University of Massachusetts Medical School eScholarship@UMMS

GSBS Student Publications

Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences

2015-05-24

Tailor: a computational framework for detecting non-templated tailing of small silencing RNAs

Min-Te Chou National Chiao Tung University

Et al.

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/gsbs_sp

Part of the Biochemistry Commons, Bioinformatics Commons, and the Computational Biology Commons

Repository Citation

Chou M, Han BW, Hsiao C, Zamore PD, Weng Z, Hung J. (2015). Tailor: a computational framework for detecting non-templated tailing of small silencing RNAs. GSBS Student Publications. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv537. Retrieved from https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/gsbs_sp/1882

Creative Commons License

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 License This material is brought to you by eScholarship@UMMS. It has been accepted for inclusion in GSBS Student Publications by an authorized administrator of eScholarship@UMMS. For more information, please contact Lisa.Palmer@umassmed.edu.

Tailor: a com putational fram ework for detecting non-tem plated tailing of sm all silencing RNAs

M in-Te Chou^{1,†}, Bo W .Han^{2,†}, Chiung-Po Hsiao¹, Phillip D.Zam ore², Zhiping W eng³ and Jui-Hung Hung^{1,*}

¹Institute of B binform atics and System s B bbgy and Departm entof B bbgiralS cience and Technobgy, N ational C hiao Tung University, H sinC hu, Taiwan, 300, R epublic of C hina, ²R NA Therapeutics Institute, H ow and H ughes M edical Institute, and D epartm entof B bchem istry and M olecularPharm acobgy, University of M assachusetts M edicalS chool, W orcester, M A 01605, USA and ³Program in B binform atics and Integrative B bbgy, University of M assachusetts M assachusetts M edicalS chool, W orcester, M A 01605, USA

Received March 31, 2015; Revised April 24, 2015; Accepted May 10, 2015

ABSTRACT

Small silencing RNAs, including microRNAs, endogenous sm all interfering RNAs (endo-siRNAs) and Piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs), have been shown to play important roles in fine-tuning gene expression, defending virus and controlling transposons. Loss of small silencing RNAs or components in their pathways often leads to severe developm entaldefects, including lethality and sterility. Recently, non-tem plated addition of nucleotides to the 3 end, namely tailing, was found to associate with the processing and stability of sm all silencing RNAs.Next Generation Sequencing has made it possible to detect such modifications at nucleotide resolution in an unprecedented throughput.Unfortunately, detecting such events from millions of short reads confounded by sequencing errors and RNA editing is still a tricky problem . Here, we developed a com putational fram ework, Tailor, driven by an efficient and accurate aligner specifically designed for capturing the tailing events directly from the alignments without extensive post-processing. The perform ance of Tailor was fully tested and compared favorably with other general-purpose aligners using both sim ulated and realdatasets for tailing analysis. Moreover, to show the broad utility of Tailor, we used Tailor to reanalyze published datasets and revealed novel findings worth further experim ental validation. The source code and the executable binaries are freely available athttps://github.com /jhhung/Tailor.

NTRODUCTION

O ver the past decade, sm all silencing R N A s, including m icroR N A s (m iR N A s), endogenous sm all silencing R N A s (endo-siR N A s) and P iw i-interacting R N A s (piR N A s) have been shown to play indispensable roles in regulating gene expression, protecting against viral infection and preventing m obilization of transposable elements (1-4). Sm all silencing R N A s exert their silencing function by associating with A rgonaute proteins to form R N A -induced silencing com plex (R ISC), which uses the sm all R N A guide to nd its regulatory targets and reduce gene expression. A lihough the studies on the biogenesis of sm all silencing R N A s have m adeenorm ousprogress in the past decade, the factors controlling their stability and degradation rem ain elusive.

Recent studies have suggested that non-tem plated addition to the 3 end of sm all silencing R N A s, nam ely tailing, could play essential roles in this regard. N on-tem plated 3 m ono- and oligo-uridylation of the pre-m icroR N A s (prem iR N A s) regulates m iR N A processing by either preventing orpromoting Dicercleavage in ies (5-7). The 3 monouridylation on small interfering RNAs in Caenorhabditis elegans is associated with negative regulation (8). Am eres et al. have dem onstrated that highly com plem entary targets trigger the tailing of m iR N A s and eventually lead to their degradation in ies and mammals (9,10); a similar mechanism has been found on some endo-siRNAs as well (11). Identi cation of tailing events not only suggests the co-evolution of sm all silencing R N A s and their targets, but also sheds light on the mechanism of their maturation and degradation.

D espite the fact that N extG eneration Sequencing (NGS) has greatly facilitated the understanding of RNA tailing, computational detection of non-temp lated nucleotides from millions of sequencing reads is challenging. The K etting group used M egaBLAST to align piRNA sequences

c The Author (s) 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Nucleic Acids Research.

^{*}To whom conrespondence should be addressed. Tel: +886 3 571 2121/56991; Fax: +886 3 571 2121/56990; Em ail: juihunghung@ gm ailcom or jnhung@ nctu.edu.tw

[†]These authors contributed equally to the paper as instauthors.

This is an Open A coess article distributed under the term soft he C reative Commons A thribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which perm its non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journalsperm issions@ oup.com

to the genome and relied on post-processing the reported m ism atches to gain insights into tailing (8). How ever, as a heuristic algorithm, BLAST is not guaranteed to nd all the tailing events (12,13) and it is signi cantly slower than the NGS aligners, like MAQ (14), BWA (15), Bow tie (16) and SOAP (17). The Chen group used an accurate m ethod that iterates between Bow tie alignment and 3 clipping of unm atched reads (18) to nd all the perfect alignm ents of trimmed reads. A similar approach has been used for rem oving enoneous bases at 3 end to increase the sensitivity of detecting m iR NAs (19). Let alone that this method inevitably multiples the running time by them axim allength of tails, extra com putational works are still needed to retrieve the identity of each trim m ed tail. The study by A m eresetal. used a specialized suf x tree data structure to efficiently ind all the tails without sacri cing the accuracy. How ever, due to the high m em ory footprint of the suf x tree data structure, which is about 16 to 20× of the genome size, the read m apping has to be perform ed for each chrom osom e separately (9,20). Extra processing is still required to nalize the alignments from all chromosomes.

M oreover, the task becom es even trickier when technical and biological confounding factors are taken into account for better capturing the true tailing events. For example, it is known that reads from Illum ina H iSeq and G enome analyzer platform shave preferential A - C conversions (21,22) and a high error rate at the 3 end of reads, which frequently leads to uncalled bases, i.e. B -tails (23,24). In addition to these technical artifacts endued by the sequencers, RNA editing is another common post-transcriptionalmodi cation in small silencing RNA biology that could perplex the tools with enoneous alignment. There are two major types of RNA editing in mammals, adenosine to inosine (A-to-I) and cytidine to unidine (C -to-U) editing. The major enzym es that catalyze adenosine to inosine are the adenosine deam inases acting on RNA (ADARs), whose main substrates are R N A swith double-stranded structures (25-27). Sincem any sm all silencing R N A sare originated from structuralRNAs, they are all likely targets of A-to-Iediting (28-30). Recent studies have shown that A -to-I editing can occur on the seed region of the miRNAswith fairly high occurrence rate (up to 80% in som e cases) and have a direct in pact on the selection of their regulatory targets (31,32). Those unm atched bases degenerate the sensitivity and accuracy of short read alignm ent and have a negative effect on the detection of tailing.

M ost of the current m ethods sin ply ignore those confounding factors and rely on adapting existing, less specialized tools with extensive post-processing and as a consequence the perform ance, usefulness and application of tailing analysis is seriously com prom ised. A fast, accurate and straightforward approach to study tailing is still in need. To ease the cost of perform ing tailing analysis with dram atically increasing sequencing throughput, we here introduce Tailor--a fram ework that preprocesses and m aps sequences to a reference, distinguishes tails from m ism atches or bad alignments with a novel algorithm and reports both perfect and tailed alignment simultaneously without boss of inform ation. Tailor is capable of analyzing the non-tem plated tailing form iR NA and other types of sm all R NA sand produce publication-quality summary gures. In addition, to better dem onstrate the utility of Tailor, we reanalyzed published datasets with Tailor and unearthed several interesting observations (see Applications--case studies in R esults). A lthough the ndings still require thorough experim ental validation, it is clear that Tailor would help expand the scope of the study of sm all silencing R N A s.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

D atasets

Illum in a sequencing data of sm all R N A s from D rosophila melanogaster hen1 (SRR 029608, SRR 029633), Danio rerio hen1 (SRR 363984-5), A rabidopsis hen1 and heso1 (SR P010683) and A qo2 associated sm all R N A s in cytoplasm ic (SRR 529097) and nuclear fraction (SRR 529100) of HeLawere obtained from NCBI Sequence Read Archive. The length distribution of the simulated confounded reads was from the D.melanogaster A go3 associated small R N A s extracted from ovaries (SRR 916073). In-house program was used to trim the 3 adaptors and liter the reads with low quality. Random ly distributed reads from fruity genom e was generated by Arti cialFastqG enerator (33). Ten millions reads were random ly chosen using seqtk (github.com /lh3/seqtk.git) with options 'sample -s100 -10000000'. To rem ovem ultiplem apping reads in som e sim ulation datasets, we used Bow tie iteratively before and after the tail appending and seed mutation to assure each read has only one occurrence in the reference.

Rationale

The principle of detecting non-tem plated bases at the 3 end of reads is basically to nd the longest common prex (LCP) between the read and each of the suf xes of the reference and then report the remainder on the read as a tail. G iven a read R (M base pairs [bp] long) and all the suf xes (S_i) of a reference sequence G (N bp long), one can nd the LCP between R and S_i by nding the longest consecutive m atches from the rst base to the last. Since there are totally N suf xes of G, a trivial solution needs at worse M *N times of comparison to nd the LCP of R and G; how ever the perform ance is unacceptably slow when G is as large as a hum an genom e.U sing index structures, such as the suf x tree or suf x array, nding LCPs between the NGS reads and the reference can be solved m uch m ore efficiently (9,34).

Recently, the Full-text index in M inute space (FM -index) derived from the Burrows W heeler transform (BW T) (35-37) is widely used in m any NGS applications (15-17). The FM -index is both time and space of cient and can be built from a suf x array and requires only 3 to 4 bits per base to store the index. A more detailed introduction of building the FM -index of long biological sequences is given in the Supplem entary M aterials. How ever, since the FM -index is originally designed for m atching all bases of a read to a substring of the reference, it cannot be used directly for nding tails. One straightforward solution is to align reads without theor non term plated harms hy unpertails are and

w ithout those non-tem plated bases by repeatedly rem oved one lastbase in each round of the alignm entprocessuntilat leastone perfect hit is found (18), but the approach scari es the speed greatly and requires extensive post-processing. To bene t from the space and time of ciency of the FM -index, we furtherm odi ed itsm atching procedure and adapted the enor tolerant strategy proposed by Langm ead et al. (16) to devise an FM -index based tail detection algorithm, Tailor, which is specialized in capturing the non-tem plated bases at the 3 end of reads with confounding factors, such as sequencing enors and RNA editing.

Read mapping algorithm of Tailor

The system ow of the Tailor algorithm is outlined in Figure 1. Since searching within the FM -index initiates from the 3 end of the query string (i.e. the read) (36), where the non-tem plated nucleotides append, Tailor rst m akes the reverse-com plem ent of the query sequence so that searching starts from the original 5 end to avoid excessive exhaustive search at the early stage. To do so, the reference should be reversed com plem ented as well, and the coordinate of each alignment should be calculated accordingly. To allow searching against both strands simultaneously and in proves the speed, Tailor concatenates the plus and m inus strands of the reference and constructs one index instead of two (Figure 1A and Supplementary Materials). Tailor also stores a part of the suf x array sim ilar to other FM index based aligners (16,38-40) to achieve fast calculation of the text shift for getting the coordinate of each occurrence. Any alignment whose pre x matching portion exceeds the boundary of the mapped chrom osom e is ltered. The searching continues until either it matches all the characters of the query to the reference (i.e. the perfect m atching) orno more bases can be matched (i.e. the pre x matching). In the latter case, Tailor backtracks to the previous m atched position and exhaustively enum erates all the possible pre x m atches. The unm atched part rem ained in the query is reported as a tail (Figure 1B).

C learly, this strategy is vulnerable to confounding factors, since the rst m ism atch encountered directly de ness the rem ainder as the tail, which can be very m isleading. To accom m odate possible sequencing encors or RNA editing events in a read, we devised specialized selection rules as depicted in Figure 2. For each read, the rst S (S = 18 by default) bases at its 5 portion is de ned as the seed (Figure 2A).G iven the fact that sequencing encors tend to occur at the 3 end (23,24) and RNA editing events in m iRNAs are enriched at the other end (i.e. the seed region) (30–32), the selection rules behave according to whether or not the rst m ism atch appears in the seed (Figure 2B).

If the nst m ism atch is not in the seed region, it is regarded as either the nst base of the tail or a sequencing enor. In the case that the m ism atch is at the last base, it is directly deem ed as a valid tail (Case 2 in Figure 2B). If the tail is borger than 1 nucleotide (nt), it will be further scanned to m ake sure that the sequence of the tail consists of multiple non-tem plated nucleotides (Case 3). If the tail is only one nucleotide different from the reference, no tail but a m ism atch will be reported (Case 4). Note that in order to differentiate tails from sequencing enor, a ltering step based on the quality is necessary to avoid type I error and has been included in Tailor's pipeline (see below; Analysispipeline). Our current algorithm cannot differentiate the circum stance that the tailed sequence is identical to the genom e sequence. This problem is unlikely to be solved com putationally and experim entalsolutions are expected to be more effective (eg.using mutant with a defective tailing pathway).

On the other hand, if the nst m ism atch is in the seed, where R N A editing events occur frequently, the backtracking search will be reinitiated and looks for an LCP started from the succeeding base after the m ism atch. If no m ism atch is found in the reinitiated search, no tail but a m ism atch is reported (C ase 5). If a m ism atch is occurred outside the seed, the rem inder is reported as a tail (C ase 6 and 7); otherw ise, the read is dropped (C ase 8). N ote that the scenario that C ase 4 w ith another m ism atch in the seed is notallow ed (i.e. two m ism atches as in C ase 8), since in principle we want to endow Tailor an error tolerance strategy consistent to that of conventional approaches under the one m ism atch setting (eg. - v 1 in Bow tie).

Im plem entation

We implemented the core of the Tailor aligner using C++ with built-in support form ultithreading. Since Tailor concatenates both strands of the chrom osom es into one long reference, whose length could exceed the maximum num ber represented by 32 bits, we have to use 64 bits to store the indexes in all the relevant data structures, which require about 2X m em ory footprint than that of other FM -index based aligners. To backward com patible with the algorithm introduced in Ameres et al. (9), which allow only case 1, 2 and 3 in Figure 2, an option (-v) is needed to turn on the detection of other cases. Tailor has a similar comm and line interface like other NGS aligners and reports alignment in the SAM (41) form at. A tail is described as 'softclipping' in CIGAR and the sequences are reported under TLZ: in the optional elds. M ism atches, if allowed (-v), will be reported in the MD' tag (see Supplementary M aterials form one details). Tailor is freely available on G itH ub (http://jhhunggithub.io/Tailor/) underGNUGeneral Public License 2. All the scripts used in preparing this m anuscript have also been included in the same G itH ub repository. The tailing pipelines were in plan ented in shell scripting language and R .

Test environm ent and software

A llsoftware tests were perform ed in the x86.64 C entos environm ent with 24 cores and 48G of m em ory. The Bow tie software used in this study is version 1.0.0, 64-bit. The version of BW A used is 0.7.5a-r405. The version of Tailorused is 1.0.0. A llcom m ands for all the tests are listed in the Supplem entary M aterials.

RESULTS

Perform ance without confounding factors

To begin with, we ignored confounding factors in the following tests to compare with conventional approaches rst. To assess the aligning speed directly, we indiscriminately generated 10 m illions of perfectly genomermatching reads from the D. melanogaster genome (simulated tail-free dataset) (33) and random ly appended 1-4 genomeunmatched nucleotides to the 3 ends (simulated tailed



Figure 1. BW T-based tailing detection algorithm . (A) Procedure of constructing the FM -index from a reference sequence. (B) Procedure of query searching using the FM -index. Searching starts from the 3 end of a reverse-com plem ented query. G reen letters indicate the non-tem plated tail. R ed letters indicate the positions being m atched against the index. W hen a non-tem plated letter is spotted as in step 4, the algorithm backtracks to previous step and reports all the hits and m arks the unm atched string as 'tail'.

dataset).We com pared Tailorwith two most popular BWT aligners Bow tie and BWA by applying them on simulated small R N A datasets (Figure 3A). For the simulated tailfree dataset, Tailor outperform ed Bow tie and BWA in ve thread settings (using 2, 4, 8, 12 and 24 threads; Figure 3A, top. All the running time plotted was the average of the actual running time of ve repeated experiments). But for the simulated tailed dataset, Bow tie ran slightly faster than Tailor possibly due to the fact the it reported no alignm ent and did not perform any disk writing (Figure 3A, bottom). We also perform ed the speed test with realsmallRNA sequencing data from hen $1^{+/-}$ and hen $1^{-/-}$ fruit y and zebrash (see Datasets in Materialsand Methods' section) (Figure 3B).hen1 encodes for a methyl-transferase that adds amethylgroup to the 3 end of siRNA and piRNA at the 2 -O position and prevents tailing (9,42). For both hen $1^{+/-}$ and hen1^{-/-} libraries, Tailor outperform ed Bow tie and BWA and reproduced the published result that siR N A s, but not m iR N A s, were subjected to tailing in the absence of hen1

(Supplem entary Figure S1). Please note that Bow tie and BWA in the speed test setting here were not capable of detecting non-tem plated tails. These tests were just used to com pare their execution speed but not functionality.

To prove the accuracy of Tailor when confounding factors were not considered, we then used either Tailor or the Chen m ethod to identify the non-tem plated tailing events (18). To achieve maxim alspeed of the Chen m ethod to our best know ledge, we used the '-3 k' option of B ow tie to clip k bases off from the 3 end of each read. This strategy avoided calling secondary program sand ensured that m inim alcom putational work was done other than B ow tie m apping. W e started the alignment by setting k to 0. A fter the initial m apping, the unaligned reads were realigned with an incremented k (k = 1). This process was repeated four times. In the last iteration, four nucleotides were trim m ed off from the 3 end (k = 4) and all the tailed reads should have been m apped at this point. In the simulation test, this m ethod nished in 67 ± 1 sw ith Bow tie been called ve times (k = 4)



Figure 2. E nor to lerance Itering rules. (A) R eads would have to be reverse-com plan ented before searching. The corresponding seed region is highlighted in green. (B) E ight rules for determ ining tails. See the m ain text form ore details.

0-4). N ot supprisingly, directly m apping by Tailor nished in 22 ± 1 seconds in the same computational environment. Both m ethods reported the same coordinates. How ever, in such setting, Chen m ethod was notable to identify the tails, which requires considerable computational work and time to retrieve from the raw reads. In contrast, Tailor revealed the length and the identity of the tails in the alignment output directly (see Supplementary Materials).

Perform ance with error tolerance

It is arguable that som e NGS aligners that support local alignment, such as Bowtie2 (38) and BWA, can recover those tails with error to lerance. We simulated two datasets (one norm al, one m utated, see below) whose distribution of read length follows that of the realsmallRNA sequencing dataset (43) (see D atasets in M aterials and M ethods' section; and also Supplem entary Figure S2). For the norm al dataset, twom illion reads were random ly sam pled from the reference genom e. W e intentionally kept reads having just one unique occurrence in the genom e and then appended a 1-4 nt non-tem plated tailon each read. For the mutated dataset, a sim ilar procedure was used to generate another two million reads, but one additional step was added: we introduced one substitution in the nucleotides 2-8 of each read to simulate an RNA editing event as suggested by Vesely et al. (32). A gain, this substitution was picked carefully to have only one occurrence in the genom ew ith exactly onem ism atch. The simulation guaranteed that there existed only one best alignment to the reference for each read in both datasets (see D atasets in M aterials and M ethods' section).

Then we exam ined the mappability of these datasets by Tailor (with -voption), Bow tie2 and BWA (See Figure 3C). Tailor clearly reported more unique mapping reads than others especially in the mutated datasets. When we boked closer to those reads that were mapped to multiple positions, we found Bow tie2 and BW A were more likely to align the tails to the reference than Tailor and create m any alternative alignm ents. Note that the seed region setting was used to aid all three tools for the alignment (S = 20 and - v in Tailor and the equivalences in Bow tie2 and BWA; m ism atches in the seed region were allowed) and all tools should try to align the rst20 ntofeach read to the genom e, but Bow tie2 and BWA still generated suboptim al alignments. The execution time of three aligners with the error to lerant setting is depicted in Supplem entary Figure S3. The com plete com m ands for running all the tests are listed in Supplem entary M aterials.

W e further checked whether the alignments and the tails were correctly reported. A schown in Figure 3D, Tailorwas the only tool that gave satisfactory results reporting correct alignments and tails in the mutated dataset. There was no information in the output of BWA to recover the tails, and since most of the reads were aligned to multiple locations, it was expected that extensive post-processing would be needed for extracting the tails. The simulation clearly shows that Tailor is the only practical solution for doing tailing analysis with confounding factors.

A nalysis pipeline

In order to provide a thorough and straightforward tailing analysis of deep sequencing libraries to the scienti c



Figure 3. Speed com parison between Tailor and others software. (A) Speed com parison between Tailor, BW A and Bow tie using sin ulated 18-23 nt sm all R N A with (top) or without (bottom) non-tem plated tails. Tailor ran with the default setting, which allow snom is match in them iddle of the query. Tailed alignments were reported if perfectment could not be found. Bow tie ran with '- a -best -strata - v 0' setting to allow nom is match while report allbest alignments. BW A ran with the default setting, which allow snom is match in them iddle of the query. Tailed alignments. BW A ran with the default setting. Five different CPU settings were used and the running time was plotted. Three replicates were performed. (B) Speed com parison between Tailor, BW A and Bow tie (comm ands can be found in Supplementary Materials) using published sm all R N A Illum ina N G S libraries from hent^{+/-} and hent^{-/-} mutants in fruit y and zebra sh. Same settings were used as in (A). (C) The mappability of the norm al (N) and mutated (M) datasets aligned by Tailor, Bow tie 2 (with local alignment) and BW A . M ultiple mapping was deem ed as m isalignment since each read was guaranteed to have only one occurrence in the reference. (D) The unique mapping reads shown in (C) were further examined to make sure they were aligned correctly and with proper tails reported (correct tails); unique mapping reads were grouped together (undeterm ined or runm appable).

community, we developed the interface of Tailor to take FastQ les as input and produce publication-ready gures. The ow chart of the pipeline is summarized in Supplementary Figure S4A. In brief, the input reads, with barcodes and adaptors removed, are subject to a quality-ltering step based on a PHRED score threshold provided by the user (eg. to get rid of B-tails). The pipeline then applies Tailor to align the high-quality reads to the reference. The information on the length and identity of tails are then retrieved from the SAM formatted output and summarized to a tabular text le. A dditionally, the alignments are assigned to different genom ic features (m iR N A s, exons, introns, etc.) using BED Tools (44). Tails from different categories are sum marized. Publication quality gures depicting the length distribution are drawn using R package ggplot2 (23) (Supplem entary F igure S4B). The pipeline also offersm icroR N A speci canalysis. Balloon plots describing the 5 and 3 relative positions and the tails length are provided for a com prehensive overview (Supplem entary F igure S4C).



Downloaded from http://nar.oxfordjournals.org/ at University of Massachusetts Medical School on August 16, 2015

Figure 4. A pplications of Tailor and the accompanying shell pipeline. (A) Length distribution of mRNA -derived sm all RNA reads with tailing inform ation from wild-type, hen 1 m utant and hen 1, heso 1 double m utant tissues from A rabidopsis. Raw read counts are shown without norm alization. Perfect m atch and tailed reads are indicated in different colors. (B) Length distribution of A go2 associated H sa-m iR -15a (left) and H sa-m iR -15b (right) in cytoplasm (top) and nucleus (bottom) fraction of H eLa cell. Raw read count are shown without norm alization. Note that since the authors of these libraries used poly-adenylation instead of 3 ligation in their cloning strategy, it was in practical to identify A tailing. (C) Tail composition form iR -379 and the edited form (m iR -379-5G) in wild-type and A dar^{-/-} libraries.

Applications--case studies

To prove the utility of Tailor, we applied Tailor to reanalyze several publicly available sm all RNA sequencing datasets and revealed new facts about the data that has not been reported yet. In plants, HUA ENHANCER 1 (HEN 1) methylates both miRNA and siRNA at their 3 ends to protect them from non-tem plated uridylation catalyzed by HEN 1 SU PPR ESSOR 1 (HESO 1), a term inalnucleotidyl transferase that favors uridine as substrate (18,45). W e applied Tailor on sm all RNA sequencing libraries from W T, hen1^{-/-} and hen1^{-/-}; heso1^{-/-} cells of A rabidopsis and the results showed that sRNAs were subjected to both non-tem plated unidylation and cytosylation withoutHEN1 while m iRNAs were mainly subjected to unidylation. Furtherm ore, the loss of HESO1 only reduced the unidylation but not cytosylation of sRNAs, suggesting the existence of additional nucleotidyl transferase that prefers cytosine as substrates (Figure 4A).

W e then applied Tailor to two NGS libraries that cloned A go2 associated sm allRNA from nuclear and cytoplasm ic fraction of H eLa cells respectively (46). Since RNAs were cloned using poly-A polymerase instead of 3 adaptor ligation in the library preparation, A -tails were unable to be re-

covered computationally. A lthough most miRNAs showed very sim ilar length distribution and tailing frequency between these two samples, one miRNA, miR-15a, exhibited a distinct pattern. In cytoplasm, miR-15a wasm ostly 21 nt long and had modest U tailing for its 22-m er isoform . Surprisingly, in the nuclear fraction, m iR -15a peaked at 22 nt and showed strong U tailing (Figure 4B). In addition, m iR -15b, which shares its seed sequence with miR -15a and only has one nucleotide different from miR-15a in the rst 19 ntof itsm ature sequence, did not exhibit obvious variation between the two samples. This suggests that, either 9-12 nt, also known as the 'central site' or the 3 end of quide m iR NA play an important role in tailing regulation.

Finally, we applied Tailor to study the possible relationship between RNA editing and tailing in microRNAs. The m iR NA libraries were constructed from the whole brain tissue cells dissected from $A \operatorname{dar2}^{-/-}$ and wild-type m ice (32). A dar2 is known for its strongest effects on m iR NA abundance and editing among the three isoform s of ADARs (47). One of the highly expressed ADAR substrates, m iR -379, was shown to be directly edited at the nucleotide ve within the seed region and about half of the mature miR -379 were edited by ADAR2 (32). As expected, the edited form ofm iR -379 (i.e.m iR -379-5G) was greatly reduced in A dar /- m ice. Surprisingly, we found that the norm alm iR -379 has much more tailing than miR -379-5G (see Figure 4C). M ono-A and poly-A tails (the bluish portion) were depleted in m iR -379-5G , which raises the probability that ADAR s and the A -to-I editing could affect the af nity between the miRNAs and the unknown enzymes responsible for adenylylating the 3 end. Since the proportion of different types of tails was unchanged upon A dar2 knockout, the tailing machinery is less likely modulated by ADAR2 directly but by the subsequent factors after editing in the seed, such as differential targeting, RNA stability change orm iR NA - A reponaute sorting (1,48).

DISCUSSION

Tailing is a molecular phenom enon that associates with the function, processing and stability of many small RNAs. Computational identi cation of the tailed sequences from the millions of NGS reads has been proven to be challenging and tim e-consum ing. W e herein present a tailing analysis fram ework, Tailor, which aligns reads to the reference genome, reports tailing events simultaneously and visualizes analysis results. We assessed the accuracy of Tailor by com paring it with the Chen m ethod with simulated reads and found they generated exactly the sam e results while Tailoronly used a third of the time to align and provided more information comparing to the alternative.

When confounding factor was ignored, Tailor was not slower than other well-known fast general-purpose mappers in our tests. W e dem onstrated that Tailor executed in a speed that was very competitive to, if not better than, Bow tie and BW A, while providing m ore functionalities for detecting tailing events. W hen confounding factors was presented in the reads, it was arguable that advanced N G S aligners that support the local alignmentmode (eg. Bow tie2) could be competent in nding tails, but we tested them with simulated reads and showed that Tailor

performed signi cantly better in both accuracy and ef ciency.

Tailor's shell-based fram ework takes raw reads as input and produces com prehensive tailing analysis results and publication quality gures. We reproduced known conclusions drawn from the published tailing study by the pipeline with little extra scripting and post-processing. W e also applied the pipeline to other datasets and shed light on other possibilities of the functional roles of tailing, such as involving in R N A processing, transport, decay and storage by interacting with other RNA binding proteins (49).

Ourains to design Tailorare to reduce the cost of doing tailing analysis and reinforce or even replace the conventional com putational procedure in analyzing all short noncoding R N A s. W e expect that Tailor could be applied to a broader scope and subsequently facilitate the understanding of biological processes related to tailing.

AVALABLTY

Source code as an Open Source project: http://jhhung. github.io/Tailor.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplem entary D ata are available at NAR Online.

ACKNOW LEDGEMENT

W ethank them em bersofthe H ung, W eng and Z am ore laboratories for helpfuld iscussion and critical testing.

FUNDING

National Institutes of Health [GM 62862, GM 65236 to PD Z., in part and P01H D 078253 to Z W .]; N ational Science Council [103-2221-E-009-128-M Y 2 to JH H .]. Funding for open access charge: N ational Science C ouncil [103-2221-E-009-128-MY2toJHH.].

C on ict of interest statem ent. N one declared.

REFERENCES

- 1. A m eres, S L . and Z am ore, P.D . (2013) D iversifying m icroR N A sequence and function.Nat.Rev.M ol.CellBiol., 14, 475-488.
- 2. Castel, S.E. and Martienssen, R.A. (2013) RNA interference in the nucleus: roles for sm all R N A s in transcription, epigenetics and beyond.Nat.Rev.Genet., 14, 100-112.
- 3. Luteijn M J. and Ketting R F. (2013) PIW I-interacting RNAs: from generation to transgenerational epigenetics. N at. R ev. G enet., 14, 523-534.
- 4. Ghildiyal, M. and Zamore, PD. (2009) Small silencing RNAs: an expanding universe.Nat.Rev.Genet., 10, 94-108.
- 5.Heo,I.,Ha,M.,Lim,J.,Yoon,M.J.,Park,J.E.,Kwon,S.C.,Chang,H. and K in ,VN. (2012) M ono-uridylation of pre-m icroRNA as a key step in the biogenesis of group II let-7 m icroR N A s. C ell, 151, 521-532.
- 6. Heo, I., Joo, C., Cho, J., Ha, M., Han, J. and Kim, VN. (2008) Lin 28 m ediates the term inal uridylation of let-7 precursor M icroR N A . M ol. Cell, 32, 276-284.
- 7.Heo,I., Joo,C.,Kim,YK.,Ha,M.,Yoon,M.J.,Cho,J.,Yeom,K.H., Han, J. and K in , VN. (2009) TUT4 in concert with Lin 28 suppresses microRNA biogenesis through pre-microRNA uridylation.Cell, 138, 696-708

- 8. van W olfswinkel, J.C., Claycom b, JM., Batista, P.J., Mello, C.C., Berezikov, E. and Ketting, R.F. (2009) CD E-1 affects chrom osom e segregation through unidylation of CSR -1-bound siR NAs. Cell, 139, 135-148.
- 9. A m eres, S L ., H orw ich M D ., H ung, JH ., X u, J., G hildiyal M ., W eng, Z .and Zam ore, PD . (2010) Target R N A -directed trin m ing and tailing of sm all silencing R N A s. Science, 328, 1534–1539.
- 10.X ie,J., Ameres, S.L., Friedline, R., Hung, J.H., Zhang, Y., Xie, Q., Zhong, L., Su, Q., He, R., Li, M. et al. (2012) Long-term, ef cient inhibition of microRNA function in mice using rAAV vectors. Nat. M ethods, 9, 403–409.
- 11. A m eres, S.L., Hung, JH., Xu, J., W eng, Z. and Zam ore, P.D. (2011) Target RNA -directed tailing and trim m ing puri es the sorting of endo-siRNA sbetween the two D rosophila A rgonaute proteins. RNA, 17, 54-63.
- 12. A ltschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W., and Lipman, D.J. (1990) Basic localalignment search tool, J.M. ol. Biol., 215, 403-410.
- 13. Zhang, Z., Schwartz, S., Wagner, L. and Miler, W. (2000) A greedy algorithm for aligning DNA sequences. J. Comput. Biol., 7, 203-214.
- 14. Li, H., Ruan, J. and Durbin, R. (2008) M apping short DNA sequencing reads and calling variants using m apping quality scores. G enom e R es., 18, 1851–1858.
- $15.\,L$ i,H $\,$.and D urbin R . (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Bunows-W heeler transform .B ioinform atics, $25,1754{-}1760$.
- 16.Langm ead B., Trapnell, C., Pop M. and Salzberg S.L. (2009) U ltrafast and m em ory-ef cientalignm ent of short DNA sequences to the hum an genom e.G enom e B iol., 10, R 25.
- 17. Li,R., Li,Y., K ristiansen, K. and W ang, J. (2008) SOAP: short oligonucleotide alignment program. Bioinformatics, 24, 713-714.
- 18. Zhao, Y., Yu, Y., Zhai, J., R am achandran, V., D inh, T.T., M eyers, B.C., M o, B. and Chen, X. (2012) The A rabidopsis nucleotidyl transferase H ESO 1 uridylates unm ethylated sm all R N A s to trigger their degradation. Curr. B iol., 22, 689–694.
- 19.M arco A .and G rif ths-Jones,S. (2012) D etection of m icroR NAs in color space.B ioinform atics, 28, 318-323.
- 20.U kkonen E. (1995) O nline Construction of Suf x Trees. A lgorithm ica, 14, 249–260.
- 21. Dohm J.C., Lottaz, C., Borodina, T. and H in melbauer, H. (2008) Substantial biases in ultra-short read data sets from high-throughput DNA sequencing. Nucleic Acids Res., 36, e105.
- 22. Qu W ., H ashim oto S. and M orishita S. (2009) Ef cient frequency-based de novo short-read clustering for error trim m ing in next-generation sequencing. G enom e Res., 19, 1309-1315.
- 23.M inoche, A.E., Dohm, J.C. and H in melbauer, H. (2011) Evaluation of genom ic high-throughput sequencing data generated on Illum ina H iseq and genom e analyzer system s.Genom e Biol., 12, R 112.
- 24. Le,H. S., Schulz,M. H., M. oC auley, B. M., H inm an, V.F. and Bar-Joseph, Z. (2013) Probabilistic enor correction for R N A sequencing. Nucleic Acids Res., 41, e109.
- 25.B bw M ., Futreal, PA ., Wooster, R. and Stratton M R. (2004) A survey of RNA editing in hum an brain. Genome Res., 14, 2379–2387.
- 26. K in D D., K in , T.T., W alsh, T., Kobayashi, Y., M atise, T.C., Buyske, S. and Gabriel, A. (2004) W idespread RNA editing of embedded alu elements in the hum an transcriptom e. Genom e. Res., 14, 1719-1725.
- 27.M orse, D P., A ruscavage, P.J. and Bass, B L. (2002) RNA haippins in noncoding regions of hum an brain and C aenorhabditis elegans m RNA are edited by adenosine deam inases that act on RNA. Proc. N atl. A cad. Sci. U S A., 99, 7906-7911.
- 28. Blow M J., G rocock, R J., van Dongen, S., Enright, A J., Dicks, E., Futzeal, PA., Wooster, R. and Stratton, M R. (2006) RNA editing of hum an microRNAs.GenomeBibl., 7, R 27.

- 29.Luciano, D.J., Mirsky, H., Vendetti, N.J. and M. aas, S. (2004) RNA editing of a miRNA precursor. RNA, 10, 1174-1177.
- 30.W amefors M., Liechti A., Halbert, J., Valloton D. and Kaessmann H. (2014) Conserved m icroR NA editing in mammalian evolution, development and disease. Genome B iol., 15, R 83.
- 31. Kume, H., H. ino, K., Galipon, J. and Ui-Tei, K. (2014) A-to-I editing in the m iR NA seed region regulates target m R NA selection and silencing ef ciency. Nucleic Acids Res., 42, 10050–10060.
- 32. Vesely, C., Tauber, S., Sedlazeck, F.J., Tajaddod, M., von Haeseler, A. and Jantsch, M. F. (2014) A D A R 2 induces reproducible changes in sequence and abundance of mature microR N A s in the mouse brain. Nucleic A cids R es., 42, 12155–12168.
- 33. Fram pton M .and H oulston, R . (2012) G eneration of articial FASTQ les to evaluate the perform ance of next-generation sequencing pipelines. PLoS O ne, 7, e49110.
- 34.D obin A., Davis, CA., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson M. and Gingeras, T.R. (2013) STAR: ulbafast universal RNA -seq aligner. Bioinform atics, 29, 15–21.
- 35. Burrow sM .and W heeler,D J. (1994) A block-sorting lossless data com pression algorithm .TechnicalReport 124.DEC, D igitalSystem s Research Center, Palo A lto, CA.
- 36. Ferragina, P. and Manzini, G. (2000) Opportunistic data structures with applications. Ann. IEEE Symp., 390-398.
- 37.Karkkainen, J. (2007) FastBW T in smallspace by blockwise suf x sorting.Theor.Comput.Sci., 387, 249–257.
- 38. Langmead B. and Salzberg SL. (2012) Fastgapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat. Methods, 9, 357-359.
- 39. Vyverm an M ., D e Baets, B ., Fack, V. and D aw yndt, P. (2012) Prospects and limitations of full-text index structures in genom e analysis. N ucleic A cids R es., 40, 6993-7015.
- 40.LiH .and H om erN . (2010) A survey of sequence alignment algorithms for next-generation sequencing.Brief.Bioinform., 11, 473-483.
- 41. Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., A becasis, G., Durbin, R. and Genome Project Data Processing, S. (2009) The Sequence A lignment/M ap form at and SAM tools. Bioinformatics, 25, 2078–2079.
- 42. Li, J., Yang, Z., Yu, B., Liu, J. and Chen, X. (2005) M ethylation protects m iR N A s and siR N A s from a 3 -end unidylation activity in A rabidopsis. Curr. B iol., 15, 1501–1507.
- 43. Huang, H., Li, Y., Szulwach, K. E., Zhang, G., Jin, P. and Chen, D. (2014) AGO 3 Slicer activity regulatesm itochondria-nuage localization of Arm itage and piRNA amplication. J. Cell Biol., 206, 217-230.
- 44. Quinlan A R. and Hall, IM. (2010) BED Tools: a exible suite of utilities for comparing genom ic features. Bioinform atics, 26, 841-842.
- 45. Ren.G., Chen X. and Yu.B. (2012) Unidylation of miRNA sby hen1 suppressor1 in A rabidopsis. Curr. Biol., 22, 695-700.
- 46. A m eyar-Z azoua, M., R achez, C., Souidi, M., Robin, P., Fritsch, L., Young, R., M orozova, N., Fenouil, R., D escostes, N., Andrau, J.C. et al. (2012) A rgonaute proteins couple chrom atin silencing to alternative splicing. N at. Struct. M ol. B iol., 19, 998-1004.
- 47. Vezely, C., Tauber, S., Sedlazeck, F.J., von Haeseler, A. and Jantsch M. F. (2012) A denosine deam inases that act on RNA induce reproducible changes in abundance and sequence of embryonic m iRNA s.Genom e Res., 22, 1468–1476.
- 48. D ueck A., Z iegler, C., E ichner, A., Berezikov, E. and M. eister, G. (2012) m icroR N As associated with the different hum an Argonaute proteins. N ucleic A cids R es., 40, 9850–9862.
- 49.G ensberger, S., Hafner, M. and Tuschl, T. (2014) A census of hum an RNA-binding proteins. Nat. Rev. Genet., 15, 829-845.