

This is a repository copy of *Decoupled control for double-T Dc-Dc MMC topology for MT-HVdc/MVdc grids*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/198347/</u>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Pesce, C. orcid.org/0000-0002-1864-9401, Riedemann, J., Peña, R. orcid.org/0000-0002-3733-8262 et al. (3 more authors) (2023) Decoupled control for double-T Dc-Dc MMC topology for MT-HVdc/MVdc grids. Applied Sciences, 13 (6). 3778. ISSN 2076-3417

https://doi.org/10.3390/app13063778

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/





Article Decoupled Control for Double-T Dc-Dc MMC Topology for MT-HVdc/MVdc Grids

Cristián Pesce^{1,*}, Javier Riedemann², Rubén Peña³, Iván Andrade⁴, Werner Jara⁵, and Rodrigo Villalobos¹

- 1 Electrical Department, Universidad de La Frontera, Temuco 4780000, Chile 2
 - Electrical Department, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK
- 3 Electrical Department, Universidad de Concepción, Concepción 4030000, Chile
- 4 Electrical Department, Universidad de Magallanes, Punta Arenas 6200000, Chile
- 5 Electrical Department, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Valparaíso 2340000, Chile
- Correspondence: cristian.pesce@ufrontera.cl

Featured Application: The control method proposed in this work can be applied to power converters operating in multi-terminal high-voltage dc systems.

Abstract: This paper proposes a decoupled control of a dc-dc modular multilevel converter (MMC) based on a double-T topology intended for multi-terminal high voltage direct current (MT-HVdc) transmission systems or emerging distribution systems operating in medium voltage direct current (MVdc). The aim of the proposed control strategy is to obtain an input current with reduced harmonic content and to eliminate the output ac common-mode voltage, which is not allowed in MT-HVdc systems. The control strategy consists of injecting two circulating ac currents and two dc currents that allow the energy balance between the arms of the converter and the general energy balance of the topology. The dc and ac currents are decoupled and allow control over load variations and reference changes in the dc-links. The proposed topology is mathematically modeled and the control method is then derived. Simulation results are presented to validate the proposed system.

Keywords: dc-dc power converters; modular multilevel converters; MT-HVdc converters; HVdc grids; MVdc grids

1. Introduction

A fundamental aspect of electric power systems is the efficiency of transmission lines from generation centers to consumption ones. This problem has led to important research and technological development in recent years [1–3]. Currently, most of the transmission grids in the world are based on high-voltage alternating current (HVac). However, HVac grids present serious limitations when it comes to transmitting at large distances [3–8], then power transmission in HVdc is the preferred alternative and has been largely implemented in different electrical systems around the world [9–12]. For instance, the ± 1100 kV Ultra-HVdc line transmission in China [11] or the ± 650 kV Kimal-Lo-Aguirre HVdc transmission line in Chile [13]. In the future, it is expected there will be an increase in the number of long-distance HVdc transmission systems [14-16]. On the other hand, an important configuration in HVdc grids is the multi-terminal connection grids (MT-HVdc) [17–19]. An MT-HVdc grid consists of the interconnection of three or more HVdc sub-stations with different voltage magnitudes (see Figure 1).

In MT-HVdc grids, there could be bidirectional power flows between the different terminals, therefore dc-dc converters are required to adapt the voltage levels of the individual substations, as shown in Figure 1 [20].

In recent years, research has been carried out on dc-dc converters for HVdc systems [21–24] and recently for MVdc grids [23]. Several power converter topologies for HVdc systems have been reported in the literature [25]. In particular, modular multilevel converters are



Citation: Pesce, C.; Riedemann, J.; Peña, R.; Andrade, I.; Jara, W.; Villalobos, R. Decoupled Control for Double-T Dc-Dc MMC Topology for MT-HVdc/MVdc Grids. Appl. Sci. 2023, 13, 3778. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/app13063778

Academic Editors: Salvador Pérez Litrán, Jorge Filipe Leal Costa Semião and Eladio Durán Aranda

Received: 27 January 2023 Revised: 4 March 2023 Accepted: 9 March 2023 Published: 16 March 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

very attractive for this type of application [26,27], since they offer bidirectional power flow capability and low distortion in the input and output currents. Variations of the standard MMC structure have also been proposed, such as the resonant MMC [28–31], or the novel double-Y and double-Pi topologies [32–35].



Figure 1. Schematic of a multi-terminal HVdc system.

In this paper, a decoupled control strategy for a dc-dc converter intended for MT-HVdc applications is presented. The topology has been called double-T since it is built with two T-structures (see Figure 2). The proposed MMC operating with the presented control method exhibits the following features: no common-mode voltage at the converter output, the minimum number of cells to build the topology, and null ac components in the input current. The operating principle of the converter is described in detail as well as the proposed control strategy. Simulation results are presented to validate the control method and a sensitivity analysis is carried out to evaluate its performance under variations of the converter parameters.



Figure 2. Double-T topology, with two T-structures called T_1 and T_2 .

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 the mathematical model of the converter is presented. Section 3 describes the operating principle of the

topology. The control strategy proposed is derived in Section 4 whereas the simulation results are presented in Section 5. In Section 6 Brief Sensitive Analysis is presented. Finally, the conclusions are stated in Section 7.

2. Converter Model

A schematic of the converter is shown in Figure 3. The converter is built with two T-structures (T_1 and T_2) with three arms each. In turn, each arm is built with an inductor L and N cascaded H-bridges named *series, derivation,* and *output* stacks. The use of H-bridges in the stacks allows the possibility to operate with bidirectional power flow. Moreover, the inductors are required to couple the ac voltage components produced by each stack for current control purposes. The resistor R represents the equivalent resistance of the inductor and each T-structure is grounded through a *derivation* stack.



Figure 3. Scheme of the double-T topology.

Figure 4 shows the average model of the converter in terms of the voltages and currents in each arm of the topology. Time-varying voltages $v_{se_{1,2}}(t)$, $v_{de_{1,2}}(t)$, and $v_{o_{1,2}}(t)$ are produced by stacks *series*, *derivation*, and *output*, respectively. These time-varying voltages are dependent on the arm currents $i_{se_{1,2}}(t)$, $i_{de_{1,2}}(t)$, and $i_{o_{1,2}}(t)$, respectively. V_i is the dc input voltage. V_o is the converter output voltage which has a dc component and harmonics multiple of the switching frequency. In an MT-HVdc grid, V_o is another terminal and can deliver power to V_i .



Figure 4. Average model of the double-T topology.

Applying voltage and current laws to the meshes, then the main equations defining the average model can be derived:

$$V_i = L \frac{di_{se1}(t)}{dt} - L \frac{di_{de1}(t)}{dt} + R(i_{se1}(t) - i_{de1}(t)) + v_{se1}(t) + v_{de1}(t)$$
(1)

$$V_i = L \frac{di_{se2}(t)}{dt} - L \frac{di_{de2}(t)}{dt} + R(i_{se2}(t) - i_{de2}(t)) + v_{se2}(t) + v_{de2}(t)$$
(2)

$$0 = L \frac{di_{de1}(t)}{dt} + L \frac{di_{o1}(t)}{dt} + R(i_{de1}(t) + i_{o1}(t)) - v_{de1}(t) + v_{o1}(t) + V_o$$
(3)

$$0 = L \frac{di_{de2}(t)}{dt} + L \frac{di_{o2}(t)}{dt} + R(i_{de2}(t) + i_{o2}(t)) - v_{de2}(t) + v_{o2}(t) + V_o$$
(4)

$$i_{de1}(t) = i_{o1}(t) - i_{se1}(t)$$
(5)

$$i_{de2}(t) = i_{o2}(t) - i_{se2}(t)$$
(6)

$$-i_i(t) - i_{de1}(t) - i_{de2}(t) + i_o(t) = 0$$
(7)

The voltages $v_{se_{1,2}}(t)$, $v_{de_{1,2}}(t)$ and $v_{o_{1,2}}(t)$ are defined in (8)–(10) where $S_{i,se_{1,2}}(t)$, $S_{i,de_{1,2}}(t)$ and $S_{i,o_{1,2}}(t)$ are the switching functions of each sub-module (H-bridge) of each stack of the topology. The value of the switching functions can be either -1, 0, or 1. Finally, C_i are the capacitors of each sub-module.

$$v_{se_{1,2}}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{C_i} \int \left(i_{se_j}(t) \cdot S_{i,se_j}(t) \right) dt$$
(8)

$$v_{de_{1,2}}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{C_i} \int \left(i_{de_j}(t) \cdot S_{i,de_j}(t) \right) dt$$
(9)

$$v_{o_{1,2}}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{C_i} \int \left(i_{o_j}(t) \cdot S_{i,o_j}(t) \right) dt$$
(10)

From (1)–(7) it is possible to obtain the expressions for the instantaneous currents. Let $x(t) = [i_{o1}(t) i_{o2}(t) i_{se1}(t) i_{se2}(t)]^T$ be the state vector of independent currents and $u(t) = [v_{se1}(t) v_{se2}(t) v_{de1}(t) v_{de2}(t) v_{o1}(t) v_{o2}(t)]^T$ the control variables vector, the state-space model is given by:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} i_{o1}(t) \\ i_{o2}(t) \\ i_{se1}(t) \\ i_{se2}(t) \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{R}{L} \begin{bmatrix} i_{o1}(t) \\ i_{o2}(t) \\ i_{se1}(t) \\ i_{se2}(t) \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{3L} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{se1}(t) \\ v_{se2}(t) \\ v_{de1}(t) \\ v_{de2}(t) \\ v_{o1}(t) \\ v_{o2}(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} V_i - 2V_o \\ V_i - 2V_o \\ 2V_i - V_o \\ 2V_i - V_o \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

3. Operating Principle

This work considers an approach based on the energy balance between the stacks of the converter. To achieve this balance ac and dc voltages and currents are employed to transfer energy to and from the different stacks. Figure 5 shows a simplified model using dc voltages $V_{se_{1,2}}$, $V_{de_{1,2}}$, $V_{o_{1,2}}$ and ac voltages $v_{se_{1,2}}$, $v_{de_{1,2}}$, $v_{o_{1,2}}$ that are produced by each stack, under steady-state operation. The dc voltages are obtained by applying voltage law to the arms of the topology; their values are $V_{se_{1,2}} = (V_i - V_o)$ and $V_{de_{1,2}} = V_o$. For control purposes the dc voltages of the *output* stacks are zero. If $V_{o_{1,2}} = 0$, the energy transferred by the *output* stacks due to dc components is minimized, and it is associated mainly to ac voltages and currents.



Figure 5. Simplified scheme of the topology with ac and dc voltages and circulating currents.

The ac voltages $v_{se1,2}$, $v_{de_{1,2}}$, $v_{o_{1,2}}$ have sinusoidal waveform as $\pm v_u = \pm V_u \sin(2\pi f_c t)$, where f_c is a fundamental frequency. The sign of V_u depends on the control objective and on the circulating current, in this case $v_{se1} = -v_u$, $v_{se_2} = v_u$, $v_{de_1} = +v_u$, $v_{de_2} = +v_u$, $v_{o_1} = +v_u$ and $v_{o_2} = -v_u$ (see Figure 5). These ac voltages are intended to interact with the circulating ac currents i_{u_1} and i_{u_2} to achieve the energy balance of the converter.

The ac circulating currents can be expressed as $i_{u1} = I_{u1} \sin(2\pi f_c t)$ and $i_{u2} = I_{u2} \sin(2\pi f_c t)$. These currents are aimed to allow the energy balance of the *derivation* (i_{u1}) and *output* (i_{u2}) stacks. Additionally, dc currents $I_{se1,2}$, $I_{de1,2}$, $I_{o1,2}$ circulate in all arms of the topology. The dc currents $I_{se1,2}$ allow the energy balance of *series* stacks. Using expressions (1)–(7), neglecting the series equivalent resistance *R* of the inductor *L* and neglecting the product ωL which is comparatively small with respect to the product VI, it is possible to calculate the power equations of each stack as defined in (12)–(17). With the operating principle of the topology and the model defined in Section 2, the control strategy can now be derived as presented in Section 4.

$$P_{se_1} = \frac{d\overline{W}_{se_1}}{dt} = \int v_{se1}(t) \cdot i_{se1}(t) dt = (V_i - V_o) I_{se_1} - v_u i_{u1}$$
(12)

$$P_{de_1} = \frac{d\overline{W}_{de_1}}{dt} = \int v_{de1}(t) \cdot i_{de1}(t) dt = -V_o I_{de_1} + v_u (i_{u1} - i_{u2})$$
(13)

$$P_{o_1} = \frac{d\overline{W}_{o_1}}{dt} = \int v_{01}(t) \cdot i_{o1}(t) dt = v_u \, i_{u2} \tag{14}$$

$$P_{se_2} = \frac{d\overline{W}_{se_2}}{dt} = \int v_{se2}(t) \cdot i_{se2}(t) dt = (V_i - V_o)I_{se_2} - v_u i_{u1}$$
(15)

$$P_{de_2} = \frac{dW_{de_2}}{dt} = \int v_{de2}(t) \cdot i_{de2}(t) dt = -V_o I_{de_2} - v_u \left(-i_{u1} + i_{u2}\right)$$
(16)

$$P_{o_2} = \frac{d\overline{W}_{o_2}}{dt} = \int v_{o2}(t) \cdot i_{o2}(t) dt = v_u \, i_{u2} \tag{17}$$

4. Control of the Double-T Converter

The control strategy is based on the average energy balance of all arms of the converter. The control scheme is composed by three stages: average energy control on each stack; current control with references obtained in the energy control; and stack internal control for the equalization of the dc-link voltage in each submodule.

A. Energy Balance of Stacks

Using expressions (1)–(4) and (11) and knowing that the independent currents of the topology are four, the control system is designed. Taking into account the energy balance approach, the energy of the *series* stack is controlled by I_{se} (see (12) and (15)) via a proportional–integral (*PI*) controller. For *derivation* stacks, the control action is performed by the ac current i_{u1} whereas for *output* stacks, the energy control is carried out by the ac current i_{u2} . It should be noted in Figure 5 that i_{u1} and i_{u2} circulate through both T-structures. Therefore, for the energy control of the converter, there are four *PI* controllers.

To impose the dc currents I_{se_1} and I_{se_2} from energy $series_{1,2}$ controllers, another two *PI* controllers are used. As the energy control loops and the current control loops are nested, in this work they are decoupled using natural frequencies ω_n of the controllers in a ratio of 1/70. The natural frequency of the *series*_{1,2} energy controllers is ω_{n_e} and the natural frequency of the current controllers is ω_{n_c} , then $\omega_{n_c}/\omega_{n_e} = 70$. Figure 6 shows the control scheme for the energy balance of the *series* stacks.



Figure 6. Series stacks energy and current control scheme.

To impose alternating currents i_{u1} and i_{u2} from energy controllers in *derivation* stacks, proportional–resonant (*PR*) controllers are used. In this work, the frequency used for i_{u1} and i_{u2} is $f_c = 500$ [Hz]. This frequency should consider the "trade-off" between switching losses in the H-bridges and the dynamic response in the *derivation* energy balance controllers. Additionally, in this work, for the proposed operating point, the magnitude of v_u is constant as $V_u = 0.7V_o$. The value of V_u is fundamental for the energy control of the *derivation* and *output* stacks. It is desired for V_u to be high so that the dynamics of the control are fast, but it must not exceed the maximum output voltage to avoid overmodulation and the consequent voltage distortion. For the simulation study $V_u = 9.1$ kV. Since the output direct voltage is $V_o = 13kV_{DC}$, then $V_{arm} = 13$ kV + 9.1 kV = 22.1 kV is within the value that the converter arm can deliver. The maximum value to be delivered by the arm is $V_{arm_{max}} = 3$ kV $\cdot 8 = 24$ kV. Figure 7 shows the energy balance control scheme of the converter stacks.



Figure 7. Derivation and output stacks energy and current control scheme.

B. Intra-Stacks Voltage Balance

The balance of the dc-links voltages of each stack is carried out by exchanging power between a quadrature current i_q that circulates in addition to the energy balance currents. This current can be defined as $i_q = I_q \sin(\omega_c t + \pi/2)$ and is phase-shifted 90° with respect to i_{u1} and i_{u2} . Then, $i_{u1} + i_q$ and $i_{u2} + i_q$ are the currents circulating internally in the converter. Moreover, in each sub-module there is a voltage $v_{bal} = V_{bal} \sin(\omega_c t + \pi/2)$ that is in phase with the current i_q . This allows exchanging power and generating the internal balance of the stacks keeping the dc-links of the N sub-modules with the same voltage. This voltage balance controller is based on a proportional (*P*) controller that receives the average value of the N dc-links voltages. The controller output is multiplied by $\sin(\omega_c t + \pi/2)$ and V_{bal_N} is then generated. Finally, as i_q is in quadrature with respect to the currents i_{u1} and i_{u2} , the intra-stack balance acts decoupled with respect to the energy control.

C. Decoupling Energy Balance Control

According to Equations (12)–(14), if these expressions are added, then (18) is obtained. In the same way, if (15)–(17) are added, the expression (19) is obtained.

$$P_{se_1} + P_{de_1} + P_{o_1} = (V_i - V_o)I_{se_1} - V_oI_{de_1}$$
(18)

$$P_{se_2} + P_{de_2} + P_{o_2} = v_u \, i_{u_2} \tag{19}$$

Equations (18) and (19) are used to design the *series* stack energy controllers. The dc currents $I_{de_{1,2}}$ are added to obtain a feedforward compensation (see Figure 7). This leads to an improved energy balance dynamic of the dc-links of the *series* stacks. In the same way for the design of the *derivation* stacks energy control loops, if the (13), (14), (16), and (17) are added, the result is (20). In Figure 7 the implementation of the control strategy can be seen. For the control design of output stacks energy loops (*output*₁ and *output*₂), as there is no power transfer of DC components, this decoupling principle does not apply.

$$P_{de_1} + P_{o_1} + P_{de_2} + P_{o_2} = 2v_u i_{u_1} - V_o \left(I_{de_1} + I_{de_2} \right)$$
(20)

8 of 17

5. Simulations Results

Simulations are carried out considering the parameters in Table 1. Since 8 sub-modules per stack are used, the topology comprises a total of 48 H-bridges. The input and output voltage values have been defined for a typical MVdc distribution system.

Table 1. Simulation parameters.

Description	Value
Input voltage, $HVDC_1 = V_{in}$	23000 [V]
Output voltage, $HVDC_2 = V_0$	13000 [V]
Rated output power, <i>P</i> _{out}	1.25 [MW]
Dc-links capacitors, $C_{se_{1,2}} = C_{de_{1,2}} = C_{o_{1,2}}$	1 [mF]
Arm inductances, L	2.5 [mH]
Series equivalent resistance of inductors, R	50 [mΩ]
Dc-links reference voltage	3000 [V]
Frequency of ac circulating currents	500 [Hz]
Number of H-bridges per stack	8
Number of arms	6
Switching frequency, f_{sw}	2 [kHz]

Since the focus of this paper is on the control strategy for the double-T topology, the design aspects of the converter are not addressed in detail. However, general design procedures reported in [32,34,35] were followed.

Regarding the nomenclature used to show the results, the capacitor of the first Hbridge of the *series*_{1,2}, *derivation*_{1,2}, and *output*_{1,2} stacks will be called $v_{c1_{se1,2}}$, $v_{c1_{de1,2}}$ and $v_{c1_{o1,2}}$, respectively.

In the first test, simulations for load changes applied at t = 0.4 s, t = 0.8 s, and t = 1.2, are performed. Figure 8a shows one dc-link voltage per stack. As can be seen, the energy balance controller responds satisfactorily and very small variations in the dc-link voltages are obtained when the load changes. The voltage reference for all the dc-links has been set to 3 kV. Figure 8b shows the output power. From 0.8 to 1.2 s the output power is nominal.



Figure 8. (a) One dc-link voltage per stack. (b) Converter output power.

Figure 9 shows the 24 voltage waveforms of the dc-links of the T_1 structure whereas Figure 10 shows the dc-link voltages associated with the T_2 structure. An accurate response



of the control scheme can be appreciated, with a minimum variation on the dc-link voltages when the load varies.

Figure 9. *T*₁ dc-link voltages. (**a**) *series*₁ stack. (**b**) *derivation*₁ stack. (**c**) *output*₁ stack.



Figure 10. T₂ dc-link voltages. (a) series₂ stack. (b) derivation₂ stack. (c) output₂ stack.

The converter output voltage and input current are shown in Figure 11, both presenting very low ripple. The spectra associated to these waveforms are shown in Figure 12. In both waveforms, the THD is lower than 1% with respect to the fundamental frequency, which in this case is 0 Hz. The average voltage value is $V_{o_{0Hz}} = 13$ kV and the average current is $I_{in_{0Hz}} = 53$ A. As the number of sub-modules per stack are 8 and the switching frequency is 2 kHz, the harmonic components appear around 32 kHz (since the H-bridge doubles the equivalent switching frequency).



Figure 11. (a) Output voltage. (b) Input current.



Figure 12. (a) Output voltage spectra. (b) Input current spectra.

Figure 13 shows the currents of each converter arm. The currents in the *series* and *derivation* stacks are shown in Figure 13a,b, respectively. It can be noted that these currents have both ac and dc components. On the other hand, the current in the *output* stacks in Figure 13c is mainly dc with a small ac component. It is important to note that the currents i_{se1} and i_{se2} must be phase-shifted 180° so that the converter input current I_{in} has negligible ac component (see Figure 11b).

Figure 14 shows results for power variation and changes in the dc-link voltage reference. One dc-link voltage per stack is shown in Figure 14a and the output power is presented in Figure 14b. At t = 0.5 s a change in the reference of the dc-link voltages from 3 kV to 3.6 kV is applied. The opposite change is applied at t = 0.8 s. Good dynamic response of the dc-link voltages in every stack can be observed.



Figure 13. (a) $i_{se_1}(t)$ and $i_{se_2}(t)$ currents. (b) $i_{de_1}(t)$ and $i_{de_2}(t)$ currents. (c) $i_{o_1}(t)$ and $i_{o_2}(t)$ currents.



Figure 14. (a) One dc-link voltage per stack. (b) Converter output power (for for dc-links voltage control reference changes).

Figure 15 shows results for variations in the input and output voltages. In the case of the output voltage, the control reference is varied. Figure 15a shows the waveforms of one dc-link voltage per stack. Changes in the output voltage reference take place at t = 0.4 s and t = 0.8 s, whereas a change in the input voltage is applied at t = 0.6 s. In every input/output voltage variation applied, the fast and accurate response of the dc-link voltage control system can be observed.



Figure 15. (a) One dc-link voltage per stack. (b) Converter input voltage (c) Converter output voltage.

6. Brief Sensitivity Analysis

A study to validate the performance of the control system under changes in parameters of the converters is carried out. Variations of the capacitances and inductances of the converters are considered, and the parameters of the controllers are not changed.

6.1. Case 1

The value of the capacitors are set to $C_{se_1} = 1 \text{ mF}$, $C_{se_2} = 0.99 \text{ mF}$, $C_{de_1} = 1 \text{ mF}$, $C_{de_2} = 1.01 \text{ mF}$, $C_{o_1} = 1 \text{ mF}$, and $C_{o_2} = 1.01 \text{ mF}$. It should be mentioned that all the capacitors in a single arm are equal. The dc-link voltage reference is 3 kV and the converter operates with nominal load.

Figure 16 shows the dc-link voltages obtained. As can be seen, the control response is still accurate reaching the reference in short time and without error in steady state.



Figure 16. Dc-link voltage waveforms for case 1.

6.2. Case 2

In this second analysis, the values of the capacitors are: $C_{se_1} = 0.98 \text{ mF}$, $C_{se_2} = 1.02 \text{ mF}$, $C_{de_1} = 1 \text{ mF}$, $C_{de_2} = 1.02 \text{ mF}$, $C_{o_1} = 0.98 \text{ mF}$, and $C_{o_2} = 1.02 \text{ mF}$. The results are shown in Figure 17. Again, a good response of the control system and zero steady-state error are obtained.



Figure 17. Dc-link voltage waveforms for case 2.

6.3. Case 3

In this third analysis, the capacitor values are: $C_{se_1} = 0.9 \text{ mF}$, $C_{se_2} = 1.1 \text{ mF}$, $C_{de_1} = 0.95 \text{ mF}$, $C_{de_2} = 1.05 \text{ mF}$, $C_{o_1} = 0.9 \text{ mF}$, and $C_{o_2} = 1 \text{ mF}$. The dc-link voltage waveforms obtained are shown in Figure 18. In this case, the variation of the parameters has been in some arms more than 10% of the original value and certain steady-state errors can now be observed.



Figure 18. Dc-link voltage waveforms for case 3.

In the following cases 4, 5, and 6, the effect on the control system due to variations in the inductances is analyzed.

6.4. Case 4

In this first inductance variation scenario, the values of the inductors are set to $L_{se_1} = 2.51 \text{ mH}$, $L_{se_2} = 2.5 \text{ mH}$, $L_{de_1} = 2.49 \text{ mH}$, $L_{de_2} = 2.51 \text{ mH}$, $L_{o_1} = 2.49 \text{ mH}$, and $L_{o_2} = 2.5 \text{ mH}$. The dc-link voltages are presented in Figure 19 where a proper dynamic response and null steady-state error are appreciated.



Figure 19. Dc-link voltage waveforms for case 4.

6.5. Case 5

The values of the inductors are: $L_{se_1} = 2.45$ mH, $L_{se_2} = 2.55$ mH, $L_{de_1} = 2.46$ mH, $L_{de_2} = 2.54$ mH, $L_{o_1} = 2.47$ mH, and $L_{o_2} = 2.5$ mH, and the dc-link voltages are presented in Figure 20. Once again, the control responds properly and there is no error in the steady state.



Figure 20. Dc-link voltage waveforms for case 5.

Finally, the inductor values are defined as: $L_{se_1} = 2.3 \text{ mH}$, $L_{se_2} = 2.65 \text{ mH}$, $L_{de_1} = 2.4 \text{ mH}$, $L_{de_2} = 2.45 \text{ mH}$, $L_{o_1} = 2.6 \text{ mH}$, and $L_{o_2} = 2.7 \text{ mH}$. For this condition, the results are shown in Figure 21 where a response similar to cases 4 and 5 is obtained.





On the other hand, variations of the inductor equivalent resistance of up to 10% of its original value have also been simulated, but the results showed no variations in the dc-link voltages, then they are not presented in this work.

7. Conclusions

The control strategy presented in this work allows us to balance the energy of the converter arms by means of circulating ac and dc currents. The ac currents circulate only inside the converter not being reflected in the input or output of the topology. The ac current flow through T_2 -structure is the same that in T_1 -structure, this allows us to obtain an input current with minimum harmonic content. Moreover, due to the control strategy, the output voltage has also a very low ripple. These characteristics are fundamental in HVdc systems since they are not designed to manage ac variables.

On the other hand, the sensitivity analysis shows that small variations of the converter parameters do not affect the performance of the control system.

The decoupling of the control allows us to reduce the impact of power changes on the output, as seen in the results. The presented topology operating with the proposed control strategy exhibits no common-mode alternating voltage component, which is an advantage and validates its possible use in HVdc or MVdc systems.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, C.P., J.R. and R.P.; methodology, C.P.; software, C.P. and J.R.; validation, C.P. and R.V.; formal analysis, I.A. and W.J.; investigation, I.A. and W.J.; resources, R.V. and W.J.; writing—original draft preparation, C.P. and J.R.; writing—review and editing, R.P.; visualization, W.J.; supervision, J.R.; project administration, R. Villalobos; funding acquisition, R.P. and C.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Chilean Agency of Research and Development, grant number "ANID FONDAP 15110019—PUENTE 1522A0006" and "DIUFRO Project support by Universidad de La Frontera grant number DI23-0011".

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Liang, Y.; Ren, Y.; He, W. An Enhanced Current Differential Protection for AC Transmission Lines Connecting MMC-HVDC Stations. *IEEE Syst. J.* 2022, 17, 892–903. [CrossRef]
- Mehdi, A.; Kim, C.H.; Hussain, A.; Kim, J.S.; Hassan, S.J.U. A Comprehensive Review of Auto-Reclosing Schemes in AC, DC, and Hybrid (AC/DC) Transmission Lines. *IEEE Access* 2021, *9*, 74325–74342. [CrossRef]
- Xu, X.; Meng, F.; Paramene, A.; Chen, X.; Qiu, L.; Zhou, W. Investigation on loss reduction strategies of single-core HVAC submarine cables. In Proceedings of the 22nd International Symposium on High Voltage Engineering (ISH 2021), Xi'an, China, 21–26 November 2021; pp. 44–48.
- Stanojev, O.; Garrison, J.; Hedtke, S.; Franck, C.M.; Demiray, T. Benefit Analysis of a Hybrid HVAC/HVDC Transmission Line: A Swiss Case Study. In Proceedings of the 2019 IEEE Milan PowerTech, Milan, Italy, 23–27 June 2019; pp. 1–6.
- 5. Halder, T. Comparative Study of HVDC and HVAC for a bulk power Transmission. In Proceedings of the IEEE Power and Energy and Control (ICPEC), Dindigul, India, 6–8 February 2013; pp. 139–144.
- Meah, K.; Ula, S. Comparative Evaluation of HVDC and HVAC Transmission Systems. In Proceedings of the IEEE Power Engineering Society General Meeting, Tampa, FL, USA, 24–28 June 2007.
- Gumilar, L.; Sholeh, M.; Cahyani, D.E.; Kusumawardana, A.; Habibi, M.A.; Akhmadi, S.F. Comparison of Renewable Energy Output Power Transmission to Loads Via HVAC and HVDC. In Proceedings of the 2021 4th International Seminar on Research of Information Technology and Intelligent Systems (ISRITI), Yogyakarta, Indonesia, 16–17 December 2021; pp. 458–463.
- Apostolaki-Iosifidou, E.; Mccormack, R.; Kempton, W.; Mccoy, P.; Ozkan, D. Transmission Design and Analysis for Large-Scale Offshore Wind Energy Development. *IEEE Power Energy Technol. Syst. J.* 2019, 6, 22–31. [CrossRef]
- 9. Hafeez, K.; Khan, S.A. High voltage direct current (HVDC) transmission: Future expectation for Pakistan. *CSEE J. Power Energy Syst.* **2019**, *5*, 82–86. [CrossRef]
- Migliori, M.; Lauria, S.; Michi, L.; Donnini, G.; Aluisio, B.; Vergine, C. Renewable sources integration using HVDC in parallel to AC traditional system: The Adriatic project. In Proceedings of the 2019 AEIT HVDC International Conference (AEIT HVDC), Florence, Italy, 9–10 May 2019; pp. 1–5.
- Wu, Y.; Yu, F.; Guo, J.; Shen, L. Research on the effects of different DC filter configurations in ±1100kV UHVDC transmission system. In Proceedings of the 16th IET International Conference on AC and DC Power Transmission (ACDC 2020), Online , 2–3 July 2020; pp. 758–761.
- Lange, J.; Neubert, R.; Alt, B.; Hussennether, V.; de Abreu, M.G.C. Innovative Converter Testing Technology and Overall Reliability and Availability Performance of HVDC Solutions. In Proceedings of the 2021 AEIT HVDC International Conference (AEIT HVDC), Genoa, Italy, 27–28 May 2021; pp. 1–6.
- Tecnología HVDC y su Integración al sen, Cigre-Chile, 2021. Available online: https://www.cigre.cl/2021/07/23/tecnologiahvdc-y-su-integracion-al-sen/ (accessed on 1 February 2023).
- Sousa, T.; Santos, M.L.; Jardini, J.A.; Casolari, R.P.; Nicola, G.L.C. An evaluation of the HVDC and HVAC transmission economic. In Proceedings of the 2012 Sixth IEEE/PES Transmission and Distribution: Latin America Conference and Exposition (T&D-LA), Montevideo, Uruguay, 3–5 September 2012; pp. 1–6. [CrossRef]
- 15. ABB and Aibel Technical News. Available online: https://www.4coffshore.com/news/abb-and-aibel-net-dogger-bank-bounty-nid14371.html (accessed on 1 February 2023).
- 16. CIGRE Session 2022 28 August–02 September 2022 Paris, France. Available online: https://www.cigre.org/article/GB/news/the_latest_news/roadmaps-to-a-common-destination-decarbonization-of-energy-systems (accessed on 1 February 2023).
- Ma, K.; Annakkage, U.D.; Karawita, C. Development of a small-signal assessment tool for multi-terminal LCC schemes. In Proceedings of the 15th IET International Conference on AC and DC Power Transmission (ACDC 2019), Coventry, UK, 5–7 February 2019; pp. 1–7.
- Garces, A.; Sanchez, S.; Bergna, G.; Tedeschi, E. HVDC Meshed Multi-Terminal Networks for Offshore Wind Farms: Dynamic Model, Load Flow and Equilibrium. In Proceedings of the IEEE COMPEL, Stanford, CA, USA, 9–12 July 2017; pp. 1–6.
- Ambia, M.N.; Meng, K.; Xiao, W.; Al-Durra, A.; Dong, Z.Y. Adaptive Droop Control of Multi-Terminal HVDC Network for Frequency Regulation and Power Sharing. *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.* 2021, 36, 566–578. [CrossRef]
- Solhjoukhah, Z.; Egea-Alvarez, A.; Gomis-Bellmunt, O. Voltage Control of Offshore Load Connected to a Multi-Terminal HVDC Transmission System. In Proceedings of the 11th IET International Conference on AC and DC Power Transmission, Birmingham, UK, 10–12 February 2015; pp. 1–9.
- Alagab, S.M.; Tennakoon, S.; Gould, C. Comparison of Single-stage and Multi-stage Marx DC-DC converters for HVDC application. In Proceedings of the 2018 53rd International Universities Power Engineering Conference (UPEC), Glasgow, UK, 4–7 September 2018; pp. 1–6.

- Zhang, S.; Li, B.; Zhao, X.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, W.; Xu, D. A Transformerless Hybrid Modular Step-up DC/DC Converter for Bipolar and Symmetrical Monopolar HVDC Interconnection. In Proceedings of the 2019 4th IEEE Workshop on the Electronic Grid (eGRID), Xiamen, China, 11–14 November 2019; pp. 1–6.
- Liu, Y.; Lei, D.; Ning, Z.; Ren, Y.; Wang, Y.; Tian, J.; Wang, H.; Xu, R. A Novel Topology of Three-Port DC-DC Converter with Improved Carrier Phase-Shifted Control for MVDC Applictions. In Proceedings of the 2021 IEEE/IAS Industrial and Commercial Power System Asia (I&CPS Asia), Chengdu, China, 18–21 July 2021; pp. 74–78.
- 24. Páez, J.D.; Frey, D.; Maneiro, J.; Bacha, S.; Dworakowski, P. Overview of DC–DC Converters Dedicated to HVdc Grids. *IEEE Trans. Power Deliv.* **2019**, *34*, 119–128. [CrossRef]
- 25. Adam, G.P.; Gowaid, I.A.; Finney, S.J.; Holliday, D.; Williams, B.W. Review of dc-dc converters for multi-terminal hvdc transmission networks. *IET Power Electron*. 2016, 9, 281–296. [CrossRef]
- Cui, W.; Shao, S.; Zhang, J.; Li, Y.; Zhang, J. Bidirectional Modular Multilevel Resonant DC-DC Converter for Medium Voltage Power Conversion. In Proceedings of the 2020 IEEE Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition (ECCE), Detroit, MI, USA, 11–15 October 2020; pp. 4380–4385.
- Zhang, X.; Green, T.C. The Modular Multilevel Converter for High Step-Up Ratio DC–DC Conversion. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* 2015, 62, 4925–4936. [CrossRef]
- Shao, S.; Li, Y.; Sheng, J.; Li, C.; Li, W.; Zhang, J.; He, X. A Modular Multilevel Resonant DC–DC Converter. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 2020, 35, 7921–7932. [CrossRef]
- Xiang, X.; Zhang, X.; Zhu, Y.; Chaffey, G.P.; Gu, Y.; Green, T.C. The Resonant Modular Multilevel DC Converters for High Step-ratio and Low Step-ratio Interconnection in MVDC Distribution Network. In Proceedings of the IECON 2019—45th Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society, Lisbon, Portugal, 14–17 October 2019; pp. 5686–5693.
- Pineda, C.; Pereda, J.; Rojas, F.; Cerda, C.; Zhang, X.; Watson, A.J. Asymmetrical Triangular Current Mode (ATCM) for Bidirectional High Step Ratio Modular Multilevel Dc–Dc Converter. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 2020, 35, 6906–6915. [CrossRef]
- Elgenedy, M.A.; Alhurayyis, I.; Elkhateb, A.; Ahmed, K.; Kroičs, K. A New DC-DC Converter Linking LCC-HVDC Transmission Networks. In Proceedings of the 2021 23rd European Conference on Power Electronics and Applications (EPE'21 ECCE Europe), Ghent, Belgium, 6–10 September 2021; pp. P.1–P.10.
- Vidal, R.; Belenguer, E.; Pesce, C.; Soto, D.; Pena, R.; Blasco-Gimenez, R.; Riedemann, J. Power flow control using a DC-DC MMC for HVdc grid connected wind power plants. In Proceedings of the IECON 2015—41st Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society, Yokohama, Japan, 9–12 November 2015; pp. 005107–005112. [CrossRef]
- Klimczak, P.; Blaszczyk, P.; Jez, R.; Koska, K. Double wye Modular Multilevel Converter—Direct DC-DC topology. In Proceedings of the 8th IET International Conference on Power Electronics, Machines and Drives (PEMD 2016), Glasgow, UK, 19–21 April 2016; pp. 1–6.
- Shivashanker, K.; Janaki, M.; Thirumalaivasan, R. Design of Decoupled Current Controller for Back to Back Modular Multilevel Converter Based HVDC Transmission System. In Proceedings of the 2021 Innovations in Power and Advanced Computing Technologies (i-PACT), Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 27–29 November 2021.
- 35. Oates, C. Modular multilevel converter design for VSC HVDC applications. *IEEE J. Emerg. Sel. Top. Power Electron.* **2014**, *3*, 505–515. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.