinted with permission<sup>127</sup>. Copyright 2019, Wiley-VCH

## 3.3.1. Scaffold-based approaches

The most common strategy in TE relies on combining materials and cells to repair damaged tissue. During this review, this type of technology was named as scaffold-based approach. In the TE field, creating a 3D structure closer to the native tissue environment is vital to control cell behavior. However, the ECM produced by cells can be insufficient; thus, scaffolds are usually employed to support cell attachment, proliferation, and differentiation. Additionally, scaffolds fill the tissue defect and support the surrounding tissue when implanted.<sup>128,129</sup> The scaffolds should allow the exchange of gases, nutrients, and growth factors, to increase the cell survival rate.<sup>74</sup> Additionally, the ability of the implanted biomaterial to recruit and allow the invasion of the host cells and blood vessels are of utmost importance. When the focus is bone regeneration, the factors secreted by hypertrophic chondrocytes are what distinguish ECO from IMO repair. These factors induce blood vessel invasion, the recruitment of more cells and factors, and the differentiation of MSCs into osteocytes. Therefore, it is vital that the features of a scaffold, namely the porosity, interconnection of the pore network, topography, and geometry, are designed to allow penetration by the host's blood vessels while driving recruited cells' differentiation following implantation.<sup>130–132</sup>

Collagen is one of the most used polymers in ECO since cartilaginous and osteogenic matrices are rich in Col I. Ultrafoam<sup>TM</sup>, a commercially available Col I mesh, seeded with ASCs, was already successfully utilized in ECO approaches.<sup>80</sup> NuOss<sup>TM</sup>, CopiOs<sup>TM</sup>, Bio-Oss<sup>®</sup>, Collagraft<sup>TM,</sup> and Vitoss® are also commercially available collagen scaffolds tested for bone repair by ECO. These scaffolds contain calcium phosphate particles with natural hydroxyapatite (NuOss<sup>TM</sup>, Bio-Oss<sup>®</sup>), 35%  $\beta$ -tricalcium phosphate ( $\beta$ -TCP), 65% synthetic hydroxyapatite (Collagraft<sup>TM</sup>), only  $\beta$ -TCP (Vitoss®) or dibasic calcium phosphate (CopiOs<sup>TM</sup>).<sup>133</sup> For instance, BMMSCs were seeded in Col I scaffolds and sequentially cultured in chondrogenic and hypertrophic media to recapitulate ECO process.<sup>134</sup> The constructs were then implanted in nude mice for 12 weeks. Interestingly, such a strategy was able to mimic the typical processes associated with bone development, mature vasculature formation, response to inflammatory signals, and large bone marrow spaces capable of hosting hematopoietic stem cells.<sup>134</sup> In a different strategy, BMMSCs were cultured in two different scaffolds: collagen-hyaluronic acid (CHyA) and collagen-hydroxyapatite (CHA). Constructs were sequentially cultured in chondrogenic and hypertrophic media and then implanted in bone defects of immune-competent Fischer F344 rats. Both ECO constructs enhanced in vivo vascularization, which might be explained due to the secretion of pro-angiogenic factors. CHvA constructs presented the highest cartilage formation in vitro and the highest bone formation in vivo.<sup>22</sup> Besides collagen, other types of biomaterials are being proposed. For instance, nano-hydroxyapatite (nHA) and PCL were bioprinted to create a hybrid scaffold that improves the mechanical properties of chitin-derived hydrogels (Figure 3B). BMMSCs were loaded into a hydroxypropyl chitin hydrogel (HPCH), and then the hydrogel was perfused into the 3D-printed scaffold of PCL/nHA. The control condition consisted of constructs with 3D-printed scaffolds without the HPCH. The compression tests demonstrated that the PCL/nHA scaffold successfully improved the mechanical features of the hydrogel. The interactions between RAW264.7 macrophages and the BMMSCs-loaded hybrid construct were also evaluated. Briefly, BMMSCs within the hybrid construct induced the polarization of macrophages into an M2 phenotype, which showed the ability to recruit rat-derived ECs *in vitro*. RT-PCR analysis showed an enhanced expression of VEGF by the macrophages and their polarization into a pro-healing phenotype. Afterward, the constructs were implanted in calvarial defects of Sprague Dawley rats for 9 weeks. Micro-CT, H&E, and Masson's trichrome staining results showed improved bone repair and tissue vascularization using the constructs compared to the controls. Remarkably, both chondrogenic, hypertrophic, and osteogenic gene expression indicated that bone tissue was being repaired via the ECO approach, although cells were not previously primed into the chondrogenic lineage *in vitro*.<sup>126</sup>

The inflammatory response following the implantation of scaffolds can represent an obstacle to occur appropriate bone tissue repair. Therefore, biomaterials with anti-inflammatory properties are an interesting strategy, which has been particularly explored in ECO strategies. To explore this subject, polyethylene glycol (PEG) scaffolds coated with ceria nanoparticles were utilized to create an ECO strategy.<sup>135</sup> Ceria nanoparticles are tolerable and effective against inflammation. Therefore, BMMSCs were seeded in these scaffolds and subsequently induced to differentiate into the chondrogenic lineage, followed by a hypertrophic lineage. Afterward, such constructs were implanted in nude mice and Friend leukemia virus B/N mice for 12 weeks to obtain ectopic bone formation and *in situ* bone repair. Constructs were analyzed by micro-CT and different histologic stainings and compared with non-coated PEG scaffolds. Although both scaffolds showed an appropriate restoration of the defects, only coated scaffolds could reconstruct the medullary cavity while bonding the two sides of the defect. The ectopic bone formation experiments showed an improved bone formation in coated scaffolds, as well as a higher expression of MMP13, which is known to induce angiogenesis.<sup>135</sup>

Autophagy of BMMSCs-derived chondrocytes is beneficial for these cells because it protects them from oxidative stress while promoting chondrocyte hypertrophy. Thus, an ECO approach utilized mangiferin, an antioxidant compound, combined with BMMSCs to understand if autophagy and chondrocyte hypertrophy were promoted. The BMMSCs were induced to differentiate into the chondrogenic lineage for 2 weeks and then seeded in a demineralized bone matrix. Afterward, cells were induced to differentiate into hypertrophic chondrocytes for 2 weeks, with and without (control) mangiferin treatment. Such constructs were implanted in mouse middle femoral defect models for 8 weeks. Initially, to test if the mangiferin was able to protect cells from the effects of the hypoxia condition, treated and non-treated hypertrophic chondrocytes in the demineralized bone matrix were

exposed to cobalt chloride to mimic the hypoxia-induced injuries *in vitro*. Results showed that mangiferin (20 and 100 mM of concentration) protected the hypertrophic chondrocytes and improved cell viability in hypoxia-induced conditions. The *in vivo* experiments demonstrated that mangiferin promoted AMPK phosphorylation and, consequently, induced cell autophagy while enhancing bone repair via ECO.<sup>136</sup>

The 3D bioprinting technology was also proposed to promote ECO and improve vascularization. Pitacco and colleagues bioprinted cartilaginous templates to assess their ability to repair critical-sized bone defects.<sup>144</sup> BMMSCs were loaded into fibrin-based bioinks and bioprinted into a PCL frame to reinforce the engineered constructs (**Figure 3A**). After chondrogenic priming, the constructs were implanted subcutaneously in nude mice for 12 weeks. Results demonstrated that chondrogenic differentiation was necessary to occur mineralization. Afterward, the constructs were submitted to a second priming in order to produce an early hypertrophic state. Ultimately, these chondrogenic and early hypertrophic constructs were implanted in a 5-mm rat femoral defect and were compared to a positive control (collagen – nHA scaffold, loaded with BMP-2). Results demonstrate that the early hypertrophic priming supported higher levels of vascularization and spatially distinct patterns of new formation compared to the positive control.<sup>144</sup>

In another study, 3D constructs of gelatin methacryloyl (GelMA) hydrogel containing BMMSCs and microchannel interconnected networks were printed using a sacrificial pluronic ink. Constructs without microchannels and untreated animals were used as control. During the first 2 weeks, scaffolds were exposed to 5% partial pressure of oxygen and then to 20% partial pressure of oxygen. Ultimately, the scaffolds were implanted in critical-sized defects of immune-competent Fischer rats. Results showed that the partial pressure of oxygen was important to improve the bone healing process compared to untreated scaffolds. Interestingly, the constructs without microchannels (solid templates) supported the highest levels of total bone formation. However, osteoclast/immune cell invasion, hydrogel degradation, and vascularization was only achieved in the presence of microchannels.<sup>137</sup> Indeed, hypertrophic chondrocytes in the native tissue are exposed to hypoxia conditions; however, most of the hypertrophic chondrocytes used in ECO approaches are differentiated from MSCs cultured in normal oxygen conditions. This circumstance often leads to a decrease in the survival rate of the cells.<sup>136</sup> In another study, BMMSCs aggregates were stimulated in a chondrogenic medium for 35 days using two different oxygen tensions, 2.5% O<sub>2</sub> (hypoxia) or 21% O<sub>2</sub> (normoxia).<sup>138</sup> Results revealed that normoxia enhanced hypertrophy in vitro, while hypoxia resulted in hyaline cartilage formation and expression of hypertrophy inhibitors. The BMMSCs aggregates were encapsulated in sodium alginate hydrogels and implanted in mice for 5 weeks. Hydrogels with hypoxic treatment maintained an avascular cartilage-like ECM, while those subjected to normoxia were highly invaded by the host vessels. These studies demonstrate that it is possible to program MSCs metabolically by providing different oxygen tensions. Importantly, these approaches can effectively direct chondrogenic differentiation and develop permanent articular cartilage or hypertrophic cartilage to be later implanted and support ECO. <sup>137,138</sup> Taken together, high levels of hypoxia improve chondrogenic differentiation of MSCs, up-regulating Col II and aggrecan markers while suppressing chondrogenic hypertrophy, down-regulating the expression of Col X, MMP-13, and ALP.<sup>51</sup>

Another possibility to employ a close-to-native ECM is to use decellularized ECM. BMMSCs were cultured in the appropriate differentiation culture media to obtain chondrocytes, hypertrophic chondrocytes, or osteoblasts. Subsequently, the multiphenotypic cells were cultured in a poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA)-collagen hybrid mesh and then decellularized to get the respective ECM. Afterward, BMMSCs were cultured in the ECM scaffolds. Results showed that hypertrophic ECM significantly enhanced osteogenic differentiation compared to the other conditions. However, such conditions also presented the lowest cell proliferation levels.<sup>139</sup>

The scaffold-based approaches were pioneers in overcoming the issues related to cell delivery. This type of approach joins the advantage of using cells while avoiding the dispersion of the core contents to peripheral regions of the body after implantation. Additionally, the biomaterials can prime the seeded cells to undergo differentiation. For instance, ECO approaches that utilize scaffolds usually intend to accelerate the differentiation process of MSCs. Therefore, the materials may present biochemical and mechanical cues that will guide cell differentiation and controlled-delivery systems of nutrients and growth factors, which can also turn these approaches more self-sufficient. Nevertheless, minimizing the amount of implanted biomaterials and cells may be the next generation of TE strategies. The "minimalistic-engineering" approaches claim that a biomaterial should guide the performance and recruitment of the host's cells to the defect site to balance the regenerative niche toward the healing process.<sup>140</sup> **Table 1** highlights promising examples reported in the literature of scaffold-based strategies aiming ECO repair.

Table 1– ECO scaffold-based approaches.

Abbreviations: β-GP – β-glycerophosphate; AA – Ascorbic acid; ATB – antibiotic-antimycotic; DEX – dexamethasone; DMEM - Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium; FBS – fetal bovine serum; ITS – insulin-transferrin-selenium; TGF – transforming growth factor.

Authors/		In vitro culture				In	
Reference	Cell type	Chondrogenic	Time	Hypertrophic	Time	vivo	Outcome
		supplementation	Time	supplementation	Time	study	
Marmotti <i>et</i> al. <sup>81</sup>	Umbilical cord MSCs	Chondrogenic differentiation Kit (EuroClone)	3 week s	10mM HEPES Buffer, 1mM Na pyruvate, 1% ATB, 1% ITS-A, 4.7 $\mu$ g/ml linoleic acid, 1.25mg/ml human serum albumin, 0.1 mM AA, 10 <sup>-8</sup> M DEX, 10 mM $\beta$ -GP, 0.05 $\mu$ M L-thyroxin	2 week s	-	After 3 weeks, the deposition of the cartilaginous matrix and a slight upregulation of <i>SOX-9</i> expression were observed. <i>CBFA-1</i> was upregulated in both endochondral and osteogenic control groups. After 5 weeks, <i>SOX-9</i> was downregulated, while CBFA-1 was upregulated.
Jukes et al. <sup>141</sup>	Embryoni c stem cells	100 nM DEX, 50 μg/ml AA, 100 μg/ml sodium pyruvate, 40 μg/ml proline, and ITS-plus.	3 week s	$10^{-7}$ M retinoic acid (first 3 days), 0.2 mM AA, 2.5 μM compactin and 0.01 M β-GP	3 week s	3 weeks	After being implanted in nude mice, it was possible to observe a gradual change of cartilage turning into bone. Bone tissue seemed to have a similar structure to bone marrow. Researchers observed that isolated chondrocytes did not produce the same results as derived chondrocytes since no signs of ECO were found.

Thompson <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> <sup>22</sup>	Bone marrow MSCs	20 ng/mL TGF-β3, 50 mg/mL AA, 40 mg/mL Proline, 100 nM DEX, 1xITS, 0.11 mg/mL sodium pyruvate	3 week s	1 nM DEX, 1xITS 1nM 1-thyroxine, 50 mg/mL AA, and 10mM β- GF	2 week s	8 weeks	CHyA and CHA ECO constructs enhanced <i>in vivo</i> vascularization. CHyA constructs presented the highest cartilage formation <i>in vitro</i> and the highest bone formation <i>in vivo</i> compared to CHA.
<b>Daly</b> <i>et al</i> . <sup>137</sup>	Bone marrow MSCs	100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin, 100 µg/ml sodium pyruvate, 40 µg/ml L-proline, 50 µg/ml AA, 4.7 µg/ml linoleic acid, 1.5 mg/ml BSA, 1xITS, 100 nM DEX, 2.5 µg/ml amphotericin B, 500 ng/ml BMP-2 and 10 ng/ml TGF-β3	2 week s	_	-	8 weeks	The bone deposition was not as high as in the positive control without microchannels. However, the scaffolds with microchannels showed an improved interaction with the host cells, enhanced vascularization, and hydrogel degradation.
Leijten et <i>al</i> . <sup>138</sup>	Bone marrow MSCs	10 ng/mL TGF-β3	5 week s	-	-	5 weeks	Oxygen tension was revealed to be an important factor in directing cell differentiation. Only normoxia-preconditioned scaffolds showed matrix calcification, vascularization, and evidence of bone repair through ECO.

Mikael <i>et al.</i> <sup>142</sup>	Bone marrow MSCs	10 nM TGF-β1, 1xITS, linoleic-BSA, 50 mg/mL AA, 100 mg/mL sodium pyruvate, 40 mg/mL proline and 100 nM DEX	2 week s	50 nM Thyroxine, 7 mM $\beta$ - GP, ITS+1, 50 $\mu$ g/mL AA, 100 $\mu$ g/mL sodium pyruvate, 40 $\mu$ g/mL proline and 0.01 $\mu$ M DEX.	2 week s	8 weeks	The newly deposited extracellular matrix recruited host cells and enhanced bone regeneration.
Li <i>et al.</i> <sup>135</sup>	Bone marrow MSCs	100 nM DEX, 1% (v/v) ITS, 40 μg/ml AA, 50 μg/ml proline, and 10 ng/ml TGF-β3	2 week s	100 ng/ml thyroxine, 1 nM DEX, 40 µg/ml AA, 1% (v/v) ITS, and 50 µg/ml proline.	2 week s	12 weeks	Although both coated- and non-coated PEG scaffolds almost completely repaired the defects, the coated-PEG scaffold reconstructed the medullary cavity while bonding the two sides of the defect. The ectopic bone formation experiments showed improved bone formation in coated scaffolds and higher expression of MMP13, which is known to induce angiogenesis.
<b>Bai</b> et al. <sup>136</sup>	Bone marrow MSCs	10 <sup>-7</sup> M DEX, 1% (v/v) ITS, 50 $\mu$ M AA, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 50 $\mu$ g/ml proline, and 20 ng/ml TGF- $\beta$ 3	2 week s	10 <sup>-8</sup> M DEX, 50 mM thyroxine, 250 μM AA, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 50 μg/ml proline,	2 week s	8 weeks	Mangiferin (20 and 100 mM) protected the hypertrophic chondrocytes and improved cell viability in hypoxia-induced conditions. The constructs were also implanted in mouse middle femoral defect models for 8 weeks. The <i>in vivo</i> experiments showed that mangiferin induced cells autophagy and enhanced the bone repair via ECO.

							The PCL/nHA scaffold successfully improved the
							mechanical features of the hydrogel. The
							BMMSCs-loaded constructs cultured in a
							Transwell plate with macrophages induced the
<b>Ji</b> <i>et al.</i> <sup>126</sup>	Bone					Q	production of angiogenic factors, such as VEGF, by
	marrow	-	-	-	-	vooks	the macrophages. Moreover, BMMSCs within the
	MSCs					WEEKS	constructs, when cultured with macrophages,
							induced M2 macrophage polarization. Improved
							bone repair and tissue vascularization were
							observed in vivo. Gene expression analysis
							indicated that bone was being repaired via ECO.
		Low glucose DMEM,					
		584 mg/ml L-glutamine,					BMMSCs were differentiated into chondrocytes
		100 units/ml penicillin,					hypertrophic chondrocytes or osteoblasts. The
		100 mg/ml					multiphenotypic cells were cultured in a
	Bone	streptomycin, 0.1 mM	2	Serum-free high glucose			poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PI GA)-collagen hybrid
Chen <i>et al</i> <sup>139</sup>	marrow	nonessential amino	2 week	DMEM, 7 mM β-GP, 10	1		mesh and then decellularized BMMSCs were
chen er ut.	MSCs	acids, 1 mM sodium	s	nM DEX, and IL-1 $\beta$ (50	week		cultured in the ECM scaffolds and results showed
	MBC5	pyruvate, 0.4 mM L-	5	pg/mL)			that hypertrophic FCM significantly enhanced
		proline, 50 mg/ml AA,					osteogenic differentiation compared to the other
		150 mg/ml AA, 10					conditions
		ng/ml TGF-b3, 100 nM					contrations.
		DEX, and 1% ITS1					

Scotti <i>et al.</i> <sup>134</sup>	Bone marrow MSCs	Serum-free chondrogenic medium	3 week s	Serum-free hypertrophic medium, 50 nM thyroxine, $7.0 \times 10^{-3}$ M $\beta$ -GP, $10^{-8}$ M DEX, $2.5 \times 10^{-4}$ M AA and IL-1 $\beta$ (50 pg/mL)	2 week s	12 weeks	BMMSCs were seeded in Col I scaffolds and sequentially cultured in chondrogenic and hypertrophic media. The strategy recapitulated the mature vasculature formation and developed a response to inflammatory signals and large bone marrow spaces.
Freeman <i>et al</i> . <sup>23</sup>	Bone marrow MSCs	HG DMEM, 10 ng/ml TGF-β3, 50 μg/ml AA, 4.7 μg/ml linoleic acid, 100 nM DEXA, and 1 × ITS	21 days	-	-	8 weeks	BMMSCs were seeded in PCL scaffolds. The constructs that were primed with chondrogenic differentiation medium induced more vessel recruitment throughout defects compared to intramembranous constructs. 50% of the animals treated with the endochondral constructs presented full bone union along the sagittal suture line.
<b>Xie</b> <i>et al.</i> <sup>143</sup>	Bone marrow MSCs	HG DMEM, 1% P/S, 1% sodium pyruvate, 1% ITS, $1 \times 10^{-7}$ DEX, 50 µg/mL AA and 10 ng/mL TGF- $\beta$ 3	3 week s	-	-	4 weeks	BMMSCs were seeded in hydrogel microspheres (MSs) by digital light-processing (DLP) printing. The developed osteo-callus organoids displayed stage-specific gene expression patterns that recapitulated the endochondral ossification process. Additionally, the osteo-callus organoids efficiently led to rapid bone regeneration within only 4 weeks.

Pitacco <i>et al.</i> <sup>144</sup>	Bone marrow MSCs	HG DMEM GlutaMAX, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin, 100 µg/ml sodium pyruvate, 40 µg/ml L-proline, 50 µg/ml AA, 4.7 µg/ml linoleic acid, 1.5 mg/ml BSA, 1x ITS, 100 nM DEX, 10 ng/ml TGF-β3 and Aprotinin at 5% pO2	3 week s	50 ng/ml rh-BMP-2 (early hypertrophic) or 50 nM L- thyroxine, 100 nM DEX, 250 μM AA and 10 mM β- GP (late hypertrophic)	2 week s	BMMSCs were incorporated into fibrin-based bioinks and bioprinted into PCL frameworks. After implantation, the constructs were rapidly remodeled into bone. Moreover, the early hypertrophic constructs supported higher vascularization and bone formation levels than the chondrogenic constructs.
Jeyakumar <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> <sup>145</sup>	Bone marrow MSCs	1% ITS, 1% BSA, 25 mM HEPES, DMEM high glucose, 100 nM DEX, 50 μg/mL AA, 10 ng/mL TGF-β1	2 week s	1 nM DEX, 50 µg/mL AA, 50 ng/mL L-Thyroxine, 10mM β-GP	6 week s	BMMSCs were seeded in decellularized cartilage ECM containing silk fibroin. Results showed that the hybrid biomaterial significantly affected the hypertrophy-mediated osteogenic differentiation of stem cells. The early hypertrophy markers decreased while the late hypertrophic markers increased.

						ECO was recapitulated <i>in vitro</i> by including an endothelial cell network in a BMMSCs-ECM
		HG-DMEM. 1% ATB.				tissue. Firstly, the BMMSCs-ECM tissue was
	Bone	0.1 μM DEX, 40 μg/ml		HG-DMEM, 10% FBS, 1%		primed with chondrogenic and osteogenic factors
Lin <i>et al.</i> , <sup>146</sup>	marrow	L-proline, $1 \times ITS$ , 50	14 dava	ATB, 0.1 μM DEX, 10 mM	14 deve	and then embedded in a gelatin/fibrin hydrogel
	MSCs	$\mu$ g/mL AA, and 10	uays	$\beta\text{-}GP,$ and 50 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ AA.	uays	seeded with BMMSCs and endothelial cells. The
		ng/mL TGF-β3				tissue constructs promoted endothelial cell network
						generation in vitro and significant blood vessel
						recruitment in vivo.

### 3.3.2. Scaffold-free approaches

The scaffold-free approaches include all the strategies that do not need cell adherence to a biomaterial.<sup>147</sup> This strategy is used when cells are able to produce enough ECM to support themselves. Usually, cells are employed as cell aggregates, tissue strands, or cell sheets. Furthermore, these building blocks should be able to merge and form larger structures.<sup>148,149</sup> One of the main advantages of using MSCs-based strategies is that cells can continuously provide an active resource of several cytokines and growth factors, eliminating the need for supplemental bioactive molecules.<sup>18,62</sup> On the other hand, the clinical translation of these strategies may be compromised since they rely on a series of *in vitro* cell manipulation techniques.<sup>150</sup> Some scaffold-free examples have been proposed to recapitulate the ECO process. For instance, ASCs aggregates were cultured sequentially in chondrogenic and hypertrophic media for different periods. After subcutaneous implantation in CD1 nu/nu nude mice for 8 weeks, a successful ECO could be noticed, including bone-like ECM formation, proper integration with the host vasculature, and the presence of bone marrow components.<sup>151</sup> In another strategy, BMMSCs cultured in a proliferation medium with AA for 10 days and then exposed to 0,25% trypsin-EDTA solution for 5-7 minutes achieved the deposition of a denser and well-structured ECM by promoting the deposition of insoluble collagen and the ECM contraction. The cell aggregates created by this protocol were sequentially cultured in a chondrogenic and osteogenic medium for 4 weeks each, to reproduce the ECO process. Samples were also cultured for 8 weeks in an osteogenic medium, representing the IMO approach (control). ECO constructs exhibited the highest expression of chondrogenic, hypertrophic, and osteogenic markers during all the experiments. Interestingly, ECO constructs showed higher Young's modulus compared to IMO. It was also possible to understand that at the beginning of the *in vitro* ECO process, the MSCs condensation was mediated by N-cadherin.<sup>25</sup> Wang and colleagues applied pulsed electromagnetic field (PEMF) stimuli to develop an in vitro chondrogenic and hypertrophic differentiation model.<sup>152</sup> Firstly, rat BMMSCs were cultured in a 3D pellet culture system using a chondrogenic medium and were divided into three groups. Such groups were exposed to different intensities of PEMF (1, 2, or 5 mT) for 4 weeks. The hypothesis was that PEMF induces MSCsderived chondrocytes to undergo hypertrophy without supplemental factors added to the culture medium. The three different PEMF intensities did not affect cell proliferation but seemed to decrease the maintenance of the cartilaginous template and accelerate the ECM degradation. Notably, 1 mT PEMF samples showed the highest expression of the hallmark of hypertrophic cartilage Col X compared with the other groups and the control (chondrogenic supplementation and no PEMF stimuli). Regarding the osteogenic evaluation, 1 mT PEMF condition resulted in higher expression of the BSP, Col I, and OSX markers in both experimental groups, with and without hypertrophic cues. These results showed that the last step of ECO, which means the formation of a bone-like tissue,

was promoted even without any hypertrophic cues. Nevertheless, it would have been important to analyze the behavior of endothelial cells in these conditions since the release of endothelial factors characterizes ECO approaches.<sup>152</sup> A controlled drug delivery system was created to promote ECO without the need for supplementation factors.<sup>153</sup> For that, BMMSCs aggregates were cultured in chondrogenic medium supplemented with TGF-\u00b31 and subsequently in osteogenic medium supplemented with BMP-2. GAGs and calcium content evaluation showed that 2 weeks of chondrogenic supplementation and 3 weeks of osteogenic supplementation led to improved chondrogenic and osteogenic differentiation levels. Then, TGF-β1 and BMP-2 were loaded in gelatin microparticles (GM) or mineral-coated hydroxyapatite microparticles (MCM), respectively, to test their release behavior. Researchers knew that TGF-β1 released by GM was faster due to previous works.<sup>154,155</sup> The control group consisted of cell aggregates cultured for the first 2 weeks with chondrogenic medium supplemented with TGF-\u00b31, then 3 weeks with osteogenic medium supplemented with BMP-2, by exogenous and repeated supplementation. Compared to the control, the system generated could accelerate chondrogenesis and osteogenesis at week 2, as proved by GAG quantification and ALP activity analysis. At the end of week 5, these aggregates showed higher mineralization levels and bone markers (calcium, Col I, OPN, OCN). Without requiring the repeated supplementation of the culture media, this system represents a solution to achieve faster implantation. Whereas others took 7 to 8 weeks to induce ECO, this model successfully reduced cell culture time to 5 weeks.<sup>153</sup> Another group developed BMMSCs pellets with local morphogen presentation. TGF- $\beta$ 1 was used to stimulate the condensation of BMMSCs. Then, the scaffold-free approach was implanted in critical-sized bone defects in rat femora for 12 weeks, utilizing mechanical loading as a cue to recapitulate ECO repair. Interestingly, the microCT and histological staining assays revealed that mechanical loading improved endochondral ossification bone repair; however, it decreased tissue vascularization.<sup>156</sup>

Few 3D models are replicating the ECO process *in vitro*. Sasaki *et al.*, utilized a BMMSCs (bulb/c mouse) scaffold-free constructs cultured under hypoxic conditions to reproduce the ECO process. The constructs were built by seeding the cells in the holes of a thermo-responsive poly-N-isopropylacrylamide hydrogel. After 12 hours of culture, the constructs could be collected by decreasing the temperature from 37°C to room temperature. Then, 3D constructs were cultured in hypoxia conditions and under osteoinductive stimulation factors to promote chondrogenesis and osteogenesis, respectively. Chondrogenic, hypertrophic, and osteogenic markers were evaluated for up to 50 days. Alcian blue, Col II, and Col X staining proved the cartilaginous nature of the constructs after 20 days of culture. Then, Von Kossa staining allowed to identify the formation of a mineralized core after 30 days of culture, which was identified as hydroxyapatite. Additionally, an *in vitro* angiogenesis model was utilized to study the angiogenic potential of the proposed constructs. Results

indicated that the construct had specific angiogenic activity depending on its maturity level.<sup>157</sup> Although the development of a 3D model replicating the ECO process could be a valuable tool to replace a portion of the high-cost *in vivo* experiments, the full replication of bone repair's physiological and morphological aspects is still challenging and far from reality.

Induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) are an interesting and increasingly used source of cells in TERM applications. A scaffold-free strategy employed iPSCs pellets to obtain bone repair by ECO. First, iPSCs were induced to differentiate into mesoderm germ layer cells. Subsequently, iPSCs were cultured in a chondrogenic differentiation medium, followed by a hypertrophic differentiation medium, both for 2 weeks in dynamic conditions. Ultimately, iPSCs pellets were implanted in a cranial bone defect model of Sprague-Dawley rats for 8 weeks. The tumorigenicity of the chondrogenic pellets was analyzed in vitro and in vivo, where no teratoma was observed. The cartilaginous pellets showed an enhanced expression of chondrogenic-related genes, decreased expression of pluripotent genes, and the deposition of cartilage ECM. ECO repair was analyzed by micro-CT and by histological and immunohistochemistry staining, evidencing well-vascularized bone tissue *in vivo*.<sup>158</sup> PDCs are also attractive to develop ECO-based strategies. After generating callus organoids in vitro using PDCs, the organoids were implanted in murine critical-sized defects. Results exhibited the full bridge of the gap (Figure 3C), resulting from the formation of cortical-like bone tissue with a nonmineralized compartment, suggesting the presence of a medullary cavity containing bone marrow.<sup>95</sup> Table 2 highlights promising examples reported in the literature of scaffold-free strategies aiming ECO repair.

Table 2– ECO scaffold-free approaches.

Abbreviations: β-GP – β-glycerophosphate; AA – Ascorbic acid; ATB – antibiotic-antimycotic; DEX – dexamethasone; DMEM - Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium; FBS – fetal bovine serum; ITS – insulin-transferrin-selenium; TGF – transforming growth factor.

Authors/	Cell	In vitro culture				In vivo		
Reference	type	Chondrogenic supplementation	Time	Hypertrophic supplementation	Time	study	Outcome	
Wang <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . <sup>152</sup>	Bone marro w MSCs	Cyagenkit100ml/LDEX,3ml/L AA, 10ml/LITS, 1ml/L sodiumpyruvate,1ml/Lproline and 10 ml/LTGF- β3	3 weeks	$10^{-8}$ M DEX, 2.5x10 <sup>-4</sup> M AA, 50nM thyroxine, 7x10 <sup>-3</sup> M β-GP	1 week	-	Results indicate that PEMF and hypertrophic supplementation may have caused the differentiation of hypertrophic chondrocytes into osteoblasts. This conclusion was reinforced by the high expression of osteogenic markers, namely BSP, Col I, and OSX. Even without the hypertrophic cues, 1 mT PEMF also presented a high expression of these markers.	
<b>Sasaki</b> et al. <sup>157</sup>	Bone marro w MSCs	-	-	$10^{-2}$ M $\beta$ -GP, 50 mg mL^{-1} AA and 1x10^- $^6$ M DEX	3 weeks	-	3D <i>in vitro</i> model to evaluate the angiogenic induction of the ECO process. Chondrogenic, hypertrophic, and osteogenic markers were evaluated. Cartilaginous aggregates showed a mineralized core, resembling the beginning of ECO. OPN was present but not OCN.	
Liu <i>et al.</i> <sup>25</sup>	Bone marro w MSCs	1% ATB, 1% ITS, 100 nM DEX, 50 μM AA, 23 μM L-	4 weeks	<ol> <li>1% ATB, 10%</li> <li>FBS, 5mM β-GP,</li> <li>10 nM DEX, and</li> <li>50 μg/mL AA.</li> </ol>	4 weeks	4 weeks	Chondrogenic, hypertrophic, and osteogenic markers were evaluated during the following 56 days. ECO constructs showed the highest expressions of chondrogenic, hypertrophic, and osteogenic markers. Moreover, samples were positioned between	

		proline, and 10 ng/mL TGF-β3			two flat platens connected to a mechanical sensor. Compressive forces were recorded up to 10% strain. The ECO-based MSCs- laden constructs presented a significantly higher Young's modulus than controls.
Zhang et al. <sup>158</sup>	iPSCs	10 ng/ml BMP-4,         10 ng/ml TGF-β3,         100 nM DEX, 50         µg/ml AA, 100 2         µg/ml sodium weeks         pyruvate, 40 µg/ml         proline, and ITS-         plus	10 ng/ml BMP-4, 1nM DEX, 50 µg/mlAA, 100 µg/mlsodium pyruvate,40 µg/ml proline,ITS-plus, 50 ng/mlthyroxine and 20mM β-GP	8 weeks	No teratoma was observed. The cartilaginous pellets showed an enhanced expression of chondrogenic-related genes, decreased expression of pluripotent genes, and deposition of cartilage ECM. Vascularized bone tissue was observed. Mechanical stimulation was also analyzed, and the results suggest that the shear stress enhances iPSCs differentiation into the mesodermal lineage through the Bmp-4-Smad signaling pathway.
Huang et al. <sup>159</sup>	Huma n adipos e tissue	10 ng/ml TGF-β3, 10 ng/ml BMP-6, 4 $10^{-5}$ M AA, and weeks $10^{-7}$ M DEX	0.01 M $\beta$ -GP, $10^{-7}$ M DEX, and $10^{-5}$ 2 weeks M AA	12 weeks	Hypertrophic cartilaginous constructs were obtained from human lipoaspirates. After implantation in rats calvarial defects, the hypertrophic cartilaginous constructs were shown to support bone regeneration via ECO.
McDermott et al. <sup>156</sup>	Bone marro w MSCs	1% ITS+ Premix, 1mMsodiumpyruvate, 100 μMnonessential aminoacids, 100 nM		12 weeks	The mechanical loading improved endochondral ossification bone repair; however, tissue vascularization was jeopardized.

		DEX, 0.13 mM AA and 1% P/S					
Osinga et al. <sup>151</sup>	Adipos e- derive d MSCs	10 ng/ml TGF-β3, $10^{-7}$ M DEX, 0.01 mM AA, and 10 ng/ml BMP-6	4 weeks	0.01 M $\beta$ -GB, 10 <sup>-8</sup> M DEX, 50 mM/L thyroxin, and 50 pg/ml IL1- $\beta$	2 weeks	8 weeks	ASCs aggregates were cultured sequentially in chondrogenic and hypertrophic media. After subcutaneous implantation, a successful ECO could be noticed, including bone-like ECM formation, proper integration with the host vasculature, and the presence of bone marrow components.
<b>Dang</b> <i>et al.</i> <sup>153</sup>	Bone marro w MSCs	10% ITS+ Premix,100 nM DEX, 37.5 $\mu$ g/ml AA, 1 mMsodium pyruvate,100 $\mu$ Mnonessential aminoacids,10 ng/mlTGF-β1	2 weeks	100 ng/ml BMP-2	3 weeks	-	A controlled drug delivery system was created to control chondrogenic and osteogenic differentiation. Compared to the control, the system generated could accelerate chondrogenesis and osteogenesis at week 2. At the end of week 5, these aggregates showed higher mineralization levels and bone markers.
Hall <i>et al.</i> <sup>95</sup>	Huma n periost eum- derive	LG-DMEM, 1% antibiotic- antimycotic, 1 $\times$ $10^{-3}$ M AA, 100 $\times$ $10^{-9}$ M DEX, 40 µg	21 days	-	-	8 weeks	Human periosteum-derived cells were utilized to generate microspheroids that are differentiated into callus organoids. The created callus organoids spontaneously assembled <i>in vitro</i> into large engineered tissues. The mature microspheroids were chondrogenically differentiated toward hypertrophy. After <i>in vivo</i>

	d cells	mL <sup>-1</sup> proline, 20 $\times$			implantation in a critical-sized defect, results demonstrated
	PDCs	$10^{-6}$ M of Rho-			presence of mineralization after 2 weeks, and bridging of defects
		kinase inhibitor			was detected after 4 weeks followed by increased corticalization
		Y27632, ITS+			until week 8.
		Premix, 100 ng			
		mL <sup>-1</sup> BMP-2, 100			
		ng $mL^{-1}$			
		growth/differentiati			
		on factor 5 (GDF5),			
		$10 \text{ ng } \text{mL}^{-1} \text{ TGF-}$			
		$\beta 1$ , 1 ng mL <sup>-1</sup>			
		BMP-6, and 0.2 ng			
		mL <sup>-1</sup> basic FGF-2			
		LG-DMEM, 1%			
		antibiotic-			
	Huma	antimycotic, $1 \times$			This work proposes a proof-of-concept on the feasibility of image-
	n	$10^{-3}$ M AA, 100 $\times$			guided robotic biomanufacturing of spheroid-based implants.
<b>Holl</b> at al 160	periost	$10^{-9}M$ DEX, 40 $\mu g$	21	4	After cartilaginous spheroids design and assembly, they were
Hall <i>et al</i> .	eum-	mL $^{-1}$ proline, 20 $\times$	days	weeks	implanted subcutaneously in order to investigate the influence of
	derive	$10^{-6}$ M of Rho-			spheroid fusion parameters on endochondral ossification.
	d cells	kinase inhibitor			
		Y27632, ITS+			
		Premix, 100 ng			

	mL <sup>-1</sup> BMP-2, 100 ng mL <sup>-1</sup> GDF5, 10 ng mL <sup>-1</sup> TGF- $\beta$ 1, 1 ng mL <sup>-1</sup> BMP-6, and 0.2 ng mL <sup>-1</sup> basic FGF-2		
Herberg et al. <sup>161</sup>	HG-DMEM, 1% ITS+ Premix, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 100 μM non-essential 8 days amino acids, 100 nM DEX, 0.13 mM AA, and 1% P/S	12 weeks	BMMSC tubes loaded with TGF-β1 and BMP-2 were proposed to recapitulate ECO. BMMSCs sheets were used as control. After orthotopic implantation, 75% of the defects implanted with BMMSCs tubes were bridged by week 12, while BMMSCs sheets yielded only 33% bridging.

### **3.3.3. Cell-free approaches**

Cell-free approaches only use biomaterial architecture and composition to induce ECO. Without cell transplantation, cell-free approaches present fewer disadvantages regarding ex vivo cell manipulation, the risk of developing tumors, and ethical discussion.<sup>162</sup> On the other hand, these approaches have to demonstrate the ability to recruit the host cells to the injury place and allow their differentiation in situ.<sup>142,163</sup> Some strategies incorporate bioactive factors to induce cell attachment, bone ingrowth, or blood vessel recruitment. Wojda and colleagues created a local delivery system of parathyroid hormone (PTH) to stimulate bone regeneration.<sup>164</sup> Several quantities of PTH (0, 1, 3, 10, or 30  $\mu$ g) were loaded in a thiol-ene hydrogel and then polymerized in and around a poly (propylene fumarate) (PPF) scaffold. The biomaterial was able to release 80% of PTH in 3 days. Usually, growth factors have a short half-life<sup>165</sup>, but the bioactivity of the biomolecule was confirmed and lasted until day 21. This biomaterial was tested in critical-sized femoral defects of Male Sprague–Dawley rats. There was no complete bone union; however, all samples in the 10 µg PTH condition evidenced ECO because the two sides of the defect were connected by bone or a mixture of bone and hypertrophic chondrocytes.<sup>164</sup> These are promising results compared with the other conditions and with nonloaded biomaterials conditions. Moreover, a controlled delivery system of BMP-2 and IL-8 was created using mesoporous bioactive glass coated with PEG (MBG/PEG) to promote ECO, particularly to improve stem cell recruitment and the creation of cartilage templates.<sup>166</sup> The authors intended a rapid release of IL-8 obtained through its absorption into a polymer without crosslinking bounds and a slower and prolonged release of BMP-2, obtained by trapping this molecule in mesopores with similar diameters. Initially, the controlled delivery of IL-8 and BMP-2 was studied in vitro by analyzing the profile release of both molecules. Then, the system was tested in vivo for 12 weeks in radius large defects of New Zealand white rabbits. IL-8 showed to increase the recruitment of stem cells compared to BMP-2. Additionally, IL-8 increased the expression of chondrogenic genes, which enhanced the formation of large cartilage templates and the expression of BMP receptors. Consequently, with the release of BMP-2 and a higher number of receptors of BMP molecules, the transformation of the templates into bone tissue was significantly boosted.<sup>166</sup> Generally, one of the disadvantages of using growth factors loaded in scaffolds to treat critical-sized defects is due to the supraphysiological quantity required, which may cause severe side effects.<sup>167</sup> Thus, various cell-free approaches do not use growth factors in their formulation because of their short half-life time, the increased costs, and possible immunogenicity and toxicity.<sup>165,168</sup> So, the next generation of approaches has provided other mechanical and structural cues to fulfill the lack of biochemical supplementation. For instance, the physiochemical properties of scaffolds can guide the biological processes toward endochondral regeneration. Scaffold porosity became increasingly relevant in bone repair and has been the subject of several studies. 3D printing  $\beta$ -tricalcium phosphate scaffolds with three different porous sizes, namely 100, 250, and 400  $\mu$ m, were implanted to improve bone repair (Figure 3D).<sup>127</sup> Calcium phosphate materials are widely applied in bone TE strategies.<sup>169–</sup> <sup>171</sup> The scaffolds were placed in tibia bone defects, a long bone model of New Zealand rabbits inherently associated with ECO repair. Animals were euthanized at weeks 1 and 2 and weeks 4 and 8 for vascularization and bone repair evaluation, respectively. During the two first time points, cells were collected from the scaffold, and their protein expression was analyzed by western blot (Sox9, Col II, Runx2, Col I, and VEGF). The 400 µm scaffold showed an increased expression of Sox9 and Col II in the first week and higher vascularization in the second week, assessed by VEGF staining and immunochemistry assay, than the other two scaffolds. At week 4, histological sections of the scaffold were used for H&E and Masson's trichrome staining, and the 400 µm scaffold presented more mineralized bone tissue, while the other conditions presented enhanced connective tissue. At the end of the experiment (week 8), the 400  $\mu$ m scaffold achieved the most significant bone repair outcome.<sup>127</sup> Beyond the importance of porosity of the scaffold, the pore alignment was also relevant in the induction of ECO. To prove it, three different collagen scaffolds, with a pore network alignment perpendicular to bone marrow or random alignment, were tested in vitro with seeded BMMSCs and then, in vivo, with cell-free implantation in femoral bone defects Sprague-Dawley rats.<sup>172</sup> The collagen scaffold with the same pore alignment as the bone marrow allowed to obtain ECO repair across the bone defect and higher host cell recruitment. Although tissue vascularization proved easier in the other scaffolds, the present vascularization was enough to improve tissue repair.<sup>172</sup> The photofunctionalization of biomaterials has been also employed to enhance the potential of bone regeneration. A biomaterial with a dome-like structure composed of cobalt-chromiummolybdenum alloy was tested with and without UV-C irradiation when implanted in rabbit tibiae. The goal was to understand if the photofunctionalization enhances bone formation. This approach showed bone formation by ECO; however, more conclusive results are needed.<sup>173</sup>

As mentioned before, hypoxia is described as a favorable condition to enhance ECO bone formation. Thus, a biomaterial able to generate hypoxia conditions was applied *in vitro* and *in vivo* to recapitulate the ECO process. This biomaterial consists of an injectable hydrogel constituted by poly(glycerol sebacate)-co-poly (ethylene glycol)/polyacrylic acid (PEGS/PAA) that induces hypoxia by iron-chelation. The experiment *in vitro* used macrophages-like cells and HUVECs to understand if the hydrogels with BMMSCs could polarize the macrophages and induce angiogenesis, respectively. Furthermore, the expression of the hypoxia-inducible factor 1 $\alpha$  by macrophage-like cells was evaluated because it induces early-stage chondrogenesis and late-stage angiogenesis in ECO process repair. The hypoxia condition caused the HIF-1 $\alpha$  expression and, consequently, bone repair. A stable HIF-1 $\alpha$  expression was essential to obtain higher efficiency ECO bone repair.<sup>163</sup>

During the bone repair process, both ECO and IMO can simultaneously occur.<sup>174</sup> Inspired by this concept, four nanocrystalline hydroxyapatite (nHA)–poly(thioketal urethane) cement were tested *in vivo* for bone repair in femoral defects of New Zealand White rabbits. The ossification and integration of the cement were analyzed for 18 months. Four types of cement were tested, an injectable cement and three putties, prepared by adding calcium phosphate, sucrose, or a combination of both. Calcium phosphate cement was utilized as a control. A combination of IMO and ECO was visible during cement integration; however, it was possible to verify the occurrence of ECO repair inside the created cement, while the controls only presented cement replacement by bone in the periphery.<sup>175</sup> The most promising cell-free strategies are summarized in **Table 3**.



**Figure 4.** Representation of the most utilized cell types and biomaterials in the different ECO approaches. Division of the ECO approaches could be categorized according to their use of cells (scaffold-free approaches), biomaterials (cell-free approaches), or a combination of both (scaffold-based approaches). Nomenclature: PPF - poly(propylene fumarate); PTH - parathyroid hormone; CoCrMo – cobalt-chromium-molybdenum alloy; PEGS/PAA - poly(glycerol sebacate)-co-poly (ethylene glycol)/polyacrylic acid.

Table 3. ECO cell-free approaches.							
Authors/ Reference	Biomaterial	Previous treatment/stimuli	In vivo study	Outcome			
Wojda <i>et al</i> . <sup>164</sup>	Poly-propylene fumarate (PPF)	Parathyroid hormone- loaded biomaterial	12 weeks	80% of PTH was released in the first 3 days, and the remaining amount was until day 14. The developed biomaterial was tested in critical-sized femoral defects in rats. There was no complete bone union; however, all samples in the 10 $\mu$ g PTH condition evidenced ECO since both sides of the defect were connected by bone or a mixture of bone and hypertrophic chondrocytes.			
<b>Diao</b> <i>et al</i> . <sup>127</sup>	β-tricalcium phosphate	Three different porous sizes: 100, 250, and 400 μm	8 weeks	Vascularization and bone repair evaluation was assessed for up to 8 weeks. The 400 $\mu$ m biomaterial showed an increased expression of chondrogenic markers and higher vascularization in the second week compared to the other two biomaterials. At week 4, the 400 $\mu$ m biomaterial presented more mineralized bone tissue, while the other conditions presented more connective tissue. This biomaterial achieved the most significant bone repair outcome at the end of the experiment.			
Petersen <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> <sup>172</sup>	Collagen	Three different porous alignments: Equal to the bone marrow, perpendicular to bone marrow, or random alignment	6 weeks	The collagen scaffold with the same pore alignment as the bone marrow allowed to obtain ECO repair across the bone defect and higher host cell recruitment. Although tissue vascularization proved easier in the other scaffolds, the present vascularization was enough to improve tissue repair.			

Zuchuat et al. <sup>173</sup>	Cobalt–chromium– molybdenum alloy	Photofunctionalization with UV-C irradiation	6 weeks	The results obtained by X-ray, micro-CT analysis, and H&E histology showed evidence of bone formation by ECO.
Lin et al. <sup>166</sup>	Mesoporous bioactive glass/ poly-(ethylene glycol) (MBG/PEG)	IL-8 and BMP-2 loaded biomaterial	12 weeks	The release of IL-8 enhanced stem cell recruitment and the expression of chondrogenic genes. Consequently, the formation of large cartilage templates and the expression of BMP receptors were enhanced. BMP-2 release resulted in an accelerated formation of bone tissue. The developed system obtained the highest bone repair results, presenting increased tissue mineralization.
McGough <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> <sup>175</sup>	Nanocrystalline Hydroxyapatite– Poly(thioketal urethane) Nanocomposites	Formulations: injectable, flowable cement and three moldable putties with varying ratios of calcium phosphate to sucrose granules	4, 12, and 18 months	Four nanocrystalline hydroxyapatite (nHA)–poly(thioketal urethane) cement were tested <i>in vivo</i> . The ossification and integration of the cement were analyzed for 18 months. A combination of IMO and ECO was visible during cement integration. It was possible to verify the occurrence of ECO repair inside the created cement.
Liu <i>et al</i> . <sup>176</sup>	Hierarchical macro/micro/nanoporous mesoporous bioglass (MBG) scaffold	DEX and rhBMP were loaded to the scaffold	4 weeks	DEX and rhBMP were loaded in a porous mesoporous bioglass scaffolds. The proposed scaffold stimulated the rapid chondrogenic differentiation by activating Hif- $3\alpha$ signaling pathway of MSCs.

### 4. Conclusions and future perspectives

The IMO process inspires most bone TE strategies. With the recent developments of bone TE ECO has been considered a key tool to solve the main drawback of the previews strategies, namely the lack of vascularization of the mineralized microtissues. The existence of an intermediate hypertrophic cartilage template allows the production of not only osteogenic but also angiogenic factors that induce bone formation/repair while recruiting the host blood vessels. ECO approaches reported in the literature are very diversified, using different cell types, biomaterials, culture media, and stimuli timings. The basic concepts of these development engineering strategies are now becoming clear. Regarding the types of cells employed, MSCs and ECs co-culture is the most promising setup due to the described crosstalk between them with the hypertrophic cartilage template in the native environment of a bone fracture. Thus, the combination of such co-culture with hypertrophic chondrocytes can promote the osteogenic differentiation of the MSCs and the recruitment of ECs. However, optimal co-culture conditions are not yet well established. The process of cell culture usually comprises two main steps. Firstly, the cells are cultured with chondrogenic supplementation factors to create the cartilage template. Subsequently, cells are cultured with hypertrophic supplementation factors, which are quite similar to osteogenic factors. The hypertrophic medium is essentially an osteogenic medium but applied to cartilaginous tissue, which results in a different output.

Besides the well-known scaffold-based approaches, there are also scaffold-free and cell-free approaches. Both approaches present examples where ECO repair is achieved. Of note, different scaffold-free approaches have been shown to enhance the ECO process, even without hypertrophic supplementation, by using, for example, pulsed electromagnetic field stimuli.<sup>152</sup> Additionally, iPSCs pellets previously differentiated into hypertrophic chondrocytes in vitro successfully created well-vascularized bone tissues after implantation and without teratoma creation. Therefore, banking multi-ethnic human leukocyte antigens (HLA)-homozygous iPSCs, and genome-editing strategies to engineer HLA matching in allogeneic settings via CRISPR-Cas9, can potentially replace the use of autologous cells.<sup>177–179</sup> Cell-free and growth factor-free approaches have also been demonstrated to enhance ECO repair, which could be achieved through the optimization of the network porosity or degradation rate of the scaffolds. In fact, the most important features of biomaterials for ECO approaches are the following: the diffusion of the nutrients; composition and stiffness, which should resemble the native ECM; and the porosity  $(\sim 100-400 \,\mu\text{m})$  that allows the scaffold invasion by blood vessels when implanted. Studying these physiochemical properties of scaffolds will enable the development of a strategy that guides the biological processes toward endochondral regeneration. Regarding biomaterials type, collagen is the most used polymer for ECO repair, although other natural and synthetic polymers are also being investigated. On the other hand, the "minimalist-engineering" concept should also be considered when designing new strategies. Reducing the amount of scaffolds while guiding the performance and recruitment of a patient's cells to the injury site to balance the regenerative niche toward the healing process should be the next step for better TERM approaches.<sup>140</sup>

Overall, the generation of *in vitro* ECO models remains reduced since most studies comprise the *in vivo* step for the hypertrophic state of previously primed cartilaginous templates. We believe that *in vitro* approaches can also be helpful for a better insight into the complete understanding and characterization of the ECO process. Furthermore, repairing critical-sized defects in human patients is challenging and presents several limitations, including time consumption and high costs. For instance, these engineered approaches need to fit the defect. Depending on the methodology, it may require a large amount of cells or the release of growth factors at supraphysiological levels to treat critical-sized defects.<sup>167</sup> Thus, the proposed strategies should satisfy the mechanical properties and biocompatibility necessary for bone repair while fulfilling commercial requirements for translation into clinical practice. Even so, the repair of bone through ECO approaches holds tremendous power for clinical translation and the bone TERM field overall.

### Acknowledgments

This work was financed by the European Research Council Advanced Grant "REBORN" (grant agreement n. ERC-2019-ADG-883370) and by national funds (OE) through FCT – Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P., in the scope of the project "TETRISSUE" (PTDC/BTM-MAT/3201/2020) and "MIMETIc" (PTDC/BTM-MAT/31210/2017), also supported by the Programa Operacional Competitividade e Internacionalização, in the component FEDER (POCI-01-0145-FEDER-031210). This work was also developed within the scope of the project CICECO – Aveiro Institute of Materials (UIDB/50011/2020, UIDP/50011/2020 & LA/P/006/2020), financed by national funds through the FCT/MEC (PIDDAC). Sara Nadine gratefully acknowledges FCT for the PhD grant (SFRH/BD/130194/2017). Sara Nadine and Inês Fernandes contributed equally to this work.

#### References

- Ho-Shui-Ling, A. *et al.* Bone regeneration strategies: Engineered scaffolds, bioactive molecules and stem cells current stage and future perspectives. *Biomaterials* 180, 143– 162 (2018).
- Kiernan, C., Knuth, C. & Farrell, E. Endochondral Ossification: Recapitulating Bone Development for Bone Defect Repair. *Dev. Biol. Musculoskelet. Tissue Eng.* 125–148

(2018) doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-811467-4.00006-1.

- 3. Epple, C. *et al.* Prefabrication of a large pedicled bone graft by engineering the germ for de novo vascularization and osteoinduction. *Biomaterials* **192**, 118–127 (2019).
- 4. Giannoudis, P. V, Dinopoulos, H. & Tsiridis, E. Bone substitutes: An update. *Injury* **36**, S20–S27 (2005).
- 5. Aghajanian, P. & Mohan, S. The art of building bone: emerging role of chondrocyte-toosteoblast transdifferentiation in endochondral ossification. *Bone Res.* **6**, 19 (2018).
- Wardlaw, D. *et al.* Efficacy and safety of balloon kyphoplasty compared with nonsurgical care for vertebral compression fracture (FREE): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 373, 1016–1024 (2009).
- Sala, G., Guerra, P., Prestamburgo, D. & Surace, M. Devices, kit and method for kyphoplasty. (2010).
- Domb, B. G., El Bitar, Y. F., Sadik, A. Y., Stake, C. E. & Botser, I. B. Comparison of Robotic-assisted and Conventional Acetabular Cup Placement in THA: A Matched-pair Controlled Study. *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.* 472, 329–336 (2014).
- Gao, C., Peng, S., Feng, P. & Shuai, C. Bone biomaterials and interactions with stem cells. *Bone Res.* 2017 51 5, 1–33 (2017).
- Nadine, S., Correia, C. R. & Mano, J. F. Engineering immunomodulatory hydrogels and cell-laden systems towards bone regeneration. *Biomater. Adv.* 213058 (2022) doi:10.1016/j.bioadv.2022.213058.
- Shapiro, F. Bone development and its relation to fracture repair. The role of mesenchymal osteoblasts and surface osteoblasts. *Eur. Cells Mater.* 15, 53–76 (2008).
- 12. Lopes, D., Martins-Cruz, C., Oliveira, M. B. & Mano, J. F. Bone physiology as inspiration for tissue regenerative therapies. *Biomaterials* **185**, 240–275 (2018).
- Nadine, S., Patrício, S. G., Correia, C. R. & Mano, J. F. Dynamic microfactories coencapsulating osteoblastic and adipose-derived stromal cells for the biofabrication of bone units. *Biofabrication* 12, 15005 (2019).
- Bjørge, I. M., Choi, I. S., Correia, C. R. & Mano, J. F. Nanogrooved microdiscs for bottom-up modulation of osteogenic differentiation *†*. *Nanoscale* 11, 16214 (2019).
- Fan, Q. *et al.* Implantable blood clot loaded with BMP-2 for regulation of osteoimmunology and enhancement of bone repair. *Bioact. Mater.* 6, 4014–4026 (2021).
- 16. Piard, C. *et al.* 3D printed HUVECs/MSCs cocultures impact cellular interactions and angiogenesis depending on cell-cell distance. *Biomaterials* **222**, 119423 (2019).
- Nadine, S., Fernandes, I., Patrício, S. G., Correia, C. R. & Mano, J. F. Liquefied Microcapsules Compartmentalizing Macrophages and Umbilical Cord-Derived Cells for Bone Tissue Engineering. *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* 2200651 (2022) doi:10.1002/ADHM.202200651.

- Thompson, E. M., Matsiko, A., Farrell, E., Kelly, D. J. & O'Brien, F. J. Recapitulating endochondral ossification: a promising route to in vivo bone regeneration. *J. Tissue Eng. Regen. Med.* 9, 889–902 (2015).
- Farrell, E. *et al.* In-vivo generation of bone via endochondral ossification by in-vitro chondrogenic priming of adult human and rat mesenchymal stem cells. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* 12, 31 (2011).
- Lyons, F. G. *et al.* The healing of bony defects by cell-free collagen-based scaffolds compared to stem cell-seeded tissue engineered constructs. *Biomaterials* **31**, 9232–9243 (2010).
- Dennis, S. C., Berkland, C. J., Bonewald, L. F. & Detamore, M. S. Endochondral ossification for enhancing bone regeneration: converging native extracellular matrix biomaterials and developmental engineering in vivo. *Tissue Eng. Part B. Rev.* 21, 247–66 (2015).
- Thompson, E. M., Matsiko, A., Kelly, D. J., Gleeson, J. P. & O'Brien, F. J. An Endochondral Ossification-Based Approach to Bone Repair: Chondrogenically Primed Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Laden Scaffolds Support Greater Repair of Critical-Sized Cranial Defects Than Osteogenically Stimulated Constructs In Vivo. *Tissue Eng. Part A* 22, 556–567 (2016).
- Freeman, F. E. *et al.* A Developmental Engineering-Based Approach to Bone Repair: Endochondral Priming Enhances Vascularization and New Bone Formation in a Critical Size Defect. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 8, (2020).
- 24. Harada, N. *et al.* Bone regeneration in a massive rat femur defect through endochondral ossification achieved with chondrogenically differentiated MSCs in a degradable scaffold. *Biomaterials* **35**, 7800–7810 (2014).
- 25. Liu, Y., Kuang, B., Rothrauff, B. B., Tuan, R. S. & Lin, H. Robust bone regeneration through endochondral ossification of human mesenchymal stem cells within their own extracellular matrix. *Biomaterials* **218**, 119336 (2019).
- 26. Gerber, H.-P. *et al.* VEGF couples hypertrophic cartilage remodeling, ossification and angiogenesis during endochondral bone formation. *Nat. Med.* **5**, 623–628 (1999).
- 27. Dreyer, C. H., Kjaergaard, K., Ding, M. & Qin, L. Vascular endothelial growth factor for in vivo bone formation: A systematic review. *J. Orthop. Transl.* **24**, 46–57 (2020).
- 28. Schindeler, A., McDonald, M. M., Bokko, P. & Little, D. G. Bone remodeling during fracture repair: The cellular picture. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **19**, 459–466 (2008).
- Goldhahn, J. *et al.* Implications for Fracture Healing of Current and New Osteoporosis Treatments: An ESCEO Consensus Paper. *Calcif. Tissue Int.* **90**, 343–353 (2012).
- Colnot, C. Skeletal Cell Fate Decisions Within Periosteum and Bone Marrow During Bone Regeneration. J. Bone Miner. Res. 24, 274–282 (2009).

- 31. Akiyama, H. *et al.* Osteo-chondroprogenitor cells are derived from Sox9 expressing precursors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **102**, 14665–14670 (2005).
- Sheehy, E. J., Kelly, D. J. & O'Brien, F. J. Biomaterial-based endochondral bone regeneration: a shift from traditional tissue engineering paradigms to developmentally inspired strategies. *Mater. Today Bio* 3, 100009 (2019).
- Bahney, C. S. *et al.* Cellular biology of fracture healing. *J. Orthop. Res.* 37, 35–50 (2019).
- Chen, H. *et al.* Molecular Mechanisms of Chondrocyte Proliferation and Differentiation. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 9, 1063 (2021).
- Nishimura, R., Hata, K., Nakamura, E., Murakami, T. & Takahata, Y. Transcriptional network systems in cartilage development and disease. *Histochem. Cell Biol.* 149, 353– 363 (2018).
- 36. Akiyama, H. *et al.* Interactions between Sox9 and beta-catenin control chondrocyte differentiation. *Genes Dev.* **18**, 1072–1087 (2004).
- 37. Ikegami, D. *et al.* Sox9 sustains chondrocyte survival and hypertrophy in part through Pik3ca-Akt pathways. *Development* **138**, 1507–1519 (2011).
- Smits, P., Dy, P., Mitra, S. & Lefebvre, V. Sox5 and Sox6 are needed to develop and maintain source, columnar, and hypertrophic chondrocytes in the cartilage growth plate. *J. Cell Biol.* 164, 747–758 (2004).
- 39. Berendsen, A. D. & Olsen, B. R. Bone development. *Bone* 80, 14–18 (2015).
- Sasai, N., Toriyama, M. & Kondo, T. Hedgehog Signal and Genetic Disorders. *Front. Genet.* 10, 1103 (2019).
- Yang, J., Andre, P., Ye, L. & Yang, Y.-Z. The Hedgehog signalling pathway in bone formation. *Int. J. Oral Sci.* 7, 73–79 (2015).
- Scotti, C. *et al.* Recapitulation of endochondral bone formation using human adult mesenchymal stem cells as a paradigm for developmental engineering. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 107, 7251–7256 (2010).
- Wong, S. A. *et al.* Microenvironmental Regulation of Chondrocyte Plasticity in Endochondral Repair—A New Frontier for Developmental Engineering. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 6, 1–14 (2018).
- 44. Komori, T. Roles of Runx2 in skeletal development. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* **962**, 83–93 (2017).
- Qin, X. *et al.* Cbfb Regulates Bone Development by Stabilizing Runx Family Proteins. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* **30**, 706–714 (2015).
- 46. Samsa, W. E., Zhou, X. & Zhou, G. Signaling pathways regulating cartilage growth plate formation and activity. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **62**, 3–15 (2017).
- 47. Thielen, N., van der Kraan, P. & van Caam, A. TGFβ/BMP Signaling Pathway in

Cartilage Homeostasis. Cells 8, 969 (2019).

- 48. Liao, J. *et al.* Sox9 Potentiates BMP2-Induced Chondrogenic Differentiation and Inhibits BMP2-Induced Osteogenic Differentiation. *PLoS One* **9**, e89025 (2014).
- Gamer, L. W., Cox, K., Carlo, J. M. & Rosen, V. Overexpression of BMP3 in the developing skeleton alters endochondral bone formation resulting in spontaneous rib fractures. *Dev. Dyn.* 238, 2374–2381 (2009).
- Day, T. F., Guo, X., Garrett-Beal, L. & Yang, Y. Wnt/β-Catenin Signaling in Mesenchymal Progenitors Controls Osteoblast and Chondrocyte Differentiation during Vertebrate Skeletogenesis. *Dev. Cell* 8, 739–750 (2005).
- Studer, D., Millan, C., Öztürk, E., Maniura-Weber, K. & Zenobi-Wong, M. Molecular and biophysical mechanisms regulating hypertrophic differentiation in chondrocytes and mesenchymal stem cells. *Eur. Cells Mater.* 24, 118–135 (2012).
- Houben, A. *et al.* β-catenin activity in late hypertrophic chondrocytes locally orchestrates osteoblastogenesis and osteoclastogenesis. *Development* 143, 3826–3838 (2016).
- Chen, Y. *et al.* Beta-Catenin Signaling Plays a Disparate Role in Different Phases of Fracture Repair: Implications for Therapy to Improve Bone Healing. *PLoS Med.* 4, e249 (2007).
- 54. Huang, Y. *et al.* Inhibition of  $\beta$ -catenin signaling in chondrocytes induces delayed fracture healing in mice. *J. Orthop. Res.* **30**, 304–310 (2012).
- Chen, S., Lee, B. H. & Bae, Y. Notch Signaling in Skeletal Stem Cells. *Calcif. Tissue Int.* 94, 68–77 (2014).
- 56. Hosaka, Y. *et al.* Notch signaling in chondrocytes modulates endochondral ossification and osteoarthritis development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **110**, 1875–1880 (2013).
- Wu, X., Shi, W. & Cao, X. Multiplicity of BMP Signaling in Skeletal Development. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1116, 29–49 (2007).
- Janssen, J. N. *et al.* The Influence of TGF-β3, EGF, and BGN on SOX9 and RUNX2 Expression in Human Chondrogenic Progenitor Cells. *J. Histochem. Cytochem.* 67, 117– 127 (2019).
- 59. Haque, T., Nakada, S. & Hamdy, R. C. A review of FGF18: Its expression, signaling pathways and possible functions during embryogenesis and post-natal development. *Histol. Histopathol.* (2007).
- 60. Baker, J., Liu, J. P., Robertson, E. J. & Efstratiadis, A. Role of insulin-like growth factors in embryonic and postnatal growth. *Cell* **75**, 73–82 (1993).
- Occhetta, P., Stüdle, C., Barbero, A. & Martin, I. Learn, simplify and implement: developmental re-engineering strategies for cartilage repai. *Swiss Med. Wkly.* 146, w14346 (2016).

- 62. Almubarak, S. *et al.* Tissue engineering strategies for promoting vascularized bone regeneration. *Bone* (2015) doi:10.1016/j.bone.2015.11.011.
- 63. Gao, F. *et al.* Mesenchymal stem cells and immunomodulation: current status and future prospects. *Cell Death Dis.* **7**, e2062–e2062 (2016).
- P. De Miguel, M. *et al.* Immunosuppressive Properties of Mesenchymal Stem Cells: Advances and Applications. *Curr. Mol. Med.* 12, 574–591 (2012).
- 65. Beyth, S. *et al.* Human mesenchymal stem cells alter antigen-presenting cell maturation and induce T-cell unresponsiveness. *Blood* **105**, 2214–2219 (2005).
- García-García, A. & Martin, I. Extracellular Matrices to Modulate the Innate Immune Response and Enhance Bone Healing. *Front. Immunol.* 10, 1–8 (2019).
- 67. Hu, C., Wu, Z. & Li, L. Pre-treatments enhance the therapeutic effects of mesenchymal stem cells in liver diseases. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* **24**, 40–49 (2020).
- Wexler, S. A. *et al.* Adult bone marrow is a rich source of human mesenchymal 'stem' cells but umbilical cord and mobilized adult blood are not. *Br. J. Haematol.* 121, 368–374 (2003).
- Kern, S., Eichler, H., Stoeve, J., Klüter, H. & Bieback, K. Comparative Analysis of Mesenchymal Stem Cells from Bone Marrow, Umbilical Cord Blood, or Adipose Tissue. *Stem Cells* 24, 1294–1301 (2006).
- Hellingman, C. A. *et al.* Fibroblast Growth Factor Receptors in In Vitro and In Vivo Chondrogenesis: Relating Tissue Engineering Using Adult Mesenchymal Stem Cells to Embryonic Development. *Tissue Eng. Part A* 16, 545–556 (2010).
- Longoni, A. *et al.* Endochondral Bone Regeneration by Non-autologous Mesenchymal Stem Cells. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 8, 651 (2020).
- 72. Janicki, P. *et al.* Prediction of in vivo bone forming potency of bone marrow-derived human mesenchymal stem cells. *Eur. Cells Mater.* **21**, 488–577 (2011).
- 73. Farrell, E. *et al.* Chondrogenic Priming of Human Bone Marrow Stromal Cells: A Better Route to Bone Repair? *Tissue Eng. Part C Methods* **15**, 285–295 (2009).
- Marcacci, M. *et al.* Stem Cells Associated with Macroporous Bioceramics for Long Bone Repair: 6- to 7-Year Outcome of a Pilot Clinical Study. *Tissue Eng.* 13, 947–955 (2007).
- Perez, J. R. *et al.* Tissue Engineering and Cell-Based Therapies for Fractures and Bone Defects. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 6, 1–23 (2018).
- Di Bella, C., Farlie, P. & Penington, A. J. Bone Regeneration in a Rabbit Critical-Sized Skull Defect Using Autologous Adipose-Derived Cells. *Tissue Eng. Part A* 14, 483–490 (2008).
- 77. Cui, L. *et al.* Repair of cranial bone defects with adipose derived stem cells and coral scaffold in a canine model. *Biomaterials* **28**, 5477–5486 (2007).

- 78. Mesimäki, K. *et al.* Novel maxillary reconstruction with ectopic bone formation by GMP adipose stem cells. *Int. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg.* **38**, 201–209 (2009).
- Lendeckel, S. *et al.* Autologous stem cells (adipose) and fibrin glue used to treat widespread traumatic calvarial defects: case report. *J. Cranio-Maxillofacial Surg.* 32, 370–373 (2004).
- 80. Huang, R.-L. *et al.* Dispersion of ceramic granules within human fractionated adipose tissue to enhance endochondral bone formation. *Acta Biomater.* **102**, 458–467 (2020).
- Marmotti, A. *et al.* Allogeneic Umbilical Cord-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells as a Potential Source for Cartilage and Bone Regeneration : An In Vitro Study. 2017, (2017).
- Silva-cote, I. *et al.* Strategy for the Generation of Engineered Bone Constructs Based on Umbilical Cord Mesenchymal Stromal Cells Expanded with Human Platelet Lysate.
   2019, (2019).
- Weiss, M. L. *et al.* Immune Properties of Human Umbilical Cord Wharton's Jelly-Derived Cells. *Stem Cells* 26, 2865–2874 (2008).
- Van Pham, P., Bich, N. V. & Phan, N. K. Umbilical cord-derived stem cells (ModulatistTM) show strong immunomodulation capacity compared to adipose tissuederived or bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells. *Biomed. Res. Ther.* 3, 687– 696 (2016).
- 85. Selich, A. *et al.* Umbilical cord as a long-Term source of activatable mesenchymal stromal cells for immunomodulation. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* **10**, 1–14 (2019).
- Tipnis, S., Viswanathan, C. & Majumdar, A. S. Immunosuppressive properties of human umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stem cells: role of B7-H1 and IDO. *Immunol. Cell Biol.* 88, 795–806 (2010).
- Mattar, P. & Bieback, K. Comparing the immunomodulatory properties of bone marrow, adipose tissue, and birth-associated tissue mesenchymal stromal cells. *Front. Immunol.* 6, (2015).
- Jin, H. *et al.* Comparative Analysis of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells from Bone Marrow, Adipose Tissue, and Umbilical Cord Blood as Sources of Cell Therapy. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 14, 17986–18001 (2013).
- Gorin, C. *et al.* Priming Dental Pulp Stem Cells With Fibroblast Growth Factor-2 Increases Angiogenesis of Implanted Tissue-Engineered Constructs Through Hepatocyte Growth Factor and Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Secretion. *Stem Cells Transl. Med.* 5, 392–404 (2016).
- Laino, G. *et al.* A New Population of Human Adult Dental Pulp Stem Cells: A Useful Source of Living Autologous Fibrous Bone Tissue (LAB). *J. Bone Miner. Res.* 20, 1394–1402 (2005).
- 91. Wang, L. et al. Injectable calcium phosphate with hydrogel fibers encapsulating induced

pluripotent, dental pulp and bone marrow stem cells for bone repair. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* **69**, 1125–1136 (2016).

- Collignon, A.-M. *et al.* Mouse Wnt1-CRE -Rosa Tomato Dental Pulp Stem Cells Directly Contribute to the Calvarial Bone Regeneration Process. *Stem Cells* 37, 701–711 (2019).
- Zhang, Y., Huang, K., Yuan, Q., Gu, Z. & Wu, G. Development of Arg-Based Biodegradable Poly(ester urea) Urethanes and Its Biomedical Application for Bone Repair. J. Biomed. Nanotechnol. 15, 1909–1922 (2019).
- 94. Duchamp de Lageneste, O. *et al.* Periosteum contains skeletal stem cells with high bone regenerative potential controlled by Periostin. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 773 (2018).
- 95. Nilsson Hall, G. *et al.* Developmentally Engineered Callus Organoid Bioassemblies Exhibit Predictive In Vivo Long Bone Healing. *Adv. Sci.* **7**, 1902295 (2020).
- Groeneveldt, L. C. *et al.* The Bone-Forming Properties of Periosteum-Derived Cells Differ Between Harvest Sites. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 8, 1334 (2020).
- Wynn, T. A. & Vannella, K. M. Macrophages in Tissue Repair, Regeneration, and Fibrosis. *Immunity* 44, 450–462 (2016).
- 98. Shapouri-Moghaddam, A. *et al.* Macrophage plasticity, polarization, and function in health and disease. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **233**, 6425–6440 (2018).
- 99. Schlundt, C. *et al.* Macrophages in bone fracture healing: Their essential role in endochondral ossification. *Bone* **106**, 78–89 (2018).
- 100. Jeong, C. G., Zhang, H. & Hollister, S. J. Three-dimensional polycaprolactone scaffoldconjugated bone morphogenetic protein-2 promotes cartilage regeneration from primary chondrocytes in vitro and in vivo without accelerated endochondral ossification. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part A* 100A, 2088–2096 (2012).
- Oliveira, S. M. *et al.* Engineering Endochondral Bone: In Vivo Studies. *Tissue Eng. Part* A 15, 635–643 (2009).
- Jayasuriya, C. T. & Chen, Q. Potential benefits and limitations of utilizing chondroprogenitors in cell-based cartilage therapy. *Connect. Tissue Res.* 56, 265 (2015).
- 103. Tura, O. *et al.* Late Outgrowth Endothelial Cells Resemble Mature Endothelial Cells and Are Not Derived from Bone Marrow. *Stem Cells* **31**, 338–348 (2013).
- 104. Mukai, N. *et al.* A comparison of the tube forming potentials of early and late endothelial progenitor cells. *Exp. Cell Res.* **314**, 430–440 (2008).
- 105. Xu, C., Liu, H., He, Y., Li, Y. & He, X. Endothelial progenitor cells promote osteogenic differentiation in co-cultured with mesenchymal stem cells via the MAPK-dependent pathway. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* **11**, 1–13 (2020).
- 106. Matsumoto, T. & Kawamoto, A. Therapeutic Potential of Vasculogenesis and Osteogenesis Promoted by Peripheral Blood CD34- Positive Cells for Functional Bone

Healing. Am. J. Pathol. 169, 1440-1457 (2006).

- Liu, Y., Chan, J. K. Y. & Teoh, S. H. Review of vascularised bone tissue-engineering strategies with a focus on co-culture systems. *J. Tissue Eng. Regen. Med.* 9, 85–105 (2015).
- Bouland, C. *et al.* Cross-Talk Between Mesenchymal Stromal Cells (MSCs) and Endothelial Progenitor Cells (EPCs) in Bone Regeneration. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 9, 1251 (2021).
- Correia, C. R. *et al.* Semipermeable Capsules Wrapping a Multifunctional and Selfregulated Co-culture Microenvironment for Osteogenic Differentiation. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 21883 (2016).
- 110. Correia, C. R. *et al.* In vivo osteogenic differentiation of stem cells inside compartmentalized capsules loaded with co-cultured endothelial cells. *Acta Biomater*. 53, 483–494 (2017).
- 111. Meretoja, V. V., Dahlin, R. L., Wright, S., Kasper, F. K. & Mikos, A. G. The effect of hypoxia on the chondrogenic differentiation of co-cultured articular chondrocytes and mesenchymal stem cells in scaffolds. *Biomaterials* 34, 4266–4273 (2013).
- Cho, S. W. *et al.* Osteal macrophages support physiologic skeletal remodeling and anabolic actions of parathyroid hormone in bone. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **111**, 1545–1550 (2014).
- Mueller, M. B. *et al.* Hypertrophy in Mesenchymal Stem Cell Chondrogenesis: Effect of TGF-β Isoforms and Chondrogenic Conditioning. *Cells Tissues Organs* 192, 158–166 (2010).
- 114. Grimsrud, C. D. *et al.* BMP signaling stimulates chondrocyte maturation and the expression of Indian hedgehog. *J. Orthop. Res.* **19**, 18–25 (2001).
- 115. Stegen, S., Gastel, N. Van & Carmeliet, G. Bringing new life to damaged bone : The importance of angiogenesis in bone repair and regeneration. *Bone* (2014) doi:10.1016/j.bone.2014.09.017.
- 116. Kasten, P. *et al.* Comparison of Platelet-Rich Plasma and VEGF-Transfected Mesenchymal Stem Cells on Vascularization and Bone Formation in a Critical-Size Bone Defect. *Cells Tissues Organs* **196**, 523–533 (2012).
- 117. Guo, P. *et al.* Platelet-Derived Growth Factor-B Enhances Glioma Angiogenesis by Stimulating Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Expression in Tumor Endothelia and by Promoting Pericyte Recruitment. *Am. J. Pathol.* **162**, 1083–1093 (2003).
- Mitlak, B. H. *et al.* The effect of systemically administered PDGF-BB on the rodent skeleton. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* 11, 238–247 (2009).
- 119. Bach, L. A. Endothelial cells and the IGF system. J. Mol. Endocrinol. 54, R1–R13 (2015).

- 120. Sheng, M. H. C., Zhou, X.-D., Bonewald, L. F., Baylink, D. J. & Lau, K.-H. W. Disruption of the insulin-like growth factor-1 gene in osteocytes impairs developmental bone growth in mice. *Bone* 52, 133–144 (2013).
- 121. Langenbach, F. & Handschel, J. Effects of dexamethasone, ascorbic acid and  $\beta$  glycerophosphate on the osteogenic differentiation of stem cells in vitro. (2013).
- 122. Mumme, M. *et al.* Interleukin-1β modulates endochondral ossification by human adult bone marrow stromal cells. *Eur. Cell. Mater.* 24, 224–236 (2012).
- 123. Jia, P. tong, Zhang, X. lin, Zuo, H. ning, Lu, X. & Gai, P. zhou. A study on role of triiodothyronine (T3) hormone on the improvement of articular cartilage surface architecture. *Exp. Toxicol. Pathol.* **69**, 625–629 (2017).
- 124. Solchaga, L. A., Penick, K. J. & Welter, J. F. Chondrogenic Differentiation of Bone Marrow-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells: Tips and Tricks. in *Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, N.J.)* vol. 698 253–278 (NIH Public Access, 2011).
- 125. Mano, J. F. Designing biomaterials for tissue engineering based on the deconstruction of the native cellular environment. *Mater. Lett.* **141**, 198–202 (2015).
- 126. Ji, X. *et al.* Mesenchymal stem cell-loaded thermosensitive hydroxypropyl chitin hydrogel combined with a three-dimensional-printed poly(ε-caprolactone) /nanohydroxyapatite scaffold to repair bone defects via osteogenesis, angiogenesis and immunomodulation. *Theranostics* **10**, 725 (2020).
- Diao, J. *et al.* Bone Defect Model Dependent Optimal Pore Sizes of 3D-Plotted Beta-Tricalcium Phosphate Scaffolds for Bone Regeneration. *Small Methods* 3, 1900237 (2019).
- 128. Di Luca, A. *et al.* Gradients in pore size enhance the osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stromal cells in three-dimensional scaffolds. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 22898 (2016).
- 129. Cao, Y. *et al.* The use of human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) as an in vitro model to assess the toxicity of nanoparticles to endothelium: a review. *J. Appl. Toxicol.* 37, 1359–1369 (2017).
- Chen, L. *et al.* 3D printing of a lithium-calcium-silicate crystal bioscaffold with dual bioactivities for osteochondral interface reconstruction. *Biomaterials* 196, 138–150 (2019).
- 131. Diao, J. *et al.* 3D-Plotted Beta-Tricalcium Phosphate Scaffolds with Smaller Pore Sizes Improve In Vivo Bone Regeneration and Biomechanical Properties in a Critical-Sized Calvarial Defect Rat Model. *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* 7, 1800441 (2018).
- Bjørge, I. M., Correia, C. R. & Mano, J. F. Hipster microcarriers: exploring geometrical and topographical cues of non-spherical microcarriers in biomedical applications. *Mater. Horizons* 9, 908–933 (2022).
- 133. Roberts, S. J. et al. The combined bone forming capacity of human periosteal derived

cells and calcium phosphates. *Biomaterials* 32, 4393–4405 (2011).

- 134. Scotti, C. *et al.* Engineering of a functional bone organ through endochondral ossification. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **110**, 3997–4002 (2013).
- 135. Li, J. *et al.* Ceria nanoparticles enhance endochondral ossification–based critical-sized bone defect regeneration by promoting the hypertrophic differentiation of BMSCs via DHX15 activation. *FASEB J.* **33**, 6378–6389 (2019).
- 136. Bai, Y. *et al.* Mangiferin enhances endochondral ossification-based bone repair in massive bone defect by inducing autophagy through activating AMP-activated protein kinase signaling pathway. *FASEB J.* **32**, 4573–4584 (2018).
- 137. Daly, A. C., Pitacco, P., Nulty, J., Cunniffe, G. M. & Kelly, D. J. 3D printed microchannel networks to direct vascularisation during endochondral bone repair. *Biomaterials* 162, 34–46 (2018).
- 138. Leijten, J. *et al.* Metabolic programming of mesenchymal stromal cells by oxygen tension directs chondrogenic cell fate. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **111**, 13954–13959 (2014).
- Chen, Y., Lee, K., Kawazoe, N., Yang, Y. & Chen, G. ECM scaffolds mimicking extracellular matrices of endochondral ossification for the regulation of mesenchymal stem cell differentiation. *Acta Biomater.* 114, 158–169 (2020).
- 140. Correia, C. R., Bjørge, I. M., Nadine, S. & Mano, J. F. Minimalist Tissue Engineering Approaches Using Low Material-Based Bioengineered Systems. *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* 10, 2002110 (2021).
- Jukes, J. M. *et al.* Endochondral bone tissue engineering using embryonic stem cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **105**, 6840–6845 (2008).
- 142. Mikael, P. E., Golebiowska, A. A., Xin, X., Rowe, D. W. & Nukavarapu, S. P. Evaluation of an Engineered Hybrid Matrix for Bone Regeneration via Endochondral Ossification. *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* 48, 992–1005 (2020).
- 143. Xie, C. *et al.* High-efficient engineering of osteo-callus organoids for rapid bone regeneration within one month. *Biomaterials* **288**, 121741 (2022).
- Pitacco, P., Sadowska, J. M., O'Brien, F. J. & Kelly, D. J. 3D bioprinting of cartilaginous templates for large bone defect healing. *Acta Biomater*. (2022) doi:10.1016/J.ACTBIO.2022.07.037.
- Jeyakumar, V. *et al.* Decellularized Cartilage Extracellular Matrix Incorporated Silk Fibroin Hybrid Scaffolds for Endochondral Ossification Mediated Bone Regeneration. *Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2021, Vol. 22, Page 4055* 22, 4055 (2021).
- Lin, Z. *et al.* Engineering pre-vascularized bone-like tissue from human mesenchymal stem cells through simulating endochondral ossification. *Biomaterials* 283, 121451 (2022).
- 147. Athanasiou, K. A., Eswaramoorthy, R., Hadidi, P. & Hu, J. C. Self-Organization and the

Self-Assembling Process in Tissue Engineering. *Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng.* **15**, 115–136 (2013).

- 148. Alghuwainem, A., Alshareeda, A. T. & Alsowayan, B. Scaffold-Free 3-D Cell Sheet Technique Bridges the Gap between 2-D Cell Culture and Animal Models. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20, 4926 (2019).
- 149. Lavrador, P., Gaspar, V. M. & Mano, J. F. Engineering mammalian living materials towards clinically relevant therapeutics. *eBioMedicine* **74**, (2021).
- Bueno, E. M. & Glowacki, J. Cell-free and cell-based approaches for bone regeneration. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* 5, 685–697 (2009).
- Osinga, R. *et al.* Generation of a Bone Organ by Human Adipose-Derived Stromal Cells Through Endochondral Ossification. *Stem Cells Transl. Med.* 5, 1090–1097 (2016).
- 152. Wang, J. *et al.* Pulsed electromagnetic field may accelerate in vitro endochondral ossification. *Bioelectromagnetics* **36**, 35–44 (2015).
- 153. Dang, P. N. *et al.* Controlled Dual Growth Factor Delivery From Microparticles Incorporated Within Human Bone Marrow-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cell Aggregates for Enhanced Bone Tissue Engineering via Endochondral Ossification. *Stem Cells Transl. Med.* 5, 206–217 (2016).
- 154. Dang, P. N. *et al.* Guiding Chondrogenesis and Osteogenesis with Mineral-Coated Hydroxyapatite and BMP-2 Incorporated within High-Density hMSC Aggregates for Bone Regeneration. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.* 2, 30–42 (2016).
- 155. Dang, P. N., Solorio, L. D. & Alsberg, E. Driving Cartilage Formation in High-Density Human Adipose-Derived Stem Cell Aggregate and Sheet Constructs Without Exogenous Growth Factor Delivery. *Tissue Eng. Part A* 20, 3163–3175 (2014).
- 156. McDermott, A. M. *et al.* Recapitulating bone development through engineered mesenchymal condensations and mechanical cues for tissue regeneration. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **11**, 1–16 (2019).
- 157. Sasaki, J.-I. *et al.* In vitro reproduction of endochondral ossification using a 3D mesenchymal stem cell construct. *Integr. Biol.* **4**, 1207 (2012).
- 158. Zhang, M. *et al.* Recapitulation of cartilage/bone formation using iPSCs via biomimetic
  3D rotary culture approach for developmental engineering. *Biomaterials* 260, 120334 (2020).
- 159. Huang, R. L. *et al.* Engineering hypertrophic cartilage grafts from lipoaspirate for critical-sized calvarial bone defect reconstruction: An adipose tissue-based developmental engineering approach. *Bioeng. Transl. Med.* **7**, e10312 (2022).
- Nilsson Hall, G. *et al.* Cartilaginous spheroid-assembly design considerations for endochondral ossification: towards robotic-driven biomanufacturing. *Biofabrication* 13, 045025 (2021).

- 161. Herberg, S. *et al.* Scaffold-free human mesenchymal stem cell construct geometry regulates long bone regeneration. *Commun. Biol.* **4**, 89 (2021).
- Goldring, C. E. P. *et al.* Assessing the Safety of Stem Cell Therapeutics. *Cell Stem Cell* 8, 618–628 (2011).
- Sun, L. *et al.* Recapitulation of In Situ Endochondral Ossification Using an Injectable Hypoxia-Mimetic Hydrogel. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **31**, 2008515 (2021).
- Wojda, S. J., Marozas, I. A., Anseth, K. S., Yaszemski, M. J. & Donahue, S. W. Thiolene Hydrogels for Local Delivery of PTH for Bone Regeneration in Critical Size defects. *J. Orthop. Res.* 38, 536–544 (2020).
- Han, Q.-Q., Du, Y. & Yang, P.-S. The role of small molecules in bone regeneration. *Future Med. Chem.* 5, 1671–1684 (2013).
- 166. Lin, D. *et al.* Rapid initiation of guided bone regeneration driven by spatiotemporal delivery of IL-8 and BMP-2 from hierarchical MBG-based scaffold. *Biomaterials* 196, 122–137 (2019).
- Knuth, C., Kiernan, C., Wolvius, E., Narcisi, R. & Farrell, E. Understanding tissueengineered endochondral ossification; towards improved bone formation. *Eur. Cells Mater.* 37, 277–291 (2019).
- 168. Tannoury, C. A. & An, H. S. Complications with the use of bone morphogenetic protein 2 (BMP-2) in spine surgery. *Spine J.* 14, 552–559 (2014).
- Lima, D. B. *et al.* Injectable bone substitute based on chitosan with polyethylene glycol polymeric solution and biphasic calcium phosphate microspheres. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 245, 116575 (2020).
- Habraken, W., Habibovic, P., Epple, M. & Bohner, M. Calcium phosphates in biomedical applications: materials for the future? *Mater. Today* 19, 69–87 (2016).
- Boyan, B. D. & Schwartz, Z. Are calcium phosphate ceramics 'smart' biomaterials? *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* 7, 8–9 (2011).
- 172. Petersen, A. *et al.* A biomaterial with a channel-like pore architecture induces endochondral healing of bone defects. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 4430 (2018).
- 173. Zuchuat, J., Maldonado, Y., Botteri, J. & Decco, O. In vivo effect of UVphotofunctionalization of CoCrMo in processes of guided bone regeneration and tissue engineering. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part A* **109**, 31–41 (2021).
- 174. Yuasa, M. *et al.* The temporal and spatial development of vascularity in a healing displaced fracture. *Bone* **67**, 208–221 (2014).
- McGough, M. A. P. *et al.* Nanocrystalline Hydroxyapatite–Poly(thioketal urethane) Nanocomposites Stimulate a Combined Intramembranous and Endochondral Ossification Response in Rabbits. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.* 6, 564–574 (2020).
- 176. Liu, Y. et al. Spatiotemporal Immunomodulation Using Biomimetic Scaffold Promotes

Endochondral Ossification-Mediated Bone Healing. Adv. Sci. 8, 2100143 (2021).

- 177. Adlerz, K. M., Aranda-Espinoza, H. & Hayenga, H. N. Substrate elasticity regulates the behavior of human monocyte-derived macrophages. *Eur. Biophys. J.* **45**, 301–9 (2016).
- 178. Morizane, A. *et al.* MHC matching improves engraftment of iPSC-derived neurons in non-human primates. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 385 (2017).
- 179. Xu, H. *et al.* Targeted Disruption of HLA Genes via CRISPR-Cas9 Generates iPSCs with Enhanced Immune Compatibility. *Cell Stem Cell* **24**, 566-578.e7 (2019).