University of Massachusetts Medical School

eScholarship@UMMS

University of Massachusetts and New England Area Librarian e-Science Symposium

2016 e-Science Symposium

Apr 6th, 12:00 AM

Do funding agency data policies conflict with text mining license terms?

Jen Ferguson Northeastern University

Amanda Rust Northeastern University

Follow this and additional works at: https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/escience_symposium



Part of the Scholarly Communication Commons



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 4.0 License.

Repository Citation

Ferguson, J., & Rust, A. (2016). Do funding agency data policies conflict with text mining license terms?. University of Massachusetts and New England Area Librarian e-Science Symposium. https://doi.org/ 10.13028/atdc-2v18. Retrieved from https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/escience_symposium/2016/ posters/10

Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 4.0 License. This material is brought to you by eScholarship@UMMS. It has been accepted for inclusion in University of Massachusetts and New England Area Librarian e-Science Symposium by an authorized administrator of eScholarship@UMMS. For more information, please contact Lisa.Palmer@umassmed.edu.

Do funding agency data policies conflict with text mining license terms?

Jen Ferguson & Amanda Rust



Background

As text/data mining (TDM) becomes more prevalent, researchers seek to mine library resources for their projects.

Many vendors are including language in their text/data mining licenses that aims to protect their investments in metadata.

At the same time, researchers are increasingly being called upon to share and preserve data from their projects.

We speculated that funding agency data policies might conflict with vendors' text/data mining license terms.

Methods

We obtained text/data mining licenses from four vendors – here referred to as vendors A, B, C, and D.

We compared language from these vendors' licenses with guidance from several grant funding agencies.

We noted potential conflicts between funding agency guidelines and vendor licensing terms.

Results

Data sharing

	NEH- ODH	NIH- GEN	NSF- ENG	NSF- GEN	
Vendor A	×	×	×	×	
Vendor B	×	×	×	×	
Vendor C	×	×	×	×	
Vendor D	×	×	×	×	

Data retention

	NEH- ODH	NIH- GEN	NSF- ENG	NSF- GEN
Vendor A	×	×	*	×
Vendor B	×	×	×	×
Vendor C	×	×	×	×
Vendor D	×	×	×	×

While language in many funding agency policies and vendor licenses is still somewhat imprecise, we did identify several clear conflicts (indicated by above) as well as several potential conflicts (indicated by above) between funding agency policies and the TDM license terms of vendors A, B, C, and D. Of particular note:

<u>Data sharing</u>: Vendors A, C, and D contain terms in their TDM licenses that restrict the length of TDM output that can be disseminated. This is one potential conflict between funding agency data sharing policies and vendor license terms. Vendor B prohibits researchers from making results of TDM output available on any externally facing server or website, which could make data sharing quite difficult.

<u>Data retention</u>: Vendors B and C limit retention of copies of TDM data to the lifetime of the research project. Vendor D also limits retention of data, but without clear guidance on timeframes. Vendor B explicitly requires that data be destroyed upon conclusion of the project. This requirement clearly conflicts with some funding agency policies that require retention of data for three years following grant closeout.

Conclusions

We identified several cases in which the language in library vendor TDM licenses is at odds with funding agency policies. These conflicts are particularly apparent in regards to vendor limits on lengths of output and data retention.

In many cases, we found the language in both funding agency policies and vendor licensing agreements imprecise, making it difficult for us to determine whether these terms are in conflict. In our judgment, most of these instances do represent at least the potential for conflict.

Librarians assisting researchers with data management plans should be aware of potential conflicts between vendor TDM licenses and funder policies on data sharing and preservation.

There is a role for cross-library collaborations to address this issue. Librarians assisting researchers with data management plans can work with colleagues who handle licensing negotiations, as well as liaisons whose researchers are doing TDM work to best support researchers wishing to use library resources for text/data mining.

There is also a unique opportunity to shape the conversation on TDM research support by working with vendors to draw attention to possible conflicts with data sharing mandates.

Acknowledgment

Thanks to Janet Morrow for sharing insights and excerpts from TDM licenses for this project.