

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Katy Rezvani, University of Texas MD
Anderson Cancer Center,
United States

*CORRESPONDENCE
Alison Taylor
A.Taylor1@leeds.ac.uk

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Cancer Immunity and Immunotherapy, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 09 December 2022 ACCEPTED 13 December 2022 PUBLISHED 21 December 2022

CITATION

Zappasodi R, Cook GP and Taylor A (2022) Editorial: Factors determining long term anti-tumor responses to immune checkpoint blockade therapy. *Front. Immunol.* 13:1120207. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1120207

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Zappasodi, Cook and Taylor. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Factors determining long term anti-tumor responses to immune checkpoint blockade therapy

Roberta Zappasodi¹, Graham P. Cook² and Alison Taylor^{2*}

¹Weill Cornell Medicine, Cornell University and Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York City, NY, United States, ²Leeds Institute of Medical Research, University of Leeds School of Medicine, Leeds, United Kingdom

KEYWORDS

immunotherapy, tumour immunology, immune checkpoint blockade, checkpoint inhibitors, cancer

Editorial on the Research Topic

Factors determining long term anti-tumor responses to immune checkpoint blockade therapy

Landmark studies performed in animal models more than twenty years ago revealed that the anti-tumour activity of T cells could be enhanced by blocking inhibitory signals arising from cell surface receptors now collectively termed immune checkpoints (1–3). This led to the development of therapeutic antibodies targeting these molecules, their clinical trials and to the approval of agents targeting CTLA-4, PD-1 and its ligand, PD-L1 (3–5). These agents have revolutionised treatment of several cancers and are under investigation for their value in the treatment of many others. Two pioneers of this field, immunologists James Allison and Tasuku Honjo, were awarded the 2018 Nobel Prize in Medicine or Physiology (4, 5).

Using antibodies to treat cancer is not new. Antibodies targeting CD20 expression on B cell malignancies (Rituximab and its derivatives) and HER2 in breast cancer (Trastuzumab) have been approved for clinical use since the late 1990s. However, the antibody-mediated targeting of immune checkpoints represents a paradigm shift in cancer treatment. Along with agents targeting vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), these treatments target components of the tumour microenvironment rather than direct targeting of the tumour cells. This strategy reflects our changing view of cancer and the way in which it is studied and treated (6, 7). Attention is no longer confined to just the malignant cells, but now extends to all components of the tumour microenvironment and beyond (for example, the effects of co-morbidities and the microbiome). Numerous cell types are under investigation for their contribution to tumour progression and, hence, for their potential as drug targets; immune checkpoint blockade is a success story resulting from such endeavours.

Zappasodi et al. 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1120207

Unfortunately, this success is not universal. In the case of melanoma, roughly half of the patients treated will not benefit. Furthermore, side effects can be severe. These therapies target naturally occurring feedback inhibitory mechanisms that have evolved to limit the duration of the immune response and minimise damage to healthy tissue. This is exemplified by early studies of PD-1 and CTLA-4 in which knockout mice were shown to develop autoimmune phenotypes. Side effects, variable responses (and of course cost) mean there is a pressing need to better understand the nature of response (and nonresponse) and to identify those patients more likely to benefit from these treatments. This has been intensive area of research for several years and the collection of papers presented here reflect clinical needs and current mechanistic understanding. The papers include those addressing immune checkpoint blockade in a single malignancy, as well as more diverse studies. Indeed, the impact of immune checkpoint therapy on cancer treatment is demonstrated by the number of cancer types investigated in these papers, these include melanoma, bladder, lung, renal, pancreatic and colorectal cancers. Furthermore, case reports in pancreatic cancer and rhabdomyosarcoma demonstrate how these therapies can dramatically improve outcomes for individual patients.

Several of these studies focus on defining markers of response to immune checkpoint therapy. A diverse range of material and technological approaches are used. For example, studies utilise tumour genome and transcriptome data, inflammatory markers (serum proteins and neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio), markers of circulating tumour cells and the contribution of the gut microbiome and tumour metabolism. In addition, factors that determine outcome are discussed, these include drug-drug interactions, as well as underlying immunological mechanisms and how the tumour

microenvironment regulates and responds to treatment. Of note, these papers highlight the interdisciplinarity of these studies; biomedical researchers, oncologists and other clinical professionals now regularly work alongside bioinformaticians, statisticians, clinical trials experts and computer scientists. Most importantly, this field (which is no longer exclusive to immunologists) makes a difference.

Author contributions

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

- 1. Leach DR, Krummel MF, Allison JP. Enhancement of antitumor immunity by CTLA-4 blockade. Science (1996) 271:1734-6. doi: 10.1126/science.271.5256.1734
- 2. Iwai Y, Ishida M, Tanaka Y, Okazaki T, Honjo T, Minato N, et al. Involvement of PD-L1 on tumor cells in the escape from host immune system and tumor immunotherapy by PD-L1 blockade. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* (2002) 99:12293–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.192461099
- 3. Pardoll DM. The blockade of immune checkpoints in cancer immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Cancer*. (2012) 12:252-64. doi: 10.1038/nrc3239
- 4. Nobel Prize in physiology or medicine 2018 . Available at: https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/medicine/2018/press-release/.
- 5. Fritz JM, Lenardo MJ. Development of immune checkpoint therapy for cancer. J Exp Med (2019) 216:1244–54. doi: 10.1084/jem.20182395
- 6. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. The hallmarks of cancer. Cell (2000) 100:57–70. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81683-9
- 7. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: The next generation. Cell (2011) 144:646–74. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013