



Research article

Weyl almost anti-periodic solution to a neutral functional semilinear differential equation

Weiwei Qi and Yongkun Li*

Department of Mathematics, Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan 650091, China

* **Correspondence:** Email: yklic@ynu.edu.cn.

Abstract: In this work, we first propose a concept of Weyl almost anti-periodic functions. Then, we make use of the contraction mapping principle and analysis techniques to research the existence of a unique Weyl almost anti-periodic solution to a neutral functional semilinear abstract differential equation. Finally, we give an example of a neutral functional partial differential equation to show the validity of the obtained results.

Keywords: Weyl almost anti-periodicity; neutral functional differential equation; semilinear abstract differential equation

1. Introduction

In nature, human society, engineering technology and other fields, periodic and anti-periodic fluctuations are widespread. Differential equation is an important mathematical model to describe the phenomena and processes in these fields. Therefore, the problem of periodic and anti-periodic solutions of differential equations has always been a focus in the field of qualitative research of differential equations, whether in terms of theoretical research or practical application [1–5]. Since H. Bohr [6] introduced the almost periodic function as a natural extension of periodic function into mathematics, almost periodic solutions to differential equations [7–11] and mathematical models described by differential equations [12–15] has also become an important problem. Recently, the concepts of Bohr and Stepanov almost anti-periodic functions were proposed in [16]. As we know, Weyl almost periodic function is an extension of Bohr almost periodic function and Stepanov almost periodic function [15, 17, 18], but unlike them, the space formed by Weyl almost periodic function is incomplete under Weyl seminorm [9, 18]. As a result, it is a difficult and interesting problem to investigate Weyl almost periodic solutions to differential equations and has always attracted the interest of many scholars. Therefore, it is also meaningful and challenging to introduce a definition of Weyl almost anti-periodic function and study solutions of such functions to differential equations and

dynamical systems.

Inspired by the above analysis and observation, and considering that the semilinear abstract neutral functional differential equation includes many mathematical models as its special cases [19]. The primary purpose of this work is to introduce a definition of Weyl almost anti-periodic function, and then investigate the existence of a unique Weyl almost anti-periodic solution to a semilinear abstract neutral functional differential equation.

The remainder of this work is structured as follows: In Section 2, we propose a notion of Weyl almost anti-periodic function. In Section 3, we discuss the existence of a unique Weyl almost anti-periodic solution for a neutral functional semi-linear differential equation and we provide an example to show the validity of our result. In Section 4, we provide a brief conclusion.

2. Weyl almost anti-periodic function

Let $(\mathbb{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ denote a Banach space. For $p \geq 1$, $f \in L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{B})$, the Weyl seminorm of f is defined as:

$$\|f\|_{W^p} = \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_a^{a+T} \|f(t)\|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Definition 2.1. [9] Function $f \in L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{B})$ is called a p -th Weyl almost periodic function, if for each $\epsilon > 0$, it is possible to find a constant $l = l(\epsilon) > 0$ such that each interval of length $l(\epsilon)$ contains a point $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\|f(\cdot + \tau) - f(\cdot)\|_{W^p} < \epsilon.$$

We will denote the collection of such functions by $W^pAP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{B})$.

Remark 2.1. According to [9], for $x \in W^pAP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{B})$, we have $\|x\|_{W^p} < \infty$.

Definition 2.2. A function $f \in L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{B})$ is called a p -th Weyl almost anti-periodic function, if for any $\epsilon > 0$, it is possible to find an $l = l(\epsilon) > 0$ such that each interval with length $l(\epsilon)$ contains at least one $\tau = \tau(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|f(\cdot + \tau) + f(\cdot)\|_{W^p} < \epsilon.$$

We will use $W^pANP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{B})$ to stand for the space of all such functions.

Definition 2.3. Let $f \in L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B})$, then it is called p -th Weyl almost anti-periodic in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{B}$, if for any $\epsilon > 0$ and each compact subset \mathbb{K} of \mathbb{B} , it is possible to find an $l = l(\epsilon, \mathbb{K}) > 0$ such that each interval with length l contains at least one $\tau = \tau(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\|f(\cdot + \tau, x) + f(\cdot, x)\|_{W^p} < \epsilon$$

uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{K}$. We will denote the collection of all such functions by $W^pANP(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B})$.

Example 2.1. (1⁰) If $f_1(t) = \cos(\pi t) + \cos(\sqrt{2}\pi t) + \frac{1}{t^2+1}$, then one can easily check that $f_1(t)$ is not periodic, not anti-periodic, nor almost anti-periodic but Weyl almost anti-periodic.

(2⁰) Let $f_2(t) = \cos(\pi t) + \cos(\sqrt{2}\pi t) + \frac{1}{t^2+1} + 3$, then one can easily show that $f_2 \in W^pAP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{B})$, but $f_2 \notin W^pANP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{B})$.

Example 2.2. Take $f_3(t) = 2 \cos(2t) - \frac{1}{1+t^2}$ and $f_4(t) = -\cos(4t) + \frac{1}{1+t^2}$, then one can easily show that $f_3(t)$ and $f_4(t)$ are Weyl almost anti-periodic. Since $f_3(t) + f_4(t) = 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\|f_3(\cdot + \tau) + f_4(\cdot + \tau) + f_3(\cdot) + f_4(\cdot)\|_{W^p} = 2 > 0$ for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, $f_3(t) + f_4(t)$ is not Weyl almost anti-periodic.

Remark 2.2. Example 2.1 shows that $W^pANP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{B})$ is a proper subset of $W^pAP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{B})$. Example 2.2 shows that $W^pANP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{B})$ does not form a linear space under usual linear operations.

Remark 2.3. Based on Definition 2.1 in [16] and Definition 2.2, one can conclude that an anti-periodic function is an almost anti-periodic function and an almost anti-periodic function is also a Weyl almost anti-periodic function, but the converse is not true.

3. Weyl almost anti-periodic mild solution

The neutral functional semilinear differential equation we are concerned in this paper is as follow:

$$\frac{d}{dt}[u(t) - f(u(t - \theta))] = Au(t) + g(t, u(t - \xi)), \quad t \geq t_0, \quad (3.1)$$

in which, A is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ on Banach space \mathbb{B} , $f \in C(\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B})$, $g : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is a measurable function, $\theta, \xi > 0$ are constants.

The initial value imposed on system (3.1) is as follow:

$$x(s) = \varphi(s), \quad \varphi \in C([t_0 - \eta, t_0], \mathbb{B}), \quad \eta = \max\{\theta, \xi\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Definition 3.1. Function $v : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is said to be a mild solution of (3.1) and (3.2) if it satisfies the initial value condition (3.2) and the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) = & T(t - t_0)[v(t_0) - f(v(t_0 - \theta))] + f(v(t - \theta)) \\ & + \int_{t_0}^t AT(t - s)f(v(s - \theta))ds + \int_{t_0}^t T(t - s)g(s, v(s - \xi))ds \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \geq t_0$.

In order to gain our main result, we assume that:

(H₁) There are two positive constants M, ζ satisfying $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{-\zeta t}$.

(H₂) The function $\varpi \rightarrow AT(\varpi)$ defined on $[0, \infty)$ is a strongly measurable one and there exists a nonincreasing function $J : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $e^{-\zeta \cdot} J(\cdot) \in L^1([0, \infty), [0, \infty))$ satisfying

$$\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta \varpi} J(\varpi) d\varpi \leq K_1, \quad \|AT(\varpi)\| \leq e^{-\zeta \varpi} J(\varpi), \quad \varpi \geq 0.$$

(H₃) Function $f \in C(\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B})$, $g \in W^pANP(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B})$ and there are constants $L^f, L^g > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{B}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|f(x) \pm f(y)\| \leq L^f \|x \pm y\|, \quad \|g(t, x) \pm g(t, y)\| \leq L^g \|x \pm y\|.$$

Moreover, $f(0) = g(t, 0) = 0$.

(H₄) The constant $\tilde{M} := L^f + K_1 L^f + \frac{ML^g}{\zeta}$ satisfies $\frac{2ML^g}{\zeta} < \tilde{M} < 1$, where M is mentioned in (H₁), K_1 is mentioned in (H₂), ζ is mentioned in (H₁) and (H₂).

Let $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{B})$ denote the space of all essentially bounded and measurable functions from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{B} . Then, it is a Banach space when endowed with the norm $\|x\|_\infty = \text{ess sup}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|x(t)\|$ for $x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{B})$.

Lemma 3.1. *If $\Theta \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{B})$ with $\|\Theta\|_{W^p} < \infty$, then one has*

$$\left\| \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta\varpi} \Theta(\cdot - \varpi) d\varpi \right\|_{W^p} \leq \zeta^{-1} \|\Theta\|_{W^p}$$

and

$$\left\| \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta\varpi} J(\varpi) \Theta(\cdot - \varpi) d\varpi \right\|_{W^p} \leq K_1 \|\Theta\|_{W^p}.$$

Proof. By Fubini's theorem, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta\varpi} \Theta(\cdot - \varpi) d\varpi \right\|_{W^p} \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{1}{T} \int_a^{a+T} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{\zeta\varpi}{p}} e^{-\frac{(p-1)\zeta\varpi}{p}} \|\Theta(t - \varpi)\| d\varpi \right)^p dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{1}{T} \int_a^{a+T} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta\varpi} \|\Theta(t - \varpi)\|^p d\varpi \left(\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta\varpi} d\varpi \right)^{p-1} dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \zeta^{-\frac{p-1}{p}} \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{1}{T} \int_a^{a+T} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta\varpi} \|\Theta(t - \varpi)\|^p d\varpi dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \zeta^{-\frac{p-1}{p}} \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{1}{T} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta\varpi} \int_a^{a+T} \|\Theta(t - \varpi)\|^p dt d\varpi \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \zeta^{-\frac{p-1}{p}} \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{a+\varpi \in \mathbb{R}} \left[\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta\varpi} \frac{1}{T} \int_{a+\varpi}^{a+\varpi+T} \|\Theta(s)\|^p ds d\varpi \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \zeta^{-\frac{p-1}{p}} \left[\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta\varpi} \|\Theta\|_{W^p}^p d\varpi \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \zeta^{-1} \|\Theta\|_{W^p} \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta\varpi} J(\varpi) \Theta(\cdot - \varpi) d\varpi \right\|_{W^p} \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{1}{T} \int_a^{a+T} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{\zeta\varpi}{p}} J(\varpi)^{\frac{1}{p}} e^{-\frac{(p-1)\zeta\varpi}{p}} J(\varpi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|\Theta(t - \varpi)\| d\varpi \right)^p dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{1}{T} \int_a^{a+T} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta\varpi} J(\varpi) \|\Theta(t - \varpi)\|^p d\varpi \left(\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta\varpi} J(\varpi) d\varpi \right)^{p-1} dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq K_1^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{1}{T} \int_a^{a+T} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta\varpi} J(\varpi) \|\Theta(t - \varpi)\|^p d\varpi dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= K_1^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{1}{T} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta \varpi} J(\varpi) \int_a^{a+T} \|\Theta(t - \varpi)\|^p dt d\varpi \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq K_1^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{a+\varpi \in \mathbb{R}} \left[\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta \varpi} J(\varpi) \frac{1}{T} \int_{a+\varpi}^{a+\varpi+T} \|\Theta(s)\|^p ds d\varpi \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= K_1^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left[\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta \varpi} J(\varpi) \|\Theta\|_{W^p}^p d\varpi \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq K_1 \|\Theta\|_{W^p}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is done.

Theorem 3.1. *Let assumptions (H₁)–(H₃) be fulfilled. Then system (3.1) admits one and only one Weyl almost anti-periodic solution in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{B})$.*

Proof. By Definition 3.1, it is clear that $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is a solution to (3.1) if it meets the equation

$$x(t) = f(x(t - \theta)) + \int_{-\infty}^t AT(t - s)f(x(s - \theta))ds + \int_{-\infty}^t T(t - s)g(s, x(s - \xi))ds. \quad (3.3)$$

Define an operator $\Lambda : L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Lambda\phi)(t) &= f(\phi(t - \theta)) + \int_{-\infty}^t AT(t - s)f(\phi(s - \theta))ds + \int_{-\infty}^t T(t - s)g(s, \phi(s - \xi))ds \\
&= f(\phi(t - \theta)) + \int_0^{+\infty} AT(\varpi)f(\phi(t - \varpi - \theta))d\varpi + \int_0^{+\infty} T(\varpi)g(t - \varpi, \phi(t - \varpi - \xi))d\varpi,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{B})$.

First of all, we will confirm that $\Lambda(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{B})) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{B})$. Indeed, for every $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{B})$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\Lambda\phi)\|_\infty &\leq \|f(\phi(\cdot - \theta))\|_\infty + \left\| \int_0^{+\infty} AT(\varpi)f(\phi(\cdot - \varpi - \theta))d\varpi \right\|_\infty \\
&\quad + \left\| \int_0^{+\infty} T(\varpi)g(\cdot - \varpi, \phi(\cdot - \varpi - \xi))d\varpi \right\|_\infty \\
&\leq L^f \|\phi(\cdot - \theta)\|_\infty + L^f \left\| \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta \varpi} J(\varpi)\phi(\cdot - \varpi - \theta)d\varpi \right\|_\infty \\
&\quad + L^g \left\| \int_0^{+\infty} M e^{-\zeta \varpi} \phi(\cdot - \varpi - \xi)d\varpi \right\|_\infty \\
&\leq L^f \|\phi\|_\infty + K_1 L^f \|\phi\|_\infty + \frac{ML^g}{\zeta} \|\phi\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, Λ is a self-mapping.

Next, we will demonstrate Λ is a contraction mapping. For any $x, y \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{B})$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(\Lambda x) - (\Lambda y)\|_\infty \\
&\leq \|f(x(\cdot - \theta)) - f(y(\cdot - \theta))\|_\infty + \int_0^{+\infty} AT(\varpi) \|f(x(\cdot - \varpi - \theta)) - f(y(\cdot - \varpi - \theta))\|_\infty d\varpi
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^{+\infty} T(\varpi) \|g(\cdot - \varpi, x(\cdot - \varpi - \xi)) - g(\cdot - \varpi, y(\cdot - \varpi - \xi))\|_{\infty} d\varpi \\
& \leq L^f \|x(\cdot - \theta) - y(\cdot - \theta)\|_{\infty} + L^f \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta\varpi} J(\varpi) \|x(\cdot - \varpi - \theta) - y(\cdot - \varpi - \theta)\|_{\infty} d\varpi \\
& \quad + ML^g \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta\varpi} \|x(\cdot - \varpi - \xi) - y(\cdot - \varpi - \xi)\|_{\infty} d\varpi \\
& \leq \left(L^f + K_1 L^f + \frac{ML^g}{\zeta} \right) \|x - y\|_{\infty},
\end{aligned}$$

which, by (H_4) , means that Λ is a contraction mapping. Consequently, we derive that (3.1) admits unique one mild solution $x^* \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{B})$.

Lastly, we will demonstrate that the x^* is Weyl almost anti-periodic. By (3.3), one can infer that

$$x^*(t) = f(x^*(t - \theta)) + \int_0^{+\infty} AT(\varpi) f(x^*(t - \varpi - \theta)) d\varpi + \int_0^{+\infty} T(\varpi) g(t - \varpi, x^*(t - \varpi - \xi)) d\varpi. \quad (3.4)$$

Since $g \in W^p ANP(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B})$, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and each compact subset \mathbb{K} of \mathbb{B} that contains x^* , there is a constant $l = l(\epsilon, \mathbb{K}) > 0$ such that in each interval with length l contains at least one $\tau = \tau(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\|g(\cdot + \tau, x) + g(\cdot, x)\|_{W^p} < \epsilon$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{K}$.

On one hand, with the help of (3.4), one can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|x^*(\cdot + \tau) - x^*(\cdot)\|_{W^p} \\
& = \left\| f(x^*(\cdot + \tau - \theta)) - f(x^*(\cdot - \theta)) + \int_0^{+\infty} AT(\varpi) (f(x^*(\cdot + \tau - \varpi - \theta)) - f(x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \theta))) d\varpi \right. \\
& \quad + \int_0^{+\infty} T(\varpi) (g(\cdot + \tau - \varpi, x^*(\cdot + \tau - \varpi - \xi)) + g(\cdot + \tau - \varpi, x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \xi))) d\varpi \\
& \quad \left. - \int_0^{+\infty} T(\varpi) (g(\cdot - \varpi, x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \xi)) + g(\cdot - \varpi, x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \xi))) d\varpi \right\|_{W^p} \\
& \leq L^f \|x^*(\cdot + \tau) - x^*(\cdot)\|_{W^p} + L^f \left\| \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta\varpi} J(\varpi) (x^*(\cdot + \tau - \varpi - \theta) - x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \theta)) d\varpi \right\|_{W^p} \\
& \quad + ML^g \left\| \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta\varpi} (x^*(\cdot + \tau - \varpi - \xi) + x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \xi)) d\varpi \right\|_{W^p} \\
& \quad + M \left\| \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta\varpi} (g(\cdot + \tau - \varpi, x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \xi)) + g(\cdot - \varpi, x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \xi))) d\varpi \right\|_{W^p} \\
& := \Theta_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by virtue of Lemma 3.1, one can get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_1 & < L^f \|x^*(\cdot + \tau) - x^*(\cdot)\|_{W^p} + K_1 L^f \|x^*(\cdot + \tau - \varpi - \theta) - x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \theta)\|_{W^p} \\
& \quad + \frac{ML^g}{\zeta} \|x^*(\cdot + \tau - \varpi - \xi) + x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \xi)\|_{W^p}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{M}{\zeta} \|g(\cdot + \tau - \varpi, x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \xi)) + g(\cdot - \varpi, x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \xi))\|_{W^p} \\
& \leq (L^f + K_1 L^f) \|x^*(\cdot + \tau) - x^*(\cdot)\|_{W^p} + \frac{ML^g}{\zeta} \|x^*(\cdot + \tau) + x^*(\cdot)\|_{W^p} + \frac{M}{\zeta} \epsilon. \tag{3.5}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we can derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|x^*(\cdot + \tau) + x^*(\cdot)\|_{W^p} \\
& = \left\| f(x^*(\cdot + \tau - \theta)) + f(x^*(\cdot - \theta)) + \int_0^{+\infty} AT(\varpi)(f(x^*(\cdot + \tau - \varpi - \theta)) + f(x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \theta)))d\varpi \right. \\
& \quad + \int_0^{+\infty} T(\varpi)(g(\cdot + \tau - \varpi, x^*(\cdot + \tau - \varpi - \xi)) - g(\cdot + \tau - \varpi, x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \xi)))d\varpi \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^{+\infty} T(\varpi)(g(\cdot + \tau - \varpi, x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \xi)) + g(\cdot - \varpi, x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \xi)))d\varpi \right\|_{W^p} \\
& \leq L^f \|x^*(\cdot + \tau) + x^*(\cdot)\|_{W^p} + L^f \left\| \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta\varpi} J(\varpi)(x^*(\cdot + \tau - \varpi - \theta) + x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \theta))d\varpi \right\|_{W^p} \\
& \quad + ML^g \left\| \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta\varpi} (x^*(\cdot + \tau - \varpi - \xi) - x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \xi))d\varpi \right\|_{W^p} \\
& \quad + M \left\| \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\zeta\varpi} (g(\cdot + \tau - \varpi, x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \xi)) + g(\cdot - \varpi, x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \xi)))d\varpi \right\|_{W^p} \\
& := \Theta_2,
\end{aligned}$$

then again by Lemma 3.1, we can get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_2 & < L^f \|x^*(\cdot + \tau) + x^*(\cdot)\|_{W^p} + K_1 L^f \|x^*(\cdot + \tau - \varpi - \theta) + x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \theta)\|_{W^p} \\
& \quad + \frac{ML^g}{\zeta} \|x^*(\cdot + \tau - \varpi - \xi) - x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \xi)\|_{W^p} \\
& \quad + \frac{M}{\zeta} \|g(\cdot + \tau - \varpi, x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \xi)) + g(\cdot - \varpi, x^*(\cdot - \varpi - \xi))\|_{W^p} \\
& \leq (L^f + K_1 L^f) \|x^*(\cdot + \tau) + x^*(\cdot)\|_{W^p} + \frac{ML^g}{\zeta} \|x^*(\cdot + \tau) - x^*(\cdot)\|_{W^p} + \frac{M}{\zeta} \epsilon. \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from (3.5) and (3.6) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x^*(\cdot + \tau) + x^*(\cdot)\|_{W^p} & < \frac{ML^g}{\zeta} (1 - L^f - K_1 L^f)^{-1} \|x^*(\cdot + \tau) - x^*(\cdot)\|_{W^p} \\
& \quad + \frac{M}{\zeta} (1 - L^f - K_1 L^f)^{-1} \epsilon \\
& < \frac{ML^g}{\zeta} (1 - L^f - K_1 L^f)^{-2} \left(\frac{ML^g}{\zeta} \|x^*(\cdot + \tau) + x^*(\cdot)\|_{W^p} + \frac{M}{\zeta} \epsilon \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{M}{\zeta} (1 - L^f - K_1 L^f)^{-1} \epsilon \\
& = \frac{(M)^2 (L^g)^2}{\zeta^2 (1 - L^f - K_1 L^f)^2} \|x^*(\cdot + \tau) + x^*(\cdot)\|_{W^p}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{(M)^2 L^g}{\zeta^2(1 - L^f - K_1 L^f)^2} \epsilon + \frac{M}{\zeta(1 - L^f - K_1 L^f)} \epsilon,$$

hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^*(\cdot + \tau) + x^*(\cdot)\|_{W^p} &< \epsilon \left(\frac{(M)^2 L^g}{\zeta^2(1 - L^f - K_1 L^f)^2} + \frac{M}{\zeta(1 - L^f - K_1 L^f)} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 - \frac{(M)^2 (L^g)^2}{\zeta^2(1 - L^f - K_1 L^f)^2} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \epsilon \left(\frac{(M)^2 L^g}{\zeta^2(1 - L^f - K_1 L^f)^2} + \frac{M}{\zeta(1 - L^f - K_1 L^f)} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 + \frac{ML^g}{\zeta(1 - L^f - K_1 L^f)} \right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{ML^g}{\zeta - L^f \zeta - K_1 L^f \zeta} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \epsilon \left(\frac{(M)^2 L^g}{\zeta^2(1 - L^f - K_1 L^f)^2} + \frac{M}{\zeta(1 - L^f - K_1 L^f)} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 + \frac{ML^g}{\zeta(1 - L^f - K_1 L^f)} \right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{ML^g}{M\zeta - ML^g} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \epsilon \left(\frac{(M)^2 L^g}{\zeta^2(1 - L^f - K_1 L^f)^2} + \frac{M}{\zeta(1 - L^f - K_1 L^f)} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 + \frac{ML^g}{\zeta(1 - L^f - K_1 L^f)} \right)^{-1} \frac{M\zeta - ML^g}{M\zeta - 2ML^g}, \end{aligned}$$

which means that x^* is p -th Weyl almost anti-periodic. The proof is finished.

Example 3.1. Consider the neutral type partial deferential equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[u(t, x) + f(u(t - \theta, x))] = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(t, x) + g(t, u(t - \xi, x)), \\ u(t, 0) = u(t, \pi) = 0, \quad t \in (0, +\infty), \\ u(\theta, x) = \phi(\theta, x), \quad \theta \in [-1, 0], \quad x \in [0, \pi], \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$f(u(t - \theta, x)) = 0.25 \sin(u(t - 1, x))$$

and

$$g(t, u(t - \xi, x)) = \cos(\pi t) + \cos(\sqrt{2}\pi t) + \frac{0.25 \sin(u(t - 0.5, x))}{t^2 + 1}.$$

Take $\mathbb{B} = L^2([0, \pi])$ with $\|\cdot\|$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ as its norm and inner product, respectively. Let A be an operator defined as $Au = u''$ with its domain

$$D(A) := \{u \in L^2([0, \pi]) : u'' \in L^2([0, \pi]), u(0) = u(\pi) = 0\}.$$

According to the statements in Examples' section of [20], the operator A is the generator of an analytic semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on \mathbb{B} . Additionally, one gets, for $\varphi \in \mathbb{B}$,

$$T(t)\varphi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 t} \langle \varphi, z_n \rangle z_n$$

and for $\varphi \in D(A)$,

$$A\varphi = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 \langle \varphi, z_n \rangle z_n,$$

where $z_n(\xi) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin(n\xi)$.

Hence, $T(t)$ satisfies (H₁) with $M = 1$, $\zeta = 1$, and $\|T(t)\| \leq e^{-t}$, $t \in [0, +\infty)$.

Take

$$J(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & t > 0, \\ 0 & t = 0, \\ -1 & t < 0, \end{cases}$$

then (H₂) holds with $K_1 = 1$.

Consequently, (3.7) can be rewritten in a form as (3.1). It is easy to see that assumption (H₃) holds with $L^f = L^g = 0.25$ and assumption (H₄) holds with $\tilde{M} = 0.75$ and $\frac{2ML^g}{\zeta} = 0.5 < \tilde{M} < 1$. Therefore, according to Theorem 3.1, (3.7) admit one unique Weyl almost anti-periodic mild solution.

4. Conclusions

In this work, a definition of Weyl almost anti-periodic function has been introduced and the existence of a unique Weyl almost anti-periodic mild solution to a neutral functional semilinear differential equation has been confirmed by using the compressing mapping theorem and some analytical techniques. The concept and the approach developed in this work may be used to study Weyl almost anti-periodic solutions for other kinds of differential equations and may also be used to discuss Weyl almost anti-periodic solutions for neural networks and population models.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 12261098 and 11861072.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Y. Li, J. Qin, Existence and global exponential stability of periodic solutions for quaternion-valued cellular neural networks with time-varying delays, *Neurocomputing*, **292** (2018), 91–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2018.02.077>
2. C. Huang, X. Long, J. Cao, Stability of antiperiodic recurrent neural networks with multiproportional delays, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, **43** (2020), 6093–6102. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.6350>

3. D. Luo, Q. Jiang, Q. Wang, Anti-periodic solutions on Clifford-valued high-order Hopfield neural networks with multi-proportional delays, *Neurocomputing*, **472** (2022), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2021.11.001>
4. C. Huang, S. Wen, L. Huang, Dynamics of anti-periodic solutions on shunting inhibitory cellular neural networks with multi-proportional delays, *Neurocomputing*, **357** (2019), 47–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2019.05.022>
5. Y. Li, J. Qin, B. Li, Existence and global exponential stability of anti-periodic solutions for delayed quaternion-valued cellular neural networks with impulsive effects, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, **42** (2019), 5–23. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.5318>
6. H. Bohr, Zur theorie der fast periodischen funktionen: I. Eine verallgemeinerung der theorie der fourierreihen, *Acta Math.*, **45** (1925), 29–127. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02395468>
7. T. Yoshizawa, *Stability Theory and the Existence of Periodic Solutions and Almost Periodic Solutions*, Springer-Verlag, New York, USA, 1975. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-6376-0>
8. T. Diagana, *Almost Automorphic Type and Almost Periodic Type Functions in Abstract Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, USA, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-00849-3>
9. C. Corduneanu, *Almost Periodic Oscillations and Waves*, Springer-Verlag, New York, USA, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-09819-7>
10. G. M. N'Guérékata, *Almost Periodic and Almost Automorphic Functions in Abstract Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, USA, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-73718-4>
11. I. Kmit, L. Recke, V. Tkachenko, Classical bounded and almost periodic solutions to quasilinear first-order hyperbolic systems in a strip, *J. Differ. Equations*, **269** (2020), 2532–2579. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2020.02.006>
12. Y. Li, X. Wang, Besicovitch almost periodic stochastic processes and almost periodic solutions of Clifford-valued stochastic neural networks, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. B*, **28** (2023), 2154–2183. <https://doi.org/10.3934/dcdsb.2022162>
13. C. Xu, P. Li, Y. Pang, Exponential stability of almost periodic solutions for memristor-based neural networks with distributed leakage delays, *Neural Comput.*, **28** (2016), 2726–2756. https://doi.org/10.1162/NECO_a.00895
14. C. Xu, M. Liao, P. Li, Z. Liu, S. Yuan, New results on pseudo almost periodic solutions of quaternion-valued fuzzy cellular neural networks with delays, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, **411** (2021), 25–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2020.03.016>
15. Y. Li, X. Wang, N. Huo, Weyl almost automorphic solutions in distribution sense of Clifford-valued stochastic neural networks with time-varying delays, *Proc. R. Soc. A*, **478** (2022), 20210719. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2021.0719>
16. M. Kostić, D. A. Velinov, Note on almost anti-periodic functions in Banach spaces, *Kragujevac J. Math.*, **44** (2020), 287–297. <https://doi.org/10.46793/KgJMat2002.287K>
17. H. Weyl, Integralgleichungen und fastperiodische funktionen, *Math. Ann.*, **97** (1927), 338–356. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01447871>
18. J. Andres, A. M. Bersani, R. F. Grande, Hierarchy of almost periodic function spaces, *Rend. Mat. Ser. VII*, **26** (2006), 121–188.

19. J. K. Hale, S. M. V. Lunel, *Introduction to Functional Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, USA, 2013.
20. T. Diagana, E. M. Hernández, Existence and uniqueness of pseudo almost periodic solutions to some abstract partial neutral functional-differential equations and applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **327** (2007), 776–791. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.04.043>



AIMS Press

©2023 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)