DEVELOPMENT OF A FEDERATED LEARNING-BASED MALWARE DETECTION MODEL FOR INTERCONNECTED CLOUD INFRASTRUCTURES

MUGHOLE, KALIMUMBALO DANIELLA 20PCK02091

MARCH, 2023

DEVELOPMENT OF A FEDERATED LEARNING-BASED MALWARE DETECTION MODEL FOR INTERCONNECTED CLOUD INFRASTRUCTURES

BY

MUGHOLE, KALIMUMBALO DANIELLA (20PCK02091) B.Eng. Génie Electrique et Informatique, Université Libre des Pays des Grands Lacs, Goma, DR Congo

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE SCHOOL OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF MASTER OF ENGINEERING DEGREE (M.ENG.) IN INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING, DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND INFORMATION ENGINEERING, COVENANT UNIVERSITY, OTA, OGUN STATE, NIGERIA

MARCH, 2023

ACCEPTANCE

This is to attest that this dissertation is accepted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Master of Engineering degree (M.Eng) in Information and Communication Engineering, Department of Electrical and Information Engineering, College of Engineering, Covenant University, Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria.

Miss Adefunke F. Oyinloye (Secretary, School of Postgraduate Studies)

Signature and Date

Prof. Akan B. Williams (Dean, School of Postgraduate Studies)

Signature and Date

DECLARATION

I, **MUGHOLE**, **KALIMUMBALO DANIELLA (20PCK02091)**, declare that this research was carried out by me under the supervision of Dr. Joke A. Badejo and Dr. Kennedy O. Okokpujie of the Department of Electrical and Information Engineering, College of Engineering, Covenant University, Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria. I attest that the dissertation has not been presented either wholly or partially for the award of any degree elsewhere. All sources of data and scholarly information used in this dissertation are duly acknowledged.

MUGHOLE, KALIMUMBALO DANIELLA

Signature and Date

CERTIFICATION

We certify that this dissertation titled " **DEVELOPMENT OF A FEDERATED LEARNING-BASED MALWARE DETECTION MODEL FOR INTERCONNECTED CLOUD INFRASTRUCTURES**" is an original research work carried out by **MUGHOLE**, **KALIMUMBALO DANIELLA (20PCK02091)**, in the Department of Electrical and Information Engineering, College of Engineering, Covenant University, Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria, under the supervision of Dr. Joke A. Badejo and Dr. Kennedy O. Okokpujie. We have examined and found this work acceptable as part of the requirements for the award of Master of Engineering in Information and Communication Engineering.

Dr. Joke A. Badejo (Supervisor)

Dr. Kennedy O. Okokpujie (Co-Supervisor)

Prof. Emmanuel Adetiba (Head of Department)

Dr. Oluwumi Adetan (External Examiner)

Prof. Akan B. Williams (Dean, School of Postgraduate Studies) **Signature and Date**

DEDICATION

This dissertation is first and foremost dedicated to God Almighty, the source of all wisdom, knowledge, and understanding, for His grace and favour throughout this research. Then to my parents KAMBALE KALIMUMBALO and KABUO ISEGHUNDI for their endless support and love.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

My profound gratitude goes firstly to God Almighty for granting me the willpower to pursue this study and for imparting the wisdom, strength, support, knowledge, and health to do so, most pertinent of which is life itself.

The expression of great gratitude is addressed to my supervisor Dr. Joke A. Badejo, for her support, comments, and encouragement. Also, my deep thanks to the management of Covenant Applied Informatics and Communications - African Centre of Excellence (CApIC-ACE) for the incredible support throughout my master's studies, particularly to the director Professor Ezekiel Adebiyi and the deputy director Prof. Emmanuel Adetiba.

My deep thanks also go to Prof. Emmanuel Adetiba, Dr. Kennedy Okokpujie, Dr. Victoria Oguntosin, Prof. Francis Idachaba, and Dr. Oluwadamilola Oshin, for support, corrections, and above all encouragement. I especially appreciate all my lecturers for all the labor put into teaching and mentoring me and my colleagues through all the courses they handled. I also appreciate the effort put into developing and perfecting this research through constructive criticism and feedback.

My appreciation goes as well to Prof. Takenga Claude for his support, encouragement, and advice throughout my studies.

My sincere thanks remain meritorious to my parents, sisters, brothers, and friends who helped me achieve my goals; I quote Fleurette Vivuya, Raph-Arsène Kasongo, Inès Muheruki, Justine Kahindo, Emile Kalimumbalo, Josiane Ndaghane, and Elie Lubamba. Thank you for supporting me throughout my studies and especially praying and encouraging me on all those very hard days.

May my friends Molo Mbasa, Patrick Vingi, Myriam Baiguerel, John Chishugi, Sonia Nkongho, Mariam Kanonte, and Lumbani Bondera, find here the expression of my gratitude for their support, friendship, jokes, encouragement, and prayers.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CONTENTS | PAGES |
|--|-------|
| TITLE PAGE | ii |
| ACCEPTANCE | iii |
| DECLARATION | iv |
| CERTIFICATION | v |
| DEDICATION | vi |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS | vii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | viii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | X |
| LIST OF TABLES | xi |
| LIST OF ALGORITHMS | xii |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xiii |
| ABSTRACT | XV |
| CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Statement of the Problem | 6 |
| 1.3 Aim and Objectives | 7 |
| 1.4 Justification of the Study | 7 |
| 1.5 Scope and Limitation of the Study | 7 |
| CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW | 8 |
| 2.1 Preamble | 8 |
| 2.2 Theoretical Background | 8 |
| 2.2.1 Information Security | 8 |
| 2.2.2 Malware Detection | 9 |
| 2.2.3 Cloud Computing Infrastructure | 16 |
| 2.3 Need for Artificial Intelligence | 21 |
| 2.3.1 Machine Learning | 22 |
| 2.3.2 Deep Learning | 26 |
| 2.3.3 Federated Learning | 27 |
| 2.4 Related Works | 40 |
| 2.4.1 Review of Malware Detection | 40 |
| 2.4.2 Gaps in the Existing Literature | 42 |
| 2.5 Chapter Summary | 45 |
| CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS | 46 |
| 3.1 Preamble | 46 |
| 3.2 Proposed Research Conceptual Framework | 46 |

| 3.2.1 Problem Formulation | 47 |
|---|----|
| 3.2.2 Data Acquisition | 47 |
| 3.2.3 Data Pre-processing and Feature Selection | 50 |
| 3.2.4 Model Development | 52 |
| 3.2.5 Development Environment | 61 |
| 3.3 Chapter Summary | 61 |
| CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 62 |
| 4.1 Preamble | 62 |
| 4.2 Model Development Results | 62 |
| 4.2.1 Data Pre-processing Outputs | 62 |
| 4.2.2 Model Training Results | 63 |
| 4.3 Discussion | 78 |
| 4.4 Chapter Summary | 80 |
| CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 81 |
| 5.1 Preamble | 81 |
| 5.2 Conclusion | 81 |
| 5.3 Research Contribution | 81 |
| 5.4 Recommendations | 82 |
| REFERENCES | 83 |
| APPENDIX | 92 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURES TITLE OF FIGURES | PAGES |
|---|-----------------|
| Figure 1.1: (a) Cloud Characteristics, (b) Cloud Service Models | 3 |
| Figure 2.1: Cloud Computing Models | 18 |
| Figure 2.2: General Federated Cloud Architecture | 21 |
| Figure 2.3: Position of ML and DL in AI | 22 |
| Figure 2.4: ML Taxonomy | 24 |
| Figure 2.5: Structure of an ANN | 26 |
| Figure 2.6: DL Taxonomy | 27 |
| Figure 2.7: Taxonomy of Federated Learning System | 29 |
| Figure 2.8: Types of Federated Learning based on Data partition | 30 |
| Figure 2.9: MLP Architecture | 31 |
| Figure 2.10: FFNN architecture | 32 |
| Figure 2.11: LSTM architecture | 33 |
| Figure 2.12: Types of Federated Learning based on the communication | architecture 36 |
| Figure 3.1: Block Diagram of the FL-based Malware Detection | 47 |
| Figure 3.2: Dataset Overview | 50 |
| Figure 3.3: Classes in the dataset | 52 |
| Figure 3.4: Number of Samples Per Class | 53 |
| Figure 3.5: Flow Chart of the FL Environment | 55 |
| Figure 3.6: MLP Network Architecture | 58 |
| Figure 3.7: FFNN Network Architecture | 59 |
| Figure 3.8: LSTM Network Architecture | 59 |
| Figure 4.1: Dataset Information | 62 |
| Figure 4.2: Features Normalised | 63 |
| Figure 4.3: Classes One Hot Encoding | 63 |
| Figure 4.4: Flower Framework Output | 63 |
| Figure 4.5: Connection of the Client to the Server | 64 |
| Figure 4.6: MLP Accuracy | 66 |
| Figure 4.7: MLP Loss | 67 |
| Figure 4.8: MLP-Confusion Matrix | 69 |
| Figure 4.9: FFNN Accuracy | 71 |
| Figure 4.10: FFNN Loss | 72 |
| Figure 4.11: FFNN-Confusion Matrix | 74 |
| Figure 4.12: LSTM Accuracy | 76 |
| Figure 4.13: LSTM Loss | 76 |
| Figure 4.14: LSTM-Confusion Matrix | 78 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLES | TITLE OF TABLES | PAGES |
|------------|--|-------|
| Table 2.1: | Comparison Between Static and Dynamic Analysis | 15 |
| Table 2.2: | Gaps in the Existing Literature | 42 |
| Table 3.1: | Mapping of Objectives with Materials and Methods | 46 |
| Table 3.2: | Total Count of Malware Family in the Dataset | 48 |
| Table 3.3: | Feature Description | 49 |
| Table 4.1: | MLP Performance | 65 |
| Table 4.2: | MLP-Classification Report (4 clients) | 68 |
| Table 4.3: | MLP-Classification Report (8 clients) | 68 |
| Table 4.4: | FFNN Performance | 70 |
| Table 4.5: | FFNN-Classification Report (4 clients) | 72 |
| Table 4.6: | FFNN-Classification Report (8 clients) | 73 |
| Table 4.7: | LSTM Performance | 74 |
| Table 4.8: | LSTM-Classification Report (4 clients) | 77 |
| Table 4.9: | LSTM-Classification Report (8 clients) | 77 |
| Table 4.10 |): Comparison with Other Works | 80 |
| Table A.1 | : Other Performance Metrics of the MLP Model | 92 |
| Table A.2 | : Other Performance Metrics of the FFNN Model | 93 |
| Table A.3 | : Other Performance Metrics of the LSTM Model | 94 |

LIST OF ALGORITHMS

| Algorithm 2.1: Federated Averaging | 37 |
|---|----|
| Algorithm 2.2: Federated Stochastic Variance Reduced Gradient | 38 |
| Algorithm 2.3: Federated Matched Averaging | 39 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| AI | Artificial Intelligence | |
|-----------|--|--|
| ANN | Artificial Neural Networks | |
| CApIC-ACE | Covenant Applied Informatics and Communication Africa Centre of Excellence | |
| CC | Cloud Computing | |
| CCMP | Cloud Computing Management Platforms | |
| CSC | Cloud Service Consumer | |
| CSP | Cloud Service Provider | |
| CSU | Cloud Service User | |
| CSV | Comma Separated Value | |
| DL | Deep Learning | |
| FedAvg | Federated Averaging | |
| FEDGEN | Federated Genomic Cloud | |
| FFNN | Feedforward Neural Network | |
| FL | Federated Learning | |
| FLOWER | Friendly Federated Learning Research Framework | |
| FLS | Federated Learning System | |
| FN | False Negative | |
| FP | False Positive | |
| HFL | Horizontal Federated Learning | |
| IaaS | Infrastructure as a Service | |
| IDS | Intrusion Detection System | |
| LSTM | Long Short-Term Memory | |
| MDS | Malware Detection System | |
| ML | Machine Learning | |

| MLP | Multi-Layer Perceptron |
|-------|---|
| PaaS | Platform as a Service |
| PCA | Principal Component Analysis |
| ReLU | Rectified Linear Unit |
| SaaS | Software as a Service |
| SMOTE | Synthetic Minority Oversampling Technique |
| TN | True Negative |
| ТР | True Positive |
| VFL | Vertical Federated Learning |
| VM | Virtual Machine |

ABSTRACT

Due to the large number of heterogeneous applications using the same infrastructure, enforcing security and reliability in the cloud is a difficult but crucial task. A security analysis system that detects threats for example malicious software (malware) should exist within the cloud infrastructure. Different malware techniques that bypass network-based and host-based security protections have led to the development of new methods for analysing and detecting malware, which have evolved over the past decades. Due to the complexity of learning the ever-changing configurations of malware, it is challenging for forensics investigators to keep up with the exponential rise in the number and variety of malware species. In this research work, a malware detection model was developed for interconnected cloud infrastructures based on federated learning. This technique enables collaboration between multiple devices in the training of machine learning models without exchanging data, thereby preserving the privacy of individual users. Three different deep-learning algorithms were selected and used in the training, validation, and testing of the models. By the model training with eight clients and twenty-five federation rounds, the FeedForward Neural Networks(FFNN) model provided the best performance with a precision of 84%, an F1-score of 84%, and an accuracy of 84% whereas the Multi-Layer Perceptron(MLP) model provided 83% of precision, 83% of F1-score, and 83% of accuracy and the Long Short-Term Memory(LSTM) model provided a performance with 80% of precision, 80% of F1-score, and 80% of accuracy as well.

Keywords: Federated learning, malware detection, federated cloud, machine learning