Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Natalie Laibach, Spanish National Research Council (CSIC), Spain

REVIEWED BY Ipsita Samal, Sri Sri University, India Asrat Asfaw, International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Nigeria Ashish Kumar Choudhary, University of Delhi, India

*CORRESPONDENCE Janina Epping ⊠ Janina.epping@uni-muenster.de

RECEIVED 30 November 2022 ACCEPTED 11 April 2023 PUBLISHED 05 May 2023

CITATION

Riekötter J, Oklestkova J, Muth J, Twyman RM and Epping J (2023) Transcriptomic analysis of Chinese yam (*Dioscorea polystachya* Turcz.) variants indicates brassinosteroid involvement in tuber development. *Front. Nutr.* 10:1112793. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2023.1112793

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Riekötter, Oklestkova, Muth, Twyman and Epping. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Transcriptomic analysis of Chinese yam (*Dioscorea polystachya* Turcz.) variants indicates brassinosteroid involvement in tuber development

Jenny Riekötter¹, Jana Oklestkova², Jost Muth³, Richard M. Twyman⁴ and Janina Epping^{1*}

¹Department of Biology, Institute of Plant Biology and Biotechnology, University of Münster, Münster, Germany, ²Laboratory of Growth Regulators, The Czech Academy of Science, Institute of Experimental Botany and Palacký University, Faculty of Science, Olomouc, Czechia, ³Fraunhofer Institute for Molecular Biology and Applied Ecology (IME), Aachen, Germany, ⁴TRM Ltd., Scarborough, United Kingdom

Dioscorea is an important but underutilized genus of flowering plants that grows predominantly in tropical and subtropical regions. Several species, known as yam, develop large underground tubers and aerial bulbils that are used as food. The Chinese yam (D. polystachya Turcz.) is one of the few Dioscorea species that grows well in temperate regions and has been proposed as a climate-resilient crop to enhance food security in Europe. However, the fragile, club-like tubers are unsuitable for mechanical harvesting, which is facilitated by shorter and thicker storage organs. Brassinosteroids (BRs) play a key role in plant cell division, cell elongation and proliferation, as well as in the gravitropic response. We collected RNA-Seq data from the head, middle and tip of two tuber shape variants: F60 (long, thin) and F2000 (short, thick). Comparative transcriptome analysis of F60 vs. F2000 revealed 30,229 differentially expressed genes (DEGs), 1,393 of which were differentially expressed in the growing tip. Several DEGs are involved in steroid/ BR biosynthesis or signaling, or may be regulated by BRs. The quantification of endogenous BRs revealed higher levels of castasterone (CS), 28-norCS, 28-homoCS and brassinolide in F2000 compared to F60 tubers. The highest BR levels were detected in the growing tip, and CS was the most abundant (439.6 \pm 196.41 pmol/g in F2000 and 365.6 ± 112.78 pmol/g in F60). Exogenous 24-epibrassinolide (epi-BL) treatment (20 nM) in an aeroponic system significantly increased the width-to-length ratio (0.045 \pm 0.002) compared to the mocktreated plants (0.03 ± 0.002) after 7 weeks, indicating that exogenous epi-BL produces shorter and thicker tubers. In this study we demonstrate the role of BRs in D. polystachya tuber shape, providing insight into the role of plant hormones in yam storage organ development. We found that BRs can influence tuber shape in Chinese yam by regulating the expression of genes involved cell expansion. Our data can help to improve the efficiency of Chinese yam cultivation, which could provide an alternative food source and thus contribute to future food security in Europe.

KEYWORDS

Chinese yam, *Dioscorea polystachya*, tuber development, brassinosteroids, plant hormones

1. Introduction

The genus Dioscorea contains more than 600 monocotyledonous plant species, most of which grow in the tropics or subtropics of Africa, Southeast Asia, Central America and South America, while a few are native to temperate regions such as North America and Europe (1-3). Some Dioscorea species, known as yams, are cultivated for tuber production and are economically important staple food crops in many African countries (4, 5). Chinese yam (Dioscorea polystachya Turcz., synonyms: D. batatas, D. pseudobatatas, D. rosthornii, D. swinhoei and D. opposita) is native to China, Korea, Taiwan and Kuril Island, and is the only edible yam species that can be grown in temperate regions (4, 6-8). The underground storage organs are derived from the hypocotyl and are rich in starch, protein, fiber and minerals (9-11). They also contain bioactive compounds such as diosgenin and dioscin, which reduce lipid levels in the blood and inhibit the uptake of cholesterol, hence their use in traditional medicine (4, 12, 13). Dioscorin, the major storage protein in Chinese yam, is an antioxidant (14-18). Given these benefits, Chinese yam is also described as functional food (6).

Chinese yam is dioecious but sexual reproduction is rare due to its infrequent and asynchronous flowering (19). Vegetative (clonal) propagation is preferred for cultivation, using seed tubers or aerial tubers (bulbils) that are formed in the leaf axils, for planting (4). Chinese yam has been grown in East Asia for thousands of years, but is nearly unknown in western countries (20). It is also largely overlooked by scientists due to its long life cycle and polyploid genome, which limits the available genetic information (21, 22). Chinese yam has not been adopted in Europe due to the laborintensive cultivation of the twining vines, which require staking and can grow more than 3 m high (7, 23-25). Furthermore, the club-like or spindle-shaped underground tubers grow up to 1 m deep in the soil due to the positive gravitropism of the actively growing tuber tip, which contains amyloplasts that act as gravity-sensing statoliths to guide tuber formation (26). While growing into the ground, the tuber tip becomes thicker while the head region near the surface remains thin, resulting in a distinctive shape. The head region, as the most mature part of the tuber (23), probably enters dormancy first, characterized by its lacking meristematic activity (6). Dormancy, defined by the absence of visible growth in plant structure containing a meristem (27), is assumed to start right at tuber initiation in yam (28, 29). The tubers are fragile and this shape means they cannot be mechanically harvested or manually pulled out of the soil without breakage (6, 23). Tubers are typically harvested by manual digging, which is an economic challenge for the establishment of this crop in Europe (6).

Brassinosteroids (BRs) are polyhydroxylated steroid hormones that regulate plant cell elongation, cell division, cell differentiation, stress responses and photomorphogenesis (30–32). There are more than 50 naturally occurring BRs that can be classified as C_{27} , C_{28} or C_{29} types based on side chain substitutions (33–35). The synthesis of BRs begins when isopentenyl pyrophosphate and dimethylallylpyrophosphate produced by the mevalonate and/or methylerythritol phosphate pathways are converted to cycloartenol, which is then converted to cholesterol, campesterol or sitosterol in the endoplasmic reticulum (35, 36). The 5 α -reductase DET2 converts cholesterol to cholestenol, followed by a C22- α -hydroxylation reaction catalyzed by the cytochrome P450 monooxygenase CYP90B1 (DWF4) to produce C_{27} -BRs. The same enzymes catalyze analogous reaction steps in the conversion of campesterol to C_{28} -BRs or and sitosterol to C_{29} -type BRs (33, 37–39). Finally, the BRs are transported to the apoplast where they bind to the membrane-localized receptor BR-INSENSITIVE1 (BRI1), triggering a signaling cascade leading to the dephosphorylation of the transcription factors BRASSINAZOLE-RESISTANT1 (BZR1) and BRI1-EMS-SUPPRESSOR1 (BES1) (31, 40). Dephosphorylated BZR1 and BES1 enter the nucleus and regulate the transcription of genes involved in plant growth and development (41–43).

Exogenous BR application promotes stem growth but inhibits root growth in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (44). However, BRs act in a dosedependent manner, promoting growth at low concentrations and inhibiting growth at high concentrations (45–47). Brassinolide (BL), the presumed final product of C_{28} -BR biosynthesis, is the most biologically active BR followed by its immediate precursor castasterone (CS) (46, 48–50). Given its high bioactivity, BL is usually present at very low concentrations (36, 51). To ensure BR homeostasis, BR biosynthesis is tightly regulated by a negative feedback loop, which is necessary for normal plant development (48, 52).

The disruption of BR synthesis or signaling in *A. thaliana* causes severe dwarfism, a de-etiolated phenotype in the dark, and a dark-green color, as shown for the knockout mutants *det2-1* and *bri1-5* (37, 53). Similar phenotypes were observed in potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) plants following the silencing of *BRI1*, along with a significant reduction in tuber yield and weight per tuber, indicating a role for BR signaling in tuberization (54). The regulation of tuberization and especially tuber shape in *D. polystachya* has not been investigated in detail.

We therefore compared two Chinese yam tuber shape variants: F60, with long but thin tubers, and F2000, with short but thick tubers (Figure 1). F2000 was derived from F60 by vegetative propagation and selection for the preferred tuber shape over a period of several decades. Therefore, F60 and F2000 have a similar genetic background and this should enable the isolation of genetic factors involved in tuber shape development by comparative transcriptomics. Here we present new insights into the network of phytohormones and genes involved in the process of tuber expansion and enlargement in *D. polystachya* by comparing the transcriptomic data of variants F60 and F2000. We also quantified BR levels in *D. polystachya* tubers to determine their impact on tuber shape.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant materials

Tubers of Chinese yam variants F60 and F2000 were provided by a local farmer (St. Calude de Diray, Loir-et-Cher, France). Plants were cultivated in raised-bed gardens in Münster, Germany (51°57′55.3″N 7°36′54.1″E) from May to December 2018. The tubers were then harvested and placed in cold storage in the dark for least 4 months. For RNA-Seq experiments, pre-season tubers were cut into ~ 3.5×4.5 cm pieces (seed tuber). Raised-bed gardens ($1.2 \times 0.8 \times 1.4$ m) in Münster, Germany (51°58′34.8″N 7°35′03.5″E) were filled with a 1:1 mixture of topsoil and silica sand (Dobermann, Münster, Germany). In April 2019, tuber pieces were planted in rows 15 cm apart and were covered with a thin layer of topsoil/sand. After sprouting and vine development, plants were staked to enable normal growth. Plants



(n=11 F2000 and n=17 F60) were harvested 3 months after sprouting during the enlargement stage (55). Tubers were washed with tap water, divided into the head (H), middle (M) and tip (T), and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Head region was defined by its thin circumference and darker (brown/dark brown) peel. The actively growing tip was recognized by its bright yellow/white peel and cut was approx. made 2 cm from the distal end. Residual tuber part (thicker region and yellow/brown peel) was defined as the tuber middle. Samples were stored at -80° C and freeze dried for at least 4 days. For further analysis, tuber samples were ground to fine powder using an A11 basic analytical mill (IKA-Werke, Staufen, Germany).

Chinese yam cv. Yam 21 plants were provided by the Genebank department of the Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research, Gatersleben, Germany and were grown in the field in Münster, Germany (51°58′34.8″N 7°35′03.5″E) from May to December 2020 for bulbil production. In the next season, bulbils were grown in the field in Münster to produce mini seed tubers, which were harvested in December 2021. Seed tubers were stored at 4°C in the dark for at least 2 months. For the aeroponic growth experiment, these seed tubers were planted in a 1:1 mixture of topsoil and silica sand in $12 \text{ cm} \times 12 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm}$ pots and cultivated in a phytochamber under long-day conditions (16h artificial light, $100 \mu \text{mol} \text{m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}/8 \text{ h}$ darkness) at $25^{\circ}\text{C}/18^{\circ}\text{C}$.

2.2. *De novo* transcriptome assembly and gene functional annotation

RNA was extracted from yam tuber material for *de novo* transcriptome assembly (Supplementary Methods). Following library construction and Illumina sequencing, at least 50 million 150-bp paired-end reads per sample were obtained. Raw reads were processed to remove adapter sequences, low-quality reads (>50% of bases with a Qphred value <20), and reads of poly N-sequences (N>10%). During this step, we calculated the Q20 and Q30 values and GC content of the clean reads. For *de novo* transcriptome reconstruction,

clean reads were assembled using Trinity r20140413p1 (min_kmer_ cov=2, min_glue=2, all other parameters set by default) (56) and redundant Trinity results were removed using CORSET (57). Genes were annotated against the non-redundant protein sequences (NR), SWISS-PROT and euKaryotic Orthologous Groups and Cluster of Orthologous Groups of proteins (KOG/COG) databases using DIAMOND v0.8.22 (58), nucleotide sequences (NT) database using BLAST v2.2.28+ (59), Protein family (Pfam) database using HMMER 3.1b1 (60), Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database using KAAS r140224 (61)and Gene Ontology (GO) database using Blast2go b2g4pipe_v2.5 (62). KEGG annotated genes were assigned to KEGG pathways.

2.3. Differential expression and enrichment analysis

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between pairs of samples were identified using RSEM v1.3.0 to estimate the transcript abundance in each sample (63). Clean reads were mapped to the assembled transcriptome and the read count per gene was calculated based on the mapping results. Additionally, the read counts for each gene in each sample were converted to FPKM values (expected number of fragments per kilobase of transcript sequence per million mapped reads) to estimate gene expression levels by considering different gene lengths and sequencing depths. Differential gene expression was analyzed using the normalization method in DESeq2 v1.10.1 (64). The estimated pvalues were based on the negative binomial distribution, and were adjusted by applying the Benjamini-Hochberg multiple hypothesis testing procedure to control the false discovery rate (65). Genes with an adjusted *p* value $(p_{adj}) < 0.05$ were considered as differentially expressed. GOseq v1.32.0 and topGO v2.32.0 were used for GO enrichment analysis (66, 67). KEGG pathway enrichment was analyzed using KOBAS v3.0 to detect the interactions of multiple genes in KEGG pathways (68, 69). Significantly enriched GO and KEGG terms were defined by a corrected *p* value <0.05.

2.4. Gene expression analysis by quantitative real-time PCR

DEGs selected for RNA-Seq data validation and BR-regulated gene expression were analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). We included randomly selected as well as BR pathway-specific DEGs for validation. Total RNA was isolated and transcribed into cDNA using the PrimeScript RT Master Mix (Takara Bio Europe, Saint-Germain-en-Laye, France) and qRT-PCR was carried out on a CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) with the KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR Master Mix (2×) Kit (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). The housekeeping genes DpTUB and DpTIP41 were selected for normalization. The qRT-PCR primers designed for each target are listed in Supplementary Table 1. For each target gene, we tested three biological replicates in three technical replicates, each comprising a 10-µL reaction containing 2.5 µL cDNA (diluted 1:20), 5 µL KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR Master Mix (2×) and 2.5 µL mRNA-specific primer mix (2 μ M). Each reaction was heated to 95°C for 3min, followed by 44 cycles of 95°C for 3 s, 60°C for 20 s and 95°C for 5 s. Amplification was confirmed by melt curve analysis in 0.5°C increments from 58 to 95°C. Normalized gene expression levels were calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method (70).

2.5. Extraction and quantification of endogenous BRs

Tuber samples (~5 mg dry weight) were extracted in ice-cold 60% acetonitrile for 12 h at 4°C and 25 pmol of deuterium-labeled internal BR standards was added to each sample (OlChemIm, Olomouc, Czech Republic). After centrifugation (36,670×*g*, 15 min, 4°C), supernatants were loaded onto 50-mg Discovery DPA-6S cartridges (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA), evaporated to dryness, and redissolved in 40 μ L methanol for ultrahigh-performance liquid chromatography/ tandem mass spectrometry (UHPLC–MS/MS) analysis on an ACQUITY UPLC I-Class system (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) coupled to a Xevo triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Waters MS Technologies, Manchester, UK) as previously described (34, 71). Each sample was analyzed five times.

2.6. Exogenous BR treatment in aeroponic systems

For the exogenous BR treatment experiment, we used *D. polystachya* cv. DpYam 21. This variety produces a greater number of bulbils than F60 and F2000, enabling the sufficient production of mini seed tubers of similar starting material weight. After the germination of Yam 21 mini seed tubers, plants were transferred to an aeroponic system consisting of a box ($78 \text{ cm} \times 49 \text{ cm} \times 38 \text{ cm}$) with nozzles connected to a Gardena (Ulm, Germany) garden pump 3500/4 (800 W, 3500 L/h, 4 bar) and a lid containing six holes. Shoots were placed in the holes and held in Rockwool cubes, enabling the mini seed tubers and the new developing tubers to hang inside the aeroponic chamber. We used 12 plants per treatment and the first exogenous treatment was applied after 1 week of acclimation. The newly developed tubers and roots were sprayed with 1/10 strength

Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (Duchefa, Haarlem, Netherlands) including vitamins (pH 5.8) for 10s every 3 min. For the exogenous BR treatment, we diluted 10 mM 24-epi-brassinolide (epi-BL) in DMSO (APExBIO Technology, Houston, TX, USA) with 1/10 MS to final concentrations of 20 nM or 1 nM, the latter with an adjusted DMSO volume. For mock-treated plants, an equal volume of DMSO was diluted with 1/10 MS. Plants were cultivated in a greenhouse at the Fraunhofer IME, Aachen, Germany under long-day conditions and treated once weekly for 24h. On other days, plants were sprayed with 1/10 MS medium only. The 8-week-old tubers in the enlargement stage were harvested after the day of the seventh treatment, documented, and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen for qRT-PCR analysis.

2.7. Phenotypic characterization

For the transcriptomic comparison experiment, we measured the tuber weight, length and width. For the epi-BL treatment experiment, we measured the weight, length and width of the newly developed tubers and total root weight. We used ImageJ software¹ for tuber length and width measurements.

3. Results

3.1. Phenotypic and genotypic analysis

F60 (long and thin) and F2000 (short and thick) tubers were harvested 3 months after sprouting to confirm the heritable phenotype (Figure 1A). We calculated the width-to-length ratio of the tubers (Figure 1B). A significantly higher ratio was observed for the F2000 tubers (mean = 0.316) compared to the F60 tubers (mean = 0.078) indicating that F60 tubers were longer and thinner. Additionally, no significant difference in tuber weight was observed between the varieties indicating no change in biomass (mean_{F2000} = 83.5 g, mean_{F60} = 92.4 g; Figure 1C). ISAP marker PCR was used to verify the close genetic background of both tuber shape variants (72). We observed an identical pattern for F60 and F2000, but it was distinguishable from other *D. polystachya* cultivars, confirming the close genetic relationship between the tuber shape variants (Supplementary Figure 1).

3.2. Transcriptome assembly analysis

To determine the genetic factors responsible for yam tuber shape, we compared the transcriptomes of the F60 and F2000 tubers using three biological replicates per variant. Total RNA was isolated from the dormant head, middle and actively growing tip of each tuber for the preparation and sequencing of cDNA libraries. After quality control, we obtained an average of 59,069,041 (17.7 Gb), 62,292,940 (18.7 Gb) and 68,932,774 (20.7 Gb) cleaned Illumina reads for the head, middle and tip of the F60 tubers, and 65,394,734 (19.06 Gb),

¹ http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/

66,156,022 (19.8 Gb) and 57,673,077 (17.3 Gb) cleaned reads for the F2000 tubers (Supplementary Table 2). The Q20 and Q30 scores exceeded 98 and 94%, respectively, and the GC% content was >45%. A *de novo* transcriptome was assembled using Trinity based on the filtered clean reads. This generated 191,270 unigenes with an average length of 1,148 bp (minimum=201 bp, maximum=55,357 bp). The N50 length of the assembly was 1807 bp. The largest proportion of unigenes had a sequence length of 200–500 bp (67,551, 35.3%), followed by 500–1,000 bp (50,038, 26.2%) and 1–2 kbp (43,670, 22.8%) (Table 1).

3.3. Functional annotation and classification

The unigenes were used as queries to screen the NR/NT, SWISS-PROT, Pfam, KOG, KO and GO databases, resulting in the annotation of 67.3% of the unigenes in at least one database (Table 2). A BLASTx search against the NR database led to the annotation of 105,710 transcripts (55.3%, e-value $\leq 1 \times 10^{-5}$), among which 46.3% demonstrated >80% sequence similarity (Supplementary Figure 2A). The results of the distribution of BLASTx matches by species were in agreement with previous analyses of the yam transcriptome (Supplementary Figure 2B) (73, 74). Based on GO annotations, 47,384 (24.8%) of the unigenes could be assigned to biological process, cellular component and/or molecular function categories (Supplementary Figure 2C). Regarding KO annotations and KEGG pathway classifications, most of the unigenes were sorted to the pathways of translation, followed by carbohydrate metabolism and signal transduction (Supplementary Figure 2D).

3.4. Analysis of differentially expressed genes by tuber part comparison

Reads were mapped against the *de novo* transcriptome at a rate of >72% for each sample. Pairwise comparisons of expression levels between the F60 and F2000 libraries (Supplementary Table 3) and between the head, middle and tip libraries of F2000 (Supplementary Table 4) revealed a gradient in the number of DEGs from head to tip. The transcriptomic comparison of F60 vs. F2000 led to the identification of 23,559, 5,081 and 1,393 DEGs in the head,

TABLE 1 Statistics and length distribution of the Trinity *de novo* transcriptome assembly.

Length range	Unigene
200-500 bp	67,551 (35.3%)
500–1,000 bp	50,038 (26.2%)
1,000–2,000 bp	43,670 (22.8%)
>2,000 bp	30,011 (15.7%)
Total number	191,270
Min. length	201 bp
Max. length	55,357 bp
Mean length	1,148 bp
N50 length	1,807 bp

middle and tip, respectively (Figure 2A), among which 14,481, 1,122 and 924 were expressed more strongly in the head, middle and tip of the F60 tuber, respectively (Figure 2B). Only 189 genes were differentially expressed in all three parts, 61 of which were more strongly expressed in F2000 and 113 in F60. The greatest interface of DEGs was detected when comparing the heads/middles of the two varieties (F60H vs. F2000H and F60M vs. F2000M), revealing >1,600 DEGs, 1,233 upregulated and 245 downregulated in both parts of F2000. The smallest interface of DEGs was observed when comparing the tuber middle and tip (198 exclusive DEGs). In the pairwise comparisons between F2000 tuber sections, the greatest number of DEGs was identified between the head and tip (Figure 2C). Nearly equal numbers of genes were upregulated and downregulated in these tuber segments, indicating the transcriptomes are distinct, whereas there were few DEGs when comparing the middle and the tip (Figure 2D).

3.5. Validation of RNA-Seq data

The RNA-Seq data were validated by qRT-PCR analysis to confirm the expression profiles of 15 selected DEGs. The qRT-PCR expression profiles when comparing F60 and F2000 samples as well as the tuber parts of F2000 were similar to those determined by RNA-Seq, demonstrating the reliability and accuracy of the RNA-Seq dataset (Figure 3).

3.6. GO terms and KEGG pathways related to tuber development

Although only a small proportion of the unigenes was annotated based on the GO and KO databases, enrichment analysis provided an overview of DEGs assigned to certain GO terms or KEGG pathways and thus refined a list of candidate genes involved in tuber development. The middle and tip comparisons of F60 and F2000 tubers shared the significantly enriched GO terms

TABLE 2 Statistics of unigene functional annotations.

Database	Number of unigenes	Percentage (%)
NR	105,710	55.27
NT	80,140	41.9
КО	51,478	26.91
SWISS-PROT	99,364	51.95
Pfam	75,033	39.23
GO	47,384	24.77
KOG	44,848	23.45
Annotated in all databases	13,068	6.83
Annotated in at least one database	128,765	67.32

NR, non-redundant protein sequences; NT, nucleotide sequences; KO, KEGG Orthology; Pfam, Protein family; GO, Gene Ontology; KOG, euKaryotic Orthologous Groups of proteins.



FIGURE 2

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) identified by pairwise transcriptome comparisons. (A) Venn diagram of DEGs in the tuber head (H), middle (M) and tip (T) when comparing the F60 and F2000 varieties (p_{ad} <0.05) and (C) between F2000 tuber parts. (B) Numbers of DEGs with higher expression in F60 (up) or F2000 (down) in the tuber head, middle and tip. (D) Number of DEGs showing a higher expression in the F2000 tuber head, middle and tip.



carbohydrate metabolic process (GO:0005975), cell wall (GO:0005618), external encapsulating structure (GO:0030312), and extracellular region (GO:0005576) (Figure 4A; Supplementary Table 5). These GO terms have also been identified in transcriptomic studies investigating the formation of tubers or tuberous/storage roots in other plant species (75–77) suggesting

our DEG candidates related to these GO terms may be involved in Chinese yam tuber development.

In the F2000 tubers, GO terms related to carbohydrate metabolic process, oxidoreductase activity (GO:0016491) and anatomical structure development (GO:0048856) were significantly enriched in all three comparisons (Supplementary Table 6). The GO terms cell

۸		se.	5+2000	5f2000	F2000	VSF20	ysfin wysf	20	
A	Enriched GO terms	FOOHS	FOON		· +20001	£20001	£20001		
	anatomical structure development	17	6	3	42	32	58	_	
	biosynthetic process	1366	454	95	2161	1386	2939		
	carbohydrate metabolic process	364	190	63	668	478	945		
	catabolic process cell adhesion	4	50	14	18	1/3	21		
	cell morphogenesis	7	6	3	23	25	33		
	cell wall organization or biogenesis	29	13	6	53	66	86		
	cellular nitrogen compound metabolic process	73	395	6	2231	1348	2924		Btole studies and
	growth	2	0	1	4	8	7		Biological process
	homeostatic process	72	21	12	117	87	149		
	immune system process	5	1	1	2	213	5		
	small molecule metabolic process	404	134	41	653	441	839		
	translation	498	135	16	657	325	868		
	transmembrane transport	464	179	55	886	584	1136		
	vacuolar transport	13	220	0	1322	6	25		
	cell	1269	314	65	1695	1098	2288	_	1
	cell wall	24	23	10	28	52	67		
	cytoplasm	87	20	4	912	93 495	155		
	external encapsulating structure	26	23	11	33	55	73		
	extracellular region	32	18	9	32	27	56		Cellular component
	intracellular mitochondrion	1212	287	52	1578	992	2116		
	organelle	943	230	43	1191	722	1635		
	protein-containing complex	852	206	25	1097	624	1452		
	ribosome	405	117	10	520	254	686		
	cytoskeletal protein binding	161	56	15	358	242	449		
	enzyme regulator activity	84	24	13	120	93	182		
	hydrolase activity, acting on glycosyl bonds	205	131	32	344	309	540		
	lyase activity oxidoreductase activity	98 815	44	10	220	155 841	294 1136		
	rRNA binding	27	7	0	30	18	46		Molecular function
	structural constituent of ribosome	407	118	10	522	256	686		
	structural molecule activity transferase activity, transferring acyl groups	420	123	12	545	269	725		
	transferase activity, transferring alkyl or aryl (other than methyl) groups	24	20	1	35	33	53		
	transferase activity, transferring glycosyl groups	179	85	39	300	242	419		
	transmembrane transporter activity	443	166	51	842	510	1057		
						4.	న	~	
_			2000H	c2000M	20001	Froot	552000 55	2000.	
В		at a	Str M	s ^{x,}	54 00	1 ¹² 001	AN DOHNS		
	Enriched KEGG pathways	460	460	460	\$P	< ²⁰	< ²⁰		
	Ribosome	579	141	12	776	298	1033		
	Ribosome Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis Flavor at biosynthesis	579	141 63	12 28	776	298 141	1033 262		
	Ribosome Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis Flavonoid biosynthesis Oxidative phosphorylation	579 168 82 185	141 63 36 38	12 28 14 1	776 185 100 213	298 141 56 134	1033 262 135 267		
	Ribosome Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis Flavonoid biosynthesis Oxidative phosphorylation Stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis	579 168 82 185 53	141 63 36 38 21	12 28 14 1 8	776 185 100 213 52	298 141 56 134 30	1033 262 135 267 74		
	Ribosome Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis Flavonoid biosynthesis Oxidative phosphorylation Stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis Phenylalanine metabolism	579 168 82 185 53 65	141 63 36 38 21 24	12 28 14 1 8 9	776 185 100 213 52 53	298 141 56 134 30 30	1033 262 135 267 74 73		
	Ribosome Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis Flavonoid biosynthesis Oxidative phosphorylation Stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis Phenylalanie metabolism Photosynthesis Calcium eineline metabolism	579 168 82 185 53 65 44 27	141 63 36 38 21 24 20 2	12 28 14 1 8 9 0	776 185 100 213 52 53 45 45	298 141 56 134 30 30 27 20	1033 262 135 267 74 73 60		
	Ribosome Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis Flavonoid biosynthesis Oxidative phosphorylation Stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis Phenylalanine metabolism Photosynthesis Calcium signaling pathway RNA polymerase	579 168 82 185 53 65 44 37 54	141 63 36 38 21 24 20 2 8	12 28 14 1 8 9 0 0 0 2	776 185 100 213 52 53 45 45 46 61	298 141 56 134 30 30 27 27 20 9	1033 262 135 267 74 73 60 53 53		
	Ribosome Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis Flavonoid biosynthesis Oxidative phosphorylation Stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis Phenylalanine metabolism Photosynthesis Calcium signaling pathway RNA polymerase alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism	579 168 82 185 53 65 44 37 54 46	141 63 36 38 21 24 20 2 8 27	12 28 14 1 8 9 0 0 0 2 7	776 185 100 213 52 53 45 45 46 61 50	298 141 56 134 30 30 27 20 9 32	1033 262 135 267 74 73 60 53 53 67		
	Ribosome Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis Flavonoid biosynthesis Oxidative phosphorylation Stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis Phenylalanine metabolism Photosynthesis Calcium signaling pathway RNA polymerase alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism Spliceosome	579 168 82 185 53 65 44 37 54 46 46 	141 63 36 38 21 24 20 2 8 27 8 27 14	12 28 14 1 8 9 0 0 0 2 7 7 2	776 185 100 213 52 53 45 45 46 61 50 205	298 141 56 134 30 30 27 20 9 32 83	1033 262 135 267 74 73 60 53 53 67 211		
	Ribosome Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis Flavonoid biosynthesis Oxidative phosphorylation Stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis Phenylalanine metabolism Photosynthesis Calcium signaling pathway RNA polymerase alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism Spliceosome Starch and sucrose metabolism	579 168 82 185 53 65 44 37 54 46 144 163 163	141 63 36 38 21 24 20 2 2 8 27 14 119 67	12 28 14 1 8 9 0 0 0 0 2 2 7 7 2 2 23	776 185 100 213 52 53 45 46 61 50 205 325 210	298 141 56 134 30 30 27 20 9 32 83 247 134	1033 262 135 267 74 73 60 53 53 67 211 465 285		
	Ribosome Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis Flavonoid biosynthesis Oxidative phosphorylation Stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis Phenylalanine metabolism Photosynthesis Calcium signaling pathway RNA polymerase alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism Spliceosome Starch and sucrose metabolism Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism Linoleic acid metabolism	579 168 82 185 53 65 44 37 54 46 144 163 132 11	141 63 36 38 21 24 20 2 8 27 14 11	12 28 14 1 8 9 0 0 0 0 2 7 7 2 2 23 111 2	776 185 100 213 52 53 45 46 61 50 205 325 325 210 6	299 141 56 134 30 27 20 9 32 83 247 134 7	1033 262 135 267 74 73 60 53 53 67 211 465 285 17		
	Ribosome Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis Flavonoid biosynthesis Oxidative phosphorylation Stilbenoid, diaylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis Phenylalanine metabolism Photosynthesis Calcium signaling pathway RNA polymerase alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism Spliceosome Starch and sucrose metabolism Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism Linoleic acid metabolism	579 168 82 185 53 65 44 37 54 46 144 163 132 11 77	141 63 36 38 21 24 20 2 8 27 14 119 67 11 37	12 28 14 1 8 9 0 0 0 2 7 7 2 23 111 2 2 7	776 185 100 213 52 53 45 46 61 50 205 325 210 6 111	299 141 56 134 30 27 20 9 9 32 83 247 134 7 79	1033 262 135 267 74 73 60 53 53 67 211 465 285 211 465 285 17 156		
	Ribosome Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis Flavonoid biosynthesis Oxidative phosphorylation Stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis Phenylalanine metabolism Photosynthesis Calcium signaling pathway RNA polymerase alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism Spicceosome Starch and sucrose metabolism Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism Linoleic acid metabolism Fructose and mannose metabolism Cysteine and methionine metabolism	579 168 82 135 53 65 44 37 54 46 144 163 132 11 77 100	141 63 36 38 21 24 20 2 8 27 14 19 67 11 37 43	12 28 14 8 9 0 0 0 2 2 7 7 2 2 3 111 2 2 7 7 8	776 185 100 213 52 53 45 46 61 50 205 325 325 325 6 111 146	298 141 56 134 30 27 20 9 32 83 247 134 7 7 9	1033 262 135 267 74 73 60 53 53 53 53 67 211 465 285 77 17 156 208		
	Ribosome Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis Flavonoid biosynthesis Oxidative phosphorylation Stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis Phenylalanine metabolism Photosynthesis Calcium signaling pathway RNA polymerase alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism Spliceosome Starch and sucrose metabolism Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism Linoleic acid metabolism Fructose and methonine metabolism Cysteine and methonine metabolism Circadian rhythm - plant	579 168 82 185 53 65 44 37 54 46 144 163 132 112 177 100 52 2 2	141 63 36 38 21 20 2 8 27 14 109 67 11 37 43 19	12 28 14 3 9 0 0 0 0 2 7 7 2 2 3 11 11 2 7 8 8 12 2 3 3 3 11 11 2 2 7 7 2 3 3 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	776 185 100 213 52 53 45 46 61 50 205 325 325 325 46 111 146 91 3	298 141 56 134 30 27 20 9 32 20 9 32 247 134 7 7 9 118 46	1033 262 135 267 74 60 53 53 53 67 211 465 285 27 156 208 110 3		
	Ribosome Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis Flavonoid biosynthesis Oxidative phosphorylation Stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis Phenylalanine metabolism Photosynthesis Calcium signaling pathway RNA polymerase alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism Spliceosome Starch and sucrose metabolism Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism Linoleic acid metabolism Fructose and manose metabolism Cysteine and methionine metabolism Circadian rhythm - plant Anthocyanin biosynthesis Suffur metabolism	579 168 182 135 53 65 44 37 54 46 144 163 132 11 77 100 52 3 26	141 63 36 32 21 24 20 2 24 20 2 36 37 36 37 43 19 16	12 28 14 9 0 0 0 2 7 7 2 2 3 11 11 2 7 8 12 2 3 4	776 185 100 213 52 53 45 46 61 205 205 210 6 6 1111 146 91 2 64	298 141 555 30 30 27 20 9 32 83 247 134 134 7 7 7 9 9 118 46 1 1	103 262 262 135 267 73 60 53 53 67 211 465 285 285 285 27 156 208 110 3 71		
	Ribosome Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis Flavonoid biosynthesis Oxidative phosphorylation Stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis Phenylalanine metabolism Photosynthesis Calcium signaling pathway RNA polymerase alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism Spliceosome Starch and sucrose metabolism Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism Linoleic acid metabolism Fructose and metaholism Cytseine and methonine metabolism Circadian rhythm - plant Anthocyanin biosynthesis Sulfur metabolism	579 168 82 185 53 63 44 46 144 163 112 11 77 70 52 3 26 11 11 12 11 12 11 13 13 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	141 63 36 21 24 20 8 27 8 27 119 67 117 37 43 19 1 16 0	12 28 14 9 9 0 0 0 7 7 2 23 11 1 2 2 7 8 12 2 7 8 12 2 4 0	776 185 100 213 52 53 45 46 61 50 205 325 210 6 1111 146 91 2 64 32	298 141 555 30 30 27 20 9 32 83 247 134 7 7 9 138 46 118 46 1 19	1033 262 263 135 267 73 60 53 53 60 53 53 67 211 465 285 228 228 228 228 2208 210 3 3 7 1 50 208		
	Ribosome Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis Flavonoid biosynthesis Oxidative phosphorylation Stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis Phenylalanine metabolism Photosynthesis Calcium signaling pathway RNA polymerase alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism Spliceosome Starch and sucrose metabolism Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism Linoleic acid metabolism Gructose and mannose metabolism Cyteline and methionine metabolism Circadian rhythm - plant Anthocyanin biosynthesis Suffur metabolism ABC transporters Circat eyde (TCA cycle)	579 168 82 185 53 65 44 37 54 46 148 163 132 132 132 77 100 26 3 26 131 100	141 63 36 21 24 20 8 27 14 119 67 11 37 43 19 1 60 21	12 28 14 9 9 0 0 0 2 7 7 2 2 3 111 2 2 7 8 12 2 7 8 12 2 7 8 12 2 7 7 8 12 2 7 7 8 12 2 7 7 8 14	776 185 100 213 45 52 53 45 61 50 205 325 210 6 111 146 91 2 6 4 32 130	298 141 56 134 30 20 9 32 20 9 32 247 134 7 7 7 9 118 46 1 1 118 46 1 1 9 126	103 262 262 267 267 27 73 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53		
	Ribosome Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis Flavonoid biosynthesis Oxidative phosphorylation Stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis Phenylalanine metabolism Photosynthesis Calcium signaling pathway RNA polymerase alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism Spliceosome Starch and sucrose metabolism Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism Linoleic acid metabolism Gysteine and metholonine metabolism Crysteine and metholonise metabolism Circadian rhythm - plant Anthocyanin biosynthesis Suffur metabolism ABC transporters Circate cycle (TCA cycle) Steroid biosynthesis	579 168 82 135 53 65 44 46 144 163 132 11 17 100 52 3 26 110 100 180 190 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	141 63 36 38 21 24 20 2 8 21 14 20 2 8 21 14 119 11 16 0 21 8	12 28 14 8 9 0 2 2 7 7 2 2 3 11 2 2 7 8 12 2 7 8 12 2 7 7 9 0 0 7 7 2 7 7 2 7 7 2 7 7 2 7 7 2 7 7 2 7 7 2 7 7 7 7 2 7	776 185 100 213 52 53 45 61 50 205 325 210 6 111 146 91 2 6 4 32 130 27 27 27	298 141 56 30 30 30 30 30 27 20 9 32 20 83 247 134 134 14 14 41 41 19 126 46 5	1033 262 262 135 267 73 74 73 60 53 53 67 231 465 265 27 211 56 208 156 208 3 71 30 71 30 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90		
	Ribosome Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis Flavonoid biosynthesis Oxidative phosphorylation Stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis Phenylalanine metabolism Photosynthesis Calcium signaling pathway RNA polymerase alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism Spliceosome Starch and sucrose metabolism Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism Linoleic acid metabolism Fructose and metaholism Crysteine and metaholism Crysteine and methionine metabolism Circadian rhythm - plant Anthocyanin biosynthesis Suffur metabolism ABC transporters Gitrate cycle (TCA cycle) Steroid biosynthesis of amino acids Cell cycle	579 168 82 185 53 65 44 37 54 46 144 163 137 100 52 32 6 11 100 52 32 32 6 11 100 52 32 32 6 11 100 52 11 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	141 63 36 38 21 24 20 2 8 119 67 11 37 43 19 61 11 37 43 11 16 0 21 8 84 45	12 28 14 8 9 0 0 0 2 2 7 2 2 3 11 1 2 2 7 7 8 8 12 2 4 4 0 7 7 2 14 4 7 7	776 185 100 233 52 53 46 61 50 205 325 210 61 111 146 91 2 64 32 64 32 130 27 343	298 141 56 134 30 30 30 27 27 20 9 32 83 247 134 134 41 19 126 46 259 132	1033 262 263 267 267 267 267 268 33 53 53 53 67 211 465 57 211 465 211 208 109 3 71 30 30 99 99 476 220		
	Ribosome Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis Flavonoid biosynthesis Oxidative phosphorylation Stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis Phenylalanine metabolism Photosynthesis Calcium signaling pattway RNA polymerase alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism Spliceosome Starch and sucrose metabolism Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism Linoleic acid metabolism Grusdian dmetabolism Crysteine and methionine metabolism Circadian rhythm - plant Anthocyanin biosynthesis Suffur metabolism ABC transporters Cirtate cycle (TCA cycle) Steroid biosynthesis Biosynthesis of amino acids Cell cycle	579 168 82 185 53 65 44 37 54 46 104 144 163 132 11 77 100 52 3 6 23 3 23 23 11 10 10 10 10 10 236	141 63 36 38 21 24 20 2 8 27 14 67 11 37 43 19 16 0 28 8 84 43	12 28 14 8 9 9 0 0 2 2 7 2 2 2 3 111 2 2 8 112 2 7 7 8 8 122 2 7 7 7 7 2 2 2 3 111 11 2 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	776 185 100 223 52 53 46 61 50 205 325 210 6 1111 146 91 24 24 32 23 210 6 4 32 213 213 213 213 213 213 213	298 141 56 30 27 20 9 32 83 247 134 7 7 7 7 138 46 1 19 128 46 1 9 128 46 259 132 308	1033 262 262 135 267 74 73 55 53 53 53 67 211 465 285 211 465 285 211 10 3 10 3 10 3 71 30 50 9 9 9 476 60 7 240 25 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 21 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		
	Ribosome Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis Flavonoid biosynthesis Oxidative phosphorylation Stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis Phenylalanine metabolism Photosynthesis Calcium signaling pathway RNA polymerase alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism Spliceosome Starch and sucrose metabolism Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism Linoleic acid metabolism Gradian rhythm - plant Anthocyanin biosynthesis Sulfur metabolism Gradian rhythm - plant ABC transporters Gitrate cycle (TCA cycle) Steroid biosynthesis Biosynthesis famino acids Cell cycle Carbon metabolism Carbon fixation in photosynthetic organisms	579 164 82 135 53 65 44 45 44 163 132 132 132 132 132 132 132 13	141 63 36 38 21 24 20 2 8 27 14 10 67 11 37 43 19 16 0 21 8 84 45 73 21	12 28 34 9 0 0 0 0 2 7 7 2 2 3 31 1 1 2 2 7 7 8 8 12 2 8 8 12 2 3 7 11 12 2 7 7 8 8 12 2 3 13 11 12 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	776 185 100 223 52 53 45 46 61 50 205 325 325 325 325 46 6 131 46 91 46 91 46 91 26 32 130 45 45 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46	298 141 56 134 30 30 30 9 27 20 9 32 83 3 247 134 7 7 7 9 118 46 1 19 126 259 132 308 92	1033 262 262 353 267 74 74 73 66 53 53 53 67 211 5 67 211 5 67 225 285 285 285 285 285 285 285 285 208 109 109 60 20 209 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		
	Ribosome Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis Flavonoid biosynthesis Oxidative phosphorylation Stilbenoid, diarylhoptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis Phenylalanine metabolism Photosynthesis Calcium signaling pathway RNA polymerase alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism Spliceosome Starch and sucrose metabolism Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism Linoleic acid metabolism Fructose and methoolism Gytaelic and methoolism Cysteine and methoolism Cracalian rhythm - plant Anthocyanin biosynthesis Sulfur metabolism Aftrate yol (TCA cycle) Steroid biosynthesis Biosynthesis of amino acids Cell cycle Carbon metabolism Carbon fixetion in photosynthetic organisms Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis	579 168 82 155 55 44 165 44 144 143 142 144 143 142 11 77 100 52 3 26 11 100 52 3 26 11 100 52 3 20 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 10	141 63 38 21 22 8 24 20 2 8 111 677 111 377 43 10 67 11 67 11 67 11 68 73 43 9 1 66 73 43 9 16 60 21 8 45 73 43 721 43	12 28 34 9 0 0 2 2 2 2 33 4 2 2 7 7 8 3 12 2 2 4 4 0 7 7 2 3 4 4 0 7 7 2 3 3 12 2 3 3 13 12 2 3 3 14 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	776 185 100 213 52 53 45 46 61 50 205 220 6 1311 146 91 146 91 2 64 42 2 64 43 2 130 27 343 128 468 130	298 141 30 134 30 27 20 9 32 83 247 134 7 134 7 7 138 46 46 1 1 126 46 259 132 308 132 308 25 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	1033 262 262 353 267 74 74 73 66 53 53 53 53 67 72 211 67 225 285 285 285 285 285 285 285 208 100 3 7 1 56 208 209 209 209 209 209 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		
	Ribosome Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis Flavonoid biosynthesis Oxidative phosphorylation Stilbenoid, diarylhoptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis Phenylalanine metabolism Photosynthesis Calcium signaling pathway RNA polymerase alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism Spliceosome Starch and sucrose metabolism Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism Linoleic acid metabolism Cysteine and metholonine metabolism Cysteine and metholonise metabolism Cysteine and metholonise metabolism Cracian rhythm - plant Anthocyanin biosynthesis Suffur metabolism ABC transporters Gitrate cycle (TCA cycle) Steroid biosynthesis Biosynthesis of amino acids Cell cycle Carbon fixation in photosynthetic organisms Glycolysis / Gluconegenesis Glucagon signaling pathway	579 168 82 155 53 65 44 47 46 144 163 144 163 11 777 92 3 26 11 10 12 26 11 10 10 12 26 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	141 63 36 38 21 22 8 27 14 10 2 8 21 38 21 22 8 11 37 39 16 0 16 0 21 8 84 43 18 43	12 28 14 1 8 9 0 0 0 2 7 2 2 8 11 2 2 8 11 2 2 8 4 4 0 7 7 2 2 4 4 7 7 2 2 8 11 1 2 2 7 11 1 2 2 3 8 11 1 1 1 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	776 185 100 213 22 23 45 46 45 46 45 50 205 210 6 45 205 210 6 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45	298 141 55 134 30 27 20 9 32 247 34 3 7 79 138 46 1 19 126 46 259 126 45 259 132 308 92 160 78 5 11	1033 262 262 263 267 267 74 74 73 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 74 211 465 53 77 211 465 208 100 3 71 100 53 53 67 72 211 465 53 53 67 74 211 53 67 74 74 74 73 60 60 74 74 74 74 73 60 60 74 74 74 74 74 73 60 60 74 74 74 73 60 60 74 74 73 73 60 74 74 73 73 60 74 74 73 73 60 74 74 73 73 60 77 74 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73		<i>padj</i> > 0.05

FIGURE 4

GO term and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis. Selection of (A) GO terms and (B) KEGG pathways enriched in DEGs. Numbers of DEGs are given in the corresponding cells, and terms related to tuberization are highlighted in bold text. Significantly enriched GO terms and KEGG pathways are indicated by green cells ($p_{adj} \leq 0.05$).

wall organization or biogenesis (GO:0071554) and cell morphogenesis (GO:0000902) were significantly enriched in the F2000H vs. F2000T and F2000M vs. F2000T comparisons.

KEGG pathway enrichment analysis revealed three secondary metabolic pathways that were enriched in all three comparisons between F60 and F2000 tubers: "phenylpropanoid biosynthesis" (ko00940), "flavonoid biosynthesis" (ko00941) and "stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis" (ko00945) (Figure 4B; Supplementary Table 7). Most DEGs in the phenylpropanoid and flavonoid pathways showed higher expression levels in F2000H and F2000M but lower levels in F2000T. In the F2000 tubers, DEGs representing these two pathways were significantly enriched in the middle vs. tip and head vs. tip comparisons. Whereas most DEGs were upregulated in the F2000H vs. F2000T comparison, DEGs were more strongly expressed in the F2000T vs. F2000M samples (Supplementary Table 8). The phenylpropanoid biosynthesis pathway, which is also involved in lignin biosynthesis (78), and flavonoid biosynthesis pathway have been linked to storage organ formation in tuberous crops such as sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*), cassava (*Manihot esculenta*) and *Cynanchum auriculatum* (76, 79–82). Genes involved in lignin biosynthesis could therefore be particularly important for Chinese yam tuber enlargement because declining expression levels are thought to control the transition from fibrous roots to storage roots in sweet potato (76).

3.6.1. Sugar and starch metabolism

The KEGG term "starch and sucrose metabolism" (ko00500) was significantly enriched in the F60M vs. F2000M comparison. The genes strongly expressed in the F2000M samples included several related to sucrose and starch metabolism (e.g., DpSUS1 and DpSUS2), fructokinase (DpFRK) genes, glucose-1-phosphate adenylyltransferase (DpAPS1) genes and starch synthase (DpSS1-4) genes. However, seven unigenes related to DpSUS4 were exclusively expressed in F60M. In contrast, no starch synthase genes were detected in the tuber tip comparison, and DpSUS1 and DpSUS4 were expressed at higher levels in F60T. In the F2000 transcriptome, starch and sucrose metabolism was significantly enriched in all three comparisons between the tuber sections. Here, genes related to starch biosynthesis and cellulose degradation were upregulated in a gradient toward the tuber tip. Several endoglucanase and β-xylosidase genes were upregulated in the F2000T samples, where they presumably facilitate the hydrolysis of cellulose and xylan, respectively, during tuber morphogenesis (83, 84).

3.6.2. Circadian clock

Several DEGs were linked to the "circadian rhythm - plant" pathway (ko04712). Although most of these DEGs were detected in the F60H vs. F2000H comparison, the KEGG pathway was significantly enriched only in the F60T vs. F2000T comparison. Here, the majority of DEGs were expressed at higher levels in F60. We identified two-component response regulator-like genes (DpPRR37) that were expressed more strongly in F60 than F2000 in all three tuber parts, whereas phytochrome A (DpPHYA) genes were only expressed more strongly in the head of F60 tubers. We also identified genes encoding CONSTANS (DpCO) and FLOWERING LOCUS T-like (DpFT) proteins, which regulate flowering as well as tuberization (85, 86). In the tuber head, three of the seven DpCO genes and five of the seven DpFT-like genes showed higher expression levels in F2000. In contrast, all differentially expressed DpCO genes in the middle and tip comparisons were expressed at higher levels in F2000, whereas three DpFT-like genes were more strongly expressed in the F60T samples. Genes encoding Adagio protein 3 (DpADO3/DpFKF1) were expressed at higher levels in F2000M and F2000T. Importantly, DpFT and DpCO gene expression were upregulated in a gradient toward the head in F2000 tubers, whereas several DpPRR37 genes were mainly expressed in F2000M. In addition, genes encoding the transcription factors LATE ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL (DpLHY) and PHYTOCHROME-INTERACTING FACTOR 3 (DpPIF3) were upregulated in F2000H, whereas phytochrome B (DpPHYB) genes were upregulated in F2000T. Phytochromes are photoreceptor proteins that sense light and regulate plant growth accordingly (87). We also identified a GRAVITROPIC IN THE LIGHT 1 (DpGIL1) gene, which was expressed more strongly in F2000 than F60 in all three tuber parts. This protein plays a role in phytochrome-mediated agravitropism enabling randomized hypocotyl growth in *A. thaliana* (88).

3.6.3. Hormonal pathways involved in tuber shape

3.6.3.1. Jasmonic acid and its derivatives

We found that " α -linolenic acid metabolism" (ko00592), which includes jasmonic acid (JA) biosynthesis, was also significantly enriched in the two upper tuber parts. In the F60H vs. F2000H comparison, we detected 46 DEGs potentially involved in this pathway, 33 of which were expressed at lower levels in F60. A similar tendency was observed in the F60M vs. F2000M comparison, where 25 of 27 DEGs were expressed more strongly in F2000. Although not significantly enriched, two genes encoding 13-lipoxygenase (DpLOX), potentially catalyzing the oxygenation of linoleic acid, were expressed at higher levels in F60T, whereas two genes encoding a hydroperoxide dehydratase (DpAOS) and a 12-oxophytodienoic acid reductase (DpOPR) were expressed more strongly in F2000T. In the F2000 tuber, numerous DEGs involved in JA biosynthesis were upregulated in a gradient toward the tuber head, particularly genes encoding OPR, 4-coumarate-CoA ligase (DpOPCL1) and acyl-coenzyme A oxidase (DpACX), as well as three genes encoding jasmonic acid-amido synthetase (DpJAR1). These data point to a role for JA and its derivatives in the upper part of the tubers.

3.6.3.2. Auxins

We found that genes encoding the auxin-responsive proteins DpIAA30, DpIAA25 and DpIAA17 were expressed at higher levels in the F2000M samples. These transcriptional repressors dimerize with AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR (DpARF) proteins to inhibit auxinregulated gene expression (89). The DpARF9 and DpARF15 genes were expressed at higher levels in F60M and F2000M, respectively. Other auxin-responsive genes with higher expression in F2000M included Gretchen Hagen3 (DpGH3) and small auxin upregulated RNA (DpSAUR). Several genes involved in auxin signal transduction were also upregulated in F2000T compared to F2000M, including seven DpAUX1, three DpTIR1, 25 DpIAA, 17 DpARF, five DpGH3 and five DpSAUR genes. We also identified a gene encoding a transmembrane kinase 4 (DpTMK4/BARK1), which was more strongly expressed in F2000T than F60T, and in the F2000 tip compared to the head and middle samples. AtTMK4 plays an important role in auxin signaling and the auxin-mediated growth of A. thaliana plants (90).

3.6.3.3. Gibberellins

Gibberellins (GA) are key phytohormones required for potato tuberization (91). The F60M vs. F2000M and F60T vs. F2000T pairwise comparisons revealed no DEGs involved in GA signaling. Moreover, no genes involved in GA biosynthesis were differentially expressed in the tip between the variants. In contrast, genes encoding ent-kaurene oxidase (DpGA3) and gibberellin 3- β -dioxygenase 2 (DpGA30x2) as well as a *gibberellin 20 oxidase 1* (DpGA20ox1) gene were expressed at higher levels in the F2000M samples, whereas one DpGA20ox1 gene was more strongly expressed in the F60M samples. Four *ent-kaurenoic acid oxidase* (DpKAO) genes and six *gibberellin 2-\beta-dioxygenase* (DpGA2ox) genes were expressed at higher levels in the F2000H samples, while six *DpGA2ox1* genes were more strongly expressed in the F60H samples. Other components of GA signaling were differentially expressed in the F60H vs. F2000H comparison, including *gibberellin receptor GID1C-like* (*DpGID1*) with higher expression in the F60H samples. The F2000H vs. F2000T comparison revealed the upregulation of *KAO* and *GA20ox1* in the tuber head, whereas *DpGA3* and *DpGA3ox* were upregulated in the tip. Strikingly, a *DpGA2ox* gene was upregulated in the tuber tip, whereas two additional *DpGA2ox* genes were upregulated in the head, potentially resulting in the inactivation of GA (92).

3.6.3.4. Brassinosteroids

In the intersection of DEGs between F60M vs. F2000M and F60T vs. F2000T, we identified several genes related to or potentially regulated by BR signaling that were expressed at higher levels in the F2000 samples. These encoded serine/threonine kinases such as DpBSK1, BSK1-2 and DpCDL1, and the cell wall-related proteins EXORDIUM-like (DpEXO) and DpXTH9. Genes encoding the ovate family protein (DpOFP) were expressed at higher levels in all three F2000 tuber parts. In the middle and tip comparisons, we also identified several DpEXPA genes encoding cell-wall related expansin proteins. In contrast, the CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON 3 (DpCUC3) gene, which defines organ boundaries (93), was more strongly expressed in F60T. The DEGs involved in BR biosynthesis included three sterol 24-C-methyltransferase 1 (DpSMT1) genes, one methylsterol monooxygenase 1 (DpSMO1) gene, one cycloeucalenol *cycloisomerase* (*DpCPI1*) gene, and two $\delta(24)$ -sterol reductase-like (DpDWF1) genes with higher expression levels in F2000M vs. F60M. These enzymes represent the steroid biosynthesis pathway leading to campesterol, the precursor of C28-BRs. Additionally, the DpCYP90B1 (DpDWF4) and DpCYP90A1 (DpCPD) genes, encoding enzymes that catalyze downstream reactions, showed higher expression levels in the F2000M samples, whereas a CYP90D2 gene was expressed more strongly in the F60M samples. Interestingly, a DpCYP734A1 unigene, potentially involved in BR inactivation and homeostasis (94), was expressed more strongly in the F2000M samples, as was the gene encoding a potential BZR1 homolog. In the F2000M vs. F2000T comparison, the steroid biosynthesis pathway was significantly upregulated in the tuber tip. Subsequently, we found that nine DpDWF4 genes were also upregulated in the tuber tip, along with genes encoding the BR receptor complex BAK1/BRI1, a DpBSK gene, and a gene encoding a TETRATRICOPEPTIDE THIOREDOXIN-LIKE 1 (DpTTL1) protein. In A. thaliana, these proteins are thought to be involved BR signaling and may play a role in cell expansion (95, 96). Finally, we found that BR-responsive genes such as DpEXO, DpTCH4 and *DpCYCD3* were downregulated in the tuber middle. These data suggest that BR synthesis is more active in the tuber tip, potentially increasing the BR content.

3.7. Elevated BR content in tuber tips

Based on the transcriptomic data described above, we evaluated the BR content and composition in the F60 and F2000 tubers, revealing higher levels of castasterone (CS), 28-norCS, 28-homoCS and brassinolide (BL) in F2000 tuber tissues (Table 3). Peak levels of BRs were detected in the actively growing tuber tip, with CS the most abundant and BL the least abundant in both variants. Significant differences in 28-norCS levels between F60 and F2000 tubers were detected in the tip, whereas the most striking differences in the middle were observed for 28-homoCS and CS levels. A significantly higher level of 28-homoCS was detected in the F60 tuber tip compared to the middle.

3.8. Epi-BL treatment increases the tuber width

We investigated the effect of BRs on tuber development in planta by applying exogenous epi-BL to Chinese yam tubers in an aeroponic system. Tubers were treated with 1 or 20 nM epi-BL once a week for 24h. After 7 weeks, the tubers in the 20 nM treatment group were shorter $(15.725 \pm 1.034 \text{ cm})$ than those of the mock-treated plants $(17.794 \pm 0.792 \text{ cm})$ (Figures 5A,B). A significant increase in tuber width was observed in the 20 nM treatment group $(0.667 \pm 0.015 \text{ cm})$ compared to the mock $(0.529 \pm 0.015 \text{ cm})$ and $1 \text{ nM} (0.533 \pm 0.039 \text{ cm})$ treatment groups (Figure 5C). The width-to-length ratio of the mocktreated tubers (0.03 ± 0.002) was therefore significantly lower compared to the 20 nM epi-BL treatment group (0.045 ± 0.002) (Figure 5D). Due to the tuber shape of Chinese yam, we also calculated the weight-to-length ratio as an indicator of shorter but thicker tubers. In the 20 nM treatment group, the weight-to-length ratio was significantly higher $(0.259 \pm 0.009 \,\text{g cm}^{-1})$ compared to the 1 nM $(0.207 \pm 0.012 \,\text{g cm}^{-1})$ and mock $(0.197 \pm 0.008 \,\text{g cm}^{-1})$ treatment groups (Figure 5E). We also observed differences in root morphology and weight between the treatments. In contrast to the bright roots of the control plants, the roots treated with epi-BL were browner and showed a significant weight loss in the 20 nM treatment group $(18.358 \pm 1.325 \text{ g})$ compared to the 1 nM treatment group $(37.63 \pm 3.741 \text{ g})$ and mock-treated plants $(31.608 \pm 3.412 \text{ g})$ (Figures 5A,F).

TABLE 3 Endogenous BR levels in Chinese yam tubers (pmol g⁻¹ DW \pm SEM).

	F60			F2000					
	Head	Middle	Tip	Head	Middle	Tip			
CS	5.59 ± 1.49 ab	5.6 ± 1.27 a	365.57 ± 112.78 ab	20.26 ± 11.75 ab	22.12 ± 7.65 b	439.62 ± 196.41 ab			
28-norCS	3.68 ± 0.71 ab	3.13 ± 1.09 ab	27.49 ± 6.67 a	6.94 ± 1.03 ab	6.64 ± 2.35 ab	45.81 ± 1.73 b			
28-homoCS	2.42 ± 0.76 abc	$0.93\pm0.29~a$	77.30 ± 20.80 bc	6.14 ± 1.86 abc	6.66 ± 2.39 c	111.25 ± 13.53 abc			
BL	$1.74\pm0.84~\mathrm{a}$	2.35 ± 0.52 a	9.42 ± 1.75 a	4.91 ± 1.73 a	3.05 ± 0.77 a	16.00 ± 2.27 a			

CS, Castasterone; BL, Brassinolide. Different letters indicate significant differences in compound content between the tuber shape variants or tuber parts (Dunn's post hoc test after Kruskal-Wallis, statistics on rows, p < 0.05, n = 3).



FIGURE 5

Effect of exogenous epi-brassinolide (epi-BL) on Chinese yam (Dioscorea polystachya cv. Yam 21) tubers in aeroponic systems. Tubers were treated weekly with 1 nM epi-BL, 20 nM epi-BL or DMSO (Mock) for 24h. (A) After 7 weeks of treatment, tubers and roots were harvested (left - with roots; right - magnification and removed roots). Scale bar=1.5cm. (B,C) Tuber width and length were measured and (D) width-to-length and (E) weight-tolength ratios were calculated. (F) Total root weight was measured. Horizontal lines show medians, box limits indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, the filled square represents the mean, and lower and upper whiskers represent values differing at least -1.5x the interquartile range (IQR) from the 25th percentile or+1.5×IQR from the 75th percentile. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences based on one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post hoc test (***p<0.001, **p<0.01, *p<0.05, n=12).

3.9. Effect of epi-BL treatment on the expression of RNA-Seq candidate genes

Previous studies in other plants have shown that exogenous BR generally downregulates the expression of genes involved in BR synthesis due to negative feedback (36, 97-101). Therefore, we investigated the effect of exogenous BR on gene expression in Chinese yam tubers. In our aeroponic experiment, the relative expression levels of steroid and BR biosynthesis-related genes were affected by the epi-BL treatment. We observed the upregulation of DpSMT1, DpDWF1 and DpDWF4 in the 20 nM treatment group, while DpCYP90D2 was downregulated in both treatment groups compared to the control (Figure 6). In contrast, the expression of DpTTL1 and BSK1-2, both encoding proteins with roles in BR signaling, was suppressed by 1 and 20 nM exogenous epi-BL.

We also investigated the expression of genes from our transcriptomic comparisons if previous studies have shown that homologs in other species are regulated by BR signaling (102-104). The expression of cell growth-related genes was affected in a dosedependent manner. The DpEXPA1 and DpEXPA4 genes were induced in the 20 nM treatment group but suppressed in the 1 nM treatment group compared to the control. In contrast, DpEXO and DpTCH4 were induced by 1 nM epi-BL but suppressed or unaffected at the higher concentration. Interestingly, DpOFP1, DpOFP6 and DpCUC3 expression was inhibited in both epi-BL treatment groups compared to the mock treatment control. The auxin signaling-related genes



DpIAA17 and *DpSAUR50*, which showed higher expression toward the F2000 tuber tip in RNA-Seq experiments, were suppressed by the epi-BL treatment in a dose-dependent manner, whereas *DpTMK4* expression significantly increased in the 20 nM treatment group compared to the mock-treated plants and the 1 nM epi-BL treatment had no effect. We observed a slight dose-dependent reduction in

DpGA3ox1 expression and a slight increase in *DpGA2ox* expression but these changes were not statistically significant.

We also tested the effect of epi-BL treatment on *DpGIL1*, which was expressed at higher levels in all F2000 tuber parts compared to F60. The 20 nM epi-BL treatment induced *DpGIL1* expression by more than twofold, whereas the 1 nM treatment had no significant effect.

Finally, we investigated the expression of the circadian clock-related gene *DpFT8*, which was downregulated in the F2000 tuber tip compared to the head and middle and also showed a higher expression in F2000H vs. F60H (Figure 3). The 1nM epi-BL treatment significantly upregulated *DpFT8* expression by more than fourfold, whereas the 20 mM treatment caused significant downregulation compared to the control and the 1 nM treatment group.

4. Discussion

Several previous studies have focused on the genetic basis of tuber initiation and expansion in *D. polystachya* (55, 73, 105) but the control of tuber shape is poorly understood, despite its major impact on the efficiency of mechanical harvesting (6, 106). By comparing the transcriptomes of two closely related tuber shape variants (F60 and F2000) each divided into three tuber sections, we identified several candidate genes involved in tuber development. Contrary to our expectations, most DEGs identified when comparing F60 and F2000 were expressed in the assumed dormant tuber head (Figures 2A,C). The largest number of DEGs was identified when comparing the F2000H vs. F2000T samples, suggesting high transcriptional activity in the head as well as distinctive transcriptomes in the upper and lower parts of the tuber.

The KEGG pathway "stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid and gingerol biosynthesis" was significantly enriched in all three comparisons between F60 and F2000. In ginger, these pathways are thought to produce bioactive compounds such as volatile oils, gingerol and diarylheptanoids with antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and anticancer activity (107). Phenylpropanoid and flavonoid biosynthesis also contribute to the formation of pharmacologically active metabolites in ginger (107, 108). Accordingly, these pathways may also result in the production of bioactive compounds such as phenanthrenes (109, 110), which are associated with the health-promoting effects of Chinese yam tubers. Additionally, the enzymes of the phenylpropanoid biosynthesis pathway play a crucial role in lignin biosynthesis by supplying lignin monomers (78). Lignin is the major structural component of the cell wall, providing mechanical strength and support, thus playing an important role in growth (78, 111). Transcriptomic analysis of tuberous crops has suggested a link between phenylpropanoid/lignin biosynthesis and storage organ formation (76, 79-81, 112). In sweet potato and cassava, phenylpropanoid and lignin biosynthesis genes are downregulated during storage root development, indicating that lignin depletion is required for the transition of fibrous to storage roots (76, 82). The lower lignin content presumably enables cell expansion and consequently lateral tuber swelling during storage root formation (112). Therefore, the lower expression of phenylpropanoid biosynthesis genes we observed in F2000T vs. F60T samples may also affect the lignin content, enabling lateral tuber enlargement. However, inconsistent results were obtained regarding DEGs involved in this pathway in the F2000 tuber comparisons. Most of the DEGs were upregulated in the head compared to the middle and tip, but most of the downregulated DEGs were detected in the middle compared to the tip suggesting the lignin content is highest in the thin head region followed by the actively growing tip rather than the middle.

Photoperiodic perception plays an important role in flowering as well as tuberization and is linked to the pseudo-response regulators (PRRs) that control the circadian clock via feedback loops (113, 114). PRRs upregulate FT expression directly or by stabilizing CONSTANTS (CO), thus enhancing the binding of CO to the FT promoter. Moreover, AtPRR7 was shown to repress the expression of AtCDF1, which in turn encodes a repressor of AtCO (115). This increases the abundance of FT transcripts and promotes earlier flowering (116). In contrast, the rice ortholog of AtPRR7 (OsPRR37) represses the expression of Heading Date 3A (Hd3a), which is an ortholog of A. thaliana FT, resulting in the suppression of flowering (117). In potato, StPRR is upregulated in the early-tuberization cultivar Z3 but downregulated in cultivar Z18, which has a longer tuberization time, suggesting a role in tuberization time control (118). PRR proteins can therefore act as activators or repressors by regulating FT expression (119). Interestingly, DpPRR37 was expressed at higher levels in all three parts of the F60 tuber. We also detected higher levels of DpCO and DpFKF1 mRNA in the F2000 middle and tip samples vs. corresponding F60 samples. In potato, under long-day conditions, StFKF1 and StGI form a complex with StCDF1, resulting in StCDF1 degradation (120, 121). Because StCDF1 inhibits the expression of StCO, the depletion of StCDF1 leads to the accumulation of StCO mRNA, which in turn leads to the transcriptional activation of StSP5G (encoding a repressor of the tuberigen StSP6A), resulting in delayed tuberization (85, 121, 122). Contrary to the pro-floral transition activity of AtCO, StCO represses tuberization (121-124). The higher expression of DpPRR37 in F60 vs. F2000 may be responsible for the lower expression of DpFT, suggesting that DpPRR37 represses DpFT as reported in rice (118). In the F2000 tubers, we observed that DpFT genes were expressed more strongly in the head, while DpPRR37 expression was higher in the other tuber parts, supporting the hypothesis that DpPRR37 is a suppressor. Additionally, DpCO in F2000 tubers may induce DpFT expression. FTs can induce or suppress tuberization, so the effect of DpPRR37, DpCO and DpFT expression on tuber initiation in Chinese yam should be investigated in more detail.

KEGG pathway analysis showed the enrichment of DEGs related to JA biosynthesis. Most of these genes showed higher expression in F2000 tuber parts, including DpAOS, which encodes a hydroperoxide dehydratase (allene oxide synthase), the key enzyme catalyzing the dehydration of 13-(S)-hydroperoxylinolenic acid in this pathway (125, 126). Multiple genes related to JA biosynthesis were also upregulated in the F2000 tuber head compared to the tuber tip. Additionally, DpJAR1 genes were strongly expressed in a gradient toward the upper tuber. JAR1 catalyzes the conjugation of isoleucine to JA, forming an important and active JA derivative, and positively regulates JA signaling (127, 128). These results suggest there is a higher JA content in the upper tuber. JAs influence storage organ formation, including the promotion of tuberization in potato (129-131) and yam (132-134). Moreover, endogenous JA levels in potato were shown to peak at tuber set (135). The application of exogenous JA to D. alata and D. cayenensis-D. rotundata cuttings in vitro induced earlier microtuber formation (133, 136). The authors speculated that JA promoted yam tuberization during the initiation phase but had no effect later in development. In potato, exogenous JA stimulates tuber formation, but some studies suggest that JAs instead influence subsequent tuber enlargement by controlling the reorientation of cortical microtubules (137, 138). Interestingly, overexpression of StJAZ1-like, a negative regulator of the JA response, suppressed tuber initiation and reduced the average number and weight of potato tubers (139). Therefore, JAs might influence tuber induction in Chinese yam. *DpJAZ10* transcripts were more abundant in all parts of the F2000 tuber. In *A. thaliana*, the perception of JA results in the degradation of JAZ protein, enabling the transcription of JA response genes (140). Simultaneously, *AtJAZ* expression is induced by JA as part of a negative feedback loop (140, 141). Furthermore, several *DpJMT* genes were upregulated in the F2000 lower tuber parts compared to the head. In potato, the overexpression of *StJMT* increased the tuber size and yield (142). *JMT* encodes the jasmonic acid carboxyl methyltransferase that catalyzes the formation of methyl jasmonate (143). This derivative may also influence the enlargement of the lower part of Chinese yam tubers, as reported in potato. Taken together, the higher expression levels of JA biosynthesis genes in the F2000 tuber head and middle could affect the timing of tuber emergence, whereas other phytohormones may regulate tuber enlargement at later developmental stages.

BRs may also regulate tuber enlargement and shape. BRs are negative regulators of shoot gravitropism, control the gravitropic response in A. thaliana roots (46, 144-146), and influence plant architecture by regulating cell elongation, cell division and cell differentiation (147). BRs also promote lignin biosynthesis by inducing key genes of the phenylpropanoid pathway, thereby accelerating wound healing in potato (148). Exogenous BR treatment expanded the diameter of beetroot (Beta vulgaris) roots by increasing the size of parenchyma cells between the cambial rings and increasing the area of secondary xylem (149). Moreover, the analysis of tuberization in sweet potato roots and tuberous kohlrabi stems revealed enriched DEGs associated with BR biosynthesis at the early stages of root swelling and tuberous stem formation, respectively (150, 151). In our pairwise comparisons, we observed the upregulation of several steroid biosynthesis genes in a gradient toward the tuber tip, as well as DpDWF4 encoding the key enzyme in BR biosynthesis, regulating endogenous bioactive BR levels (36). The expression of BR signaling components was upregulated in the F2000 tuber tip, suggesting the presence of elevated BR levels. The quantification of endogenous BRs revealed peak levels in the tuber tip, confirming this hypothesis. Additionally, the pairwise comparison of F60 and F2000 confirmed the higher BR content of the F2000 tubers. In other plant species, high BR levels typically occur in young and actively growing tissues, coinciding with highest DWF4 expression levels (36, 152, 153). In Chinese yam tubers, the tuber tip is the actively growing part, so our results agree with the literature.

Interestingly, BL has not been detected in rice and it is assumed that CS is the end product of BR biosynthesis in some monocotyledonous species. This is because rice and other monocots only possess a single copy of the CYP85 gene, whereas two copies are present in the dicot A. thaliana, one of which evolved the required function for BL synthesis (51). Although, CS seems to be the main BR in D. polystachya tubers, a small quantity of BL was detected in all F60 and F2000 tuber parts. The higher expression of a DpCYP734A1 toward the F2000 tuber tip as well as in F2000M compared to F60M might be required to maintain BR homeostasis. The encoded protein is potentially involved in BR inactivation (94). Given that the effects of BRs on plant growth are highly dose-dependent and already visible at very low concentrations, the amount of active BL is usually small (36, 45-47, 51). Therefore, the inactivation of CS and BL by DpCYP734A1 might ensure optimal growth in yam tubers. The exogenous application of CS was shown to increase the gravitropic response in maize roots (46), while BL treatment disrupted the negative gravitropism of soybean shoots (154). The higher CS content could thus affect the gravitropic response in the Chinese yam tuber tips, influencing the growth direction in a manner still to be investigated.

BRs are known to regulate the expression of numerous genes that control the cellular processes underlying plant growth (41-43, 155). Our RNA-Seq experiments revealed the expression of several cell-wall related genes including DpEXO, DpXTH, DpTCH4 and DpEXPA. In A. thaliana, these genes are induced by BR treatment via the activity of the transcription factors AtBZR1 and AtBES1 (41, 102, 155-157). AtEXO overexpression lines showed more prolific vegetative growth, whereas knockout mutants exhibited dwarfism with low biomass production due to the inhibition of cell expansion (156, 158). Furthermore, the overexpression of *EgPHI-1*, which belongs to the PHI-1/EXO/EXL family, resulted in higher root volume without affecting root length in Eucalyptus globulus (159). Interestingly, several DpEXO genes were upregulated during the enlargement stage compared to the initiation stage of Chinese yam tubers (73). In contrast, the expression of AtCUC3 was repressed by BRs in A. thaliana (104). The stronger expression of DpCUC3 in F60T vs. F2000T may reflect the elevated BR content in F2000, potentially downregulating DpCUC3 expression. CUC3 controls organ boundaries by the repression of cell division (160). Our results indicate that endogenous BR levels may regulate cell division and enlargement in Chinese yam, and the higher activity toward the tuber tip could be responsible for the distinctive tuber shape. Furthermore, the higher rate of BR biosynthesis during early tuber development could favor a thicker tuber shape and shortening of the head region. To test whether BRs favor a thicker tuber shape, we treated D. polystachya tubers with different concentrations of epi-BL. This resulted in higher width-tolength ratio in the 20 nM treatment group compared to the 1 nM treatment group and control, confirming that epi-BL influences tuber shape. Interestingly, the expression of the sterol and BR biosynthesis genes DpSMT1, DpDWF1 and DpDWF4 was induced by the 20 nM treatment compared to the control, whereas the 1 nM treatment did not affect their expression. In agreement, our transcriptomic data indicate higher mRNA levels for BR biosynthesis genes in the tuber tip albeit the elevated BR content. However, our findings disagree with other studies showing that exogenous BR usually downregulates DWF4 and other BR biosynthesis genes in a negative feedback loop (36, 48, 52, 161-164). These differences may reflect the dosedependent nature of the response. Even so, DpCYP90D2 expression was suppressed by both epi-BL treatments, in agreement with our transcriptomic data. Here, the lower BR content in the F2000 tuber head was accompanied by higher DpCYP90D2 expression levels compared to the tuber tip. In rice, the expression of D2 (OsCYP90D2) was also downregulated by BL (163). How the modulation of these genes affects the BR content of Chinese yam tubers remains to be determined, but our data confirm the effects of exogenous BR on the expression of genes required for sterol and BR biosynthesis.

We found that BRs also modulate the expression of cell wallrelated genes such as *DpEXPA1*, *DpEXPA4*, *DpEXO* and *DpTCH4*. The expression of *DpEXO* was induced by 1 mM epi-BL but suppressed at 20 mM, whereas the expansin genes showed the opposite profile. These effects may be related to the dose-dependent effects of BRs on plant growth (45–47). Consistent with our RNA-Seq data, *DpCUC3* expression was downregulated by exogenous epi-BL. Therefore, endogenous BRs may regulate cell wall-related gene expression in Chinse yam tuber tips. EXO proteins may cooperate with XTH and TCH4, which modify xyloglucans in the cell wall, thus controlling cell wall loosening (157, 165) to facilitate lateral tuber expansion, whereas CUC3 would inhibit this process.

The OFP family is known to regulate organ shape and may fulfil a similar role in Chinese yam. The overexpression of SlOFP20 in the tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) variety Yellow Pear resulted in rounder fruits, whereas knockdown of the same gene in S. pimpinellifolium LA1589 (with an ovate background that produces round fruits) produced an elongated fruit shape (166). Furthermore, the tuber shape QTL Ro in potato (identified by fine mapping of the F1 cross between the elongated tuber parent DM1-3 and the round tuber parent M6) is regulated by StOFP20 (167-169). Finally, the overexpression of RsOFP2.3 reduced hypocotyl length in radish (Raphanus sativus) but increased hypocotyl width in A. thaliana (170). Moreover, OsOFP1 and OsOFP8 were induced by BL treatment in rice (103, 171). Therefore, the upregulation of DpOFP1 and DpOFP6 in F2000 tubers may reflect the higher BR content, thus repressing tuber elongation while increasing the F2000 tuber width, resulting in a higher width-to-length ratio compared to F60 tubers. However, exogenous BL at both tested concentrations caused the downregulation of DpOFP1 and DpOFP6. These results confirm that BR regulates these genes, although contrary to our expectations and previous reports. It is important to state that high BL concentrations (0.1-1 µM BL) were needed to induce OsOFP1 and OsOFP6 in rice, whereas lower concentrations did not affect OsOFP1 expression compared to controls (103, 171). Moreover, time course-dependent induction of OsOFP6 was observed after BL treatment, suggesting that DpOFP may also be regulated in a dose-dependent manner, and a higher concentration of epi-BL may be required to induce DpOFPs in Chinese yam.

The *DpGIL1* gene was expressed more strongly in F2000 vs. F60 (all tuber parts). The function and mode of action of this protein is poorly understood. In *A. thaliana*, AtGIL1 is required for the phytochrome-mediated randomized growth of hypocotyls under low light conditions as demonstrated by seedlings of the *gil1-1* mutant that grew upwards after red or far-red light exposure, thus being unable to overcome the response to gravity (88). BRs regulate the gravitropic response during shoot and root growth, so we also tested the effect of epi-BL treatment on *DpGIL1* expression. We found that 20 nM epi-BL strongly induced *DpGIL1*. Although the Chinese yam tuber tip grows toward gravity, the upregulation of *DpGIL1* may affect the gravitropic response causing the shorter tuber phenotype. The role of BRs and DpGIL1 in the gravitropism of Chinese yam tubers should be studied in future experiments.

Crosstalk between BRs, other phytohormones and the circadian clock has been shown to affect the growth of dicots and monocots (172, 173). Our RNA-Seq data revealed the stronger expression of *DpOPR* in F2000 vs. F60 tubers. The expression of *AtOPR3* was induced by JA and BR, linking these two phytohormone signaling pathways in *A. thaliana* (174). Moreover, BRs are assumed to act upstream of GA by regulating genes encoding key biosynthetic enzymes (175). The transcription factors BES1 and BZR1 bind to the promoter of the *AtGA20ox1* gene, and exogenous BRs strongly increased the expression of *AtGA20ox1* in the *cpd* mutant, partially rescuing its phenotype. Exogenous BR also induced the expression of *D18/OsGA30x-2* in rice, increasing the GA level and thus regulating

cell elongation (176). In contrast, excessive exogenous BR inactivated GA by inducing OsGA2ox-3 expression. In potato, GAs are thought to regulate tuberization by acting as a mobile signal (114). Whereas high GA levels in the stolon tip promote stolon elongation, low GA levels favor tuberization (91). Interestingly, the application of high concentrations of GA to Chinese yam inhibited bulbil formation but promoted new tuber growth, whereas low concentrations induced bulbil formation and enlargement (177, 178). Although a single application of GA at a low concentration resulted in the greatest tuber yield, higher GA concentrations were required during cumulative treatments to achieve the same effect (134) indicating that minor changes in the hormone concentration have a major impact on plant development. In D. polystachya cv. Guihuai 16, endogenous GA3 and GA₄ levels peaked 90 days after planting, coinciding with the rapid growth phase of tuber enlargement, but decreased rapidly after this time point (55). Moreover, the transcriptomic comparison of tuber initiation and expansion in Guihuai 16 plants indicated the presence of an auxin, GA and ABA signaling network. Several AUX/IAA, SAUR, ARF and GID2 genes were downregulated during the expansion stage, whereas DELLA and PP2C were upregulated (73). In contrast, we did not detect any differentially expressed DELLA genes when we compared F60 and F2000 tubers. However, GID1 genes were upregulated in the F2000H vs. F60H, F2000H vs. F2000M and F2000H vs. F2000T comparisons, and two DELLA genes were upregulated in the F2000M vs. F2000H comparison. Because our tubers were harvested 3 months after sprouting, GA levels may have been declining already. Although we identified several DEGs potentially encoding enzymes involved in GA biosynthesis, including Ga20ox, Ga3ox and the inactivation enzyme GA2ox, no DEGs were detected in the F60T vs. F2000T comparison, indicating a minor role in vertical tuber enlargement. Furthermore, we did not observe a consistent expression pattern among the different F2000 tuber parts because genes annotated as GA20ox and GA2ox were upregulated in the F2000M vs. F2000T comparison. This agrees with a previous report suggesting the outcome was the result of feedback mechanisms or other hormones influencing tuber growth (55, 105). The varied response of GA2ox family enzymes to GA3 treatment may reflect their different physiological roles, or may reflect different organ and tissue types (105). In our study, we detected a slight decrease in DpGA3ox1 expression and an increase in DpGA2ox expression following epi-BL treatment, but these changes were not significant compared to the control group. In rice, low BL concentrations (0.1-10 nM BL) significantly suppressed OsGA2ox-3 expression, whereas higher concentrations (1-10 µM BL) induced this gene (176). In contrast, OsGA3ox-2 was induced in a dose-dependent manner in the same study. This concentration-dependent effect on GA biosynthesis genes cannot be ruled out in Chinese yam. GAs may therefore be involved in tuber enlargement but are probably not the master regulators of tuber shape in Chinese yam, but rather a component of the complex crosstalk between phytohormone pathways.

Our transcriptomic comparisons revealed several DEGs related to auxin signaling that were expressed at higher levels in F2000 tips vs. other parts, including *DpIAA*, *DpSAUR* and *DpTMK4*. In *A. thaliana*, these and other auxin signaling genes are regulated by auxins and BRs (144, 179–184). IAAs act as negative regulators of auxin signaling by interacting with the transcription factor ARF, whereas SAUR proteins and TMK4 are both involved in the acidification of the cell wall, enabling cell growth (90, 185–187). We found that the exogenous application of 20 nM epi-BL significantly suppressed the transcription of *DpIAA17* while simultaneously inducing *DpTMK4*, indicating crosstalk between BR and auxin signaling in Chinese yam that may orchestrate cell expansion and finally tuber growth.

In *A. thaliana*, exogenous BR promoted the transcription of *FLOWERING LOCUS C (AtFLC)*, a repressor of floral transition, thus delaying flowering and reducing the flowering rate (188, 189). However, the interaction between AtCO and AtBIN2 indicates that BR also has a positive role in the floral transition (190). AtBIN2 was shown to repress flowering by inhibiting the formation of AtCO dimers and phosphorylating the AtCO protein, which abolishes its DNA-binding activity and thus its ability to induce *AtFT* expression. These findings highlight the ambiguous function of BRs during flowering, and it is likely that BR signaling is integrated with other environmental cues to regulate the floral transition (173). We found that *DpFT8* expression was significantly induced by 1 nM epi-BL but significantly repressed by 20 nM epi-BL, indicating a regulation of *FT* expression by BRs in Chinese yam tubers.

Taken together, our data indicate that the shape of Chinese yam tubers depends on a complex network of phytohormone signaling in which BRs play the central role (Figure 7). To our knowledge, this is the first report describing endogenous BR levels in Chinese yam tubers. We identified CS as the major endogenous BR, and found that its abundance increased toward the tip, supporting the hypothesis that BRs contribute to the specific tuber shape. The increased width and weight-to-length ratio of tubers exposed to epi-BL indicates a shorter tuber that retains the normal amount of biomass, highlighting the role of BRs in tuber growth and morphology. The tuber shape is an important property for yam cultivation because it determines whether economical mechanical harvesting can replace labor-intensive manual harvesting. We found that treatment with epi-BL produced shorter and thicker tubers that are more compatible with mechanical harvesting. Increasing the endogenous BR content of Chinese yam may therefore produce rounder tubers (shorter and thicker, shorter head region). Our findings thus offer a clearer insight into tuber development in *D. polystachya* and provide the basis for crop improvement in future breeding programs.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are publicly available. This data can be found at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA942579.

Author contributions

JR, JO, JM, and JE conceived and designed the experiments. JR, JO, and JM performed the experiments. JR, JO, and JE analyzed the data. JR, RMT, and JE wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Funding

The junior research group of Chinese yam cultivation in Europe was funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (grant number 031B0202). JO was supported by an ERDF grant for the project "Plants as a tool for sustainable global development" (no. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000827).



Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Verena Blome (Faculty of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Technical University of Dortmund, Germany, former member of the Institute of Plant Biology and Biotechnology, Münster, Germany), Katrin Schrödter and Sascha Ahrens (Institute of Plant Biology and Biotechnology, Münster, Germany) for technical assistance, Dirk Prüfer [Institute of Plant Biology and Biotechnology, Münster, Germany; Fraunhofer Institute of Molecular Biology and Applied Ecology (IME), Münster, Germany] for ideas on experimental designs and supervision, Tatjana Ried (Institute of Plant Biology and Biotechnology, Münster, Germany) for experimental set up and tuber harvest, Andreas Wagner and the Fraunhofer IME for the aeroponic systems and help with the epi-BL treatment experiments, and Jean M. Morand for providing the yam tubers.

Conflict of interest

RMT was employed by TRM Ltd.

References

1. Caddick LR, Wilkin P, Rudall PJ, Hedderson TAJ, Chase MW. Yams reclassified: a recircumscription of Dioscoreaceae and Dioscoreales. *Taxon*. (2002) 51:103–14. doi: 10.2307/1554967

2. Wilkin P, Schols P, Chase MW, Chayamarit K, Furness CA, Huysmans S, et al. A plastid gene phylogeny of the yam genus, *Dioscorea*: roots, fruits and Madagascar. *Syst Bot*. (2005) 30:736–49. doi: 10.1600/036364405775097879

3. Hsu KM, Tsai JL, Chen MY, Ku HM, Liu SC. Molecular phylogeny of *Dioscorea* (*Dioscoreaceae*) in east and Southeast Asia. *Blumea J Plant Taxon Plant Geogr.* (2013) 58:21–7. doi: 10.3767/000651913X669022

4. Ding Z, Gilbert MG. Dioscoreaceae. Flora China. (2000) 24:276-96.

5. Asiedu R, Sartie A. Crops that feed the world 1. Yams. *Food Secur*. (2010) 2:305–15. doi: 10.1007/s12571-010-0085-0

6. Epping J, Laibach N. An underutilized orphan tuber crop—Chinese yam: a review. *Planta*. (2020) 252:1–19. doi: 10.1007/s00425-020-03458-3

7. Coursey DG. Yams - An account of the nature, origins, cultivation and utilisation of the useful members of the dioscoreaceae. London: Longmans (1967).

8. POWO – Plants of the World Online. *Facilitated by the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew.* (2022). Available at: http://www.plantsoftheworldonline.org/

9. Lawton JR, Lawton J. The development of the tuber in seedlings of five species of *Dioscorea* from Nigeria. *Bot J Linn Soc.* (1969) 62:223–32. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8339.1969. tb01966.x

10. Wu Z-G, Jiang W, Nitin M, Bao X-Q, Chen S-L, Tao Z-M. Characterizing diversity based on nutritional and bioactive compositions of yam germplasm (*Dioscorea* spp.) commonly cultivated in China. *J Food Drug Anal.* (2016) 24:367–75. doi: 10.1016/j. jfda.2015.12.003

11. Martin FW, Ortiz S. Chromosome numbers and behavior in some species of *Dioscorea. Cytologia.* (1963) 28:96–101. doi: 10.1508/cytologia.28.96

12. Shan JJ, Ling L, Goel V, Qi G, Wang S. *Synergistic pharamceutical composition, method of making same and use of same.* U.S. Patent No. 8,642,094. Washington, DC: Patent and Trademark Office (2014).

13. Zagoya JCD, Laguna J, Guzmán-García J. Studies on the regulation of cholesterol metabolism by the use of the structural analogue, diosgenin. *Biochem Pharmacol.* (1971) 20:3473–80. doi: 10.1016/0006-2952(71)90452-7

14. Hou W, Chen H, Lin Y. Dioscorins, the major tuber storage proteins of yam (*Dioscorea batatas* Decne), with dehydroascorbate reductase and monodehydroascorbate reductase activities. *Plant Sci.* (1999) 149:151–6. doi: 10.1016/S0168-9452(99)00152-1

15. Hou WC, Lee MH, Chen HJ, Liang WL, Han CH, Liu YW, et al. Antioxidant activities of Dioscorin, the storage protein of yam (*Dioscorea batatas* Decne) tuber. J Agric Food Chem. (2001) 49:4956–60. doi: 10.1021/jf010606m

16. Xue YL, Miyakawa T, Sawano Y, Tanokura M. Cloning of genes and enzymatic characterizations of novel dioscorin isoforms from *Dioscorea japonica*. *Plant Sci.* (2012) 183:14–9. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2011.10.021

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2023.1112793/ full#supplementary-material

17. Chen YT, Kao WT, Lin KW. Effects of pH on the total phenolic compound, antioxidative ability and the stability of dioscorin of various yam cultivars. *Food Chem*. (2008) 107:250–7. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.08.017

18. Harvey PJ, Boulter D. Isolation and characterization of the storage protein of yam tubers (*Dioscorea rotundata*). *Phytochemistry*. (1983) 22:1687–93. doi: 10.1016/S0031-9422(00)80252-6

19. Mignouna HD, Abang MM, Asiedu R. Harnessing modern biotechnology for tropical tuber crop improvement: yam (*Dioscorea* spp.) molecular breeding. *African J Biotechnol.* (2003) 2:478–85. doi: 10.5897/ajb2003.000-1097

20. Darkwa K, Olasanmi B, Asiedu R, Asfaw A. Review of empirical and emerging breeding methods and tools for yam (*Dioscorea* spp.) improvement: status and prospects. *Plant Breed*. (2020) 139:474–97. doi: 10.1111/pbr.12783

21. Babil P, Kondo S, Iwata H, Kushikawa S, Shiwachi H. Intra-specific ploidy variations in cultivated Chinese yam (*Dioscorea polystachya* Turcz.). *Trop Agr Dev.* (2013) 57:101–7. doi: 10.11248/jsta.57.101

22. Sugihara Y, Kudoh A, Oli MT, Takagi H, Natsume S, Shimizu M, et al. Population genomics of yams: evolution and domestication of *Dioscorea* species In: OP Rajora, editor. *Population genomics: crop plants.* Cham: Springer International Publishing (2021). 1–28.

23. Onwueme IC. Tuber physiology in yams (*Dioscorea* Spp) and its agricultural implications In: . *International symposium on tropical root and tuber crops* (1979). 235–43.

24. Coursey DG. Yam storage-I: a review of yam storage practices and of information on storage losses. J Stored Prod Res. (1967) 2:229–44. doi: 10.1016/0022-474X(67)90070-7

25. Mueller TC, Robinson DK, Beeler JE, Main CL, Soehn D, Johnson K. *Dioscorea oppositifolia* L. phenotypic evaluations and comparison of control strategies. *Weed Technol.* (2003) 17:705–10. doi: 10.1614/wt-02-116

26. Kawasaki M, Taniguchi M, Miyake H. Dynamics of amyloplast sedimentation in growing yam tubers and its possible role in Graviperception. *Plant Prod Sci.* (2008) 11:393–7. doi: 10.1626/pps.11.393

27. Lang GA. Dormancy: a new universal terminology. *HortScience*. (1987) 22:817–20. doi: 10.21273/hortsci.22.5.817

28. Craufurd P, Summerfield R, Asiedu R, Prasad PVV. Dormancy of yams. *Expl Agric*. (2001) 37:147–81. doi: 10.1017/S001447970100206X

29. Ile EI, Craufurd PQ, Battey NH, Asiedu R. Phases of dormancy in yam tubers (*Dioscorea rotundata*). Ann Bot. (2006) 97:497–504. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcl002

30. Fridman Y, Savaldi-Goldstein S. Brassinosteroids in growth control: how, when and where. *Plant Sci.* (2013) 209:24-31. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2013.04.002

31. Nolan TM, Vukasinović N, Liu D, Russinova E, Yin Y. Brassinosteroids: multidimensional regulators of plant growth, development, and stress responses. *Plant Cell.* (2020) 32:295–318. doi: 10.1105/tpc.19.00335

32. Rehman A, Shahzad B, Haider FU, Ibraheem Ahmed HA, Lee D-J, Im SY, et al. An introduction to brassinosteroids: history, biosynthesis, and chemical diversity In: GJ

Ahammed, A Sharma and J Yu, editors. *Brassinosteroids in plant developmental biology and stress tolerance*. Amsterdam: Elsevier Inc (2022). 1–14.

33. Joo S-H, Kim T-W, Son S-H, Lee WS, Yokota T, Kim S-K. Biosynthesis of a cholesterol-derived brassinosteroid, 28-norcastasterone, in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J Exp Bot. (2012) 63:1823–33. doi: 10.1093/jxb/err354

34. Tarkowská D, Novák O, Oklestkova J, Strnad M. The determination of 22 natural brassinosteroids in a minute sample of plant tissue by UHPLC-ESI-MS/MS. *Anal Bioanal Chem.* (2016) 408:6799-812. doi: 10.1007/s00216-016-9807-2

35. Bajguz A, Chmur M, Gruszka D. Comprehensive overview of the Brassinosteroid biosynthesis pathways: substrates, products, inhibitors, and connections. *Front Plant Sci.* (2020) 11:1034. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.01034

36. Kim HB, Kwon M, Ryu H, Fujioka S, Takatsuto S, Yoshida S, et al. The regulation of *DWARF4* expression is likely a critical mechanism in maintaining the homeostasis of bioactive brassinosteroids in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* (2006) 140:548–57. doi: 10.1104/pp.105.067918

37. Noguchi T, Fujioka S, Choe S, Takatsuto S, Yoshida S, Yuan H, et al. Brassinosteroid-insensitive dwarf mutants of Arabidopsis accumulate brassinosteroids. *Plant Physiol.* (1999) 121:743–52. doi: 10.1104/pp.121.3.743

38. Fujtia S, Ohnishi T, Watanabe B, Yokota T, Takatsuto S, Fujioka S, et al. Arabidopsis CYP90B1 catalyses the early C-22 hydroxylation of C27 C28 and C29 sterols. *Plant J.* (2006) 45:765–74. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02639.x

39. Li J, Nagpal P, Vitart V, McMorris TC, Chory J. A role for Brassinosteroids in lightdependent development of Arabidopsis. *Science*. (1996) 272:398–401. doi: 10.1126/ science.272.5260.398

40. Li J, Chory J. A putative leucine-rich repeat receptor kinase involved in brassinosteroid signal transduction. *Cells.* (1997) 90:929–38. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80357-8

41. Yin Y, Wang Z-Y, Mora-Garcia S, Li J, Yoshida S, Asami T, et al. BES1 accumulates in the nucleus in response to brassinosteroids to regulate gene expression and promote stem elongation. *Cells.* (2002) 109:181–91. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(02)00721-3

42. Wang Z-Y, Nakano T, Gendron J, He J, Chen M, Vafeados D, et al. Nuclear-localized BZR1 mediates brassinosteroid-induced growth and feedback suppression of brassinosteroid biosynthesis. *Dev Cell.* (2002) 2:505–13. doi: 10.1016/s1534-5807(02)00153-3

43. Tang W, Yuan M, Wang R, Yang Y, Wang C, Oses-Prieto JA, et al. PP2A activates brassinosteroid-responsive gene expression and plant growth by dephosphorylating BZR1. *Nat Cell Biol.* (2011) 13:124–31. doi: 10.1038/ncb2151

44. Clouse SD, Hall AF, Langford M, McMorris TC, Baker ME. Physiological and molecular effects of brassinosteroids on *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J Plant Growth Regul.* (1993) 12:61–6. doi: 10.1007/BF00193234

45. Hu Y, Xia S, Su Y, Wang H, Luo W, Su S, et al. Brassinolide increases potato root growth *in vitro* in a dose-dependent way and alleviates salinity stress. *Biomed Res Int.* (2016) 2016:1–11. doi: 10.1155/2016/8231873

46. Kim S-K, Chang SC, Lee EJ, Chung WS, Kim Y-S, Hwang S, et al. Involvement of brassinosteroids in the gravitropic response of primary root of maize. *Plant Physiol.* (2000) 123:997–1004. doi: 10.1104/pp.123.3.997

47. González-García MP, Vilarrasa-Blasi J, Zhiponova M, Divol F, Mora-García S, Russinova E, et al. Brassinosteroids control meristem size by promoting cell cycle progression in *Arabidopsis* roots. *Development*. (2011) 138:849–59. doi: 10.1242/ dev.057331

48. Yokota T. The structure, biosynthesis and function of brassinosteriods. *Trends Plant Sci.* (1997) 2:137–43. doi: 10.1016/S1360-1385(97)01017-0

49. Wendeborn S, Lachia M, Jung PMJ, Leipner J, Brocklehurst D, De Mesmaeker A, et al. Biological activity of brassinosteroids – direct comparison of known and new analogs *in planta*. *Helv Chim Acta*. (2017) 100:e1600305. doi: 10.1002/hlca.201600305

50. Fujioka S, Noguchi T, Takatsuto S, Yoshida S. Activity of brassinosteroids in the dwarf rice lamina inclination bioassay. *Phytochemistry*. (1998) 49:1841–8. doi: 10.1016/S0031-9422(98)00412-9

51. Kim BK, Fujioka S, Takatsuto S, Tsujimoto M, Choe S. Castasterone is a likely end product of brassinosteroid biosynthetic pathway in rice. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* (2008) 374:614–9. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2008.07.073

52. Kanwar MK, Bajguz A, Zhou J, Bhardwaj R. Analysis of brassinosteroids in plants. J Plant Growth Regul. (2017) 36:1002–30. doi: 10.1007/s00344-017-9732-4

53. Chory J, Nagpal P, Peto CA. Phenotypic and genetic analysis of *det2*, a new mutant that affects light-regulated seedling development in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell.* (1991) 3:445–59. doi: 10.2307/3869351

54. Huang S, Zheng C, Zhao Y, Li Q, Liu J, Deng R, et al. RNA interference knockdown of the brassinosteroid receptor BRI1 in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) reveals novel functions for brassinosteroid signaling in controlling tuberization. *Sci Hortic.* (2021) 290:110516. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2021.110516

55. Gong M, Luo H, Wang A, Zhou Y, Huang W, Zhu P, et al. Phytohormone profiling during tuber development of Chinese yam by ultra-high performance liquid chromatography-triple quadrupole tandem mass spectrometry. *J Plant Growth Regul.* (2017) 36:362–73. doi: 10.1007/s00344-016-9644-8

56. Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, et al. Fulllength transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nat Biotechnol.* (2011) 29:644–52. doi: 10.1038/nbt.1883

57. Davidson NM, Oshlack A. Corset: enabling differential gene expression analysis for *de novo* assembled transcriptomes. *Genome Biol.* (2014) 15:1–14. doi: 10.1186/ s13059-014-0410-6

58. Buchfink B, Xie C, Huson DH. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. Nat Methods. (2015) 12:59–60. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3176

59. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, et al. BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformat.* (2009) 10:1–9. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-10-421

60. Finn RD, Clements J, Eddy SR. HMMER web server: interactive sequence similarity searching. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2011) 39:W29–37. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr367

61. Moriya Y, Itoh M, Okuda S, Yoshizawa AC, Kanehisa M. KAAS: an automatic genome annotation and pathway reconstruction server. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2007) 35:W182–5. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkm321

62. Conesa A, Götz S, García-Gómez JM, Terol J, Talón M, Robles M. Blast2GO: a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics research. *Bioinformatics*. (2005) 21:3674–6. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bti610

63. Li B, Dewey CN. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome. *BMC Bioinformatics*. (2011) 12:323. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-12-323

64. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* (2014) 15:550. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

65. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate – a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J R Stat Soc Ser B*. (1995) 57:289–300. doi: 10.2307/2346101

66. Alexa A, Rahnenführer J, Lengauer T. Improved scoring of functional groups from gene expression data by decorrelating GO graph structure. *Bioinformatics*. (2006) 22:1600–7. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btl140

67. Young MD, Wakefield MJ, Smyth GK, Oshlack A. Gene ontology analysis for RNAseq: accounting for selection bias. *Genome Biol.* (2010) 11:R14. doi: 10.1186/ gb-2010-11-2-r14

68. Wu J, Mao X, Cai T, Luo J, Wei L. KOBAS server: a web-based platform for automated annotation and pathway identification. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2006) 34:W720–4. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkl167

69. Xie C, Mao X, Huang J, Ding Y, Wu J, Dong S, et al. KOBAS 2.0: a web server for annotation and identification of enriched pathways and diseases. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2011) 39:W316–22. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr483

70. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. *Methods*. (2001) 25:402–8. doi: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262

71. Oklestkova J, Tarkowská D, Eyer L, Elbert T, Marek A, Smržová Z, et al. Immunoaffinity chromatography combined with tandem mass spectrometry: a new tool for the selective capture and analysis of brassinosteroid plant hormones. *Talanta*. (2017) 170:432–40. doi: 10.1016/j.talanta.2017.04.044

72. Peng B, Zhang Y, Sun X, Li M, Xue J, Hang Y. Genetic relationship and identification of *Dioscorea polystachya* cultivars accessed by ISAP and SCAR markers. *Arch Biol Sci.* (2017) 69:277–84. doi: 10.2298/ABS150717098P

73. Zhou Y, Luo S, Hameed S, Xiao D, Zhan J, Wand A, et al. Integrated mRNA and miRNA transcriptome analysis reveals a regulatory network for tuber expansion in Chinese yam (*Dioscorea opposita*). *BMC Genomics*. (2020) 21:117. doi: 10.1186/ s12864-020-6492-5

74. Li J, Liang Q, Li C, Liu M, Zhang Y. Comparative transcriptome analysis identifies putative genes involved in dioscin biosynthesis in *Dioscorea zingiberensis*. *Molecules*. (2018) 23:1–13. doi: 10.3390/molecules23020454

75. Shan J, Song W, Zhou J, Wang X, Xie C, Gao X, et al. Transcriptome analysis reveals novel genes potentially involved in photoperiodic tuberization in potato. *Genomics*. (2013) 102:388–96. doi: 10.1016/j.ygeno.2013.07.001

76. Firon N, LaBonte D, Villordon A, Kfir Y, Solis J, Lapis E, et al. Transcriptional profiling of sweetpotato (*Ipomoea batatas*) roots indicates down-regulation of lignin biosynthesis and up-regulation of starch biosynthesis at an early stage of storage root formation. *BMC Genomics*. (2013) 14:460. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-14-460

77. Zhang Y-F, Li G-L, Wang X-F, Sun Y-Q, Zhang S-Y. Transcriptomic profiling of taproot growth and sucrose accumulation in sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) at different developmental stages. *PLoS One.* (2017) 12:e0175454–28. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0175454

78. Douglas CJ. Phenylpropanoid metabolism and lignin biosynthesis: from weeds to trees. *Trends Plant Sci.* (1996) 1:171–8. doi: 10.1016/1360-1385(96)10019-4

79. Xu L, Wang J, Lei M, Li L, Fu Y, Wang Z, et al. Transcriptome analysis of storage roots and fibrous roots of the traditional medicinal herb *Callerya speciosa* (champ.) ScHot. *PLoS One*. (2016) 11:e0160338. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0160338

80. Sun P, Xiao X, Duan L, Guo Y, Qi J, Liao D, et al. Dynamic transcriptional profiling provides insights into tuberous root development in *Rehmannia glutinosa. Front Plant Sci.* (2015) 6:396. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00396

81. Sun M, Zhu ZP, Yu JX, Wu KX, Guo YX, Shen M, et al. Transcriptomic and physiological analysis reveal phytohormone and phenylpropanoid biosynthesis in root of *Cynanchum auriculatum*. *Plant Growth Regul.* (2023). doi: 10.1007/s10725-022-00953-3

82. Rohman A, Dijkstra BW, Puspaningsih NNT. β -Xylosidases: structural diversity, catalytic mechanism, and inhibition by monosaccharides. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2019) 20:5524. doi: 10.3390/ijms20225524

83. Klyosov AA. Trends in biochemistry and enzymology of cellulose degradation. *Biochemistry*. (1990) 29:10577–85. doi: 10.1021/bi00499a001

84. Navarro C, Abelenda JA, Cruz-Oró E, Cuéllar CA, Tamaki S, Silva J, et al. Control of flowering and storage organ formation in potato by FLOWERING LOCUS T. *Nature*. (2011) 478:119–22. doi: 10.1038/nature10431

85. Kardailsky I, Shukla VK, Ahn JH, Dagenais N, Christensen SK, Nguyen JT, et al. Activation tagging of the floral inducer FT. *Science*. (1999) 286:1962–5. doi: 10.1126/science.286.5446.1962

86. Quail PH, Boylan MT, Parks BM, Short TW, Xu Y, Wagner D. Phytochromes: photosensory perception and signal transduction. *Science*. (1995) 268:675–80. doi: 10.1126/science.7732376

87. Allen T, Ingles PJ, Praekelt U, Smith H, Whitelam GC. Phytochrome-mediated agravitropism in Arabidopsis hypocotyls requires *GIL1* and confers a fitness advantage. *Plant J*. (2006) 46:641–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.02727.x

88. Sojikul P, Saithong T, Kalapanulak S, Pisuttinusart N, Limsirichaikul S, Tanaka M, et al. Genome-wide analysis reveals phytohormone action during cassava storage root initiation. *Plant Mol Biol.* (2015) 88:531–43. doi: 10.1007/s11103-015-0340-z

89. Leyser O. Auxin signaling. Plant Physiol. (2018) 176:465-79. doi: 10.1104/ pp.17.00765

90. Lin W, Zhou X, Tang W, Takahashi K, Pan X, Dai J, et al. TMK-based cell-surface auxin signalling activates cell-wall acidification. *Nature.* (2021) 599:278–82. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03976-4

91. Xu X, Van Lammeren AAM, Vermeer E, Vreugdenhil D. The role of gibberellin, abscisic acid, and sucrose in the regulation of potato tuber formation in vitro. *Plant Physiol.* (1998) 117:575–84. doi: 10.1104/pp.117.2.575

92. Thomas SG, Phillips AL, Hedden P. Molecular cloning and functional expression of gibberellin 2-oxidases, multifunctional enzymes involved in gibberellin deactivation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* (1999) 96:4698–703. doi: 10.1073/pnas.96.8.4698

93. Hibara K, Karim MR, Takada S, Taoka K, Furutani M, Aida M, et al. *Arabidopsis* CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON3 regulates postembryonic shoot meristem and organ boundary formation. *Plant Cell.* (2006) 18:2946–57. doi: 10.1105/tpc.106.045716

94. Thornton LE, Peng H, Neff MM. Rice CYP734A cytochrome P450s inactivate brassinosteroids in Arabidopsis. *Planta.* (2011) 234:1151-62. doi: 10.1007/s00425-011-1464-2

95. Cuadrado-Pedetti MB, Rauschert I, Sainz MM, Botella MA, Borsani O, Sotelo-Silveira M. The Arabidopsis *TETRATRICOPEPTIDE THIOREDOXIN-LIKE 1* gene is involved in anisotropic root growth during osmotic stress adaptation. *Genes.* (2021) 12:236. doi: 10.3390/genes12020236

96. Amorim-Silva V, García-Moreno Á, Castillo AG, Lakhssassi N, Del Valle AE, Pérez-Sancho J, et al. TTL proteins scaffold brassinosteroid signaling components at the plasma membrane to optimize signal transduction in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*. (2019) 31:1807–28. doi: 10.1105/tpc.19.00150

97. Nemhauser JL, Chory J. BRing it on: New insights into the mechanism of brassinosteroid action. J Exp Bot. (2004) 55:265–70. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erh024

98. Zheng L, Gao C, Zhao C, Zhang L, Han M, An N, et al. Effects of brassinosteroid associated with auxin and gibberellin on apple tree growth and gene expression patterns. *Hortic Plant J.* (2019) 5:93–108. doi: 10.1016/j.hpj.2019.04.006

99. Zhao B, Li J. Regulation of brassinosteroid biosynthesis and inactivation. J Integr Plant Biol. (2012) 54:746–59. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7909.2012.01168.x

100. Jeong DH, Lee S, Kim SL, Hwang I, An G. Regulation of brassinosteroid responses by Phytochrome B in rice. *Plant Cell Environ*. (2007) 30:590–9. doi: 10.1111/j. 1365-3040.2007.01644.x

101. Zhu WJ, Chen F, Li PP, Chen YM, Chen M, Yang Q. Identification and characterization of brassinosteroid biosynthesis and signaling pathway genes in *Solanum tuberosum. Russ J Plant Physiol.* (2019) 66:628–36. doi: 10.1134/S1021443719040186

102. Müssig C, Fischer S, Altmann T. Brassinosteroid-regulated gene expression. *Plant Physiol.* (2002) 129:1241–51. doi: 10.1104/pp.011003

103. Xiao Y, Liu D, Zhang G, Tong H, Chu C. Brassinosteroids regulate OFP1, a DLT interacting protein, to modulate plant architecture and grain morphology in Rice. *Front Plant Sci.* (2017) 8:1698. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01698

104. Gendron JM, Liu JS, Fan M, Bai MY, Wenkel S, Springer PS, et al. Brassinosteroids regulate organ boundary formation in the shoot apical meristem of *Arabidopsis*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2012) 109:21152–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1210799110

105. Zhou Y, Li Y, Gong M, Qin F, Xiao D, Zhan J, et al. Regulatory mechanism of GA3 on tuber growth by DELLA-dependent pathway in yam (*Dioscorea opposita*). *Plant Mol Biol.* (2021) 106:433–48. doi: 10.1007/s11103-021-01163-7

106. Lebot V. Tropical root and tuber crops: An overview In: . *Soils, plant growth and crop production*, vol. 2 (2010)

107. Jiang Y, Liao Q, Zou Y, Liu Y, Lan J. Transcriptome analysis reveals the genetic basis underlying the biosynthesis of volatile oil, gingerols, and diarylheptanoids in ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.). *Bot Stud.* (2017) 58:41. doi: 10.1186/s40529-017-0195-5

108. Ramirez-Ahumada Del CM, Timmermann BN, Gang DR. Biosynthesis of curcuminoids and gingerols in turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) and ginger (*Zingiber officinale*): identification of curcuminoid synthase and hydroxycinnamoyl-CoA thioesterases. *Phytochemistry*. (2006) 67:2017–29. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2006.06.028

109. Fritzemeier KH, Kindl H, Schlösser E. Two different pathways leading to phenanthrenes and 9,10-dihydrophenanthrenes of the genus *Dioscorea*. *Zeitschrift fur Naturforsch*. (1984) 39:217–21. doi: 10.1515/znc-1984-3-403

110. Jeong SY, Kim M, Park EK, Kim JS, Hahn D, Bae JS. Inhibitory functions of novel compounds from *Dioscorea batatas* Decne Peel on HMGB1-mediated septic responses. *Biotechnol Bioprocess Eng.* (2020) 25:1–8. doi: 10.1007/s12257-019-0382-1

111. Liu Q, Luo L, Zheng L. Lignins: biosynthesis and biological functions in plants. Int J Mol Sci. (2018) 19:335. doi: 10.3390/ijms19020335

112. Tribble CM, Martínez-Gómez J, Alzate-Guarín F, Rothfels CJ, Specht CD. Comparative transcriptomics of a monocotyledonous geophyte reveals shared molecular mechanisms of underground storage organ formation. *Evol Dev.* (2021) 23:e12369. doi: 10.1111/ede.12369

113. Nakamichi N, Kiba T, Henriques R, Mizuno T, Chua NH, Sakakibara H. PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATORS 9, 7, and 5 are transcriptional repressors in the *Arabidopsis* circadian clock. *Plant Cell*. (2010) 22:594–605. doi: 10.1105/tpc.109.072892

114. Dutt S, Manjul AS, Raigond P, Singh B, Siddappa S, Bhardwaj V, et al. Key players associated with tuberization in potato: potential candidates for genetic engineering. *Crit Rev Biotechnol.* (2017) 37:942–57. doi: 10.1080/07388551.2016.1274876

115. Nakamichi N, Kita M, Niinuma K, Ito S, Yamashino T, Mizoguchi T, et al. *Arabidopsis* clock-associated pseudo-response regulators PRR9, PRR7 and PRR5 coordinately and positively regulate flowering time through the canonical CONSTANSdependent photoperiodic pathway. *Plant Cell Physiol.* (2007) 48:822–32. doi: 10.1093/ pcp/pcm056

116. Hayama R, Sarid-Krebs L, Richter R, Fernández V, Jang S, Coupland G. PSEUDO RESPONSE REGULATORs stabilize CONSTANS protein to promote flowering in response to day length. *EMBO J.* (2017) 36:904–18. doi: 10.15252/embj.201693907

117. Niu Y, Li G, Jian Y, Duan S, Liu J, Xu J, et al. Genes related to circadian rhythm are involved in regulating tuberization time in potato. *Hortic Plant J*. (2022) 8:369–80. doi: 10.1016/j.hpj.2021.09.003

118. Koo BH, Yoo SC, Park JW, Kwon CT, Lee BD, An G, et al. Natural variation in *OsPRR37* regulates heading date and contributes to rice cultivation at a wide range of latitudes. *Mol Plant*. (2013) 6:1877–88. doi: 10.1093/mp/sst088

119. Nakamichi N. Adaptation to the local environment by modifications of the photoperiod response in crops. *Plant Cell Physiol.* (2015) 56:594–604. doi: 10.1093/pcp/ pcu181

120. Abelenda JA, Navarro C, Prat S. Flowering and tuberization a tale of two nightshades. *Trends Plant.* (2014) 19:115–22. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2013.09.010

121. Kloosterman B, Abelenda JA, Gomez MDMC, Oortwijn M, De Boer JM, Kowitwanich K, et al. Naturally occurring allele diversity allows potato cultivation in northern latitudes. *Nature*. (2013) 495:246–50. doi: 10.1038/nature11912

122. Abelenda JA, Cruz-Oró E, Franco-Zorrilla JM, Prat S. Potato StCONSTANSlike1 suppresses storage organ formation by directly activating the FT-like *StSP5G* repressor. *Curr Biol.* (2016) 26:872–81. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2016.01.066

123. Suárez-López P, Wheatley K, Robson F, Onouchi H, Valverde F, Coupland G. *CONSTANS* mediates between the circadian clock and the control of flowering in *Arabidopsis. Nature.* (2001) 410:1116–20. doi: 10.1038/35074138

124. Putterill J, Robson F, Lee K, Simon R, Coupland G. The *CONSTANS* gene of Arabidopsis promotes flowering and encodes a protein showing similarities to zinc finger transcription factors. *Cells.* (1995) 80:847–57. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(95)90288-0

125. Laudert D, Pfannschmidt U, Lottspeich F, Holländer-Czytko H, Weiler EW. Cloning, molecular and functional characterization of *Arabidopsis thaliana* allene oxide synthase (CYP 74), the first enzyme of the octadecanoid pathway to jasmonates. *Plant Mol Biol.* (1996) 31:323–35. doi: 10.1007/BF00021793

126. Von Malek B, Van Der Graaff E, Schneitz K, Keller B. The *Arabidopsis* male-sterile mutant *dde2-2* is defective in the *ALLENE OXIDE SYNTHASE* gene encoding one of the key enzymes of the jasmonic acid biosynthesis pathway. *Planta*. (2002) 216:187–92. doi: 10.1007/s00425-002-0906-2

127. Staswick PE, Tiryaki I. The oxylipin signal jasmonic acid is activated by an enzyme that conjugates it to isoleucine in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell.* (2004) 16:2117–27. doi: 10.1105/tpc.104.023549

128. Staswick PE, Tiryaki I, Rowe ML. Jasmonate response locus JAR1 and several related Arabidopsis genes encode enzymes of the firefly luciferase superfamily that show

activity on jasmonic, salicylic, and indole-3-acetic acids in an assay for adenylation. *Plant Cell.* (2002) 14:1405–15. doi: 10.1105/tpc.000885

129. Koda Y, Kikuta Y. Possible involvement of jasmonic acid in tuberization of yam plants. *Plant Cell Physiol.* (1991) 32:629–33. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.pcp.a078125

130. Pelacho AM, Mingo-Castel AM. Jasmonic acid induces tuberization of potato stolons cultured *in vitro*. *Plant Physiol*. (1991) 97:1253–5. doi: 10.1104/pp.97.3.1253

131. Yoshihara T, Omer ESA, Koshino H, Sakamura S, Kikuta Y, Koda Y. Structure of a tuber-inducing stimulus from potato leaves (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *Agric Biol Chem.* (1989) 53:2835–7. doi: 10.1080/00021369.1989.10869712

132. Jasik J, Mantell SH. Effects of jasmonic acid and its methylester on in vitro microtuberisation of three food yam (*Dioscorea*) species. *Plant Cell Rep.* (2000) 19:863–7. doi: 10.1007/s002990000207

133. Bazabakana R, Wattiez R, Baucher M, Diallo B, Jaziri M. Effect of jasmonic acid on developmental morphology during *in vitro* tuberization of *Dioscorea alata* (L.). *Plant Growth Regul.* (2003) 40:229–37. doi: 10.1023/A:1025087111148

134. Kim SK, Kim JT, Jang SW, Lee SC, Lee BH, Lee IJ. Exogenous effect of gibberellins and jasmonate on tuber enlargement of *Dioscorea opposita*. Agron Res. (2005) 3:39–44.

135. Abdala G, Castro G, Miersch O, Pearce D. Changes in jasmonate and gibberellin levels during development of potato plants (*Solanum tuberosum*). *Plant Growth Regul.* (2002) 36:121–6. doi: 10.1023/A:1015065011536

136. Ovono PO, Kevers C, Dommes J. Tuber formation and growth of *Dioscorea cayenensis-D. rotundata* complex: interactions between exogenous and endogenous jasmonic acid and polyamines. *Plant Growth Regul.* (2009) 60:247–53. doi: 10.1007/s10725-009-9441-5

137. Koda Y. Possible involvement of jasmonates in various morphogenic events. *Physiol Plant.* (1997) 100:639–46. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.1997.tb03070.x

138. Sarkar D, Pandey SK, Sharma S. Cytokinins antagonize the jasmonates action on the regulation of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) tuber formation in vitro. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* (2006) 87:285–95. doi: 10.1007/s11240-006-9166-3

139. Begum S, Jing S, Yu L, Sun X, Wang E, Abu Kawochar M, et al. Modulation of JA signalling reveals the influence of *StJAZ1*-like on tuber initiation and tuber bulking in potato. *Plant J*. (2022) 109:952–64. doi: 10.1111/tpj.15606

140. Chini A, Fonseca S, Fernández G, Adie B, Chico JM, Lorenzo O, et al. The JAZ family of repressors is the missing link in jasmonate signalling. *Nature*. (2007) 448:666–71. doi: 10.1038/nature06006

141. Hoo SC, Howe GA. A critical role for the TIFY motif in repression of jasmonate signaling by a stabilized splice variant of the JASMONATE ZIM-domain protein JAZ10 in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell.* (2009) 21:131–45. doi: 10.1105/tpc.108.064097

142. Sohn HB, Lee HY, Seo JS, Jung C, Jeon JH, Kim JH, et al. Overexpression of jasmonic acid carboxyl methyltransferase increases tuber yield and size in transgenic potato. *Plant Biotechnol Rep.* (2011) 5:27–34. doi: 10.1007/s11816-010-0153-0

143. Seo HS, Song JT, Cheong JJ, Lee YH, Lee YW, Hwang I, et al. Jasmonic acid carboxyl methyltransferase: a key enzyme for jasmonate-regulated plant responses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2001) 98:4788–93. doi: 10.1073/pnas.081557298

144. Vandenbussche F, Callebert P, Zadnikova P, Benkova E, Van Der Straeten D. Brassinosteroid control of shoot gravitropism interacts with ethylene and depends on auxin signaling components. *Am J Bot.* (2013) 100:215–25. doi: 10.3732/ajb.1200264

145. Retzer K, Akhmanova M, Konstantinova N, Malínská K, Leitner J, Petrášek J, et al. Brassinosteroid signaling delimits root gravitropism via sorting of the *Arabidopsis* PIN2 auxin transporter. *Nat Commun.* (2019) 10:5516. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-13543-1

146. Somssich M, Vandenbussche F, Ivakov A, Funke N, Ruprecht C, Vissenberg K, et al. Brassinosteroids influence Arabidopsis hypocotyl graviresponses through changes in mannans and cellulose. *Plant Cell Physiol.* (2021) 0:1–15. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcab024

147. Song X, Qi Z, Ahammed GJ, Yu J, Xia X. Brassinosteroids' regulation of plant architecture In: GJ Ahammed, A Sharma and J Yu, editors. *Brassinosteroids in plant developmental biology and stress tolerance*. Amsterdam: Elsevier Inc (2022). 43–57.

148. Han Y, Yang R, Zhang X, Wang Q, Wang B, Zheng X, et al. Brassinosteroid accelerates wound healing of potato tubers by activation of reactive oxygen metabolism and phenylpropanoid metabolism. *Foods.* (2022) 11:906. doi: 10.3390/foods11070906

149. Wang W, Sun Y, Li G, Zhang S. Brassinosteroids promote parenchyma cell and secondary xylem development in sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) root. *Plant Direct*. (2021) 5:e340. doi: 10.1002/pld3.340

150. He S, Wang H, Hao X, Wu Y, Bian X, Yin M, et al. Dynamic network biomarker analysis discovers IbNAC083 in the initiation and regulation of sweet potato root tuberization. *Plant J.* (2021) 108:793–813. doi: 10.1111/tpj.15478

151. Nuruzzaman M, Sato M, Okamoto S, Hoque M, Shea DJ, Fujimoto R, et al. Comparative transcriptome analysis during tuberous stem formation in Kohlrabi (*B. oleracea* var. *gongylodes*) at early growth periods (seedling stages). *Physiol Plant.* (2022) 174:e13770. doi: 10.1111/ppl.13770

152. Symons GM, Reid JB. Brassinosteroids do not undergo long-distance transport in pea. Implications for the regulation of endogenous brassinosteroid levels. *Plant Physiol.* (2004) 135:2196–206. doi: 10.1104/pp.104.043034

153. Shimada Y, Goda H, Nakamura A, Takatsuto S, Fujioka S, Yoshida S. Organspecific expression of brassinosteroid-biosynthetic genes and distribution of endogenous brassinosteroids in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. (2003) 131:287-97. doi: 10.1104/ pp.013029

154. Yin W, Dong N, Niu M, Zhang X, Li L, Liu J, et al. Brassinosteroid-regulated plant growth and development and gene expression in soybean. *Crop J*. (2019) 7:411–8. doi: 10.1016/j.cj.2018.10.003

155. Sun Y, Fan XY, Cao DM, Tang W, He K, Zhu JY, et al. Integration of brassinosteroid signal transduction with the transcription network for plant growth regulation in Arabidopsis. *Dev Cell.* (2010) 19:765–77. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2010. 10.010

156. Coll-Garcia D, Mazuch J, Altmann T, Müssig C. EXORDIUM regulates brassinosteroid-responsive genes. *FEBS Lett.* (2004) 563:82–6. doi: 10.1016/S0014-5793(04)00255-8

157. Xu W, Purugganan MM, Polisensky DH, Antosiewicz DM, Stephen C, Braam J. Arabidopsis *TCH4*, regulated by hormones and the environment, encodes a xyloglucan endotransglycosylase. *Plant Cell*. (1995) 7:1555–67. doi: 10.1105/tpc.7.10.1555

158. Schröder F, Lisso J, Lange P, Müssig C. The extracellular EXO protein mediates cell expansion in Arabidopsis leaves. *BMC Plant Biol.* (2009) 9:20. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-9-20

159. Sousa AO, Camillo LR, Assis ETCM, Lima NS, Silva GO, Kirch RP, et al. *EgPHI-1*, *a PHOSPHATE-INDUCED-1* gene from *Eucalyptus globulus*, is involved in shoot growth, xylem fiber length and secondary cell wall properties. *Planta*. (2020) 252:45. doi: 10.1007/s00425-020-03450-x

160. Maugarny A, Gonçalves B, Arnaud N, Laufs P. CUC transcription factors: to the meristem and beyond In: DH Gonzalez, editor. *Plant transcription factors: Evolutionary, structural and functional aspects.* Cambridge, MA: Academic Press (2016). 229–47.

161. Tanaka K, Asami T, Yoshida S, Nakamura Y, Matsuo T, Okamoto S. Brassinosteroid homeostasis in Arabidopsis is ensured by feedback expressions of multiple genes involved in its metabolism. *Plant Physiol.* (2005) 138:1117–25. doi: 10.1104/pp.104.058040

162. Mathur J, Molnár G, Fujioka S, Takatsuto S, Sakurai A, Yokota T, et al. Transcription of the *Arabidopsis* CPD gene, encoding a steroidogenic cytochrome P450, is negatively controlled by brassinosteroids. *Plant J.* (1998) 14:593–602. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.1998.00158.x

163. Hong Z, Ueguchi-Tanaka M, Umemura K, Uozu S, Fujioka S, Takatsuto S, et al. A rice brassinosteroid-deficient mutant, *ebisu dwarf (d2)*, is caused by a loss of function of a new member of cytochrome P450. *Plant Cell.* (2003) 15:2900–10. doi: 10.1105/tpc.014712

164. Youn JH, Kim TW, Joo SH, Son SH, Roh J, Kim S, et al. Function and molecular regulation of DWARF1 as a C-24 reductase in brassinosteroid biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. *J Exp Bot.* (2018) 69:1873–86. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ery038

165. Keuskamp DH, Sasidharan R, Vos I, Peeters AJM, Voesenek LACJ, Pierik R. Blue-light-mediated shade avoidance requires combined auxin and brassinosteroid action in Arabidopsis seedlings. *Plant J.* (2011) 67:208–17. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04597.x

166. Wu S, Zhang B, Keyhaninejad N, Rodríguez GR, Kim HJ, Chakrabarti M, et al. A common genetic mechanism underlies morphological diversity in fruits and other plant organs. *Nat Commun.* (2018) 9:4734. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07216-8

167. Endelman JB, Jansky SH. Genetic mapping with an inbred line-derived F2 population in potato. *Theor Appl Genet.* (2016) 129:935–43. doi: 10.1007/s00122-016-2673-7

168. Lazzaro MD, Wu S, Snouffer A, Wang Y, van der Knaap E. Plant organ shapes are regulated by protein interactions and associations with microtubules. *Front Plant Sci.* (2018) 871:1–12. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.01766

169. Ai J, Wang Y, Yan Y, Li C, Luo W, Ma L, et al. StOFP20 regulates tuber shape and interacts with TONNEAU1 Recruiting Motif proteins in potato. *J Integr Agric*. (2022) 22:752–61. doi: 10.1016/j.jia.2022.08.069

170. Wang Y, Wang Q, Hao W, Sun H, Zhang L. Characterization of the *OFP* gene family and its putative involvement of tuberous root shape in radish. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2020) 21:1293. doi: 10.3390/ijms21041293

171. Yang C, Shen W, He Y, Tian Z, Li J. OVATE family protein 8 positively mediates brassinosteroid signaling through interacting with the GSK3-like kinase in Rice. *PLoS Genet.* (2016) 12:e1006118. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1006118

172. Peres ALGL, Soares JS, Tavares RG, Righetto G, Zullo MAT, Mandava NB, et al. Brassinosteroids, the sixth class of phytohormones: a molecular view from the discovery to hormonal interactions in plant development and stress adaptation. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2019) 20:331. doi: 10.3390/ijms20020331

173. Li Z, He Y. Roles of brassinosteroids in plant reproduction. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2020) 21:872. doi: 10.3390/ijms21030872

174. Müssig C, Biesgen C, Lisso J, Uwer U, Weiler EW, Altmann T. A novel stressinducible 12-oxophytodienoate reductase from *Arabidopsis thaliana* provides a potential link between Brassinosteroid-action and Jasmonic-acid synthesis. *J Plant Physiol*. (2000) 157:143–52. doi: 10.1016/S0176-1617(00)80184-4

175. Unterholzner SJ, Rozhon W, Papacek M, Ciomas J, Lange T, Kugler KG, et al. Brassinosteroids are master regulators of gibberellin biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell.* (2015) 27:2261–72. doi: 10.1105/tpc.15.00433 176. Tong H, Xiao Y, Liu D, Gao S, Liu L, Yin Y, et al. Brassinosteroid regulates cell elongation by modulating gibberellin metabolism in rice. *Plant Cell*. (2014) 26:4376–93. doi: 10.1105/tpc.114.132092

177. Yoshida Y, Takahashi H, Kanda H, Kanahama K. Interactive effects of photoperiods and plant growth regulators on the development of tubers and flowering spikes in Chinese yam (*Dioscorea opposita*) cv. *Nagaimo J Japanese Soc Hortic Sci.* (2002) 71:752–7. doi: 10.2503/jjshs.71.752

178. Kim SK, Lee SC, Lee BH, Choi HJ, Kim KU, Lee IJ. Bulbil formation and yield responses of Chinese yam to application of gibberellic acid, mepiquat chloride and trinexapac-ethyl. *J Agron Crop Sci.* (2003) 189:255–60. doi: 10.1046/j.1439-037X.2003.00039.x

179. Kim H, Park PJ, Hwang HJ, Lee SY, Oh MH, Kim SG. Brassinosteroid signals control expression of the *AXR3/IAA17* gene in the cross-talk point with auxin in root development. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem.* (2006) 70:768–73. doi: 10.1271/bbb.70.768

180. Kim MH, Kim Y, Kim JW, Lee HS, Lee WS, Kim SK, et al. Identification of Arabidopsis BAK1-associating receptor-like kinase 1 (BARK1) and characterization of its gene expression and brassinosteroid- regulated root phenotypes. *Plant Cell Physiol.* (2013) 54:1620–34. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pct106

181. Song L, Zhou XY, Li L, Xue LJ, Yang X, Xue HW. Genome-wide analysis revealed the complex regulatory network of brassinosteroid effects in photomorphogenesis. *Mol Plant.* (2009) 2:755–72. doi: 10.1093/mp/ssp039

182. Goda H, Sawa S, Asami T, Fujioka S, Shimada Y, Yoshida S. Comprehensive comparison of auxin-regulated and brassinosteroid-regulated genes in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* (2004) 134:1555–73. doi: 10.1104/pp.103.034736

183. Goda H, Shimada Y, Asami T, Fujioka S, Yoshida S. Comprehensive comparison of auxin-regulated and brassinosteroid-regulated genes in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* (2002) 130:1319–34. doi: 10.1104/pp.011254

184. van Mourik H, van Dijk ADJ, Stortenbeker N, Angenent GC, Bemer M. Divergent regulation of Arabidopsis *SAUR* genes: a focus on the *SAUR10*-clade. *BMC Plant Biol.* (2017) 17:245. doi: 10.1186/s12870-017-1210-4

185. Spartz AK, Ren H, Park MY, Grandt KN, Lee SH, Murphy AS, et al. SAUR inhibition of PP2C-D phosphatases activates plasma membrane H+-ATPases to promote cell expansion in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell*. (2014) 26:2129–42. doi: 10.1105/tpc.114.126037

186. Ulmasov T, Murfett J, Hagen G, Guilfoyle TJ. Aux/IAA proteins repress expression of reporter genes containing natural and highly active synthetic auxin response elements. *Plant Cell.* (1997) 9:1963–71. doi: 10.1105/tpc.9.11. 1963

187. Abel S, Oeller PW, Theologis A. Early auxin-induced genes encode short-lived nuclear proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (1994) 91:326–30. doi: 10.1073/pnas.91.1.326

188. Li Z, Ou Y, Zhang Z, Li J, He Y. Brassinosteroid signaling recruits histone 3 Lysine-27 demethylation activity to *FLOWERING LOCUS C* chromatin to inhibit the floral transition in *Arabidopsis. Mol Plant.* (2018) 11:1135–46. doi: 10.1016/j. molp.2018.06.007

189. Janeczko A, Filek W, Biesaga-Kościelniak J, Marcińska I, Janeczko Z. The influence of animal sex hormones on the induction of flowering in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: comparison with the effect of 24-*epi*brassinolide. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* (2003) 72:147–51. doi: 10.1023/A:1022291718398

190. Ju L, Dong H, Yang R, Jing Y, Zhang Y, Liu L, et al. BIN2 phosphorylates the Thr280 of CO to restrict its function in promoting *Arabidopsis* flowering. *Front Plant Sci.* (2023) 14:1068949. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2023. 1068949