

University of Massachusetts Medical School

eScholarship@UMMS

---

Center for Health Policy and Research (CHPR)  
Publications

Commonwealth Medicine

---

2013-12-15

## MA PCMH Eval Week: Ann Lawthers on Triangulation Using Mixed Methods Appeals to Diverse Stakeholder Interests

Ann G. Lawthers

*University of Massachusetts Medical School*

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: [https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/healthpolicy\\_pp](https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/healthpolicy_pp)



Part of the [Health Services Research Commons](#), and the [Quantitative, Qualitative, Comparative, and Historical Methodologies Commons](#)

---

### Repository Citation

Lawthers AG. (2013). MA PCMH Eval Week: Ann Lawthers on Triangulation Using Mixed Methods Appeals to Diverse Stakeholder Interests. Center for Health Policy and Research (CHPR) Publications. Retrieved from [https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/healthpolicy\\_pp/161](https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/healthpolicy_pp/161)

This material is brought to you by eScholarship@UMMS. It has been accepted for inclusion in Center for Health Policy and Research (CHPR) Publications by an authorized administrator of eScholarship@UMMS. For more information, please contact [Lisa.Palmer@umassmed.edu](mailto:Lisa.Palmer@umassmed.edu).

## Triangulation Using Mixed Methods Appeals to Diverse Stakeholder Interests

Hi, I'm Ann Lawthers, Principal Investigator for the evaluation of the Massachusetts Patient-Centered Medical Home Initiative (PCMHI), and faculty in the Department of Family Medicine and Community Health at the [University of Massachusetts Medical School](#) (UMMS). This week, the UMMS PCMHI Evaluation Team will be presenting a series of Hot Tips, Lessons Learned and Rad Resources for evaluating large, complex and multi-stakeholder projects. We cover issues that surfaced during the design, data collection and analysis phases.

**Hot Tip:** When beginning to think about the design of a large, complex project, consider using a mixed methods approach to maximize the breadth and depth of evaluation perspectives. The Massachusetts PCMHI's principal stakeholders - state government officials, insurers, and the practices themselves - have invested time and financial resources in helping primary care practices adopt the core competencies of a medical home. Each stakeholder group came into the project with different goals and agendas.

We selected a mixed methods evaluation approach to answer three deceptively simple questions:

1. To what extent and how do practices transform to become medical homes?
2. To what extent and in what ways do patients become active partners in their health care?
3. What is the initiative's impact on service use, clinical quality, patient and provider outcomes?

**Lesson Learned:** Our mixed methods approach allowed us to tap into the perspectives and interests of multiple stakeholder groups. The primary care practices participating in the PCMHI demonstration were keenly interested in the "how" of transformation (Question 1) while state policy makers wanted to know "if" practices transformed (also Question 1). We addressed the "how" principally through qualitative interviews with practice staff and the [TransforMED Medical Home Implementation Quotient](#) (MHIQ) questionnaire, completed by practice staff.

Participating practices also cared a great deal about the initiative's affect on patients. Did patients perceive a change and become more actively involved in their health care (Question 2)? We used patient surveys to address this question.

Finally, all stakeholder groups were interested in the impact question (Question 3). Claims data, clinical data reported by practices, staff surveys and patient surveys all provided different views of how the PCMHI affected service use, clinical quality and other outcomes.