

University of Massachusetts Medical School

eScholarship@UMMS

Office of Institutional Research, Evaluation, and
Assessment Publications and Presentations

Office of Educational Affairs

2004-11

Pre/Post Comparison of Medical Students' Self-Reported Competence Ratings for Content and Skill Areas Included in an End of Third Year Assessment

Mary L. Zanetti

University of Massachusetts Medical School

Et al.

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/res_eval



Part of the [Educational Assessment, Evaluation, and Research Commons](#), and the [Medicine and Health Sciences Commons](#)

Repository Citation

Zanetti ML, Alper EJ, Gammon WL, Pugnaire MP, Carlin MM, Purwono U, Barrett SV, Sefton LA. (2004). Pre/Post Comparison of Medical Students' Self-Reported Competence Ratings for Content and Skill Areas Included in an End of Third Year Assessment. Office of Institutional Research, Evaluation, and Assessment Publications and Presentations. Retrieved from https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/res_eval/11

This material is brought to you by eScholarship@UMMS. It has been accepted for inclusion in Office of Institutional Research, Evaluation, and Assessment Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of eScholarship@UMMS. For more information, please contact Lisa.Palmer@umassmed.edu.



Pre/Post Comparison of Medical Students' Self-Reported Competence Ratings for Content and Skill Areas Included in an End of Third Year Assessment



Mary L. Zanetti, Eric Alper, Wendy Gammon, Michele P. Pugnaire, Michele M. Carlin, Urip Purwono, Susan V. Barrett, and Laura A. Sefton
 University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts

BACKGROUND

The End of Third Year Assessment (EOTYA) evaluates medical students using multiple Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCE) and offers comprehensive feedback to students on their content knowledge and skills. This study measures the change in students' perceived level of competence before and after completing the EOTYA.

GOAL

To develop additional summative feedback for third year medical students emanating from the EOTYA and a newly developed self-assessment tool.

METHOD

A self-reported evaluation instrument using a 5-point Likert scale was developed for this study. A comparison of students' pre- and post-competence ratings for each of six EOTYA content areas (family medicine, medicine, obstetrics-gynecology, pediatrics, psychiatry, and surgery) and each of six EOTYA skills areas (history taking, physical examination, interviewing, professionalism, counseling and education, and problem solving) was conducted. The analysis compared the pre- and post- difference in the percentage of "positive" ratings using an approximation to the binomial distribution. In addition, items were clustered into content and skill areas, then a paired t-test analysis compared pre- and post- clusters for statistically significant differences. A subsequent correlation analysis was conducted between the post-competence ratings and actual student scores in selected EOTYA skill and content areas.

RESULTS

A total of ninety-one students (Class of 2004) completed both the pre- and post-questionnaires. The initial paired t-test comparison indicated a statistically significant difference between the pre- and post- items, which were clustered into content and skill areas (see Table 1). The results of the pre/post comparison indicated a statistically significant shift in the proportion of "positive" student ratings in three content areas (obstetrics/gynecology, psychiatry, and surgery; $z=3.58-4.37$, $p<.05$) and in one skill area (counseling/patient education, $z=2.31$, $p<.05$). Tables 2 and 3 and Figures 1 and 2 outlines these changes. Table 4 contains the correlation analysis between the post-competence ratings and student performance in selected content and skill areas, which reflected a significant positive association.

Table 1: Paired Samples Test

Pair		Mean (SD)	N	Std. Mean	Paired Differences					
					Mean (SD)	Std. Error Mean	95% CI of the Difference	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
Pair 1	Post Content	3.6978 (.449)	91	.047	.2436 (.459)	.048	.148 .339	5.062	90	.000
	Pre Content	3.4542 (.458)	91	.048						
Pair 2	Post Skill	3.9872 (.481)	91	.051	.0733 (.326)	.034	.005 .141	2.143	90	.035
	Pre Skill	3.9139 (.460)	91	.048						

Table 2: Please rate your level of competence in the following content areas:

CONTENT Items: Percent Responses	Percent Responses				
	Not at all competent	Minimally competent	Somewhat competent	Competent	Very competent
Pre-Family Medicine			31%	60%	9%
Post-Family Medicine		1%	38%	51%	10%
Pre-Medicine		2%	36%	58%	3%
Post-Medicine		2%	34%	55%	9%
Pre-Ob/Gyn	2%	13%	56%	26%	2%
Post-Ob/Gyn		2%	38%	52%	8%
Pre-Pediatrics	1%	7%	42%	48%	2%
Post-Pediatrics		8%	48%	36%	8%
Pre-Psychiatry		7%	51%	41%	2%
Post-Psychiatry		2%	29%	57%	12%
Pre-Surgery	2%	7%	48%	38%	4%
Post-Surgery		1%	24%	57%	18%

Table 3: Please rate your level of competence in the following skill areas:

SKILL Items: Percent Responses	Percent Responses			
	Minimally competent	Somewhat competent	Competent	Very competent
Pre-History taking		10%	67%	23%
Post-History taking		12%	66%	22%
Pre-Physical exam	2%	38%	55%	4%
Post-Physical exam		34%	59%	7%
Pre-Interviewing		9%	66%	25%
Post-Interviewing		12%	60%	27%
Pre-Professionalism		7%	51%	43%
Post-Professionalism		7%	45%	48%
Pre-Counseling and education	3%	42%	49%	5%
Post-Counseling and education	1%	27%	60%	11%
Pre-Problem solving	1%	41%	52%	7%
Post-Problem solving	1%	38%	48%	12%

Table 4

Spearman's Rho Correlations	
Post-History Taking Score w/ Surgery History Score	.248*
Post-Interviewing Score w/ Surgery Interview Score	.338**
Post-Interviewing Score w/ Pediatric Interview Score	.222*
Post-Professionalism Score w/ Medicine Professionalism Interview Score	.213*

Figure 1





Figure 2



Evaluation Tools

OSCE Stations



CONCLUSION

This study indicated students' perceived level of competence improved after completing the EOTYA. These results could offer another important element of summative feedback to third year medical students.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Pamela Peper & Linda Tupper