# Growth of Mesenchymal Stem Cells at the Surface of Silicone, Mineral and Plant-Based Oils

Lihui Peng<sup>1,2</sup>, Clemence Nadal<sup>1,2d</sup> and Julien E. Gautrot<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Bioengineering and <sup>2</sup>School of Engineering and Materials Science, Queen Mary, University of London, Mile End Road, London, E1 4NS, UK.

\**Corresponding author. j.gautrot@qmul.ac.uk* 

## Abstract

Bioemulsions are attractive platforms for the expansion of adherent cells in bioreactors. Their design relies on the self-assembly of protein nanosheets at liquid-liquid interfaces, displaying strong interfacial mechanical properties and promoting integrin-mediated cell adhesion. However, most systems developed to date have focused on fluorinated oils, which are unlikely to be accepted for direct implantation of resulting cell products for regenerative medicine, and protein nanosheets self-assembly at other interfaces has not been investigated. In this report, the composition of aliphatic pro-surfactants palmitoyl chloride and sebacoyl chloride, on the assembly kinetics of poly(L-lysine) at silicone oil interfaces and characterisation of ultimate interfacial shear mechanics and viscoelasticity is presented. The impact of the resulting nanosheets on the adhesion of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) is investigated via immunostaining and fluorescence microscopy, demonstrating the engagement of the classic focal adhesion-actin cytoskeleton machinery. The ability of MSCs to proliferate at the corresponding interfaces is quantified. In addition, expansion of MSCs at other non-fluorinated oil interfaces, based on mineral and plant-based oils is investigated. Finally, the proof-of-concept of such non-fluorinated oil systems for the formulation of bioemulsions supporting stem cell adhesion and expansion is demonstrated.

#### 1. Introduction

The culture, scale up of manufacturing and processing of adherent cells requires innovative solutions to enable translation of emerging cell-based technologies in the fields of regenerative medicine and cultivated meat [1-2]. Although a range of platforms have been designed and proposed to answer these challenges, from hollow fibre reactors to plastic and hydrogel microcarriers for stirred tank reactors [3-6], these remain associated with complex cell processing steps, as well as high costs and risks of contamination with microplastics.

In this context, bioemulsions are attractive alternatives to solid microcarriers for the culture of adherent cells. Oil phases that could be applied are inexpensive and cell products can readily be separated and processed for further applications [7-9]. Recently, the proof-of-concept of long term expansion of mesenchymal stem cells at the surface of bioemulsions was demonstrated [7]. MSCs were cultured from passage 3 to passage 10 on bioemulsions, solid microcarriers and 2D tissue culture plastic in parallel, followed by phenotypic characterisation at multiple time points (cell morphology, flow cytometry, qPCR, tri-lineage differentiation assays). The phenotype of MSCs was found to be undistinguishable upon expansion in these three culture systems, at any given round of expansion tested, and instead was found to be sensitive to the passage number and prior rounds of population doubling.

Although the culture of adherent cells may seem surprising, owing to the wealth of reports linking a broad range of cell phenotypes to substrate mechanics [10-14], it was demonstrated that this phenomenon is enabled by the assembly of mechanically strong protein nanosheets at the liquid-liquid interface [15-16]. Indeed, corresponding interfaces display high interfacial shear moduli and elasticity, enabling resistance to cell-mediated contractile forces. Keese and Giaever had identified that surfactant molecules, combined to poly(L-lysine) enabled the culture of fibroblasts at the surface of oil droplets [17] and such phenomenon was recently assigned the formation of protein nanosheets [15]. More systematic studies of the combined impact of surfactant and protein chemistry on the co-assembly of nanosheets at fluorinated oil interfaces identified that covalent coupling of pro-surfactant molecules to associated proteins enabled the formation of quasi-2D (6-10 nm) elastic networks and the storage of strain energy upon cell-mediated contractile forces [18]. The impact of the molecular structure, including molecular weight and charge density, of proteins assembling to such interfaces on the toughness and viscoelasticity of resulting networks was also recently reported [19]. However, although fluorinated oil systems used in these studies have played important roles in discovery, analysis and diagnostics platforms in biotechnologies, such as microdroplet microfluidics [20-21], they remain unlikely solutions for implantation and cultured meat products. Indeed, it is possible that some fluorinated oil residues remain trapped with the cell products. Other oil alternatives are therefore important to identify.

The present work explores the replacement of fluorinated oils and pro-surfactants with silicone and aliphatic oils, including mineral and rapeseed oils used routinely in cosmetics, consumer healthcare and the food

industry. The formation of poly(L-lysine) nanosheets assembled at corresponding oil interfaces in the presence of the pro-surfactants hexadecanoyl chloride and sebacoyl chloride (hydrolysing into biocompatible palmitic acid and sebacic acid [22-23], respectively) is explored first via interfacial rheology, enabling the quantification of interfacial shear moduli, the kinetics of nanosheet formation and the investigation of resulting viscoelastic profiles of interfaces. The adhesion and expansion of mesenchymal stem cells cultured at resulting interfaces is then investigated. Finally, the stability of emulsions formed with corresponding protein nanosheets is investigated and the feasibility of MSC culture at corresponding non-fluorinated bioemulsions demonstrated.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

*Materials*. PBS, sodium hydroxide, poly(L-lysine) (PLL) hydrobromide (molecular weight 30 70 kDa), toluene (anhydrous, 99.8%), DMSO, triethylamine, triethoxy(octyl) silane, sebacoyl chloride (SBC), hexadecanoyl chloride (HDC), bovien serum albumin, gelatin (from cold water fish skin), fibronectin (from human plasma), paraformaldehyde, Triton X 100, tetramethyl rhodamine isothiocyanate phalloidin, 4,6 diamidino-2 phenylindole and monoclonal anti vinculin antibody from mouse were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Live/Dead kit was from Life Technologies. Glass coverslip (25 × 60 mm) and ethanol were from VWR. PDMS (trimethylsiloxy terminated, viscosity 10 cSt) was from ABCR. Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti rabbit IgG (H+ highly cross adsorbed secondary antibody, Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti mouse IgG (H+ highly cross adsorbed secondary antibody, Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti mouse IgG (H+ highly cross adsorbed secondary antibody, Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti mouse IgG (H+ highly cross adsorbed secondary antibody, Alexa Fluor 488 goat from ThermoFisher Scientific. Sticky-slide well was from Thistle Scientific. Rapeseed oil was from Sainsbury's. Blandol® White Mineral Oil was purchased from Sonneborn..

Interfacial rheology. Interfacial rheology was carried out on a hybrid rheometer (DHR-3) from TA Instruments fitted with a double wall ring (DWR) geometry and a Delrin trough with a circular channel. The double wall ring used for this geometry has a radius of 34.5 mm and the thickness of the Platinum–Iridium wire is 1 mm. The diamond-shaped cross-section of the geometry's ring provides the capability to pin directly onto the interface between two liquids and measure the interface properties without sub-phase correction. 19 mL of PBS (pH adjusted to 10.5) was placed in the Delrin trough and the ring was lowered, ensuring contact with the surface, via an axial force procedure. The measuring position was set 500  $\mu$ m lower than the contact point of the ring with the aqueous phase surface. Thereafter, 15 mL of the oil phase containing the prosurfactant mixture (hexadecanoyl chloride or sebacoyl chloride at final concentrations of 100  $\mu$ g/mL, as specified in corresponding figures) was carefully syringed on top of the aqueous phase.

Time sweeps were performed at a constant frequency of 0.1 Hz and a temperature of 25 °C, with a displacement of  $1.0 \ 10^{-3}$  rad, to follow the formation of the protein layers at the interface. The concentration

of poly(L-lysine) (PLL) used for all rheology experiments were 100 µg/mL (with respect to aqueous phase volume). Before and after each time sweep, frequency sweeps (with a constant displacement of 10<sup>-3</sup> rad) were conducted to examine the frequency-dependant characteristics of the interface. Amplitude sweeps (with constant frequencies of 0.1 Hz) were carried out to ensure that the selected displacement was within the linear viscoelastic region. Considering the low moduli initially measured for pristine liquid-liquid interfaces (in the absence of protein and/or surfactant), viscous drag from both phases were not corrected. We note that although the interfacial shear moduli observed at liquid-liquid interfaces in the absence of protein or surfactant are expected to be considerably lower than those measured in our assay [59, 60], due to lack of viscous drag correction, they should not completely vanish and interfacial shear viscosity should be expected to persist, even with liquid-liquid interfaces that display very limited roughness (at the molecular scale).

Stress relaxation experiments were performed at 1% strain for 120 s. Stress relaxation data from the 10<sup>th</sup> second onwards was plotted as a function of time and fitted with a double exponential decay function, according to the following equation:

$$\sigma = \sigma_e + \sigma_1 (1 - e^{-t/\tau_1}) + \sigma_2 (1 - e^{-t/\tau_2})$$
(1)

In this equation,  $\sigma$  is the measured residual stress,  $\sigma_e$  is the elastic stress and  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are viscous relaxation components.  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are relaxation time constants. The degree of stress retention ( $\sigma_r$ ) is calculated as:

$$\sigma_r = \frac{\sigma_e}{\sigma_e + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \times 100 \tag{2}$$

Generation of pinned droplets. Pinned droplets are droplets that are pinned to a hydrophobised thin glass slide. This enables their spreading to obtain a liquid-liquid interface that has a low curvature and a liquid phase that is sufficiently thin to enable imaging with the 10X objective of a microscope. Glass samples were placed into vials containing toluene (1 mL), 30  $\mu$ L trichlorooctyl silane and 30  $\mu$ L triethylamine and incubated at room temperature (protocols were carried out in jars with sufficient volumes of toluene/trichlorooctyl silane/triethylamine solutions, in order to produce multiple slides in parallel). After 24 h incubation, the glass slides were washed with ethanol and dried in air. The resulting hydrophobic glass slides were cut into 1×1 cm samples and placed into a 24-well plate. After sterilization with 70% ethanol, samples were washed with PBS and filled with 1 mL PBS (with pH adjusted as indicated). 100  $\mu$ L pinned droplets of oil (silicone, mineral or rapeseed oil) containing the desired co-surfactant at 10 or 100  $\mu$ g/mL concentrations were deposited on top of the submerged coated glass slide. 1 mL of 200  $\mu$ g/mL PLL solution was pipetted into each well (final concentration of 100  $\mu$ g/mL) and left to incubate for 1 h. Samples were washed by successive dilution/aspiration with PBS (pH 7.4, 6 times). Fibronectin adsorption was carried out by adding 20  $\mu$ L of a fibronectin solution (1 mg/mL) into each well (final concentration: 10  $\mu$ g/mL), followed by incubation at room

temperature for 1 h. Finally, samples were washed by dilution/aspiration with PBS (pH 7.4) four times and then with growth medium twice.

*Preparation of glass-mounted wells for higher resolution imaging (20X objective).* Hydrophobized thin glass slides (25×60 mm) were attached to Sticky-Slide 8 Well (An 8 well bottomless  $\mu$ -Slide with a self-adhesive underside to which substrates can be mounted, Ibidi). After sterilization with 70% ethanol, wells were washed with PBS and filled with 600  $\mu$ L PBS (with pH adjusted as indicated). Then 10  $\mu$ L pinned droplets of the desired oil supplemented with the co-surfactant (HDC and/or SBC; concentrations specified in corresponding figures) were deposited on top of the submerged coated glass substrates. 300  $\mu$ L PBS was removed by micropipette aspiration. 300  $\mu$ L of 200  $\mu$ g/mL PLL solution was pipetted into each well (final concentration of 100  $\mu$ g/mL) and left to incubate for 1 h. Each well was washed by successive dilution/aspiration with PBS (pH 7.4, 6 times). Fibronectin adsorption was carried out by adding 6  $\mu$ L of a fibronectin solution (1 mg/mL) into each well (final concentration: 10  $\mu$ g/mL), followed by incubation at room temperature for 1 h. Finally, each well was washed by dilution/aspiration with PBS (pH 7.4) four times and then with growth medium twice.

Formation of bioemulsions. Oils were pre-mixed with 100  $\mu$ g/mL of the corresponding surfactants (HDC or HDC/SBC mixtures) and added into a glass vial that had been plasma oxidized for 10 minutes. A PLL solution (200  $\mu$ g/mL in pH 10.5 PBS; volume double that of the oil phase) was then pipetted into the vial, prior to vortexing for a few seconds. After an hour incubation, the bottom phase below the emulsion level was gently aspirated using a syringe with a long needle (gauge 27) and PBS was slowly injected to dilute unreacted proteins. The process was repeated four times to dilute the excess PLL and normalise the pH.

*Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) culture and seeding.* Bone marrow derived human mesenchymal stem cells (PromoCell) were cultured on T75 flasks in MSC growth medium (PromoCell). MSCs were harvested with 4 mL accutase-solution (PromoCell), resuspended, then centrifuged. For seeding on pinned droplets and glass/tissue culture plastic substrates, 5,000 cells per well (resuspended in medium) were seeded directly on flat interfaces (per well in 24 well plates) and cultured in an incubator (37 °C and 5% CO2). For seeding on bioemulsions, 120  $\mu$ L of microdroplet suspension were transferred to each well, allowing the microcarrier suspension to fully cover of the surface of the well. MSCs were then gently seeded at a density of 20,000 cells/well in 500  $\mu$ L of growth medium and plates were placed in an incubator without shaking for 24 h. The well-plate was then placed on an orbital shaker (VWR) and agitated at a speed of 70 rpm, in an incubator (37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). Half of the medium was replaced with fresh medium every two days. For passaging, 300,000 cells were seeded in a T75 flask.

*Hoechst staining and cell counting.* Cell proliferation on flat interfaces was assessed via Hoechst staining. Half of the medium was replaced by pre-warmed PBS containing 2  $\mu$ L Hoechst (1 mg/mL Thermofisher Scientific, final concentration 2  $\mu$ g/mL). After 30 min incubation, cells were imaged using a Leica DMI4000 fluorescence

or or Leica DMi8 epifluorescence microscope. Cell counting was carried out by thresholding and watershedding nuclear images in ImageJ.

*Cytocompatibility assay.* Cell viability was assessed via Live/Dead staining. Half of the medium was replaced with pre warmed PBS containing 1  $\mu$ L/mL calcein, 4  $\mu$ L/mL ethidium from Live/Dead kit (Life Technologies) and 2  $\mu$ L/mL Hoechst. After 40 min incubation, cells were imaged using a Leica DMI4000B epifluorescence microscope. Cell counting was carried out by thresholding and watershedding nuclear images via ImageJ.

*Immunostaining*. For immunostaining and imaging at higher resolution, cells were cultured on pinned droplet generated in a sticky-slide 8 well plates (Ibidi), prepared as described above. After 24 or 48 h incubation, each well was diluted with PBS six times before samples were fixed with 8 % paraformaldehyde for 10 min and diluted with PBS six times before permeabilization with 0.4% Triton X-100 for 5 min at room temperature. Samples were blocked for 1 h (blocking buffer: PBS containing 10 vol% foetal bovine serum and 0.5 vol% gelatine), combining with tetramethyl rhodamine isothiocyanate phalloidin (1:500, Sigma-Aldrich; 2 µg/mL). Samples were subsequently incubated with primary antibodies (anti-vinculin mouse monoclonal, Sigma-Aldrich, 1:200 in blocking buffer; V9264; 10 µg/mL) for 1 h at room temperature, diluted with PBS six times, then incubated with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) highly cross-adsorbed, 1:500 in blocking buffer; Thermo Fisher, A32723;4 µg/mL) and DAPI (1:500; 1 µg/mL) for 1 h at room temperature. The samples were washed six times by dilution with deionised water and imaged shortly after.

*Immuno-fluorescence microscopy and data analysis.* Fluorescence microscopy images were acquired with a Leica DMI4000B fluorescence microscopy (CTR4000 lamp; 63 × 1.25 NA, oil lens; 10 × 0.3 NA lens; 2.5 x 0.07 NA lens; DFC300FX camera) and a Leica DMi8 epifluorescence microscope (HC PL FLUOTAR 10x/0.32 PH1; HC PL FLUOTAR 63X/1.30 Oil PH3; LEICA DFC9000 GT sCMOS camera). Confocal microscopy images were acquired with a Leica TCS SP2 confocal microscope (X-Cite 120 LED lamp; 63 × 1.40-0.60 NA, oil lens; 10 × 0.3 NA lens; DFC420C CCD camera) and a Zeiss Super resolution LSM710 ELYRA PS.1 (EC Plan-Neofluar10x/0.3 M27; EC Plan-Neofluar20x/0.5 M27; sCMOS camera). Cell densities were determined after thresholding and watershedding nuclei images in ImageJ. In the case of cell aggregates, cells were counted manually. To determine adhesion cell areas, images were analysed by outlining the contour of the cell cytoskeleton (phalloidin stained) and areas were measured in ImageJ. To determine cell spreading areas, images were analyzed by thresholding and watershedding cytoskeleton images (phalloidin staining). A minimum of 30 cells per sample for each replicate (>90 samples in total for triplicate experiments) were characterised. For confocal imaging, stacks of 16 sections were scanned, with an image averaging of 2 and a line averaging of 4. 3D reconstruction and volume rendering of the stacks were performed via Imaris x64.

*Statistical analysis.* Statistical analysis was carried out using Origin 2019 through one-way ANOVA with Tukey test for posthoc analysis. Significance was determined by \* P < 0.05, \*\* P < 0.01, \*\*\* P < 0.001 and n.s., non-significant. A full summary of statistical analysis is provided as a separate supporting file.

## 3. Results and Discussion

In order to promote cell adhesion and expansion at the surface of non-fluorinated liquids, we proposed to adapt the formulation of protein nanosheets previously investigated at fluorinated interfaces [18, 24]. In these systems, the cationic protein poly(L-lysine) (PLL) was introduced in the aqueous phase (PBS) and allowed to co-assemble with the pro-surfactant pentafluorobenzoyl chloride (PFBC) introduced in the oil phase. We referred to this latter molecule as pro-surfactant as neither PFBC, nor PLL, on their own display sufficient tensio-active properties to stabilise emulsions. However, when combined, these two molecules can couple and form a layer of protein that is physically crosslinked at the interface between the two liquids. Covalent coupling of pro-surfactant to proteins such as PLL, albumin or lysozyme was found to be essential to confer sufficient elasticity to the interface to store energy upon deformation and resist cell-mediated contraction [18].

Therefore, we translated such design to the formation of PLL nanosheets at non-fluorinated oil-water interfaces, combining PLL with aliphatic acyl chlorides. Two pro-surfactants were selected (Figure 1): hexadecanoyl chloride (HDC), displaying a long aliphatic tail and hydrolysing into the food-compatible and biocompatible palmitic acid [25-26], and sebacoyl chloride (SBC) [22-23], enabling direct intermolecular covalent crosslinking within nanosheets and also hydrolysing into cytocompatible residues (sebacic acids, anhydrides and esters enter the composition of drug release systems) [18, 22]. As in the case of nanosheet assembly at fluorinated interfaces, the pH of the aqueous phase was adjusted to 10.5, corresponding to the pKa of PLL, to enable sufficient coupling of acyl chloride residues [19, 24].



Figure 1. Pro-surfactant assisted PLL assembly forms a mechanically strong viscoelastic nanosheet at liquid PDMS interfaces. A) Representative interfacial time sweep rheology profiles recorded at a frequency of 0.1 Hz and strain of 1% ([PLL] =  $100 \mu g/mL$ ; [pro-surfactant] =  $100 \mu g/mL$ ; weight ratios are reported for mixed surfactants). B) Chemical structure and proposed idealised architecture of protein nanosheets. R' correspond to the heptadecyl chains, in the case of HDC, and amide crosslinks or unreacted carboxylic acids in the case of SBC. C) Representative frequency sweep profiles for different pro-surfactant combinations, recorded at a strain of 1%. D) Corresponding interfacial storage and loss moduli measured at 0.1 Hz (error bars are s.e.m.; n=3).

The assembly of PLL nanosheets at silicone oil interfaces was first examined, using interfacial rheology (Figure 1A). Time sweeps demonstrated the rapid increase in interfacial shear moduli associated with the selfassembly of PLL-pro-surfactant nanosheets at silicone-water interfaces. Upon introduction of PLL, both the interfacial storage (Figure 1A) and loss moduli (Supplementary Figure S1) rapidly increased, and diverged as the interfacial storage moduli rapidly dominated. Moduli gradually levelled to a plateau, with interfacial storage moduli in the range of 1-2 N/m. Hence these data confirm the rapid formation of protein networks at interfaces, associated with > 3 orders of magnitude increase in interfacial shear moduli. Such phenomenon is comparable, in terms of kinetics and magnitude, to the self-assembly of PLL nanosheets at fluorinated oil interfaces [18, 24]. Indeed, the interfacial storage modulus of fluorinated oil interfaces (Novec 7500) at which PLL was assembled in the presence of the acyl chloride pentafluoro benzoyl chloride (PFBC) rapidly raised to moduli in the range of 1-2 N/m, within 1 h of PLL injection [18].

Although the pro-surfactant formulation had no significant impact on the ultimate modulus of PLL nanosheets (Figure 1D), it impacted the kinetics of the evolution of interfacial storage moduli (Figure 1A). As HDC content increased, the interfacial storage moduli increased more slowly as a function of time. In addition, in the case of nanosheets assembled in the presence of HDC alone, a two-step process was observed (Supplementary Figure S2 for three replicates of this time sweep). After a first plateau seemed to be reached near 2000 s post injection, around 3000 s a second increase was observed. Such two stage process was not observed with PLL nanosheets assembling in the presence of PFBC, on fluorinated oil interfaces [18], and was only observed in the case of albumin nanosheets forming at significantly higher PFBC concentrations (10 mg/mL), which was proposed to result from multi-layer formation [15]. This could reflect the formation of bilayers or simply the more gradual shift from a soft physically crosslinked nanosheet to a more structured bilayer (see proposed schematic representation of such bilayer in Figure 1B). Indeed, evidence for such bilayer was obtained in similar systems (with hexadecane as the oil) for PLL-HDC nanosheets, from neutron reflectometry experiments [18]. The longer alkyl chains associated with HDC, compared to PFBC and SBC (able to generate strong physical and covalent crosslinks respectively), may be associated with the initial softer character of the interfaces observed and the requirement for relatively high functionalisation levels to achieve comparable interfacial moduli. However, it should be noted that PLL surface densities and HDC/SBC functionalisation levels were not quantified in the present specific system.

Further analysis of the frequency sweeps revealed comparable viscoelastic profiles (Figure 1C), in agreement with the establishment of elastic networks at corresponding interfaces. Little frequency dependency was observed over the range probed (0.01-10 Hz). Interfacial storage moduli were consistently well above loss moduli (approximately one order of magnitude), further supporting the elastic nature of nanosheets formed. Overall, all pro-surfactant formulations resulted in nanosheets with comparable moduli and viscoelastic profiles (Figures 1C-D). Interfacial elasticity is an important property that was recently proposed to play an essential role in enabling cell adhesion at liquid-liquid interfaces [18]. Therefore establishing a detailed understanding of the viscoelastic profile of PLL nanosheets at non-fluorinated oil interfaces is particularly important.

To further investigate interfacial viscoelasticity, stress relaxation experiments were carried out at interfaces. In such experiments, the du Noüy ring allowed the establishment of a controlled strain on corresponding nanosheets, and the relaxation of interfacial stress was monitored as a function of time. The relaxation profiles were then fit with a double exponential decay function, in order to extract stress retention  $\sigma_r$  and relaxation rate constants [18]. Relaxation profiles recorded for PLL nanosheets formed in the presence of different pro-surfactant formulations were comparable, almost overlapping (Figure 2A). In turn, the stress

9

retentions and relaxation constants measured remained within narrow ranges ( $\sigma_r$  between 74 and 80% and  $\tau_1$  between 73 and 91 s; Figure 2B and Supplementary Figure S3). These values are comparable to those measured for PLL nanosheets assembling at Novec 7500 interfaces, in the presence of PFBC at concentrations of 1.25 µg/mL [18]. However, interestingly, at the surfactant concentration used (10-100 µg/mL), PFBC-PLL nanosheets were found to be less elastic ( $\sigma_r$  near 60%). This may indicate a more brittle character of PLL nanosheets formed at the same concentration of acyl chloride pro-surfactant, or may reflect a reduce level of functionalisation, although the interfacial moduli achieve were comparable at this concentration. These results confirm the high level of elasticity associated with PLL nanosheets formed at silicone-water interfaces, for all pro-surfactant combinations tested. Therefore, PLL nanosheets formed with aliphatic pro-surfactants mediating physical or covalent crosslinks displayed combinations of high interfacial shear moduli and elasticity that are expected to support cell adhesion, spreading and proliferation at liquid interfaces.



**Figure 2. Viscoelasticity of PLL nanosheets formed at liquid PDMS interfaces.** A) Representative stress relaxation profiles for different pro-surfactant combinations, recorded after 1% strains ([PLL] =  $100 \ \mu g/mL$ ; [pro-surfactant] =  $100 \ \mu g/mL$ ; weight ratios are reported for mixed surfactants). B) Stress retentions ( $\sigma_R$ ) extracted from corresponding double exponential fits (error bars are s.e.m.; n=3).

Indeed, a broader screen of different protein and pro-surfactant formulations had indicated that the elasticity of protein nanosheets assembled at liquid-liquid interfaces was essential to sustain cell-mediated spreading and expansion, in the context of primary keratinocytes, mesenchymal stem cells and the epidermal cell line HaCaT [18]. Therefore the viscoelastic properties of PLL nanosheets assembled at silicone interfaces in the presence of HDC and SBC pro-surfactants suggest that these interfaces should enable the adhesion, spreading and expansion of MSCs at corresponding non-fluorinated oil-water interfaces. It is proposed that such combination of high interfacial shear modulus and capacity to store strain energy upon cell-mediate deformation will resist cell mediated contractility and sustain cell spreading.

MSCs were seeded at PLL nanosheet-stabilised interfaces formed in the presence of SBC/HDC mixtures, further coated with fibronectin, and allowed to spread for 24 to 48 h. The spreading of resulting cells was evaluated by immunostaining and microscopy (Figure 3). At both time points, MSCs spreading on PLL nanosheets at silicone oil interfaces could be found to display spread morphologies with total surface areas comparable to those of cells spreading on glass coverslip coated with PLL and fibronectin (2000-2700  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>), or previously reported for MSCs growing at fluorinated oil interfaces stabilised by PLL/PFBC [19]. In all cases, cells displayed lamellipodia associated with fan-shaped morphologies and numerous focal adhesions, identified from vinculin stainings. MSCs also displayed well developed actin stress fibres connecting to focal adhesions. Therefore, our data indicate that MSCs spread at PLL nanosheet-stabilised silicone oil interfaces via the classic integrin-actomyosin machinery, in good agreement with observations of primary keratinocytes and MSCs spreading on fluorinated oil interfaces stabilised by PLL-PFBC [15, 18].



Figure 3. Impact of pro-surfactant composition on MSC spreading at liquid silicone interfaces. MSCs were cultured at the surface of PLL (100  $\mu$ g/mL) nanosheets formed in the presence of pro-surfactant mixtures at 100  $\mu$ g/mL, followed by functionalisation with fibronectin (20  $\mu$ g/mL), at liquid silicone interfaces. A) Confocal microscope images of MSCs cultured at the interfaces for 24 hours (top) and 48 hours (bottom), green, vinculin; red, actin. B) Spreading area quantified from corresponding images and conditions. Error bars are s.e.m,; n  $\geq$  3.

The proliferation of MSCs at silicone oil interfaces was next examined. The cytocompatibility of these liquidliquid culture systems was investigated first at two different pro-surfactant concentrations (Figure 4). At concentrations of 10 µg/mL, cell viabilities remained comparable on all liquid interfaces tested, as well as the tissue culture polystyrene (TPS) control, both at days 1 and 3 (Figure 4A). Cell densities were also comparable on all interfaces, at early and late time points (days 3 and 5), indicating that initial cell adhesion and proliferation remained comparable on PLL nanosheet-stabilised interfaces and rigid tissue culture plastic substrates. At higher pro-surfactant concentrations (100 µg/mL), although cell densities and viabilities were found to be lower on pure sebacoyl chloride/PLL and hexadecanoyl chloride/PLL nanosheets, mixed prosurfactant/PLL assemblies displayed comparable cytocompatibility and capacity to sustain expansion to the TPS controls (Figure 4B). These results are in good agreement with previous observations that the prosurfactant pentafluorobenzoyl chloride induced some cytotoxicity at concentrations above 100 µg/mL [15], although this effect was more pronounced in the case of PFBC. Therefore, although changes in local pH associated with the hydrolysis of residual acyl chloride is likely to play a role in such phenomenon, the inherent toxicity of perfluoroaromatics, compared to palmitic acid and sebacic residues, may also contribute to such effects.





To further demonstrate the translatability of aliphatic pro-surfactant/PLL nanosheets for the culture of MSCs at the surface of a broader range of oils, the ability of MSCs to expand on two aliphatic oil substrates was next examined (Figure 5). In particular, we selected a mineral oil used in the formulation of consumer health products and rapeseed oil, typically used as cooking oil, as aliphatic oil case studies, owing to their inherent cytocompatibility and potential for translation in cell manufacturing platforms. The assembly of PLL nanosheets at those oil interfaces was first confirmed by interfacial rheology (Supplementary Figure S4). Although resulting nanosheets formed displayed weaker mechanical properties (100-200 mN/m) and

assembled more slowly (in particular in the case of rapeseed oil), they displayed comparable viscoelastic properties and relatively high residual elastic stress, in stress relaxation experiments (Supplementary Figure S4E-F). The differences in kinetics and mechanics involved may reflect differences in water or hydroxylated species content, which potentially cross-react with the acyl chloride co-surfactants used and may reduce their effective concentration for coupling to PLL. It is also possible that the different oils used, with associated differences in chemistry, polarity and surface tension, impact on the co-assembly process of acyl chlorides and PLL.

Cell densities measured at early stages (day 3), on both mineral and rapeseed oils, were comparable to those achieved on TPS (Figure 5). After 6 days of culture, cell densities on PLL nanosheets stabilised with SBC/HDC 1/1 were slightly below those measured on TPS, although these differences were not statistically significant in the experimental conditions tested. Note that cell densities on the control used (TPS) differ in Figures 5A and B as the passage numbers used in corresponding experiments differed. Overall, our data indicate that PLL nanosheets stabilised by mixtures of palmitoyl chloride and sebacoyl chloride enable MSC adhesion and expansion on a broad range of non-fluorinated oil interfaces.



**Figure 5. Proliferation of MSCs on aliphatic oils (mineral and rapeseed oils).** MSCs were cultured at the surface of PLL (100  $\mu$ g/mL) nanosheets formed in the presence of HDC at 100  $\mu$ g/mL, followed by functionalisation with fibronectin (20  $\mu$ g/mL), at mineral and rapeseed oil interfaces. Epifluorescence

microscopy images (left) of MSC nuclei on (A) mineral oil and (B) rapeseed oil interfaces, and corresponding cell densities (right). Error bars are s.e.m,;  $n \ge 3$ .

The ability to expand MSCs at the surface of non-fluorinated oil emulsions was explored next. The stability of emulsions stabilised by different pro-surfactant combinations was first examined (Figure 6A and Supplementary Figure S5). Whereas PLL-based emulsions stabilised by HDC-rich pro-surfactants were stable just after formation and washing (removal of excess PLL), and after 24 h, those formed with SBC-rich nanosheets rapidly destabilised, in particular those based purely on SBC. Hence, the greater conformational freedom associated with longer aliphatic chains of palmitoyl residues, and their reduced degree of tethering with PLL chains enabled the improved stabilisation of non-fluorinated oil emulsions. Although longer term stability of these emulsions was not quantified, we did not observe any apparent change in the stability of mixed HDC/SBC-PLL/fibronectin stabilised emulsions over the course of 7 days (in MSC growth medium, in the incubator).

Finally, MSCs were seeded at the surface of mineral oil and silicone emulsions stabilised with PLL/fibronectin nanosheets, and allowed to expand for 7 days. The resulting cultures were then stained with calcein to identify viable cells. MSCs could be seen covering the surface of corresponding microdroplets, with many cells clearly spreading. Therefore, these results are in good agreement with the cell expansion observed at corresponding 2D protein nanosheet stabilised liquid-liquid interfaces. Together, these results indicate that aliphatic oil emulsions could constitute attractive adherent cell culture platforms for the expansion of stem cells in bioreactors for cell manufacturing.



**Figure 6.** MSC cultured on non-fluorinated oil-based emulsions. A) Bright field microscope images of mineral (top) and PDMS emulsions (bottom) incubated for 3 days. B) Epifluorescence microscopy images of MSCs cultured on corresponding emulsions for 7 days (calcein staining). Emulsions were prepared using the

corresponding oils supplemented with 100 μg/mL SBC/HDC 1/1, functionalised with PLL/FN nanosheets (PLL, 100 μg/mL; fibronectin, 20 μg/mL).

#### 4. Conclusions

Cells sense the biochemical and physical properties of their environment. Mechanical engagement of integrins with surrounding protein networks, strengthening of focal adhesions and assembly of the actin cytoskeleton requires a balance of forces, supported by macromolecular networks, sensed at the nanoscale [27]. The dimensions of focal adhesions and actin stress fibres, in the range of a few tens of nanometres, and their mechanical properties, inherent of self-assembled soft matter systems, are comparable with those of other protein nanostructures, including protein nanosheets at liquid-liquid interfaces. Therefore, strong PLL networks displaying interfacial moduli in the range of 1-2 N/m and thicknesses in the range of 10-20 nm, even in the case of non-fluorinated oil interfaces and pro-surfactants, are well within the range of dimensions and mechanical properties typically associated with the integrin-talin-vinculin-acto-myosin machinery. Nanoscale sensing of mechanical properties therefore further appears as a key element for the design of new generations of biomaterials. The results presented demonstrate that such concepts and design principles are not restricted to fluorinated oil interfaces, and can be more broadly implemented to other interfaces, including silicone and aliphatic oils. The combined role of pro-surfactants and PLL chemistry on the selfassembly of nanosheets displaying strong mechanical properties and high elasticity remains central to the ability to support cell adhesions and expansion. Specifically, MSCs seeded on PLL nanosheet-stabilised silicone interfaces developed focal adhesions and assembled mature actin cytoskeletons. MSCs were found to proliferate to comparable levels on such interfaces to cells cultured on tissue culture polystyrene. In turn, aliphatic oil interfaces, reinforced using equivalent assemblies, enabled the expansion of MSCs too and supported their culture on emulsions. This proof-of-concept, although calling for systematic characterisation and quantification of cell phenotype at non-fluorinated bioemulsion interfaces, demonstrates the feasibility of stem cell cultures at the surface of mineral and plant-based oils that are relevant to consumer healthcare and cultured meat industry. Recovery of cell products from bioemulsions and downstream processing will be facilitated as cultures can simply be centrifuged or filtered (as was demonstrated for cells cultured on fluorinated oils [7]). It is also possible to avoid cell detachment altogether if metabolizable oils are used.

# **Supporting Information**

Supporting Information is available from the author.

## Acknowledgements

Funding for this work from the European Research Council (ProLiCell, 772462; ProBioFac, 966740), the China Scholarship Council (studentship, 201708060335) and the Leverhulme Trust Foundation for financial support (RPG-2017-229, Grant 69241) is gratefully acknowledged.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Keywords

Protein nanosheet, self-assembly, plant-based, stem cells, liquid-liquid interface.

# References

[1] Tavassoli H, Alhosseini S N, Tay A, Chan P P Y, Oh S K W, Warkiani M E 2018 Large-scale production of stem cells utilizing microcarriers: a biomaterials engineering perspective from academic research to commercialized products *Biomaterials* 181 333-346.

[2] Bodiou V, Moutsatsou P, Post M J 2020 Microcarriers for Upscaling Cultured Meat Production *Frontiers Nutrition* 7 10.

[3] dos Santos F, Campbell A, Fernandes-Platzgummer A, Andrade P Z, Gimble J M, Wen Y, Boucher S, Vemuri M C, da Silva C L, Cabral J M S 2014 A xenogeneic-free bioreactor system for the clinical-scale expansion of human mesenchymal stem/stromal cells *Biotech. Bioeng.* 111 1116-1127.

[4] dos Santos F F, Andrade P Z, da Silva C L, Cabral J M S 2013 Bioreactor design for clinical-grade expansion of stem cells *Biotechnol. J.* 8 644-654.

[5] Krutty J D, Koesser K, Schwartz S, Yun J, Murphy W L, Gopalan P 2021 Xeno-Free Bioreactor Culture of Human Mesenchymal Stromal Cells on Chemically Defined Microcarriers ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng. 7 617-625.
[6] Rogers R E, Haskell A, White B P, Dalal S, Lopez M, Tahan D, Pan S, Kaur G, Kim H, Barreda H, Woodard S L, Benavides O R, Dai J, Zhao Q, Maitland K C, Han A, Nikolov Z L, Liu F, Lee R H, Gregory C A, Kaunas R 2021

A scalable system for generation of mesenchymal stem cells derived from induced pluripotent cells employing bioreactors and degradable microcarriers *Stem Cell Transl. Med.* 10 1650-1665.

[7] Peng L, Gautrot J 2021 Long term expansion profile of mesenchymal stromal cells at protein nanosheet-stabilised bioemulsions for next generation cell culture microcarriers *Mater. Today Bio* 12 100159.
[8] Keese C R, Giaever I 1983 Cell growth on liquid microcarriers *Science* 219 1448-1449.

[9] Hanga M P, Nienow A W, Murasiewicz H, Pacek A W, Hewitt C J, Coopman K 2020 Expansion of human mesenchymal stem/ stromal cells on temporary liquid microcarriers *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* doi.org/10.1002/jctb.6601.

[10] Discher D E, Janmey P, Wang Y-L 2005 Tissue cells feel and respond to the stiffness of their substrate *Science* 310 1139-1143.

[11] Discher D E, Mooney D J, Zandstra P W 2009 Growth factors, matrices, and forces combine and control stem cells *Science* 324 1673-1677.

[12] Engler A J, Carag-krieger C, Johnson C P, Raab M, Tang H, Speicher D W, Sanger J W, Sanger J M, Discher D E 2008 Embryonic cardiomyocytes beat best on a matrix with heart-like elasticity : scar-like rigidity inhibits beating *J. Cell. Sci.* 121 3794-3802.

[13] Parsons J T, Horwitz A R, Schwartz M A 2010 Cell adhesion: integrating cytoskeletal dynamics and cellular tension *Nat. Rev.* 11 633-643.

[14] Geiger B, Spatz J P, Bershadsky A D 2009 Environmental sensing through focal adhesions *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 10 21-33.

[15] Kong D, Megone W, Nguyen K D Q, di Cio S, Ramstedt M, Gautrot J E 2018 Protein nanosheet mechanics controls cell adhesion and expansion on low-viscosity liquids *Nano Lett.* 18 1946-1951.
[16] Kong D, Nguyen K D Q, Megone W, Peng L, Gautrot J E 2017 The culture of HaCaT cells on liquid

substrates is mediated by a mechanically strong liquid-liquid interface *Faraday Discuss.* 204 367-381. [17] Keese C R, Giaever I 1983 Cell growth on liquid interfaces: Role of surface active compounds *Proc. Natl.* 

Acad. Sci. 80 5622-5626.

[18] Kong D, Peng L, Bosch M, Chrysanthou A, Alexis C V J-M, Matellan C, Zarbakhsh A, Mastroianni G, del Rio Hernandez A, Gautrot J E 2022 Impact of the Multiscale Viscoelasticity of Quasi-2D Self-Assembled Protein Networks on Stem Cell Expansion at Liquid Interfaces *Biomaterials* 284 121494.

[19] Peng L, Matellan C, del Rio Hernandez A, Gautrot J 2022 Mesenchymal Stem Cells Sense the Toughness of Nanomaterials and Interfaces *BioRxiv* doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.31.485540.

[20] Zhang Y, Jiang H-R 2016 A review on continuous-flow microfluidic PCR in droplets: advances, challenges and future *Anal. Chim. Acta* 914 7-16.

[21] Deng N-N, Yelleswarapu M, Huck W T S 2016 Monodisperse uni-and multicompartment liposomes *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 138 7584-7591.

[22] Langer R, Tirrell D A 2004 Designing materials for biology and medicine Nature 428 487-492.

[23] Tang B C, Dawson M, Lai S K, Wang Y-Y, Suk J S, Yang M, Zeitlin P, Boyle M P, Fu J, Hanes J 2009 Biodegradable polymer nanoparticles that rapidly penetrate the human mucus barrier *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 106 19268-19273.

[24] Kong D, Peng L, di Cio S, Novak P, Gautrot J E 2018 Stem cell expansion and fate decision on liquid substrates are regulated by self-assembled nanosheets *ACS Nano* 12 9206-9213.

[25] Clements B A, Incani V, Kucharski C, Lavasanifar A, Ritchie B, Uludag H 2007 A comparative evaluation of poly-L-lysine-palmitic acid and Lipofectamine TM 2000 for plasmid delivery to bone marrow stromal cells *Biomaterials* 28 4693-4704.

[26] Innis S M 2016 Palmitic Acid in Early Human Development *Critical Reviews Food Science and Nutrition* 56 1952-1959.

[27] Di Cio S, Gautrot J E 2016 Cell sensing of physical properties at the nanoscale: mechanisms and control of cell adhesion and phenotype *Acta Biomater* 30 26-48.