University of Massachusetts Medical School [eScholarship@UMMS](https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/)

[GSBS Student Publications](https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/gsbs_sp) [Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences](https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/gsbs)

2015-05-24

Tailor: a computational framework for detecting non-templated tailing of small silencing RNAs

Min-Te Chou National Chiao Tung University

Et al.

[Let us know how access to this document benefits you.](https://arcsapps.umassmed.edu/redcap/surveys/?s=XWRHNF9EJE)

Follow this and additional works at: [https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/gsbs_sp](https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/gsbs_sp?utm_source=escholarship.umassmed.edu%2Fgsbs_sp%2F1882&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

 \bullet Part of the [Biochemistry Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/2?utm_source=escholarship.umassmed.edu%2Fgsbs_sp%2F1882&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), [Bioinformatics Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/110?utm_source=escholarship.umassmed.edu%2Fgsbs_sp%2F1882&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), and the [Computational Biology](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/28?utm_source=escholarship.umassmed.edu%2Fgsbs_sp%2F1882&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) **[Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/28?utm_source=escholarship.umassmed.edu%2Fgsbs_sp%2F1882&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)**

Repository Citation

Chou M, Han BW, Hsiao C, Zamore PD, Weng Z, Hung J. (2015). Tailor: a computational framework for detecting non-templated tailing of small silencing RNAs. GSBS Student Publications. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv537) [10.1093/nar/gkv537](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv537). Retrieved from [https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/gsbs_sp/1882](https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/gsbs_sp/1882?utm_source=escholarship.umassmed.edu%2Fgsbs_sp%2F1882&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Creative Commons License \circledcirc \circledcirc

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) This material is brought to you by eScholarship@UMMS. It has been accepted for inclusion in GSBS Student Publications by an authorized administrator of eScholarship@UMMS. For more information, please contact Lisa.Palmer@umassmed.edu.

Taibr: a com putational fram ew ork for detecting non-templated tailing of small silencing RNAs

M in Te Chou^{1,†}, Bo W. Han^{2,†}, Chiung Po Hsiao¹, Phillip D. Zamore², Zhiping Weng³ and JuiHung Hung^{1,*}

 1 Institute of B ion form atics and System s B iology and D epartm entof B iological S cience and Technology, N ational Chiao Tung University, H sinChu, Taiwan, 300, R epublic of China, ²RNA Thempeutics Institute, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, and Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, University of Massachusetts MedicalSchool, Worcester, MA01605, USA and ³Program in Bioinform atics and Integrative Biology, University of Massachusetts MedicalSchool, Worcester, MA 01605, USA

Received March 31, 2015; Revised April 24, 2015; Accepted May 10, 2015

ABSTRACT

Small silencing RNAs, including microRNAs, endogenous sm all interfering RNAs (endo-siRNAs) and Piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs), have been shown to play important roles in fine-tuning gene expression, defending virus and controlling transposons. Loss of small silencing RNAs or components in their pathways often leads to severe developmentaldefects, including lethality and sterility. Recently, non-templated addition of nucleotides to the 3 end, namely tailing, was found to associate with the processing and stability of sm all silencing RNAs. Next Generation Sequencing has made it possible to detect such modfications at nucleotide resolution in an unprecedented throughput. Unfortunately, detecting such events from millions of short reads confounded by sequencing enors and RNA editing is still a tricky problem. Here, we developed a computational fram ework, Tailor, driven by an efficient and accurate aligner specifically designed for capturing the tailing events directly from the alignm ents w ithout extensive post-processing. The perform ance of Taibr was fully tested and compared favorably with othergeneral-purpose aligners using both simulated and realdatasets for tailing analysis. Moreover, to show the broad utility of Taibr, we used Taibr to reanalyze published datasets and revealed novel findings worth further experimental validation. The source code and the executable binaries are freely available athttps://github.com/jhhung/Taibr.

NTRODUCTION

O ver the past decade, an all silencing RNA s, including mi-CORNAS (m RNAS), endogenous sm all silencing RNAS (endo-siR N A s) and P iw i-interacting R N A s (p iR N A s) have been shown to play indispensable roles in requlating gene expression, protecting against viral infection and preventing m obilization of transposable elements (1-4). Sm all silencing RNA s exert their silencing function by associating w ith A rgonaute proteins to form RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), which uses the small RNA guide to nd its regulatory targets and reduce gene expression. A lthough the studies on the biogenesis of sm all silencing RNA shave m adeenorm ousprogress in the past decade, the factors controlling their stability and degradation rem ain elusive.

Recent studies have suggested that non-templated addition to the 3 end of sm all silencing RNAs, namely tailing, could play essential roles in this regard. N on-tem plated 3 m ono- and oligo-uridylation of the pre-m icroRNAs (prem RNAs) regulates m RNA processing by either preventing orprom oting D icercleavage in ies (5-7). The 3 m onouridylation on sm all interfering RNAs in Caenorhabditis elegans is associated with negative regulation (8) . Am eres et al. have dem onstrated that highly complem entary targets trigger the tailing of m RNAs and eventually lead to their degradation in ies and m am m als $(9,10)$; a similar mechanism has been found on some endo-siRNA s as well (11). Identi cation of tailing events not only suggests the co-evolution of sm all silencing RNA s and their targets, but also sheds light on the mechanism of theirm aturation and dequadation.

D espite the fact that N ext G eneration Sequencing N G S) has greatly facilitated the understanding of RNA tailing, com putational detection of non-tem plated nucleotides from millions of sequencing reads is challenging. The Ketting group used M egaBLAST to align piRNA sequences

c The Author(s) 2015. Published by O xford U niversity Press on behalf of N ucleic A cids R esearch.

^{*}To whom conrespondence should be addressed. Tel: +886 3 571 2121/56991; Fax: +886 3 571 2121/56990; Email: juhunghung@gmail.com or jhhung@nctu.edu.tw

[†]These authors contributed equally to the paper as rst authors.

This is an Open A coess article distributed under the term softhe Creative Commons Attribution L icense (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which perm its non-comm ercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For comm ercial re-use, please contact pumalsperm issions@ oup.com

to the genome and relied on post-processing the reported m is at the sto gain insights into tailing (8) . H ow ever, as a heuristic algorithm, BLAST is not quaranteed to nd all the tailing events $(12,13)$ and it is signi cantly slower than the NGS aligners, like MAQ (14) , BWA (15) , Bow tie (16) and $SORP (17)$. The Chen group used an accurate method that iterates between Bow tie alignm ent and 3 clipping of unm atched reads (18) to nd all the perfect alignm ents of trimmed reads. A sim ilar approach has been used for rem oving enroneous bases at 3 end to increase the sensitivity of detecting m RNAs (19). Let alone that this method inevitablymultiples the running time by them aximallength of tails, extra com putational works are still needed to retrieve the identity of each trim m ed tail. The study by A m eresetal. used a specialized suf x tree data structure to ef ciently nd all the tails w ithout sacri cing the accuracy. H ow ever, due to the high m em ory footprint of the suf x tree data structure, which is about 16 to 20 \times of the genom e size, the read m apping has to be perform ed for each chrom osom e separately $(9,20)$. Extra processing is still required to nalize the alignm ents from all chrom osom es.

M oreover, the task becom es even trick ier when technical and biological confounding factors are taken into account for better capturing the true tailing events. For exam ple, it is known that reads from Illum in a H iSeq and G enome analyzerplatform shave preferential A-C conversions (21,22) and a high emorrate at the 3 end of reads, which frequently leads to uncalled bases, i.e. B-tails (23,24). In addition to these technical artifacts endued by the sequencers, RNA editing is another common post-transcriptional modi cation in sm all silencing RNA biology that could perplex the tools with enroneous alignm ent. There are two m apritypes of RNA editing in m ammals, adenosine to inosine (A-to-I) and cytidine to uridine (C-to-U) editing. The m aprenzym es that catalyze adenosine to inosine are the adenosine deam inases acting on RNA (ADARs), whose main substrates are R N A s w ith double-stranded structures (25-27). Sincem any sm all silencing RNA sare originated from structuralRNAs, they are all likely targets of A -to-I editing (28-30). Recent studies have shown that A -to-I editing can occuron the seed region of them iRNAs with fairly high occurrence rate (up to 80% in som e cases) and have a direct in pact on the selection of their requlatory targets (31,32). Those unm atched bases degenerate the sensitivity and accuracy of short read alignm ent and have a negative effect on the detection of tailing.

M ost of the current methods simply ignore those confounding factors and rely on adapting existing, less specialized tools with extensive post-processing and as a consequence the perform ance, usefulness and application of tailing analysis is seriously com prom ised. A fast, accurate and straightforw ard approach to study tailing is still in need. To ease the cost of perform ing tailing analysis with dram atically increasing sequencing throughput, we here introduce Taibr--a fram ework thatpreprocesses and m aps sequences to a reference, distinguishes tails from m isn atches or bad alignm entswith a novelalgorithm and reportsboth perfect and tailed alignm ent simultaneously without bss of inform ation. Tailor is capable of analyzing the non-tem plated tailing form RNA and other types of small RNA sand produce publication-quality summary gures. In addition, to

better demonstrate the utility of Tailor, we reanalyzed published datasets with Tailorand unearthed several interesting observations (see Applications--case studies in Results). A 1though the ndings still require thorough experim entalvalidation, it is clear that Tailor would help expand the scope of the study of sm all silencing RNA s.

MATER ALS AND METHODS

D atasets

Illum ina sequencing data of sm all RNA s from D rosophila melanogaster hen1 (SRR 029608, SRR 029633), Danio rerio hen1 (SRR 363984-5), A rabidopsis hen1 and heso1 (SR P010683) and A go2 associated sm all RNAs in cytoplasm ic (SR R 529097) and nuclear fraction (SR R 529100) of H eLa were obtained from NCBI Sequence R ead A rchive. The length distribution of the simulated confounded reads was from the D.melanogaster A go 3 associated small RNAs extracted from ovaries (SRR 916073). In-house program was used to trim the 3 adaptors and liter the reads with low quality. Random ly distributed reads from fruity genom ewas generated by A rti cialFastgG enerator (33). Ten millions reads were randomly chosen using seqtk (github.com / Ih3/seqtk.git) with options \sample -s100 -10000000'. To rem ovem ultiplem apping reads in som e sim ulation datasets, we used B ow tie iteratively before and after the tail appending and seed mutation to assure each read has only one occurrence in the reference.

R ationale

The principle of detecting non-templated bases at the 3 end of reads is basically to nd the longest common pre x (LCP) between the read and each of the suf xes of the reference and then report the rem ainder on the read as a tail. G iven a read R M base pairs [op] bng) and all the suf xes (S_i) of a reference sequence G $(N$ bp long), one can nd the LCP between R and S_i by nding the bngest consecutive matches from the rst base to the last. Since there are totally N suf xes of G, a trivial solution needs at worse M *N times of comparison to nd the LCP of R and G; how ever the perform ance is unacceptably slow when G is as large as a hum an genom e.U sing index structures, such as the suf x tree or suf x array, nding LCPs between the NGS reads and the reference can be so lyed m uch m ore ef ciently $(9,34)$.

Recently, the Full-text index in M inute space (FM -index) derived from the Burrow s-W heeler transform (BW T) (35-37) is widely used in m any NGS applications (15-17). The FM -index is both time and space ef cient and can be built from a suf x array and requires only 3 to 4 bits per base to store the index. A more detailed introduction of building the FM-index of long biological sequences is given in the Supplem entary M aterials. H ow ever, since the FM -index is originally designed for matching all bases of a read to a substring of the reference, it cannot be used directly for nding tails. One straightforw ard solution is to align reads w ithout those non-tem plated bases by repeatedly rem oved one lastbase in each round of the alignm entprocess untilat leastone perfect hit is found (18), but the approach scaries the speed greatly and requires extensive post-processing. To

bene t from the space and time ef ciency of the FM-index,

we furtherm odied itsm atching procedure and adapted the enor to lerant strategy proposed by Langm ead et al. (16) to devise an FM -index based tail detection algorithm, Tailor, which is specialized in capturing the non-templated bases at the 3 end of reads with confounding factors, such as sequencing emors and RNA editing.

Read m apping algorithm of Tailor

The system ow of the Taibral porithm is outlined in Figure 1. Since searching within the FM -index initiates from the 3 end of the query string (i.e. the read) (36) , where the non-tem plated nucleotides append, Tailor rst m akes the reverse-complem ent of the query sequence so that searching starts from the original 5 end to avoid excessive exhaustive search at the early stage. To do so, the reference should be reversed complemented as well, and the coordinate of each alignm ent should be calculated accordingly. To allow searching againstboth strands simultaneously and in proves the speed, Taibr concatenates the plus and m inus strands of the reference and constructs one index instead of two Figure 1A and Supplem entary M aterials). Taibr also stores a part of the suf x array similar to other FM index based aligners (16,38-40) to achieve fast calculation of the text shift for getting the coordinate of each occurrence. Any alignment whose pre x m atching portion exceeds the boundary of them apped chrom osome is litered. The searching continues until either it m atches all the characters of the query to the reference (i.e. the perfect m atching) ornom orebases can bem atched (i.e. the pre xm atching). In the latter case, Taibr backtracks to the previous m atched position and exhaustively enum erates all the possible pre x m atches. The unm atched part rem ained in the query is reported as a tail (Figure 1B).

Clearly, this strategy is vulnerable to confounding factors, since the rst m isn atch encountered directly de nes the rem ainder as the tail, which can be very m isleading. To accomm odate possible sequencing emors or RNA editing events in a read, we devised specialized selection rules as depicted in Figure 2. For each read, the rst $S = 18$ by default) bases at its 5 portion is de ned as the seed (Figure 2A). G iven the fact that sequencing emors tend to occur at the 3 end $(23,24)$ and RNA editing events in m RNAs are enriched at the other end (i.e. the seed region) (30-32), the selection rules behave according to whether or not the rstm isn atch appears in the seed (Figure 2B).

If the rst m ism atch is not in the seed region, it is regarded as either the rst base of the tail or a sequencing enor. In the case that the m ism atch is at the last base, it is directly deem ed as a valid tail (Case 2 in Figure 2B). If the tail is longer than 1 nucleotide (nt) , it will be further scanned to m ake sure that the sequence of the tail consists of multiple non-templated nucleotides (Case 3). If the tail is only one nucleotide different from the reference, no tail but a m ism atch will be reported (Case 4). N ote that in order to differentiate tails from sequencing error, a litering step based on the quality is necessary to avoid type I error and has been included in Tailor's pipeline (see below; Analysispipeline). Our current algorithm cannot differentiate the circum stance that the tailed sequence is identical to the genom e sequence. This problem is unlikely to be so lved

com putationally and experim entalsolutions are expected to bem ore effective (e.g. using mutantwith a defective tailing pathway).

On the other hand, if the rst m ism atch is in the seed, where RNA editing events occur frequently, the backtracking search will be reinitiated and looks for an LCP started from the succeeding base after the m isn atch. If no m ism atch is found in the reinitiated search, no tail but a m ism atch is reported (Case 5). If a m ism atch is occurred outside the seed, the rem inder is reported as a tail (C ase 6 and 7); otherw ise, the read is dropped (Case 8). N ote that the scenario that C ase 4 w ith another m ism atch in the seed is notallowed (i.e. two m ism atchesas in Case 8), since in principle we want to endow Tailor an error tolerance strategy consistent to that of conventional approaches under the one m isn atch setting $(eq. - v1$ in Bow tie).

Im plem entation

We implemented the core of the Tailor aligner using $C++$ w ith built-in support form ultithreading. Since Tailor concatenates both strands of the chrom osom es into one long reference, whose length could exceed the m aximum num ber represented by 32 bits, we have to use 64 bits to store the indexes in all the relevant data structures, which require about 2X m em ory footprint than that of other FM -index based aligners. To backward compatible with the algorithm introduced in A m eres et al. (9) , which allow only case 1, 2 and 3 in Figure 2, an option $(-v)$ is needed to turn on the detection of other cases. Tailor has a sim ilar comm and line interface like other NGS aligners and reports alignm ent in the SAM (41) form at. A tail is described as Softclipping' in CIGAR and the sequences are reported under TLZ:' in the optional elds. M ism atches, if allowed $(-v)$, will be reported in the M D' tag (see Supplem entary M aterials form ore details). Tailor is freely available on G itH ub (http://jhhung github.io/Tailor/) underGNU G eneral Public License 2.A Il the scripts used in preparing this m anuscript have also been included in the same G itH ub repository. The tailing pipelines were in plen ented in shell scripting language and R.

Test environm ent and software

A ll software tests were performed in the x86_64 Centos environmentwith 24 cores and 48G of memory. The Bow tie software used in this study is version 1.0.0, 64 bit. The version of BW A used is 0.7.5a-r405. The version of Taibrused is 1.0.0.A Ilcom m ands for all the tests are listed in the Supplem entary M aterials.

RESULTS

Perform ance w ithout confounding factors

To begin with, we ignored confounding factors in the following tests to compare with conventional approaches rst. To assess the aligning speed directly, we indiscriminately generated 10 m illions of perfectly genom e-m atching

reads from the D. melanogaster genome (simulated tailfree dataset) (33) and random ly appended 1-4 genomeunm atched nucleotides to the 3 ends (simulated tailed

Figure 1. BW T-based tailing detection algorithm . (A) Procedure of constructing the FM -index from a reference sequence. (B) Procedure of query searching using the FM -index. Searching starts from the 3 end of a reverse-complem ented query. G reen letters indicate the non-tem plated tail. R ed letters indicate the positions being m atched against the index.W hen a non-tem plated letter is spotted as in step 4, the algorithm backtracks to previous step and reports all the hits and m arks the unm atched string as 'tail'.

dataset).W ecom pared Tailorw ith two m ostpopularBW T aligners Bow tie and BW A by applying them on simulated sm all RNA datasets (Figure 3A). For the simulated tailfree dataset, Tailor outperform ed Bow tie and BW A in ve thread settings $(\text{using } 2, 4, 8, 12 \text{ and } 24 \text{ threads}; \text{Figure } 3\text{A})$, top. A ll the running time plotted was the average of the actual running tim e of ve repeated experim ents). But for the sim ulated tailed dataset, Bow tie ran slightly faster than Tailorpossibly due to the fact the it reported no alignm ent and did notperform any disk writing (Figure 3A, bottom). We also perform ed the speed testwith realsm all RNA sequencing data from hen $1^{+/−}$ and hen $1^{-/-}$ fruit y and zebra sh (see D atasets in M aterialsand M ethods' section) (Figure3B).hen1encodesforam ethyl-transferasethatadds am ethylgroup to the 3 end of siRNA and piRNA at the 2 -O position and preventstailing(9,42).Forboth hen1+/− and hen1^{−/−} libraries, Tailor outperform ed Bow tie and BW A and reproduced the published result that siRNAs, but not m $\mathbb R$ N A s, were subjected to tailing in the absence of henl

(Supplem entary Figure S1).Please note that Bowtie and BW A in the speed test setting here were not capable of detecting non-tem plated tails.These tests were justused to com pare their execution speed but not functionality.

To prove the accuracy of Tailor when confounding factorswerenot considered, we then used either Tailor or the C hen m ethod to identify the non-tem plated tailing events (18).To achievem axim alspeed oftheC hen m ethod to our bestknowledge, we used the '-3 k' option of Bow tieto clip k basesofffrom the3 end ofeach read.Thisstrategyavoided calling secondary program sand ensured thatm inim alcom putationalwork wasdoneotherthan Bowtiem apping.W e started the alignm ent by setting k to 0. A fter the initial m apping, the unaligned readswere realigned w ith an incre m ented k ($k = 1$). This process was repeated four times. In the last iteration, four nucleotides were trim m ed off from the 3 end $(k = 4)$ and all the tailed reads should have been m apped at this point. In the simulation test, this m ethod nished in 67 \pm 1 sw ith Bow tie been called ve times $(k =$

Figure 2. E nor to lerance litering rules. (A) Readswould have to be reverse-complemented before searching. The corresponding seed region is highlighted in green. (B) Eight rules for determining tails. See them ain text form ore details.

0-4). Not surprisingly, directly mapping by Tailor nished in 22 \pm 1 seconds in the sam e com putational environm ent. Both m ethods reported the sam e coordinates. H ow ever, in such setting, Chen m ethod was notable to identify the tails, which requires considerable computational work and time to retrieve from the raw reads. In contrast, Tailor revealed the length and the identity of the tails in the alignm entoutput directly (see Supplem entary M aterials).

Perform ance with error tolerance

It is arguable that some NGS aligners that support boal alignm ent, such as Bow tie2 (38) and BWA, can recover those tails with enor to lerance. We simulated two datasets (one norm al, one mutated, see below) whose distribution of read length follows that of the real small RNA sequencing dataset (43) (see D atasets in M aterials and M ethods' section; and also Supplem entary Figure S2). For the norm all dataset, two m illion reads were random ly sampled from the reference genome. We intentionally kept reads having just one unique occurrence in the genome and then appended a 1-4 nt non-tem plated tail on each read. For the mutated dataset, a sim ilar procedure was used to generate another two m illion reads, but one additional step was added: we introduced one substitution in the nucleotides 2-8 of each read to simulate an RNA editing event as suggested by Vesely et al. (32). A gain, this substitution was picked carefully to have only one occurrence in the genom ew ith exactly onem ism atch. The simulation guaranteed that there existed only one best alignm ent to the reference for each read in both datasets (see D atasets in M aterials and M ethods' section).

Then we exam ined the m appability of these datasets by Tailor (with - voption), Bow tie2 and BW A (See Figure 3C). Taibr clearly reported m ore unique m apping reads than others especially in the mutated datasets. W hen we boked closer to those reads that were mapped to multiple positions, we found Bow tie2 and BW A werem ore likely to align the tails to the reference than Tailor and create m any altemative alignm ents. N ote that the seed region setting was used to aid all three tools for the alignment $(S = 20$ and $-$ v in Taibr and the equivalences in Bow tie2 and BWA; m ism atches in the seed region were allowed) and all tools should try to align the rst 20 nto feach read to the genome, but Bow tie2 and BWA still generated suboptim al alignm ents. The execution time of three aligners with the emor to lerant setting is depicted in Supplem entary Figure S3. The complete commands for running all the tests are listed in Supplem entary M aterials.

We further checked whether the alignm ents and the tails were correctly reported. A s shown in Figure 3D, Tailorwas the only tool that gave satisfactory results reporting correctalignm ents and tails in the mutated dataset. There was no information in the output of BWA to recover the tails, and since m ost of the reads were aligned to multiple locations, it was expected that extensive post-processing would be needed for extracting the tails. The simulation clearly shows that Taibr is the only practical solution for doing tailing analysis with confounding factors.

A nalysis pipeline

In order to provide a thorough and straightforward tailing analysis of deep sequencing libraries to the scienti c

Figure 3. Speed comparison between Taibr and others software. (A) Speed comparison between Taibr, BW A and Bowtie using simulated 18-23 nt small RNA with (top) orwithout (bottom) non-templated tails. Tailor ran with the default setting, which allow sno mismatch in themiddle of the query. Tailed alignm entswere reported if perfectm atch could not be found. Bow tie ran with '- a-best-strata - v 0' setting to allow no m ism atch while report all best alignm ents. BW A ran with the default setting. Five different CPU settings were used and the running time was plotted. Three replicates were performed. (B) Speed comparison between Tailor, BW A and Bow tie (commands can be found in Supplem entary M aterials) using published small RNA Illum ina NGS libraries from hen1^{+/-} and hen1^{-/-} mutants in fruit y and zebra sh. Same settings were used as in (A) . (C) The mappability of the norm al N) and mutated M) datasets aligned by Tailor, Bow tie2 (with local alignm ent) and BW A.M ultiple mapping was deemed as misalignm ent since each read was guaranteed to have only one occurrence in the reference. (D) The unique m apping reads shown in (C) were further exam ined to m ake sure they were aligned correctly and with proper tails reported (correct tails); unique mapping reads that didn't have correct alignm ent or tails were categorized another group (w rong tails/w rong alignm ent). The unm appable and multiple m apping reads were grouped together (undeterm ined or unm appable).

community, we developed the interface of Tailor to take FastQ les as input and produce publication-ready gures. The ow chart of the pipeline is sum m arized in Supplem entary Figure S4A. In brief, the input reads, with barcodes and adaptors rem oved, are sub-ject to a quality-litering step based on a PH R ED score threshold provided by the user (e.g. to get rid of B-tails). The pipeline then applies Taibr to align the high-quality reads to the reference. The inform ation on the length and identity of tails are then retrieved from the SAM form atted output and summarized to a tabular text le. A dditionally, the alignm ents are as-

signed to different genom ic features (m RNAs, exons, introns, etc.) using BED Tools (44). Tails from different categories are sum m arized. Publication quality qures depicting the length distribution are drawn using R package ggpbt2 (23) (Supplem entary Figure S4B). The pipeline also offersm icroRNA specicanalysis.Balbonpbtsdescribing the 5 and 3 relative positions and the tails length are provided for a com prehensive overview (Supplem entary Figure $S4C$).

Downloaded from http://nar.oxfordjournals.org/ at University of Massachusetts Medical School on August 16, 2015 Downloaded from http://nar.oxfordjournals.org/ at University of Massachusetts Medical School on August 16, 2015

Figure4. A pplicationsofTailorand theaccom panyingshellpipeline.(A)Length distribution ofm R N A -derived sm allR N A readswith tailinginform ation from w ild-type, hen1 m utant and hen1, heso1 doublem utant tissues from A rabidopsis.R aw read counts are shown w ithout norm alization. Perfectm atch and tailed readsare indicated in differentcolors.(B)Length distribution ofA go2 associated H sa-m iR -15a (left)and H sa-m iR -15b (right)in cytoplasm (top) and nucleus (bottom) fraction of H eLa cell. R aw read count are shown w ithout norm alization. N ote that since the authors of these libraries used poly-adenylation instead of 3 ligation in their cloning strategy, it was in practical to identify A tailing. (C) Tailcom position form iR -379 and the edited form $(m \mathbb{R} - 379 - 5G)$ in wild-type and A dar^{-/-} libraries.

Applications––case studies

To prove the utility of Tailor, we applied Tailor to reanalyze several publicly available sm all RNA sequencing datasets and revealed new facts about the data that has not been reported yet. In plants, HUA EN HAN CER 1 (HEN 1) m ethylates both m RNA and siRNA at their 3 ends to protect them from non-tem plated uridylation catalyzed by H EN 1 SU PPR ESSO R 1 (H ESO 1), a term inalnucleotidyltransferase that favorsuridine as substrate (18,45). W e applied Taibron sm all RNA sequencing libraries from W T,hen1− /− and hen1− /− ;heso1− /− cellsofArabidopsisand

the results showed that siR N A s were subjected to both non-tem plated uridylation and cytosylation w ithoutH EN 1 whilem $\mathbb R$ N A swere m ainly subjected to uridylation. Furtherm ore, the loss of H ESO 1 only reduced the uridylation butnotcytosylation of siRNAs, suggesting the existence of additional nucleotidyl transferase that prefers cytosine as substrates (Figure 4A).

W e then applied Tailor to two N G S libraries that cloned A go2 associated sm allR N A from nuclearand cytoplasm ic fraction of H eLa cells respectively (46) . Since RNA swere cloned using poly-A polym erase instead of 3 adaptor ligation in the library preparation, A -tailswere unable to be re-

covered com putationally.A lthough m ostm iR N A sshowed very sim ilar length distribution and tailing frequency between these two sam ples, one m RNA, m R-15a, exhibited a distinct pattern. In cytoplasm, m iR -15a was mostly 21 nt long and had m odestU tailing forits22-m erisoform .Surprisingly, in the nuclear fraction, m iR -15a peaked at 22 nt and showed strong U tailing (Figure 4B). In addition, m iR -15b, which shares its seed sequence w ith m iR -15a and only has one nucleotide different from m iR -15a in the rst 19 ntof itsm ature sequence, did not exhibit obvious variation between the two sam ples. This suggests that, either 9-12 nt, also known as the 'central site' or the 3 end of quide $m \ge N A$ play an important $m \ge m$ tailing regulation.

Finally, we applied Tailor to study the possible relationship between R N A editing and tailing inmicroR N A s.The m $\mathbb R$ NA librarieswere constructed from the whole brain tissue cells dissected from A dar2^{-/-} and w ild-type m ice (32). A dar2 is known for its strongest effects on m iR N A abundance and editing am ong the three isoform s of ADARs (47) . O ne of the highly expressed ADAR substrates, m $\mathbb R$ -379, was shown to be directly edited at the nucleotide ve within the seed region and about half of the m ature m $\mathbb R$ -379 were edited by $A D AR 2$ (32). A s expected, the edited form of m iR -379 (i.e.m iR -379-5G) was greatly reduced in Adar^{-/-} m ice.Surprisingly, we found that the norm alm iR -379 has m uch m ore tailing than m iR -379–5G (see Figure 4C).M ono-A and poly-A tails (the bluish portion) were depleted in m iR -379–5G, which raises the probability that A D A R s and the A -to-I editing could affect the af nity between them $R N A$ s and the unknown enzym es responsible for adenyly lating the 3 end. Since the proportion of different types of tails was unchanged upon Adar2 knockout, the tailing m achinery is less likely m odulated by A D A R 2 directly but by the subsequent factors after editing in the seed, such as differential targeting, RNA stability change orm \mathbb{R} NA-A rgonaute sorting $(1,48)$.

D ISCUSSIO N

Tailing isa m olecularphenom enon thatassociatesw ith the function, processing and stability of m any sm all RNAs. Com putational identi cation of the tailed sequences from them illions of N G S reads has been proven to be challenging and tim e-consum ing.W e herein presenta tailing analysis fram ework, Tailor, which aligns reads to the reference genom e, reports tailing events sim ultaneously and visualizes analysis results. We assessed the accuracy of Tailor by com paring itwith the Chen m ethod w ith sim ulated reads and found they generated exactly the sam e results while Tailoronly used a third ofthetim eto align and provided m ore inform ation com paring to the alternative.

W hen confounding factor was ignored, Tailor was not slower than other well-known fast general-purpose m appers in our tests.W e dem onstrated that Tailor executed in a speed that was very com petitive to, if not better than, Bow tie and BW A, while providing m ore functionalities for detecting tailing events.W hen confounding factors was presented in the reads, it was arguable that advanced N G S aligners that support the bcalalignm entm ode $(eg.Bowtie2) could be computed in 100 and 200. But we$ tested them with simulated reads and showed that Tailor

perform ed signi cantly better in both accuracy and ef ciency.

Tailor's shell-based fram ework takes raw reads as input and produces com prehensive tailing analysis results and publication quality gures.W e reproduced know n conclusionsdrawn from thepublished tailing study by the pipeline w ith little extra scripting and post-processing.W e also applied thepipelineto otherdatasetsand shed lighton other possibilities of the functional roles of tailing, such as involving in RNA processing, transport, decay and storage by interacting w ith other $R N A$ binding proteins (49) .

O uraim sto design Tailorareto reduce the cost of doing tailing analysis and reinforce or even replace the conventionalcom putationalprocedure in analyzing all short noncoding RNA s.W e expect that Tailor could be applied to a broader scope and subsequently facilitate the understanding ofbiologicalprocessesrelated to tailing.

AVA ILABILITY

Source code as an O pen Source project: http://jhhung. github.io/Tailor.

SU PPLEM EN TA RY DATA

Supplem entary D ata are available at N A R O nline.

ACKNOW LEDGEMENT

W ethank them em bersofthe Hung, W engand Zam ore laboratories for helpfuldiscussion and criticaltesting.

FUNDIN G

N ational Institutes of H ealth [G M 62862, G M 65236 to PD Z ., in part and P01H D 078253 to Z M .]; N ational Science Council [103-2221-E-009-128 - MY 2 to JH H .]. Funding for open access charge: N ational Science Council [103-2221 E-009 -128 -M Y 2 to JH H .]. C on ict of interest statem ent.N one declared.

REFERENCES

- 1. A m eres, S.L.and Zam ore, P.D. (2013) D iversifying m icroR N A sequence and function.N at.Rev.M ol.CellBiol., 14,475-488.
- 2. Castel,S.E. and M artienssen,R.A. (2013) RNA interference in the nucleus: roles for sm allR N A s in transcription, epigenetics and beyond.N at.Rev.Genet.,14,100–112.
- 3. Luteijn, M J. and K etting, R .F. (2013) PIW I-interacting R N A s: from generation to transgenerationalepigenetics.N at.Rev.G enet.,14, 523–534.
- 4.G hildiyal,M .and Zam ore,P.D . (2009) Sm all silencing R N A s: an expanding universe.N at.Rev.Genet.,10,94–108.
- $5.H$ eo, I., $H \text{ a } M$., $L \text{ im } J$., Y oon M .J., $Path$, $J.$ K won, SC ., $Chang H$. and K im , V.N . (2012) M ono-uridylation of pre-m icroR N A as a key step in the biogenesis of group II let-7 m icroR N A s. Cell, 151, 521–532.
- 6. Heo, I., Joo, C., Cho, J., Ha, M., Han, J. and K in, N N . (2008) Lin28 m ediates the term inaluridylation of let-7 precursorM icroRNA .M ol. Cell,32,276–284.
- 7.H eo,I.,Joo,C.,K im ,Y.K .,H a,M .,Yoon,M .J.,C ho,J.,Yeom ,K .H ., H an, J. and K im , V N . (2009) TU T4 in concertw ith L in28 suppresses m icroR N A biogenesisthrough pre-m icroR N A uridylation.Cell,138, 696–708.
- 8. van Wolfswinkel, J.C. laycom b, JM ., Batista, P.J., Melb, C.C., Berezikov, E. and Ketting, R. F. (2009) CD E-1 affects chrom osome segregation through uridylation of CSR -1-bound siRNA s.Cell, 139, $135 - 148.$
- 9.Ameres, S.L., Horwich M.D., Hung, JH., Xu, J., Ghildiyal M., Weng,Z.and Zamore,PD. (2010) Target RNA -directed trimming and tailing of sm all silencing RNA s. Science, 328, 1534-1539.
- 10. X ie, J., Am eres, S.L., Friedline, R., Hung, J.H., Zhang, Y., X ie, Q., ZhongL.,SuQ.,HeR.,LiM.etal. (2012) Long-term, ef cient inhibition of microRNA function in mice using rAAV vectors. Nat. M ethods, 9, 403-409.
- 11. Am eres SL., Hung, JH., Xu, J., Weng, Z. and Zam ore, PD. (2011) Target RNA -directed tailing and trimming puri es the sorting of endo-siRNA sbetween the two D rosophila A reponaute proteins. RNA, $17.54 - 63.$
- 12. A ltschul, S. F., G ish, W., M iller, W., M yers, E. W. and Lipm an D. J. (1990) Basic boalalinm entsearch tool J.M ol.Biol. 215, 403-410.
- 13. Zhang, Z, Schwartz, S., W agner, L. and M iller, W . (2000) A greedy algorithm for aligning DNA sequences. J. Comput. Biol., 7, 203-214.
- 14. LiH., Ruan, J. and Durbin, R. (2008) M apping short DNA sequencing reads and calling variants using m apping quality scores. Genome Res., 18, 1851-1858.
- 15. LiH .and D urbin R . (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Bunow s-W heeler transform. Bioinform atics, 25, 1754-1760.
- 16. Langm ead B., Trapnell, C., Pop M . and Salzberg S.L. (2009) U ltrafast and m em ory-ef cientalignm entof shortDNA sequences to the hum an genom e.G enom e B iol., 10, R 25.
- 17. LiR., LiY., Kristiansen, K. and Wang, J. (2008) SOAP: short oligonucleotide alignm entprogram. Bioinform atics, 24, 713-714.
- 18. Zhao, Y., Yu, Y., Zhai, J., Ram achandran, V., Dinh, T.T., Meyers, B.C., MoB.and Chen X . (2012) The Anabidopsis nucleotidy I transferase H ESO 1 undylates unm ethylated sm all R N A s to trigger their degradation. Curr. B iol., 22, 689-694.
- 19. M arco A . and G rif ths-Jones, S. (2012) D etection of m icroRNAs in color space. B ioinform atics, 28, 318-323.
- 20. U kkonen E. (1995) O nline C onstruction of Suf x Trees. A loorithm ica. 14.249-260.
- 21. D ohm $\overline{J}C$., Lottaz, C., Borodina, T. and H immelbauer, H . (2008) Substantialbiases in ultra-short read data sets from high-throughput DNA sequencing. Nucleic A cids Res., 36, e105.
- 22.Qu,W., Hashim oto,S.and Morishita,S. (2009) Ef cient frequency-based de novo short-read clustering for emortrimm ing in next-generation sequencing. G enome Res., 19, 1309-1315.
- 23. M inoche A E., D ohm J.C. and H immelbauer H. (2011) Evaluation of genom ich igh-throughput sequencing data generated on Illum ina H iSeq and genom e analyzer system s. G enom e B iol., 12, R 112.
- 24. LeH S., Schulz M H., M cCauley B M., Him an N F. and Bar-Joseph, Z. (2013) Probabilistic enor conrection for RNA sequencing. Nucleic A cids R es., 41, e109.
- $25. \, \mathrm{B}$
 Low M ., Futreal,PA ., W ooster,R . and Stratton M .R . (2004) A survey of RNA editing in hum an brain. Genome Res., 14, 2379-2387.
- 26. K in D D ., K in , T.T., W alsh, T., K obayashi, Y., M atise, T.C., Buyske, S. and G abrielA. (2004) W idespread RNA editing of embedded alu elem ents in the hum an transcriptom e. G enom e R es., 14, 1719-1725.
- 27. M orse D P., A ruscavage P.J. and Bass B L. (2002) RNA hairpins in noncoding regions of hum an brain and C aenorhabditis elegans m RNA are edited by adenosine deam inases that act on RNA. Proc. Natl.Acad.Sci.USA., 99, 7906-7911.
- 28. B low M J., G rocock, R J., van D ongen, S., Enright, A J., D icks, E., Futreal, PA., Wooster, R. and Stratton, M R. (2006) RNA editing of hum an m icroRNAs.GenomeBiol., 7, R27.

29. Luciano D J., M irsky H ., Vendetti N J. and M aas, S. (2004) R N A editing of a m RNA precursor. RNA, 10, 1174-1177. 30. W amefors M ., Liechti A ., H albert, J., Valloton, D . and K aessm ann H .

Nucleic A cids R esearch, 2015 9

- (2014) Conserved m icroRNA editing in m am m alian evolution, developm entand disease. G enome B iol., 15, R 83.
- 31. Kum eH., H ino K., G alipon, J. and U i-Tei, K. (2014) A -to-I editing in them RNA seed region regulates targetm RNA selection and silencing ef ciency. Nucleic A cids R es., 42, 10050-10060.
- 32. Vesely C., Tauber, S., Sedlazeck, F.J., Tataddod M., von Haeseler, A. and Jantsch M F. (2014) ADAR 2 induces reproducible changes in sequence and abundance of m ature m $\overline{\text{icoc}}$ N A s $\overline{\text{in}}$ the m ouse brain. Nucleic A cids R es., 42, 12155-12168.
- 33. Fram pton M .and H oulston R . (2012) G eneration of articial FA STQ les to evaluate the perform ance of next-generation sequencing pipelines. PLoS 0 ne, 7, e49110.
- 34.Dobin A., Davis,C A., Schlesinger,F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski,C., Jha,S., Batut, P., Chaisson M .and G ingeras, T. R. (2013) STAR : ultrafast universalRNA -seq aligner. B ioinform atics, 29, 15-21.
- 35. Bunows M . and W heeler D J. (1994) A block-sorting lossless data com pression a borithm. Technical Report 124. D EC, D igital System s Research Center, Palo Alto, CA.
- 36. Ferragina, P. and M anzini, G . (2000) O pportunistic data structures with applications.Ann. IEEE Symp., 390-398.
- 37. K arkkainen J. (2007) Fast BW T in sm all space by blockwise suf x sorting. Theor. Comput. Sci., 387, 249-257.
- 38. Langm ead, B . and Salzberg, S.L. (2012) Fast gapped-read alignm ent w ith Bow tie 2.N at.M ethods, 9, 357-359.
- 39. Vyverman M., De Baets, B., Fack, V. and Dawyndt, P. (2012) Prospects and lin itations of full-text index structures in genom e analysis. Nucleic Acids Res., 40, 6993-7015.
- 40. LiH. and H om erN. (2010) A survey of sequence alignment algorithm s for next-generation sequencing. B rief. B ioinform., 11, $473 - 483.$
- 41. LiH ., H andsaker, B., W ysoker, A ., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., H om er, N ., M arth G., A becasis G., Durbin R. and G enome Project D ata Processing, S. (2009) The Sequence A lignm ent/M ap form at and SAM tools. B ioinform atics, 25, 2078-2079.
- 42. Li,J, Yang,Z., Yu,B., Liu,J. and Chen,X. (2005) Methylation protects m RNA s and sRNA s from a 3 -end uridy lation activity in A rabidopsis. Curr. B iol., 15, 1501-1507.
- 43. Huang H., Li, Y., Szulwach K.E., Zhang G., Jin P. and Chen D. (2014) AGO 3 Slicer activity regulates m itochondria-nuage bcalization of A m itage and piRNA amplication.J.CellBiol., 206, $217 - 230.$
- 44. Quinlan AR. and Hall, IM . (2010) BED Tools: a exible suite of utilities for comparing genom ic features. B io informatics, 26, 841-842.
- 45. Ren G., Chen X. and Yu, B. (2012) U ridylation of m RNA sby hen 1 suppressor1 in A rabidopsis. Curr. B iol., 22, 695-700.
- 46.Am eyar-Zazoua M., Rachez, C., Souidi M., Robin, P., Fritsch, L., Young R., Morozova N., Fenouil R., Descostes N., Andrau, J.C. et al. (2012) A rgonaute proteins couple chrom atin silencing to alternative splicing. N at. Struct. M ol. B iol., 19, 998-1004.
- 47. Vesely C., Tauber, S., Sedlazeck, F.J., von H aeseler, A . and Jantsch M F. (2012) A denosine deam inases that act on RNA induce reproductble changes in abundance and sequence of em bryonic m RNA s. GenomeRes., 22, 1468-1476.
- 48. Dueck A., Ziegler, C., Eichner A., Berezikov, E. and Meister G. (2012) m icroRNA sassociated with the different hum an A roonaute proteins. Nucleic A cids R es., 40, 9850-9862.
- 49.G erstberger, S., H afner, M . and Tuschl, T. (2014) A census of hum an RNA -binding proteins. Nat. Rev. Genet., 15, 829-845.