University of Massachusetts Medical School eScholarship@UMMS

Psychiatry Publications and Presentations

Psychiatry

2009-10-28

Massachusetts Child Psychiatry Access Project (MCPAP) University of Massachusetts (UMass) Parent Satisfaction Study

Yael Dvir University of Massachusetts Medical School

Et al.

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/psych_pp

Part of the Psychiatry Commons

Repository Citation

Dvir Y, Wenz-Gross M, Jeffers-Terry M, Metz P. (2009). Massachusetts Child Psychiatry Access Project (MCPAP) University of Massachusetts (UMass) Parent Satisfaction Study. Psychiatry Publications and Presentations. Retrieved from https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/psych_pp/491

This material is brought to you by eScholarship@UMMS. It has been accepted for inclusion in Psychiatry Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of eScholarship@UMMS. For more information, please contact Lisa.Palmer@umassmed.edu.

2.54

Massachusetts Child Psychiatry Access Project (MCPAP) University of Massachusetts (UMass) Parent Satisfaction Study



Yael Dvir, MD, Melodie Wenz-Gross, PhD, Mary Jeffers-Terry, RNCS, Peter Metz, MD Department of Psychiatry, University of Massachusetts Medical School Address correspondence to: Yael.Dvir@umassmed.edu


I. Abstrad	ct
Objective:	To evaluate parents' experience with Massachusetts Child Psychiatry Access Project (MCPAP), a Consultation Liaison model, aimed at improving access to child psychiatry for primary care (consultations to primary care providers are done either by phone contact and/or by a direct evaluation of the child by a MCPAP clinician).
Methods:	IRB approved Parent Satisfaction Questionnaire (PSQ) sent to families referred to the MCPAP between 2/2008-8/2008, identified using the University of Massachusetts Medical Center (UMMHC) database.
Results:	360 initial and 348 follow up PSQ were mailed, and 158 PSQ returned, defining a response rate of 46.2%. 78.9% of parents agreed or strongly agreed that the services provided were offered in a timely manner. 74.9% of parents agreed or strongly agreed with the statement that their child's issues were understood. 50% agreed or strongly agreed that their child's situation improved following their contact with the services. 74.2% agreed or strongly agreed that the quality of the service they received was satisfying. 69% agreed or strongly agreed that the service met their family's need. 58.6% of parents agreed or strongly agreed that the service helped them deal with their issues more effectively. 67.3% agreed or strongly agreed that they were better satisfied with the service compared to previous contact with mental health providers for their child.
Conclusions	 PSQ suggest high satisfaction rates with MCPAP. Notable are the high rates of parents reporting they felt prepared, heard and understood. Parents were also highly satisfied with the face to face contact they had with MCPAP clinician, when that contact had occurred. Parents reported being less satisfied with regards to follow up appointments in the community and reaching their goals for their child. The results show high parental satisfaction with MCPAP evaluation process, but also highlight the need for appropriate mental health follow up in the community in order to help children and families reach their goals.

II. Introd	uction & Literature Review
÷	Between 15-25% of children and adolescents seen in pediatric primary care have a
	behavioral health disorder with significant psychopathology (Connor et. al., 2006). Less than a third receive mental health services (Gerkensmeyer et. al., 2005).
	Less than a third receive mental health services (Gerkensmeyer et. al., 2005).
1 - C	Because child psychiatry services are frequently unavailable, primary care clinicians are frequently left managing these children without access to child psychiatry consultation (Connor et. al., 2006).
÷	Massachusetts Child Psychiatry Access Project (MCPAP) is a ambulatory Consultation Liaison model for Primary Care Providers (PCP) who are provided telephone consultation
	within 30 minutes of request.
1 (A 1	Consultation results in either: answer to PCP's question, referral to care coordinator, referral to team therapist for transitional support, or referral for a face-to-face diagnostic
	or psychopharmacologic consultation.
1	While MBHP has obtained data indicating high rates of pediatric primary care provider satisfaction with this program, no systemic data has been obtained regarding parent satisfaction with MCPAP.
	Parent satisfaction with services is an important component in evaluating its adequacy as
	they are in a unique position to judge its impact (Gerkensmeyer et al., 2006).
	Thére is evidence that parent satisfaction with mental health services is related to clinical outcomes for children (Gerkensmeyer et al., 2005).


III. Meth	ods
•	MCPAP Parent Satisfaction Questionnaire (MCPAP PSQ): 3-page questionnaire created by the research team was mailed
Sample and	l Design
•	Families in contact with MCPAP between 2/2008-8/2008 identified using UMMHC data bas Initial Mailing 4-6 weeks after enrollment in MCPAP A \$2.00 stipend attached to PSQ A reminder letter with another copy of PSQ within 3 - 4 weeks A thank you note to all
Survey Que	
1 - C	Parents concerns leading to the referral Referral process
•	Interaction with MCPAP staff and clinicians
1	Satisfaction from service provided Adequacy of follow up plan Outcome
Hypothesis	
	Parents satisfied with the quality of service
-	Parents better satisfied comparéd to past evaluations Parents report improvement in situation
Data Analy	sis
•	Excel / SPSS database
1	Descriptive statistics Summarization of open-ended responses to inform quality improvement
÷	Chi-square analyses: differences based on percentages (categorical data) Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) : group differences scale scores (mean differences in Likert Scales)
1.00	Correlational analyses: relation between process variables and outcomes Regression analyses: depending on the outcomes of the bivariate correlations

IV. Results

Parent s	Age: 23-70, mean 42.97 (Std. D 9.201), 88.3% females, 11.7% males. 91% biological/adoptive parents, 1.3% stepparent, 5.2% guardian/foster parent. 80.8% Caucasian, 16% Hispanic, 1.9% African American, 0.6% Asian. 9.1% did not complete high school, 16.9% GED or high school graduate, 20.8% some college education, 32.5% college graduates, 20.8% advanced degree. Income: 7.1% under 10K, 13.5% 10-24K, 6.4% 25-34K, 21.3% 35-49K, 12.8% 50-74%, 39% over 75K.
Children	age 1-22, mean 11.94 (Std. D 4.646), 47.7% females, 52.3% males.
-	77.9% Caucasian, 16.9% Hispanic, 2.6% African American, 0.6% Asian.



Preparation for services



the services provided were timely

MCPAP coordinator offerred adequete information

PCP offerred adequete information

felt adequetly prerpared for services


0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100

General satisfaction

General outcome

31.8

25



0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100

24.2 34.4 **22.7** 10.2 8.6

37.2 14 6.2 10.


25 24.2 17.7 8

0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100

service helped deal with issues more effectively

service met family need

situation improved following contact with service



strongly agree agree undecided disagree strongly disagree

strongly agree

strongly disagree

strongly agree

strongly disagree

agree

undecided

disagree

agree

undecided

disagree

General Questions

Leng
Time
week
75% C

Follow Up in the Community

•	Time
	weeks
•	84.8%
• • • • •	Mean
•	60.4%
	provid
 • 	90.69
	9.4%
	5 1

Qualitative Results

Most satisfied

•	"Som
	unawa
•	"The p
•	"Phor
•	"The i
	fromt
	referr
	That v
	appoi
	- 1- 1

Least satisfied

famil
"The

V. C	onclusions
	PSQ su
	Notab
	High s
-	Less sa
	Less sa
	Highli childre
	childre

28.9 42.1 10.5 7.9 10.9
30.8 32.3 16.9 6.2 13.8
33 45 7.3 7.3 7.
36.1 38 7.4 10.2 8.
45 38.5 4.6 4.6 7.
45·5 35·5 4·5 6.4 8.

parenting support/education helpful

med/med change recommended helpful

appointment times offered convenient

child comfortable with evaluation process

parent comfortable with evaluation process

adequate time spent

better satisfied with service compaired to previous contacts

information given helpful in answering questions

quality of service satisfying

my child's issues understood



Follow up services reached goal 16.2 20.3 18.9 27 strongly agree waiting period shorter than expected 35.5 10.5 15.8 agree undecided services referred good fit 19 5.1 disagree strongly disagree adequate support until community appointment 39.1 11.6 14.5 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100

- gth of time that child had these issues: Mean 2.83 years (Std D 2.676) 1 month 11 years between referral to team and first contact: 25.9% less than a week, 50.3% between 1-3 ks, 10.5% between 3-4 weeks, 11.9% more than 4 weeks.
- did not have a visit with a MCPAP clinician, 25% had a visit with a MCPAP clinician.
- between referral and follow up appointment: 13.7% less than a week, 43.2% between 1-3
- eks, 13.7% between 3-4 weeks, 29.5% more than 4 weeks. 8% were able to get to this appointment, 14.1 % were unable to get to this appointment. an number of appointments attended in the community 4.2 (Std D 7.542). % are still engaged with community provider, 39.6% are not engaged with community
- % of children have not experienced an out of home placement since contact with MCPAP, 6 have experienced an out of home placement.
- neone finally listening and helping me to set up services that I was previously denied or vare of"
- program in general to have a starting point in my search for help"
- ne support to primary care physician was excellent"
- interview process was very calming. We felt so good with the interviewer. The follow up the nurse for referring us to a psychiatrist was key. Compared to other psychiatric rals, MCPAP's influence on getting a psychiatrist appointment was better than the rest" t we would have direct access to some one who could suggest a diagnosis on the day of intment"
- "The 3 month wait between calling for an appt. and the appt. date Far too long for a ly/teen in crisis with the onset of a mental illness" e scarcity of child psychiatrists to prescribe medications"

uggest high parental satisfaction with MCPAP evaluation process ble are the high rates of parents reporting they felt prepared, heard and understood satisfaction with face to face contact with MCPAP clinician when occurred atisfaction with follow up appointments in the community atisfaction with reaching their goals for their child ight need for appropriate mental health follow up in the community in order to help en and families reach their goals