



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Matteo Cameli, University of Siena, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE J. P. Hobkirk

☑ J.Hobkirk@hull.ac.uk

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Heart Failure and Transplantation, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

RECEIVED 22 March 2023 ACCEPTED 28 March 2023 PUBLISHED 04 May 2023

CITATION

Hobkirk JP, Aubert G and Bomer N (2023) Editorial: Micro- and macronutrient malnutrition in cardiovascular disease. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 10:1191982. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2023.1191982

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Hobkirk, Aubert and Bomer. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Micro- and macronutrient malnutrition in cardiovascular disease

J. P. Hobkirk^{1,2*}, G. Aubert³ and N. Bomer⁴

¹Faculty of Health Science, University of Hull, Hull, United Kingdom, ²Division of Cardiology, Stritch School of Medicine, Loyola University Chicago, Chicago, IL, United States, ³CSL Vifor, Glattbrugg, Switzerland, ⁴Department of Cardiology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands

KEYWORDS

obesity, malnutrition, micronutrients, undernourishment, ultra-processed food

Editorial on the Research Topic

Micro- and macronutrient malnutrition in cardiovascular disease

According to the European Society of Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism (ESPEN), malnutrition can be defined as "a state resulting from lack of intake or uptake of nutrition that leads to altered body composition (decreased fat free mass) and body cell mass leading to diminished physical and mental function and impaired clinical outcome from disease". Malnutrition can result from starvation, disease or advanced ageing (e.g., >80 years), alone or in combination (1). The World Health Organization (WHO) classifies malnutrition as one of the biggest threats to public health. Identifying patients at risk or with malnutrition can be undertaken by numerous screening tools, for example, the Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool (MUST) which utilises BMI, acute weight loss and loss of appetite. Blood biomarker panels have also evolved comprising of clinically adoptable measures (e.g., albumin, t-lymphocytes, ferritin, cholesterol).

In patients with acute coronary syndrome and heart failure, there is a high prevalence of malnutrition, assessed post-hoc using blood biomarker panels (2, 3). In these studies, there was no direct comparisons with other screening tools (MUST and other physical clinical assessments, e.g., sarcopenic obesity. Understanding the limits of agreements between screening tools and blood biomarker panels are urgently required, particularly where a large number of patients are normal or overweight and are typically not characterised as at risk of malnutrition via MUST classification. For example, Roubin et al. (2) reported the prevalence of moderate or severe malnutrition in patients with acute coronary syndrome based on three scores (CONUT, NRI, PNI). The prevalence was calculated to be 11.3%, 39.5% and 9.0% respectively. The higher observed prevalence of malnutrition was obtained with the NRI tool, which includes excess weight in its calculation (i.e., actual-ideal weight). This does raise an important question; does obesity really matter when considering nutritional risk?

Nutrient deficiencies associated with obesity may be partly due to overconsumption of foods that have a high caloric value but have a low nutrient density. Cross-sectional data from the UK National Diet and Nutrition Survey (2008-2014) in 9,364 individuals indicated that ultra-processed foods accounted for 57% of total energy intake. In that study a 10% increase in the consumption of ultra-processed foods linked with a 18% rise in the prevalence of obesity in both sexes (4). A recent systematic review/meta-analysis discussing trends of ultra-processed food consumption has underlined the importance of Hobkirk et al. 10.3389/fcvm.2023.1191982

undernourishment in chronic noncommunicable diseases (5). Traditionally, global health has focused on two distinct issues in nutrition; overnutrition, which includes being overweight or obese; or undernutrition, which includes underweight, frailty or having nutrient deficiencies. However, both conditions can be seen in the same individual, a "double burden of malnutrition" (DBM) by increased consumption of ultra-processed food, which is considered addictive based on established scientific criteria (6), is nutrient density poor and is displacing traditional dietary habits and practices.

It is important to recognise nutritional inadequacies/deficiencies in patients regardless of BMI classification. In the US NHANES study, the population prevalence not achieving estimated average requirement (EAR) in vitamins A, C, D, E, calcium and magnesium were significantly higher moving up the BMI classifications, but still worryingly high even in the normal weight group (7). Methodologically, there are precision issues with the subjective recall of dietary intake. Additionally, physiological concentrations are mediated *via* the absorption, distribution and metabolism of the micronutrient.

There has been a proposal to harmonise nutrient intake reference values and apply on a global scale to assess intakes across populations (8). The approach incorporates the framework and terminology recommended by reports from the United Nations University, the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NASEM), the Institute of Medicine (IOM), and the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). A recent study, Beal et al. (9) developed global food composition database and calculated recommended nutrient intakes for five populations groups with varying nutritional requirements. In addition, ratings of micronutrients across different food sources were calculated. In brief, the top sources of micronutrients were organ meat, dark leafy vegetables, crustaceans, bivalves (clams, mussels, oysters, and scallops), goat, beef, lamb, fish and milk. Interestingly, foods promoted as nutrient dense, including many fruit and vegetables and whole grains, are not particularly dense in bioavailable micronutrients. These foods provide nutritional benefits beyond specific nutrients, such as fibre, which is important for the gut microbiota.

Integrating nutrients, through better food choices i.e., less ultraprocessed food consumption, and foods that have a higher nutrient density is vital for everyone, not just those with CVD. High diet quality messages is an essential component of dietary recommendations, national food policies and health promotion. Specifically, being overfed and/or undernourished is a phenotype, that in our opinion, requires more scientific and clinical discussion and empirical testing in well-designed clinical outcome studies. This is particularly given recent (and worryingly) worldwide high obesity statistics, consumption of nutrient poor processed food and the displacement of traditional eating practices. Nutritional screening in obesity, through diet quality screeners is absolutely key (10) and understanding the barriers, motivators and enablers to improve nutritional status is a priority.

Author contributions

JH wrote the article. All authors were involved in the conception of the article and provided critical revisions. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

- 1. Cederholm T, Barazzoni R, Austin P, Ballmer P, Biolo G, Bishoff SC. ESPEN guidelines on definitions and terminology of clinical nutrition. *Clin Nutr.* (2017) 36:49–64.doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2016.09.004
- 2. Raposeiras Roubín S, Abu Assi E, Cespón Fernandez M, Barreiro Pardal C, Lizancos Castro A, Antonio Parada J. Prevalence and prognostic significance of malnutrition in patients with acute coronary syndrome. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2020) 76:828–40. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.06.058
- 3. Sze S, Pellicori P, Kazmi S, Rigby A, Cleland JGF, Wong K, et al. Prevalence and prognostic significance of malnutrition using 3 scoring systems among outpatients with heart failure: A comparison with body mass index. *JACC Heart Fail*. (2018) 6:476–86. doi: 10.1016/j.jchf.2018.
- 4. Rauber F, da Costa Louzada ML, Steele EM, de Rezende LFM, Millett C, Monteiro CA, et al. Ultra-processed foods and excessive free sugar intake in the UK: a nationally representative cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open.* (2019) 9:e027546. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-027546
- 5. Lane MM, Davis JA, Beattie S, Gómez-Donoso C, Loughman A, O'Neil A. Ultraprocessed food and chronic noncommunicable diseases: a systematic review

and meta-analysis of 43 observational studies. Obes Rev. (2021) 22:e13146. doi: 10.1111/obr.13146

- 6. Gearhardt AN, DiFeliceantonio AG. Highly processed foods can be considered addictive substances based on established scientific criteria. *Addiction*. (2023) 118:589–98. doi: 10.1111/add.16065
- 7. Agarwal S, Reider C, Brooks JR, Fulgoni VL 3rd . Comparison of prevalence of inadequate nutrient intake based on body weight status of adults in the United States: an analysis of NHANES 2001–2008. J Am Coll Nutr. (2015) 34:126–134. doi: 10.1080/07315724.2014.901196
- 8. Allen LH, Carriquiry AL, Murphy SP. Perspective: Proposed harmonized nutrient reference values for populations. $Adv\ Nutr.\ (2020)\ 11:469-483.\ doi: 10.1093/advances/nmz096$
- 9. Beal T, Ortenzi F. Priority micronutrient density in foods. Front Nutr. (2022) 9:806566. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.806566
- 10. Martínez-González MA, García-Arellano A, Toledo E, Salas-Salvadó J, Buil-Cosiales P, Corella D. A 14-item Mediterranean diet assessment tool and obesity indexes among high-risk subjects: the PREDIMED trial. *PLoS One.* (2012) 7:e43134. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0043134