University of Massachusetts Medical School

eScholarship@UMMS

UMass Center for Clinical and Translational Science Research Retreat

2011 UMass Center for Clinical and Translational Science Research Retreat

May 20th, 5:00 PM - 7:00 PM

Risk-Based Bonus Payments for the Patient-Centered Medical Home

Arlene S. Ash University of Massachusetts Medical School

Et al.

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/cts_retreat

🔮 Part of the Health Economics Commons, and the Health Services Research Commons

Ash AS, Ellis RP. (2011). Risk-Based Bonus Payments for the Patient-Centered Medical Home. UMass Center for Clinical and Translational Science Research Retreat. Retrieved from https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/cts_retreat/2011/posters/1

Creative Commons License

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0 License. This material is brought to you by eScholarship@UMMS. It has been accepted for inclusion in UMass Center for Clinical and Translational Science Research Retreat by an authorized administrator of eScholarship@UMMS. For more information, please contact Lisa.Palmer@umassmed.edu.



*Department of Quantitative Health Sciences, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA † Verisk Health, Inc., Waltham, MA § Department of Economics, Boston University, Boston, MA

Background

The Patient-Centered Medical Home (PCMH) requires fundamental reform of health care financing. We propose a **Risk-Based Comprehensive Payment** system with riskadjusted base and bonus payments.

Bundled base payments cover the expected cost of primary care services but do not encourage quality. Bonus payments incentivize desired outcomes by rewarding better-than-expected performance in clinical quality, efficiency, and patient-centeredness.

Bonus payments can:

- Discourage use of low-value services
- Encourage clinical quality, patient health and satisfaction
- Provide each practice with a fair opportunity to earn appropriate rewards for doing a good job with its mix of simple and complex patients

Base and bonus payments require credible **risk** adjustment to discourage practices from cherrypicking easy patients and dumping difficult ones.

We gratefully acknowledge collaboration with scientists at Verisk Health, Inc, and support from The Commonwealth Fund.

Methods

We estimated models to predict thirteen cost and utilization measures in 17.4 million commercially insured people using diagnoses, age, and sex from Thomson-Reuters MarketScan[®] 2007 claims data.

Using the same data, we imputed assignment of 456,781 people to 436 medium-sized primary care practitioner (PCP) panels (500 – 5000 patients).

For each measure, a PCP's performance is judged by summing the difference between observed (O) and expected (E) outcomes across panel members.

For each outcome we calculated: mean; coefficient of variation, or CV = SD/mean; and both individual and grouped R^2 as measures of predictive accuracy.

Risk-Based Bonus Payments for the Patient-Centered Medical Home Arlene S. Ash, PhD,*† Randall P. Ellis, PhD,§†

Principles for Measuring Performan
Bonuses

- 1. Judge performance on observed outcomes in comparison to what is expected given patient characteristics (O vs. E, not just O)
- 2. Provide opportunities for larger bonuses for larger or more complex panels
- 3. Weight bonuses to reflect practice case-mix
- 4. Calculate measures using largest feasible denominators
- 5. Allow more stable measures to contribute more
- 6. In groups of correlated measures, down-weight each individual measure
- 7. Give higher value activities more weight
- Limit both payer and provider risk 8.
- 9. Account for extra-medical factors
- 10. Enable actionable, transparent feedback based on bonus calculations

Predictive Power of Cost and Utilization Measures

<u>Member-level (N=456,781)</u>			<u>PCP-level</u> (N=436)	
lean	Coeff. of Variation	R^2	Grouped R ²	
571	1.59	29%	94%	
061	4.72	32%	98%	
181	3.49	25%	85%	
165	2.36	46%	94%	
,675	4.01	49%	94%	

	<u>Member-level (N=456,781)</u>			<u>PCP-level</u> (N=436)
Description	Mean	Coeff. of Variation	R^2	Grouped R ²
Number of prescriptions for antibiotics of concern (ABX)	0.571	1.59	29%	94%
Number of prescriptions for all antibiotics (AB)	1.061	4.72	32%	98%
Emergency department visits	0.181	3.49	25%	85%
Advanced imaging tests, in RVUs	3.165	2.36	46%	94%
Total health spending, in dollars	\$3,675	4.01	49%	94%

e and Calculating

Using risk models to calculate expected outcomes explained 29-49% of the observed patient-level and 85-98% of practice-level variation in performance, with differential variability.

Deviation from the mean in total health spending is more variable at the PCP level than other more targeted measures.

Problem: Doctors may over-prescribe **high-cost** or high-risk antibiotics (ABX) as identified by the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA).

Clinical guideline development is hard. Doctors say their patients have conditions that warrant ABX prescribing.

Idea: Reward observed ABX use that is less than expected, based on patient illness burden.

Conclusion: Only about half of all AB prescribing is for ABX (571 prescriptions per 1000 person years vs. 1061); however, AB prescribing exhibits nearly 3 times the relative variability (CV = 4.72) vs. 1.59). Patient-level factors explain about 30% of the individual-level variability in either measure and 95% of variability across patient panels. Riskadjusted ABX is an effective bonus measure because it targets an activity that we want doctors to change, and panels can be adequately adjusted for patient-level differences.

Discussion Bonus calculations should account for case-mix

differences across practice panels.

Risk-adjusted payments for less variable outcomes focus incentives on providerassociated, rather than case-mix-driven or random, variations.

Rather than attempting to reward reductions in total health spending, risk-sensitive calculations of more targeted outcomes will better support the goals of a PCMH.



Results

Example: Antibiotics of Concern (ABX)