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According to the US Energy and Information Administration, between 2022-2023 $60 \%$ of planned new electricity generation consists of solar farms with a battery energy storage system [1]. The demand for these paired systems has increased since batteries can be charged during the day with the energy captured from the solar farm then released to the customer in the evening during peak energy demand. This achieves peak load shaving which reduces the cost of electricity for the customer and is ecologically friendly.

This thesis aims to create an efficient solar farm with a battery energy storage system for a farmer in California that achieves peak load shaving. Full cell modules and half-cell modules were explored to determine the type that best suits this project. The half-cell modules were best suited because of the increased efficiency. Six different solar farm designs were created, four fixed tilt designs and two single axis tracking designs. Two types of software, System Advisor Model (SAM) and REopt, were compared to determine which would be most useful in simulating these designs. It was concluded that System Advisor Model (SAM) would be the most accurate to simulate the six designs and produce metrics such as the annual energy production, capacity factor, DC to AC ratio, and levelized cost of energy. The final design, design 6, a 2 -string single axis tracking design produced the best metrics that met the project requirements and a battery energy storage system was sized for the design.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

A farmer from California is inquiring to convert part of their land from growing agriculture to a solar farm with an energy storage system. The requested design specifications are shown in table 1 . Figures $1-4$ show the site boundary measurements taken from google earth. A model of the property is shown in figure 5. Six designs will be evaluated to determine which is the most fit for this location.

Table 1: Project Requirements

| Design <br> Requirements | Engineering Specification | Justification |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Inverter with an AC output of 208V | There is a 208V transformer <br> near the location. |
| 2 | Efficient Solar Module | Maximizing the solar <br> modules efficiency increases <br> the overall energy production <br> of the design. |
| 3 | Efficient Inverter | Maximizing the inverter <br> efficiency increases the <br> overall energy production of <br> the design. |
| 4 | DC to AC ratio between 1.3-1.6 | A DC to AC ratio in this <br> range causes slight clipping <br> losses but can have financial <br> benefits [2]. |
| 5 | No module shading between 10am- <br> 2pm on the winter solstice, <br> December 21st | Shading drastically reduces <br> the energy production of the <br> module. To increase energy <br> production, solar module <br> shading of the design should <br> be minimal. [3] |
| 6 | Final design includes a battery <br> energy storage system to achieve <br> peak load shaving | Achieving peak load shaving <br> utilizing a battery can reduce <br> the cost of electricity and <br> reduce carbon emission [4]. |
| 7 | Capacity Factor of at least 24.6\% | According to [5], average <br> solar PV capacity factor in <br> 2021 was 24.6\% [5]. |

Table 1: Project Requirements Cont.

| 8 | Energy Yield | The highest energy yield <br> will benefit both the owner <br> and the customers while <br> reducing carbon emissions <br> [4]. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | Energy |  |



Figure 1: Google Earth Measurement of South Side Property Boundary


Figure 2: Google Earth Measurement of West Side Property Boundary


Figure 3: Google Earth Measurement of North Side Property Boundary


Figure 4: Google Earth Measurement of East Side Property Boundary


Figure 5: Model of Property Based off Google Earth Measurements

It should be noted that in figure 5 above, each small square represents 1 meter. No decimals are considered in later design layouts. All decimals will be rounded up to overcompensate and ensure the design does not exceed property boundaries. Next discussed will be how solar modules operate and why it is important to prevent shading.

## 2. SOLAR MODULE ANALYSIS

### 2.1 IV Curves and PV Curves [3]

Figure 6 shows the IV (current vs. voltage) curve and the PV curve (power vs. voltage) of a solar module. When solar modules operate at the maximum power point, the maximum amount of energy is captured. To find the maximum power point, first the IV (current vs. voltage) curve of the module needs to be determined. Many inverters have the capability to find the maximum power point using a tracker [3].

There are also other important module electrical data that can be determined from the IV curve and is identified in figure 6 below. The open circuit voltage ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Oc}}$ ) occurs when there is no current and the voltage is at the maximum. The short circuit current ( $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{SC}}$ ) occurs when there is no voltage, and the current is at the maximum. The maximum power point $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{pp}}\right)$ is the voltage and current point $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{mpp}}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{mpp}}\right)$ at which the maximum power is captured. The PV (power vs. voltage) curve can then be calculated by multiplying the current and voltage points from the IV curve. The maximum power point is the maximum value on this curve, identified as $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{PP}}$ in figure 6 bellow.


Figure 6: IV Curve and PV Curve for a Solar Module with Bypass Diodes and No Shade [7]

### 2.2 Bypass Diodes [8]

Solar modules have three bypass diodes, connected to the cells in different orientations depending on the module type to help prevent shading issues. It is possible shading can cause permanent damage to the module and fire without working bypass diodes. Therefore, bypass diodes serve an important purpose when one cell or multiple cells are shaded. If one cell or part of the solar module is shaded, that shaded strings current will be bypassed by the bypass diode as shown in figure 10. This means having three bypass diodes still allows for some energy production even if part of the solar module is shaded. It should be noted that when a cell is shaded and a bypass diode is turned on, the IV curve of that module changes from having one global maximum power point to having a local maximum and a global maximum as shown in figure 7 bellow. Therefore, it is necessary the inverter have a global maximum power point tracker [8].


Figure 7: IV Curve (Top) and PV Curve (Bottom) when One Bypass Diode is Activated [8]

If there was only one bypass diode in between the positive and negative terminal of the module and a single cell or part of the module was shaded, then the entire module would be bypassed and there would be no energy production from that module.

When there are no bypass diodes and one cell is shaded, that shaded cell is reversed bias, meaning it becomes a load and heat is dissipated in the shaded cells. The IV curve of a shaded cell/reversed bias cell is shown in figure 8 bellow [8].


Figure 8: IV Curve of a Shaded Cell (Reversed Bias) and Unshaded Cell (Forward Bias) [8]

Power production also drastically decreases when there are no bypass diodes, and a single cell or multiple cells are shaded. Figure 9 shows the change in IV curves and PV curves for a nonshaded module and a partially shaded module [8].


Figure 9: No Bypass Diodes on Modules [8]

For a module with working bypass diodes and no shaded cells, the IV curve and maximum power curve is shown in figure 6 [7]. Solar modules can be further classified by either a full cell module, half-cell module, and bifacial module. Full cell modules and half-cell modules will be discussed next.

### 2.3 Full Cells [8]



Figure 10: Full Cell Module (60 Cells) [8]

A full cell module is shown in figure 10 above. This type of module can have a varying range of cells, but some typical modules have $32,36,60,72$, or 96 cells in series with three bypass diodes. A 60-cell module is shown in figure 10. The three bypass diodes are connected to make three strings of cells on the module [9]. Typically, each cell has a voltage of approximately 0.5 V which for a 60 -cell module produces an overall voltage of approximately 30 V [10].

### 2.4 Half Cells [10]



Figure 11: Half Cell Module Showing Bypass Diodes [9]

Half-cell modules are made from full cells that have been cut in half by a laser. In the half cell modules, the bypass diodes are connected such that there are six strings instead of three. Figure 11 shows a 120 -half-cell module that was created from 60 full cells. The current through each cell is halved compared to a full cell module, but there are twice as many cells. The module in figure 11 creates an overall voltage of approximately 60 V . This is because each cell still has an approximate voltage of 0.5 V and there are 60 cells on left side as well as the right side. Each side creates a voltage of approximately 30 V and the two sides are connected in parallel, creating an overall voltage of approximately 60 V [10].

This type of module offers many advantages including higher efficiency by reducing shading losses and power losses related to decreased current and resistance. For example, figure 12 shows a possible path for current to flow when two cells are shaded.

At this point, only $2 / 3$ rds of the panel are in operation, similar to a full cell module with one cell shaded. Figure 12 also shows the module operating at the local maximum power point instead of the global maximum. Figure 13 shows another possible path for current to flow when two cells are shaded when the module is operating at the global maximum power point. When operating at this maximum power point, current can flow through 5/6 strings instead of $4 / 6$ at the local maximum. Because of this, the half-cell module can produce more power than a full cell module with two cells shaded, making it more efficient. The half-cell module also has reduced resistive power losses when compared to a full cell module because only half the total current is flowing through each cell. This can be understood by looking at equation 1 below. Since the current is reduced in half cell modules by half, this decreases the resistive losses by a factor of four [10].
$P=I^{2} R$


Figure 12: A Possible Path for Current to Flow when 2 Cells are Shaded [10]


Figure 13: Possible Path for Current to Flow when 2 Cells are Shaded [10]

## 3. PEAK LOAD SHAVING [4]

An example peak load curve is shown below in figure 14. This curve shows the amount of power used versus time. The peaks indicate more people have started to use electricity; therefore, the power demand increases. The highest peak shows the capacity the power plant must have to meet the demand.


Figure 14: Example Load Peak Curve [4]
[4] examines three different ways to shave the high peaks in a peak load curve. This includes adding an energy storage system (ESS), adding electric vehicles (EV) to the grid, and demand side management (DSM). It is stated peak load shaving can benefit the grid operators, the customer, and can reduce the amount of carbon dioxide released into the atmosphere. Currently to meet the peak electricity demand, some power plants use fossil fuel generators. This can increase the amount of CO 2 released into the atmosphere as well as increase the cost for the utility company and the customer because the cost of maintaining and running the power plant for only a couple hours each day is high. This expense then gets passed along to the customer who pays more for electricity during the peak time periods [4].

To ensure a high power quality in the power system, the supply of electricity and the demand of electricity needs to be balanced. If the demand of electricity is higher than the supply, then this could cause instability, voltage fluctuations, possible blackouts, and a low power quality.

To measure how efficiently the electricity generated is being used, load factor is calculated. Load factor helps show the variability in load/demand and is the ratio of average real power demand over the peak real power demand as shown below.

Load Factor [\%] $=\left(\mathrm{P}_{\text {AVG }} / \mathrm{P}_{\text {PEAK }}\right) \times 100 \%$

A higher load factor indicates the power plant is economically feasible. The higher the load factor, the cheaper the energy. To increase the load factor, the real peak power on the peak load curve needs to be reduced using peak load shaving. This also reduces the power lost in the system since when the peak load demand is decreased, the current in the system is also decreased, reducing the overall power lost in the system and making it more efficient.

Incorporating renewable energy sources such as wind and solar is then explored and shows that when added to the system, the peak load during the day can be reduced and the highest peak is shifted to the evening [4].

Adding a battery energy storage system (BESS) is then evaluated to help shave the highest peaks on the peak load curve. As time goes on, the electricity demand is increasing. This is because our individual electricity consumption increases and because the population is increasing. By looking at figure 15 below, if the BESS is charged during low demand times, the BESS can help shave the highest demand peaks by discharging
during high demand times. This can decrease the cost for the end user since they are using less electricity during the high peak times when the price is the most expensive. This also reduces CO2 emissions since a battery is providing the extra electricity instead of a fossil fuel burning generator [4].


Figure 15: Demonstrates Shaving the Load Curve with a BESS [4]

## 4. DC TO AC RATIO AND CLIPPING LOSSES [2]

Solar modules operate on direct current (DC) power while inverters convert that DC power to alternating current (AC) power. This facilitates the addition of DC power from the modules threw the inverter to the AC electrical grid. Both the modules and the inverters have a maximum threshold in which it can produce and convert. The DC to AC ratio of a design is the amount of power produced by the modules over the maximum amount of power the inverter can convert from DC to AC. According to [2], having a DC to AC ratio between 1.3 to 1.6 could provide some financial benefits since the maximum amount of energy produced per day would remain higher for a longer period. The downsides would be an increase of clipping losses and the inverter would be working at maximum power for a longer amount of time which could reduce the inverters lifetime.

This can be seen in figure 16 below. [2] concludes that a DC to AC ratio of maximum 1.6 will not drastically reduce an inverters lifetime which is why a maximum DC to AC ratio of 1.6 was placed on all 6 designs.


Figure 16: Difference in AC Power Output Between a High vs. Low DC to AC Ratio [2]

## 5. SOFTWARE - SYSTEM ADVISOR MODEL (SAM) AND REOPT

REopt and System Advisor Model (SAM) were compared to determine which software would be most suitable for simulating the solar farm designs. The software screenshots with explanation are included in appendix section $G$ and $H$. It should be noted that when each software was simulating the same design, the outputs did not match. Further evaluations showed REopt was not responding to changes in the inputs such as the critical load and grid outage duration, making it unfit for this project. Therefore, System Advisor Model (SAM) was used to simulate all designs.

## 6. MODULE ORIENTATION

### 6.1 Direction of Tilt [11]

When located in the northern hemisphere the solar modules should face south for the maximum energy production during the middle of the day. The solar modules should face more towards the southwest if maximum energy production is required in the afternoon during peak energy demand. Since this project design will have an energy storage system, batteries, and the property is symmetrical with a compass, meaning the four sides of the property boundary are in line with north, south, east, and west, the solar modules will be tilted towards the south [11].

### 6.2 Optimal Degree of Tilt

Optimal module tilt angle is achieved when the tilt of the solar panels is equal to the latitude in degrees of the location of the module [11]. Conversions for latitude will be done here to convert the notation of degrees, minutes, seconds taken from google earth to decimal degrees.

Location Latitude $=34^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$
Conversion calculation of Latitude to degree (without minutes and seconds):
Conversion Factors: $\quad 1^{\circ}=60^{\prime}($ minutes $) \quad 1^{\prime}($ minute $)=60^{\prime \prime}$ (seconds)
$34^{\prime} \times\left(1^{\circ} / 60^{\prime}\right)=0.5667^{\circ}$
$20^{\prime \prime} \times\left(1^{\circ} / 60^{\prime \prime}\right) \times\left(1^{\circ} / 60^{\prime}\right)=0.0056^{\circ}$
Location Latitude in degrees: $34^{\circ}+0.567^{\circ}+0.0056^{\circ}=34.5723^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$
New Location Latitude: $34.5723^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$
Therefore, the tilt of all fixed modules will be $34.5723^{\circ}$ towards the South. In Simulations this will be rounded to $34.6^{\circ}$.

### 6.3 Module and Inverter Specifications:

The module and inverter used for all designs in this project were chosen based off efficiency ranking in System Advisory Model (SAM) and available online information as shown in the appendix section A and B. It was also required the inverter have a 208 V AC voltage to ensure proper connection at the property. Necessary information for the top efficiency modules and inverters listed in the appendix were unavailable so adjustments were made until the required specifications could be located, such as the open circuit voltage, short circuit current, etc. It is important to note the inverter has a battery attachment capability. Specifications below are from the datasheets.

Table 2: Module REC Solar REC405AA [12]

| Cell Type | Half Cell |
| :---: | :---: |
| Open Circuit Voltage Voc [Vdc] | 48.9 |
| Nominal Efficiency [\%] | 21.9 |
| Maximum Power [Wdc] | 405 |
| Temperature Coefficient [\%/ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | -0.24 |
| Short Circuit Current Isc [Adc] | 10.14 |
| Module Dimensions [m] | $1.821 \times 1.016 \times 0.03$ |

Table 3: Inverter Delta Electronics E8-TL-US [208V]

| Nominal AC Voltage [V] | 208 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Maximum DC Voltage [V] | 480 |
| Maximum AC Power [Wac] | 5000 |
| Efficiency [\%] | 98 |
| Inverter Dimensions [m] | $0.425 \times 0.590 \times 0.150$ |
| Maximum Power Point Trackers | 3 |
| Compatible Battery Pack Size [kWh per Inverter] | $5-30$ |

### 6.4 Property Weather Statistics

Figure 17 below shows the weather statistics for Lancaster, CA. This is a city close to Palmdale, CA and was used because Palmdale, CA was not an option in the weather statistics search. The lowest temperature in the area is $-9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as shown in figure 17. This lowest temperature is used later in the module string size calculation.


Figure 17: Weather Report for the Closest City to the Property [13]

### 6.5 Module String Size Calculation [14]

Equations for Calculating Maximum String Size:
Module $\mathrm{V}_{\text {OCMAX }}=\mathrm{V}_{\text {OC }} \mathrm{x}\left[1+\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{MIN}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{STC}}\right) \mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{kVoc}} / 100\right)\right]$
Where Module Vocmax, is the maximum open circuit voltage, $\mathrm{V}_{\text {OC }}$ is the open circuit voltage, $\mathrm{T}_{\text {MIN }}$ is the lowest temperature at the location in Celsius, $\mathrm{T}_{\text {STC }}$ is the standard test condition temperature $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{kVoc}}$ is the temperature coefficient.

Maximum String Size $=($ Lowest Component Vmax $/$ Module Vocmax $)$
Where Lowest Component Vmax is the lowest maximum voltage for either device, Module $V_{\text {ocmax }}$ is the maximum open circuit voltage (calculated in equation 2), and the Maximum String Size is how long the string can be before exceeding the maximum voltage.

Calculations for Maximum String Size:
Module $\mathrm{V}_{\text {ocmax }}=48.9 \mathrm{~V} \times[1+(-9-25) \times(-0.240 / 100)]$
Module Vocmax $=52.89 \mathrm{~V}$
Maximum String Size $=(480 \mathrm{~V} / 52.89 \mathrm{~V})$
Maximum String Size $=9.08$
Round down to 8 modules per string to ensure the maximum voltage of the inverter is never exceeded. All numbers in this calculation came from the module data sheet, inverter datasheet, and figure 17. In the first iteration of calculating maximum string size and design of a few different module layouts on the land, a string of 9 modules was used. This first iteration calculation and possible design layouts is shown in the appendix section C. Later the decision was made to round down to 8 for better over voltage protection.

## 7. SUN POSITION EXPLANTATION AND EQUATIONS [15]

### 7.1 Solar Declination Equation

The solar dedication of the earth describes the tilt of the earth. More specifically it's the angle between the plane of the earth's equator and the center of the sun. The angle varies between $-23.45^{\circ}$ and $+23.45^{\circ}[14]$.


Figure 18: Displays the Solar Declination [15]
$\delta=23.45 \times \sin [(360 / 365)(\mathrm{n}-81)]$
Where $\delta$ is the solar declination and $n$ is the day of the year (out of 365).

### 7.2 Hour Angle of the Sun

The hour angle of the sun describes the difference from noon in terms of a $360^{\circ}$ rotation in one day [14].
$H=15(12-T)$
Where H is the hour angle and T is the time of day on a 24 -hour clock.

### 7.3 Altitude Angle of the Sun

The altitude angle of the sun describes the angle from the horizon up to the sun [15].


Figure 19: Depiction of the Sun's Altitude Angle [15]
$\beta=\sin ^{-1}[\sin (\delta) \sin (\mathrm{L})+\cos (\delta) \cos (\mathrm{L}) \cos (\mathrm{H})]$
Where $\beta$ is the suns altitude angle at a certain time, $\delta$ is the Solar Declination, L is the Latitude, and H is the Hour Angle at a certain time.

### 7.4 Azimuth Angle of the Sun

The azimuth angle of the sun describes the angle the sun is away from south. For this project a reference direction of south will be $0^{\circ}$. If the sun is east of south, this will be designated as a positive azimuth angle. If the sun is west of south, this will be designated as a negative azimuth angle [15].


Figure 20: Depiction of the Sun's Azimuth Angle [15]
$\Phi=\sin ^{-1}[(\cos (\delta) \sin (\mathrm{H})) / \cos (\beta)]$
Where $\Phi$ is the suns azimuth angle at a certain time, $\delta$ is the Solar Declination, H is the Hour Angle at a certain time, and $\beta$ is the suns altitude angle at a certain time.

### 7.5 Altitude vs. Azimuth Plot for Location

Figure 21 below shows the altitude versus azimuth plot of the sun for the entire year at the location of the design. MATLAB code is included in appendix section F .


Figure 21: Altitude vs. Azimuth Plot

## 8. DESIGN INTRODUCTIONS

It is important to space the solar module rows far enough apart to prevent shading on the solar modules since shading can drastically reduce power production. Unfortunately, at some point during the morning and afternoon, shading cannot be prevented since the sun will be so low in the sky. According to the United States Environmental Protection Agency, sun ray intensity is the strongest during the hours of 10am and 4pm [16]. After preliminary testing and calculations, ensuring no shading between 10am-4pm spaced the rows so far apart it would drastically limit the number of solar modules on the property. Therefore, no shading hours were reduced to $10 \mathrm{am}-2 \mathrm{pm}$.

To calculate how far apart the rows of solar modules need to be placed to prevent shading during the hours of $10 \mathrm{am}-2 \mathrm{pm}$, the worst-case scenario needs to be considered. The worst-case scenario occurs on the winter solstice, December $21^{\text {st }}$. This the worst-case scenario because the sun is the lowest in the sky on this date.

The suns solar declination, hour angle, altitude, and azimuth will be calculated at the hours of 10am and 2 pm on December $21^{\text {st }}$. Then the height of the solar array will be calculated. Using that information, the shadow length will be calculated to determine the required interrow spacing of the solar panels. Since the sun rises and falls symmetrically around 12 pm (noon) the shadow length at 10 am and 2 pm will be the same, although calculations were done at both times for each design for confirmation. According to [17], the solar modules should be placed off the ground anywhere between a couple inches to a couple feet. Therefore, the fixed tilt solar modules will be placed off the ground 14 inches $(0.3556 \mathrm{~m})$ to promote air flow and clear any brush. The single axis tacking designs will
be placed 19.685 inches $(0.5 \mathrm{~m})$ off the ground also to promote air flow, clear any brush, and account for any additional mounting requirements.

The first test calculation did not take into consideration the solar array would be lifted off the ground. These test calculations are shown in appendix section D. Appendix section E shows the calculations for no shading on the winter solstice between the hours of 10 am to 4 pm for designs 1 and 2 .

## 9. EXAMPLE SHADING CALULCATIONS - ALL FIXED TILT [18]

To calculate how far apart the module rows need to be, it is necessary to look at the position of the sun on the winter solstice, December $21^{\text {st }}$, at the hours of 10 am and 2 pm , which is the time shading should be prevented [16]. To do the calculation there are two triangles that need to be looked at to determine the shadow length.

Triangle one is shown as yellow in figure 22. In this triangle the height of the solar array can be calculated and so can the altitude angle of the sun at a certain time on a certain day. Then using trigonometry, Length X can be solved for using tangent as shown in equation 9. Figure 22 shows the second triangle in red. In this triangle, Length X is known and the azimuth angle can be calculated. With that information, the shadow length can be calculated using cosine as shown in equation 10. Then the arrays should be place further apart than the shadow length. This method was used when calculating the interrow spacing in designs $1,2,3$, and 4 .


Figure 22: Calculating Shadow Length of South Facing Fixed Tilt Modules [18]

Part 1: Yellow Triangle
Tan(Altitude Angle) $=$ Array Height $/$ Length X
Length $\mathrm{X}=$ Array Height $/$ Tan(Altitude Angle)
Part 2: Red Triangle
$\cos ($ Azimuth Angle $)=$ Shadow Length $/$ Length $X$
Shadow Length $=$ Length $\mathrm{X} x \cos ($ Azimuth Angle)

## 10. SUN POSITION CALCULATIONS USED FOR ALL 6 DESIGNS [15]

Shading calculations will be done for all 6 designs to prevent shading between rows on the winter solstice between the hours of 10 am and 2 pm . First the solar declination, hour angle, altitude angle, and azimuth angle will be calculated for both the hours of 10 am and 2 pm on December $21^{\text {st }}$. The solar declination will only be calculated once as it is the same for each time. These calculations are used in all 6 designs.
10.1 Calculation of Solar Declination on December 21 $1^{\text {st }}$ :
$\delta=23.45 \times \sin [(360 / 365)(\mathrm{n}-81)]$
Where $\delta$ is the solar declination and n is the day of the year (out of 365)
$\delta=23.45 \times \sin [(360 / 365)(355-81)]$
$\delta=-23.45^{\circ}$
10.2 Calculation of Hour Angle at 10am on December $21^{\text {st }}$.
$H=15(12-T)$
Where H is the hour angle and T is the time of day on a 24 -hour clock
$\mathrm{H}=15(12-10)$
$\mathrm{H}=30^{\circ}$ at 10 am December $21^{\mathrm{st}}$
$\beta=\sin ^{-1}[\sin (\delta) \sin (\mathrm{L})+\cos (\delta) \cos (\mathrm{L}) \cos (\mathrm{H})]$
Where $\beta$ is the suns altitude angle at $10 \mathrm{am}, \delta$ is the Solar Declination, L is the Latitude, and H is the Hour Angle at 10am.
$\beta=\sin ^{-1}\left[\sin \left(-23.45^{\circ}\right) \sin \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)+\cos \left(-23.45^{\circ}\right) \cos \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right) \cos \left(30^{\circ}\right)\right]$
$\beta=25.37^{\circ}$
10.4 Calculation of Suns Azimuth at 10am on December 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ :
$\Phi=\sin ^{-1}[(\cos (\delta) \sin (\mathrm{H})) / \cos (\beta)]$
Where $\Phi$ is the suns azimuth angle at $10 \mathrm{am}, \delta$ is the Solar Declination, H is the Hour Angle at 10 am , and $\beta$ is the suns altitude angle at 10am.
$\Phi=\sin ^{-1}\left[\left(\cos \left(-23.45^{\circ}\right) \sin \left(30^{\circ}\right)\right) / \cos \left(25.37^{\circ}\right)\right]$
$\Phi=30.51^{\circ}$
10.5 Calculation of Hour Angle at 2 pm on December $21^{\text {st }}$ :
$\mathrm{H}=15(12-\mathrm{T})$
Where H is the hour angle and T is the time of day on a 24-hour clock
$\mathrm{H}=15(12-14)$
$\mathrm{H}=-30^{\circ}$ at 2 pm December $21^{\text {st }}$
10.6 Calculation of Suns Altitude at 2 pm on December $21^{\text {st }}$ :
$\beta=\sin ^{-1}[\sin (\delta) \sin (\mathrm{L})+\cos (\delta) \cos (\mathrm{L}) \cos (\mathrm{H})]$
Where $\beta$ is the suns altitude angle at $2 \mathrm{pm}, \delta$ is the Solar Declination, L is the Latitude, and H is the Hour Angle at 2pm.
$\beta=\sin ^{-1}\left[\sin \left(-23.45^{\circ}\right) \sin \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)+\cos \left(-23.45^{\circ}\right) \cos \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right) \cos \left(-30^{\circ}\right)\right]$
$\beta=25.37^{\circ}$
10.7 Calculation of Suns Azimuth at 2 pm on December $21^{\text {st. }}$.
$\Phi=\sin ^{-1}[(\cos (\delta) \sin (\mathrm{H})) / \cos (\beta)]$
Where $\Phi$ is the suns azimuth angle at $2 \mathrm{pm}, \delta$ is the Solar Declination, $H$ is the Hour Angle at 2 pm , and $\beta$ is the suns altitude angle at 2 pm .
$\Phi=\sin ^{-1}\left[\left(\cos \left(-23.45^{\circ}\right) \sin \left(-30^{\circ}\right)\right) / \cos \left(25.37^{\circ}\right)\right]$
$\Phi=-30.51^{\circ}$

## 11. DESIGN 1 (3 STRING) - FIXED TILT

Design 1 utilizes all 3 maximum power point trackers on the inverter as shown below in figure 23 .


Figure 23: Design 1 - First Row of Solar Farm, Modules Tilted Towards the South

Interrow spacing/shading calculation will be done for the design in figure 23 and will prevent shading on the winter solstice between the hours of 10 am and 2 pm . The solar declination, hour angle, altitude angle, and azimuth angle has already been calculated in chapter 10.

### 11.1 Calculation of Height and Length of Solar Module Array

Figure 23 shows one row of design 1 with 3 strings and 8 modules per string. The solar modules will be tilted at an angle of $34.5723^{\circ}$ South and the hypotenuse length is known as shown below in figure 24. The hypotenuse has a length of three solar modules. Since the modules are in the landscape orientation and the length of one solar module is 1.016 m , the length of three solar modules is 3.048 m . With this information, the angle of tilt, and the length of the hypotenuse, the height and length can be determined from trigonometric calculations. Figure 25 shows the height and length labeled in the figure.


Figure 24: Design 1 - Depiction of the Height and Length of the Array

Calculation of Height:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sin \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)=H / 3.048 \mathrm{~m} \\
& H=3.048 \mathrm{~m} \times \sin \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right) \\
& H=1.73 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because the solar modules are lifted off the ground by 0.3556 meters, this needs to be added to the calculated height measurement to determine the total height.

Total Height $=1.73 \mathrm{~m}+0.3556 \mathrm{~m}=2.09 \mathrm{~m}$

## Calculation of Length:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cos \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)=\mathrm{L} / 3.048 \mathrm{~m} \\
& \mathrm{~L}=3.048 \times \cos \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right) \\
& \mathrm{L}=2.51 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 25: Design 1-Displays the Height and Length of the Array

### 11.2 Calculation of Interrow Spacing at 10am December $21^{\text {st }}$ [18]:

Reference chapter 9 for depictions of the yellow and red triangles.
Part 1: Yellow Triangle
Tan(Altitude Angle) $=$ Array Height / Length X
Length X = Array Height / Tan(Altitude Angle)
Length $\mathrm{X}=2.09 \mathrm{~m} / \operatorname{Tan}\left(25.37^{\circ}\right)$
Length $X=4.41 \mathrm{~m}$
Part 2: Red Triangle
$\operatorname{Cos}($ Azimuth Angle $)=$ Shadow Length $/$ Length X
Shadow Length $=4.41 \mathrm{mx} \mathrm{Cos}($ Azimuth Angle $)$
Shadow Length $=4.41 \mathrm{~m} \times \operatorname{Cos}\left(30.51^{\circ}\right)$
Shadow Length $=3.80 \mathrm{~m}$

### 11.3 Calculation of Interrow Spacing at 2pm December 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ [18]:

Reference chapter 9 for depictions of the yellow and red triangles
Part 1: Yellow Triangle
Tan(Altitude Angle) $=$ Array Height $/$ Length X
Length X = Array Height $/$ Tan(Altitude Angle)
Length X $=2.09 \mathrm{~m} / \operatorname{Tan}\left(25.37^{\circ}\right)$
Length $X=4.41 \mathrm{~m}$
Part 2: Red Triangle
$\cos ($ Azimuth Angle $)=$ Shadow Length $/$ Length $X$
Shadow Length $=$ Length $\mathrm{X} x \cos ($ Azimuth Angle $)$
Shadow Length $=4.41 \mathrm{~m} \times \cos \left(-30.51^{\circ}\right)$
Shadow Length $=3.80 \mathrm{~m}$
The shadow length at 10 am and 2 pm is 3.80 m . To prevent shading, the arrays will be placed 4 meters apart as shown in figure 26 and 27 below. It is important to note the array length and the module length is shorter than is shown in figure 26 since the modules are at an angle. Therefore figure 26 overcompensates the space the modules will take up. Figure 27 is a 3D visual aid to help show what the solar panels would look like on the property. Simulations results are shown in chapter 18.


Figure 26: Design 1-Modules Tilted South at Angle of $34.6^{\circ}$, 26 Inverters, 624 Modules


Figure 27: Design 1 - 3D Model of Modules Tilted $34.6^{\circ}$ South, 26 Inverters, 624 Modules

## 12. DESIGN 2 (3 STRING) - FIXED TILT

Design 2 utilizes all 3 maximum power point trackers on the inverter as shown below in figure 28.


Figure 28: Design 2 - First Row Strings of Solar Farm, Modules Tilted Towards the South

Interrow spacing/shading calculation will be done for the design in figure 28 and will prevent shading on the winter solstice between the hours of 10 am and 2 pm . The solar declination, hour angle, altitude angle, and azimuth angle has already been calculated in chapter 10.

### 12.1 Calculation of Height and Length of Solar Module Array

The solar modules will be tilted at an angle of $34.5723^{\circ}$ and the hypotenuse length is known as shown below in figure 29. The hypotenuse has a length of one solar module. Since the modules are in the portrait orientation, the length of one solar module is 1.821 m . With this information, the angle of tilt and the length of the hypotenuse, the height and length can be determined from trigonometric calculations. Figure 30 shows the height and length labeled in the figure.


Figure 29: Design 2 - Depiction of the Height and Length of the Array

Calculation of Height:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sin \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)=H / 1.821 \mathrm{~m} \\
& H=1.821 \mathrm{~m} x \sin \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right) \\
& H=1.03 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because the solar modules are lifted off the ground by 0.3556 meters, this needs to be added to the calculated height measurement to determine the total height.

Total Height $=1.03 \mathrm{~m}+0.3556 \mathrm{~m}=1.39 \mathrm{~m}$

Calculation of Length:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cos \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)=\mathrm{L} / 1.821 \mathrm{~m} \\
& \mathrm{~L}=1.821 \times \cos \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right) \\
& \mathrm{L}=1.50 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 30: Design 2 - Displays the Height and Length of the Array

### 12.2 Calculation of Interrow Spacing at 10am December 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ [18]:

Reference chapter 9 for depictions of the yellow and red triangles.
Part 1: Yellow Triangle
Tan(Altitude Angle) $=$ Array Height $/$ Length X
Length X = Array Height / Tan(Altitude Angle)
Length $\mathrm{X}=1.39 \mathrm{~m} / \operatorname{Tan}\left(25.37^{\circ}\right)$
Length $X=2.93 \mathrm{~m}$
Part 2: Red Triangle
$\operatorname{Cos}($ Azimuth Angle $)=$ Shadow Length $/$ Length $X$
Shadow Length $=2.93 \mathrm{~m} \times \operatorname{Cos}($ Azimuth Angle $)$
Shadow Length $=2.93 \mathrm{~m} \times \operatorname{Cos}\left(30.51^{\circ}\right)$
Shadow Length $=2.52 \mathrm{~m}$
12.3 Calculation of Interrow Spacing at 2 pm December $21^{\text {st }}[18]$ :

Reference chapter 9 for depictions of the yellow and red triangles.
Part 1: Yellow Triangle
Tan(Altitude Angle) $=$ Array Height $/$ Length X
Length X = Array Height $/$ Tan(Altitude Angle)
Length $\mathrm{X}=1.39 \mathrm{~m} / \operatorname{Tan}\left(25.37^{\circ}\right)$
Length $X=2.93 \mathrm{~m}$
Part 2: Red Triangle
$\cos ($ Azimuth Angle $)=$ Shadow Length $/$ Length X
Shadow Length $=$ Length $\mathrm{X} x \cos ($ Azimuth Angle $)$
Shadow Length $=2.93 \mathrm{~m} \times \cos \left(-30.51^{\circ}\right)$
Shadow Length $=2.52 \mathrm{~m}$

The shadow length at 10 am and 2 pm is the same at 2.52 m . To prevent shading the arrays will be placed 3 meters apart as shown in figure 31 and 32. It is important to note the array length and the module length is shorter than is shown in figure 31. Therefore figure 31 overcompensates the space the modules will take up. Figure 31 is a visual aid to help show what the solar panels would look like on the property.


Figure 31: Design 2 - Modules Tilted South at Angle of $34.6^{\circ}$, 24 Inverters, 576 Modules


Figure 32: Design 2-3D Model of Modules Tilted $34.6^{\circ}$ South, 24 Inverters, 576 Modules

## 13. DESIGN 3 (2 STRING) - FIXED TILT

Design 3 only utilizes 2 maximum power point trackers on the inverter as shown below in figure 33. Two string designs were included to evaluate the difference between 3 string simulation results and 2 string simulation results.


Figure 33: Design 3-First Row of Solar Farm, Modules Tilted Towards the South

Interrow spacing/shading calculation will be done for the design in figure 33 and will prevent shading on the winter solstice between the hours of 10 am and 2 pm . The solar declination, hour angle, altitude angle, and azimuth angle has already been calculated in chapter 10.

### 13.1 Calculation of Height and Length of Solar Module Array

The solar modules will be tilted at an angle of $34.5723^{\circ}$ south and the hypotenuse length is known as shown below in figure 34. The solar modules are in the landscape orientation. Therefore, the hypotenuse has a length of two solar modules at 2.023 m . With this information, the angle of tilt and the length of the hypotenuse, the height and length can be determined from trigonometric calculations. Figure 35 shows the height and length labeled in the figure.


Figure 34: Design 3 - Depiction of the Height and Length of the Array

## Calculation of Height:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sin \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)=H / 2.023 \mathrm{~m} \\
& H=2.023 m \times \sin \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right) \\
& H=1.148 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because the solar modules are lifted off the ground by 0.3556 meters, this needs to be added to the calculated height measurement to determine the total height.

Total Height $=1.148 \mathrm{~m}+0.3556 \mathrm{~m}=1.5 \mathrm{~m}$

Calculation of Length:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cos \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)=\mathrm{L} / 2.023 \mathrm{~m} \\
& \mathrm{~L}=2.023 \times \cos \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right) \\
& \mathrm{L}=1.67 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 35: Design 3 - Displays the Height and Length of the Array

### 13.2 Calculation of Interrow Spacing at 10am December 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ [18]:

Reference chapter 9 for depictions of the yellow and red triangles.
Part 1: Yellow Triangle
Tan(Altitude Angle) $=$ Array Height / Length X
Length X = Array Height $/$ Tan(Altitude Angle)
Length $\mathrm{X}=1.50 \mathrm{~m} / \operatorname{Tan}\left(25.37^{\circ}\right)$
Length $\mathrm{X}=3.16 \mathrm{~m}$
Part 2: Red Triangle
$\operatorname{Cos}($ Azimuth Angle $)=$ Shadow Length $/$ Length $X$
Shadow Length $=3.16 \mathrm{mx} \mathrm{Cos}($ Azimuth Angle $)$
Shadow Length $=3.16 \mathrm{~m} \times \operatorname{Cos}\left(30.51^{\circ}\right)$
Shadow Length $=2.72 \mathrm{~m}$

### 13.3 Calculation of Interrow Spacing at 2pm December 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ [18]:

Reference chapter 9 for depictions of the yellow and red triangles.
Part 1: Yellow Triangle
Tan(Altitude Angle) $=$ Array Height $/$ Length X
Length X = Array Height $/$ Tan(Altitude Angle)
Length $\mathrm{X}=1.50 \mathrm{~m} / \operatorname{Tan}\left(25.37^{\circ}\right)$
Length $\mathrm{X}=3.16 \mathrm{~m}$
Part 2: Red Triangle
$\cos ($ Azimuth Angle $)=$ Shadow Length $/$ Length $X$
Shadow Length $=$ Length $\mathrm{X} x \cos ($ Azimuth Angle $)$
Shadow Length $=3.16 \mathrm{~m} \times \cos \left(-30.51^{\circ}\right)$
Shadow Length $=2.72 \mathrm{~m}$

The shadow length at 10 am and 2 pm is the same at 2.72 m . To prevent shading the arrays will be placed 3 meters apart as shown in figure 36 and 37. It is important to note the array length and the module length is shorter than is shown in figure 36 . Therefore figure 36 overcompensates the space the modules will take up. Figure 37 is a 3D visual aid to help show what the solar panels would look like on the property.


Figure 36: Design 3 - Modules Tilted South at Angle of 34.6, 36 Inverters, 576 Modules


Figure 37: Design 3- 3D Model of Modules Tilted 34.6 South, 36 Inverters, 576 Modules

## 14. DESIGN 4 (2 STRING) - FIXED TILT

Design 4 only utilizes 2 maximum power point trackers on the inverter as shown below in figure 38. Two string designs were included to evaluate the difference between 3 string simulation results and 2 string simulation results.


Figure 38: Design 4 - First Row of Solar Farm, Modules Tilted Towards the South

Interrow spacing/shading calculation will be done for the design in figure 38 and will prevent shading on the winter solstice between the hours of 10 am and 2 pm . The solar declination, hour angle, altitude angle, and azimuth angle has already been calculated in chapter 10.

### 14.1 Calculation of Height and Length of Solar Module Array

The solar modules will be tilted at an angle of $34.5723^{\circ}$ south and the hypotenuse length is known as shown below in figure 39. The hypotenuse has a length of the longer side of the solar modules at 1.821 m . With this information, the angle of tilt and the length of the hypotenuse, the height and length can be determined from trigonometric calculations. Figure 40 shows the height and length labeled in the figure.


Figure 39: Design 4 - Depiction of the Height and Length of the Array

Calculation of Height:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sin \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)=H / 1.821 \mathrm{~m} \\
& H=1.821 \mathrm{~m} x \sin \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right) \\
& H=1.03 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because the solar modules are lifted off the ground by 0.3556 meters, this needs to be added to the calculated height measurement to determine the total height.

Total Height $=1.03 \mathrm{~m}+0.3556 \mathrm{~m}=1.39 \mathrm{~m}$

Calculation of Length:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cos \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)=\mathrm{L} / 1.821 \mathrm{~m} \\
& \mathrm{~L}=1.821 \times \cos \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right) \\
& \mathrm{L}=1.5 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 40: Design 4 - Displays the Height and Length of the Array

### 14.2 Calculation of Interrow Spacing at 10am December 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ [18]:

Reference chapter 9 for depictions of the yellow and red triangles.
Part 1: Yellow Triangle
Tan(Altitude Angle) $=$ Array Height $/$ Length X
Length X = Array Height / Tan(Altitude Angle)
Length $\mathrm{X}=1.39 \mathrm{~m} / \operatorname{Tan}\left(25.37^{\circ}\right)$
Length $\mathrm{X}=2.93 \mathrm{~m}$
Part 2: Red Triangle
$\operatorname{Cos}($ Azimuth Angle $)=$ Shadow Length $/$ Length $X$
Shadow Length $=2.93 \mathrm{~m} \times \operatorname{Cos}($ Azimuth Angle $)$
Shadow Length $=2.93 \mathrm{~m} \times \operatorname{Cos}\left(30.51^{\circ}\right)$
Shadow Length $=2.5 \mathrm{~m}$

### 14.3 Calculation of Interrow Spacing at 2 pm December 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ [18]:

Reference chapter 9 for depictions of the yellow and red triangles.
Part 1: Yellow Triangle
Tan(Altitude Angle) $=$ Array Height $/$ Length X
Length X = Array Height $/$ Tan(Altitude Angle)
Length $\mathrm{X}=1.39 \mathrm{~m} / \operatorname{Tan}\left(25.37^{\circ}\right)$
Length $X=2.93 \mathrm{~m}$
Part 2: Red Triangle
$\cos ($ Azimuth Angle $)=$ Shadow Length $/$ Length X
Shadow Length $=$ Length $\mathrm{X} x \cos ($ Azimuth Angle $)$
Shadow Length $=2.93 \mathrm{~m} \times \cos \left(-30.51^{\circ}\right)$
Shadow Length $=2.52 \mathrm{~m}$
The shadow length at 10 am and 2 pm is the same at 2.5 m . To prevent shading the arrays will be placed 2.5 meters apart as shown in figure 41 and 42 . It is important to note the array length and the module length is shorter than is shown in figure 41 . Therefore figure 41 overcompensates the space the modules will take up. Figure 42 is a 3D visual aid to help show what the solar panels would look like on the property.


Figure 41: Design 4 - Modules Tilted South at Angle of $34.6^{\circ}$, 40 Inverters, 640 Modules


Figure 42: Design 4-3D Model of Modules Tilted 34.6º South, 40 Inverters, 640 Modules

## 15. SINGLE AXIS TRACKING - OPTIMAL TILT ANGLE [19]

To calculate the interrow spacing of single axis tilt solar modules, backtracking needs to be considered. Backtracking is when the tilt of the solar modules changes from the optimal tilt angle to account for shading on other solar modules in a different row. To ensure the solar modules are oriented at the optimal tilt angle between the hours of 10am to 2 pm , the optimal tilt angle for 10am and 2 pm needs to be calculated on the winter solstice, December $21^{\text {st }}$. The modules will be oriented on a north south axis to ensure the modules are facing more east in the morning and more west in the afternoon. This optimal tilt angle equation is used in designs 5 and 6.

### 15.1 Optimal Tilt Angle Derivation [19]

To calculate the optimal tilt angle, first, two vectors on the plane of the solar module needs to be defined as shown in figure 44. Tau $(\tau)$ in this derivation is the tilt angle of the module. It is positive in the morning when the modules are tilted toward the east and negative in the evening when the modules are tilted toward the west. Tau is shown in figure 43 [19].

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V} 1=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
-1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& \mathrm{V} 2=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & -\cos (\tau) \sin (\tau)
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Using V1 and V2, a vector normal to the module is calculated by crossing V1 and V2.
$\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{V} 1 \mathrm{x}$ V2
$\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{V} 1 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{V} 2=[(0 \mathrm{x} \sin (\tau)-0 \mathrm{x}-\cos (\tau)) \quad(0 \mathrm{x} 0--1 \mathrm{x} \sin (\tau)) \quad(-1 \mathrm{x}-\cos (\tau)-0 \mathrm{x} 0)]$
$\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{V} 1 \times \mathrm{V} 2=\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & -\sin (\tau) & \cos (\tau)\end{array}\right]$
$\mathrm{n}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & -\sin (\tau) & \cos (\tau)\end{array}\right]$


Figure 43: Displays the Tilt Angle Tau $\tau$ [19]


Figure 44: Displays V1, V2, and the Normal Vector [19]

Next a vector pointing toward the sun needs to be determined. This can be done using functions of the sun's altitude angle $\beta$ and the suns azimuth angle $\Phi$. This vector takes into consideration the location, time of day, and day of the year. $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{S}}=[\cos (\beta) \cos (\Phi) \quad \cos (\beta) \sin (\Phi) \quad \sin (\beta)]$


Figure 45: Displays the Vector that is in the Direction of the Sun [19]


Figure 46: Shows the Relationship Between Each Angle [19]

The next step is to calculate the dot product between vector vs and vector n as
shown below in equation 12 . The dot product of $v_{S}$ and $n$ is also equal to $\cos (\theta)$ as shown in figure 46.
vs dot $\mathrm{n}=\cos (\theta)=\cos (\beta) \cos (\Phi) \times 0+\cos (\beta) \sin (\Phi) \mathrm{x}-\sin (\tau)+\sin (\beta) \times \cos (\tau)$
vs dot $\mathrm{n}=\cos (\theta)=-\cos (\beta) \sin (\Phi) \sin (\tau)+\sin (\beta) \cos (\tau)$
Next is to take the derivative of $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{S}}$ dot n with respect to tau $\tau$.
$d(\cos (\theta)) / d \tau=-\cos (\beta) \sin (\Phi) \cos (\tau)-\sin (\beta) \sin (\tau)$

Then set it equal to zero. This is because cosine is at its maximum at 0 .
$-\cos (\beta) \sin (\Phi) \cos (\tau)-\sin (\beta) \sin (\tau)=0$

Then solve for tau $\tau$ to find the equation for optimal tilt angle.
$-\cos (\beta) \sin (\Phi) \cos (\tau)=\sin (\beta) \sin (\tau)$
$-\cos (\beta) \sin (\Phi)=\sin (\beta)(\sin (\tau) / \cos (\tau))$
$-\cos (\beta) \sin (\Phi)=\sin (\beta) \tan (\tau)$
$\tan (\tau)=-(\cos (\beta) \sin (\Phi)) / \sin (\beta)$
$\tan (\tau)=-\sin (\Phi) / \tan (\beta)$
$\tau=\arctan (-\sin (\Phi) / \tan (\beta))$

Where tau is the optimal tilt angle of the solar modules in degrees
The equation above for tau, $\tau$, calculates the optimal tilt angle for the solar modules.
The calculations for the optimal tilt angle at 10 am and 2 pm on December $21^{\text {st }}$ are shown below and are the same for designs 5 and 6.

Solar Declination on December 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}: \quad \delta=-23.45^{\circ}$

Hour Angle at 10am on December $21^{\text {st. }} \quad \mathrm{H}=30^{\circ}$ at 10am December $21^{\text {st }}$
Suns altitude at 10am on December $21^{\text {st. }} \quad \beta=25.37^{\circ}$
Suns Azimuth at 10am on December $21^{\text {st. }} \quad \Phi=30.51^{\circ}$
15.2 Modules optimal tilt angle at 10am on December $21^{\text {st }}$ :
$\tau=\arctan (-\sin (\Phi) / \tan (\beta))$
Where $\tau$ is the optimal tilt angle at $10 \mathrm{am}, \beta$ is the suns altitude at 10 am , and $\Phi$ is the suns azimuth at 10 am .
$\tau=\arctan \left(-\sin \left(30.51^{\circ}\right) / \tan \left(25.37^{\circ}\right)\right)$
$\tau=-46.95^{\circ}$

Solar Declination on December $21^{\text {st }} \quad \delta=-23.45^{\circ}$
Hour Angle at 2pm on December 21 ${ }^{\text {st. }} \quad \mathrm{H}=-30^{\circ}$ at 2 pm December $21^{\text {st }}$
Suns altitude at 2 pm on December $21^{\text {st }}: \quad \beta=25.37^{\circ}$
Suns Azimuth at 2 pm on December $21^{\text {st: }} \quad \Phi=-30.51^{\circ}$
15.3 Calculation of the modules optimal tilt angle at 2 pm on December $21^{\text {st. }}$.
$\tau=\arctan (-\sin (\Phi) / \tan (\beta))$
Where $\tau$ is the optimal tilt angle at $2 \mathrm{pm}, \beta$ is the suns altitude at 2 pm , and $\Phi$ is the suns azimuth at 2 pm .
$\tau=\arctan \left(-\sin \left(-30.51^{\circ}\right) / \tan \left(25.37^{\circ}\right)\right)$
$\tau=46.95^{\circ}$

The next step is calculating the shadow length for designs 5 and 6 when the solar module is tilted a $46.95^{\circ}$ facing east and facing west. Then the modules can be placed into a design layout to determine how many inverters and modules will fit on the property and a simulation can be ran.

## 16. EXAMPLE SHADING CALCS - SINGLE AXIS TRACKING [18]

To determine how far apart the modules should be placed for single axis tracking the shadow length needs to be calculated at the hours of 10am when the module is facing east and at 2 pm when the module is facing west. Since the sun rises and falls symmetrically around 12 pm noon, the shadow lengths will be the same. Figure 47 shows a single module facing east with a tilt of $46.95^{\circ}$. To complete the calculation there are two triangles that need to be looked at to determine the shadow length. Triangle one is shown as yellow in figure 47. In this triangle the height of the solar array can be calculated and so can the altitude angle of the sun at a certain time on a certain day. Then using trigonometry, Length X can be solved for using tangent as shown in equation 14 . Figure 47 shows the second triangle in red. In this triangle, Length $X$ is known, and the azimuth angle can be calculated. With that information, the shadow length can be calculated using sine as shown in equation 15. Then the arrays should be place further apart than the shadow length. This method was used when calculating the interrow spacing in designs 5 and 6.


Figure 47: Triangles for Calculating Shadow Length of Single Axis Tracking Modules [18]

## Part 1: Yellow Triangle

Tan(Altitude Angle) $=$ Array Height $/$ Length X
Length X = Array Height / Tan(Altitude Angle)
Part 2: Red Triangle
Sin(Azimuth Angle $)=$ Shadow Length $/$ Length $X$
Shadow Length $=$ Length $\mathrm{X} \times \operatorname{Sin}($ Azimuth Angle $)$

## 17. DESIGN 5 \& DESIGN 6 - SINGLE AXIS TRACKING

Figure 48 shows the base format of design 5 which has 3 strings of modules per inverter. Figure 49 shows the base format of design 6 which has 2 strings of modules per inverter. Because the only difference between these designs is the number of strings connected to the inverter, the shadow length calculation will be the same for both designs.


Figure 48: Design 5 (3 String) - Base Design Layout


Figure 49: Design 6 (2 String) - Base Design Layout

### 17.1 Calculation of Height and Length of Solar Module Array:

For both the designs of the single axis tracking modules, the modules will be in single rows as shown in figure 50 . Figure 50 shows the height and length measurements that need to be calculated. The solar modules will have a height of 0.5 m off the ground to promote airflow, clear any brush, and allow for any extra mounting requirements [17].


Figure 50: Design 5 \& 6 - Height and Length of the Array

## Calculation of Height:

$\operatorname{Sin}\left(46.95^{\circ}\right)=H / 1.821 \mathrm{~m}$
$H=1.821 m x \operatorname{Sin}\left(46.95^{\circ}\right)$
$\mathrm{H}=1.33 \mathrm{~m}$
Total Height $=1.33 \mathrm{~m}+0.5 \mathrm{~m}$
Total Height $=1.83 \mathrm{~m}$

Calculation of Length:
$\operatorname{Cos}\left(46.95^{\circ}\right)=\mathrm{L} / 1.821 \mathrm{~m}$
$\mathrm{L}=1.821 \mathrm{~m} \times \operatorname{Cos}\left(46.95^{\circ}\right)$
$\mathrm{L}=1.24 \mathrm{~m}$

Figure 51 shows the height and length measurements in these designs. Because the modules are lifted 0.5 m , the total height of the modules is 1.83 m .


Figure 51: Design 5 \& 6 - Height and Length of the Array

### 17.2 Calculation of Interrow Spacing at 10am December 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ [18]:

Reference chapter 16 for depictions of the yellow and red triangles.
Part 1: Yellow Triangle
Tan(Altitude Angle) $=$ Array Height / Length X
Length X = Array Height / Tan(Altitude Angle)
Length $\mathrm{X}=1.83 \mathrm{~m} / \operatorname{Tan}\left(25.37^{\circ}\right)$
Length $\mathrm{X}=3.86 \mathrm{~m}$
Part 2: Red Triangle
Sin(Azimuth Angle) $=$ Shadow Length / Length X
Shadow Length $=3.86 \mathrm{~m} \times \operatorname{Sin}($ Azimuth Angle $)$
Shadow Length $=3.86 \mathrm{~m} \times \operatorname{Sin}\left(30.51^{\circ}\right)$
Shadow Length $=1.96 \mathrm{~m}$

### 17.3 Calculation of Interrow Spacing at 2pm December 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ [18]:

Reference chapter 16 for depictions of the yellow and red triangles.
Part 1: Yellow Triangle
Tan(Altitude Angle) $=$ Array Height $/$ Length X
Length X = Array Height $/$ Tan(Altitude Angle)
Length $\mathrm{X}=1.83 \mathrm{~m} / \operatorname{Tan}\left(25.37^{\circ}\right)$
Length $X=3.86 \mathrm{~m}$
Part 2: Red Triangle
Sin(Azimuth Angle) $=$ Shadow Length $/$ Length X
Shadow Length $=$ Length $\mathrm{X} \times \operatorname{Sin}($ Azimuth Angle $)$
Shadow Length $=3.86 \mathrm{mx} \mathrm{Sin}\left(30.51^{\circ}\right)$
Shadow Length $=1.96 \mathrm{~m}$
The shadow length at 10 am and 2 pm is the same at 1.96 m . To prevent shading the arrays for these two designs will be placed 2 meters apart as shown in figure 52 and figure 54. It is important to note the array length and the module length is shorter than is shown. Therefore figure 52 and figure 54 overcompensates the space the modules will take up. Figures 53 and 55 show a 3D visual aid to help show what the solar panels would look like on the property.


Figure 52: Design 5 - Module Layout, 30 Inverters, 720 Modules


Figure 53: Design 5-3D Module Visual Aid, 30 Inverters, 720 Modules


Figure 54: Design 6 - Module Layout, 50 Inverters, 800 Modules


Figure 55: Design 6-3D Module Visual Aid, 50 Inverters, 800 Modules

## 18. DESIGN SIMULATION RESULTS AND COMPARISON

All designs will be compared based on the project requirements in chapter 1. The location input for all design simulations was Palmdale, California. For all fixed tilt designs a tilt angle of $34.6^{\circ}$ towards the south was inputted. Module simulation inputs and inverter simulation inputs are shown below in tables 4 and 5 .

Table 4: Module Simulation Inputs Used for All Design Simulations

|  | Simulation Inputs |
| :---: | :---: |
| Module Area [m²] | 1.85 |
| Nominal Operating Cell Temperature $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | 44 |
| Maximum Power Point Voltage ( $\mathrm{Vmp}_{\mathrm{mp}}$ ) $[\mathbf{V}]$ | 42.4 |
| Maximum Power Point Current (Imp) [A] | 9.56 |
| Open Circuit Voltage ( $\mathrm{V}_{\text {oc }}$ ) [V] | 48.9 |
| Short Circuit Current (Isc) [A] | 10.14 |
| Temperature Coefficient of $\mathrm{V}_{\text {oc }}\left[\% /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | -0.24 |
| Temperature Coefficient of $\mathrm{I}_{\text {sc }}\left[\% /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ] | 0.04 |
| Temp. Coefficient of Max. Power Point $\left[\% /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | -0.26 |
| Number of Cells in Series | 132 |

Table 5: Inverter Simulation Inputs Used for All Design Simulations

| Maximum AC Output Power [Wac] | 5000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Weighted Efficiency | $97.5 \%$ |
| Nominal AC Voltage [Vac] | 208 |
| Maximum DC Voltage [Vdc] | 480 |
| Maximum DC Current [Adc] | 12 |
| Minimum MPPT DC Voltage [Vdc] | 50 |
| Nominal DC Voltage [V] | 120 |
| Maximum MPPT DC Voltage [Vdc] | 480 |

Table 6 below shows the individual design simulation inputs which include the number of modules and inverter in each design as well as if the modules are fixed or single axis tracking.

Table 6: Individual Design Simulation Inputs

|  | Design 1 <br> 3 Strings <br> Fixed Tilt | Design 2 <br> 3 Strings <br> Fixed Tilt | Design 3 <br> 2 Strings <br> Fixed Tilt | Design 4 <br> 2 strings <br> Fixed Tilt | Design 5 - <br> 3 Strings <br> Single Axis <br> Tracking | Design 6 - <br> 2 Strings <br> Single Axis <br> Tracking |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Modules | 624 | 576 | 576 | 640 | 720 | 800 |
| Inverters | 26 | 24 | 36 | 40 | 30 | 50 |
| Tracking | Fixed at | Fixed at | Fixed at | Fixed at | 1 Axis | 1 Axis |
| and | $34.6^{\circ}$ | $34.6^{\circ}$ | $34.6^{\circ}$ | $34.6^{\circ}$ | Backtracking | Backtracking |
| Orientation | South | South | South | South |  |  |

SAM provides default values for losses such as soiling, module mismatch, diodes and connections, DC wiring, tracking error, nameplate, DC power optimizer, and AC wiring. These default losses are show below in table 7.

Table 7: SAM Default Percentage Losses

| $[\%]$ | Design <br> 1-3 <br> Strings <br> Fixed <br> Tilt | Design 2 - <br> 3 Strings <br> Fixed Tilt | Design 3- <br> 2 Strings <br> Fixed Tilt | Design 4- <br> 2 Sitrings <br> Fixed Tilt | Design 5 - <br> 3 Strings <br> Single Axis <br> Tracking | Design 6 - <br> 2 Strings <br> Single Axis <br> Tracking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Soiling | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Module <br> Mismatch | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Diodes and <br> Connections | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| DC Wiring | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Tracking <br> Error | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nameplate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DC Power <br> Optimizer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AC Wiring | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Figures 56-61 display each designs simulation result metric and the value. In table 9 , these metrics are compared to each to determine the most suitable design.

| Metric | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Annual energy (year 1) | $386,897 \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Capacity factor (year 1) | $17.5 \%$ |
| Energy yield (year 1) | $1,530 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{kW}$ |
| Performance ratio (year 1) | 0.61 |
| Levelized COE (nominal) | $3.66 \Phi / \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Levelized COE (real) | $2.91 \mathrm{\Phi} / \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Electricity bill without system (year 1$) \$ 104,614$ |  |
| Electricity bill with system (year 1) | $\$ 71,797$ |
| Net savings with system (year 1) | $\$ 32,817$ |
| Net present value | $\$ 148,170$ |
| Simple payback period | 10.5 years |
| Discounted payback period | 23.0 years |
| Net capital cost | $\$ 434,858$ |
| Equity | $\$ 0$ |
| Debt | $\$ 434,858$ |

Figure 56: Design 1 (3 String) - Fixed Tilt Simulation Results

| Metric | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Annual energy (year 1) | 364,691 kWh |
| Capacity factor (year 1) | 17.8\% |
| Energy yield (year 1) | 1,562 kWh/kW |
| Performance ratio (year 1) | 0.63 |
| Levelized COE (nominal) | 3.58 ¢/kWh |
| Levelized COE (real) | 2.85 ¢/kWh |
| Electricity bill without system (year 1) | \$104,614 |
| Electricity bill with system (year 1) | \$73,255 |
| Net savings with system (year 1) | \$31,360 |
| Net present value | \$146,064 |
| Simple payback period | 10.1 years |
| Discounted payback period | 20.9 years |
| Net capital cost | \$401,408 |
| Equity | \$0 |
| Debt | \$401,408 |

Figure 57: Design 2 (3 String) - Fixed Tilt Simulation Results

| Metric | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Annual energy (year 1) | $447,464 \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Capacity factor (year 1) | $21.9 \%$ |
| Energy yield (year 1) | $1,917 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{kW}$ |
| Performance ratio (year 1) | 0.77 |
| Levelized COE (nominal) | $2.97 \mathrm{\Phi} / \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Levelized COE (real) | $2.37 \Phi / \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Electricity bill without system (year 1 ) $\$ 104,614$ |  |
| Electricity bill with system (year 1) | $\$ 68,296$ |
| Net savings with system (year 1) | $\$ 36,318$ |
| Net present value | $\$ 184,480$ |
| Simple payback period | 8.6 years |
| Discounted payback period | 15.2 years |
| Net capital cost | $\$ 401,408$ |
| Equity | $\$ 0$ |
| Debt | $\$ 401,408$ |

Figure 58: Design 3 (2 String) - Fixed Tilt Simulation Results

| Metric | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Annual energy (year 1) | $497,126 \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Capacity factor (year 1) | $21.9 \%$ |
| Energy yield (year 1) | $1,916 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{kW}$ |
| Performance ratio (year 1) | 0.77 |
| Levelized COE (nominal) | $2.97 \Phi / \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Levelized COE (real) | $2.37 \Phi / \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Electricity bill without system (year 1$)$ | $\$ 104,614$ |
| Electricity bill with system (year 1) | $\$ 64,693$ |
| Net savings with system (year 1) | $\$ 39,921$ |
| Net present value | $\$ 201,217$ |
| Simple payback period | 8.7 years |
| Discounted payback period | 15.5 years |
| Net capital cost | $\$ 446,008$ |
| Equity | $\$ 0$ |
| Debt | $\$ 446,008$ |

Figure 59: Design 4 (2 String) - Fixed Tilt Simulation Results

| Metric | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Annual energy (year 1) | $494,575 \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Capacity factor (year 1) | $19.3 \%$ |
| Energy yield (year 1) | $1,695 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{kW}$ |
| Performance ratio (year 1) | 0.61 |
| Levelized COE (nominal) | $3.29 \$ / \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Levelized COE (real) | $2.62 \$ / \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Electricity bill without system (year 1 ) $\$ 104,614$ |  |
| Electricity bill with system (year 1) | $\$ 63,166$ |
| Net savings with system (year 1) | $\$ 41,449$ |
| Net present value | $\$ 203,573$ |
| Simple payback period | 9.4 years |
| Discounted payback period | 18.0 years |
| Net capital cost | $\$ 501,759$ |
| Equity | $\$ 0$ |
| Debt | $\$ 501,759$ |

Figure 60: Design 5 (3 String) - Single Axis Tracking Simulation Results

| Metric | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Annual energy (year 1) | $701,728 \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Capacity factor (year 1) | $24.7 \%$ |
| Energy yield (year 1) | $2,164 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{kW}$ |
| Performance ratio (year 1) | 0.78 |
| Levelized COE (nominal) | $2.63 ₫ / \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Levelized COE (real) | $2.09 ₫ / \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Electricity bill without system (year 1) $\$ 104,614$ |  |
| Electricity bill with system (year 1) | $\$ 52,805$ |
| Net savings with system (year 1) | $\$ 51,810$ |
| Net present value | $\$ 277,590$ |
| Simple payback period | 8.3 years |
| Discounted payback period | 14.0 years |
| Net capital cost | $\$ 557,510$ |
| Equity | $\$ 0$ |
| Debt | $\$ 557,510$ |

Figure 61: Design 6 (2 String) - Single Axis Tracking

Table 8 bellow shows the simulation result for the losses in each design,
excluding the power clipping losses which is shown in table 9 . Losses shown in table 8 shows very similar losses for all designs. Therefore, these losses were not considered when determining the most suitable design according to project requirements. Losses for inverter MPPT clipping, DC availability and curtailment, inverter power consumption, AC availability and curtailment, snow, tracking error, nameplate, DC power optimizer, and transformer losses all resulted in a $0 \%$ loss.

Table 8: Design Percentage Losses from Simulation

| [\%] | Design <br> $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ <br> Strings <br> Fixed <br> Tilt | Design 2 - <br> 3 Strings <br> Fixed Tilt | Design 3- <br> 2 Strings <br> Fixed Tilt | Design 4- <br> 2 Strings <br> Fixed Tilt | Design 5 - <br> 3 Strings <br> Single <br> Axis <br> Tracking | Design 6 - <br> 2 Strings <br> Single <br> Axis <br> Tracking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shading | 2.57 | 0 | 2.56 | 2.57 | 2.033 | 2.044 |
| Reflection | 2.512 | 2.752 | 2.513 | 2.512 | 1.892 | 1.89 |
| Module <br> Deviation <br> From STC | 5.546 | 4.842 | 5.541 | 5.546 | 4.923 | 4.923 |
| Inverter <br> Nighttime <br> Consumption | 0.023 | 0.022 | 0.033 | 0.033 | 0.021 | 0.029 |
| Inverter <br> Efficiency | 3.019 | 3.041 | 2.554 | 2.554 | 3.002 | 2.537 |

From looking at table 9, design 6 has the most suitable design characteristics according to the project requirements. The next best deign would be design 4 followed by design 3. Design 3, 4, and 6 have a DC to AC ratio in an acceptable range between 1.3 to 1.6, while designs 1,2 , and 5 exceed the maximum DC to AC ratio for this project. A high DC to AC ratio will increase the inverters power clipping losses which is why designs 1,2 , and 5 , have an increased amount of power clipping losses. The high DC to AC ratio in designs 1,2 and 5 are because the modules are producing more power than
the inverter can convert. This comes from a mismatch in module to inverter sizing for those designs.

Only design 6 has a capacity factor that meets an acceptable range of more than $24.6 \%$. Design 6 also produces the most energy, has the highest nameplate DC capacity, highest energy yield, and lowest levelized cost of energy. Design 6 does have the highest cost, but cost was not a limiting factor in this analysis. Therefore, a battery energy storage system will be added to design 6 .

Table 9: Design Simulation Comparison

|  | Design 1- <br> 3 Strings <br> Fixed Tilt | Design 2 - <br> 3 Strings <br> Fixed Tilt | Design 3 - <br> 2 Strings <br> Fixed Tilt | Design 4- <br> 2 Strings <br> Fixed Tilt | Design 5 - <br> 3 Strings <br> Single <br> Axis <br> Tracking | Design 6 - <br> 2 Strings <br> Single <br> Axis <br> Tracking |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DC to AC <br> Ratio | 1.95 | 1.95 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.95 | 1.3 |
| Inverter <br> Power <br> Clipping | 17.18 | 17.788 | 2.106 | 2.105 | 16.716 | 1.448 |
| Capacity <br> Factor [\%] | 17.5 | 17.8 | 21.9 | 21.9 | 19.3 | 24.7 |
| Levelized <br> Cost of <br> Energy <br> [cents/kWh] | 2.91 | 2.85 | 2.37 | 2.37 | 2.62 | 2.09 |
| Annual <br> Energy <br> Production <br> $[\mathbf{k W h ] ~}$ | 386,897 | 364,691 | 447,464 | 497,126 | 494,575 | 701,728 |
| Net Capital <br> Cost [\$] | 434,858 | 401,408 | 401,408 | 446,008 | 501,759 | 557,510 |

## 19. BATTERY ANALYSIS [20]

The simulation results from SAM list the predicted hourly power production for 25 years. Looking at the first year of this data for design 6 (2 string) single axis tracking the design shows the maximum energy producing day is June $21^{\text {st }}$ and the minimum energy producing day is December $21^{\text {st }}$. To properly size the battery, the worst-case scenario will be considered, which would be the day the most energy is produced, June $21^{\text {st }}$, since the largest energy storage system will be needed to compensate for that day. Each inverter has a maximum compatible battery pack size of 30 kWh per inverter and there are 50 inverters in this design, therefore there will be a maximum capacity of 1500 kWh battery storage for the design. Table 10 bellow shows the battery requirements from the inverter datasheet. A battery energy storage system was also designed for design 5 and is shown in appendix section I.

Table 10: Battery Requirements from Inverter Datasheet

| Compatible Battery Pack Size | $5-30 \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rated I/O Power | 5000 W |
| Peak I/O Power | 6000 W |
| Acceptable Input Voltage Range | $350 \mathrm{~V}-480 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| Rated I/O Current | 15 A |
| Peak I/O Current (30s) | 18 A |
| Cycle Efficiency Charging to <br> Discharging (PCS Only) | Peak $>95 \%$ |
| Fuse Rating | 30A |
| Battery Terminal | Screw Type |

Table 11: Hourly kW on June $21^{\text {st }}$ SAM Simulation Design 6

| Time of Day | Predicted Power Production [kW] |
| :---: | :---: |
| 6 am | 35.07 |
| 7 am | 135.27 |
| 8 am | 233.80 |
| 9 am | 247.50 |
| 10 am | 247.50 |
| 11 am | 247.50 |
| 12 pm | 246.58 |
| 1 pm | 246.25 |
| 2 pm | 246.34 |
| 3 pm | 247 |
| 4 pm | 247.38 |
| 5 pm | 216.20 |
| 6 pm | 114.97 |
| 7 pm | 21.78 |

Table 12: Hourly kW on December $21^{\text {st }}$ SAM Simulation Design 6

| Time of Day | Predicted Power Production [kW] |
| :---: | :---: |
| 8 am | 19.57 |
| 9 am | 107.89 |
| 10 am | 178.84 |
| 11 am | 164.93 |
| 12 pm | 121.94 |
| 1 pm | 157.27 |
| 2 pm | 169.57 |
| 3 pm | 168.50 |
| 4 pm | 79.73 |
| 5 pm | 4.18 |

Maximum Capacity: 1500kWh
Peak Load Shaving on Year 1 June $21^{\text {st }}$ (9am-2pm): 1,481.67kWh
Peak Load Shaving on Year 1 December $21^{\text {st }}$ ( $8 \mathrm{am}-5 \mathrm{pm}$ ): 1,172,42kWh

From looking at the data above, on June $21^{\text {st }}$ charging the batteries between the hours of 9 am to 2 pm would need a battery energy storage system capable of storing $1,481.67 \mathrm{kWh}$, which would be just under the maximum capacity of the battery energy storage system. Therefore, the maximum 1500 kWh should be installed for this design.

## 20. CONCLUSION

The goal of this thesis was to design an efficient solar farm with a battery energy storage system for a farmer in California. Six different designs were created and compared, including four fixed tilt designs and two single axis tracking designs. Shading calculations were done to prevent shading between the hours of 10am and 2 pm on December $21^{\text {st }}$. These calculations used the module angle of tilt, height of the array, altitude angle, and azimuth angle. When comparing the simulation of these designs using System Advisor Model (SAM), design 6 (2 string) single axis tracking had the best outputs that met the project requirements. This included the highest energy production, DC to AC ratio and capacity factor in an acceptable range, and lowest levelized cost of energy. Therefore, a battery energy storage system was sized and added to design 6 (2 string) single axis tracking design. Future work for this project would include adding a rapid shut down (RSD) device, defining the DC and AC cables, determining a wire size, adding a switchyard, and picking out an available battery for the energy storage system [21]. In addition, designs 1,2 , and 5 could possibly be improved by changing the inverter to a more appropriate size for the amount of power produced by the modules, thus reducing the DC to AC ratio and clipping losses for those designs. More future work would include an in-depth analysis of the economic side of the designs, such as when the design would become profitable.
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## APPENDICES

## A. Module Efficiency in SAM

Table 13: Modules in SAM Ordered by Efficiency.

| Module Name | Efficiency [\%] |
| :---: | :---: |
| Seraphim Energy Group Inc. SEG-445-BMA-BG | 23.8032 |
| Seraphim Energy Group Inc. SEG-440-BMA-BG | 23.5338 |
| Seraphim Energy Group Inc. SEG-435-BMA-BG | 23.2782 |
| Seraphim Energy Group Inc. SEG-430-BMA-BG | 23.0116 |
| SunPower SPR-A425-COM | 22.8867 |
| SunPower SPR-A425-COM-MLSD |  |
| REC Solar REC385AA | 22.7901 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG380A1C-V5 | 22.7759 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG380Q1C-V5 |  |
| Seraphim Energy Group Inc. SEG-425-BMA-BG | 22.7465 |
| SunPower SPR-X22-370 | 22.7065 |
| SunPower SPR-X22-370-D-AC |  |
| SunPower SPR-X22-370-E-AC |  |
|  | 22.6973 |
| SunPower SPR-X22-370-COM |  |
| SunPower SPR-X22-370-COM-MLSD |  |
| SunPower SPR-A460-COM | 22.6420 |
| SunPower SPR-A420-COM | 22.5882 |
| SunPower SPR-A420-COM-MLSD |  |
| REC Solar REC380AA | 22.4993 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG375A1C-V5 | 22.4759 |
| SunPower SPR-A425 | 22.4727 |
| SunPower SPR-A425-G-AC |  |
| SunPower SPR-A425-MLSD |  |
| Seraphim Energy Group Inc. SEG-420-BMA-BG | 22.4612 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG375Q1C-V5 | 22.4319 |
| SunPower SPR-A415-COM | 22.3394 |
| SunPower SPR-A415-COM-MLSD |  |
| SunPower SPR-A420 | 22.2545 |


| SunPower SPR-A420-G-AC |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| SunPower SPR-A420-MLSD |  |
| SunPower SPR-X22-480-COM | 22.2370 |
| REC Solar REC375AA | 22.2327 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG370Q1K-V5 | 22.2086 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG370A1C-A5 | 22.1778 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG370A1C-V5 |  |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG370Q1C-A5 | 22.1381 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG370Q1C-V5 | 22.1344 |
| Silfab Solar Inc. SIL-420NT | 22.1184 |
| SunPower SPR-A450-COM | 22.1084 |
| SunPower SPR-A410-COM | 22.0906 |
| SunPower SPR-A410-COM-MLSD |  |
| SunPower SPR-X22-360-E-AC | 22.0837 |
| SunPower SPR-X22-360 | 22.0809 |
| SunPower SPR-X22-360-COM-MLSD |  |
| SunPower SPR-X22-360-C-AC | 22.0701 |
| SunPower SPR-X22-360-COM |  |
| SunPower SPR-X22-360-D-AC |  |
| SunPower SPR-X22-475-COM | 22.0395 |
| SunPower SPR-A415 | 22.0364 |
| SunPower SPR-A415-G-AC |  |
| SunPower SPR-A415-MLSD |  |
| SunPower SPR-X22-359 | 22.0321 |
| Hanwha Q CELL Q PEAK DUO BLK ML-G9+400 | 22.0147 |
| Intregrated Solar Technology STS-105MB4U | 22.0115 |
| Silfab Solar Inc. SLA320BC | 21.9603 |
| Hanwha Q CELL Q PEAK DUO XL-G9 470 | 21.9575 |
| Hanwha Q CELL Q PEAK DUO XL-G9.2 470 |  |
| Hanwha Q CELL Q PEAK DUO XL-G9.3 470 |  |
| LONGi Green Energy Technology Co.Ltd. LR4-72HPH-465M | 21.9436 |
| Silfab Solar Inc. SIL-430HU | 21.9138 |
| REC Solar REC370AA | 21.9089 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG365A1K-V5 | 21.8749 |


| LG Electronics Inc. LG365Q1K-V5 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG365A1C-A5 | 21.8662 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG365A1C-V5 |  |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG365Q1C-A5 | 21.8644 |
| Silfab Solar Inc. SIL-415NT | 21.8495 |
| REC Solar REC450AA 72 | 21.8464 |
| REC Solar REC450AA 72 XV |  |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG365Q1C-V5 | 21.8233 |
| Hanwha Q CELL Q PEAK DUO XL-G9 465 | 21.7551 |
| Hanwha Q CELL Q PEAK DUO XL-G9.2 465 |  |
| Hanwha Q CELL Q PEAK DUO XL-G9.3 465 |  |
| SunPower SPR-X21-A470-COM | 21.7471 |
| SunPower SPR-X22-A470-COM | 21.7270 |
| Hanwha Q CELL Q PEAK DUO ML-G9+395 | 21.7174 |
| REC Solar REC365AA | 21.7143 |
| SunPower SPR-A410 | 21.7123 |
| SunPower SPR-A410-G-AC |  |
| SunPower SPR-A410-MLSD |  |
| LONGi Green Energy Technology Co.Ltd. LR4-72HPH-460M | 21.7010 |
| Silfab Solar Inc. SIL-425HU | 21.6605 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG360Q1K-A5 | 21.6581 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS1 U-430MS | 21.6484 |
| SANYO ELECTRIC CO LTD PANASONIC GROUP VBHN345SA17 | 21.6360 |
| REC Solar REC445AA 72 | 21.6155 |
| REC Solar REC445AA 72 XV |  |
| Silfab Solar Inc. SLA315BC | 21.5938 |
| SunPower SPR-A405-COM | 21.5931 |
| SunPower SPR-A405-COM-MLSD |  |
| REC Solar REC365AA Black | 21.5858 |
| Silfab Solar Inc. SIL-410NT | 21.5821 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG360A1K-V5 | 21.5805 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG360Q1K-V5 |  |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG360A1C-A5 | 21.5722 |
| Caterpillar Inc. PVC455MB03H | 21.5492 |
| LONGi Green Energy Technology Co.Ltd. LR4-72HBD-455M |  |


| SunPower SPR-X21-255 | 21.5448 |
| :--- | :--- |
| SunPower SPR-A400-COM | 21.5434 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG360Q1C-V5 | 21.5299 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG355N1C-V5 |  |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG355N1W-V5 | 21.5282 |
| Chint Solar (Zhejiang) Co. Ltd CHSM72M-HC-430 | 21.5257 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG360Q1C-A5 | 21.5117 |
| Hanwha Q CELL Q PEAK DUO XL-G9+ 460 <br> Hanwha Q CELL Q PEAK DUO XL-G9.2 460 <br> Hanwha Q CELL Q PEAK DUO XL-G9.3 460 | 21.5058 |
| SunPower SPR-A405 |  |
| SunPower SPR-A405-G-AC | 21.4963 |
| SunPower SPR-A405-MLSD | 21.4794 |
| Caterpillar Inc. PVC455MP03H |  |
| LONGi Green Energy Technology Co.Ltd. LR4-72HPH-455M |  |


| LG Electronics Inc. LG355Q1K-A5 | 21.3657 |
| :---: | :---: |
| REC Solar REC440AA 72 | 21.3636 |
| REC Solar REC440AA 72 XV |  |
| SunPower SPR-A395-MLSD |  |
| Caterpillar Inc. PVC450MB03H | 21.3279 |
| LONGi Green Energy Technology Co.Ltd. LR4-72HBD-450M |  |
| Silfab Solar Inc. SIL-405NT | 21.3163 |
| REC Solar REC360AA Black | 21.3038 |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-144-BF23-415W | 21.2885 |
| Prism Solar TechnologiesInc. BHC72-415 |  |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG355A1C-A5 | 21.2801 |
| Chint Solar (Zhejiang) Co. Ltd CHSM72M-HC-425 | 21.2784 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG335Q1K-V5 | 21.2733 |
| Hanwha Q CELL Q PEAK DUO L-G7 415 | 21.2731 |
| Hanwha Q CELL Q PEAK DUO L-G7.2 415 |  |
| Hanwha Q CELL Q PEAK DUO L-G7.3 415 |  |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG425N2W-V5 | 21.2712 |
| Silfab Solar Inc. SLA310BC | 21.2688 |
| SANYO ELECTRIC CO LTD PANASONIC GROUP VBHN340SA17 | 21.2681 |
| Hanwha Q CELL Q PEAK DUO XL-G9 455 | 21.2579 |
| Hanwha Q CELL Q PEAK DUO XL-G9.2 455 |  |
| Hanwha Q CELL Q PEAK DUO XL-G9.3 455 |  |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG335Q1C-A5 | 21.2419 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG350N1C-V5 | 21.2395 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG350N1W-V5 |  |
| Caterpillar Inc. PVC450MP03H | 21.2394 |
| LONGi Green Energy Technology Co.Ltd. LR4-72HPH-450M |  |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG355Q1C-V5 | 21.2383 |
| Solaria Corporation Solaria PowerXT-420R-PM | 21.2364 |
| Silfab Solar Inc. SIL-345BL | 21.2233 |
| Chint Solar (Zhejiang) Co. Ltd CHSM60M-HC-380 | 21.2204 |
| Silfab Solar Inc. SIL-345HL | 21.1902 |
| LONGi Green Energy Technology Co.Ltd. LR4-60HPH-375M | 21.1901 |
| Silfab Solar Inc. SIL-415NU | 21.1806 |
| Silfab Solar Inc. SIL-415HU | 21.1791 |


| Silfab Solar Inc. SIL-345NL | 21.1717 |
| :--- | :--- |
| SunPower SPR-X21-345-COM-MLSD | 21.1623 |
| SunPower SPR-X21-345 | 21.1494 |
| SunPower SPR-X21-345-C-AC |  |
| SunPower SPR-X21-345-COM |  |
| SunPower SPR-X21-345-D-AC |  |
| SunPower SPR-X21-345-E-AC |  |
| SunPower SPR-X22-345-BLK |  |$\quad$| REC Solar REC355AA |
| :--- |


| SunPower SPR-343NJ-WHT-D |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| SunPower SPR-343NX-BLK-D |  |
| SunPower SPR-343NX-WHT-D |  |
| Hanwha Q CELL Q PEAK DUO L-G7 410 | 21.0359 |
| Hanwha Q CELL Q PEAK DUO L-G7.2 410 |  |
| Hanwha Q CELL Q PEAK DUO L-G7.3 410 |  |
| REC Solar REC355AA Black | 21.0237 |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-144-MF23-410W [Wht] | 21.0137 |
| Chint Solar (Zhejiang) Co. Ltd CHSM72M-HC-420 | 21.0124 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG420N2W-V5 | 21.0079 |
| Caterpillar Inc. PVC445MP03H | 21.0007 |
| LONGi Green Energy Technology Co.Ltd. LR472HPH-445M |  |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG350Q1K-V5 | 20.9830 |
| Chint Solar (Zhejiang) Co. Ltd CHSM60M-HC-375 | 20.9632 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS3K-335MS | 20.9544 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS3L-375P | 20.9508 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG345N1C-V5 | 20.9353 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG345N1W-V5 |  |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG345N1C-A5 | 20.9315 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG345N1W-A5 |  |
| Caterpillar Inc. PVC370MP03HA | 20.9211 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS1U-415MS | 20.8899 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS1H-340MS | 20.8798 |
| China Sunergy (Nanjing) Co.Ltd.CSUN405-72MH5 | 20.8771 |
| China Sunergy (Nanjing) Co.Ltd.CSUN405-72MH5BB |  |
| China Sunergy (Nanjing) Co.Ltd.CSUN405-72MH5BW |  |
| China Sunergy (Nanjing) Co.Ltd.CSUN405-72MM5 |  |
| China Sunergy (Nanjing) Co.Ltd.CSUN405-72MM5BB |  |
| China Sunergy (Nanjing) Co.Ltd.CSUN405-72MM5BW |  |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG345N1C-Z5 | 20.8683 |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-120-MF23-340W [Wht] | 20.8585 |
| Caterpillar Inc. PVC440MB03H | 20.8498 |


| Canadian Solar Inc. CS3U-400MS | 20.8463 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Hansol Technics Co.Ltd. HS435UE-JH2 | 20.8249 |
| Hansol Technics Co.Ltd. HS335UB-JH2 [Wht] | 20.8202 |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-144-MF23-405W [Wht] | 20.7944 |
| CertainTeed CT405HC00-04 |  |
| CertainTeed CT405HC11-04 |  |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG345Q1K-A5 | 20.7718 |
| Caterpillar Inc. PVC440MP03H | 20.7633 |
| Chint Solar (Zhejiang) Co. Ltd CHSM72M-HC-415 | 20.7553 |
| Chint Solar (Zhejiang) Co. Ltd CHSM72M(DG)/F-BH-450 | 20.7425 |
| Hansol Technics Co.Ltd. HS400UD-JH2 | 20.7417 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-455S-H-HC | 20.7289 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS1K-340MS | 20.7176 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG345Q1K-V5 | 20.7162 |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-120-BF23-340W | 20.7132 |
| AXITEC AC-410MH/144S | 20.6953 |
| AXITEC AC-410MH/144V |  |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-400-H | 20.6802 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-W5-400-H |  |
| Chint Solar (Zhejiang) Co. Ltd CHSM60M-HC-370 | 20.6849 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS3L-370P | 20.6765 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS3K-330MS | 20.6413 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS1U-410MS | 20.6399 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-410L-H | 20.6367 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS3K-330P | 20.6366 |
| China Sunergy (Nanjing) Co.Ltd.CSUN400-72MH5 | 20.6288 |
| China Sunergy (Nanjing) Co.Ltd.CSUN400-72MH5BB |  |
| China Sunergy (Nanjing) Co.Ltd.CSUN400-72MH5BW |  |
| China Sunergy (Nanjing) Co.Ltd.CSUN400-72MM5 |  |
| China Sunergy (Nanjing) Co.Ltd.CSUN400-72MM5BB |  |
| China Sunergy (Nanjing) Co.Ltd.CSUN400-72MM5BW |  |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG345Q1C-A5 | 20.6283 |
| LG Electronics Inc. LG345Q1C-V5 | 20.6249 |
| Caterpillar Inc. PVC365MP03HA | 20.6243 |
| Caterpillar Inc. PVC435MB03H | 20.6127 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS3W-445PB-AG | 20.6063 |


| Canadian Solar Inc. CS1H-335MS | 20.5874 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS3U-395P | 20.5854 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS3U-395MS | 20.5749 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6610M-W5-335-H | 20.5688 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS3U-405MB-AG | 20.5624 |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-120-MF23-335W [Wht] | 20.5301 |
| Chint Solar (Zhejiang) Co. Ltd CHSM72M(DG)/F-BH-445 | 20.5283 |
| Caterpillar Inc. PVC435MP03H | 20.5272 |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-144-MF23-400W [Wht] | 20.5255 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-450S-H-HC | 20.5113 |
| AXITEC AC-340MH/120S | 20.4815 |
| AXITEC AC-340MH/120V |  |
| AXITEC AC-405MH/144S | 20.4793 |
| AXITEC AC-405MH/144V | 20.4655 |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-144-BF23-405W | 20.4615 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS1K-335MS | 20.4500 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-455S-H-HC-BF |  |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-455S-H-HC- <br> BF-DG |  |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-410L-H-BF | 20.4313 |
| AU Optronics PM096B00333 | 20.4245 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-395-H | 20.4124 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-W5-395-H |  |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd <br> AS 6P-315W | 20.3977 |
| Caterpillar Inc. PVC430MB03H | 20.3962 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS1U-405MS | 20.3914 |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-144-MF23-410W [B1k] | 20.3864 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-405L-H | 20.3728 |
| Caterpiller Inc. PVC360MP03HA | 20.3492 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS3K-325MS | 20.3303 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-410L-H-BF-DG | 20.3302 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS3U-390MS | 20.3263 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS3K-325P | 20.3253 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS3U-400MB-AG | 20.3172 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS3U-390P | 20.3150 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-445S-H-HC | 20.2815 |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-144-MF23-395W [Wht] | 20.2792 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS1H-330MS | 20.2616 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS6K-320P |  |


| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6610M-330-H <br> Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6610M-W5-330-H | 20.2614 |
| :--- | :--- |
| AU Optronics PM096B00330 | 20.2568 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-450S-H-HC-BF <br> Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-450S-H-HC- <br> BF-DG | 20.2353 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-410L-H-HC | 20.2300 |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-120-MF23-330 [Wht] | 20.2244 |
| AXITEC AC-400MH/144S | 20.2145 |
| AXITEC AC-400MH/144V |  |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-144-BF23-400W | 20.2020 |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-120-BF23-335W | 20.1808 |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-144-MF23-405W [Blk] | 20.1736 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-405L-H-BF | 20.1700 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-400L-H | 20.1606 |
| AXITEC AC-335MH/120S | 20.1591 |
| AXITEC AC-335MH/120V | 20.1462 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-390-H | 20.9719 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-W5-390-H | 20.1415 |
| Canadian Solar Inc. CS1K-330MS | 20.1179 |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-120-MF23-340W [Blk] | 20.0761 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6610M-335L-H | 20.0751 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd <br> AS 6P-310W | 20.0502 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-405L-H-BF-DG | 20.0702 |
| AU Optronics PM096B00327 | 20.0555 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-440S-H-HC | 20.0531 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-410L-H-HC-BF | 20.0327 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-410L-H-HC- <br> BF-DG |  |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-144-MF23-390W [Wht] | 20.0135 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-445S-H-HC-BF | 20.0087 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6612M-445S-H-HC- <br> BF-DG |  |
| Auxin Solar AXN6M610T325 |  |


| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6610M-325-H | 19.9561 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6610M-W5-325-H |  |
| AU Optronics PM096B00325 | 19.9214 |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-120-MF23-325W [Wht] | 19.9209 |
| Bluesun Solar Energy Tech Co.LtdBSM385M-72 | 19.8721 |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-120-BF23-330W | 19.8600 |
| AXITEC AC-330MH/120S | 19.8589 |
| AXITEC AC-330MH/120V |  |
| Auxin Solar AXN6M610T385 | 19.8519 |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-120-MF23-335W [Blk] | 19.8012 |
| AU Optronics PM096B00323 | 19.7872 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd AS 6P-305W | 19.7636 |
| AXITEC AC-390MH/144S | 19.7103 |
| AXITEC AC-390MH/144V |  |
| Auxin Solar AXN6M610T320 | 19.6665 |
| AU Optronics PM096B00320 | 19.6531 |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6610M-320-H |  |
| Boviet Solar Technology Co.Ltd. BVM6610M-W5-320-H |  |
| Bluesun Solar Energy Tech Co.LtdBSM320M-60 | 19.6507 |
| Bluesun Solar Energy Tech Co.LtdBSM380M-72 | 19.6289 |
| Ap Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-120-MF23-320W [Wht] | 19.6178 |
| Andalay Solar TW-250-1-AC2-D-B | 19.5998 |
| Andalay Solar TW-250-1-DC4-0-B |  |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-120-BF23-325W | 19.5808 |
| AXITEC AC-325MH/120S | 19.5609 |
| AXITEC AC-325MH/120V |  |
| AU Optronics PM096B00318 | 19.5189 |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-120-MF23-330W [Blk] | 19.5064 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd AS 6P-300W | 19.4071 |
| Auxin Solar AXN6M610T315 | 19.3562 |
| AU Optronics PM096B00315 | 19.3177 |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-120-BF23-320W | 19.2831 |
| Aptos Solar Technology LLC DNA-120-MF23-325W [Blk] | 19.2137 |
| Andalay Solar TW-245-1-DC4-0-B | 19.1917 |


| Andalay Solar TW-245-1-AC2-D-B |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| AU Optronics PM096B00313 | 19.1485 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd AS 6P-295W | 19.0986 |
| Advanced Power API-M370 | 19.0800 |
| Anhui Rinengzhongtian Semiconductor Development Co.Ltd QJM370-72 | 19.0663 |
| AU Optronics PM096B00310 | 19.0159 |
| Aleo Solar P19Y310 | 18.9081 |
| Aleo Solar S19Y310 |  |
| Aleo Solar S59Y310 |  |
| Advanced Power API-M365 | 18.8114 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd AS 6P-290W | 18.7915 |
| Aleo Solar P19Y305 | 18.5763 |
| Aleo Solar S19Y305 |  |
| Aleo Solar S59Y305 |  |
| Aleo Solar S79Y305 |  |
| Advanced Power API-M360 | 18.5439 |
| Advanced Power API-M355 | 18.3251 |
| Aleo Solar P19Y300 | 18.2870 |
| Aleo Solar P19Y300 |  |
| Aleo Solar S59Y300 |  |
| Aleo Solar S79Y300 |  |
| Advanced Power API-M350 | 18.0593 |
| Aleo Solar P19Y295 | 17.9456 |
| Aleo Solar S19Y295 |  |
| Aleo Solar S59Y295 |  |
| Aleo Solar S79Y295 |  |
| Ablytek 6MN6A290 | 17.8252 |
| Advanced Power API-M345 | 17.7748 |
| Advanced Power API-M340 | 17.6891 |
| Aleo Solar P19Y290 | 17.6217 |
| Aleo Solar S19y290 |  |


| Aleo Solar S59y290 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Aleo Solar S79Y290 |  |
| Ablytek 6MN6A285 | 17.5188 |
| Aleo Solar P19Y285 | 17.3360 |
| Aleo Solar S19y285 |  |
| Aleo Solar S59y285 |  |
| Aleo Solar S79y285 |  |
| Advanced Power API-P335 | 17.3270 |
| Advanced Power API-M335 | 17.2763 |
| Ablytek 6MN6A280 | 17.2151 |
| Aleo Solar S59y280 | 17.0337 |
| Aleo Solar S79y280 |  |
| Aleo Solar S19y280 | 17.0213 |
| Advanced Power API-P330 | 17.0085 |
| Advanced Power API-M330 | 17.0039 |
| Ablytek 6MN6A275 | 16.914 |
| Aleo Solar S19y275 | 16.7989 |
| Advanced Power API-P325 | 16.7572 |
| Aleo Solar P19Y275 | 16.7141 |
| Aleo Solar P79y275 | 15.6837 |
| Ablytek 6MN6A270 | 15.6437 |
| Alfasolar Alfasolar M6L60-265 |  |
| Aleo Solar S19Y270 | 16.2664 |
| Advanced Power API-P320 | 16.2393 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-315P | 16.1485 |
| Alfasolar Alfasolar M6L60-260 | 16.1441 |
| Advanced Power API-P315 | 16.0328 |
| Advanced Power API-M315 | 15.9531 |
| Aleo Solar P18Y265 | 15.58038 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-310P | 16.5317 |
| Alfasolar Alfasolar M6L60-255 | 15.87918 |
| Advanced Power API-M310 | 16.7976 |
| Advanced Power API-P310 |  |
| Advanced Power API-M260 |  |
| Aleo Solar P18y260 |  |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-305P |  |
| Advanced Power API-P305 |  |
| Alfasolar Alfasolar P6L60-250 |  |


| Alfasolar Alfasolar M6L60-250 | 15.6417 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Advanced Power API-M305 | 15.6403 |
| Advanced Power API-M255 | 15.5989 |
| Advanced Power API-P255 | 15.5681 |
| Advanced Power API-P300 | 15.5389 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-300M | 15.5147 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-300P | 15.5119 |
| Aleo Solar P18y255 | 15.5053 |
| Advanced Power API-M300 | 15.3769 |
| American Value SM260-5M | 15.3750 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd <br> AS-6M27-225W | 15.3536 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd <br> AS-6M27-B-225W |  |
| Alfasolar Alfasolar M6L60-245 |  |
| Alfasolar Alfasolar P6L60-245 | 15.3323 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-250M | 15.3265 |
| Advanced Power API-P250 | 15.2972 |
| Advanced Power API-M250 | 15.2952 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-295M | 15.2626 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-295P | 15.2544 |
| Aleo Solar P18y250 |  |
| Advanced Power API-P295 | 14.9402 |
| Advanced Power API-M295 | 14.8825 |
| American Value SM255-5M | 15.1961 |
| Advanced Power API-P245 | 15.1758 |
| Alfasolar Alfasolar M6L60-240 | 15.0828 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd <br> AS-6M27-220W | 15.0623 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd <br> AS-6M27-B-220W | 15.0248 |
| Alfasolar Alfasolar P6L60-240 | 15.0124 |
| Advanced Power API-P290 | 15.0102 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-245M | 15.0071 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-290M | 14.9960 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-290P | 14.9929 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-245P | 14.9615 |
| Advanced Power API-M245 |  |
| Apls Technology ATI-M660-240 |  |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd |  |
| AS-5M-190W |  |


| Advanced Power API-M290 | 14.8687 |
| :---: | :---: |
| A10Green Technology A10J-M60-240 | 14.8114 |
| Ablytek 6PN6A240-A0 |  |
| American Value SM250-5M | 14.7833 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-285M | 14.7372 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-285P |  |
| Advanced Power API-P240 | 14.7293 |
| Alfasolar Alfasolar P6L60-235 | 14.7015 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-240M-60 | 14.6835 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-240P |  |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd AS-6M27-215W | 14.6711 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd AS-6M27-B-215W |  |
| Advanced Power API-M240 | 14.6476 |
| Advanced Power API-M285 | 14.6240 |
| Advanced Power API-P285 | 14.6142 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd AS-6M24-190W | 14.5977 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd AS-6P24-190W |  |
| Apls Technology ATI-M660-235 | 14.5968 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd AS-5M36-185W | 14.5133 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-185M | 14.4973 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd AS-5M-185W | 14.4938 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-280M | 14.4863 |
| American Value SM245-5M | 14.4742 |
| A10Green Technology A10J-M60-235 | 14.4709 |
| Ablytek 6PN6A235-A0 |  |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-280P | 14.4693 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-235P | 14.3918 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-235M | 14.3868 |
| Apls Technology ATI-S572-185 | 14.3787 |
| Alfasolar Alfasolar P6L60-230 | 14.3753 |
| Advanced Power API-P280 | 14.3605 |
| Advanced Power API-M280 | 14.3586 |
| Advanced Power API-P235 | 14.3473 |


| Advanced Power API-M235 | 14.3459 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Aavid Solar ASMS-235M | 14.328 |
| Apls Technology ATI-M660-230 | 14.2937 |
| AccuSolar Power ASP610-B230 | 14.287 |
| Advanced Renewable Energy AREi-230W-M6-G | 14.2574 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-275M | 14.2174 |
| A10Green Technology A10J-S72-185 | 14.2078 |
| Ablytek 5MN6C185-A0 |  |
| American Value SM240-5M | 14.1971 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd AS-6M24-185W | 14.1954 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd AS-6P24-185W |  |
| Aavid Solar ASMS-185M | 14.1952 |
| Advanced Solar Hydro Wind Power API156P-230 | 14.1764 |
| A10Green Technology A10J-M60-230 | 14.1705 |
| Ablytek 6PN6A230-A0 |  |
| Advanced Power API-M275 | 14.0995 |
| Advanced Power API-P275 |  |
| Advanced Solar Hydro Wind Power API-180 | 14.0985 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd AS-5M-180W |  |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd AS-5M35-180W |  |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-180M | 14.0888 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-230M | 14.0838 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-230P | 14.0754 |
| Alfasolar Alfasolar P6L60-225 | 14.0708 |
| Aavid Thermalloy ASMP-180M | 14.0558 |
| Advanced Power API-M230 | 14.0491 |
| Aavid Solar ASMS-230M | 14.0426 |
| Apls Technology ATI-S572-180 | 13.9804 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd AS-6P30-225W | 13.9766 |
| Apls Technology ATI-M660-225 | 13.9743 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-270P | 13.9587 |
| Advanced Renewable Energy AREi-225W-M6-G | 13.9312 |
| Aavid Solar ASMS-270P | 13.9134 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd | 13.8562 |


| AS-6M30-225W |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| A10Green Technology A10J-M60-225 | 13.8538 |
| Ablytek 6PN6A225-A0 |  |
| A10Green Technology A10J-S72-180 | 13.8406 |
| Ablytek 5MN6C180-A0 |  |
| Advanced Power API-P270 | 13.8363 |
| Aavid Solar ASMS-180M | 13.8355 |
| Advanced Power API-M270 | 13.8084 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd AS-6M24-180W | 13.7986 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd AS-6P24-18-W |  |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-225M | 13.7697 |
| Alfasolar Alfasolar P6L60-220 | 13.7693 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-225P | 13.7508 |
| Advanced Power API-M225 | 13.7363 |
| Advanced Power API-P225 | 13.7343 |
| Advanced Solar Hydro Wind Power API-175 | 13.7271 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd AS-5M-175W |  |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd AS-5M36-175W |  |
| Aavid Solar ASMS-225M | 13.7195 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-175M | 13.7088 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-265M | 13.7011 |
| Aavid Thermalloy ASMP-175 | 13.6767 |
| Apls Technology ATI-M660-220 | 13.6569 |
| Advanced Renewable Energy AREi-220W-M6-G | 13.6183 |
| Apls Technology ATI-S572-175 | 13.6046 |
| Advanced Solar Hydro Wind Power API156P-220 | 13.5856 |
| Advanced Power API-M265 | 13.5807 |
| Advanced Power API-P265 | 13.5780 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-270M | 13.5771 |
| A10Green Technology A10J-M60-220 | 13.5392 |
| Ablytek 6PN6A220-A0 |  |
| A2Peak Power POWER ON P220-6x10 | 13.4708 |
| A10Green Technology A10J-S72-175 | 13.4686 |
| Ablytek 5MN6C175-A0 |  |


| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd <br> AS-6P30-220W | 13.4637 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd <br> AS-6M30-220W | 13.4528 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-220P | 13.4495 |
| Aavid Solar ASMS-220P | 13.4451 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd <br> AS-6M24-175W | 13.4444 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd <br> AS-6P24-175W |  |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-260P | 13.4280 |
| Advanced Power API-P220 | 13.4234 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-260M | 13.4022 |
| Advanced Power API-P260 | 13.3333 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd <br> AS-5M-170W | 13.3235 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd <br> AS-5M36-170W |  |
| Advanced Renewable Energy AREi-215W-M6-G | 13.2986 |
| Advanced Solar Hydro Wind Power API-170 | 13.2955 |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-215P | 13.1492 |
| Advanced Power API-P215 | 13.1239 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd <br> AS-6M24-170W | 13.0575 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd <br> AS-6P24-17W |  |
| Advanced Renewable Energy AREi-210W-M6-G | 12.0188 |
| Advanced Solar Hydro Wind Power API156P-210 | 12.9518 |
| Advanced Solar Hydro Wind Power API-165 | 12.9429 |
| Amerisolar-Worldwide Energy and Manufacturing USA Co.Ltd <br> AS-5M36-165W |  |
| American Solar Wholesale ASW-250P | 12.9224 |
| Advanced Power API-P210 | 12.8295 |
| Aavid Solar ASMS-165P | 11.73710 |
| Advanced Solar Hydro Wind Power API-160 |  |
| Advanced Solar Hydro Wind Power API156P-200 |  |
| Advanced Solar Hydro Wind Power API-150 |  |
| Advanced Solar Power (Hangzhou) ASP-S1-80 | 1090 |

## B. Inverters in SAM Ordered by Efficiency

Only inverters with an AC output of 120 V and 208 V are listed based off property connection voltages.

Table 14: 208 Vac Inverters in SAM Ordered by Efficiency.

| 208 Vac Inverters | Efficiency [\%] |
| :--- | :--- |
| SolarEdge Technologies Ltd : SE3800H- <br> US | 98.903 |
| SolarEdge Technologies Ltd : SE6000H- <br> US | 98.799 |
| SolarEdge Technologies Ltd : SE5000H- <br> US | 98.653 |
| SolarEdge Technologies Ltd : SE10000H- <br> US | 98.638 |
| SolarEdge Technologies Ltd : SE11400H- <br> US |  |
| SMA America: SB6000TL-US | 98.049 |
| SMA America: SB6000TL-US-12 |  |
| SMA America: SB7000TL-US | 97.980 |
| SMA America: SB7000TL-US-12 |  |


| SolarEdge Technologies Ltd : SE3000x |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Delta Electronics: M10-TL-US | 97.377 |
| Delta Electronics: SOLIVIA 5.2 NA G4 TL | 97.369 |
| Yaskawa Solectria Solar: PVI 5200TL |  |
| Trina Energy Storage Solutions (Jiangsu) <br> Co - Ltd: TB8000SHU | 97.354 |
| Generac Power Systems: X11402 | 97.352 |
| Delta Electronics: SOLIVIA 6.6 NA G4 TL | 97.341 |
| Delta Electronics: SOLIVIA 7.6 NA G4 TL |  |
| Yaskawa Solectria Solar: PVI 6600TL |  |
| Yaskawa Solectria Solar: PVI 7600TL |  |
| Pika Energy: X11402 | 97.336 |
| Pika Energy: X11403 |  |
| HiQ Solar: TS208-5k75 | 97.335 |
| SolarEdge Technologies Ltd : SE7600A- <br> US | 97.334 |
| Delta Electronics: SOLIVIA 3.8 NA G4 TL | 97.330 |
| Yaskawa Solectria Solar: PVI 3800TL |  |
| Samil Power: SolarRiver5000TL-US | 97.324 |
| SMA America: SB6000TL-US | 97.231 |
| Fronius International GmbH: Fronius Primo <br> 8.2-1 <br> Fronius USA: Fronius Primo 8.2-1 | 97.310 |
| Delta Electronics: E4-TL-US |  |
| Fronius International GmbH: Fronius Primo <br> 7.6-1 <br> Fronius USA: Fronius Primo 7.6-1 | 97.279 |
| Trina Energy Storage Solutions (Jiangsu) <br> Co - Ltd: TB6000SHU | 97.291 |
| SolarEdge Technologies Ltd : SE10000A- <br> US | 97.260 |
| Delta Electronics: M10-4-TL-US | 97.257 |
| Delta Electronics: M6-TL-US | 97.239 |
| SmA America: SB20000HFUS-30 Electronis: E6-TL-US |  |
| Enphase Energy Inc : IQ7X-96-x-ACM-US | 97.209 |


| Enphase Energy Inc : IQ7X-96-x-US |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enphase Energy Inc : IQ7XS-96-y-ACM-z |  |
| SunPower: SPR-E19-320-BLK-E-AC |  |
| SunPower: SPR-E20-325-BLK-E-AC |  |
| Delta Electronics: RPI H7U | 97.204 |
| Enphase Energy Inc : IQ7X-96-x-ACM- | 97.181 |
|  |  |
| Enphase Energy Inc : IQ7X-96-x-US-\& |  |
| Enphase Energy Inc : IQ7XS-96-x-ACM- |  |
|  |  |
| Enphase Energy Inc : IQ7XS-96-x-US-\& |  |
| SunPower: SPR-E19-320-E-AC | 97.172 |
| SunPower: SPR-E20-327-E-AC |  |
| SunPower: SPR-X20-327-BLK-E-AC |  |
| SunPower: SPR-X20-327-E-AC | 97.121 |
| SunPower: SPR-X21-335-BLK-E-AC |  |
| SunPower: SPR-X21-335-E-AC |  |
| SunPower: SPR-X21-345-E-AC |  |
| SunPower: SPR-X21-350-BLK-E-AC |  |
| SunPower: SPR-X22-360-E-AC |  |
| SunPower: SPR-X22-370-E-AC Solar Energy: AE3.8 |  |
| Technology Co - Ltd: Growatt 8000MTLP- |  |
| Beijing Kinglong New Energy Technology: Solar Photonics: PV240 | 97.158 |
| Sunteams 4000 |  |


| Chint Power Systems America: CPS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| SCE4KTL-O US |  |
| Eaton: PV238 |  |
| Eaton: PV240 |  |
| AE Solar Energy: AE7.0 |  |
| Advanced Solar Photonics: PV270 |  |
| Advanced Solar Photonics: PV250 | 97.079 |
| Chint Power Systems America: CPS |  |
| SCE5KTL-O US |  |
| Eaton: PV250 |  |
| AE Solar Energy: AE5.0 |  |
| Enphase Energy Inc : IQ7-60-x-ACM-US | 97.044 |
| Enphase Energy Inc : IQ7-60-x-US |  |
| Jinko Solar Co - Ltd : JKMS300M-60BL- |  |
| EP |  |
| Jinko Solar Co - Ltd : JKMS305M-60BL- |  |
| EP |  |
| Jinko Solar Co - Ltd : JKMS305M-60L-EP |  |
| Jinko Solar Co - Ltd : JKMS325M-60BL- |  |
| EP |  |
| Jinko Solar Co - Ltd : JKMS310M-60BL- |  |
| Jinko Solar Co - Ltd : JKMS320M-60L-EP |  |
| Jinko Solar Co - Ltd : JKMS310M-60L-EP - Ltd : JKMS315M-60BL- |  |
| EP |  |


| Jinko Solar Co - Ltd : JKMS325M-60L-EP |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jinko Solar Co - Ltd : JKMS330M-60L-EP |  |
| Generac Power Systems: XVT114G03 | 97.031 |
| Enphase Energy Inc : IQ7-60-x-ACM-US- <br>  <br> Enphase Energy Inc : IQ7-60-x-ACM-US- <br>  <br> Enphase Energy Inc : XACM-IQ7-LR6- <br> 60PB-300M <br> Enphase Energy Inc : XACM-IQ7-LR6- <br> 60PB-305M <br> Jinko Solar Co - Ltd : JKMS300M-60BL- <br> EP |  |
| Advanced Solar Photonics: PV260 | 97.020 |
| Eaton: PV260 |  |
| Chint Power Systems America: CPS | 97.018 |
| SCE6KTL-O US |  |
| Fronius International GmbH: Fronius Primo | 97.010 |
| 15.0-1 |  |
| Fronius USA: Fronius Primo 15.0-1 | 97.008 |
| AE Solar Energy: AE6.0 |  |

Table 15: 120 Vac Inverters in SAM.

| 120 Vac Inverters | Efficiency [\%] |
| :---: | :---: |
| Exeltech: XLGT18A60 | 96.378 |
| Exeltech: XLGT18A60-01 |  |
| Petra Systems: 103.10400.0001 | 95.867 |
| Helios USA: 6TA | 94.408 |
| Solarbine: S6T AC |  |
| Exeltech: AC2-1-2-6-5-XX | 94.401 |
| Exeltech: AC2-1-B-6-5-XX |  |
| Exeltech: AC2-1-C-6-5-XX |  |
| Exeltech: AC2-1-L-6-5-XX |  |
| Exeltech: AM24-1-B-6-0-00 |  |
| Concept by US: Power Station PS247-05180 | 93.997 |
| Concept by US: Power Station PS247-10180 | 93.767 |
| Schneider Electric Solar Inc. : XW Pro 6848 NA | 93.588 |
| Petra Solar: 103.10866.500x | 92.870 |
| PV Powered: PVP2000 | 92.747 |
| SMA America: SWR1800U | 91.832 |
| SMA America: SWR1800U-SBD |  |
| Schuco USA: SWR1800U |  |
| Schuco USA: SWR1800U-SBD |  |
| Sonnetek: Sonnetek 2000 | 91.640 |
| Sysgration: Soleil 2000-120 |  |
| SMA America: SB700U | 91.582 |
| SMA America: SB700U-SBD |  |
| Schuco USA: SB700U |  |
| Schuco USA: SB700U-SBD |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apparent Inc : SG424U CA - 120VAC - } \\ & 60 \mathrm{~Hz} \end{aligned}$ | 91.555 |
| Apparent Inc: SG424-120V | 91.540 |


| CFM Equipment Distributors: Green Power 1100 | 90.929 |
| :---: | :---: |
| E-Village Solar: EVS1100EVR |  |
| GE Energy: GEPVe-1100NA-120 |  |
| iPower: SHO-1.1 |  |
| Home Director: HD-SUN-INV1100-EVR |  |
| Mohr Power: MPS1100EVR |  |
| PV Powered: PVP1100EVR |  |
| OutBack Power Technologies - Inc : VFXR3524A | 90.730 |
| OutBack Power Technologies - Inc : FXR3048A | 90.681 |
| OutBack Power Technologies - Inc : VFXR3648A | 90.671 |
| Xslent Energy Technologies: XPX A1000 | 88.643 |

## C. Layout of Solar Panels for Fixed Tilt String Size of 9 Modules



Figure 62: Possible Design with a String of 9 Modules, 12 ft Hypotenuse Length


Figure 63: Possible Design with a String of 9 Modules, 6 ft Hypotenuse Length


Figure 64: Possible Design with a String of 9 Modules, 18 ft Hypotenuse Length


Figure 65: Possible Design with a String of 9 Modules, ~6 ft Hypotenuse Length


Figure 66: Possible Design with a String of 9 Modules, ~9 ft Hypotenuse Length
D. Interrow Spacing Calc. Fixed Tilt Design 1 and 2 with Solar Array on Ground [18]

This section shows the calculations and simulation completed for fixed tilt design 1 and 2 and DOES NOT consider the fact that the solar array will need to be elevated off the ground.

Calculation of December $21^{\text {st }}$ Day Number in the Year:
$\mathrm{n}=$ December $21^{\text {st }}$ Day Number in the Year
$\mathrm{n}=$ Number of Days in January + Number of Days in February + Number of Days in March + Number of Days in April + Number of Days in May + Number of Days in June + Number of Days in July + Number of Days in August + Number of Days in September + Number of Days in October + Number of Days in November + 21 Days in December $\mathrm{n}=31+28+31+30+31+30+31+31+30+31+30+21=355$ days

Calculation of Solar Declination on December $21^{\text {st }}$ :
$\delta=23.45 \times \sin [(360 / 365)(355-81)]$
$\delta=-23.45^{\circ}$

Calculation of Hour Angle at 10am on December 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ :
$\mathrm{H}=15(12-10)$
$\mathrm{H}=30^{\circ}$ at 10 am December $21^{\text {st }}$

Calculation of Hour Angle at 4 pm on December $21^{\text {st }}$ :
$H=15(12-16)$
$\mathrm{H}=-60^{\circ}$ at 4 pm December $21^{\text {st }}$

Calculation of Suns altitude at 10am on December $21^{\text {st }}$ :
$\beta=\sin ^{-1}\left[\sin \left(-23.45^{\circ}\right) \sin \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)+\cos \left(-23.45^{\circ}\right) \cos \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right) \cos \left(30^{\circ}\right)\right]$
$\beta=25.37^{\circ}$

Calculation of Suns altitude at 4 pm on December $21^{\text {st }}$ :
$\beta=\sin ^{-1}\left[\sin \left(-23.45^{\circ}\right) \sin \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)+\cos \left(-23.45^{\circ}\right) \cos \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right) \cos \left(-60^{\circ}\right)\right]$
$\beta=8.74^{\circ}$

Calculation of Suns Azimuth on December 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ at 10am:
$\Phi=\sin ^{-1}\left[\left(\cos \left(-23.45^{\circ}\right) \sin \left(30^{\circ}\right)\right) / \cos \left(25.37^{\circ}\right)\right]$
$\Phi=30.51^{\circ}$

Calculation of Suns Azimuth on December $21^{\text {st }}$ at 4 pm :
$\Phi=\sin ^{-1}\left[\left(\cos \left(-23.45^{\circ}\right) \sin \left(-60^{\circ}\right)\right) / \cos \left(8.74^{\circ}\right)\right]$
$\Phi=-53.50^{\circ}$


Figure 67: Height and Length. Does Not Consider the Array will Be Off the Ground

## Calculation of Height:

$$
\sin \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)=\mathrm{H} / 3.048 \mathrm{~m}
$$

$$
\mathrm{H}=3.048 \mathrm{mx} \mathrm{\sin }\left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)
$$

$$
\mathrm{H}=1.73 \mathrm{~m}
$$

Calculation of Length:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cos \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)=\mathrm{L} / 3.048 \mathrm{~m} \\
& \mathrm{~L}=3.048 \times \cos \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right) \\
& \mathrm{L}=2.51 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 68: Height and Length. Does Not Consider Array Will Be Off the Ground.

Calculation of Interrow Spacing at 10am December 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ [18]:
Part 1: First Triangle
Tan(Altitude Angle at 10am) = Height of solar panel / Distance1
Distance1 $=$ Height of solar panel $/$ Tan(Altitude Angle at 10am)
Distance $1=1.73 \mathrm{~m} / \operatorname{Tan}\left(25.37^{\circ}\right)$
Distance $1=3.65 \mathrm{~m}$
Part 2: Second Triangle
$\operatorname{Cos}($ azimuth $)=$ Distance $2 /$ Distance 1
Distance2 $=3.648 \mathrm{~m} \times \operatorname{Cos}$ (azimuth)
Distance2 $=3.648 \mathrm{~m} \times \operatorname{Cos}\left(30.51^{\circ}\right)$
Distance $2=3.14 \mathrm{~m}$

Calculation of Interrow Spacing at 4pm December 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ [18]:
Part 1: First Triangle
Tan(Altitude Angle at 4pm) = Height of solar panel / Distance1
Distance1 $=$ Height of solar panel $/$ Tan(Altitude Angle at 4pm)
Distance $1=1.73 \mathrm{~m} / \operatorname{Tan}\left(8.74^{\circ}\right)$
Distance1 $=11.25 \mathrm{~m}$
Part 2: Second Triangle
$\cos ($ azimuth $)=$ Distance $2 /$ Distance 1
Distance $2=$ Distance $1 \times \cos$ (azimuth)
Distance2 $=11.253 \mathrm{~m} \times \cos \left(53.50^{\circ}\right)$
Distance2 $=6.69 \mathrm{~m}$

The shadow length at 10 am is 3.14 m while the shadow length at 4 pm is 6.69 m . The shadow length at 4 pm presents a longer shadow, therefore the module rows will be placed 7 m apart as shown in figure 69. It is important to note this overcompensates the space the modules will take up as the length of the solar modules is shorter than is shown in the figure below.


Figure 69: Modules Tilted $34.6^{\circ}$ South, 18 Inverters, 432 Modules. Modules on Ground


Figure 70: How Many kW Produced Each Month with Fixed Tilt Design 1 (3 String)

| Metric | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Annual energy (year 1) | $272,907 \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Capacity factor (year 1) | $17.8 \%$ |
| Energy yield (year 1) | $1,559 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{kW}$ |
| Performance ratio (year 1) | 0.62 |
| Levelized COE (nominal) | $3.59 \$ / \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Levelized COE (real) | $2.86 \$ / \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Electricity bill without system (year 1) $\$ 104,614$ |  |
| Electricity bill with system (year 1) | $\$ 80,384$ |
| Net savings with system (year 1) | $\$ 24,231$ |
| Net present value | $\$ 115,596$ |
| Simple payback period | 9.7 years |
| Discounted payback period | 19.4 years |
| Net capital cost | $\$ 301,056$ |
| Equity | $\$ 0$ |
| Debt | $\$ 301,056$ |

Figure 71: Shows Simulation Metrics of Fixed Tilt Design 1 (3 String)

## Fixed Tilt Design 2 Calculations



Figure 72: Design 2 (3 String) - Height and Length of the Array, Array on Ground

## Calculation of Height:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sin \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)=H / 1.821 \mathrm{~m} \\
& H=1.821 \mathrm{~m} x \sin \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right) \\
& H=1.03 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Calculation of Length:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cos \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)=\mathrm{L} / 1.821 \mathrm{~m} \\
& \mathrm{~L}=1.821 \times \cos \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right) \\
& \mathrm{L}=1.50 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 73: Design 2 (3 String) - Height and Length of the Array, Array on Ground

Calculation of Interrow Spacing at 10am December 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ [18]:
Part 1: Yellow Triangle
Tan(Altitude Angle) $=$ Array Height $/$ Length X
Length X = Array Height $/$ Tan(Altitude Angle)
Length $\mathrm{X}=1.03 \mathrm{~m} / \operatorname{Tan}\left(25.37^{\circ}\right)$
Length $X=2.17 \mathrm{~m}$
Part 2: Red Triangle
$\operatorname{Cos}($ Azimuth Angle $)=$ Shadow Length $/$ Length $X$
Shadow Length $=2.17 \mathrm{mx} \operatorname{Cos}($ Azimuth Angle $)$
Shadow Length $=2.17 \mathrm{~m} \times \operatorname{Cos}\left(30.51^{\circ}\right)$
Shadow Length $=1.87 \mathrm{~m}$

Calculation of Interrow Spacing at 4pm December 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ [18]:
Part 1: Yellow Triangle
Tan (Altitude Angle) $=$ Array Height / Length X
Length $\mathrm{X}=$ Height of solar panel $/ \operatorname{Tan}($ Altitude Angle)
Length $\mathrm{X}=1.03 \mathrm{~m} / \operatorname{Tan}\left(8.74^{\circ}\right)$
Length $X=6.70 \mathrm{~m}$
Part 2: Red Triangle
$\cos ($ azimuth $)=$ Shadow Length $/$ Length $X$
Shadow Length $=$ Length $\mathrm{X} x \cos ($ Azimuth Angle $)$
Shadow Length $=6.70 \mathrm{mx} \cos \left(53.50^{\circ}\right)$
Shadow Length $=3.99 \mathrm{~m}$

The shadow length at 10 am is 1.87 m while the shadow length at 4 pm is 3.99 m . The shadow length at 4 pm presents a longer shadow, therefore the module rows will be placed 4 m apart as shown in figure 74. It is important to note this overcompensates the space the modules will take up as the length of the solar modules is shorter than is shown in the figure below.


Figure 74: Modules Tilted South, Angle $34.6^{\circ}$, 20 Inverters, 480 Mods., Array on Ground


Figure 75: Design 2 (3 String) - How many kW Produced Monthly with the Fixed Tilt

| Metric | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Annual energy (year 1) | $303,230 \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Capacity factor (year 1) | $17.8 \%$ |
| Energy yield (year 1) | $1,559 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{kW}$ |
| Performance ratio (year 1) | 0.62 |
| Levelized COE (nominal) | $3.59 \mathrm{\Phi} / \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Levelized COE (real) | $2.86 \mathrm{\$ /kWh}$ |
| Electricity bill without system (year 1) $\$ 104,614$ |  |
| Electricity bill with system (year 1) | $\$ 78,035$ |
| Net savings with system (year 1) | $\$ 26,580$ |
| Net present value | $\$ 125,422$ |
| Simple payback period | 9.9 years |
| Discounted payback period | 20.0 years |
| Net capital cost | $\$ 334,506$ |
| Equity | $\$ 0$ |
| Debt | $\$ 334,506$ |

Figure 76: Design 2 (3 String) - Shows Simulation Metrics of Fixed Tilt

## E. Interrow Spacing Fixed Tilt Design 1 and 2: 10am-4pm No Shading

 This section displays the calculations done to prevent shading during the hours of 10am and 4 pm on the winter solstice and does consider the that the array will be lifted off the ground by 14 inches or 0.3556 meters [17].Interrow Spacing Design $1-$ No shading 10am - 4pm [18]
Calculation of Solar Declination on December $21^{\text {st }}$ :
$\delta=23.45 \times \sin [(360 / 365)(\mathrm{n}-81)]$
Where $\delta$ is the solar declination and n is the day of the year (out of 365)
$\delta=23.45 \times \sin [(360 / 365)(355-81)]$
$\delta=-23.45^{\circ}$

Calculation of Hour Angle at 10am on December 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ :
$\mathrm{H}=15(12-\mathrm{T})$
Where H is the hour angle and T is the time of day on a 24 hour clock
$\mathrm{H}=15(12-10)$
$\mathrm{H}=30^{\circ}$ at 10 am December $21^{\text {st }}$

Calculation of Hour Angle at 4pm on December $21^{\text {st }}$ :
$\mathrm{H}=15(12-\mathrm{T})$
Where H is the hour angle and T is the time of day on a 24 hour clock
$\mathrm{H}=15(12-16)$
$\mathrm{H}=-60^{\circ}$ at 4 pm December $21^{\text {st }}$

Calculation of Suns altitude at 10am on December $21^{\text {st. }}$ :
$\beta=\sin ^{-1}[\sin (\delta) \sin (\mathrm{L})+\cos (\delta) \cos (\mathrm{L}) \cos (\mathrm{H})]$
Where $\beta$ is the suns altitude angle at $10 \mathrm{am}, \delta$ is the Solar Declination, L is the Latitude, and H is the Hour Angle at 10 am .
$\beta=\sin ^{-1}\left[\sin \left(-23.45^{\circ}\right) \sin \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)+\cos \left(-23.45^{\circ}\right) \cos \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right) \cos \left(30^{\circ}\right)\right]$
$\beta=25.37^{\circ}$

Calculation of Suns altitude at 4 pm on December $21^{\text {st }}$ :
$\beta=\sin ^{-1}[\sin (\delta) \sin (\mathrm{L})+\cos (\delta) \cos (\mathrm{L}) \cos (\mathrm{H})]$
Where $\beta$ is the suns altitude angle at $4 \mathrm{pm}, \delta$ is the Solar Declination, L is the Latitude, and H is the Hour Angle at 4 pm .
$\beta=\sin ^{-1}\left[\sin \left(-23.45^{\circ}\right) \sin \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)+\cos \left(-23.45^{\circ}\right) \cos \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right) \cos \left(-60^{\circ}\right)\right]$
$\beta=8.74^{\circ}$

Calculation of Suns Azimuth on December $21^{\text {st }}$ at 10am:
$\Phi=\sin ^{-1}[(\cos (\delta) \sin (\mathrm{H})) / \cos (\beta)]$
Where $\Phi$ is the suns azimuth angle at $10 \mathrm{am}, \delta$ is the Solar Declination, $H$ is the Hour Angle at 10 am , and $\beta$ is the suns altitude angle at 10 am .
$\Phi=\sin ^{-1}\left[\left(\cos \left(-23.45^{\circ}\right) \sin \left(30^{\circ}\right)\right) / \cos \left(25.37^{\circ}\right)\right]$
$\Phi=30.51^{\circ}$

Calculation of Suns Azimuth on December $21^{\text {st }}$ at 4 pm :
$\Phi=\sin ^{-1}[(\cos (\delta) \sin (\mathrm{H})) / \cos (\beta)]$
Where $\Phi$ is the suns azimuth angle at $4 \mathrm{pm}, \delta$ is the Solar Declination, $H$ is the Hour Angle at 4 pm , and $\beta$ is the suns altitude angle at 4 pm .
$\Phi=\sin ^{-1}\left[\left(\cos \left(-23.45^{\circ}\right) \sin \left(-60^{\circ}\right)\right) / \cos \left(8.74^{\circ}\right)\right]$
$\Phi=-53.50^{\circ}$

## Calculation of Height and Length of Solar Module Array



Figure 77: Design 1 (3 String) - Height and Length of the Array

## Calculation of Height:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sin \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)=H / 3.048 \mathrm{~m} \\
& H=3.048 \mathrm{~m} x \sin \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right) \\
& H=1.73 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because the solar modules are lifted off the ground by 0.3556 meters, this needs to be added to the calculated height measurement to determine the total height.

Total Height $=1.73 \mathrm{~m}+0.3556 \mathrm{~m}=2.09 \mathrm{~m}$

## Calculation of Length:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cos \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)=\mathrm{L} / 3.048 \mathrm{~m} \\
& \mathrm{~L}=3.048 \times \cos \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right) \\
& \mathrm{L}=2.51 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 78: Design 1 (3 String) - Height and Length of the Array Labeled

## Interrow Spacing at 10am December $21^{\text {st }}[18]$ :

Part 1: Yellow Triangle
Tan(Altitude Angle $)=$ Array Height $/$ Length X
Length X = Array Height / Tan(Altitude Angle)
Length $\mathrm{X}=2.09 \mathrm{~m} / \operatorname{Tan}\left(25.37^{\circ}\right)$
Length $X=4.41 \mathrm{~m}$
Part 2: Red Triangle
Cos(Azimuth Angle) $=$ Shadow Length / Length X
Shadow Length $=4.41 \mathrm{~m} \times \operatorname{Cos}($ Azimuth Angle $)$
Shadow Length $=4.41 \mathrm{~m} \times \operatorname{Cos}\left(30.51^{\circ}\right)$
Shadow Length $=3.80 \mathrm{~m}$

Interrow Spacing at 4pm December $21^{\text {st }}$ [18]:
Part 1: Yellow Triangle
Tan(Altitude Angle) $=$ Array Height / Length X
Length $\mathrm{X}=$ Array Height $/$ Tan(Altitude Angle)
Length $\mathrm{X}=2.09 \mathrm{~m} / \operatorname{Tan}\left(8.74^{\circ}\right)$
Length $X=13.60 \mathrm{~m}$
Part 2: Red Triangle
$\cos ($ Azimuth Angle $)=$ Shadow Length $/$ Length X
Shadow Length $=$ Length $\mathrm{X} x \cos ($ Azimuth Angle $)$
Shadow Length $=13.60 \mathrm{~m} \times \cos \left(53.50^{\circ}\right)$
Shadow Length $=8.09 \mathrm{~m}$
The shadow length at 10 am is 3.80 m while the shadow length at 4 pm is 8.09 m .
Therefore, the modules would need to be spaced out by over 8 meters. After the calculation, the decision was made to reduce the time period from 10am to 4 pm no shading to 10 am to 2 pm no shading.

Interrow Spacing Design 2 - Modules off the Ground, 10am - 4pm [18]


Figure 79: Design 2 (3 String) - Height and Length of the Array

## Calculation of Height:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sin \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)=H / 1.821 \mathrm{~m} \\
& H=1.821 \mathrm{~m} x \sin \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right) \\
& H=1.03 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because the solar modules are lifted off the ground by 0.3556 meters, this needs to be added to the calculated height measurement to determine the total height [17].

Total Height $=1.03 \mathrm{~m}+0.3556 \mathrm{~m}=1.39 \mathrm{~m}$

Calculation of Length:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cos \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right)=\mathrm{L} / 1.821 \mathrm{~m} \\
& \mathrm{~L}=1.821 \times \cos \left(34.5723^{\circ}\right) \\
& \mathrm{L}=1.50 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 80: Design 2 (3 String) - Height and Length of the Array Labeled

Interrow Spacing at 10am December $21^{\text {st }}$ [18]:
Part 1: Yellow Triangle
Tan(Altitude Angle) $=$ Array Height $/$ Length X
Length X = Array Height $/$ Tan(Altitude Angle)
Length $\mathrm{X}=1.39 \mathrm{~m} / \operatorname{Tan}\left(25.37^{\circ}\right)$
Length $X=2.93 \mathrm{~m}$
Part 2: Red Triangle
Cos $($ Azimuth Angle $)=$ Shadow Length $/$ Length X
Shadow Length $=2.93 \mathrm{~m} \times \operatorname{Cos}($ Azimuth Angle $)$
Shadow Length $=2.93 \mathrm{~m} \times \operatorname{Cos}\left(30.51^{\circ}\right)$
Shadow Length $=2.52 \mathrm{~m}$

Interrow Spacing at 4pm December $21^{\text {st }}$ [18]:
Part 1: Yellow Triangle
Tan(Altitude Angle) $=$ Array Height / Length X
Length $\mathrm{X}=$ Array Height $/$ Tan(Altitude Angle)
Length $\mathrm{X}=1.39 \mathrm{~m} / \operatorname{Tan}\left(8.74^{\circ}\right)$
Length $X=9.04 \mathrm{~m}$
Part 2: Red Triangle
$\cos ($ Azimuth Angle $)=$ Shadow Length $/$ Length X
Shadow Length $=$ Length $\mathrm{X} x \cos ($ Azimuth Angle $)$
Shadow Length $=9.04 \mathrm{~m} \times \cos \left(53.50^{\circ}\right)$
Shadow Length $=5.37 \mathrm{~m}$

The shadow length at 10 am is 2.52 m while the shadow length at 4 pm is 5.37 m .
Therefore, the modules would need to be spaced out by over 5.37 meters. After the calculation, the decision was made to reduce the time period from 10am to 4 pm no shading to 10 am to 2 pm no shading.

## F. MATLAB Code for Altitude vs. Azimuth

```
% Thesis: Year Round Altitude vs Azimuth Graph Palmdale Location
% California
Latitude = 34.5723; % North
% Defining Day Numbers for each Month
Jan21_Day_Num = 21;
Feb21_Day_Num = 52;
Mar21_Day_Num = 80;
April21_Day_Num = 111;
May21_Day_Num = 141;
June21_Summer_Solstice_Day_Num = 172;
July21_Day_Num = 202;
Aug21_Day_Num = 233;
Sep21_Day_Num = 264;
Oct21_Day_Num = 294;
Nov21_Day_Num = 325;
Dec21_Winter_Solstice_Day_Num = 355;
% Calculating Solar Declination for all 12 Months
Jan21_Solar_Declination = 23.45 * sind((360/365) * (Jan21_Day_Num - 81));
Feb21_Solar_Declination = 23.45 * sind((360/365) * (Feb21_Day_Num - 81));
Mar21_Solar_Declination = 23.45 * sind((360/365) * (Mar21_Day_Num - 81));
April21_Solar_Declination = 23.45 * sind((360/365) * (April21_Day_Num - 81));
May21_Solar_Declination = 23.45 * sind((360/365) * (May21_Day_Num - 81));
June21_Summer_Solstice_Solar_Declination = 23.45 * sind((360/365) *
(June21_Summer_Solstice_Day_Num - 81));
July21_S_Solar_Déclination = 2-23.45 * sind((360/365) * (July21_Day_Num - 81));
Aug21_Solar_Declination = 23.45 * sind((360/365) * (Aug21_Day_Num - 81));
Sep21_Solar_Declination = 23.45 * sind((360/365) * (Sep21_Day_Num - 81));
Oct21_Solar_Declination = 23.45 * sind((360/365) * (Oct21_Day_Num - 81));
Nov21_Solar_Declination = 23.45 * sind((360/365) * (Nov21_Day_Num - 81));
Dec21_Winter_Solstice_Solar_Declination = 23.45 * sind((360/365) *
(Dec21_Winter_Solstice_Day_Num - 81));
% Hour Angles for each day
Minutes_Per_Day = [0:1440];
Hours = Minutes_Per_Day / 60;
Hour_Angle = 15 * (12 - Hours);
% Altitude Angle Calculation for each month on the 21st day
Altitude_Angle_Jan21 =
asind(sind(Jan21_Solar_Declination)*sind(Latitude)+cosd(Jan21_Solar_Declinatio
n)*\operatorname{cosd(Latitude)*cosd(Hour_Angle));}
Altitude_Angle_Feb21 =
asind(sind(Feb21_Solar_Declination)*sind(Latitude)+cosd(Feb21_Solar_Declinatio
n)*cosd(Latitude)*cosd(Hour_Angle));
Altitude_Angle_Mar21 =
asind(sind(Mar21_Solar_Declination)*sind(Latitude)+cosd(Mar21_Solar_Declinatio
n)*\operatorname{cosd(Latitude)*cosd(Hour_Angle));}
Altitude_Angle_April21 =
asind(sind(Apríl21_Solar_Declination)*sind(Latitude)+cosd(April21_Solar_Declin
ation)*cosd(Latitude)*cosd(Hour_Angle));
```

```
Altitude_Angle_May21 =
asind(sind(May21_Solar_Declination)*sind(Latitude)+cosd(May21_Solar_Declinatio
n)*\operatorname{cosd(Latitude)*cosd(Hour_Angle));}
Altitude_Angle_June21 =
asind(sind(June21_Summer_Solstice_Solar_Declination)*sind(Latitude)+cosd(June2
1_Summer_Solstice_Solar_Declination)*cosd(Latitude)*cosd(Hour_Angle));
Altitude_Angle_July21 =
asind(sind(July21_Solar_Declination)*sind(Latitude)+cosd(July21_Solar_Declinat
ion)*cosd(Latitude)*cosd(Hour_Angle));
Altitude_Angle_Aug21 =
asind(sind(Aug21_Solar_Declination)*sind(Latitude)+cosd(Aug21_Solar_Declinatio
n)*\operatorname{cosd(Latitude)*cosd(Hour_Angle));}
Altitude_Angle_Sep21 =
asind(sind(Sep21_Solar_Declination)*sind(Latitude)+cosd(Sep21_Solar_Declinatio
n)*cosd(Latitude)*cosd(Hour_Angle));
Altitude_Angle_Oct21 =
asind(sind(Oct21_Solar_Declination)*sind(Latitude)+cosd(Oct21_Solar_Declinatio
n)*\operatorname{cosd(Latitude)*cosd(Hour_Angle));}
Altitude_Angle_Nov21 =
asind(sind(Nov21_Solar_Declination)*sind(Latitude)+cosd(Nov21_Solar_Declinatio
n)*cosd(Latitude)*cosd(Hour_Angle));
Altitude_Angle_Dec21 =
asind(sind(Dec21_Winter_Solstice_Solar_Declination)*sind(Latitude)+cosd(Dec21_
Winter_Solstice_Solar_Declination)*cosd(Latitude)*cosd(Hour_Angle));
% Azimuth Angle Calculation for each month on the 21st day
Azimuth_Angle_Jan21 = asind((cosd(Jan21_Solar_Declination).* sind(Hour_Angle))
./ cosd(Altitude_Angle_Jan21));
Azimuth_Angle_Feb21 = asind((cosd(Feb21_Solar_Declination).* sind(Hour_Angle))
./ cosd(Altitude_Angle_Feb21));
Azimuth_Angle_Mar21 = asind((cosd(Mar21_Solar_Declination).* sind(Hour_Angle))
./ cosd(Altitude_Angle_Mar21));
Azimuth_Angle_April21 = asind((cosd(April21_Solar_Declination).*
sind(Hour_Angle)) ./ cosd(Altitude_Angle_April21));
Azimuth_Angle_May21 = asind((cosd(May21_Solar_Declination).* sind(Hour_Angle))
./ cosd(Altitude_Angle_May21));
Azimuth_Angle_June21 = asind((cosd(June21_Summer_Solstice_Solar_Declination).*
sind(Hour_Angle)) ./ cosd(Altitude_Angle_June21));
Azimuth_Angle_July21 = asind((cosd(July21_Solar_Declination).*
sind(Hour_Angle)) ./ cosd(Altitude_Angle_July21));
Azimuth_Angle_Aug21 = asind((cosd(Aug21_Solar_Declination).* sind(Hour_Angle))
./ cosd(Altitude_Angle_Aug21));
Azimuth_Angle_Sep21 = asind((cosd(Sep21_Solar_Declination).* sind(Hour_Angle))
./ cosd(Altitude_Angle_Sep21));
Azimuth_Angle_Oct21 = asind((cosd(Oct21_Solar_Declination).* sind(Hour_Angle))
./ cosd(Altitude_Angle_Oct21));
Azimuth_Angle_Nov21 = asind((cosd(Nov21_Solar_Declination).* sind(Hour_Angle))
./ cosd(Altitude_Angle_Nov21));
Azimuth_Angle_Dec21 = asind((cosd(Dec21_Winter_Solstice_Solar_Declination).*
sind(Hour_Angle)) ./ cosd(Altitude_Angle_Dec21));
% Azimuth Angle Correction for June
for i = 1:length(Hour_Angle)
```

```
    if cosd(Hour_Angle(i)) >=
(tand(June21_Summer_Solstice_Solar_Declination))/(tand(Latitude))
    Azimuth_Angle_June21(i) =
asind((cosd(June21_Summer_Solstice_Solar_Declination).*sind(Hour_Angle(i)))./(
cosd(Altitude_Angle_June21(i))));
    else
        if Azimuth_Angle_June21(i) > 0
            Azimuth_Angle_June21(i) = 180-
asind((cosd(June21_Summer_Solstice_Solar_Declination).*sind(Hour_Angle(i)))./(
cosd(Altitude_Angle_June21(i))));
        else
            Azimuth_Angle_June21(i) = -180-
asind((cosd(June21_Summer_Solstice_Solar_Declination).*sind(Hour_Angle(i)))./(
cosd(Altitude_Angle_June21(i))));
            end
    end
end
% Azimuth Angle Correction for July
for i = 1:length(Hour_Angle)
    if cosd(Hour_Angle(i)) >=
(tand(July21_Solar_Declination))/(tand(Latitude))
            Azimuth_Angle_July21(i) =
asind((cosd(July21_Solar_Declination).*sind(Hour_Angle(i)))./(cosd(Altitude_An
gle_July21(i))));
    else
        if Azimuth_Angle_July21(i) > 0
                            Azimuth_Angle_July21(i) = 180-
asind((cosd(July21_Solar_Declination).*sind(Hour_Angle(i)))./(cosd(Altitude_An
gle_July21(i))));
        else
            Azimuth_Angle_July21(i) = -180-
asind((cosd(July21_Solar_Declination).*sind(Hour_Angle(i)))./(cosd(Altitude_An
gle_July21(i))));
            end
    end
end
% Azimuth Angle Correction for May
for i = 1:length(Hour_Angle)
    if cosd(Hour_Angle(i)) >= (tand(May21_Solar_Declination))/(tand(Latitude))
        Azimuth_Angle_May21(i) =
asind((cosd(May21_Solar_Declination).*sind(Hour_Angle(i)))./(cosd(Altitude_Ang
le_May21(i))));
    else
        if Azimuth_Angle_May21(i) > 0
                Azimuth_Angle_May21(i) = 180-
asind((cosd(May21_Solar_Declination).*sind(Hour_Angle(i)))./(cosd(Altitude_Ang
le_May21(i))));
            else
                Azimuth_Angle_May21(i) = -180-
asind((cosd(May21_Sōlar_Dēclination).*sind(Hour_Angle(i)))./(cosd(Altitude_Ang
le_May21(i))));
            end
        end
```

```
end
% Azimuth Angle Correction for April
for i = 1:length(Hour_Angle)
    if cosd(Hour_Angle(i)) >=
(tand(April21_Solar_Declination))/(tand(Latitude))
            Azimuth_Angle_April21(i) =
asind((cosd(April21_Solar_Declination).*sind(Hour_Angle(i)))./(cosd(Altitude_A
ngle_April21(i))));
    else
        if Azimuth_Angle_April21(i) > 0
                            Azimuth_Angle_April21(i) = 180-
asind((cosd(April21_Solar_Declination).*sind(Hour_Angle(i)))./(cosd(Altitude_A
ngle_April21(i))));
        else
            Azimuth_Angle_April21(i) = -180-
asind((cosd(April21_Solar_Declination).*sind(Hour_Angle(i)))./(cosd(Altitude_A
ngle_April21(i))));
            end
    end
end
% Azimuth Angle Correction for August
for i = 1:length(Hour_Angle)
        if cosd(Hour_Angl\overline{e}(i)) >= (tand(Aug21_Solar_Declination))/(tand(Latitude))
            Azimuth_Angle_Aug21(i) =
asind((cosd(Aug21_Solar_Declination).*sind(Hour_Angle(i)))./(cosd(Altitude_Ang
le_Aug21(i))));
    else
        if Azimuth_Angle_Aug21(i) > 0
                Azimuth_Angle_Aug21(i) = 180-
asind((cosd(Aug21_Solar_Declination).*sind(Hour_Angle(i)))./(cosd(Altitude_Ang
le_Aug21(i))));
        else
            Azimuth_Angle_Aug21(i) = -180-
asind((cosd(Aug21_Sōlar_Dēclination).*sind(Hour_Angle(i)))./(cosd(Altitude_Ang
le_Aug21(i))));
            end
        end
end
%Ploting the Figure
figure(1)
plot(Azimuth_Angle_Jan21,Altitude_Angle_Jan21, 'm');
hold on;
plot(Azimuth_Angle_Feb21,Altitude_Angle_Feb21,'c')
hold on;
plot(Azimuth_Angle_Mar21,Altitude_Angle_Mar21,'y')
hold on;
plot(Azimuth_Angle_April21,Altitude_Angle_April21, 'r');
hold on;
plot(Azimuth_Angle_May21,Altitude_Angle_May21,'g')
hold on;
plot(Azimuth_Angle_June21,Altitude_Angle_June21,'b')
hold on;
```

```
plot(Azimuth_Angle_Dec21,Altitude_Angle_Dec21,'k')
hold on;
ylim([0,inf])
title("Altitude [Degree] vs Azimuth [Degree]")
xlabel({"West South East"; "
Azimuth [Degree]"})
ylabel("Altitude [Degree]")
```


## G. REopt Software Screenshots and Explanation

## Table 16: REopt Screenshot Explanation

Step 1: Choose Your Focus
Do you want to optimize for financial savings or energy resilience?


The first option is either Financial or Resilience. Both options provide minimization of the present value of all future energy costs over the analysis period and may include a combination of many different distributed energy sources. The difference between the two is that financial does not optimize for a grid outage while resilience setting does.

## Step 2: Select Your Technologies



The second option is where you can choose which technologies you would like to have in your system. Based on which technology you choose other options can show up later.


Table 16: REopt Screenshot Explanation Cont.



Table 16: REopt Screenshot Explanation Cont.

The cost of the energy components for the battery
system. For example, the cost of the battery pack.
The energy metric for this field is dollars per
kilowatt hour [ $\$ / \mathrm{kWh}]$. Default value of $\$ 420$.

The cost of the power components for the battery system. For example the cost of the inverter and balance system (BOS). The power metric for this field is dollars per kilowatt [ $\$ / \mathrm{kW}]$. Default value of $\$ 840$.


Yes or No option that will either allow the grid to charge the battery or will only allow the renewable energy system, such as PV and wind turbines, to charge the battery.

Allows for more advanced battery characterization, such as battery replacement costs, battery characteristics, battery incentives, and tax treatment, but not required additional values.

## H. System Advisor Model Software Screenshots

Table 17: Location and Resource Section


Table 18: System Design


Table 18: System Design Cont.

| Battery Bank |  |  |  |  | $\leqslant$ | Input the battery capacity and battery power in this section. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Battery capacity | 10 kWh | Battery chemistry <br> Battery dispatch | Lithium Ion | , |  |  |
| Battery power | 5 kW |  | Peak Shaving (look ahead) | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Custom Dispatch - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | dispatch | Edit array... kW |  |  |  |  |
| Optimal Sizing and Dispatch from REopt |  |  |  |  | $\leqslant$ | To optimize the battery, use this section which connects to the REopt Lite API. |
| This option sends information about the system design, costs, electricity rates, and load to the online Reopt Lite API, which calculates an optimal battery capacity and custom dispatch to minimize the project net present value. <br> REopt uses the latitude and longitude from SAM to access its own weather file, which may be different from the one SAM uses for simulations. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | battery size | and dispatch from REO |  |  |  |  |
| Go to REopt Lite we |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 19: Grid Limits

| Grid Interconnection Limit |  |  |  | Choose if the system has a interconnection limit. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ Enable interconnection limit |  | The grid interconnection limit is a negotiated limit beyond which the system is not allowed to export power. Any AC power generated above the grid interconnection limit is curtailed. |  |  |
| Grid interconnection limit | 100000 kWac |  |  |  |
| Grid Curtailment |  |  |  |  |
| Click Edit Array to enter values in the curtailment schedule table. SAM limits the system power output to the MW power values in the table. Curtailed power is not compensated. |  |  |  | array, use this section. |
| Curtailment | Edit array... | MW |  |  |

Table 20: Lifetime and Degradation


Table 21: System Costs


Table 22: Electric Load


Table 23: Financial Parameters


Table 24: Incentives


Table 25: Electricity Rates


Table 25: Electricity Rates Cont.


## I. Battery Energy Storage System Design 5 (3 String) Single Axis Tracking [19]

This section presents a battery energy storage system for design 5 ( 3 string) single axis tracking. It is not shown in chapter 19 since design 6 was better suited in the design requirements. Table 26 and 27 show the hour power production on June $21^{\text {st }}$ and December $21^{\text {st }}$ respectively.

Table 26: Hourly kW on June $21^{\text {st }}$ SAM Simulation Design 5

| Time of Day | Predicted Power Production [kW] |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6 am | 31.56 |
| 7 am | 121.74 |
| 8 am | 148.50 |
| 9 am | 148.50 |
| 10 am | 148.50 |
| 11 am | 148.50 |
| 12 pm | 147.95 |
| 1 pm | 147.75 |
| 2 pm | 147.81 |
| 3 pm | 148.20 |
| 4 pm | 148.43 |
| 5 pm | 148.50 |
| 6 pm | 103.48 |
| 7 pm | 19.60 |

Table 27: Hourly kW on December $21^{\text {st }}$ SAM Simulation Design 5

| Time of Day | Predicted Power Production [kW] |
| :--- | :--- |
| 8 am | 17.62 |
| 9 am | 97.10 |
| 10 am | 148.5 |
| 11 am | 148.45 |
| 12 pm | 109.75 |
| 1 pm | 141.55 |
| 2 pm | 148.50 |
| 3 pm | 148.50 |
| 4 pm | 71.76 |
| 5 pm | 3.76 |

Maximum Capacity: 900kWh
Peak Load Shaving on Year 1 June $21^{\text {st }}$ ( $6 \mathrm{am}-12 \mathrm{pm}$ ): 895.25 kWh
Peak Load Shaving on Year 1 December 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ (8am-12pm): 521.42kWh
From looking at the data above, on June $21^{\text {st }}$ charging the batteries between the hours of 6 am to 12 pm would need a battery energy storage system capable of storing 895.25 kWh , which would be just under the maximum capacity of the battery energy storage system. Therefore, the maximum 900 kWh should be installed for this design.

