#### CRANFIELD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

COLLEGE OF AERONAUTICS

M.Phil. THESIS

Academic Years 1984-85 1985-86

#### E. MILONIDIS

The Development of the Mathematical Model of an RPV and an Investigation on the Use of an EKF for the Identification of its Aerodynamic Derivatives

Supervisor:

đ

P.G. Thomasson

May 1987

This thesis is submitted for the degree of Master of Philosophy.

ProQuest Number: 10832191

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



ProQuest 10832191

Published by ProQuest LLC (2019). Copyright of the Dissertation is held by Cranfield University.

All rights reserved. This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC.

> ProQuest LLC. 789 East Eisenhower Parkway P.O. Box 1346 Ann Arbor, MI 48106 – 1346

To my wife and son

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to my parents, my mother in law and my relatives for their financial and moral support without which this work would not have been possible.

I am especially indebted to the College of Aeronautics for the two years grant I have received and to my supervisor Mr. P.G. Thomasson for his invaluable advice for the completion of this project.

Sincere appreciation is also due to my wife for her understanding and tolerance during this work.

Finally, I gratefully acknowledge my friends and colleagues for their moral support and encouragement during my study at the College of Aeronautics.

#### ABSTRACT

A six-degrees of freedom mathematical model of an experimental Remotely Piloted Vehicle (RPV) and the linearised longitudinal and lateral models at 30 m/sec are developed.

The longitudinal and lateral dynamics are analysed and the equivalent discrete systems are used to provide baseline data for the identification of the aerodynamic derivatives of the RPV.

An advanced aircraft parameter estimation method - the Extended Kalman Filter - is implemented for the estimation of the aerodynamic characteristics of the RPV. Conclusions are drawn about the identifiability of the stability and control derivatives from pitch, roll and yaw rate measurements.

# CONTENTS

			Page NO
INTRODUC	CTI	ON	1
Chapter	1,	THE EQUATIONS OF MOTION OF A FLYING VEHICLE	3
1.1 1.2 1.2.1 1.2.2 1.2.3 1.3 1.3.1 1.3.1 1.3.2 1.3.3 1.4		Introduction Assumptions Definitions and the Equations of Motion Assumptions Definitions The Equations of Motion External Forces and Moments Gravity Forces and Moments Aerodynamic Forces and Moments Thrust Forces and Moments Thrust Forces and Moments The Complete Set of the Equations of Motion	3 3 4 6 8 9 10 11
Chapter	2	THE LINEARISATION OF THE EQUATIONS OF MOTION Longitudinal and Lateral Dynamics	12
2.1 2.2 2.3 2.3.1 2.3.1a 2.3.1b 2.3.1c 2.3.1d 2.3.1e 2.3.1f 2.3.2 2.3.2a 2.3.2a 2.3.2b 2.3.2c 2.3.2d 2.3.2c 2.3.2d 2.3.2e 2.4 2.5		Introduction The Perturbed Equations of Motion Aerodynamic Stability and Control Derivatives Longitudinal Derivatives Derivatives Due to Change in Forward Velocity Derivatives Due to Change in Incidence Derivatives Due to Change in Incidence Derivatives Due to Change in Incidence Derivatives Due to Rate of Pitch Derivatives Due to Elevator Deflection Derivatives Due to Change in Throttle Setting Lateral Derivatives Derivatives Due to Sideslip Derivatives Due to Rate of Roll Derivatives Due to Rate of Yaw Derivatives Due to Side Acceleration v Longitudinal Dynamics Lateral Dynamics	12 12 14 15 15 15 16 16 16 17 17 17 18 18 19 20 21
Chapter	3	THE MATHEMATICAL MODELLING OF THE X-RAE1 RPV	23
3.1 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.1a 3.2.1b 3.2.1c 3.2.2 3.2.2a		Introduction The Six Degrees of Freedom Mathematical Model of X-RAE1 Aerodynamic Forces Lift Drag Side force Aerodynamic Moments Pitching Moment	23 25 25 26 27 28 28

# <u>CONTENTS</u> (contd.)

	230 M	Page N
3.2.2b 3.2.2c 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6 3.3 3.3.1 3.3.2	Rolling Moment Yawing Moment Thrust Forces and Moments The Equations of Motion of X-RAE1 Trim Conditions of X-RAE1 Moments and Products of Inertia The Linearised Model of X-RAE1 at 30 m/sec The Longitudinal Linear Model at 30 m/sec The Lateral Linear Model at 30 m/sec	28 28 30 31 33 35 39 39 40
Chapter 4	IDENTIFICATION OF THE STABILITY AND CONTROL DERIVATIVES OF X-RAE1	51
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.4 4.5	Introduction The General Problem of Identification and Its Application to Aircraft The Extended Kalman Filter (EKF) The EKF as State Estimator The EKF as Parameter Estimator The Estimation of the Longitudinal Aerodynamic Derivatives of X-RAE1 Aerodynamic Derivatives of X-RAE1	51 53 53 55 57 62
Chapter 5	THE SOFTWARE	81
5.1 5.2 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.3a 5.2.3b 5.2.3c 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.2a 5.3.2a 5.3.2b 5.3.2c 5.3.2c 5.3.2d	Introduction Software for the Mathematical Modelling of X-RAE1 Aerodynamic Derivatives Trim Conditions Simulation Programmes Nonlinear 6-DOF Simulation Simulation for the Linear Longitudinal Model Simulation for the Linear Lateral Model Software for the Parameter Identification of X-RAE1 The EKF Algorithm Supporting Subroutines Subroutine SMTCS Subroutine MTXPHI Other Subroutines	81 81 85 86 86 86 88 89 92 92 92 92 92 93 93
Chapter 6	CONCLUSIONS - RECOMMENDATIONS	94
REFERENCES		96

# <u>CONTENTS</u> (contd.)

APPENDIX	A.1
APPENDIX	A.2
APPENDIX	A.3
APPENDIX	A.4
APPENDIX	A.5
APPENDIX	A.6
APPENDIX	A.7
APPENDIX	Α.8
APPENDIX	A.9
APPENDIX	MI
APPENDIX	LA.1
APPENDIX	LA.2
APPENDIX	DE
APPENDIX	PI.1
APPENDIX	PI.2
APPENDIX	S

aye	11
<b>9</b> 8	
107 112	
118 123	
125 126	
129 131	
133 135	
141 144	
145 158	
123	

<u>Page NO</u>

# LIST of FIGURES

		Page NO
1.1	Body-fixed Axes	4
1.2	Euler angles and rates	5
1.3	Aircraft movement w.r.t. earth	7
1.4	Angles of attack and sideslip	9
1.5	Thrust configuration	11
3.1	X-RAE1 layout	24
3.2	Pitching moment reference point	32
3.3	General arrangement for the estimation of the moments and products of inertia	36
3.4 - 3.10	Response to elevator deflection	43 - 46
3.11 - 3.16	Response to aileron deflection	47 - 50
4.1	Basic identification procedure	52
4.2	The EKF state prediction and correction procedure	55
4.3	Bode plot of the Y-equation terms	66
4.4 - 4.11	Estimates of the longitudinal derivatives	68 - 75
4.12 - 4.21	Estimates of the lateral derivatives	76 - 80
5.1	Flowchart of the programme RPVDER.FOR	82
5.2	Flowchart of the programme TRIM.FOR	85
5.3	Simulation programme RPVPI.CSL	87
5.4	Simulation programme RPVLG.CSL	88
5.5	Simulation programme RPVLT.CSL	89
5.6	Flowchart of programme EXKAL.FOR	<b>9</b> 0
A.1-1	X-RAE1 longitudinal geometry	<b>9</b> 8
A.5-1	Aileron geometry of X-RAE1	123
A.7-1	C.G. nominal position	127
A.7-2	Maximum cross-sectional area	127
A.7-3	Equivalent elliptical area	127
A.7-4	Side elevation area	128
A.8-1	Geometry of X-RAE1 fin	129
MI.1	Assumed geometry for the estimation of the moments of inertia of X-RAE1	134
LA.1-1	Nominal and final C.G.	135
PI.1-1	Arrangement for the estimation of the diagonal elements of Q	148

# LIST of FIGURES (contd.)

Page NO PI.1-2 to Estimates of the longitudinal derivatives 150 - 157 PI.1-17

# LIST of TABLES

		Page NO
3.1	X-RAE1 specifications	25
3.2	Longitudinal aerodynamic derivatives	26
3.3	Side force aerodynamic derivatives	27
3.4	Rolling moment derivatives	29
3.5	Yawing moment derivatives	30
3.6	Normalised longitudinal derivatives at 30m/sec - Body Axes	39
3.7	Longitudunal modes of X-RAE1 at 30 m/sec	40
3.8	Normalised lateral derivatives at 30 m/sec	41
3.9	Lateral modes of X-RAE1 at 30 m/sec	41
4.1	Nominal and estimated aerodynamic derivatives Longitudinal model	60
4.2	Nominal and estimated aerodynamic derivatives Lateral model	64
A.1-1	X-RAE1 longitudinal geometry	<b>9</b> 8
A.1-2	X-RAE1 parameters for the estimation of the longitudinal derivatives	99
A.7-1	X-RAE1 geometry	126
A.8-1	Fin characteristics	129
MI.1	Assumed mass and geometry for wing, tail fin and body of X-RAE1	133
MI.2	C.G positions of wing, tail, fin and body w.r.t. body axes	133
MI.3	Moments of inertia of X-RAE1	133

# NOTATIONS

А	wing aspect ratio
â	vector of unknown parameters
â	estimated vector of unknown parameters
b	wing span
C <sub>n</sub>	drag coefficient
C	lift coefficient
C,	rolling moment coefficient
Ċ,	pitching moment coefficient
C <sup>m</sup>	yawing moment coefficient
C	side force coefficient
C .	mean aerodynamic chord
D	drag
е	eccentricity
F	force
$I_{v}, I_{v} I_{z}$	
$I_{yy}, I_{yz}, I_{zy}$	moments and products of inertia
L 5 92 2A	lift, rolling moment
1,	tail arm
M	pitching moment
m	aircraft mass
N	yawing moment
Р, р	roll rate (body axes)
Q, q	pitch rate (body axes)
R, r	yaw rate (body axes)
S	wing area
S <sub>t</sub>	tail area
T	thrust
U, u	forward velocity (body axes)
V, v	side velocity (body axes)
V <sub>T</sub>	total rectilinear velocity
W, w	downward velocity (body axes)
X	force (apart from gravitational) along x body-axis
<sup>δ</sup> <sub>u</sub> ,, <sup>δ</sup> <sub>ζ</sub>	basic aerodynamic derivatives

X <sub>u</sub> ,, Ν <sub>ζ</sub> x x* x* x x v	normalised aerodynamic derivatives state vector augmented state vector estimated state vector side force (apart from gravitational)
Y	in body axes
	body-axis

# Greek Symbols

α	angle of attack
β	angle of sideslip
δ <sub>T</sub>	throttle setting
ε	downwash angle thrust line orientation wing twist
ζ	rudder deflection
η	elevator deflection
Θ, θ	pitch angle
λ	taper ratio
ξ	aileron deflection
ρ	air density
Φ, φ	roll angle
Ψ, φ	yaw angle
Q	total angular velocity

### SUBSCRIPTS

Α	aerodynamic
B, b	body
F	fin
G	gravity
m	measured value
0	steady-state value
S	stability axes, sampling
T	total value, thrust
t	tail
W, w	wing
x	x-axis
У	y-axis
Z	z-axis

# ABBREVIATIONS

DOF	Degrees of Freedom
EKF	Extended Kalman Filter
RPV	Remotely Piloted Vehicle
w.r.t.	with respect to

### MATHEMATICAL SYMBOLS

	equal by definition
2	almost equal
<b>d</b> .	derivative operator
θ	partial derivative operator

#### INTRODUCTION

The determination of aircarft stability and control derivatives is of great importance in the design and testing of any aircraft. These derivatives are needed for the following reasons:

- 1. They define a given aircraft and can be used as quality criteria.
- 2. They provide model parameters for aircraft simulators.
- 3. They are used as a basis for the design of flight control systems.

Over the past few years, a great deal of effort has been placed in determining aerodynamic derivatives using parameter identification techniques. This new approach makes it possible to evaluate from one test run all the stability and control derivatives, their accuracy and their confidence intervals.

Aircraft parameter identification is particularly useful for Remotely Piloted Vehicles (RPVs), where the type of manoeuvre flown is not restricted by the human factor, an RPV having no pilot.

The purpose of this work is twofold:

- Development of the six degrees of freedom mathematical model of an experimental RPV to provide simulation data for flight control system design and parameter identification.
- Development of an Extended Kalman Filter (EKF) for the identification of the aerodynamic derivatives of the RPV.
   The contents of this study are as follows:

In the first chapter, the equations of motion of a flying vehicle and the assumptions upon which they are based are presented.

The concepts of the aerodynamic stability and control derivatives and the linearisation of the equations of motion are given in Chapter 2. A brief discussion about the longitudinal and lateral dynamics is also presented in this chapter.

In Chapter 3, the mathamatical model of an experimental RPV - the X-RAE1 - is derived based on static longitudinal wind-tunnel tests and on ESDU Data Sheets. Its purpose is to provide simulation data for six degrees of freedom motions of the RPV for flight regimes below stall.

The linearised longitudinal and lateral models at 30 m/sec are also given while their dynamics are analysed.

In Chapter 4, an EKF is implemented for the identification of the aerodynamic derivatives of the longitudinal and lateral models at 30 m/sec, assuming that measurements from the pitch, roll and yaw rates alone are available. Conclusions about the identifiability of the derivatives from these measurements are drawn.

The software developed to support the nonlinear and linear mathematical models of X-RAE1 and the computer implementation of the EKF algorithms is given in the fifth chapter, while the conclusions of this work and the recommendations for further research are presented in Chapter 6.

#### Chapter 1

#### THE EQUATIONS OF MOTION OF A FLYING VEHICLE

#### 1.1 Introduction

The equations of motion of a rigid body and the assumptions upon which they are based are briefly presented in this chapter. Suitable systems of axes for the following analysis are defined and the process of converting from one system to another with different orientation is set forth using Euler angles.

Finally, the general origin of the forces and moments acting on a flying vehicle is discussed and they are incorporated within the equations of motion.

#### 1.2 Assumptions Definitions and the Equations of Motion

In this section, the equations of motion of a flying vehicle are given with no attempt of any detailed proof. The interested reader is referred to the many texts available for this purpose (Refs 1,2,4,10).

#### 1.2.1 Assumptions

<u>Assumption 1</u>. The aircraft is a rigid body and the mass and mass distribution of it are constant. Therefore, the motion of the aircraft can be described by a translation of its centre of gravity and a rotation about it. Any deformations of the structure are not taken into account nor the dynamics of any moving element with respect to the airframe apart from the static deflection characteristics of the control surfaces.

<u>Assumption 2</u>. The earth is flat and fixed in space. This assumption is particularly valid for an RPV where the flight time and the distances covered for each operation are generally small.

Assumption 3. The aircraft has a plane of symmetry.

<u>Assumption 4</u>. The atmosphere is assumed still and not moving with respect to earth.

1.2.2 Definitions

With the foregoing assumptions as a basis, suitable sets of axes can be defined where Newton's laws can be applied. All these systems are orthonormal and right-handed.

a. <u>Earth-fixed Axes</u>. They constitute an inertial frame fixed in earth with Oz axis directed towards geocentre.

b. <u>Body-fixed Axes</u>. They are fixed to the moving airframe with their origin at the centre of gravity of it.

b.1 <u>Body Axes</u>. Ox points "forward", Oz "downward" and Oy "to the right" (Fig. 1.1), so xz is the plane of symmetry of the aircraft.

b.2 <u>Principal Axes</u>. When 0x, 0y and 0z coincide with the principal axes of the airframe they are called principal axes.

b.3 <u>Stability Axes</u>. These are chosen so that Ox points in the direction of motion of the airframe in a condition of steady symmetric flight.



#### Fig. 1.1 Body-fixed Axes

- 4 -

The orientation of one system of axes with respect to another one needs to be defined. As most of the analysis is limited to perturbations about straight symmetric flight, the so-called Euler angles are considered as the most appropriate for this purpose. It can be proved that three angular displacements  $\phi$ ,  $\theta$ , and  $\phi$  - and in that order - are necessary and sufficient (Ref. 13) to give the relative orientation of any two systems of axes (Fig. 1.2). In the flight mechanics literature, the Euler angles are usually referred as:

- $\phi$ : <u>yaw</u> angle or <u>azimuth</u> or <u>heading</u>  $\Theta$ : <u>pitch</u> angle or <u>elevation</u>
- $\varphi$ : roll angle or bank



Fig. 1.2 Euler angles and rates.

The components of any vector along the axes of the displaced system can be determined if the Euler transformation  $R_{ELR}$  will be applied to its components with reference to the initial system, where

 $\mathbf{R}_{\mbox{ELR}}$  is the orthogonal transformation given bellow:

$$R_{ELR} = \begin{bmatrix} cos\phi cos\theta & sin\phi cos\theta & -sin\theta \\ cos\phi sin\theta sin\phi & sin\phi sin\theta sin\phi & cos\theta sin\phi \\ -sin\phi cos\phi & +cos\phi cos\phi & \\ cos\phi sin\theta cos\phi & sin\phi sin\theta cos\phi & cos\theta cos\phi \\ +sin\phi sin\phi & -cos\phi sin\phi & \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.1)

Then:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = R_{ELR} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.2)

Because  $R_{ELR}$  is orthogonal,  $R_{ELR}^{-1} = R_{ELR}^{T}$ . Therefore:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = R_{ELR}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} x^{T} \\ y^{T} \\ z^{T} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.3)

Finally, the relation between the time derivative of a vector with respect to the inertial space and the time derivative of it as it is observed in a system rotating with angular velocity  $\omega_{\rm i}$  is given (Refs 11, 13):

$$\frac{d\underline{a}}{dt}\Big|_{in} = \frac{d\underline{a}}{dt}\Big|_{m} + \underline{\omega}x\underline{a}$$
(1.4)

where:

$$\frac{da}{dt}\Big|_{in} \stackrel{\text{e}}{=} \frac{da}{dt} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{is the time derivative of the vector a relative} \\ \text{to the inertial space.} \\ \frac{da}{dt}\Big|_{m} \stackrel{\text{e}}{=} \frac{a}{2} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{is the time derivative of the vector a observed} \\ \text{in the rotating system.} \end{array}$$

### 1.2.3 The Equations of Motion

Suppose that the aircraft with mass m flies with rectilinear velocity  $v_T$  and angular velocity Q with respect to the earth-fixed frame. The components of these vectors in body axes (Fig. 1.3) are:

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{U} & \mathsf{V} & \mathsf{W} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \underbrace{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{P} & \mathsf{Q} & \mathsf{R} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}$$



Fig. 1.3 Aircraft movement w.r.t. earth

Then according to Eqn 1.4 and the Newton's second law, the equations of motion of the flying vehicle become:

$$\frac{d\underline{V}}{dt}^{T} = \underline{\dot{V}}_{T} + \underline{Q} \times \underline{V}_{T} = \underline{F}/m$$

$$\frac{d\underline{H}}{dt} = \underline{\dot{H}} + \underline{Q} \times \underline{H} = \underline{M}$$

where  $\underline{F}$  and  $\underline{M}$  are all the external forces and moments applied to the aircraft and  $\underline{H}$  is the angular momentum with the following components:

$$H_{x} = PI_{x} - QI_{xy} - RI_{xz}$$
$$H_{y} = QI_{y} - RI_{yz} - PI_{xy}$$
$$H_{z} = RI_{z} - PI_{xz} - QI_{yz}$$

 $I_x$ ,  $I_y$  and  $I_z$  are the moments of inertia about the corresponding body axes and  $I_{xy}$ ,  $I_{yz}$  and  $I_{xz}$  are the products of inertia. Because the aircraft has the xz plane as plane of symmetry  $I_{xy} = I_{yz} = 0$ .

- 7 -

Expanding the equations of motion in body coordinates we obtain the following set of equations:

$$F_{x} = m(\dot{U} + QW - RV)$$

$$F_{y} = m(\dot{V} + RU - PW)$$

$$F_{z} = m(\dot{W} + PV - QU)$$

$$L = \dot{P}I_{x} - \dot{R}I_{xz} + QR(I_{z} - I_{y}) - PQI_{xz}$$

$$M = \dot{Q}I_{y} + PR(I_{x} - I_{z}) + (P^{2} - R^{2})I_{xz}$$

$$N = \dot{R}I_{z} - \dot{P}I_{xz} + PQ(I_{y} - I_{x}) + QRI_{xz}$$
(1.5)

The external forces and moments are generally:

1. Gravity forces and moments.

2. Aerodynamic forces and moments.

3. <u>Thrust</u> forces and moments.

#### 1.3 External Forces and Moments

1.3.1 Gravity Forces and Moments

The gravity forces can be evaluated by the projection of the gravitational acceleration g along the body axes, using Euler transformations (Eqns 1.1). Therefore:

$F_{Gx} =$	-mgsinΘ	
$F_{Gv} =$	mgcos⊡sin⊅	(1.6)
F <sub>Gz</sub> =	mgcos⊕cos⊅	

As the angles  $\Phi$  and  $\Theta$  are not generally the integrals of P and Q, we have to introduce new motion quantities. From Fig. 1.2, applying successive Euler transformations we have:

Ρ	=	∲ - Ψ́sinΘ	
Q	=	ecosφ + Ψcos@sinΦ	(1.7)
R	=	-Osint + Ýcos@cost or	
φ	=	P + Qtan@sinΦ + Rtan@cosΦ	
Ð	=	Qcosø – Rsinø	(1.8)
Ŷ	=	(Rcos∳ + Qsin∳)/cos⊌	

So, three more differential equations have to be added to the equations of motion 1.5.

The moments due to gravity are zero as the body-fixed axes are assumed to have their origin at the centre of gravity of the flying vehicle.

#### 1.3.2 <u>Aerodynamic Forces and Moments</u>

The aerodynamic forces and moments are acted upon the vehicle by the surrounding airmass and they are generally due to the relative motion between the vehicle and the atmosphere. As the atmosphere is assumed to be still, the relative wind velocity is  $-V_T$  (where  $V_T$  is the velocity of the vehicle w.r.t. earth). It can be proved that the aerodynamic forces can be expressed in the form:

$$F = \frac{1}{2} p V_T^2 S C_F$$
 (1.9)

where:

p : is the air density

 $\boldsymbol{V}_{T} \colon$  the relative velocity of the body w.r.t. air

S : a reference area of the body (wing area)

 $C_F$ : a dimensionless coefficient depending on the properties of the air and the airframe, the geometry of the airframe and the relative motion between the air and the airframe

The orientation of the air velocity vector with respect to body axes is usually given by two angles (Fig. 1.4):

and

where:

angle of <u>attack</u>  $\alpha$ angle of <u>sideslip</u>  $\beta$  $\alpha = \tan^{-1} \frac{W}{U}$  $\beta = \sin^{-1} \frac{V}{V_{T}}$ 

(1.10)



Fig. 1.4 Angles of attack and sideslip

The total steady aerodynamic force is conventionally given by two components: lift and drag (Ref. 10). The lift acts normal to the flight path and the drag parallel to the flight path. According to Eqn 1.9:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \rho V_T^2 SC_L$$

$$D = \frac{1}{2} \rho V_T^2 SC_D$$
(1.11)

Then:

$$F_{Ax} = Lsina - Dcos\betacosa$$
  
 $F_{Ay} = Dsin\beta$  (1.12)  
 $F_{Az} = -Lcosa - Dcos\betasina$ 

Akin to Eqn 1.9 the aerodynamic moments can be expressed as follows:

$$\frac{\text{rolling}}{\text{pitching}} \quad L_{A} = \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{T}^{2} \text{SbC}_{1}$$

$$\frac{\text{pitching}}{\text{maximg}} \quad M_{A} = \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{T}^{2} \text{ScC}_{m} \qquad (1.13)$$

$$\frac{\text{yawing}}{\text{maximg}} \quad N_{A} = \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{T}^{2} \text{SbC}_{n}$$

where  $\underline{b}$  is the wing span and  $\underline{c}$  the mean aerodynamic chord of the wing.

The aerodynamic coefficients are generally functionals of the angle of attack and sideslip and their time rates, the angular velocities P, Q, R and their time rates, the control inputs and their time rates and so on. For example:

$$C_{1}(t) = C_{1}[\alpha(\lambda), \beta(\lambda), p(\lambda), \ldots, \eta(\lambda), \ldots, \dot{\alpha}(\lambda), \ldots]$$

where it is understood that  $\lambda$  is a running variable in time over the interval [0 t]. This briefly means that generally the present behaviour of the aerodynamic coefficients does not depend only on the present values of their variables but on their time histories also (Ref. 16).

#### 1.3.3 Thrust Forces and Moments

The thrust is assumed to act on the longitudinal plane xz along a thrust line with eccentricity e<sub>T</sub> from the origin of the body axes (positive downwards) and all the gyroscopic effects are neglected. Then (Fig. 1.5):

$$F_{Tx} = Tcose_T$$
  
 $F_{Tz} = Tsine_T$   
 $M_T = Te_T$ 

(1.14)



Fig. 1.5 Thrust configuration

#### 1.4 The Complete Set of the Equations of Motion

As the gravitational forces are proportional to the mass of the vehicle it is convenient to combine them with the inertial ones. Then the equations of motion become:

 $m(\dot{U} + QW - RV + gsin\Theta) = F_{Ax} + F_{Tx} = X$   $m(\dot{V} + RU - PW - gcos\Thetasin\Phi) = F_{Ay} = Y$   $m(\dot{W} + PV - QU - gcos\Thetacos\Phi) = F_{Az} + F_{Tz} = Z$   $\dot{P}I_x - \dot{R}I_{xz} + QR(I_z - I_y) - PQI_{xz} = L_A = L$   $\dot{Q}I_y + PR(I_x - I_z) + (P^2 - R^2)I_{xz} = M_A + M_T = M \quad (1.15)$   $\dot{R}I_z - \dot{P}I_{xz} + PQ(I_y - I_x) + QRI_{xz} = N_A = N$   $\dot{\Phi} = P + Qtan\Thetasin\Phi + Rtan\Thetacos\Phi$   $\dot{\Psi} = (Rcos\Phi - Rsin\Phi)$ 

These equations constitute the six degrees of freedom equations of motion of the flying vehicle on which all the following analysis is based.

#### Chapter 2

### THE LINEARISATION OF THE EQUATIONS OF MOTION Longitudinal and Lateral Dynamics

#### 2.1 Introduction

The equations of motion as they have been presented in the first chapter, are in general dynamically and aerodynamically nonlinear. In this chapter, they are linearised and also decomposed into two motions - longitudinal and lateral - by assuming small perturbations around the operating point or the trimmed conditions of the flying vehicle and certain aerodynamic properties.

The nature of the so-called aerodynamic stability and control derivatives is also briefly discussed.

#### 2.2 The Perturbed Equations of Motion

The perturbed equations of motion can be obtained by performing the differentials on both sides of the six degrees of freedom equations of motion 1.15. If we designate the differential of each motion quantity by its lower case equivalent (ie dU=u, etc), the perturbed equations of motion become:

$$\begin{split} m[\dot{u} + W_{0}q + Q_{0}w - V_{0}r - R_{0}v + (gcos\Theta_{0})\Theta] &= dX \\ m[\dot{v} + U_{0}r + R_{0}u - W_{0}p - P_{0}w \\ &- (gcos\Theta_{0}cos\Phi_{0})\psi + (gsin\Theta_{0}sin\Phi_{0})\Theta] &= dY \\ m[\dot{w} + V_{0}p + P_{0}v - U_{0}q - Q_{0}u \\ &+ (gcos\Theta_{0}sin\Phi_{0})\psi + (gsin\Theta_{0}cos\Phi_{0})\Theta] &= dZ \quad (2.1) \\ \dot{p}I_{x} - \dot{r}I_{xz} + (Q_{0}r + R_{0}q)(I_{z} - I_{y}) - (P_{0}q + Q_{0}p)I_{xz} &= dL \\ \dot{q}I_{y} + (P_{0}r + R_{0}p)(I_{x} - I_{z}) - (2R_{0}r - 2P_{0}p)I_{xz} &= dM \\ \dot{r}I_{z} - \dot{p}I_{xz} + (P_{0}q + Q_{0}p)(I_{y} - I_{x}) + (Q_{0}r + R_{0}q)I_{xz} &= dN \end{split}$$

 $\dot{\Psi} = p + qtan\Theta_{o}sin\Phi_{o} + rtan\Theta_{o}cos\Phi_{o} + [(Q_{o}cos\Phi_{o} - R_{o}sin\Phi_{o})tan\Theta_{o}]\Psi + [(Q_{o}sin\Phi_{o} + R_{o}cos\Phi_{o})(1 + tan^{2}\Theta_{o})]\Theta$  $\dot{\Theta} = qcos\Phi_{o} - rsin\Phi_{o} - (Q_{o}sin\Phi_{o} + R_{o}cos\Phi_{o})\Psi$ (2.1) $\dot{\Psi} = rcos\Phi_{o}/cos\Theta_{o} + qsin\Phi_{o}/cos\Theta_{o}$ 

+  $[(Q_0 \cos \Phi_0 - R_0 \sin \Phi_0)/\cos \Theta_0] \varphi + [(Q_0 \sin \Phi_0 + R_0 \cos \Phi_0) \tan \Theta_0/\cos \Theta_0] \Theta$ where the zero subscripts denote steady state or trimmed conditions about which the small perturbations are performed.

If the functional representation of the aerodynamic coefficients is dropped and they are assumed to be depended on the present values of their variables and that symmetric reactions can be caused by symmetric disturbances (whereas asymmetric disturbances can cause only asymmetric reactions), the differentials of the aerodynamic forces and moments are the following:

$$\begin{aligned} dX &= \ddot{X}_{u}u + \ddot{X}_{u}\dot{u} + \ddot{X}_{w}w + \ddot{X}_{w}\dot{w} + \ddot{X}_{q}q + \ddot{X}_{q}\dot{q} + \ddot{X}_{\eta}\eta + \ddot{X}_{\eta}\dot{\eta} + \ddot{X}_{\eta}\dot{\eta} + \ddot{X}_{\delta_{T}}\delta_{T} \\ dY &= \ddot{Y}_{v}v + \ddot{Y}_{v}\dot{v} + \ddot{Y}_{p}p + \ddot{Y}_{p}\dot{p} + \ddot{Y}_{r}r + \ddot{Y}_{r}\dot{r} + \ddot{Y}_{\xi}\xi + \ddot{Y}_{\xi}\dot{\xi} + \ddot{Y}_{\zeta}\zeta + \ddot{Y}_{\dot{\zeta}}\dot{\zeta} \\ dZ &= \ddot{Z}_{u}u + \ddot{Z}_{\dot{u}}\dot{u} + \ddot{Z}_{w}w + \ddot{Z}_{\dot{w}}\dot{w} + \ddot{Z}_{q}q + \ddot{Z}_{\dot{q}}\dot{q} + \ddot{Z}_{\eta}\eta + \ddot{Z}_{\dot{\eta}}\dot{\eta} + \ddot{Z}_{\delta_{T}}\delta_{T} \\ dL &= \ddot{L}_{v}v + \ddot{L}_{\dot{v}}\dot{v} + \ddot{L}_{p}p + \dot{L}_{\dot{p}}\dot{p} + \ddot{L}_{r}r + \ddot{L}_{\dot{r}}\dot{r} + \ddot{L}_{\xi}\xi + \ddot{L}_{\dot{\xi}}\xi + \ddot{L}_{\zeta}\zeta + \ddot{L}_{\dot{\zeta}}\dot{\zeta} \\ dM &= \ddot{M}_{u}u + \ddot{M}_{\dot{u}}\dot{u} + \ddot{M}_{w}w + \ddot{M}_{\dot{w}}\dot{w} + \ddot{M}_{q}q + \ddot{M}_{\dot{q}}\dot{q} + \ddot{M}_{\eta}\eta + \ddot{M}_{\dot{\eta}}\dot{\eta} + \ddot{M}_{\delta_{T}}\delta_{T} \\ dN &= \ddot{N}_{v}v + \ddot{N}_{\dot{v}}\dot{v} + \ddot{N}_{p}p + \ddot{N}_{\dot{p}}\dot{p} + \ddot{N}_{r}r + \ddot{N}_{\dot{r}}\dot{r} + \ddot{N}_{\xi}\xi + \ddot{N}_{\dot{\xi}}\dot{\zeta} + \ddot{N}_{\dot{\zeta}}\dot{\zeta} \\ where \quad \ddot{X}_{u} &= \frac{\Theta X}{\Theta u}, \ddot{X}_{\dot{u}} = \frac{\Theta X}{\Theta \dot{u}}, \dots, \quad \ddot{N}_{\dot{\zeta}} = \frac{\Theta N}{\Theta \dot{\zeta}} \end{aligned}$$

The partial derivatives of the aerodynamic forces and moments with respect to the motion quantities are called <u>stability</u> derivatives whereas the partial derivatives with respect to the control deflections and settings are called <u>control</u> derivatives.

The foregoing differentials do not really sound mathematically, as infinitesimal disturbance of any quantity does not necessarily imply infinitesimal disturbance of its time rate at the same instance.

If <u>quasisteady</u> flow is assumed all the derivatives with respect to the time rates of the variables can be neglected apart from those with respect to  $\underline{w}$  and  $\underline{v}$  rates. These derivatives are retained to model the downwash and sidewash effects, ie. the dependance of the flow at the tail on the time history of the motion of the wing. When steady, straight, level and symmetric flight is assumed, ie:

$$V_{0} = 0$$
$$P_{0} = Q_{0} = R_{0} = 0$$
$$\Phi_{0} = \Psi_{0} = 0$$

and with the quasisteady assumption, the perturbed equations of motion are decomposed into two sets of motion:

The Longitudinal Set (Symmetric Motion)

$$m[\dot{u} + W_{0}q + (gcos\Theta_{0})\Theta] = \tilde{X}_{u}u + \tilde{X}_{w}w + \tilde{X}_{w}\dot{w} + \tilde{X}_{q}q + \tilde{X}_{\eta}\eta + \tilde{X}_{\delta_{T}}\delta_{T}$$

$$m[\dot{w} - U_{0}q + (gsin\Theta_{0})\Theta] = \tilde{Z}_{u}u + \tilde{Z}_{w}w + \tilde{Z}_{w}\dot{w} + \tilde{Z}_{q}q + \tilde{Z}_{\eta}\eta + \tilde{Z}_{\delta_{T}}\delta_{T}$$

$$\dot{q}I_{y} = \tilde{M}_{u}u + \tilde{M}_{w}w + \tilde{M}_{w}\dot{w} + \tilde{M}_{q}q + \tilde{M}_{\eta}\eta + \tilde{M}_{\delta_{T}}\delta_{T}$$

$$\dot{\theta} = q$$

$$(2.3)$$

The Lateral Set (Asymmetric Motion)

$$m[\dot{v} + U_{0}r - (gcos\Theta_{0})\phi] = \ddot{V}_{v}v + \ddot{V}_{v}\dot{v} + \ddot{V}_{p}p + \ddot{V}_{r}r + \ddot{V}_{\xi}\xi + \ddot{V}_{\zeta}\zeta$$

$$\dot{p}I_{x} - \dot{r}I_{xz} = \ddot{L}_{v}v + \ddot{L}_{v}\dot{v} + \ddot{L}_{p}p + \ddot{L}_{r}r + \ddot{L}_{\xi}\xi + \ddot{L}_{\zeta}\zeta$$

$$\dot{r}I_{z} - \dot{p}I_{xz} = \ddot{N}_{v}v + \ddot{N}_{v}\dot{v} + \ddot{N}_{p}p + \ddot{N}_{r}r + \ddot{N}_{\xi}\xi + \ddot{N}_{\zeta}\zeta$$

$$\dot{\phi} = p + rtan\Theta_{0}$$
(2.4)

Although the linearised equations of motion are absolutely valid only for infinitesimal disturbances, they have been proved very useful and widely applicable even when the disturbances are of much larger magnitude and their rates are kept in " reasonably " small values.

Before proceeding with the dynamics of the longitudinal and lateral motions a brief discussion about the origin of the aerodynamic stability and control derivatives follows.

#### 2.3 Aerodynamic Stability and Control Derivatives

The definitions, the origin and the equations - when applicable - of the aerodynamic derivatives are given in this section. All the

derivatives are assumed to be expressed in stability axes and the compressibility and slipstream effects are neglected (Refs 1, 2, 3, 4, 10, 14, 15).

# 2.3.1 Longitudinal Derivatives

2.3.1a <u>Derivatives Due to Change in Forward Velocity</u>

Definition	<u>Origin</u>	Equation			
$\mathbf{\hat{X}}_{u} = \frac{\Theta X}{\Theta u}$	Variation of drag and thrust with u.	$-\rho V_T SC_D + \frac{\Theta T}{\Theta V_T}$			
${}^{2}_{u} = \frac{\Theta Z}{\Theta u}$	Variation of normal force with u.	-pV <sub>T</sub> SC <sub>L</sub>			
$\mathbf{\tilde{M}}_{u} = \frac{\Theta M}{\Theta u}$	Variation of pitch and thrust with u.	pV <sub>T</sub> ScC <sub>m</sub> + e <sub>TEV</sub> T			
2.3.1b <u>Derivati</u>	ves Due to Change in Incidence_				
Definition	Origin	Equation			
$\mathbf{\hat{X}}_{W} = \frac{\Theta X}{\Theta w}$	Lift and drag variations along the x-axis.	$\frac{1}{2} \rho V_T S(C_L - \frac{\Theta C_D}{\Theta \alpha})$			
$\mathring{Z}_{W} = \frac{\Theta Z}{\Theta W}$	Variation mainly of lift with incidence.	$-\frac{1}{2}\rho v_{T}S(C_{D} + \frac{\Theta C_{L}}{\Theta \alpha})$			
$\mathbf{\mathring{M}}_{\mathbf{W}} = \frac{\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{M}}{\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{W}}$	Static Longitudinal Stability.	$\frac{1}{2}$ PV <sub>T</sub> Sc $\frac{\Theta C_m}{\Theta \alpha}$			
2.1.3c Derivatives Due to Downward Linear Acceleration					
Definition	Origin	Equation			
$\mathbf{\hat{X}}_{\mathbf{\hat{W}}} = \frac{\mathbf{e}\mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{\hat{w}}}$	Downwash lag on drag (usually negligible).	$-\frac{1}{4} \rho Sc \frac{\theta C_D}{\theta(\frac{\dot{\alpha} C}{2V_T})}$			

Definition	<u>Origin</u>	Equation
$\ddot{Z}_{\dot{w}} = \frac{\Theta Z}{\Theta \dot{w}}$	Donwash lag mainly on lift of tail.	$-\frac{1}{4}\rho Sc \frac{\theta C_{L}}{\theta(\frac{\dot{\alpha}C}{2V_{T}})}$
$\mathbf{\mathring{M}}_{\mathbf{\dot{W}}} = \frac{\Theta M}{\Theta \mathbf{\dot{W}}}$	Downwash lag on pitching momen	t. $\frac{1}{4} \rho Sc^2 \frac{\Theta C_m}{\Theta(\frac{\dot{\alpha}C}{2V_T})}$
2.3.1d <u>Derivati</u>	ves Due to Rate of Pitch_	
Definition	Origin	Equation
$\mathbf{\hat{X}}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\bullet} = \frac{\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{q}}$	Effect of pitch rate on drag. Usually negligible.	$-\frac{1}{4}\rho V_{T}Sc\frac{\theta C_{D}}{\theta(\frac{qc}{2}V_{T})}$
$\overset{\bullet}{Z}_{q} = \frac{\Theta Z}{\Theta q}$	Effect of pitch rate on lift (tail and wing contribution).	$-\frac{1}{4} \rho V_T Sc \frac{\theta C_L}{\theta (\frac{qc}{2V_T})}$
$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\bullet} = \frac{\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{M}}{\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{q}}$	Effect of pitch rate on pitchi moment (damping in pitch).	ng $\frac{1}{4} P V_T Sc^2 \frac{\Theta C_m}{\Theta (\frac{qc}{2} V_T)}$
2.3.1e <u>Derivati</u>	<u>ves Due to Elevator Deflection</u>	-
<u>Definition</u>	<u>Origin</u>	Equation
$\mathbf{\hat{X}}_{\eta} = \frac{\Theta \chi}{\Theta \eta}$	Effect of elevator deflection drag (usually negligible).	on $-\frac{1}{2}\rho v_T^2 S \frac{\Theta C_D}{\Theta \eta}$
Žη = <u>θ</u> Ζ θη	Effect of elevator deflection lift.	on $-\frac{1}{2}\rho V_T^2 S \frac{\Theta C_L}{\Theta \eta}$
$\dot{M}_{T} = \frac{\Theta M}{\Theta T}$	Effect of elevator deflection pitching moment.	on $\frac{1}{2} \rho V_T^2 Sc \frac{\theta C_m}{\theta \eta}$

### 2.3.1f Derivatives Due to Change in Throttle Setting

Definition	<u>Origin</u>	Equation
$\hat{X}_{\delta_{T}} = \frac{\Theta X}{\Theta \delta_{T}}$	Variation of thrust along x-axis with throttle.	<u>өт</u> ө <sub>б</sub> т
$\ddot{Z}_{\delta_{T}} = \frac{\Theta Z}{\Theta \delta_{T}}$	Variation of thrust with throttle along z-axis (usually neglected).	
$\mathbf{\hat{M}}_{\delta_{T}} = \frac{\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{M}}{\mathbf{\Theta}\delta_{T}}$	Variation of pitching moment with throttle.	<sup>⊕</sup> T <sup>⊕δ</sup> TeT

2.3.2 Lateral Derivatives

# 2.3.2a <u>Derivatives Due to Sideslip</u>

#### Definition

#### <u>Origin</u>

# $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\mathbf{V}} = \frac{\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{Y}}{\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{V}}$

### Variation of side force with sideslip angle. Mainly from fin and body.

 $\dot{L}_{v} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}$  Rolling moment due to sideslip known as "effective dihedral derivative". Combination of wing dihedral effect and fin.

 $\mathbf{N}_{V} = \frac{\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{V}}$ 

"Weathercock" or static directional derivative. Main contribution from fin ; also wing-body.

 $\frac{1}{2} PV_T Sb \frac{BC_1}{BB}$ 

Equation

 $\frac{1}{2} PV_T S \frac{\Theta C_y}{\Theta B}$ 

<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>ρV<sub>T</sub>Sb θβ

rations.

#### Definition

 $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\mathbf{D}} = \frac{\underline{\theta}\hat{\mathbf{i}}}{\underline{\theta}\mathbf{D}}$ 

 $L_{p} = \frac{\Theta L}{\Theta p}$ 

 $\mathbf{N}_{p} = \frac{\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{p}}$ 

#### <u>Origin</u>

Change of side force due to

rolling velocity. Fin is the main contributor although the wing may be significant for some configu-

The roll damping derivative. Wing is the dominant factor when tail

is of conventional size.

the main contributors.

Change in yawing moment from rolling velocity. Wing and fin

- 18 -

 $\frac{1}{4} \rho V_{T} S b \frac{\Theta C_{y}}{\Theta (\frac{pb}{2V_{T}})}$ 



 $\frac{1}{4} \rho V_{T} Sb^{2} \frac{\Theta C_{n}}{\Theta (\frac{pb}{2V})}$ 

2.3.2c <u>Derivatives</u> Due to Rate of Yaw

#### Definition

 $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{\mathbf{\theta}\mathbf{Y}}{\mathbf{\theta}\mathbf{r}}$ 

 $L_r = \frac{\partial L}{\partial r}$ 

#### Origin

Variations in side force due to yawing velocity. Fin is the dominant contributor.

Rolling moment due to variations in yawing velocity. Quite important for spiral stability.Major contributors wing and fin.

 $\dot{N}_r = \frac{\partial N}{\partial r}$ 

Yaw damping derivative. Contributions from wing fuselage and fin.

Equation

 $\frac{1}{4} \rho V_T Sb \frac{\Theta C_y}{\Theta (\frac{rb}{2V})}$ 



 $\frac{1}{4} \rho V_{T} Sb^{2} \frac{\Theta C_{n}}{\Theta (\frac{rb}{2V})}$ 

### 2.3.2d Derivatives Due to Control Deflections

Definition	Origin	Equation	
$\mathbf{\hat{Y}}_{\xi} = \frac{\Theta Y}{\Theta \xi}$	Side force due to aileron deflection. Usually negligible.	<u>1</u> 2 <sup>ρν</sup> 7 <sup>S θζ</sup> 2 <sup>φν</sup> τ <sup>S θξ</sup>	
$L_{\xi} = \frac{\Theta L}{\Theta \xi}$	Rolling moment due to aileron deflection known as aileron effecti- veness.	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> ρν <sup>2</sup> Sbθε	
$\mathring{N}_{\xi} = \frac{\Theta N}{\Theta \xi}$	Yawing moment due to aileron deflection. It is caused from the difference between drag on up and down ailerons.	<u>1</u> 2ρV <sub>T</sub> 2Sb <del>6</del> ξ	
$\mathbf{\hat{Y}}_{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\Theta} \boldsymbol{Y}}{\boldsymbol{\Theta} \boldsymbol{\zeta}}$	Change in side force due to rudder deflection.	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> ρν <sup>2</sup> <sup>θC</sup> y 2ρν <sub>T</sub> S θζ	
$L_{\zeta} = \frac{\Theta L}{\Theta \zeta}$	Rolling moment produced from rudder deflection (minor importance).	$\frac{1}{2}$ pV <sup>2</sup> <sub>T</sub> Sb $\frac{\theta C_1}{\theta \zeta}$	
$\mathbf{N}_{\zeta} = \frac{\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{\Theta}\zeta}$	Variation in yawing moment with a change in rudder deflection known as rudder effectiveness.	$\frac{1}{2} \rho V_T^2 Sb \frac{\Theta C_n}{\Theta \zeta}$	

### 2.3.2e Derivatives Due to Side Acceleration v

The derivatives due to  $\hat{v}$  usually arise from sidewash lags that produce angle of attack variations at the vertical tail. As only little is known for these aerodynamic derivatives, they are usually neglected in the usual formulation of the rigid body equations. However, there are cases where  $\hat{N}_{\vec{v}}$  affects significantly the dutch roll damping and has to be accounted for, but the difficulty is that there is no good way of estimating  $\hat{N}_{\vec{v}}$  or of knowing apriori for which configurations is important (Refs 10, 14).

Another reason for forces and moments to arise due to rate of change in side velocity is aeroelastic effects. These distortion effects are considered negligible for our analysis as the airframe is assumed to be rigid.

#### 2.4 Longitudinal Dynamics

Rearranging Eqns 2.3 the state space model of the longitudinal equations of motion can be obtained:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{u} \\ \dot{w} \\ \dot{q} \\ \dot{q} \\ \dot{e} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{u} & x_{w} & x_{q} & a_{1}g \\ z_{u} & z_{w} & z_{q} & a_{2}g \\ m_{u} & m_{w} & m_{q} & a_{3}g \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ w \\ q \\ e \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_{\eta} & x_{\delta_{T}} \\ z_{\eta} & z_{\delta_{T}} \\ m_{\eta} & m_{\delta_{T}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \eta \\ \delta_{T} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.5)

where:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{u} &= X_{u} + Z_{u}X_{w}^{*}/(1 - Z_{w}^{*}), \quad x_{w} = X_{w} + Z_{w}X_{w}^{*}/(1 - Z_{w}^{*}) \\ x_{q} &= X_{q} - W_{o} + (Z_{q} + U_{o})X_{w}^{*}/(1 - Z_{w}^{*}) \\ a_{1} &= -\cos\theta_{o} - (\sin\theta_{o})X_{w}^{*}/(1 - Z_{w}^{*}) \\ x_{\eta} &= X_{\eta} + Z_{\eta}X_{w}^{*}/(1 - Z_{w}^{*}), \quad x_{\delta_{T}} = X_{\delta_{T}} + Z_{\delta_{T}}X_{w}^{*}/(1 - Z_{w}^{*}) \\ z_{u} &= Z_{u}/(1 - Z_{w}^{*}), \quad z_{w} = Z_{w}/(1 - Z_{w}^{*}), \quad z_{q} = (Z_{q} + U_{o})/(1 - Z_{w}^{*}) \\ a_{2} &= -(\sin\theta_{o})/(1 - Z_{w}^{*}), \quad z_{\eta} = Z_{\eta}/(1 - Z_{w}^{*}), \quad z_{\delta_{T}} = Z_{\delta_{T}}/(1 - Z_{w}^{*}) \\ m_{u} &= M_{u} + Z_{u}M_{w}^{*}/(1 - Z_{w}^{*}), \quad m_{w} = M_{w} + Z_{w}M_{w}^{*}/(1 - Z_{w}^{*}) \\ m_{q} &= M_{q} + (Z_{q} + U_{o})M_{w}^{*}/(1 - Z_{w}^{*}) \\ a_{3} &= -(\sin\theta_{o})M_{w}^{*}/(1 - Z_{w}^{*}), \quad m_{\delta_{T}} = M_{\delta_{T}} + Z_{\delta_{T}}M_{w}^{*}/(1 - Z_{w}^{*}) \end{aligned}$$

The derivatives appearing on the right hand side of the Eqns 2.6 are the so-called normalised aerodynamic stability and control derivatives. They are obtained from the basic ones by dividing the force derivatives by the mass of the aircraft and the moment derivatives by the corresponding moment of inertia, ie:

$$X_{u} \triangleq \frac{\tilde{X}_{u}}{m} = \frac{1}{m} \frac{\Theta X}{\Theta u} , \quad M_{u} \triangleq \frac{\tilde{M}_{u}}{I_{y}} = \frac{1}{I_{y}} \frac{\Theta M}{\Theta u} , ...$$

The eigenvalues of the longitudinal system of equations for nearly all aircrafts in most flight conditions are two sets of complex numbers. Therefore the modes of the motion are two oscillations:

<u>The Short Period</u>. A relatively high frequency ( $\omega_{sp}$ ) oscillation with heavy damping ( $\zeta_{sp}$ ) primarily consisting of variations in  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$  with the forward velocity remaining almost constant and

<u>The Phugoid</u>. A relatively small frequency (  $\omega_{ph}$  ) oscillation with very light damping (  $\zeta_{ph}$  ) characterised by variations in u and  $\Theta$  with  $\alpha$  about constant. It can be thought as an exchange of potential and kinetic energy as the aircraft tends to fly an oscillatory flight path on the longitudinal plane (Ref. 2).

#### 2.5 Lateral Dynamics

The state space model of the lateral equations of motion (Eqns 2.4) becomes as follows:

[ v ]		∫ <sup>Y</sup> v	Y <sub>p</sub> + W <sub>o</sub>	Y <sub>r</sub> - U <sub>o</sub>	gcos8 <sub>0</sub>	<u> </u>		ΓΥξΥζ	ξ
p	_	Lv	Lp	L <sub>r</sub>	0	p	+	L <sub>ξ</sub> L <sub>ζ</sub>	ζ
ŕ	-	Nv	Np	Nr	0	r	•	ΝξΝζ	
Ļψ_		Lo	1	tan⊖_	0	_  [_ φ_]			

where all the derivatives are normalised.

The eigenvalues of the lateral system of motion are usually a set of two real and two complex numbers which consitute the three modes of the lateral motion:

<u>The Dutch Roll</u>. It primarily consists of sideslip and yaw. The damping and natural frequency of the dutch roll vary with aircraft and flight conditions where the damping may become very light.

<u>The Roll Subsidence</u>. It is the one degree of freedom rolling response to aileron deflection. Usually a small time constant is required.

<u>The Spiral Divergence</u>. It is a combination of an increase in yaw and roll angle and the aircraft eventually falls into a high-speed spiral dive. The spiral mode is not usually objectionable as the time constant is so large that it can be controlled by the pilot (Ref. 2).
#### Chapter 3

#### THE MATHEMATICAL MODELLING OF THE X-RAE1 RPV

#### 3.1 Introduction

The concepts and principles presented and analysed in the first two chapters are applied in this chapter for the development of the six degrees of freedom model of an experimental RPV - the X-RAE1. A combination of static wind-tunnel tests and ESDU data sheets is used for the formulation of the aerodynamic characteristics of the RPV.

The linearised model for straight and level flight at forward velocity of 30 m/sec is derived and the longitudinal and lateral dynamics are analysed.

A new method also for the estimation of the moments and products of inertia of the airframe is proposed using an Extended Kalman Filter.

#### 3.2 The Six Degrees of Freedom Mathematical Model of X-RAE1

X-RAE1 is a small low cost experimental RPV. The six degrees of freedom mathematical model of it is developed in this chapter. Its primary purpose is to provide baseline data for flight control system design and improvement and for the identification of the aerodynamic stability and control derivatives of X-RAE1. It can be considered as the necessary preliminary step for the assessment of the most appropriate identification algorithm before proceeding with the analysis of flight test data.

The model is dynamically nonlinear but as it is intended to provide simulation data for flight regimes well below stall, the aerodynamic characteristics of it are assumed linear.

The modelling work was preceded by static wind-tunnel testing of a full-scale unpowered model at RAE Farnborough. These data provided the basis for the derivation of the longitudinal aerodynamic characteristics of the RPV (static and rotational). The engine model and the lateral aerodynamics are based on ESDU data sheets and fundamental theoretical concepts as wind-tunnel or any other kind of data were not available. A general arrangement of X-RAE1 and some of its specifications are shown in Fig. 3.1 and Table 3.1 respectively.



# Fig. 3.1 X-RAE1 layout

longth (1)		· · · ·	~
Length ( b)		2.1	111 2
Wing Area ( S )		0.9307	m²
Wing Span ( b )		2.638	m <sup>2</sup>
Mean Aerodynami	c Chord ( c )	0.353	m
Tail Area ( S <sub>t</sub>	)	0.2576	m <sup>2</sup>
Distance of the	e centre of gravity		
from the leadir	g edge of the mean		
aerodynamic cho	ord	0.34c = 0.121	m
Typical Weight	( mg )	15	Kgr
Typical Payload	I	2	Kgr
Speed Range		40 to 68	Kts
ENGINE:	Webra '91' 1.5cc	two stroke delive	ering
	approximately 1.9	Kwat 14000 RPM	and
	driving a 14 inch	dia. x 6 inch p	itch
	propeller.		

### Table 3.1 X-RAE1 specifications

# 3.2.1 Aerodynamic Forces

The aerodynamic forces are assumed to consist of three components: <u>lift L, drag D and side force Y. Lift and drag act on the longi-</u> tudinal plane normal and parallel respectively to the velocity vector in symmetric flight whereas side force acts along the Oy body axis.

Any aerodynamic quantity with subscript s is assumed to be expressed in stability axes.

# 3.2.1a Lift\_

Lift is mainly produced by the lifting surfaces - wing and tail and by the deflection of the elevator. It is estimated from the formula:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \rho V_T^2 SC_L$$
 (3.1)

where  $C_L = C_L(\alpha, \dot{\alpha}, q, \eta)$  is assumed a linear function of the angle of attack  $\alpha$ , the time rate of the angle of attack  $\dot{\alpha}$ , the pitching

rate q and the elevator deflection  $\eta$  ie:

$$C_{L} = C_{L_{0}} + C_{L_{\alpha}} + C_{L_{\alpha}} (\frac{\dot{\alpha}C}{2V_{T}}) + C_{L_{q}} (\frac{qC}{2V_{T}}) + C_{L_{\eta}}$$
(3.2)

A full derivation of  $C_{L_q}$ ,  $C_{L_q}$ ,  $C_{L_q}$  and  $C_{L_T}$  from wind-tunnel data is given in Apx A.1 and for reasons of completeness their values are shown in Table 3.2.

## 3.2.1b <u>Drag</u>

Drag is derived by a similar formula as lift, namely:

$$D = \frac{1}{2} \rho V_T^2 SC_D$$
 (3.3)

Wing and body are the main contributors and  $\rm C_{\rm D}$  can be estimated from wind-tunnel data as:

$$C_{\rm D} = C_{\rm D_0} + k C_{\rm L_W}^2$$
 (3.4)

where  $C_{D_0}$  is the zero-lift drag and  $kC_{L_W}^2$  is the drag induced by the lift produced by the wing-body combination (Apx A.1 , Table 3.2).

$C_{L_{\alpha}} = 4.98 / rad$	C <sub>D</sub> = 0.0227	$C_{m_{\alpha}} = -1.05/rad$
$C_{L_{\dot{\alpha}}} = 2.78 / rad$	k = 0.0514	C <sub>m</sub> = -9.32/rad
$C_{L_{0}} = 4.83 / rad$		$C_{m_{q}}^{u} = -19.15 / rad$
C <sub>L</sub> = 0.49 /rad		C <sub>m</sub> = -1.63/rad

Table 3.2 Longitudinal aerodynamic derivatives

## 3.2.1c <u>Side Force</u>

Akin to Eqn 1.9 the side force Y is expressed as follows:

$$Y = \frac{1}{2} \rho V_T^2 SC_y$$
 (3.5)

where  $C_y = C_y(V, P, R, \zeta)$  is a linear function of its variables ie:

$$C_{y} = \frac{1}{V_{T}} Y_{v} V + \frac{b}{V_{T}} Y_{p} P + \frac{b}{V_{T}} Y_{r} R + Y_{\zeta} \zeta$$
(3.6)

The main contribution to the side force arises from the rudder deflection with sideslip and yaw rate also having some effect. Side force due to roll rate is almost negligible.

The aerodynamic derivatives  $Y_v$ ,  $Y_p$ ,  $Y_r$  and  $Y_\zeta$  are given in Table 3.3 . ESDU data sheets are mainly used for the estimation of the side force derivatives. Details can be found in Apcs A.2, A.3, A.4 and A.6 .

#### Table 3.3 Side force aerodynamic derivatives

(1) Lift coefficient

(2) Sidewash term due to body

## 3.2.2 <u>Aerodynamic Moments</u>

# 3.2.2a Pitching Moment

The main contributors to the pitching moment are the wing and the tail. The equation for it is:

$$M_{A} = \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{T}^{2} ScC_{m} \qquad (3.7)$$

where:

$$C_{m} = C_{m_{o}} + C_{m_{\alpha}} + C_{m_{\alpha}} \left(\frac{\alpha c}{2V_{T}}\right) + C_{m_{q}} \left(\frac{qc}{2V_{T}}\right) + C_{m_{\eta}} \eta \qquad (3.8)$$

 $C_m$ ,  $C_m$ ,  $C_m$ ,  $C_m$ ,  $C_m$  and  $C_m$  are derived from wind-tunnel data (Apx A.1) and their values are recalled in Table 3.2.

#### 3.2.2b Rolling Moment

The rolling moment is assumed that depends on the lateral motion quantities V, P, R and on the aileron deflection mainly, whereas rudder deflection contributes only a very small amount. The equation for the rolling moment is as follows:

$$L_{A} = \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{T}^{2} SbC_{1} \qquad (3.9)$$

where:

$$C_{1} = \frac{1}{V_{T}}L_{V}V + \frac{b}{V_{T}}L_{p}P + \frac{b}{V_{T}}L_{r}R + L_{\xi}\xi + L_{\zeta}\zeta$$
(3.10)

All the derivatives are estimated from ESDU data sheets (Apcs A.2, A.3, A.4, A.5 and A.6) and their values are given in Table 3.4 .

## 3.2.2c Yawing Moment

The yawing moment is derived by an analogous way to the rolling moment. The rudder deflection is now more important than the aileron deflection. The expression for the yawing moment is:

$$N_{A} = \frac{1}{2} p V_{T}^{2} SbC_{n} \qquad (3.11)$$

$$L_{v_{s}} = \frac{\Theta_{L}}{\Theta_{v}} / \frac{1}{2} p V_{T} S b \qquad = 0.0005 \alpha_{b}^{(1)} - 0.0119 - 0.0016 C_{L}^{(2)} - 0.1969 (8.87 \cos \alpha - 109.51 \sin \alpha) / 263.8 \\ L_{p_{s}} = \frac{\Theta_{L}}{\Theta_{p}} / \frac{1}{2} p V_{T} S b^{2} \qquad = 0.2457 + Y_{pF_{s}}^{(3)} (11.32 \cos \alpha - 110.91 \sin \alpha) / 263.8 \\ L_{r_{s}} = \frac{\Theta_{L}}{\Theta_{r}} / \frac{1}{2} p V_{T} S b^{2} \qquad = 0.00189 + 0.1243 C_{L}^{(2)} + (4) + Y_{rF_{s}}^{(4)} (8.87 \cos \alpha - 109.51 \sin \alpha) / 263.8 \\ L_{\xi_{s}} = \frac{\Theta_{L}}{\Theta_{\xi}} / \frac{1}{2} p V_{T}^{2} S b \qquad = 0.2291 \\ L_{\zeta} = \frac{\Theta_{L}}{\Theta_{\zeta}} / \frac{1}{2} p V_{T}^{2} S b \qquad = 0.00398 \end{cases}$$

Table 3.4 Rolling moment derivatives

- (1) Body incidence measured from its zero lift value.
- (2) Wing lift coefficient.
- (3) Contribution of fin to side force due to rate of roll.
- (4) Contribution of fin to side force due to rate of yaw.

where:

$$C_{n} = \frac{1}{V_{T}} N_{v} V + \frac{b}{V_{T}} N_{p} P + \frac{b}{V_{T}} N_{r} R + N_{\xi} \xi + N_{\zeta} \zeta$$
(3.12)

The values of the aerodynamic derivatives are recalled in Table 3.5 . Detailed analysis for their estimation is given in Apcs A.2, A3, A.4, A.5 and A.6 .

- 29 -

$N_{v_{s}} \triangleq \frac{\Theta N}{\Theta v} / \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{T} Sb$	- 0.0363 + 0.1969 (109.51cosα + 8.87sinα)/263.8
$N_{p_{s}} \triangleq \frac{\Theta N}{\Theta p} / \frac{1}{2} p V_{T} S b^{2}$	$- 0.034C_{L}^{(1)} + 1.23\frac{\Theta C_{D}^{(2)}}{\Theta \alpha} -$
	(3) - Y <sub>pF<sub>s</sub></sub> (110.91cosa + 11.32sina)/263.8
$N_{r_s} \triangleq \frac{\Theta N}{\Theta r} / \frac{1}{2} \rho V_T S b^2$	$-0.0022 - 0.1261C_{D_0}^{(4)} - 0.009C_L^2 -$
	(6) - Y <sub>rF<sub>s</sub></sub> (109.51cosa + 8.87sina)/263.8
$N_{\xi_{s}} \triangleq \frac{\Theta N}{\Theta \xi} / \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{T}^{2} Sb$	(5) 0.0195C <sub>L</sub>
$N_{\zeta} \triangleq \frac{\Theta N}{\Theta \zeta} / \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{T}^{2} Sb$	- 0.0492

Table 3.5 Yawing moment derivatives

- (1) Lift coefficient.
- (2) Viscous drag derivative w.r.t. angle of attack (per degrees).
- (3) Contribution of fin to side force derivative due to rate of roll.
- (4) Wing drag at zero lift.
- (5) Wing lift coefficient.
- (6) Contribution of fin to side force derivative due to rate of yaw.

### 3.2.3 <u>Thrust Forces and Moments</u>

Thrust forces and moments are produced by one 12 inch diameter by 6 inch pitch two blade propeller. The propeller axis lies on the longitudinal plane of the RPV and is parallel to the body x-axis. As the only data available about the engine are those given in Table 3.1, an analytical model has been developed based on a combination of the momentum and blade element theory and the use of power-required and power-available curves (Ref. 18) .

The resulting thrust force is given by the fornula:

$$T = k_1 \delta_T - k_2 V_T^2$$
 (3.13)

where:

 $k_1 = 26.7154$  Watts sec / m  $k_2 = 0.0055$  Watts ( m / sec )<sup>-3</sup>  $\delta_T$  : throttle setting (from zero to one)

A pitching moment is also produced due to the eccentricity  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathsf{T}}$  of the thrust line:

$$M_T = Te_T$$
 ( $e_T = -0.16$  m) (3.14)

The rolling moment due to the torque moment  $M_{br}$  of the engine is assumed negligible and is not taken into account in the following analysis. A detailed development of the engine model is given in Apx A.1 .

## 3.2.4 The Equations of Motion of X-RAE1

After the evaluation of the aerodynamic and thrust forces and moments acting on the airframe the equations of motion of X-RAE1 can be developed. The following aspects are taken into account for their derivation:

1. All the derivatives given in stability axes have to be transformed to body axes.

2. The aerodynamic coefficients  $C_L$ ,  $C_D$  and  $C_m$  are estimated with reference to the point  $0_A$  on the centre line chord of the wing at a distance 0.34c from the leading edge of the mean aerodynamic chord whereas the centre of gravity of the airframe is assumed to be the centroid of the equivalent cross-section at  $0_A$  (Fig. 3.2 and Apx B.1).

3. The product of inertia  $I_{xz}$  is assumed to be zero.



Fig. 3.2 Pitching moment reference point

If  $\bar{q}=\frac{1}{2}\,\rho V_T^2$  , the equations of motion of X-RAE1 in body axes become:

$$\dot{\mathbf{U}} = \mathbf{RV} - \mathbf{QW} - \mathbf{gsin} \oplus + \left[ \bar{q}S(C_{L}sin\alpha - C_{D}cos\alpha) + T \right] / \mathbf{m}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{V}} = \mathbf{PW} - \mathbf{RU} + \mathbf{gcos} \oplus sin\Phi + (\bar{q}SC_{y}) / \mathbf{m}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{W}} = \mathbf{QU} - \mathbf{PV} + \mathbf{gcos} \oplus cos\Phi + \left[ \bar{q}S(-C_{L}cos\alpha - C_{D}sin\alpha) \right] / \mathbf{m}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{P}} = \left[ \mathbf{QR}(\mathbf{I}_{y} - \mathbf{I}_{z}) + \bar{q}SbC_{1} \right] / \mathbf{I}_{x}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{Q}} = \left[ \mathbf{PR}(\mathbf{I}_{z} - \mathbf{I}_{x}) + \bar{q}ScC_{m} + \bar{q}S(C_{L}sin\alpha - C_{D}cos\alpha)h_{0} + \mathbf{Te}_{T} \right] / \mathbf{I}_{y} \qquad (3.15)$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{R}} = \left[ \mathbf{PQ}(\mathbf{I}_{x} - \mathbf{I}_{y}) + \bar{q}SbC_{n} \right] / \mathbf{I}_{z}$$

$$\dot{\Phi} = \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{Q}tan\Thetasin\Phi + \mathbf{R}tan\Thetacos\Phi$$

$$\Theta \succeq \dot{\Theta} = \mathbf{Q}cos\Phi - \mathbf{R}sin\Phi$$

$$\Psi = (R\cos \Phi + 0\sin \Phi) / \cos \Theta$$

where:

$$V_{T} = (U^{2} + W^{2} + V^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \alpha = \tan^{-1} \frac{W}{U}$$
 and  $\dot{\alpha} = \frac{\dot{W}U - \dot{U}W}{U^{2} + W^{2}}$ 

What remains to be evaluated is the trimmed conditions (ie. the initial conditions for the set of Eqns 3.15) and the moments of inertia. They are all given in the following two sections.

An ACSL programme - the RPVPI.CSL - has been developed for the digital simulation of the six degrees of freedom motion of X-RAE1 (Ch. 5). The responses to small amplitude pulse deflections of the elevator and aileron, after the trimmed values have been subtracted, are shown in Figs 3.4 to 3.16 at the end of this chapter.

# 3.2.5 <u>Trim Conditions of X-RAE1</u>

X-RAE1 is assumed to be initially set to straight, horizontal and level flight with velocity  $V_{To}$ . The deflections of the control surfaces, the throttle setting and the angle of attack required for sustained flight at  $V_{To}$  m/sec are computed in this section.

The trimmed values of the motion quantities become:

$$U_{o} = V_{To} \cos \alpha_{o}, \quad W_{o} = V_{To} \sin \alpha_{o}, \quad Q_{o} = 0, \quad \Theta_{o} = \alpha_{o}$$
$$V_{o} = P_{o} = R_{o} = 0, \quad \Phi_{o} = \Psi_{o} = 0$$

The lateral conditions can be easily obtained by setting the aileron and rudder at their zero value positions. Then, the longitudinal equations are the following:

$$F_{x} = m\dot{U} = -mgsin\alpha + \bar{q}S(C_{L}sin\alpha - C_{D}cos\alpha) + T$$

$$F_{z} = m\dot{W} = mgcos\alpha - \bar{q}S(C_{L}cos\alpha + C_{D}sin\alpha) \qquad (3.16)$$

$$M = \dot{Q}I_{y} = \bar{q}ScC_{m} + Te_{T} + \bar{q}S(C_{L}sin\alpha - C_{D}cos\alpha)h_{o}$$

where:

$$C_{L} = C_{L_{o}} + C_{L_{\alpha}} + C_{L_{\eta}}$$

$$C_{D} = C_{D_{o}} + kC_{L_{w}}^{2}$$

$$C_{L_{w}} = C_{L_{ow}} + C_{L_{\alpha w}}$$

$$C_{m} = C_{m_{o}} + C_{m_{\alpha}} + C_{m_{\eta}}$$

For equilibrium:

 $\dot{\mathbf{U}} = \dot{\mathbf{W}} = \mathbf{O}$  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{O}$ 

Eliminating thrust T from Eqns 3.16 we have:

$$T = -\frac{\bar{q}Sc}{e_T}C_m - \bar{q}S(C_L \sin\alpha - C_D \cos\alpha)\frac{h_o}{e_T}$$
(3.17)

$$F_{x} = - \operatorname{mgsina} + \overline{q}S(1 - \frac{h_{o}}{e_{T}})(C_{L}\operatorname{sina} - C_{D}\operatorname{cosa}) - \frac{\overline{q}Sc}{e_{T}}C_{m}$$

$$F_{z} = \operatorname{mgcosa} - \overline{q}S(C_{L}\operatorname{cosa} + C_{D}\operatorname{sina})$$
(3.18)

The system of Eqns 3.18 is nonlinear and it is solved numerically for the unknown vector

using the Newton-Raphson method. Therefore (Ref. 5):

$$\underline{x}_{n+1} = \underline{x}_n - J^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} F_x \\ F_z \end{bmatrix}$$

where J is the Jacobian

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta F_{x} / \Theta \alpha & \Theta F_{x} / \Theta \eta \\ \\ \\ \Theta F_{z} / \Theta \alpha & \Theta F_{z} / \Theta \eta \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\frac{\Theta F_{x}}{\Theta \alpha} = (1 - \frac{h_{0}}{e_{T}})\bar{q}S[(C_{L_{\alpha}} + C_{D})\sin\alpha + (C_{L} - C_{D_{\alpha}})\cos\alpha] - - mg\cos\alpha - \frac{\bar{q}Sc}{e_{T}}C_{m_{\alpha}}$$

$$\frac{\Theta F_{x}}{\Theta \eta} = (1 - \frac{h_{0}}{e_{T}})\bar{q}SC_{L_{\eta}}\sin\alpha - \frac{\bar{q}Sc}{e_{T}}C_{m_{\eta}}$$

$$\frac{\Theta F_{z}}{\Theta \alpha} = \bar{q}S[(C_{L} - C_{D_{\alpha}})\sin\alpha - (C_{L_{\alpha}} + C_{D})\cos\alpha] - mgsin\alpha$$

$$\frac{\Theta F_{z}}{\Theta \eta} = - \bar{q}SC_{L_{\eta}}\cos\alpha$$
The solution for  $\Psi_{T_{0}} = 30$  m/sec is
$$\chi = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{0} \\ \eta_{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0245 \\ 0.0445 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then Eqns 3.13 and 3.17 give throttle setting  $\delta_T = 0.7156$ . Summarising, the control deflections and the throttle setting for steady, straight, symmetric flight at 30 m/sec are as follows:

 $\frac{\text{elevator}}{\text{aileron}} \quad \begin{array}{l} \eta_0 = 0.0445 \text{ rad} \\ \hline \alpha_0 = 0.0 \text{ rad} \\ \hline rudder \quad \zeta_0 = 0.0 \text{ rad} \\ \hline throttle \quad \delta_T = 0.7156 \text{ or } 71.56\% \end{array}$ 

## 3.2.6 Moments and Products of Inertia

A method for the estimation of the moments and products of inertia of X-RAE1 is presented in this section. It is based on the use of an Extended Kalman Filter.

It is assumed that the position of the centre of gravity of the airframe is known and three accelerometers and three rate gyros are fitted on it along the body axes. The RPV is hung from a point on the longitudinal plane and is left to perform small amplitude oscillations. Therefore, the forces acting on the airframe are only the gravitational ones and the reaction at the hanging point (Fig. 3.3). The measurements are assumed to be corrupted by bias errors and white gaussian noise of zero mean (Ref. 9), ie:

where:

 $y_m$  : the measured value y : the true value of the measured quantity  $b_y$  : the bias error  $n_y$  : the white noise,  $E[n_y] = 0$ ,  $E[n_y^2] = \sigma_v^2$ 

(3.19)

The equations of motion then become:

 $y_m = y + b_y + n_y$ 

$$\dot{PI}_{x} - \dot{RI}_{xz} = L$$

$$\dot{QI}_{y} = M$$

$$\dot{RI}_{z} - \dot{PI}_{xz} = N$$
(3.20)

where all the second order terms of the motion quantities have been ignored, and



 $\frac{\text{Fig. 3.3}}{\text{of the moments and products of inertia}}$ 

$$L = -F_{y}l_{z}$$
  

$$M = F_{x}l_{z} - F_{z}l_{x}$$
 (3.21)  

$$N = F_{y}l_{x}$$

 $F_{\rm x},\,F_{\rm y}$  and  $F_{\rm z}$  are the reactions at the hanging point and can be evaluated by the accelerometer readings according to Eqn 3.19, ie:

$$F_{x} = ma_{x} = m(a_{xm} - b_{a_{x}} - n_{a_{x}})$$

$$F_{y} = ma_{y} = m(a_{ym} - b_{a_{y}} - n_{a_{y}})$$

$$F_{z} = ma_{z} = m(a_{zm} - b_{a_{z}} - n_{a_{z}})$$
(3.22)

- 36 -

Incorporating Eqns 3.21 and 3.22 into Eqns 3.20, the equations of motion become as follows:

$$\dot{P} = m(I_{z}I_{z}b_{a_{y}} - I_{xz}I_{x}b_{a_{z}} - I_{z}I_{z}a_{ym} + I_{xz}I_{x}a_{zm})/(I_{x}I_{z} - I_{xz}^{2}) + + m(I_{z}I_{z}n_{a_{y}} - I_{xz}I_{x}n_{a_{z}})/(I_{x}I_{z} - I_{xz}^{2}) \dot{Q} = m(-I_{z}b_{a_{x}} + I_{x}b_{a_{z}} + I_{z}a_{xm} - I_{x}a_{zm} - I_{z}n_{a_{x}} + I_{x}n_{a_{z}})/I_{y}$$
(3.23)  
$$\dot{R} = m(I_{xz}I_{z}b_{a_{y}} - I_{x}I_{x}b_{a_{z}} - I_{xz}I_{z}a_{ym} + I_{x}I_{x}a_{zm})/(I_{x}I_{z} - I_{xz}^{2}) + + m(I_{xz}I_{z}n_{a_{y}} - I_{x}I_{x}n_{a_{z}})/(I_{x}I_{z} - I_{xz}^{2})$$

The system of equations 3.23 can be written in the form:

$$\dot{x} = f(x, u, t) + F(x)w(t)$$
$$\dot{y} = h(x, u, t) + y(t)$$

where:

$$\begin{split} & \underline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} p, q, r, b_{a_{x}}, b_{a_{y}}, b_{a_{z}}, b_{p}, b_{q}, b_{r}, I_{x}, I_{y}, I_{z}, I_{xz} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \\ & \text{ is the state vector.} \\ & \underline{y} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{xm}, a_{ym}, a_{zm} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \text{ is the input vector.} \\ & \underline{y} = \begin{bmatrix} p_{m}, q_{m}, r_{m} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \text{ is the output vector.} \\ & \underline{y} = \begin{bmatrix} n_{a_{x}}, n_{a_{y}}, n_{a_{z}} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \text{ is the white process noise.} \\ & \underline{y} = \begin{bmatrix} n_{p}, n_{q}, n_{r} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \text{ is the white measurement noise.} \end{split}$$

$$f(x, y, t) = \begin{bmatrix} m(I_z I_z b_{a_y} - \cdots + I_{xz} I_x a_{zm})/(I_x I_z - I_{xz}^2) \\ m(-I_z b_{a_x} + \cdots - I_x a_{zm})/I_y \\ m(I_{xz} I_z b_{a_y} - \cdots + I_x I_x a_{zm})/(I_x I_z - I_{xz}^2) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\underline{h}(\underline{x}, \underline{u}, t) = [p + b_{p} q + b_{q} r + b_{r}]^{T}$ 

	0	$\frac{mI_zI_z}{I_xI_z - I_{xz}^2}$	$-\frac{mI_{xz}I_{x}}{I_{x}I_{z}-I_{xz}^{2}}$
	$-\frac{ml_z}{I_y}$	0	$\frac{ml_{x}}{l_{y}}$
Γ( <u>x</u> ) =	0	$\frac{mI_{xz}I_z}{I_xI_z - I_{xz}^2}$	$-\frac{mI_{x}I_{x}}{I_{x}I_{z}-I_{xz}^{2}}$
	0	0	0
	•	•	•
	•	· •	•
	•	•	•
	0	0	0

The state vector  $\underline{x}$  and so the moments of inertia  $I_x$ ,  $I_y$ ,  $I_z$  and the product of inertia  $I_{xz}$ , can be estimated in principle using an Extended Kalman Filter. Their initial values are assumed to be:

 $I_x = 2.1678 \text{ Kgr m}^2$   $I_y = 1.6469 \text{ Kgr m}^2$   $I_z = 3.6962 \text{ Kgr m}^2$  $I_{xz} = 0.0 \text{ Kgr m}^2$ 

and they are evaluated in Apx MI. A brief discussion of the Kalman filter theory and its use as state and/or parameter estimator is given in the following Chapter 4.

## 3.3 The Linearised Model of X-RAE1 at 30 m/sec

The linear model of X-RAE1 about a steady, straight, symmetric and horizontal flight at a constant velocity of 30 m/sec is given in this section. The aerodynamic stability and control derivatives for this flight condition are computed and the dynamics of the longitudinal and lateral motions are analysed.

# 3.3.1 The Longitudinal Linear Model at 30 m/sec

The aerodynamic stability and control derivatives for a trimmed flight at 30 m/sec are evaluated for the linear longitudinal motion in Apx LA.1. Their normalised values in body axes are shown in Table 3.6.

$X_{\mu} = -0.097$	$Z_{\mu} = -0.789$	M <sub>1</sub> = 0.029
$X_{w}^{u} = 0.037$	$Z_{w}^{u} = -5.496$	M <sub>w</sub> = - 3.865
$X_{\dot{w}} = -0.00044$	$Z_{\dot{w}} = -0.018$	$M_{\dot{W}} = -12.381$
$X_{a}^{"} = - 0.019$	$Z_{g}^{''} = -0.902$	$M_{a} = -0.201$
$x_{\eta}^{2} = -0.397$	$Z_{\eta}^{3} = -16.172$	$M_{\eta} = -179.079$
X <sub>δ_</sub> = 1.719	Z <sub>δ_</sub> = 0.0	$M_{\delta_{+}} = -2.595$

Table 3.6 Normalised longitudinal derivatives at 30 m/sec - Body Axes.

Then according to Eqns 2.5 and 2.6 the state space longitudinal model becomes:

<u> </u>		_			-		_		_	-	. – –	
ů		-0.097	0.039	0.704	-9.804		L		-0.39	1.719	IJ	
ŵ		-0.775	-5.399	28.575	0.236	,	v		-15.887	0		
q	=	0.185	-2.782	-18.117	-0.047		7	+	-175.89	-2.595	δ <sub>T</sub>	
Û		0	0	1	0		Э.		0	0	L'J	ĺ
		L_			-					_	}	

The characteristic equation of the longitudinal system is:  $p(s) = s^4 + 23.613s^3 + 179.537s^2 + 18.048s + 31.018$ 

and the eigenvalues of it (roots of the characteristic equation) are:

<u>Short Period</u>: - 11.767 ± j6.249 Phugoid: -0.039 ± j0.416

The corresponding natural frequencies and damping ratios of the longitudinal dynamics are given in Table 3.7.

	natural frequency	damping
Short Period	ω <sub>n</sub> = 13.328 rad/sec sp	ζ <sub>sp</sub> = 0.883
Phugoid	ω <sub>nph</sub> = 0.410 rad/sec	ζ <sub>ph</sub> = 0.095

Table 3.7 Longitudinal modes of X-RAE1 at 30 m/sec

It is apparent from the above table the need of controlling the phugoid mode to avoid low frequency oscillations due to the light damping ratio  $\zeta_{ph}$ . Although the short period is heavily damped it is also a good control strategy to make it fast so the transient effects mainly on the pitching rate q will die out rapidly.

The programme RPVLG.CSL has been developed for the simulation of the linear longitudinal motion of X-RAE1. The response to a small amplitude pulse deflection of the elevator is shown in Figs 3.4 to 3.10 where the short period and phugoid characteristics can be observed.

# 3.3.2 <u>The Lateral Linear Model at 30 m/sec</u>

The normalised stability and control derivatives of the lateral motion at 30 m/sec are given in Table 3.8. Detailed determination of

$Y_{v} = -0.336$	$L_{v} = -0.414$	$N_v = 0.558$
$Y_{p} = 0.175$	$L_{\rm p} = 13.360$	$N_{\rm D} = -0.622$
$Y'_{r} = 0.224$	$L_{r}^{r} = 2.412$	$N_{r}^{F} = -1.426$
$Y_{E} = 0.0$	$L_{E} = -142.902$	$N_{E} = 4.182$
$Y_{\zeta} = 3.909$	$L_{\zeta} = 2.485$	$N_{\zeta} = -18.015$

their values can be found in Apx LA.2.

Substituting the values of the derivatives to Eqns 2.7 the lateral equations of motion become as follows:

							3	-	-	
Ŷ		-0.336	-0.561	-29.767	<b>9.</b> 804	•    •v		0	3.909	ξ
p	=	-0.414	-13.360	2.412	0	р	+	-142.902	2.485	ζ
ŕ		0.558	-0.622	-1.426	0	r		4.182	-18.015	╎└╴╺┙
φ		0	1	-0.025	0	φ		0	0	
							•	<b>199</b>		

The characteristic polynomial of the lateral system matrix is:  $p(s) = s^4 + 15.122s^3 + 41.897s^2 + 241.099s - 5.517$ 

and the eigenvalues of it are the following:

Dutch Roll: -0.903 ± j4.163 <u>Roll Subsidence</u>: -13.338 <u>Spiral Divergence</u>: 0.023

The corresponding natural frequency, damping ratio and time constants of the lateral modes are given in Table 3.9.

Dutch Roll	Roll Subsidence	Spiral Divergence
ω <sub>n</sub> = 4.259 rad/sec	$T_r = 0.075$ secs	T <sub>s</sub> = 43.478 secs
$\zeta_{d} = 0.212$		

Table 3.9 Lateral modes of X-RAE1 at 30 m/sec

Table 3.8 Normalised lateral derivatives at 30 m/sec - Body Axes

The dutch roll mode is of reasonably short period and lightly damped so an attempt should be made to overcome its oscillations. The unstable spiral mode has a very large time constant ( $T_s = 43.478$  secs) and can be easily tolerated by the pilot. As a stable spiral mode may be usually achieved at the expense of a less well damped dutch roll it does not seem advisable to be controlled by the flight control system.

An ACSL programme - the RPVLT.CSL - has been developed for the simulation of the lateral model of X-RAE1 (Ch. 5). The response to a small amplitude pulse deflection of the aileron is shown in Figs 3.11 to 3.16.









- 44 -



Fig 3.8 q response to elevator deflection

- 45. -









- 46 -







Fig. 3.12 v response to aileron deflection





- 48 -







Fig. 3.15  $\phi$  response to aileron deflection

- 49 -





#### Chapter 4

#### IDENTIFICATION OF THE STABILITY AND CONTROL DERIVATIVES OF X-RAE1

#### 4.1 Introduction

An Extended Kalman Filter (EKF) is used in this chapter for the estimation of the aerodynamic derivatives of X-RAE1. It is based on simulated data (p, q and r measurements only) from the discretised longitudinal and lateral models of the RPV.

The general problem of identification and its application to aircraft is discussed in section 4.2 and the concepts of the EKF are presented and briefly analysed in section 4.3.

# 4.2 <u>The General Problem of Identification and</u> Its Application to Aircraft

Systemidentification and parameter estimation can be considered as a technique for evaluating the properties of any system by the measurement of its input and output time histories (Ref. 8). As it appears from this definition the identification process is distinguished in the following two problems:

1. <u>System Identification</u>. The problem of determining the structure and the parameters of the system.

2. <u>Parameter Estimation</u>. The problem of determining the system parameters for a given or an assumed structure.

If the first problem has to deal with a "black" nontransparent box, then the second is related to a "grey" semi-transparent one (Ref. 17).

Both problems can arise in aircraft identification although parameter estimation is more common as any information about the possible aircraft structure or sufficiently general permissible structure can considerably accelerate the process of estimation.

Therefore, aircraft parameter estimation - as it has been developed over the past 25 years - is the process of extracting numerical values of the aerodynamic stability and control derivatives and other parameters (gusts, sensor errors etc) from the time history of the input and output



Fig. 4.1 Basic identification procedure

As the system is generally corrupted by process and measurement noise the problem of parameter estimation is that of stochastic approximation. The optimisation criterion can then be the evaluation of the extremum of a performance index  $J(\hat{a})$  given in the form of an expectation (Refs 17, 19):

$$J(\hat{a}) = E_{v}[Q(x,\hat{a})]$$
 (4.1)

where:

 $E_{x}[\cdot]$  is the expectation operator and  $Q(\underline{x},\underline{\hat{a}})$  is a function of the vector  $\underline{\hat{a}} = (a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n})^{T}$  of

the unknown parameters and of the vector  $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)^T$  of a random process with usually unknown probability density function  $p(\underline{x})$ . When  $Q(\underline{x}, \underline{\hat{a}})$  is a quadratic function in  $\underline{\hat{a}}$  then the performance index  $J(\underline{\hat{a}})$  is the familiar least squares cost function. The condition of optimality for  $J(\underline{\hat{a}})$  can be written as follows:

$$\nabla J(\hat{g}) = E_{\chi}[\nabla_{\hat{g}}Q(\underline{x},\hat{g})] = Q \qquad (4.2)$$
  
where  $\nabla J(\hat{g})$  is the gradient of J and  
 $\nabla_{\hat{g}}Q(\underline{x},\hat{g})$  is the gradient of Q w.r.t.  $\hat{g}$ 

Eqn 4.2 can be solved recursively by the following formula:

$$\hat{\underline{g}}_{k} = \hat{\underline{g}}_{k-1} - \gamma_{k} [\nabla_{\hat{\underline{g}}} Q(\underline{x}_{k-1}, \hat{\underline{g}}_{k-1})]$$
(4.3)

As  $\nabla_{\hat{\underline{a}}} Q(\underline{x}_{k-1}, \hat{\underline{a}}_{k-1}) \neq 0$  due to the presence of the random sequence  $\underline{x}_{k-1}$ , it is necessary that the gain sequence  $\gamma_k$  should tend towards zero as k increases, for  $\hat{\underline{a}}_k$  to converge almost surely to  $\underline{a}$ . The scalar gain  $\gamma_k$  can be replaced by a matrix  $\Gamma_k$  usually of the form:



#### 4.3 The Extended Kalman Filter (EKF)

The theory of the EKF and its use as state and parameter estimator is given in this section.

## 4.3.1 The EKF as State Estimator

The EKF is a recursive least squares approximate state estimator of nonlinear dynamical systems based on first order linearisation. The cost function to be minimised is:

$$E[(x(n) - \hat{x}(n))](x(n) - \hat{x}(n))]$$

where  $\underline{x}(n)$  and  $\underline{\hat{x}}(n)$  are the state vector and the estimated state vector respectively (Refs 12, 19).

Consider the dynamical system:

$$\underline{x}(n+1) = \underline{f}(\underline{x}(n), \underline{u}(n), n) + \Gamma(\underline{x}(n))\underline{w}(n)$$

$$\underline{y}(n) = \underline{h}(\underline{x}(n), \underline{u}(n), n) + \underline{y}(n)$$
(4.4)

where:

$$\begin{split} \underline{x}(n) &: \text{ is the state vector} \\ \underline{u}(n) &: \text{ is the input vector} \\ \underline{y}(n) &: \text{ is the output vector} \\ \underline{w}(n) &: \text{ is zero mean white process noise, ie:} \\ & E[\underline{w}(n)] &= \underline{0}, E[\underline{w}(n)\underline{w}^{T}(k)] &= Q\delta_{nk} \\ \underline{v}(n) &: \text{ is zero mean white measurement noise, ie:} \\ & E[\underline{v}(n)] &= \underline{0}, E[\underline{v}(n)\underline{v}^{T}(k)] &= R\delta_{nk} \\ & \text{ and } \underline{w}(n), \underline{v}(n) \text{ are not correlated each other and with} \\ & \text{the initial state vector, ie:} \\ & E[\underline{w}(n)\underline{v}^{T}(n)] &= 0, E[\underline{w}(n)\underline{x}^{T}(n_{0})] &= 0, E[\underline{v}(n)\underline{x}^{T}(n_{0})] &= 0 \end{split}$$

Then the EKF algorithm for the estimation of the state vector is given by the following prediction and update equations:

Prediction

$$\hat{x}_{n/n-1} = f(\hat{x}_{n-1}, u_{n-1}, n-1)$$

$$P_{n/n-1} = F_n P_{n-1} F_n^T + \Gamma_{n-1} Q \Gamma_{n-1}$$

Correction

$$\hat{x}_{n} = \hat{x}_{n/n-1} + J_{n}[\underline{y}(n) - H_{n}\hat{x}_{n/n-1}] P_{n} = P_{n/n-1} - P_{n/n-1}H_{n}^{T}[H_{n}P_{n/n-1}H_{n}^{T} + R]^{-1}H_{n}P_{n/n-1} J_{n} = P_{n/n-1}H_{n}^{T}[H_{n}P_{n/n-1}H_{n}^{T} + R]^{-1}$$

where:

 $\hat{x}_{n/n-1}$  is the estimation of the state vector at the nth step based on information up to the previous step.

(4.5)

$$P_{n} \text{ is the error covariance matrix ie:} P_{n} = E[(\underline{x}(n) - \underline{\hat{x}}(n))(\underline{x}(n) - \underline{\hat{x}}(n))^{T}].$$
$$F_{n} = \frac{\Theta f}{\Theta \underline{x}} \Big|_{\underline{x} = \underline{\hat{x}}_{n-1}}, \quad H_{n} = \frac{\Theta f}{\Theta \underline{x}} \Big|_{\underline{x} = \underline{\hat{x}}_{n/n-1}}$$

Eqns 4.5 can be considered as a separation into update at the measurement times and prediction between measurement times as it is schematically shown in Fig. 4.2.



Notice that EKF linearises the equations around the latest best estimate of the state and it requires a priori knowledge of the statistics of the initial state  $\hat{x}_{0/0}$  and  $P_{0/0}$ .

# 4.3.2 The EKF as Parameter Estimator

The EKF can also be used as parameter estimator if the problem of identification will be set up correctly and care will be taken for the utilisation of the algorithm. If the vector <u>a</u> of the unknown parameters has to be estimated, the dynamical system can be rewritten as follows:

$$\underline{x}(n+1) = \underline{f}(\underline{x}(n), \underline{a}, \underline{u}(n), n) + \Gamma(\underline{x}(n))\underline{w}(n)$$

$$y(n) = \underline{h}(\underline{x}(n), \underline{a}, \underline{u}(n), n) + \underline{v}(n)$$

$$(4.7)$$

Including the unknown parameters in the state vector the system of Eqns 4.7 becomes:

$$\underline{x}^{*}(n+1) = \underline{f}^{*}(\underline{x}^{*}(n), \underline{u}(n), n) + \underline{r}^{*}(\underline{x}^{*}(n))\underline{w}^{*}(n)$$
(4.8)  
$$\underline{y}(n) = \underline{h}^{*}(\underline{x}^{*}(n), \underline{u}(n), n) + \underline{v}(n)$$

where:

$$\underline{x}^{*}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{x}(n) \\ \underline{a}(n) \end{bmatrix} \text{ is the augmented state vector}$$

$$\underline{f}^{*}(\underline{x}^{*}(n), \underline{u}(n), n) = \begin{bmatrix} f(\underline{x}(n), \underline{a}, \underline{u}(n), n) \\ \underline{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Gamma^{*} = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma \\ \underline{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underline{h}^{*}(\underline{x}^{*}(n), \underline{u}(n), n) = \underline{h}(\underline{x}(n), \underline{a}, \underline{u}(n), n)$$

$$\underline{w}^{*}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{w}(n) \\ \underline{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

An EKF can then be used for the estimation of the augmented states  $\underline{x}^{*}(n)$  and therefore for the estimation of the states and the parameters of the initial system (Eqns 4.7).

As the EKF is a non-linear estimation procedure even if the system to be identified is linear, there is no guarantee of convergence nor is the  $P_n$  matrix necessarily any accurate estimate of the covariance of the estimation errors.

Because linearisation is applied to the normal stochastic statespace representation and not to the inovation representations  $(y(n) - H_n^{*}\hat{x}_n^{*})$  the EKF is an approximate parameter estimator (Ref. 19). Problems of convergence and low statistical efficiency are often reported in the literature. Nevertheless if care is taken in its utilisation the algorithm appears to work well and has been very popular among users over the past fifteen years. The major disadvantage of the EKF method is that it requires knowledge of the a priori covariances which are unknown for the parameters but it is simpler and less computer-time demanding than the more advanced maximum likelihood estimation.

# 4.4 <u>The Estimation of the Longitudinal</u> <u>Aerodynamic Derivatives of X-RAE1</u>

The estimation of the aerodynamic derivarives of the longitudinal model of X-RAE1 is tried out in this section. Pitch rate q is assumed to be the only measurement available corrupted by small amounts of white noise with RMS level of 0.01 rad/sec. It is the response to small amplitude deflections of the elevator about its trim position. No attempt was made to model the sensors and the actuators of the system which is considered free of process noise.

(4.9)

The model to be identified is of the following form:

$$\dot{x} = A_{LG} \dot{x} + B_{LG} \ddot{u}$$
  
 $\dot{y} = C_{LG} \dot{x} + \dot{v}(t)$ 

where:

$$A_{LG} = \begin{bmatrix} x_u & x_w & 0.704 & -9.804 \\ z_u & z_w & 28.575 & 0.236 \\ m_u & m_w & m_q & -0.047 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B_{LG} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.390 \\ z_{\eta} \\ m_{\eta} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$C_{LG} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$x = \begin{bmatrix} u & w & q & \theta \end{bmatrix}^{T} \qquad u =$$

 $v(t) = v_{q}(t)$ , white gaussian measurement noise with

$$E[v_q(t)] = 0, E[v_q(t)v_q(t-\tau)] = R\delta(t-\tau) \text{ and}$$
$$R = (0.01)^2 (rad/sec)^2$$

ŋ

If the variation of the derivatives is modelled as a random walk accounting for the mismatch between the system and the model when the parameters are not known, the augmented system becomes:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}^{\star} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{\mathsf{LG}} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}^{\star} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_{\mathsf{LG}} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{\eta} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{w} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}^{\star} + \mathbf{y}$$

where:

$$\underline{x}^{*} = \begin{bmatrix} u & w & q & \theta & x_{u} & x_{w} & z_{u} & z_{w} & z_{\eta} & m_{w} & m_{q} & m_{\eta} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} & x_{2} & \cdots & x_{13} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\underline{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w_{x_{u}} & w_{x_{w}} & w_{z_{u}} & w_{z_{w}} & \cdots & w_{m_{\eta}} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \quad \text{white gaussian noise}$$

$$\quad \text{with } E[\underline{w}(t)] = \underline{0}, \quad E[\underline{w}(t)\underline{w}^{T}(t-\tau)] = Q_{t}\delta(t-\tau)$$

The simulated pitch rate is derived from the equivalent discrete system of the continuous time Eqns 4.9 (Apx DE) as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} u(n+1) \\ w(n+1) \\ q(n+1) \\ q(n+1) \\ \theta(n+1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1+x_{u}T_{s} & x_{w}T_{s} & 0.704T_{s} & -9.804T_{s} \\ z_{u}T_{s} & 1+z_{w}T_{s} & 28.575T_{s} & 0.236T_{s} \\ m_{u}T_{s} & m_{w}T_{s} & 1+m_{q}T_{s} & -0.047T_{s} \\ 0 & 0 & T_{s} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u(n) \\ w(n) \\ q(n) \\ \theta(n) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -0.390T_{s} \\ z_{\eta}T_{s} \\ n_{\eta}T_{s} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \eta(n)$$

$$y(n) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(n) + y(n)$$

where  $T_s = 0.01$  secs is the sampling period (or the integration step for the EKF).
The augmented system to be identified by the EKF becomes:

$$x^*(n+1) = f(x^*(n), \eta(n)) + \Gamma(x^*(n))w(n)$$
  
 $y(n) = h(x^*(n), \eta(n)) + y(n)$ 

where:

$$f(x^{*}(n), \eta(n)) = \begin{bmatrix} (1+T_{s}x_{5})x_{1}+T_{s}x_{6}x_{2}+0.704T_{s}x_{3}-9.804T_{s}x_{4}-0.39T_{s}\eta) \\ T_{s}x_{7}x_{1}+(1+T_{s}x_{8})x_{2}+28.575T_{s}x_{3}+0.236T_{s}x_{4}+x_{9}T_{s}\eta) \\ T_{s}x_{10}x_{1}+T_{s}x_{11}x_{2}+(1+T_{s}x_{12})x_{3}-0.047T_{s}x_{4}+x_{13}T_{s}\eta) \\ T_{s}x_{3}+x_{4} & 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Gamma(x^{*}(n)) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 \\ T_{s} & \bigcirc \\ T_{s} & \bigcirc \\ T_{s} & \bigcirc \\ T_{s} & \frown \\ T_{s} & \bigcirc \\ T_{s} & \sub \\ T_{s} &$$

 $h(x^{*}(n), \eta(n)) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & . & . & 0 \end{bmatrix} x^{*}(n)$ 

The measurements are assumed to be obtained every 0.05 secs. Therefore, the equations for state prediction for the EKF are evaluated every  $T_s = 0.01$  secs and they are corrected every measurement update ie. every 0.05 secs. Full derivation of the F and H matrices and of the noise covariances can be found in Apx PI.1.

The elevator deflections are assumed to be a pseudorandom gaussian sequence of zero mean and standard deviation  $\sigma_{\eta}$  = 0.01 rad. The trimmed conditions are assumed known whereas the derivatives are 50% in error initially, with the initial error covariance matrix set to the corresponding error values.

The nominal values of the derivatives and the estimated ones by the EKF after 50 secs are summarised in Table 4.1.

Nominal Derivatives		Estimated Derivatives	
		No measurement noise	Measurement noise of ±0.01 rad/sec
Х <sub>Ц</sub>	-0.097	-0.0984	-0.0984
x	0.039	0.0374 (?)	0.0579 (?)
z	-0.775	-0.7879	-0.8936
zw	-5.399	-5.3977	-5.4507
z <sub>n</sub>	-15.887	—	
m,	0.185	0.1805	0.1517
m	-2.782	-2.7819	-2.7986
m	-18.117	-18.1170	-18.2888
m T)	-175.890	-175.8903	-175.3183

<u>Table 4.1</u> Nominal and estimated aerodynamic derivatives Longitudinal model.

As it can be seen from the above Table and particularly from the recursive estimates of the derivatives (Figs 4.4 to 4.11), the estimates of  $x_u$ ,  $x_w$ ,  $z_u$  and  $m_u$  are relatively poor; especially  $x_w$  is dramatically affected by the measurement noise. The small deflections of the elevator about its trim position can explain the poor estimation of these derivatives.

As  $\dot{u} \simeq 0$  and  $u \simeq 0$ , the model to be identified is eventually the following:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{w} \\ \dot{q} \\ \dot{e} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z_{w} & 28.575 & 0.236 \\ m_{w} & m_{q} & -0.047 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w \\ q \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} z_{\eta} \\ m_{\eta} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, good estimates of  $z_w$ ,  $z_\eta$ ,  $m_w$ ,  $m_q$  and  $m_\eta$  could be expected. Their values converge at about 20 secs but  $z_\eta$  is difficult to be identified. This can be explained by the transfer function of the complete longitudinal model (Eqns 4.9), between the elevator deflection  $\eta$  and the pitch rate q.

The transfer function between  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$  and  $\boldsymbol{q}$  is:

$$\frac{q(s)}{\eta(s)} = \frac{s(A_q s^2 + B_q s + C_q)}{As^4 + Bs^3 + Cs^2 + Ds + E}$$

where:

$$A_{q} = m_{\eta}$$

$$B_{q} = m_{u}x_{\eta} + m_{w}z_{\eta} - (z_{w} + x_{u})m_{\eta}$$

$$C_{q} = (z_{u}m_{w} - m_{u}z_{w})x_{\eta} - (x_{u}m_{w} - m_{u}x_{w})z_{\eta} + (x_{u}z_{w} - x_{w}z_{u})m_{\eta}$$

$$A = 1$$

$$B = -x_{u} - z_{w} - m_{q}$$

$$C = z_{w}m_{q} - 28.575m_{w} - x_{w}z_{u} + x_{u}(m_{q} + z_{w}) - 0.704m_{u} + 0.047$$

$$D = -x_{u}(z_{w}m_{q} - 28.575m_{w} + 0.047) - 0.047z_{w} - 0.236m_{w} + z_{u}(x_{w}m_{q} - 0.704m_{w}) - m_{u}(28.575x_{w} - 9.804 + 0.704z_{w})$$

$$E = x_{u}(0.047z_{w} + 0.236m_{w}) - z_{u}(0.047x_{w} - 9.804m_{w}) - m_{u}(0.236x_{w} + 9.804z_{w}) + 9.804(z_{u}m_{w} - m_{u}z_{w})$$

As it can be seen from the above transfer function,  $z_{\eta}$  appears only in the  $B_q$  and  $C_q$  coefficients and all the terms involving  $z_{\eta}$  and  $x_{\eta}$  are negligible compared to the terms involving  $m_{\eta}$ , in all frequencies:

$$B_{q} \text{ coefficient: } m_{u}x_{\eta} = -0.072$$
  

$$m_{w}z_{\eta} = 44.198$$
  

$$(z_{w} + x_{u})m_{\eta} = 966.691$$
  

$$C_{q} \text{ coefficient: } (z_{u}m_{w} - m_{u}z_{w})x_{\eta} = -1.230$$
  

$$(x_{u}m_{w} - m_{u}x_{w})z_{\eta} = -4.173$$
  

$$(x_{u}z_{w} - x_{w}z_{u})m_{\eta} = -97.430$$

Therefore, neither  $x_{\eta}$  nor  $z_{\eta}$  can be identified from q records as they little affect the pitch rate. Terms also involving  $x_w$  and  $m_u$ are very small compared to other terms in the q/ $\eta$  transfer function and this could be one more reason for the poor estimation of these derivatives and their dependance on the measurement noise.

Other inputs like square waves or trains of multisteps were also tried with similar results (Apx PI.1).

# 4.5 The Estimation of the Lateral Aerodynamic Derivatives of X-RAE1

The estimation of the lateral aerodynamic stability and control derivatives of the lateral model of X-RAE1 from roll and yaw rates only, is presented in this section. The model to be identified is of the form:

$$\dot{x} = A_{LT} \dot{x} + B_{LT} \dot{u}$$

$$\dot{y} = C_{LT} \dot{x} + \dot{v}(t)$$
(4.10)

where:

$$A_{LT} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{v} & -0.561 & -29.767 & 9.804 \\ L_{v} & L_{p} & L_{r} & 0 \\ N_{v} & N_{p} & N_{r} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -0.025 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$B_{LT} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Y_{\zeta} \\ L_{\xi} & 2.485 \\ 4.182 & N_{\zeta} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$C_{LT} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$x = \begin{bmatrix} v & p & r & \psi \end{bmatrix}^{T} \qquad y = \begin{bmatrix} p & r \end{bmatrix}^{T} \qquad y = \begin{bmatrix} \xi & \zeta \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

$$\underline{v}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} v_p & v_r \end{bmatrix}^T , \text{ white gaussian measurement noise with} \\ E[\underline{v}(t)] = \underline{0}, \quad E[\underline{v}(t)\underline{v}^T(t-\tau)] = R\delta(t-\tau) \text{ and} \\ R = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0001 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0001 \end{bmatrix}$$

The simulated data are derived from the equivalent discrete system with sampling period  $T_s = 0.005$  secs:

$$\begin{bmatrix} v(n+1) \\ p(n+1) \\ r(n+1) \\ \phi(n+1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1+Y_v T_s & -0.561T_s & -29.767T_s & 9.804T_s \\ L_v T_s & 1+L_p T_s & L_r T_s & 0 \\ N_v T_s & N_p T_s & 1+N_r T_s & 0 \\ 0 & T_s & -0.025T_s & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v(n) \\ p(n) \\ r(n) \\ \phi(n) \end{bmatrix} + K_v T_s + K_v T_s + K_v T_s = 0$$

$$+ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Y_{\zeta} T_{s} \\ L_{\xi} T_{s} & 2.485 T_{s} \\ 4.182 T_{s} & N_{\zeta} T_{s} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \xi(n) \\ \zeta(n) \end{bmatrix}$$

and the augmented system is:

$$\underline{x}^{*}(n+1) = \underline{f}(\underline{x}^{*}(n), \underline{u}(n)) + \Gamma(\underline{x}^{*}(n))\underline{w}(n)$$
  
 $\underline{y}(n) = \underline{h}(\underline{x}^{*}(n), \underline{u}(n)) + \underline{v}(n)$ 

where:

$$f(x^{*}(n), u(n)) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} x^{*}(n)$$

and  $\Gamma(x^*(n))$  similar to that appearing in the longitudinal model.

The aileron and rudder deflections are pseudorandom gaussian sequencies with zero mean and standard deviations  $\sigma_{\xi} = \sigma_{\zeta} = 0.01$  rad. The EKF is started up with initial values of the derivatives 50% in error (more details can be found in Apx LA.2).The estimates of the derivatives after 50 secs and their nominal values are shown in Table 4.2 whereas their time histories can be found in Figs 4.12 to 4.21.

Nominal Derivatives		Estimated Derivatives
		Measurement noise of ±0.01 rad/sec
Y	-0.336	-0.3215 (?)
Y <sub>7</sub>	3.909	?
L	-0.414	-0.4582
L	-13.360	-13.2223
	2.412	2.6635
L <sub>F</sub>	-142.902	-140.6240
N	0.558	0.5557
ND	-0.622	-0.6210
Nr	-1.426	-1.4099
N <sub>ح</sub>	-18.015	-18.2482

Table 4.2 Nominal and estimated aerodynamic derivatives Lateral model.

As it can be seen from Fig. 4.13  $Y_{\zeta}$  is not identifiable. Evaluating the transfer function between the rudder deflection  $\zeta$  and the yaw rate r the following expression can be obtained:

$$\frac{r(s)}{\zeta(s)} = \frac{A_r s^3 + B_r s^2 + C_r s + D_r}{A s^4 + B s^3 + C s^2 + D s + E}$$

where:

$$A_{r} = N_{\zeta}$$

$$B_{r} = N_{v}Y_{\zeta} + N_{p}L_{\zeta} - (L_{p} + Y_{v})N_{\zeta}$$

$$C_{r} = (L_{v}N_{p} - N_{v}L_{p})Y_{\zeta} - (Y_{v}N_{p} + 0.561N_{v})L_{\zeta} + (Y_{v}L_{p} + 0.561L_{v})N_{\zeta}$$

$$D_{r} = 9.804(N_{v}L_{\zeta} - L_{v}N_{\zeta})$$

$$A = 1$$

$$B = -Y_{v} - L_{p} - N_{r}$$

$$C = L_{p}N_{r} - N_{p}L_{r} + Y_{v}(N_{r} + L_{p}) + 29.767N_{v} + 0.561L_{v}$$

$$D = Y_{v}(N_{p}L_{r} - L_{p}N_{r}) + 29.767L_{v}N_{p} - 0.561L_{v}N_{r} - N_{v}(29.767L_{p} - 0.561L_{r}) - 9.804(L_{v} - 0.025N_{v})$$

$$E = 9.804(L_{v}N_{r} - N_{v}L_{r}) + 0.245(L_{v}N_{p} - N_{v}L_{p})$$

Derivative  $Y_{\zeta}$  appears only in coefficients  $B_r$  and  $C_r$  and its contribution to their values in all frequencies is small compared to contributions from terms involving  $N_{\zeta}$ :

$$B_{r} \text{ coefficient: } N_{v}Y_{\zeta} = 2.181$$

$$N_{p}L_{\zeta} = -1.546$$

$$(L_{p} + Y_{v})N_{\zeta} = 246.733$$

$$C_{r} \text{ coefficient: } (L_{v}N_{p} - N_{v}L_{p})Y_{\zeta} = 30.148$$

$$(Y_{v}N_{p} + 0.561N_{v})L_{\zeta} = 1.297$$

$$(Y_{v}L_{p} + 0.561L_{v})N_{\zeta} = -76.685$$

Therefore, as  $N_\zeta$  is the dominant factor in  $B_r$  and  $C_r$  coefficients  $Y_\zeta$  is not expected to be identifiable from yaw measurements only.

Derivative  $Y_v$  also does not converge satisfactorily to its steady value but rather wonders about it (Fig. 4.12). This can be justified by the Bode plots of the terms

of the Y-equation of motion and by the input that is used (pseudorandom sequence) for the identification of the lateral derivatives.

As it can be seen from Fig. 4.3,  $Y_v$  is identifiable at low frequencies only ( $\omega < 15 \text{ rad/sec}$ )<sup>(1)</sup>. Therefore, a rudder input with a low frequency spectrum is more suitable than a pseudorandom sequence which theoretically contains almost all the frequency spectrum with uniformly distributed power.



Fig. 4.3 Bode plot of the Y-equation terms

(1). "If at a given frequency the magnitude of a term is large compared with the other terms, it has a great influence within the equation of motion. Its derivative is well identifiable at this frequency. If a term has a small influence, its derivative can not be identified. As a rule of thumb, a derivative is considered to be identifiable when its term has a magnitude of at least 10% of the largest term's magnitude. If the inertial term is small only ratios of the derivatives can be identified."

in "Practical Input Signal Design"

Plaetschke E. and Schulz G.

paper, AGARD LS-104 "Parameter Identification", Nov. 1979

x10-2 noise free N× -10 -11 -12 -13 -14 -15 3 Time (secs) 2 4 1 5 x10<sup>1</sup> x10-2 -9\_\_\_\_ -10 -11 -12 Ň -13 -14 -15 -16 -17 -18Ē 3 TLme (secs) 2 1 4 5 x101

Fig. 4.4 x<sub>u</sub> estimates



Fig. 4.5 x<sub>w</sub> estimates

- 69 -



<u>Fig. 4.6</u> z<sub>u</sub> estimates



Fig. 4.7 z<sub>w</sub> estimates



Fig. 4.8 m<sub>u</sub> estimates

- 72 -



Fig. 4.9 m<sub>w</sub> estimates



Fig. 4.10 m<sub>q</sub> estimates



ZΣ





≳



<u>Fig. 4.13</u>  $Y_{\zeta}$  estimates





- 77 -



Ľ



Fig. 4.19 N<sub>p</sub> estimates

- 79 -



#### THE SOFTWARE

## 5.1 Introduction

The computer implementation of the equations of motion of X-RAE1 and the identification algorithms is considered in this chapter. The purpose of the software development is twofold:

- 1. To support the mathematical modelling of X-RAE1, ie:
  - a) Derive the aerodynamic stability and control derivatives for a range of flight velocities.
  - b) Compute the trim conditions for straight, level, horizontal flights of different velocities.
  - c) Develope simulation programmes for the complete 6-DOF nonlinear model of X-RAE1 and for the linear longitudinal and lateral models.
- To implement the parameter identification algorithms ( EKF ) for the estimation of the aerodynamic stability and control derivatives of X-RAE1.

#### 5.2 Software for the Mathematical Modelling of X-RAE1

## 5.2.1 <u>Aerodynamic Derivatives</u>

Programme RPVDER.FOR has been developed for the computation of the aerodynamic derivatives of X-RAE1. It is a FORTRAN programme and it can be easily used for any subsonic aircraft when similar data are available.

The <u>inputs</u> to the programme are:

- 1. Geometrical mass and inertia characteristics of the RPV.
- 2. Longitudinal stability and control derivatives in the form of curve slopes  $(C_{l_{\alpha}}, C_{l_{\alpha}}, \dots, C_{m_{\eta}})$ .
- 3. Parameters derived from ESDU data sheets.
- 4. Velocity of the RPV.

The <u>output</u> is given in the file RPVDER.DAT and consists of: 1. The normalised longitudinal derivatives in body-axes.

- 2. The system and input matrices of the linear longitudinal model.
- 3. The normalised lateral derivatives in body-axes.
- 4. The system and input matrices of the linear lateral model.
- A flowchart of the programme RPVDER.FOR is given in Fig. 5.1.



Fig. 5.1 Flowchart of the programme RPVDER.FOR

- 82 -



Fig. 5.1 contd. Programme RPVDER.FOR



Fig. 5.1 contd. Programme RPVDER.FOR

# 5.2.2 <u>Trim Conditions</u>

The FORTRAN programme TRIM.FOR has been implemented for the computation of the trim conditions of X-RAE1 for straight, horizontal flights of a range of flight velocities.

<u>Inputs</u>:

- 1. Geometry and aerodynamic characteristics of X-RAE1.
- 2. Required flight velocity.

<u>Outputs</u> (File TRIM.DAT):

- 1. Angle of attack.
- 2. Elevator setting.
- 3. Throttle setting.
- 4. Lift.
- 5. Drag.
- 6. Pitching moment.
- 7. Thrust.

A flowchart of the programme TRIM.FOR is shown in Fig. 5.2.



Fig. 5.2 Flowchart of the programme TRIM.FOR

#### 5.2.3 Simulation Programmes

### 5.2.3a Nonlinear 6-DOF Simulation

The complete 6-DOF equations of motion of X-RAE1 as they are described in Chapter 3 have been used for a simulation study. A simulation programme - RPVPI.CSL - has been developed to accomodate these equations. The simulation language used is the Advanced Continuous Simulation Language (ACSL).

The general structure of the programme is shown in Fig. 5.3 and the main features of it are given bellow:

#### Inputs:

Time histories of elevator, aileron and rudder deflections and throttle settings.

#### Outputs:

- 1. Rectilinear velocities and accelerations, angular rates and angular accelerations in body axes.
- 2. Velocity and X-RAE1 position w.r.t. earth.
- 3. Orientation of X-RAE1 in form of Euler angles.
- 4. Lateral derivatives in stability and body axes as functions of the angle of attack.

### 5.2.3b <u>Simulation of the Linear Longitudinal Model</u>

Programme RPNLG.CSL has been developed in ACSL language to simulate the linear longitudinal model of X-RAE1. It uses the longitudinal derivatives as they are computed by the programme RPVDER.FOR.

The <u>inputs</u> to the programme are elevator deflections and varia-

tions in throttle settings, and

the outputs are the perturbed longitudinal motion quantities.

The structure of the programme RPVLG.CSL is shown in Fig. 5.4.







# 5.2.3c <u>Simulation of the Linear Lateral Model</u>

mg

The linear lateral equations of motion of X-RAE1 have been implemented by the ACSL programme RVPLT.CSL. The lateral derivatives computed by the programme RPVDER.FOR are used as input data.

q

Integration

9

The <u>inputs</u> to the programme are aileron and rudder deflections about their trim positions, and

the <u>outputs</u> are the perturbed lateral motion quantities.

The structure of the programme is shown in Fig. 5.5.



Fig. 5.5 Simulation programme RPVLT.CSL

## 5.3 <u>Software for the Parameter Identification of X-RAE1</u>

The computer implementation of the EKF algorithms for the identification of the longitudinal and lateral aerodynamic stability and control derivatives of X-RAE1 is presented in this section.

# 5.3.1 The EKF Algorithm

Programme EXKAL.FOR has been developed for the identification of the aerodynamic derivatives of X-RAE1.

Certain types of inputs (pseudorandom noise, square waves and multisteps) are selected and the outputs of the longitudinal or lateral models of the equivalent discrete systems are simulated.

An EKF is then implemented to identify the parameters of the models. The estimates of the aerodynamic derivatives and the Kalman filter gains as well as the diagonal of the error covariance matrix

are given in the output file EXKAL.DAT. Plots of the time histories of the estimates can also be provided.

The user has to supply the subroutines for the creation of the A, B and C matrices of the equivalent discrete system, the system function  $\underline{f}$  of the augmented system and the matrix F for the EKF.

A flowchart of the programme EXKAL.FOR is shown in Fig. 5.6



Fig. 5.6 Flowchart of programme EXKAL.FOR



# Fig. 5.6 contd. Flowchart of programme EXKAL.FOR



Fig. 5.6 contd. Flowchart of programme EXKAL.FOR

# 5.3.2 <u>Supporting Subroutines</u>

5.3.2a <u>Subroutine\_SMTCS\_(A, B, C, H, IS, INP, IO)</u>

Subroutine SMTCS creates the system matrices A, B and C of the equivalent discrete system given the number of states, number of inputs, number of outputs and the sampling period. It has to be supplied by the user.

A(IS,IS)	<u>OUTPUT</u> :	System matrix
B(IS,INP)	<u>OUTPUT</u> :	Input matrix
C(IO,IS)	<u>OUTPUT</u> :	Output matrix
Н	<u>INPUT</u> :	Sampling period
IS	<u>INPUT</u> :	Number of states
INP	<u>INPUT</u> :	Number of inputs
10	INPUT :	Number of outputs

5.3.2b <u>Subroutine\_SYFN</u> (F, X, U, H, IAS, INP)

Subroutine SYFN gives as output the system function of the augmented system and it has to be supplied by the user.

F(IAS)	<u>OUTPUT</u> : System function
X(IAS)	<u>INPUT</u> : Augmented state vector
U(INP)	<u>INPUT</u> : System input vector
Н	<u>INPUT</u> : Sampling period (integration step)
IAS	$\underline{\text{INPUT}}$ : Number of states of the augmented system
INP	<u>INPUT</u> : Number of inputs

5.3.2c <u>Subroutine MTXPHI</u> (PHI, X, U, H, IAS, INP)

The matrix F for the EKF algorithm is generated by this subroutine which has to be supplied by the user.

PHI(IAS,IAS)	<u>OUTPUT</u> : Matrix F
X(IAS)	<u>INPUT</u> : Augmented state vector
U(INP)	<u>INPUT</u> : System input vector
Η	<u>INPUT</u> : Integration step
IAS	<u>INPUT</u> : Number of states of the augmented system
INP	INPUT : Number of system inputs

5.3.2d Other Subroutines

- 1. Subroutine SQW (INP,H)
   It generates a set of square waves
- 2. Subroutine MLSTP (INP,H)
   It creates a set of multisteps
- 3. Subroutine RANDOM (INP) It generates gaussian random noise

## Chapter 5

#### CONCLUSIONS - RECOMMENDATIONS

A six-degrees of freedom dynamically nonlinear mathematical model of an experimental RPV has been developed. Non-linear aerodynamic effects and cross-coupling terms have been ignored while Euler angles have been employed for the attitude definition of the RPV. (Sensor and actuator characteristics have not been included in the modelling).

From the model developed, simulated data can be provided for flight regimes below stall (  $\alpha < 10^0$  ) and pitch angles of less than sixty degrees.

The linearised longitudinal and lateral models for straight, level, horizontal flight at 30 m/sec have also been derived. Phugoid and dutch roll modes have been computed and found to exhibit low damping ratios. Aflight control system has to be designed to control these modes. An unstable spiral mode was found but it would not appear to present any obvious difficulties as it is characterised by a large time constant.

The identification of the aerodynamic stability and control derivatives has been undertaken. Pitch, roll and yaw rate measurements corrupted by small amounts of measurement noise have been used while an EKF has been implemented for the estimation of the aerodynamic derivatives.

Small amplitude pseudorandom deflections of the elevator have been applied for the identification of the longitudinal derivatives. It was not possible to identify the  $z_{\eta}$  derivative from pitch rate measurements as it has negligible effect on the pitch rate. However, good estimates have been obtained for the majority of the longitudinal derivatives.

Small amplitude pseudorandom sequencies were used as aileron and rudder inputs. Accurate estimates of the lateral derivatives with the exception of  $Y_{\zeta}$  and  $Y_{v}$  have been obtained from roll and yaw rate measurements.

It was difficult to identify  $Y_{\zeta}$  since it is not a dominant term in the transfer function between yaw rate and rudder deflection.  $Y_v$  could have been identified more accurately through use of low frequency
rudder inputs. It is felt that estimation of the  $\rm Y_V$  derivative may be obtained more accurately by using latax measurements.

With a view to improving the mathematical model of the RPV, the following recommendations are suggested:

- 1. As flight test data is acquired non-linear aerodynamics and cross-coupling terms may be included in the model.
- 2. Quaternions should be used instead of Euler angles to enable a greater range of manoeuvres to be represented.
- 3. An atmospheric model could be developed to model gusts. Its inclusion in the overall model would make the latter more realistic.
- 4. The sensor and actuator characteristics could also be modeled.

#### REFERENCES

1. Babister A. W. "Aircraft Dyanamic Stability and Response". Pergamon Press Ltd., U.K., 1980. 2. Blakelock J. H. "Automatic Control of Aircraft and Missiles". John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1965. 3. Ellison Don E. "Stability Derivative Estimation at Hoak D. E. Subsonic Speeds". Annuals New York Academy of Science Inter. Congress on Subsonic Aeronautics, 1980. 4. Etkin B. "Dynamics of Flight Stability and Response". John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1959. 5. Gill P. E. "Practical Optimization". Murray W. Academic Press, 1981. Wright M. H. 6. Hamel P. G. "Aircraft Parameter Identification Methods and Their Applications - Survey and Future Aspects". paper in AGARD-LS-104, 1979. 7. Jazwinski A. H. "Stochastic Processes and Filtering Theory". Academic Press, 1970. 8. Klein V. "Identification Evaluation Methods". paper in AGARD-LS-104, 1979. 9. Klein V. "Compatibility Check of Measured Aircraft Schiess J. R. Responses Using Kinematic Equations and Extended Kalman Filter". NASA TN D-8514, 1977. 10. McRuer D. "Aircraft Dynamics and Automatic Control". Ashkenas I. Princeton University Press, 1973. Graham D.

John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1985. "Maximum Likelihood Identification and Optimal Input Design for Identifying Mehra R. K. Aircraft Stability and Control Derivatives". NASA CR-2200, 1973. "Rigid Body Mechanics". 13. Theocharis P. Lecture Notes (in Greek). National Technical University of Athens. "State of the Art of Estimation of Derivatives". 14. Thomas H. H. B. M. NATO Report 339, 1961. "Estimation of Rotary Stability Derivatives at Subsonic and Transonic Speeds". Lessing H. C. NATO Report 343, 1961. "On the Formulation of the Aerodynamic Characteristics in Aircraft Dynamics". Schiff L. B. NASA TR R-456, 1976. "Adaptation and Learning in Automatic Systems". Academic Press, 1971.

> "Theory of flight". Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1945, 1959.

"Recursive Estimation and Time-Series Analysis". Springer-Verlag, 1984.

"Introduction to Dynamics and Control".

- 11. Meirovitch L.
- 12. Stepner D. E.

- 15. Tobak M.
- 16. Tobak M.
- 17. Tsypkin Y. Z.
- 18. Mises Von R.

19. Young P.

APPENDIX A.1

1. Longitudinal Aerodynamic Derivatives

2. Engine Model

- 98 -

1. Longitudinal Aerodynamic Derivatives

The longitudinal aerodynamic derivatives of X-RAE1 are estimated in this section. Most of the estimation is based on static wind-tunnel tests of a model fitted with a wing with rounded tips. Measurements from a model with a wing with skid tips are used when other data are not available.



Fig. A.1-1 X-RAE1 longitudinal geometry (Ref. 3)

 $S = 0.9307 m^{2}$  c = 0.353 m  $S_{t} = 0.2576 m^{2}$   $l_{t} = 1.182 m$   $h_{o} = 0.24 \text{ (skid tips)}$  h = 0.34  $h_{n} = 0.55 \text{ (skid tips)}$   $h_{n} = 0.31$  $x^{2} = -0.036 m$ 

Table A.1-1 X-RAE1 longitudinal geometry (Ref. 3)

From Table A.1-1 the following Table A.1-2 is constructed to be used for the estimation of the longitudinal derivatives (Ref. 1).

$$\overline{V} = \frac{S_t^1}{S_c} = 0.955$$

$$F = \frac{ch_n}{n_0} = 0.099$$

$$V'_T = \frac{\overline{V}}{1 + F} = 0.869$$

$$k_n = -\frac{\theta C_m}{\theta C_L} = 0.21 \text{ (skid tips)}$$

$$H_n = h_n - h = 0.21$$

<u>Table A.1-2</u> X-RAE1 parameters for the estimation of the longitudinal derivatives.

## 1.1 Lift Derivatives

$$C_{L_{\alpha}} \triangleq \frac{\Theta C_{L}}{\Theta \alpha} = a + \frac{S_{t}}{S} a_{1}(1 - \frac{\Theta \varepsilon}{\Theta \alpha})$$
 (Ref. 1)

where:

$$\frac{\Theta \varepsilon}{\Theta \alpha} = 0.47 \quad (\text{Ref. 3, skid tips}) \tag{1}$$

$$a \stackrel{\Theta C}{=} \frac{W}{\Theta \alpha} = 4.53 \text{ /rad} \quad (\text{Ref. 3}) \tag{2}$$

$$a_1 = \frac{\Theta C_{L_t}}{\Theta \alpha_t} = aF \frac{S}{S_t(1 - \Theta \epsilon/\Theta \alpha)} = 3.10 / rad (Ref. 1) (3)$$

Then:

$$C_{L_{\alpha}} \triangleq \frac{\Theta C_{L}}{\Theta \alpha} = 4.98 / rad$$
 (4)

1.1.2 Lift Derivative w.r.t. Rate of the Angle of Attack

$$C_{L_{\dot{\alpha}}} \simeq C_{L_{\dot{\alpha}}|tail} = 2a_1 \frac{S_t^1}{Sc} \frac{\theta \varepsilon}{\theta \alpha}$$
 (Ref. 2)

Therefore (Eqns 1, 3 and Table A.1-1):

$$C_{L_{\dot{\alpha}}} \stackrel{\text{\tiny $\stackrel{\text{\tiny $\frac{\\}}}}}}{2}}}}{\theta(\frac{\dot{\alpha}c}{2V_{T}})}}}}}{\theta(\frac{\dot{\alpha}c}{2V_{T}})} = 2.78 \text{ /rad}$$
(5)

1.1.3 Lift\_Derivative w.r.t. Pitch\_Rate

$$C_{Lq} = C_{Lq|wing} + C_{Lq|tail}$$

where:

$$C_{L_q|wing} = 2a_1 \frac{l_t S_t}{1 S} = -0.92 / rad (Ref. 2)$$
 (6)

$$C_{L_q|tail} = 2 \frac{x}{c} C_{L_q} = 5.75 / rad$$
 (Ref. 2) (7)

Then according to Eqns 3 and 4 and to Table A.1-1,  $C_{L_q}$  becomes:

$$C_{L_{q}} \stackrel{\triangleq}{=} \frac{\Theta C_{L}}{\Theta(\frac{qc}{2V_{T}})} = 4.83 / rad$$
(8)

# 1.1.4 Lift Derivative w.r.t Elevator Deflection

$$C_{L_{\eta}} = \frac{c}{l_{t}} \frac{V_{1} \tilde{a}_{2}}{(1 - H_{n} c/l_{t})} \quad (\text{Ref. 1})$$
(9)

where :

$$a_2 = \frac{\theta C_{L_t}}{\theta \eta}$$
 and is estimated by the following two

$$\frac{d\eta}{dC_L}\Big|_{C_m=0} = \frac{-\kappa_n}{V_T a_2} \quad (\text{Ref. 1})$$

$$\frac{d\eta}{dC_L}\Big|_{C_m=0} = \frac{\Delta\eta}{\Delta C_L} = -8.1^{\circ} \quad (\text{Ref. 3})$$
Therefore  $a_2 = 1.76$  /rad and Eqn 9

$$C_{L_{\eta}} \triangleq \frac{\Theta C_{L}}{\Theta \eta} = 0.49/rad$$
 (10)

gives:

## 1.2 <u>Pitching Moment Derivatives</u>

1.2.1 Pitching Moment Derivative w.r.t. Angle of Attack

 $C_{m_{\alpha}} = -C_{L_{\alpha}}H_{n} \quad (\text{Ref. 1}) \text{, so according to Table A.1-2 and Eqn 4}$   $C_{m_{\alpha}} \text{ becomes:} \quad \left[C_{m_{\alpha}} \triangleq \frac{\Theta C_{m}}{\Theta \alpha} = -1.05 \text{ /rad}\right] \quad (11)$ 

1.2.2 Pitching Moment Derivative w.r.t. Rate of the Angle of Attack

$$C_{m_{\dot{\alpha}}} = C_{m_{\dot{\alpha}}|tail} = -\frac{l_t}{c}C_{L_{\dot{\alpha}}|tail} \quad (Ref. 2)$$

Therefore (Eqn 5 and Table A.1-1):

$$C_{m_{\tilde{\alpha}}} \stackrel{\epsilon}{=} \frac{\Theta C_{m}}{\Theta(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}C}{2V_{T}})} = -9.32 / rad$$
(12)

- 101 -

1.2.3 Pitching Moment Derivative w.r.t. Pitch Rate

$$C_{m_q} = C_{m_q | wing} + C_{m_q | tail}$$

where:

$$C_{m_{q}|wing} = -\frac{|x|^{2}C_{L_{q}|wing}}{\left(\operatorname{Ref. 2}\right)}$$

$$C_{m_{q}|tail} = -\frac{1_{t}}{c}C_{L_{q}|tail}$$
(Ref. 2)

Then from Table A.1-1 and Eqns 6 and 7,  $C_{m_q}$  becomes:

$$C_{m_{q}} \stackrel{\text{\tiny $\stackrel{\text{\tiny $\stackrel{\text{\tiny $\stackrel{\text{\tiny $\stackrel{\text{\tiny $\stackrel{\text{\tiny $\stackrel{\text{\tiny $\frac{1}{2}}}}{1}}}{\theta(\frac{qc}{2V_{T}})}}}} = -19.15 / rad$$
(13)

1.2.4 Pitching Moment Derivative w.r.t. Elevator Deflection

$$C_{m_{\eta}} = -\frac{l_t}{c}C_{L_{\eta}}$$
 (Ref. 1)

So (Table A.1-1, Eqn 10):

$$C_{m_{\eta}} \triangleq \frac{\Theta C_{m}}{\Theta \eta} = -1.63 / rad$$
 (14)

1.3 Drag Derivatives

Drag is estimated from the formula

$$C_D = C_{D_0} + kC_{L_w}^2$$

for the drag coefficient, where  $C_D$  is computed by applying regressional analysis to wind-tunnel data (Ref. 3).

Then:

 $C_{D_0} = 0.0227$  and k = 0.0514

Therefore:

$$C_{\rm D} = 0.0227 + 0.0514 C_{\rm L_{\rm w}}^2$$
 (15)

According to Eqn 15 the derivative of the drag coefficient with respect to angle of attack is:

$$C_{D_{\alpha}} \stackrel{\text{a}}{=} \frac{\Theta C_{D}}{\Theta \alpha} = 2kC_{L_{w}}C_{L_{\alpha w}} = 0.466C_{L_{w}}/\text{rad}$$
(16)

If the viscous drag coefficient is defined as

$$C_{D} = C_{D} - \frac{C_{L}^{2}}{\pi A}$$
 then

$$C_{D_{\alpha}} \triangleq \frac{\Theta C_{D}}{\Theta \alpha} = 0.0801 C_{L_{W}} / rad$$

(17)

#### 2. Engine Model

### 2.1 Thrust Components

An analytical method for the computation of the thrust characteristics of X-RAE1 is used . A graphical method, as opposed to the analytical one, is impossible to be used due to lack of sufficient data.

The available power  $P_{av}$  for a fixed pitch propeller is given by the formula (Ref. 4):

$$P_{av} = \frac{M_{br}}{r \tan \beta}, V_{T} = \frac{\alpha_{p} S_{p}}{S \sin^{3} \beta}, \frac{W}{V_{1}^{2}} V_{T}^{3}$$
(18)

where:

M<sub>br</sub> : Brake torque moment.

- : Distance of a representative blade element of the propeller from the axis of rotation.
- в' : Representative blade setting angle w.r.t. the zero lift direction of the blade profile.

: Zero lift drag coefficient of the propeller profile. α<sub>n</sub>

S S : Blade area.

: Wing area.

W : Weight of the RPV - assumed constant.

$$V_1 : V_1^2 = 2W/pS$$

If the altitude effects are ignored,  $P_{av}$  becomes:

$$P_{av} = k_1 \delta_T V_T - k_2 V_T^3$$
 (19)

where:

 $k_1 = [M_{hr}(full throttle)]/rtan\beta'$  $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathsf{T}}$  : throttle setting (from 0 to 1).  $\boldsymbol{k}_2$  constant to be computed.

rtan $\beta' \approx p/2$  and p is the propeller pitch.

#### Computation of k1

Assume that  $P_{br}(full throttle)$  is 50% of 1.9 Kw at 14000RPM (losses not modelled in the  $P_{av}$  equation and bad engine perfofmance, Table 3.1)

Then:

 $M_{\rm br}({\rm full\ throttle}) = P_{\rm br}({\rm full\ throttle})/2\pi n$ 

where

 $P_{br}$  (full throttle) = 950 Watts and n = 14000RPM = 14000/60 sec<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3.1)

 $k_1$  then becomes:  $k_1 = P_{br}(f. t.)/pn$ (p=6inches), ie:

$$k_1 = 26.7154 \text{ Wsec/m}$$
 (20)

#### Computation of k<sub>2</sub>

where:

If a maximum speed of 35 m/sec is assumed, the required power  ${\rm P}_{\rm re}$  for an RPV mass of 16 Kgr is:

$$P_{re} = \frac{1}{2} \rho V_T^2 S C_D V_T = 618.24 \text{ Watts.}$$
$$C_D = C_{D_0} + k C_L^2$$
$$C_L = \frac{2W}{\rho V_T^2 S}$$

Allow a throttle margin and an all-up weight greater than 16 Kgr. So, assume:

W = mq = 16q

 $P_{av} = 700$  Watts at 35 m/sec,full throttle. (21) Then Eqns 19, 20 and 21 give:

$$k_{2} = 0.0055 \ W(m/sec)^{-3}$$
 (22)

If T is the thrust produced by the propeller,  $T = P_{av}/V_{T}$  and according to Eqns 19, 20 and 21 the thrust model is

$$T = 26.7154\delta_{T} - 0.0055V_{T}^{2}$$
 (23)

#### 2.2 <u>Derivatives</u> <u>Due</u> to <u>Thrust</u>

#### 2.2.1 <u>Trust Derivative w.r.t. the Velocity</u>

According to Eqn 23:

$$\frac{\Theta T}{\Theta V_{T}} = -0.011 V_{T}$$
(24)

#### 2.2.2 Trust Derivative w.r.t. Throttle Setting

$$\frac{\Theta T}{\Theta \delta_{T}} = 26.7154$$
(25)

#### REFERENCES

1. Klein V.

2. Smetana F. O.

3. Trebble W. J. G.

4. Mises Von R.

"Determination of Longitudinal Aerodynamic Derivatives from Steady-state Measurement of an Aircraft"

paper, AIAA 77-1123

Atmospheric Flight Mechanics Conference, 1979.

"Computer Assisted Analysis of Aircraft Performance Stability and Control" McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1984.

"Low-Speed Wind-Tunnel Tests on a Full-Scale Unmanned Aircraft (X-RAE1)" Tech. Memo, AERO 2043, RAE, Aug. 1985.

"Theory of Flight" Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1945, 1959.

## APPENDIX A.2

### DERIVATIVES DUE TO SIDESLIP

- 1. Side Force Due to Sideslip
- 2. Rolling Moment Due to Sideslip
- 3. Yawing Moment Due to Sideslip

Appendix A.2

#### DERIVATIVES DUE TO SIDESLIP

ESDU Data Sheets are used for the estimation of the lateral derivatives due to sideslip. All the derivatives are assumed to be given in stability axes unless it is stated otherwise.

1. Side Force Derivatives Due to Sideslip ( $Y_v$ )

$$Y_v = \frac{\Theta Y}{\Theta v} / \frac{1}{2} \rho V_T S$$

1.1 <u>Wing-Body Side Force Derivative</u> <u>Due to Sideslip (Item 79006)</u>

$$\frac{|I_z|}{h} = \frac{|h_o|}{H} = 0.139 \quad (Apx A.7)$$
Then F = 0.012 (Item 79006)
$$\frac{2b}{H + W} = 11.04 \quad (Apx A.7)$$

A = 7.48 (Apx A.7)  $\lambda$  = 0.87 (Apx A.7) Then F<sub>w</sub> = 0.820 (Item 79006)

$$-Y_{vWB} = \left[0.0714 + 0.674 \frac{h^2}{S_{bs}} + \frac{hbFF_w}{S_{bs}} \left(\frac{4.95 \, IzI}{h} - 0.12\right)\right] \frac{S_{bs}}{S} \quad (Item 79006)$$
$$= \left[0.0714 + 0.674 \frac{H^2}{S_{bs}} + \frac{HbFF_w}{S_{bs}} \left(4.95 \frac{|h_0|}{H} - 0.12\right)\right] \frac{S_{bs}}{S} =$$
$$= 0.1085 \quad (Apx A.7)$$

Therefore:

From Apx A.8:  

$$J_B = 0.7513$$
  $J_T = 1.304$   $J_W = 0.91$   
 $C_{L_{\alpha F}} = 1.88 / rad$   $S_F = 0.1093 m^2$   
hen:  
 $Y_{vF} = -J_B J_T J_W C_{L_{\alpha F}} \frac{S_F}{S} = -0.1969$   
 $Y_{vF} = -0.1969$ 

Th

1

for Complete Aircraft (Item 82011)

 $Y_v = Y_{vWB} + Y_{vF}$  therefore:

$$Y_{v} = -0.3054$$

2. Rolling Moment Derivatives Due to Sideslip ( $L_v$ )

$$L_v = \frac{\Theta L}{\Theta v} / \frac{1}{2} \rho V_T Sb$$

2.1 Effects of Isolated Body (L<sub>vb</sub>) and Wing-Body Inteference (L<sub>vh</sub>) on Rolling Moment Due to Sideslip (Item 73006)

Isolated Body

$$L_{vb}^{=} -0.014 \frac{l_b}{b} \frac{S_{bm}}{S} \alpha_b = -0.0005 \alpha_b$$
 (Apx A.7)

where:

a<sub>b</sub> : body incidence measured from its zero-lift value (in degrees)

$$L_{vb} = -0.0005 \alpha_b$$

Wing-body interference

$$\frac{h_0}{H} = -0.139 \quad (Apx \ A.7)$$

$$\frac{H}{b} = 0.125 \quad (Apx \ A.7)$$

$$1 = \frac{L_{vh}}{(1 + W/H)f(A)} = -0.0076 \quad (Item \ 73006)$$

$$\frac{W}{H} = 0.449 \quad (Apx \ A.7)$$

A = 7.48 then (Item 73006), f(A) = 1.08

Therefore:

$$L_{\rm vh} = -0.0119$$

$$\begin{split} L_{vW} &= \left[ L_{vW} \right]_{0}^{0} + \left[ L_{vW} \right]_{\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ \Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}} &= 0 \\ - \frac{\left[ L_{vW} \right]_{0}}{C_{L}} &= \frac{f_{1}(\lambda)}{A} - f_{2}(\lambda) \\ A &= 7.48 \\ \lambda &= 0.87 \end{split}$$
 Then  $L_{vW} = \left[ L_{vW} \right]_{0}$  (Item 80033)  
$$- \frac{L_{vW}}{C_{L}} = 0.0016 \quad (Item 80033) \\ - \frac{L_{vW}}{C_{V}} = 0.0016 \quad (Item 80033) \\ - \frac{L_{vW}}{C_{V}} = 0.0016 \quad (Item 80033) \\ - \frac{L_{vW}}{C_{V}} = 0.0016 \quad (Item 80033) \quad (Item 80033) \\ - \frac{L_{vW}}{C_{V}} = 0.0016 \quad (Item 80033) \quad (Item 80033$$

Therefore:

$$L_{vW} = -0.0016C_{L}$$

where  $\mathbf{C}_{L}$  is the wing lift coefficient.

2.3 Contribution\_of Fin to\_ Rolling Moment Derivative Due to Sideslip (L<sub>vF</sub>) (Item 82010)

$$L_{vF} = Y_{vF}(\bar{z}_F \cos \alpha - \bar{1}_F \sin \alpha)/b$$

where:

a : angle between stability x-axis and longitudinal body axis (ie. angle of attack).

Then (Apx A.8), the rolling moment derivative due to sideslip becomes:

 $L_{vF} = \frac{-0.1969(8.87\cos\alpha - 109.51\sin\alpha)}{263.8}$ 

2.4 Estimation of Rolling Moment Derivative Due to Sideslip for Complete Aircraft at Subsonic Speeds (Item 81032)

$$L_{v} = L_{vb} + L_{vh} + L_{vW} + L_{vF} \text{ so,}$$

 $L_v = -0.0005a_b - 0.0119 - 0.0016C_l -0.1969(8.87cosa - 109.51sina)/263.8$ 

3. Yawing Moment Derivatives Due to Sideslip (N,)

$$N_v = \frac{\Theta N}{\Theta v} / \frac{1}{2} \rho V_T Sb$$

3.1 <u>Wing-Body Yawing Moment Derivative Due to Sideslip (</u> $N_{vWB}$ ) (Item 79006)  $-N_{v_{mid}} = [0.2575 + \frac{1^2_b}{S_{bs}} (0.0008 \frac{1^2_b}{S_{bs}} - 0.024)][1.39 \frac{h_1^{\frac{1}{2}}}{h_2^{\frac{1}{2}}} - 0.39]\frac{S_{bs}^{1}b}{Sb}$  = 0.0515 (Apx A.7) $N_{vWB} = N_{v_{mid}} + \frac{1 - 0.51_b}{b} Y_{vWB}$  (Item 79006)

where

1 : distance of C.G. from the nose of fuselage (1=0.681 m)

Then

3.2 <u>Contribution of Fin to</u>

Yawing Moment Derivative Due to Sideslip ( N<sub>vF</sub> ) (Item 82010)

$$N_{vF} = -Y_{vF}(\bar{1}_F \cos\alpha + \bar{z}_F \sin\alpha)/b$$

where:

a : angle between stability x-axis and longitudinal body axis (ie. angle of attack).

Then according to Apx A.8,  $N_{vF}$  is:

N<sub>vF</sub> = 0.1969(109.51cosa + 8.87sina)/263.8

3.3 Estimation of Yawing Moment Derivative Due to Sideslip for Complete Aircraft at Subsonic Speeds (Item 82011)

### APPENDIX A.3

### DERIVATIVES DUE TO RATE OF ROLL

1. Side Force Due to Rate of Roll

2. Rolling Moment Due to Rate of Roll

3. Yawing Moment Due to Rate of Roll

Then:

#### DERIVATIVES DUE TO RATE OF ROLL

The lateral derivatives due to rate of roll are estimated in this appendix using ESDU Data Sheets. All the derivatives are assumed to be given in stability axes unless it is stated otherwise.

1. Side Force Derivatives Due to Rate of Roll (  $Y_p$  )

$$Y_p = \frac{\Theta Y}{\Theta p} / \frac{1}{2} p V_T S b$$

1.1 Contribution\_of Wing\_Planform to\_Side Force Derivative\_ Due to\_Rate\_of\_Roll (Y<sub>DW</sub>) (Item 81014)

$$\frac{x_{ac}}{b} = \frac{x}{b} = -0.0136 \quad (Apx \ A.1)$$

$$\left[\frac{Y_{pW}}{C_{L}}\right]_{0} = 0.078 \quad (Item \ 81014) \ , \ therefore$$

$$\left[\frac{Y_{pW}}{C_{L}}\right]_{0} = 0.078C_{L}$$

1.2 <u>Contribution of Fin to Side Force Derivative</u> <u>Due to Rate of Roll</u> (Y<sub>pF</sub>) (Item 83006)

 $\frac{b_{t}}{h_{F}} = 1.36 \quad (Apx \ A.8)$   $\frac{z_{T}}{z_{F}} = 1 \quad (Apx \ A.8)$ Then,  $k_{2} = 0.975 \quad k_{3} = 1 \quad (Item \ 83006)$ 

Also  $k_1 = 0.625$  (Item 83006)

Then (Item 83006) :

$$Y_{pF} = -(k_1 + k_2k_3) \frac{S_Fh_F}{Sb} \left[ \frac{(\bar{z}_F^* \cos \alpha - \bar{1}_F^* \sin \alpha)/b - \theta \bar{\sigma}_w/\theta (pb/V_T) - \theta \bar{\sigma}_\alpha/\theta (pb/V_T)}{(\bar{z}_F^* - z_{crF})/b} \right]$$

where:

 $\begin{aligned} \alpha &: \text{ angle between stability x-axis and longitudinal} \\ &\text{body axis (ie. angle of attack).} \\ &\theta \bar{\sigma}_w / \theta (\text{pb}/\text{V}_T) = 0.18 & \text{sidewash term due to wing (independent} \\ & \text{from angle of attack variations).} \\ &\theta \bar{\sigma}_\alpha / \theta (\text{pb}/\text{V}_T) & \text{sidewash term due to body (function of} \\ & \text{angle of attack). It is given w.r.t.} \\ & k = [\bar{z}_F^* - (\bar{z}_F^* \cos \alpha - \bar{1}_F^* \sin \alpha)] / b (\text{Item 83006}). \end{aligned}$ 

According to Apx A.8,  $Y_{\text{pF}}$  becomes:

 $Y_{pF} = -0.3133[(11.32\cos\alpha - 110.19\sin\alpha)/263.8 - 0.18 - \theta\bar{\sigma}_{\alpha}/\theta(pb/V_{T})]$ 

1.3 <u>Estimation of Side Force Derivative</u> <u>Due to Rate of Roll for Complete Aircraft</u> (Item 85010)

$$Y_p = Y_{pW} + Y_{pF}$$
 ie:

 $Y_p = 0.078C_L - 0.3133[(11.32cosa - 110.19sina)/263.8 - 0.18 - \theta \bar{\sigma}_a / \theta (pb/V_T)]$ 

2. Rolling Moment Derivatives Due to Rate of Roll ( $L_p$ )

$$L_{p} = \frac{\Theta L}{\Theta p} / \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{T} S b^{2}$$

2.1 <u>Rolling Moment Derivative Due to Rate of Roll</u> <u>for Swept and Tapered Wings</u> (L<sub>pW</sub>) (Item A.06.01.01)

$$\beta = (1-M^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx 1$$

$$k = \frac{\beta(\alpha_{10})_M}{2\pi} \approx 1$$

$$(\alpha_{10})_M : \text{two dimensional lift-curve slope ( \approx 2\pi )}$$

$$\frac{\beta A}{k} \approx A = 7.48 \quad (Apx A.7)$$

$$\Lambda_E \approx \tan^{-1}(\tan \Lambda_{\frac{1}{4}}) = 1.66^0 \quad (Apx A.7)$$

$$\lambda = 0.87 \quad (Apx A.7)$$

Then (Item A.06.01.01):

2.2 <u>Contribution of Fin to Rolling Moment Derivative</u> <u>Due to Rate of Roll</u> (L<sub>pF</sub>), <u>in the Presence of</u> <u>Body</u>, <u>Wing and Tailplane</u> (Item 83006)

 $L_{pF} = Y_{pF}(\bar{z}_{F}^{*}\cos\alpha - \bar{1}_{F}^{*}\sin\alpha)/b$  therefore (Apx A.8):

L<sub>pF</sub> = Y<sub>pF</sub>(11.32cosa - 110.19sina)/263.8

2.3 <u>Contribution of Tailplane to Rolling Moment Derivative</u> <u>Due to Rate of Roll</u> (L<sub>pT</sub>) (Items 83006, A.06.01.01)

From Item A.06.01.01,  $L_{pT} = -0.127$  based on  $S_t$  and  $b_t$ . Then:  $L_{pT} = -0.127 \times 0.5S_t b_t^2 / Sb^2$  Hence (Apx A.7):

$$L_{pT} = -0.0019$$

2.4 <u>Estimation of the Rolling Moment Derivative</u> (Item 85010) <u>Due to Rate of Roll for Complete Aircraft</u>

$$L_{p} = L_{pW} + L_{pF} + L_{pT} \text{ so,}$$

L<sub>p</sub> = -0.2457 + Y<sub>pF</sub>(11.32cosa - 110.19sina)/263.8

Yawing Moment Derivatives Due to Rate of Roll ( $N_{p}$ ) 3.

$$N_p = \frac{\Theta N}{\Theta p} / \frac{1}{2} \rho V_T Sb^2$$

3.1 Contribution of Wing Planform to Yawing Moment Derivative Due to Rate of Roll (N<sub>pW</sub>) (Item 81014)

Linear contribution to N<sub>pW</sub>

$$\left[\frac{N_{pW}}{C_{L}}\right]_{0}$$
 = -0.034 (Item 81014, Fig. 1)

Nonlinear contribution to NpW

$$\frac{N_{pW}}{\Theta C_{\tilde{D}}} = 1.23 \qquad (Item 81014, Fig. 3)$$

)

where  $\frac{\Theta C_D^2}{A\alpha}$  : viscous drag-curve slope (per degrees).

Then

$$N_{pW} = -0.034C_{L} + 1.23 \frac{\Theta C_{D}}{\Theta \alpha}$$

C<sub>1</sub> : wing lift coefficient.

3.2 Contribution of Fin to Yawing Moment Derivative Due to\_Rate of\_Roll ( NpF ) in\_the\_Presence of Body, Wing and Tailplane (Item 85010)

$$N_{pF} = -Y_{pF}(\bar{1}_{F}^{*}\cos\alpha + \bar{z}_{F}^{*}\sin\alpha)/b$$

hence :

N<sub>pF</sub> = -Y<sub>pF</sub>(110.19cosa + 11.32sina)/263.8

3.3 <u>Estimation of Yawing Moment Derivative Due to Rate of Roll</u> for <u>Complete Aircraft</u> (Item 85010)

$$N_p = N_{pW} + N_{pF}$$
 so,

 $N_{p} = -0.034C_{L} + 1.23 \frac{\Theta C_{D}}{\Theta \alpha} - Y_{pF} (110.19\cos\alpha + 11.32\sin\alpha)/263.8$ 

#### APPENDIX A.4

### DERIVATIVES DUE TO RATE OF YAW

- 1. Side Force Due to Rate of Yaw
- 2. Rolling Moment Due to Rate of Yaw
- 3. Yawing Moment Due to Rate of Yaw

#### Appendix A.4

#### DERIVATIVES DUE TO RATE OF YAW

The derivatives due to rate of yaw are estimated in this appendix. Their derivation is based on ESDU Data Sheets. All the derivatives are expressed in stability axes.

1. <u>Side Force Derivatives Due to Rate of Yaw</u> (Y<sub>r</sub>)

$$Y_r = \frac{\Theta Y}{\Theta r} / \frac{1}{2} \rho V_T Sb$$

1.1 Contribution of Body to Side Force Derivative
 Due to\_Rate of\_Yaw\_(YrB) (Item 83026)

 $Y_{rB} = -0.04 \frac{S_{bs}^{1}b}{Sb}$  therefore (Apcs A.7 and A.8):  $Y_{rB} = -0.0109$ 

1.2 Contribution of Fin to Side Force Derivative Due to Rate of Yaw (YrF) (Item 82017)

$$Y_{rF} = -[Y_{vF}]_{J_W} = 1 (\overline{I}_F \cos \alpha + \overline{z}_F \sin \alpha)/b$$

From Apx A.2 (Section 1.2),  $[Y_{vF}] = -0.2164$ . Then,  $Y_{rF}$  becomes (Apcs A.7 and A.8):

Y<sub>rF</sub> = 0.2164(109.51cosa+8.87sina)/263.8

1.3 <u>Estimation of Side Force Derivative Due to Rate of Yaw</u> <u>for Complete Aircraft</u> (Item 84002)

$$Y_r = Y_{rB} + Y_{rF}$$

Y<sub>r</sub> = -0.0109 + 0.2164(109.51cosa + 8.87sina)/263.8)

2. Rolling Moment Derivatives Due to Rate of Yaw (  $L_r$  )

 $L_r = \frac{\theta L}{\theta r} / \frac{1}{2} \rho V_T Sb^2$ 

2.1 <u>Effect\_of Wing\_on Rolling Moment\_Derivative</u> <u>Due\_to\_Rate\_of\_Yaw\_(L\_rW</u>) (Item 72021)

$$L_{rW} = L_{rp} + L_{r\Gamma} + L_{r\epsilon} + L_{rf}$$

where:

$$L_{rp}$$
 : due to wing planform  
 $L_{r\Gamma}$  : due to dihedral ( $L_{r\Gamma}$  = 0)  
 $L_{r\epsilon}$  : due to wing twist  
 $L_{rf}$  : due to flaps ( $L_{rf}$  = 0, flaps not deflected)

$$\frac{L_{rp}}{C_{L}} = \frac{1}{g(\Lambda_{\frac{1}{4}})} \frac{L_{rp}}{C_{L}} * g(\Lambda_{\frac{1}{4}})$$

$$\frac{1}{g(\Lambda_{\frac{1}{4}})} \frac{L_{rp}}{C_{L}} = 0.1219 \quad (\text{Item 72021 Fig. 1a})$$
Hence  $L_{rp} = 0.1243C_{L}$ 

$$g(\Lambda_{\frac{1}{4}}) = 1.02 \quad (\text{Item 72021 Fig. 1b})$$

$$\frac{L_{r\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} = -0.00185 / degree$$

Then, for  $g(\Lambda_{\frac{1}{4}}) = 1.02$  and  $\varepsilon = 1^{\circ}$  washout,  $L_{r\varepsilon} = -0.00189$ 

$$L_{rW} = 0.1243C_{L} - 0.00189$$

where  $C_L$  is the wing lift coefficient

2.2 <u>Contribution of Fin to Rolling Moment Derivative</u> <u>Due to Rate of Yaw (L<sub>rF</sub>) (Item 82017)</u>

$$L_{rF} = Y_{rF}(\bar{z}_{F}\cos\alpha - \bar{l}_{F}\sin\alpha)/b$$

So, from Apx A.8

2.3 <u>Estimation of Rolling Moment Derivative Due to Rate of Yaw</u> <u>for Comlpete Aircraft</u> (Item 84002)

$$L_r = L_{rW} + L_{rF}$$
 so:

 $L_r = -0.00189 + 0.1243C_L + Y_{rF}(8.87\cos\alpha - 109.51\sin\alpha)/263.8$ 

$$N_r = \frac{\Theta N}{\Theta r} / \frac{1}{2} \rho V_T Sb^2$$

3.1 <u>Contribution of Body to Yawing Moment Derivative</u> <u>Due to Rate of Yaw (NrB</u>)(Item 83026)

$$N_{rB} = -0.01 \frac{1_b^2 S_{bS}}{b^2 S} \quad \text{therefore (Apx A.7):}$$

$$\boxed{N_{rB} = -0.0022}$$

3.2 <u>Effect\_of Wing\_on Yawing Moment Derivative</u> <u>Due\_to\_Rate\_of\_Yaw\_(N\_rW</u>) (Item 71017)

$$N_{rW} = \frac{N_{r_{0}}}{C_{D_{0}}}C_{D_{0}} + \frac{N_{r_{v}}}{C_{L}^{2}}C_{L}^{2}$$

$$A = 7.48, \ \lambda = 0.87, \ \Lambda_{\frac{1}{4}} = 1.66^{0}$$

$$(^{N}r_{o} / ^{C}D_{o})_{\lambda=1} = -0.168 \ (\text{Item 71017 Fig. 1a})$$

$$\frac{N_{r_{0}}}{C_{D_{0}}} = -0.1621$$

$$\frac{(^{N}r_{o} / ^{C}D_{o})_{\lambda=0.87}}{(^{N}r_{o} / ^{C}D_{o})_{\lambda=1}} = 0.9675 \ (\text{Item 71017 Fig. 1b})$$

$$\binom{N_{r_v} / C_L^2}{\lambda = 0.5} = -0.008 \text{ (Item 71017 Fig. 2c)}$$
  
 $\binom{N_{r_v} / C_L^2}{\lambda = 1} = -0.01 \text{ (Item 71017 Fig. 2c)}$   $\frac{N_{r_v}}{C_L^2} = -0.009$ 

$$N_{rW} = -0.1621C_{D_0} - 0.009C_L^2$$

and  $C_L$ : wing lift coefficient  $C_D_o$ : wing drag coefficient at zero lift

Hence

3.3 <u>Contribution of Fin to Yawing Moment Derivative</u> <u>Due to Rate of Yaw (NrF)</u> (Item 82017)

$$N_{rF} = -Y_{rF}(\bar{I}_{F}\cos\alpha + \bar{z}_{F}\sin\alpha)/b$$

So, from Apx A.8:

3.4 <u>Estimation of Yawing Moment Derivative Due to Yaw Rate</u> <u>for Complete Aircraft</u> (Item 84002)

$$N_r = N_{rB} + N_{rW} + N_{rF}$$

 $N_r = -0.0022 - 0.1621C_{D_o} - 0.009C_L^2 - Y_{rF}(109.51\cos\alpha + 8.87\sin\alpha)/263.8$ 

### APPENDIX A.5

### DERIVATIVES DUE TO AILERON DEFLECTION

1. Rolling Moment Due to Aileron

2. Yawing Moment Due to Aileron

#### Appendix A.5

#### DERIVATIVES DUE TO AILERON DEFLECTION

The rolling moment and yawing moment derivatives of X-RAE1 due to aileron deflection are given in this appendix. The side force due to aileron deflection is assumed negligible. All the derivatives are expressed in stability axes.



1. Rolling Moment Derivative Due to Aileron Deflection ( $L_{\xi}$ )

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \xi} = -\rho V_T^2 \frac{\partial C_L}{\partial \xi} c \int_{y \, dy}^{b_f/2} y \, dy$$

$$\frac{b_i/2}{b_i/2}$$
Then,  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \xi} = -\frac{1}{2} \rho V_T^2 \ 0.5626$ 

$$\frac{\partial C_L}{\partial \xi} = 1.79 \ /rad \quad (Apx \ A.9)$$

$$L_{\xi} \stackrel{\text{f}}{=} \frac{\Theta L}{\Theta \xi} / \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{T}^{2} Sb = -0.2291 / rad$$

# 2. Yawing Moment Derivative Due to Aileron Deflection ( $N_E$ )

The yawing moment due to aileron deflection is caused by the difference on drag between up and down aileron (only vortex drag is assumed). Then, the components that produce the yawing moment are:

<u>Starboard</u> :  $C_{LO}\Delta C_{L}/\pi A$ <u>Portboard</u> :  $-C_{LO}\Delta C_{L}/\pi A$ 

where:

$$\Delta C_{L} \triangleq \Delta L / \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{T}^{2} S = \frac{c(b_{f} - b_{i})}{S} \frac{\theta C_{L}}{\theta \xi} \xi \qquad (Fig. A.5-1)$$

Then:

$$\frac{\Theta N}{\Theta \xi} = \frac{1}{2} \rho V_T^2 S \frac{C_{LO} \Delta C_L}{\pi A} 21_n \quad (Fig. A.5-1)$$

$$I_n = (b_f + b_i)/4 \quad (Fig. A.5-1)$$

Therefore

$$N_{\xi} \stackrel{\text{\tiny $=$}}{=} \frac{\Theta N}{\Theta \xi} / \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{T}^{2} Sb = 0.0195 C_{Lo} / rad$$

where  $C_{LO}$ , lift coefficient about which the variation in lift coefficient due to aileron deflection takes place.

### APPENDIX A.6

### DERIVATIVES DUE TO RUDDER DEFLECTION

- 1. Side Force Due to Rudder
- 2. Rolling Moment Due to Rudder
- 3. Yawing Moment Due to Rudder
## Appendix A.6

### DERIVATIVES DUE TO RUDDER DEFLECTION

The aerodynamic derivatives of X-RAE1 due to rudder deflection are estimated in this appendix. All the derivatives are given in body axes.

# 1. Side Force Due to Rudder ( $Y_{\zeta}$ )

If wing, body and tailplane interference is assummed, the side force derivative due to rudder deflection can be expressed as:

$$\frac{\Theta Y}{\Theta \zeta} = J_B J_T J_W \frac{\Theta C_{L_F}}{\Theta \zeta} \frac{1}{2} \rho V_T^2 S_F$$

where

 $\frac{\Theta C_{L_F}}{\Theta \zeta}$  : lift-curve slope of fin due to rudder deflection.

Then, according to Apcs A.8 and A.9,  $Y_{\mbox{\scriptsize C}}$  becomes:

$$Y_{\zeta} = \frac{\Theta Y}{\Theta \zeta} / \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{T}^{2} S = 0.1184 / rad$$

2. Rolling Moment Derivative Due to Rudder (  $L_{\zeta}$  )

$$\frac{\Theta L}{\Theta \zeta} = \frac{\Theta Y}{\Theta \zeta} \bar{z}_{F} \qquad \text{so, according to Apx A.8}$$
$$L_{\zeta} \stackrel{\text{\tiny $\frac{1}{2}$}}{\Theta \zeta} / \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{T}^{2} \text{Sb} = 0.00398 / \text{rad}$$

3. <u>Yawing Moment Derivative Due to Rudder</u> ( $N_{\zeta}$ )

$$\frac{\Theta N}{\Theta \zeta} = -\frac{\Theta Y}{\Theta \zeta} \overline{1}_{F} \qquad \text{so:} \qquad N_{\zeta} \triangleq \frac{\Theta N}{\Theta \zeta} / \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{T}^{2} \text{Sb} = -0.0492 \text{ /rad}$$

APPENDIX A.7

# XRAE-1 USEFUL DETAILS

1. X-RAE1 Geometry

WING WITH ROUNDED TIPS		
Area (S)	<b>0.9</b> 307 m	2
Span ( b )	2.638 m	
Mean Chord ( c )	0.353 m	
Aspect Ratio ( A )	7.48	
Sweepback of Quarter chord ( $\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}$ )	1.66 <sup>0</sup>	
Taper Ratio ( $\lambda$ )	0.87	
Distance of the Centre of Gravity from		
leading edge of mean chord 0.34c	= 0.121 m	
AILERON		
Span	0.7366 m	
Chord	0.055 m	
TAILPLANE		
Area (S <sub>+</sub> )	0.2576 m <sup>4</sup>	2
Span ( b <sub>+</sub> )	0.2575 m <sup>4</sup>	2
Mean Chord ( c <sub>t</sub> )	0.2995 m	
Tail Arm (1 <sub>t</sub> )		
(Distance of C.G. to tailplane		
mean quarter-chord)	1.182 m	
Tail Volume (S <sub>t</sub> l <sub>t</sub> /Sc)	0.932	
ELEVATOR		
Span	0.860 m	
Chord	0.063 m	

Table A.7-1 X-RAE1 geometry (Ref. 1)

# 2. <u>Centre of Gravity Nominal Position, Cross-Sectional Areas and</u> <u>Side Elevation Area</u>

2.1 <u>C.G. Position and Useful Cross-Sectional Areas</u> (Item 73006)

The centre of gravity is assumed to be the centroid of the cross-section through the longitudinal position of it (0.34c aft of leading edge of mean chord).





<u>Fig. A.7-2</u> Maximum cross-sectional area (S<sub>bm</sub>)

 $S_{bm} = 436.26 \text{ cm}^2$ 



<u>Fig. A.7-3</u> Equivalent elliptical area ( $S_{BB}$ )

$$S_{BB} = 383.5 \text{ cm}^2$$
  
H =  $\frac{4}{\pi W} S_{BB} = 32.99 \text{ cm}$ 

2.2 <u>Side\_Elevation\_Area</u> (Item 79006)



<u>Fig. A.7-4</u> Side elevation area ( $S_{bs}$ )  $S_{bs} = 3187.65 \text{ cm}^2$ 

2.3 <u>Summary</u>

1

Body length ( 1 <sub>b</sub> )	210	Ст
Maximum cross-sectional area (S <sub>bm</sub> )	436.26	cm <sup>2</sup>
Equivalent height (H)	23.99	ст
Width (W)	14.8	ст
Lateral distance of C.G. from		
mean quarter-chord ( h <sub>o</sub> )	-4.6	Cm
Side elevation area (S <sub>bs</sub> )	3187.65	cm <sup>2</sup>

## REFERENCES

1. Trebble W. J. G.

"Low-Speed Wind-Tunnel Tests on a Full-Scale Unmanned Aircraft (X-RAE1)" Tech. Memo, AERO 2043, RAE, Aug. 1985.



C <sub>rF</sub>	40.0 cm
c <sub>tF</sub>	36.4 cm
$h_F = z_T$	27.2 cm
$h_{BF} = d_{BF}$	6.0 cm
<sup>z</sup> crF	5.0 cm
Λ <sub>1</sub> F	8.0 <sup>0</sup>
m <sub>E</sub>	107.9 cm
•	

Table A.8-1 Fin Characteristics

Then (Item 82010, Table A.8-1):

$$C_{L_{\alpha F}} \stackrel{\triangleq}{=} \frac{\Theta C_{L}}{\Theta \alpha} |_{Fin} = 1.88 / rad$$

## APPENDIX A.8

- 1. Lift-Curve Slope of Fin
- 2. Calculation of  $\boldsymbol{J}_{\boldsymbol{B}},\;\boldsymbol{J}_{\boldsymbol{T}}$  and  $\boldsymbol{J}_{\boldsymbol{W}}$
- 3. Centre of Pressure of Fin
   (for derivatives due to sideslip)
- 4. Centre of Pressure of Fin
   (for derivatives due to rate of roll)

2. <u>Calculation of</u>  $J_B$ ,  $J_T$  and  $J_W$  (Item 82010)

$$A_{F} = 1.35$$

$$h_{BF}/(h_{BF} + h_{F}) = 0.181$$

$$J_{B} = 0.7513$$

$$\frac{b_{t}}{h_{F}} = 3.16$$
(Table A.7-1)
$$J_{T} = 1.304$$

$$J_{T} = 1.304$$

 $\frac{z_W}{h_{BW}} = \frac{h_0}{H} = -0.139$  then  $J_W = 0.91$ 

3. <u>Centre of Pressure of Fin</u> (derivatives due to sideslip) (Item 82010)

$$\bar{z}_{F1} = 0.6h_F = 16.32 \text{ cm}$$
 (Item 82010)

Then

$$\bar{1}_{F} = m_{F} + 0.7\bar{z}_{F1} \tan \Lambda_{\frac{1}{4}F} = 109.51 \text{ cm} \text{ (Item 82010)}$$

$$\bar{z}_{F} = z_{crF} + 0.85\bar{z}_{F1} = 8.87 \text{ cm} \text{ (Item 82010)}$$

$$\bar{1}_{F} = 109.51 \text{ cm}$$

$$\bar{z}_{F} = 8.87 \text{ cm}$$

 <u>Centre of Pressure of Fin</u> (derivatives due to rate of roll) (Item 83006)

> $\bar{i}_{F}^{\star} = m_{F} + 0.6h_{F} \tan \Lambda_{\frac{1}{4}F} = 110.19 \text{ cm}$  (Item 83006)  $\bar{z}_{F}^{\star} = z_{crF} + 0.6h_{F} = 11.32$  (Item 83006)

ī*	=	110.19	cm
īz*	=	11.32	cm

## APPENDIX A.9

1. Lift-Curve Slope of Wing Due to Aileron Deflection.

2. Lift-Curve Slope of Fin Due to Rudder Deflection 1. <u>Lift-Curve Slope of Wing Due to Aileron Deflection</u> (Items W.01.01.05, C.01.01.03, C.01.01.04)

From Item W.01.01.05 (  $\log_{10}R = 5.87$ , out of range) and for trailing edge transition :

$$\frac{(\alpha_{1})_{o}}{(\alpha_{1})_{oT}} = 0.814 \qquad (1)$$
Where  $(\alpha_{1})_{oT} = \Theta C_{L}/\Theta \alpha$  for two-dimensional theoretical flow.  
Also:  
 $\frac{c_{f}}{c_{1}} = \frac{0.055}{0.357}$   
 $c_{f}$  : aileron chord  
 $c_{1}$  : wing local chord  
 $t/c = 0.141$   
 $(\alpha_{2})_{oT} = 3.225 \text{ (Item C.01.01.03)} (2)$ 

where  $(\alpha_2)_{oT} = \Theta C_L / \Theta \xi$  for two-dimensional theoretical flow.

Then from (1), (2) and Item C.01.01.03

$$\frac{(\alpha_2)_0}{(\alpha_2)_{0T}}$$
 = 0.67 so,  $(\alpha_2)_0$  = 2.16 /rad

 $\alpha_2 = \frac{\Theta C_L}{\Theta \xi} = (\alpha_2)_0 \text{ f (Item C.01.01.04)}$ f = 0.83 (Item C.01.01.04 no balance)

$$\alpha_2 \triangleq \frac{\Theta C_L}{\Theta \xi} = 1.79 / rad$$

2. Lift-Curve Slope of Fin Due to Rudder Deflection (Item 74011)

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{\Theta C_{L}}{\Theta \alpha} \right)_{FT} = 1.88 / rad \quad (Apx \ A.8)$$

$$\frac{c_{f}}{c} = 0.22$$

$$c_{f} = .092 \text{ m} : rudder \ chord$$

$$c = .42 \text{ m} : local \ fin \ chord$$

$$\frac{\Theta C_{L}}{\Theta \zeta} = 0.71 \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{\Theta C_{L}}{\Theta \alpha} \end{array}\right)_{FT} \quad (Item \ 74011)$$

Subscript T means theoretical value. Then:

$$\frac{\Theta C_{L}}{\Theta \zeta}$$
 = 1.13 /rad

# APPENDIX MI

# MOMENTS OF INERTIA OF X-RAE1

(rough estimation)

#### Appendix MI

### MOMENTS OF INERTIA OF X-RAE1

A rough estimation of the moments of inertia of X-RAE1 is given in this appendix. The RPV is assumed to consist of four parts (wing, tail, fin and body) with their masses uniformly distributed (Fig. MI.1). The mass and geometrical characteristics of each part are given in Table MI.1 and the positions of their centres of gravities w.r.t. body axes are shown in Table MI.2.

	Mass (Kgr)	Mean Chord (cm)	Span (cm)	Thickness (cm)
Wing	3.55	35.3	263.8	2.5
Tail	0.39	29.95	86.0	1.4
Fin	0.166	40.0	27.2	1.4
Body	11.434	Length (cm)	Radius (cm)	
		82.0		9.5

<u>Table MI.1</u> Assumed mass and geometry of wing,tail, fin and body of X-RAE1.

$x_{W} = -5.25$	$x_{T} = -122.225$	$x_{F} = -120.9$	$x_{B} = 7.709$
$z_{W} = -4.25$	$z_{\rm T} = -22.2$	$z_{F} = -8.6$	$z_{B}^{-} = 2.202$

<u>Table MI.2</u> C.G. positions of wing, tail, fin and body w.r.t. body axes.

Then the moments of inertia of X-RAE1 become:

$$I_x = 2.1678 \text{ Kgr m}^2$$
  
 $I_y = 1.6469 \text{ Kgr m}^2$   
 $I_z = 3.6962 \text{ Kgr m}^2$ 

Table MI.3 Moments of inertia of X-RAE1



# Fig. MI.1 Assumed geometry for the estimation of the moments of inertia of X-RAE1

# APPENDIX LA.1

STABILITY and CONTROL DERIVATIVES at 30 m/sec Longitudinal Model

## Appendix LA.1

# STABILITY and CONTROL DERIVATIVES at 30 m/sec Longitudinal Model

#### 1. Introduction

The procedure for the estimation of the longitudinal stability and control derivatives at 30 m/sec is given in this appendix.

 $C_L$ ,  $C_D$  and  $C_m$  are referred to, from wind-tunnel measurements, a nominal C.G. on the mean aerodynamic chord 0.34c aft of the leading edge. Therefore, the aerodynamic derivatives and the derivatives due to thrust are first evaluated in body axes through the forementioned nominal C.G. and they are transformed afterwards to body axes through the final C.G. (displaced downwards by 4.5 cm, Fig. LA.1-1)



Fig. LA.1-1 Nominal and final C.G.  $O_A$  : nominal C.G. O : final C.G.

Due to the eccentricity of the thrust axis a thrust moment exists which has to be balanced by an opposite and equal aerodynamic moment for steady-state flight. Therefore,  $C_m \neq 0$  at trim.

The trim values of the aerodynamic coefficients as they are derived from programme TRIM.FOR are given in Table LA.1-1.

ρ	Ŧ	1.225	Kgr/m <sup>3</sup>	α	=	-0.025	rad
g	=	9.80665	m/sec <sup>2</sup>	CL	=	0.298	
۷ <sub>T</sub>	=	30.0	m/sec	С <sub>D</sub>	=	0.026	
m	=	15.54	Kgr	Cm	=	0.008	
Іy	=	1.6469	Kgr m <sup>2</sup>	C <sub>D</sub> α	=	0.139	/rad

<u>Table LA.1-1</u> Trim values for the Linear Longitudinal model

2. <u>Aerodynamic Derivatives at 30 m/sec</u> (Derivatives due to thrust are not included)

The aerodynamic derivatives of X-RAE1 at 30 m/sec are presented in this section. The derivatives due to thrust are excluded.

2.1 <u>Aerodynamic Derivatives - Stability Axes</u>

(C.G. on the mean aerodynamic chord)

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{A\eta}^{1} = \mathbf{0} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{A\eta}^{1} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{V}_{T}^{2} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{C}_{L} \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_{A\eta}^{1} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{V}_{T}^{2} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{C}_{m}$$

2.2 <u>Aerodynamic Derivatives - Body Axes</u> (Item 67004) (C.G. on the mean aerodynamic chord)

$$\begin{split} \hat{x}^{2}_{Au} &= \hat{x}^{1}_{Au} \cos^{2} \alpha - (\hat{x}^{1}_{Aw} + \hat{z}^{1}_{Au}) \sin \alpha \cos \alpha + \hat{z}^{1}_{Aw} \sin^{2} \alpha \\ \hat{x}^{2}_{Aw} &= \hat{x}^{1}_{Aw} \cos^{2} \alpha + (\hat{x}^{1}_{Au} - \hat{z}^{1}_{Aw}) \sin \alpha \cos \alpha - \hat{z}^{1}_{Au} \sin \alpha \\ \hat{x}^{2}_{Aq} &= \hat{x}^{1}_{Aq} \cos \alpha - \hat{z}^{1}_{Aq} \sin \alpha \\ \hat{x}^{2}_{Aw} &= \hat{x}^{1}_{Aw} \cos^{2} \alpha + (\hat{x}^{1}_{Au} - \hat{z}^{1}_{Aw}) \sin \alpha \cos \alpha - \hat{z}^{1}_{Au} \sin^{2} \alpha \\ \hat{z}^{2}_{Aw} &= \hat{z}^{1}_{Au} \cos^{2} \alpha - (\hat{z}^{1}_{Aw} - \hat{x}^{1}_{Au}) \sin \alpha \cos \alpha - \hat{x}^{1}_{Aw} \sin^{2} \alpha \\ \hat{z}^{2}_{Au} &= \hat{z}^{1}_{Au} \cos^{2} \alpha + (\hat{z}^{1}_{Au} + \hat{x}^{1}_{Au}) \sin \alpha \cos \alpha - \hat{x}^{1}_{Au} \sin^{2} \alpha \\ \hat{z}^{2}_{Aw} &= \hat{z}^{1}_{Aw} \cos^{2} \alpha + (\hat{z}^{1}_{Au} + \hat{x}^{1}_{Aw}) \sin \alpha \cos \alpha + \hat{x}^{1}_{Au} \sin^{2} \alpha \\ \hat{z}^{2}_{Aq} &= \hat{z}^{1}_{Aq} \cos^{2} \alpha + (\hat{z}^{1}_{Au} + \hat{x}^{1}_{Aw}) \cos \alpha \sin \alpha + \hat{x}^{1}_{Au} \sin^{2} \alpha \\ \hat{z}^{2}_{Aq} &= \hat{z}^{1}_{Aq} \cos \alpha - \hat{M}^{1}_{Aw} \sin \alpha \\ \hat{z}^{2}_{Aq} &= \hat{M}^{1}_{Au} \cos \alpha - \hat{M}^{1}_{Aw} \sin \alpha \\ \hat{M}^{2}_{Au} &= \hat{M}^{1}_{Au} \cos \alpha - \hat{M}^{1}_{Au} \sin \alpha \\ \hat{M}^{2}_{Aq} &= \hat{M}^{1}_{Aq} \\ \hat{M}^{2}_{Aw} &= \hat{M}^{1}_{Aq} \cos \alpha - \hat{z}^{1}_{A\eta} \sin \alpha \\ \hat{X}^{2}_{Aq} &= \hat{M}^{1}_{Aq} \cos \alpha - \hat{z}^{1}_{A\eta} \sin \alpha \\ \hat{z}^{2}_{Aq} &= \hat{z}^{1}_{A\eta} \cos \alpha - \hat{z}^{1}_{A\eta} \sin \alpha \\ \hat{z}^{2}_{Aq} &= \hat{z}^{1}_{A\eta} \cos \alpha - \hat{z}^{1}_{A\eta} \sin \alpha \\ \hat{z}^{2}_{Aq} &= \hat{M}^{1}_{A\eta} \\ \hat{z}^{2}_{A\eta} &= \hat{M}^{1}_{A\eta} \cos \alpha + \hat{M}^{1}_{A\eta} \sin \alpha \\ \hat{z}^{2}_{A\eta} &= \hat{M}^{1}_{A\eta} \cos \alpha + \hat{z}^{1}_{A\eta} \sin \alpha \\ \hat{z}^{2}_{A\eta} &= \hat{M}^{1}_{A\eta} \cos \alpha + \hat{z}^{1}_{A\eta} \sin \alpha \\ \hat{z}^{2}_{A\eta} &= \hat{M}^{1}_{A\eta} \\ \hat{z}^{2}_{A\eta} &= \hat{Z}^{2}_{A\eta} \\ \hat{z}^{2}_{A\eta} &= \hat{Z}^{1}_{A\eta} \\ \hat{z}^{2}_$$

- 137 -

3. <u>Derivatives Due to Thrust - Body Axes</u> (C.G. on the mean aerodynamic chord)

 $\frac{\Theta T}{\Theta V} = -0.011 V_{T} \qquad (Apx A.1)$  $\frac{\Theta T}{\Theta \delta_{T}} = 26.7154 \qquad (Apx A.1)$ 

Then:

$$\begin{split} & \tilde{X}_{Tu}^{2} = \frac{\Theta T}{\Theta V} \cos \alpha = -0.011 V_{T} \cos \alpha \\ & \tilde{X}_{Tw}^{2} = \frac{\Theta T}{\Theta V} \sin \alpha = -0.011 V_{T} \sin \alpha \\ & \tilde{M}_{Tu}^{2} = X_{Tu}^{2} (e_{T} + k) = -0.011 V_{T} (-0.115) \cos \alpha \quad (Fig. LA.1-1) \\ & \tilde{M}_{Tw}^{2} = X_{Tw}^{2} (e_{T} + k) = -0.011 V_{T} (-0.115) \cos \alpha \quad (Fig. LA.1-1) \\ & \tilde{X}_{\delta_{T}}^{2} = \frac{\Theta T}{\Theta \delta_{T}} = 26.7154 \\ & \tilde{M}_{\delta_{T}}^{2} = \frac{\Theta T}{\Theta \delta_{T}} (e_{T} + k) = 26.7154 (-0.115) \end{split}$$

4. <u>Stability and Control Derivatives - Body Axes</u> (C.G. on the mean aerodynamic chord)

$$\hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\eta} = \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{A\eta}^{2}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{\eta} = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{A\eta}^{2}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\eta} = \hat{\mathbf{M}}_{A\eta}^{2}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\delta_{T}} = \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\delta_{T}}^{2}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\delta_{T}} = \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\delta_{T}}^{2}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\delta_{T}} = \hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\delta_{T}}^{2}$$

5. Stability and Control Derivatives - Body Axes (Item 67004)
 (C.G. displaced laterally by k = 0.045 m)

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{w}} &= \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{w}}^{\prime} \\ \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{w}} &= \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{w}}^{\prime} \\ \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{w}} &= \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{w}}^{\prime} \\ \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{q}} &= \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{w}}^{\prime} \\ \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{w}} &= \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{w}}^{\prime} \\ \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{w}} &= \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{w}}^{\prime} \\ \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{\mathbf{w}} &= \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{\mathbf{w}}^{\prime} \\ \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{\mathbf{w}} &= \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{\mathbf{w}}^{\prime} \\ \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{\mathbf{w}} &= \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{\mathbf{w}}^{\prime} \\ \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{\mathbf{w}} &= \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{\mathbf{w}}^{\prime} \\ \hat{\mathbf{W}}_{\mathbf{w}} &= \hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{w}}^{\prime} - k\hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{w}}^{\prime} \\ \hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{w}} &= \hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{w}}^{\prime} - k\hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{w}}^{\prime} \\ \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{\eta}} &= \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{\eta}}^{\prime} \\ \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{\eta}} &= \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{\eta}}^{\prime} \\ \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{\eta}} &= \hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{\eta}}^{\prime} - k\hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{\eta}}^{\prime} \\ \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{\eta}} &= \hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{\eta}}^{\prime} - k\hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{\eta}}^{\prime} \\ \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{\eta}} &= \hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{\eta}}^{\prime} - k\hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{\eta}}^{\prime} \end{split}$$

6. Normalised Longitudinal Derivatives at 30 m/sec - Body Axes

X,	=	xื <sub>⊥</sub> ∕m	=	-0.097
X	=	<b>៓</b> ៶៸៳	=	0.037
X	=		=	-0.019
X <sub>ŵ</sub>	=	ᡭ <sub>₩</sub> /m	=	-0.00044
Zu	=	Žu/m	=	-0.789
Z	=	Ž <sub>w</sub> /m	=	-5.496
Z	=	Ž <sub>a</sub> /m	=	-0.902
Z <sub>w</sub>	=	Ž <sub>w</sub> /m	=	-0.018
M	4	Ň <sub>u</sub> /I	=	0.029
M	=	M <sub>w</sub> ∕I <sub>v</sub>	=	-3.865
M	=	M <sup>°</sup> , I ∕	=	-12.381
M. Ŵ	=	Ň <sub>ŵ</sub> ∕Iy	=	-0.201
X n	=	x <sub>n</sub> ∕m	=	-0.397
Z'n	=	Ž <sub>n</sub> /m	=	-16.172
м <sub>́</sub> л	=	<sup>™</sup> ŋ/Iy	=	-179.079
χ <sub>δτ</sub>	=	ັ້λ <sub>δ⊤</sub> /m	=	1.719
M <sub>δ</sub> T	=	<sup>β</sup> δ <sub>T</sub> /I <sub>y</sub>	=	-2.595
•		•		

All values are outputs from programme RPVDER.FOR

# APPENDIX LA.2

STABILITY and CONTROL DERIVATIVES at 30 m/sec Lateral Model

## Appendix LA.2

# STABILITY and CONTROL DERIVATIVES at 30 m/sec Lateral Model

## 1. Introduction

The aerodynamic stability and control derivatives of the lateral model of X-RAE1 at 30 m/sec are given in this appendix. They are first estimated in stability axes (Apcs A.2 to A.5) and transformed afterwards in body axes.

# 2. <u>Stability and Control Derivatives - Stability Axes</u>

Ŷ	$=\frac{1}{2}\rho V_{T}SY_{V}$		
Ĺ	$=\frac{1}{2}\rho V_{T}SbL_{v}$	Apx	A.2
Ňv	$=\frac{1}{2} p V_T S b N_V$		
Ŷ́p	$=\frac{1}{2}\rho V_{T}SbY_{p}$		
Ľp	$= \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{\rm T} {\rm Sb}^2 L_{\rm p}$	Apx	A.3
Ñp	$=\frac{1}{2}\rho V_{T}Sb^{2}N_{p}$		
Ŷŕ	$=\frac{1}{2}\rho V_{T}SbY_{r}$		
Ê,	$= \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{T} S b^{2} L_{r}$	Арх	A.4
Ñŕ	$= \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{T} S b^{2} N_{r}$		
Ľξ	$=\frac{1}{2}\rho V_T^2 SbL_{\xi}$	Арх	A.5
Ňξ	$=\frac{1}{2}\rho V_{T}^{2}SbN_{\xi}$	•	

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{v} &= \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{v}' \\ \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{p} &= \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{p}'\cos\alpha - \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{p}'\sin\alpha \\ \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{r} &= \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{r}'\cos\alpha + \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{p}'\sin\alpha \\ \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{v} &= \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{v}'\cos\alpha - \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{v}'\sin\alpha \\ \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{p} &= \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{p}'\cos^{2}\alpha + \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{r}'\sin^{2}\alpha - (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{r}' + \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{p}')\sin\alpha\cos\alpha \\ \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{r} &= \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{r}'\cos^{2}\alpha - \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{p}'\sin^{2}\alpha + (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{p}' + \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{r}')\sin\alpha\cos\alpha \\ \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{v} &= \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{v}'\cos\alpha + \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{v}'\sin\alpha \\ \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{p} &= \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{p}'\cos^{2}\alpha - \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{r}'\sin^{2}\alpha + (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{p}' - \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{r}')\sin\alpha\cos\alpha \\ \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{r} &= \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{r}'\cos^{2}\alpha + \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{p}'\sin^{2}\alpha + (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{p}' - \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{r}')\sin\alpha\cos\alpha \\ \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{\xi} &= \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{\xi}\cos\alpha - \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{\xi}\sin\alpha \\ \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{\xi} &= \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{r}'\cos\alpha + \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{\xi}'\sin\alpha \\ \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{\xi} &= \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{r}'\cos\alpha + \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{\xi}'\sin\alpha \\ \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{\xi} &= \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{\xi}\cos\alpha + \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{\xi}'\sin\alpha \\ \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{\xi} &= \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{\xi}\cos\alpha + \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{\xi}'\sin\alpha \\ \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{\xi} &= \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{\xi}\cos\alpha + \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{\xi}'\sin\alpha \\ \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{\xi} &= \frac{1}{2}\rho V_{T}^{2}SV_{\zeta} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{\zeta} &= \frac{1}{2}\rho V_{T}^{2}Sb_{\zeta} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{\tau} &= \frac{1}{2}\rho V_{T}^{2}Sb_{\zeta} \\ \end{array} \right|$$

- 142 -

# 4. Normalised Lateral Derivatives at 30 m/sec

$$Y_{v} = \tilde{Y}_{v}/m = -0.336$$

$$Y_{p} = \tilde{Y}_{p}/m = 0.175$$

$$Y_{r} = \tilde{Y}_{r}/m = 0.224$$

$$L_{v} = \tilde{L}_{v}/I_{x} = -0.414$$

$$L_{p} = \tilde{L}_{p}/I_{x} = -13.360$$

$$L_{r} = \tilde{L}_{r}^{r}/I_{x} = 2.412$$

$$N_{v} = \tilde{N}_{v}/I_{z} = 0.558$$

$$N_{p} = \tilde{N}_{p}/I_{z} = -0.622$$

$$N_{r} = \tilde{N}_{r}/I_{z} = -1.426$$

$$L_{\xi} = \tilde{L}_{\xi}/I_{x} = -142.902$$

$$N_{\xi} = \tilde{N}_{\xi}/I_{z} = 4.182$$

$$Y_{\zeta} = \tilde{N}_{\zeta}/I_{z} = 3.909$$

$$L_{\zeta} = \tilde{L}_{\zeta}/I_{x} = -18.015$$

All values are outputs from the programme RPVDER.FOR

# APPENDIX DE

# DISCRETISATION OF A CONTINUOUS TIME SYSTEM

## Appendix DE

## DISCRETISATION OF A CONTINUOUS TIME SYSTEM

The discrete equivalent of a continuous time system is presented in this appendix. If the continuous time system is expressed in the state space form, ie:

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$
  
$$y(t) = Cx(t) + Dx(t)$$

and samples are taken every  ${\sf T}$  secs, the equivalent discrete system is:

$$\underline{x}(n+1) = A_d \underline{x}(n) + B_d \underline{u}(n)$$
  
 $\underline{y}(n) = C_d \underline{x}(n) + D_d \underline{u}(n)$ 

where:  $\underline{x}(n) = \underline{x}(nT)$ ,  $\underline{y}(n) = \underline{y}(nT)$ ,  $\underline{y}(n) = \underline{y}(nT)$  and

 $A_{d} = \exp(AT) \approx I + AT \quad (if T reasonably small)$  $B_{d} = \begin{bmatrix} T \\ exp(A\tau)d\tau \end{bmatrix} B \approx BT \quad (if T reasonably small)$  $C_{d} = C$  $D_{d} = D$ 

# APPENDIX PI.1

.

# PARAMETER IDENTIFICATION Longitudinal Model

#### Appendix PI.1

PARAMETER IDENTIFICATION Longitudinal Model

## 1. Introduction

The matrices F, H and Q of the EKF algorithm for the identification of the longitudinal model of X-RAE1 are given in this appendix. The system to be identified is of the form (Ch. 4 page 58):

$$\underline{x}^{*}(n+1) = \underline{f}(\underline{x}^{*}(n), \eta(n)) + \Gamma(\underline{x}^{*}(n))\underline{w}(n)$$
  
y(n) = \underline{h}(\underline{x}^{\*}(n), \eta(n)) + \underline{v}(n)

The EKF algorithm that is used is the one presented in Ch. 4. The only difference is the way in which the error covariance matrix is updated. The expression for  $P_n$  is:

$$P_{n} = [I - J_{n}H_{n}]P_{n/n-1}[I - J_{n}H_{n}]^{T} + J_{n}RJ_{n}^{T}$$
(1)

This formula is equivalent to that appearing in Eqns 4.5 but it is preferable for the following two reasons (Ref. 1):

- 1. The right hand-side of Eqn 1. is the sum of two positive definite matrices. As a consequence, is better conditioned for numerical computation and will tend to retain more faithfully the positive definiteness and symmetry of  $P_n$ .
- 2. To first order, is insensitive to errors in the filter gain and is to be preferred in numerical computations.

## 2. The F and H Matrices

The F matrix is computed by the form:

$$F = \frac{\theta f}{\theta x} *$$

Then, according to the f expression of the longitudinal model (Ch. 4 page 59), the matrix F becomes:

 $F_{3,1} = T_s x_{10}$  $F_{1.1} = 1 + T_s x_5$  $F_{3,2} = T_s x_{11}$  $F_{1,2} = T_{s}x_{6}$  $F_{3,3} = 1 + T_s x_{12}$  $F_{1,3} = 0.704T_s$  $F_{1,4} = -9.804T_{s}$  $F_{3,4} = -0.047T_{s}$  $F_{1.5} = T_{s}x_{1}$  $F_{3.10} = T_s x_1$  $F_{3,11} = T_{s}x_{2}$  $F_{1.6} = T_{s} x_{2}$  $F_{3.12} = T_s x_3$  $F_{2.1} = T_s x_7$  $F_{3.13} = T_{s} \eta$  $F_{2,2} = 1 + T_s x_8$  $F_{2.3} = 28.575T_s$  $F_{4,3} = T_s$  $F_{2.4} = 0.236T_{s}$  $F_{4.4} = 1$  $F_{2,7} = T_s x_1$  $F_{2.8} = T_{s}x_{2}$  $F_{2,9} = T_s \eta$ 

All the other elements of matrix F are zero.

The matrix H is given by the form:

 $H = \frac{\theta b}{\theta \chi} \star$ Therefore,  $H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & . & . & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  (Ch. 4 page )

3. The Covariance Matrix Q

An estimate of the covariance matrix Q of the variation of the aerodynamic derivatives is given in this section. The Q matrix is used only when the system is free of measurement noise to move the estimates to their correct values.

The following procedure is applied for the estimation of the diagonal elements of the Q matrix:

- 147 -

$$u_{min} = 20 \text{ m/sec}$$

$$u_{max} = 35 \text{ m/sec}$$

$$\alpha_{max} = 10^{\circ} = 0.175 \text{ rad}$$

$$w_{max} = u_{max} \tan \alpha_{max} = 6.188 \text{ m/sec}$$

$$\eta_{max} = 30^{\circ} = 0.524 \text{ rad}$$

$$\dot{w}_{max} = 3g$$

$$q_{max} = \dot{w}_{max}/u_{min} = 1.471 \text{ rad/sec}$$

Then, using the nominal values of the derivatives (Table 4.1), we have:

. .

$$\frac{Z-equation of motion}{(u-force)_{max}} = Z_u u_{max} = 27.125$$
$$(w-force)_{max} = Z_w w_{max} = 33.409$$
$$(\eta-force)_{max} = Z_\eta \eta_{max} = 8.325$$

# M-equation of motion

$$(u-moment)_{max} = M_u u_{max} = 6.475$$
  
 $(w-moment)_{max} = M_w w_{max} = 17.215$   
 $(q-moment)_{max} = M_q q_{max} = 26.650$   
 $(\eta-moment)_{max} = M_\eta \eta_{max} = 92.166$ 

Each diagonal element  $\boldsymbol{q}_k$  of the covariance matrix is then given by the form: 2 k]

$$q_k = E [w_k^2]$$

••

. .

where:

 $w_k$  is the kth output of the filter shown in Fig. PI.1-1 and  $w_{c_k}$  is noise with covariance  $E^{\frac{1}{2}}[w_{c_k}^2] = 10\%$  of the corresponding force or moment, ie:  $E^{\frac{1}{2}}[w_{c_1}^2] = 10\% X_u u_{max} = 0.340$  $E^{\frac{1}{2}}[w_{c_1}^2] = 10\% X_w w_{max} = 0.024$ 

$$E^{\frac{1}{2}}[w_{c_{2}}^{2}] = 10\% X_{w}w_{max} = 0.024$$

$$E^{\frac{1}{2}}[w_{c_{3}}^{2}] = 10\% Z_{u}u_{max} = 2.713$$

$$E^{\frac{1}{2}}[w_{c_{4}}^{2}] = 10\% Z_{w}w_{max} = 3.341$$

$$E^{\frac{1}{2}}[w_{c_{5}}^{2}] = 10\% Z_{\eta}\eta_{max} = 0.833$$

$$E^{\frac{1}{2}}[w_{c_{5}}^{2}] = 10\% M_{u}u_{max} = 0.648$$

$$E^{\frac{1}{2}}[w_{c_{7}}^{2}] = 10\% M_{w}w_{max} = 1.722$$

$$E^{\frac{1}{2}}[w_{c_{8}}^{2}] = 10\% M_{q}q_{max} = 2.665$$

$$E^{\frac{1}{2}}[w_{c_{9}}^{2}] = 10\% M_{\eta}\eta_{max} = 9.217$$



Fig. PI.1-1 Arrangement for the estimation of the diagonal elements of Q

Then, (Ref. 2):

$$q_{1} = 0.5$$

$$q_{2} = 0.002$$

$$q_{3} = 30.0$$

$$q_{4} = 45.0$$

$$q_{5} = 3.0$$

$$q_{6} = 2.0$$

$$q_{7} = 12.0$$

$$q_{8} = 29.0$$

$$q_{9} = 340.0$$

All the other elements of the covariance matrix Q are zero.

## 4. Derivative Estimates

The estimates of the longitudinal derivatives are given in this section.

Figs PI.1-2 to PI.1-9 are the estimates for a square wave as elevator input (period 0.1 sec and amplitude 0.005rad).

Figs PI.1-10 to PI.1-17 are the estimates for a multistep as elevator input (period 0.35 sec and amplitude 0.005 rad).

### REFERENCES

1. Jazwinski A. H.

"Stochastic Processes and Filtering Theory" Academic Press, 1970.

2. Papoulis A.

"Probability, Random Variables and Stochastic Processes" McGraw-Hill Kogakusha, Ltd., 1965.



- 150 -

NX

- 101 -



<u>Fig. PI.1-5</u>  $z_w$  estimate (square wave input)



# Fig. PI.1-7 m estimate (square wave input)

- 152 -

2


<u>Fig. PI.1-9</u>  $m_{\eta}$  estimate (square wave input)

- 153 -



Ş





- 154 -



Fig. PI.1-13 z<sub>w</sub> estimate (multistep input)

- 155 -



- 156 -



# APPENDIX PI.2

## PARAMETER IDENTIFICATION Lateral Model

Appendix PI.2

PARAMETER IDENTIFICATION Lateral Model

1. F and H Matrices of the Lateral Model

The F and H matrices of the lateral model of X-RAE1 (Ch.4 page 63) are presented in this appendix. They are derived in a similar way to the F and H matrices of the longitudinal model.

The non-zero elements of the F matrix are:

$F_{1,1} = 1 + T_s x_5$	$F_{3,1} = T_s x_{11}$
$F_{1,2} = -0.561T_s$	$F_{3,2} = T_s x_{12}$
$F_{1,3} = -29.767T_s$	$F_{3,3} = 1 + T_s x_{13}$
$F_{1,4} = 9.804T_{s}$	$F_{3,11} = T_s x_1$
$F_{1,5} = T_s x_1$	$F_{3,12} = T_s x_2$
$F_{1,6} = T_{s}u_{2}$	$F_{3,13} = T_s x_3$
	$F_{3,14} = T_{s}u_{2}$
$F_{2,1} = T_s x_7$	
$F_{2,2} = 1 + T_s x_8$	$F_{4,2} = T_{s}$
$F_{2,3} = T_s x_9$	$F_{4,3} = -0.025T_{s}$
$F_{2,7} = T_s x_1$	
$F_{2,8} = T_s x_2$	
$F_{2,9} = T_s x_3$	
$F_{2,10} = T_{s}u_{1}$	

The H matrix is:

H =	Γο	1	0	0	0	•	•	•	0	
	0	0	1	0	0	•	•	•	0	

APPENDIX S

<u>SOFTWARE</u> Programme listings

```
PROGRAM RPVDER
  DIMENSION X(11), Y(11), AB(4,4), BB(4,2)
  REAL LF1, LF2, MASS, IX, IY, IZ, K
  REAL MUS, MWS, MQS, MWDS, MNS, MUB, MWB, MQB, MWDB, MNB, MTHB
  REAL MU.MW.MQ.MWD.MN.MTH
  REAL LVS, NVS, LPS, NPS, LRS, NRS, LXS, NXS
  REAL LV,NV,LP,NP,LR,NR,LX,NX,LZ,NZ
  DATA (X(I), I=1,11)/0.,0.025,0.05,0.075,0.1,0.125,0.15,
     0.175.0.2.0.225.0.25/
    ¥
  DATA (Y(I), I=1,11)/0.,0.036,0.075,0.114,0.156,0.2,0.25,
    * 0.295,0.345,0.4,0.45/
  OPEN (FILE= 'RPVDER', STATUS= 'NEW', UNIT=7)
C
  RPV GEOMETRY
C***********************
  5=.9307
  B=2.638
  C=.353
  AR=7.48
  ET=.16
  HA=.045
  ZF1=.0887
  LF1=1.0951
  ZF2=.1132
  LF2=1.1019
*******
  RPV MASS & INERTIA CHARACTERISTICS
**********************
  MASS=15.54
  IX=2.1678
  IY=1.6469
  IZ=3.6962
 RPV STABILITY & CONTROL DERIVATIVES
 CLOW=.42
  CLAW=4.53
  CL0=.398
```

CLA=4.98 CLAD=2.78 CLQ=4.83 CLN=.49 CD0=.0227 K=.0514 CMO=.055 CMA=-1.05 CMAD=-9.32 CMQ=-19.15 CMN=-1.63 **}**\* CONSTANTS \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* R0=1.225 G=9.80665 PI=3.1415926 WRITE(6,110) FORMAT(1X,/,5X,'ENTER VT,ALPHA,EN'/) 10 READ(6,\*) VT,A,EN WRITE(7,120) VT 20 FORMAT(1X,/,5X,'STEADY STATE VELOCITY',F5.1,//) \*\*\*\*\* DERIVATIVES DUE TO THRUST \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* TV=-2.\*.0055\*VT TTH=26.7154 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* AERODYNAMIC COEFFICIENTS \*\*\*\*\* CLW=CLOW+CLAW\*A CL=CL0+CLA\*A+CLN\*EN CM=CMO+CMA\*A+CMN\*EN CD=CDO+K\*CLW\*CLW CDA=2.\*K\*CLW\*CLAW CDVD=2.\*CLW\*(K-1./(PI\*AR))\*CLAW\*PI/180.

```
*********************
 LONGITUDINAL AERODYNAMIC DERIVATIVES-STABILITY AXES
           (C.G. on the Mean Aerodynamic Chord)
XUS=-RO*VT*S*CD
 XWS=.5*RO*VT*S*(CL-CDA)
 XQ5=0.0
 XWDS=0.0
 ZUS=-RO*VT*S*CL
 ZWS=-.5*RO*VT*S*(CLA+CD)
 ZQS=-.25*R0*VT*S*C*CLQ
 ZWDS=-.25*R0*S*C*CLAD
 MUS=RO*VT*S*C*CM
 MWS=.5*RO*VT*S*C*CMA
 MQS=.25*RO*VT*S*C*C*CMQ
 MWDS=.25*RO*S*C*C*CMAD
 XNS=0.0
 ZNS=-.5*RO*VT*VT*S*CLN
 MNS=.5*RO*VT*VT*S*C*CMN
**********
 LONGITUDINAL DERIVATIVES-BODY AXES
      (C.G. on the Mean Aerodynamic Chord)
**********
 XUB=XUS*(COS(A))**2-(XWS+ZUS)*SIN(A)*COS(A)+ZWS*(SIN(A))**2
         +TV*COS(A)
   ¥.
 XWB=XWS*(COS(A))**2+(XUS-ZWS)*SIN(A)*COS(A)-ZUS*(SIN(A))**2
         +TV*SIN(A)
   ¥
 XQB = XQS + COS(A) - ZQS + SIN(A)
 XWDB=XWDS*(COS(A))**2-ZWDS*SIN(A)*COS(A)
 ZUB=ZUS*(COS(A))**2-(ZWS-XUS)*SIN(A)*COS(A)-XWS*(SIN(A))**2
 ZWB=ZWS*(COS(A))**2+(ZUS+XWS)*SIN(A)*COS(A)+XUS*(SIN(A))**2
 ZQB=ZQS*COS(A)+XQS*SIN(A)
 ZWDB=ZWDS*(COS(A))**2+XWDS*SIN(A)*COS(A)
 MUB=MUS*COS(A)-MWS*BIN(A)+TV*COS(A)*(HA-ET)
 MWB=MWS*COS(A)+MUS*SIN(A)+TV*SIN(A)*(HA-ET)
 MQB=MQS
 MWDB=MWDS*COS(A)
 XNB=XNS+COS(A)-ZNS+SIN(A)
 ZNB=ZNS*COS(A)+XNS*SIN(A)
 MNB=MNS
 XTHB=TTH
 MTHB=TTH* (HA-ET)
 LONGITUDINAL DERIVATIVES-BODY AXES
         (C.G. in Final Position)
 **************
```

- 162 -XU=XUB XW=XWB XQ=XQB-HA+XUB XWD = XWDBZU=ZUB ZW=ZWB ZQ=ZQB-HA+ZUB ZWD=ZWDB MU=MUB-HA+XUB MW=MWB-HA+XWB MQ=MQB-HA\*(XQB+MUB)+HA\*HA\*XUB MWD=MWDB-HA\*XWDB XN=XNB ZN=ZNB MN=MNB-HA+XNB XTH=XTHB MTH=MTHB-HA+XTHB \* NORMALISED LONGITUDINAL DERIVATIVES-BODY AXES (C.G in Final Position) \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* XU=XU/MASS XW=XW/MASS XQ=XQ/MASS XWD=XWD/MASS XN=XN/MA85 XTH=XTH/MASS ZU=ZU/MASS ZW=ZW/MASS ZQ=ZQ/MASS ZWD=ZWD/MASS ZN=ZN/MASS MU=MU/IY MW=MW/IY MQ=MQ/IY MWD=MWD/IY MN=MN/IY MTH=MTH/IY WRITE(7,10) XU,XW,XQ,XWD,XN,XTH FORMAT(1X,/,5X,'NORMALISED LONGITUDINAL DERIVATIVES-BODY AXES' ¥ ,2(1X/),5X,\* 'XU=',F8.3,2X,'XW=',F8.3,2X,'XQ=',F8.3,2X,'XWD=',F8.5,2X, \* 'XN=',F8.3,2X,'XTH=',F8.3) WRITE(7,20) ZU,ZW,ZQ,ZWD,ZN FORMAT (5X, 'ZU=', F8.3, 2X, 'ZW=', F8.3, 2X, 'ZQ=', F8.3, 2X, \* 'ZWD=',FB.3,2X,'ZN=',FB.3)
WRITE(7,30) MU,MW,MQ,MWD,MN,MTH FORMAT(5X,'MU=',F8.3,2X,'MW=',F8.3,2X,'MQ=',F8.3,2X,

```
- 163 -
```

\* 'MWD=',F8.3,2X,'MN=',F8.3,2X,'MTH=',F8.3///)

LONGITUDINAL SYSTEM MATRIX

UO=VT\*COS(A) WO=VT\*SIN(A)

3

AS(1,1)=XU+ZU\*XWD/(1-ZWD) AS(1,2)=XW+ZW\*XWD/(1-ZWD) AS(1,3)=XQ-WO+(ZQ+UO)\*XWD/(1-ZWD) AS(1,4)=-G\*COB(A)-G\*SIN(A)\*XWD/(1-ZWD)

AS(2,1) = ZU/(1-ZWD) AS(2,2) = ZW/(1-ZWD) AS(2,3) = (ZQ+UO)/(1-ZWD)AS(2,4) = -G\*SIN(A)/(1-ZWD)

AS(3,1)=MU+ZU\*MWD/(1-ZWD) AS(3,2)=MW+ZW\*MWD/(1-ZWD) AS(3,3)=MQ+(ZQ+UO)\*MWD/(1-ZWD) AS(3,4)=-G\*SIN(A)\*MWD/(1-ZWD)

AS(4,1)=0.0 AS(4,2)=0.0 AS(4,3)=1.0 AS(4,4)=0.0

\*

LONGITUDINAL INPUT MATRIX

\*

BS(1,1)=XN+ZN\*XWD/(1-ZWD) BS(1,2)=XTH

BS(2,1)=ZN/(1-ZWD) BS(2,2)=0.0

BS(3,1)=MN+ZN\*MWD/(1-ZWD) B8(3,2)=MTH

BS(4,1)=0.0BS(4,2)=0.0

WRITE(7,101) 1 FORMAT(5X,'LONGITUDINAL SYSTEM MATRIX'/) WRITE(7,102) ((AS(I,J),J=1,4),I=1,4) 2 FORMAT(4(F9.3,2X)) WRITE(7,103) 3 FORMAT(1X//5X,'LONGITUDINAL INPUT MATRIX'/)

```
- 164 -
```

```
WRITE(7,104) ((BS(I,J),J=1,2),I=1,4)
         FORMAT (2(F9.3.2X))
104
LATERAL DERIVATIVES-STABILITY AXES
YVS=-.3054*.5*R0*VT*8
  LVS=.5*RO*VT*S*B*(-.0119-.0016*CL
          -.1969*(ZF1*COS(A)-LF1*BIN(A))/B)
  NV8=.5*R0*VT*8*B*(-.0363+.1969*(LF1*CD8(A)+ZF1*8IN(A))/B)
  XC = (ZF2 - (ZF2 + COS(A) - LF2 + SIN(A)))/B
  XCA=ABS(XC)
  I=1
.11
         IF (XCA.EQ.X(I)) THEN
    YPSD=Y(I) +XC/XCA
    ELSE IF (XCA.LT.X(I)) THEN
            VPBD=Y(I-1)+(XCA-X(I-1))*(Y(I)-Y(I-1))/(X(I)-X(I-1))
            YPSD=YPSD+XC/XCA
            GO TO 121
           ELSE
            I = I + 1
            GO TO 111
  ENDIF
         CONTINUE
.21
  YPF=-.3133*((ZF2*COS(A)-LF2*SIN(A))/B-.18-YP8D)
  YPS=.5*R0*VT*8*B*(.078*CL+YPF)
  LP8=.5*R0*VT*8*B*B*(-.2457+YPF*(ZF2*C08(A)-LF2*8IN(A))/B)
  NPS=.5*R0*VT*S*B*B*(-.034*CL+1.23*CDVD
            -YPF*(LF2*CO8(A)+ZF2*8IN(A))/B)
    #
  YRF=.2164*(LF1*CO8(A)+ZF1*8IN(A))/B
  YRS=.5*RO*VT*S*B*(-.0109+YRF)
  LRS=.5*R0+VT+S+B+B+(-.00189+.1243+CL
          +YRF*(ZF1*COS(A)-LF1*SIN(A))/B)
    *
  NRS=.5*RO*VT*S*B*B*(-.0022-.1621*CD0-.007*CL*CL
          -YRF*(LF1*COS(A)+ZF1*SIN(A))/B)
    ¥
  LX8=.5*R0+VT+VT+S*B*(-.2291)
  NXS=.5*R0*VT*VT*S*B*.0195*CL
LATERAL DERIVATIVES-BODY AXES
 YV=YVS
  YP=YPS*COS(A)-YRS*SIN(A)
  YR=YRS*COS(A)+YPS*SIN(A)
  YZ=.5*R0*VT*VT*S*.1184
```

#### - 165 -

LV=LV8\*COS(A)-NV8\*SIN(A) LP=LP6\*(COS(A))\*\*2+NR5\*(SIN(A))\*\*2-(LRS+NP5)\*SIN(A)\*COS(A) LR=LRS\*(COS(A))\*\*2-NP5\*(SIN(A))\*\*2+(LP6-NR5)\*SIN(A)\*COB(A) LX=LX5\*COS(A)-NX5\*SIN(A) LZ=.5\*R0\*VT\*VT\*5\*B\*.00398

NV=NV8\*CO8(A)+LV8\*8IN(A) NP=NPS\*(CO8(A))\*\*2-LR8\*(SIN(A))\*\*2+(LPS-NR5)\*8IN(A)\*CO8(A) NR=NRS\*(CO8(A))\*\*2+LPS\*(SIN(A))\*\*2+(LR8+NP8)\*8IN(A)\*CO8(A) NX=NXS\*CO8(A)+LX8\*SIN(A) NZ=.5\*R0\*VT\*VT\*S\*8\*(-.0492)

\*\*\*\*\*\*

NORMALISED LATERAL DERIVATIVES-BODY AXES

\*\*\*\*\*

YP=YP/MASS YR=YR/MASS YZ=YZ/MASS LV=LV/IX LP=LP/IX LR=LR/IX LX=LX/IX LZ=LZ/IX NV=NV/IZ NP=NP/IZ NR=NR/IZ NX=NX/IZ NZ=NZ/IZ WRITE(7,40) YV,YP,YR,YZ FORMAT(1X///5X, 'NORMALISED LATERAL DERIVATIVES-BODY AXES' ,2(1X/),5X, 'YV=',F8.3,2X,'YP=',F8.3,2X,'YR=',F8.3,2X,'YZ='F8.3) \* ¥ WRITE(7,50) LV,LP,LR,LX,LZ FORMAT (5X, 'LV=', F8.3,2X, 'LP=', F8.3,2X, 'LR=', F8.3,2X, \* 'LX=', F8.3,2X, 'LZ=', F8.3) WRITE(7,60) NV,NP,NR,NX,NZ FORMAT (5X, 'NV=', F8.3, 2X, 'NP=', F8.3, 2X, 'NR=', F8.3, 2X, 'NX=',F8.3,2X,'NZ=',F8.3///) # \*\*\*\*\*

LATERAL SYSTEM MATRIX

\*\*\*\*

AS(1,1)=YV AS(1,2)=YP+WO AS(1,3)=YR-UO

YV=YV/MASS

```
AS(1,4) = G + COS(A)
  AS(2,1) = LV
  AS(2,2) = LP
  AB(2,3) = LR
  AS(2,4)=0.0
  AB(3,1) = NV
  AS(3,2) = NP
  AS(3,3) = NR
  AB(3,4)=0.0
 AS(4,1)=0.0
  AB(4,2)=1.0
  AS(4,3) = TAN(A)
  AS(4,4)=0.0
LATERAL INPUT MATRIX
*****
 B5(1,1)=0.0
 BS(1,2) = YZ
 BS(2,1) = LX
 BS(2,2) = LZ
 BS(3,1) = NX
 BS(3,2) = NZ
 BS(4,1)=0.0
 BB(4,2)=0.0
 WRITE(7,201)
1
         FORMAT (5X, 'LATERAL SYSTEM MATRIX'/)
 WRITE(7,102) ((AS(I,J),J=1,4),I=1,4)
 WRITE(7,202)
2
         FORMAT(1X//5X,'LATERAL INPUT MATRIX'/)
 WRITE(7,104) ((BB(I,J),J=1,2),I=1,4)
 CLOSE (UNIT=7)
 STOP
 END
```

PROGRAM TRIM OPEN(FILE='TRIM',STATUS='NEW',UNIT=7) S=.9307 C=.353 EM=15.54 G=9.80665 WRITE(6,1) FORMAT (1X, /, 5X, 'ENTER STEADY STATE VELOCITY', /) READ(6.\*) VT Q=.5+1.225+VT+VT HA=.045 ET=.16 CL0=.398 CLA=4.98 CLN=.49 CLOW=.420 CLAW=4.53 CD0=.0227 DK=.0514 CMO=.055 CMA=-1.05 CMN=-1.63 WRITE(6,2) FORMAT(1X,/5X,'ENTER ERROR COEFFICIENT',/) READ(6,\*) ER I=0 CL=(EM\*G)/(Q\*S) A= (CL-CLOW) /CLAW EN=0.0 **b** I=I+1 EL=Q\*8\*(CLO+CLA\*A+CLN\*EN) CLW=CLOW+CLAW\*A D=Q+S+(CDO+DK+CLW+CLW) CDA=2. \*DK\*CLW\*CLAW CM=CMO+CMA\*A+CMN\*EN FX=(1.-(HA/ET))\*(EL\*8IN(A)-D\*CO8(A))-EM\*G\*8IN(A) # +Q\*8\*C\*CM/ET FZ= (EM+G-EL) +CO8 (A) -D+8IN (A) WRITE(6,11) FX,FZ FORMAT (5X, F12.9, 2X, F12.9) IF((AB8(FX)+ABS(FZ)).LE.ER) GD\_TO 20 FXA=(1.-(HA/ET))\*((Q\*S\*CLA+D)\*SIN(A)+(EL-Q\*S\*CDA)\*COS(A)) -EM+G+COS(A)+Q+S+C+CMA/ET #

```
FXN=(1.-(HA/ET))*Q*S*CLN*SIN(A)+Q*S*C*CMN/ET
  FZA= (EL-EM*G-Q*S*CDA) *SIN(A) - (Q*S*CLA+D) *COS(A)
  FZN=-Q*S*CLN*COS(A)
  DET=FXA*FZN-FZA*FXN
  A=A-(FZN*FX-FXN*FZ)/DET
  EN=EN-(FXA*FZ-FZA*FX)/DET
  GO TO 10
0 T=(EM*G-EL)*SIN(A)+D*COB(A)
  TH8=(T+.0055+VT+VT)/26.7154
  WRITE(7,90) I
O FORMAT(1X,/,5X, 'NUMBER OF ITERATIONS : ',14,//)
  WRITE(7,100) VT
          FORMAT (5X, 'STEADY STATE VELOCITY : ', F5.1, /)
00
  WRITE(7,110) A,EN,THS
          FORMAT (5X, 'ANGLE OF ATTACK', F16.9,/,5X,
10
                  'ELEVATOR BETTING', F15.9,/,5X,
    ¥
                  'THROTTLE SETTING', F15.9,/)
    *
  AM=Q*S*C*CM
  WRITE(7,120) EL,D,AM,T
          FORMAT (5X, 'LIFT', F27.9,/,5X,
20
                  'DRAG',F27.9,/,5X,
                  'PITCHING MOMENT', F16.9,/,5X,
                  'THRUST', F25.9/)
  WRITE(7,130) FX,FZ
30
          FORMAT(5X, 'FX=', F12.9, /, 5X, 'FZ=', F12.9, /)
  WRITE(7,140) ER
          FORMAT (5X, 'ERROR : ', F10.7)
10
  CLOSE (UNIT=7)
```

STOP

PROGRAM	XRAE1 6DC	F NONLINEAR S	SIMULATION FOR PI	PURPOSES	
		11	-RPV GEOMETRY	_ n	
	CONSTANT	<pre>8=0.9307 , AR=7.48 , ZF1=0.0887 , ZF2=0.1132</pre>	B=2.638 ET=0.16 LF1=1.0951 LF2=1.1019	, C=0.353 , HA=0.045	•••
		"RPV M	1855 & INERTIA CH	ARACTERISTICS-	· "
	CONSTANT	MASS=15.54 , IX=2.1678	, IY=1.6469	, IZ=3.6962	•••
	<sup>11</sup>	-RPV STABILITY	& CONTROL DERIV	ATIVES"	
		"L	ONGITUDINAL"		
	CONSTANT	CLOW=0.420 , CLO=0.378 , CLQ=4.83 , CDOW=0.0178 , CMO=0.055 , CMQ=-19.15	, CLAW=4.53 , CLA=4.98 , CLN=0.49 , CDDT=0.0049 , CMA=-1.05 , CMN=-1.63	, CLAD=2.78 , K=0.0514 , CMAD=-9.32	••••
		<b>11</b>	LATERAL"		
	CONSTANT	YVB=-0.3054 , LX=-0.2291 , YZB=0.1184 "SIDEWAS	, LZB=0.00398 SH COMPONENT OF Y	, NZB=-0.0492 PF"	
	TABLE YSI	),1,11/0.0,0.0 ,0.175,0.20,0 ,0.0,0.036,0 ,0.295,0.345,	25,0.05,0.075,0. 225,0.25 075,0.114,0.156, 0.40,0.45/	10,0.125,0.15 0.20,0.25	• • •
	CONSTANT	"EL TN1=0.0 , , KN1=0.0 ,	EVATOR INPUT TN2=0.0 KN2=0.0	11	
	CONSTANT	"AI TX1=0.0 , , KX1=0.0 ,	LERDN INPUT TX2=0.0 KX2=0.0	<b>, n</b>	
	CONSTANT	"R TZ1=0.0 , , KZ1=0.0 ,	RUDDER INPUT TZ2=0.0 KZ2=0.0	<b>. 11</b>	• • •
	CONSTANT	"TH TT1=0.0 , KT1=0.0	RDTTLE INPUT	_ 11	

.

•

CINTERVAL	CINT=0.005
NSTEPS	NSTP=1
VARIABLE	TIME=0.0
CONSTANT	TMX =39.99

INITIAL

"----RPV INITIAL CONDITIONS-----"

. . .

CONSTANT VTZ=30, VZ=0.0 ,PZ=0.0, QZ=0.0, RZ=0.0 ,PHZ=0.0, THZ=-0.024524845, PSZ=0.0 ,DNZ=0.044591375, DZZ=0.0, DXZ=0.0 ,THRZ=0.715571165 ,XZ=0.0, YZ=0.0, HZ=1000.0

UZ=VTZ\*COS(THZ) WZ=VTZ\*SIN(THZ)

END # "OF INITIAL"

DYNAMIC

DERIVATIVE

"----" A=ATAN(W/U)

"-----TOTAL VELOCITY-----" VT=SQRT(U\*\*2+V\*\*2+W\*\*2)

"-----DYNAMIC PRESSURE-----" QP=0.5\*RO\*VT\*\*2

"----"ELEVATOR DEFLECTION-----" DN=KN1\*STEP(TN1)+KN2\*STEP(TN2)+DNZ

"-----AILERON DEFLECTION-----" DX=KX1\*STEP(TX1)+KX2\*STEP(TX2)+DXZ

"-----RUDDER DEFLECTION-----" DZ=KZ1\*STEP(TZ1)+KZ2\*STEP(TZ2)+DZZ

"----" THR=KT1\*STEP(TT1)+KT2\*STEP(TT2)+THRZ

"----PART OF TOTAL LIFT COEFFICIENT-----" "----LIFT DUE TO ANGLE-OF-ATTACK RATE IS EXCLUDED-----" CL1=CL0+CLA\*A+CLQ\*Q\*C/(2\*VT)+CLN\*DN

"----WING-BODY LIFT COEFFICIENT----"

"----TOTAL DRAG COEFFICIENT-----" CD=CDOW+CDOT+K\*CLW\*\*2

"----THRUST----" T =26.7154\*THR-0.0055\*VT\*\*2

"-----CDEFFICIENTS NEEDED FOR LONGITUDINAL EQS-----"
B1=R\*V-Q\*W-G\*SIN(TH)+QP\*S\*(CL1\*SIN(A)-CD\*CDS(A))/MASS...
+T/MASS
B2=Q\*U-P\*V+G\*CDS(TH)\*CDS(PH)
-QP\*S\*(CL1\*CDS(A)+CD\*SIN(A))/MASS
D =QP\*S\*CLAD\*C/(2\*MASS\*VT\*(U\*\*2+W\*\*2))
D1=1+D\*(U\*CDS(A)+W\*SIN(A))
D2=D\*(B1\*COS(A)+B2\*SIN(A))

"-----VELOCITY RATE ALONG XB AXIS-----" UD=(B1+U\*D2)/D1

"----VELOCITY RATE ALONG ZB AXIS-----" WD=(B2+W\*D2)/D1

"-----ANGLE DF ATTACK RATE-----" AD=(WD\*U-UD\*W)/(U\*\*2+W\*\*2)

"----PITCHING MOMENT COEFFICIENT----" CM=CMO+CMA\*A+CMAD\*AD\*C/(2\*VT)+CMQ\*Q\*C/(2\*VT)+CMN\*DN

"----UIFT COEFFICIENT----" CL=CL1+CLAD\*AD\*C/(2\*VT)

"----PITCHING ANGULAR ACCELERATION ABOUT YB AXIS-----" QD=((IZ-IX)\*P\*R+QP\*S\*C\*CM-T\*ET)/IY -HA\*QP\*S\*(CL\*SIN(A)-CD\*COS(A))/IY

```
"----LATERAL STABILITY DERIVATIVES-----"
"----STABILITY AXES-----"
```

```
NV =-0.0363+0.1969*(LF1*COS(A)+ZF1*SIN(A))/B
   =-0.0119-0.0016*CLW-0.1969*(ZF1*CDS(A)-LF1*SIN(A))/B
LV
    =(ZF2-(ZF2*COS(A)-LF2*SIN(A)))/B
X1
Y1
   =ABS(X1)
YPS =X1*YSD(Y1)/Y1
YPF =-0.3133*((ZF2*CO5(A)-LF2*SIN(A))/B-0.18-YPS)
YP =0.078*CL+YPF
CDVD=2*CLW*(K-1/(PI*AR))*CLAW*PI/180.0
   =-0.034*CL+1.23*CDVD-YPF*(LF2*CO5(A)+ZF2*SIN(A))/B
NP
LP
    =-0.2457+YPF*(ZF2*COS(A)-LF2*SIN(A))/B
YRF =0.2164*(LF1*COS(A)+ZF1*SIN(A))/B
YR =-0.0109+YRF
NR
   =-0.0022-0.1621*CDDW-0.007*CLW**2 ...
    -YRF*(LF1*COS(A)+ZF1*SIN(A))/B
```

```
LR =-0.00187+0.1243*CLW+YRF*(ZF1*COS(A)-LF1*SIN(A))/B
```

```
NX =0.0195+CLW
```

"----TRANSFORMATION TO BODY REFERENCE AXES-----" YPB=YP\*COS(A)-YR\*SIN(A) YRB=YR\*COS(A)+YP\*SIN(A) LVB=LV\*COS(A)-NV\*SIN(A) LPB=LP\*(COS(A))\*\*2-(LR+NP)\*SIN(A)\*COS(A)+NR\*(SIN(A))\*\*2 LRB=LR\*(COS(A))\*\*2-(NR-LP)\*SIN(A)\*COS(A)-NP\*(SIN(A))\*\*2 NVB=NV\*COS(A)+LV\*SIN(A) NPB=NP\*(COS(A))\*\*2-(NR-LP)\*SIN(A)\*COS(A)-LR\*(SIN(A))\*\*2 NRB=NR\*(COS(A))\*\*2+(LR+NP)\*SIN(A)\*COS(A)+LP\*(SIN(A))\*\*2 LXB=LX\*COS(A)-NX\*SIN(A) NXB=NX\*COS(A)+LX\*SIN(A) "----AERODYNAMIC FORCE ALONG YB AXIS-----" Y=QP\*S\*(YVB\*V+B\*YPB\*P+B\*YRB\*R)/VT+QP\*S\*YZB\*DZ "----AERODYNAMIC MOMENT ABOUT XB AXIS-----" L=QP\*S\*B\*(LVB\*V+B\*LPB\*P+B\*LRB\*R)/VT+QP\*S\*B\*(LZB\*DZ+LXB\*DX) "----AERODYNAMIC MOMENT ABOUT ZB AXIS-----" N=QP\*S\*B\*(NVB\*V+B\*NPB\*P+B\*NRB\*R)/VT+QP\*S\*B\*(NZB\*DZ+NXB\*DX) "----VELOCITY RATE ALONG YB AXIS-----" VD=P\*W-R\*U+G\*COS(TH)\*SIN(PH)+Y/MASS "----ROLLING ANGULAR ACCELERATION ABOUT XB AXIS-----" PD=((IY-IZ)\*Q\*R+L)/IX"----YAWING ANGULAR ACCELERATION ABOUT ZB AXIS-----" RD = ((IX - IY) + P + Q + N) / IZ"----VELOCITY RESOLVED ON EARTH AXES-----" UE=COS(TH)\*COS(PS)\*U +(SIN(PH)\*SIN(TH)\*COS(PS)-COS(PH)\*SIN(PS))\*V ... + (COS (PH) \*SIN (TH) \*COS (PS) +SIN (PH) \*SIN (PS) ) \*W VE=COS(TH)\*SIN(PS)\*U + (SIN(PH)\*SIN(TH)\*SIN(PS)+COS(PH)\*COS(PS))\*V . . . + (COS (PH) \*SIN (TH) \*SIN (PS) -SIN (PH) \*COS (PS) ) \*W WE=-SIN(TH)\*U+SIN(PH)\*COS(TH)\*V+COS(PH)\*COS(TH)\*W HD=-WE "----EULER ANGLE RATES----" PHD = P+Q\*TAN(TH)\*SIN(PH)+R\*TAN(TH)\*COS(PH)THD=Q\*COS(PH)-R\*SIN(PH) PSD = (R\*COS(PH) + Q\*SIN(PH))/COS(TH)U = INTEG(UD)UZ) V = INTEG(VD.)VZ) W = INTEG(WD,WZ)

P = INTEG(PD)

Q = INTEG(QD)

PZ)

QZ)

- 172 -

R =INTEG(RD, RZ) XE=INTEG(UE, XZ) YE=INTEG(VE, YZ) H =INTEG(HD, HZ) PH=INTEG(PHD, PHZ) TH=INTEG(THD, THZ) PS=INTEG(PSD, PSZ)

END \$ "OF DERIVATIVE"

UPR=U-UZ WPR=W-WZ THPR=TH-THZ ALPHA=A-THZ HPR=H-HZ TERMT(TIME.GE.TMX .OR. H.LE.O.O)

END \$ "OF DYNAMIC"

END \$ "OF PROGRAM"

PROGRAM XRAE1 LINEAR LONGITUDINAL MODEL

.

INITIAL

	CONSTANT XU , ZU , MU	-AERODYNAM =-0.097 ,) =-0.789 ,2 = 0.029 ,M	IIC DERIVAT: W= 0.037,X( W=-5.496,Z( W=-3.865,M(	IVES" Q=-0.017 Q=-0.902 Q=-12.381	,XWD=-0.0004 ,ZWD=-0.018 ,MWD=-0.201	4
•	" CONSTANT XN ,XT	CONTROL =-0.397 ,2 HR=1.719,M	DERIVATIV N=-16.172, THR=-2.595	<u> </u>	79	•••
	CONSTANT TN	"ELEV 1=0.0,TN2=	ATOR INPUT- 0.0,KN1=0.0	" ),KN2=0.0		
• • • •	CONSTANT TT	"THRO 1=0.0,TT2=	TTLE INPUT- 0.0,KT1=0.0	" ),KT2=0.0		
TH0=-0. G=9.806 VT0=30.	024524845 65 0					
	CINTERVAL CIN NSTEPS NS VARIABLE TIN CONSTANT TM	NT=0.05 TP=1 ME=0.0 X=40.0				• .
	CONSTANT UZ=(	"IN D.O,WZ=0.0	ITIAL CONDI ,QZ=0.0,THZ	TIONS' =0.0,HZ=0	. 0	
UO=VTO* WO=VTO*	CDS(THO) SIN(THO)			•		
END \$ "	OF INITIAL"					
DYNAMIC DERIVAT	IVE					
	"ELEVATOR DN=KN1*STEP (1	DEFLECTIO	N" TEP (TN2)			
· .	"THROTTLE THR=KT1*STEP	INPUT"	BTEP (TT2)			
	"EQUATIONS UD=XU*U+XW*W+ + (ZU*U+ZW*	3 OF MOTIO +(XQ-WO)#Q +W+(ZQ+UO)+	N & GEOMETR -G*COS(THO) *Q-G*SIN(TH	Y" *TH+XN*DN 0) *TH+ZN*	+XTHR*THR DN) *XWD/(1-)	 ZWD)
	WD=(ZU+U+ZW+k	i+ (ZQ+U0) *I	Q-G*SIN(THO	)*TH+ZN*D	N)/(1-ZWD)	
	QD=MU*U+MW*W+ + ( ZU*U+ZW*	-MQ*Q+MN*DI W+ (ZQ+U0) +	N+MTHR*THR ¢Q-0*SIN(TH	0) #TH+ZN*	DN) *MWD/ (1-)	zwd)

### HD=SIN(THO) \*U-COS(THO) \*W+VTO\*TH

U=INTEG(UD,UZ) W=INTEG(WD,WZ) Q=INTEG(QD,QZ) TH=INTEG(THD,THZ) H=INTEG(HD,HZ)

### END \$ "OF DERIVATIVE"

ALPHA= (U0\*W-W0\*U) / (VT0\*\*2)

TERMT(TIME.GE.TMX)

END **\$** "OF DYNAMIC" END **\$** "OF PROGRAM"

PROGRAM XRAE1 LINEAR LATERAL MODEL INITIAL "---AERODYNAMIC DERIVATIVES---" CONSTANT YV=-0.336 ,YP=0.175 ,YR=0.224... LV=-0.414 LP=-13.360,LR=2.412... ,NV=0.558 ,NP=-0.622 ,NR=-1.426 "----CONTROL DERIVATIVES-----" LZ=2.485 NZ=-18.015... CONSTANT YZ=3.909 LX=-142.902,NX=4.182 "----AILERON INPUT----" TX1=0.0,TX2=0.0,KX1=0.0,KX2=0.0 CONSTANT "----RUDDER INPUT-----" CONSTANT TZ1=0.0, TZ2=0.0, KZ1=0.0, KZ2=0.0 TH0=-0.024524845 G=7.80665 VT0=30.0 CINTERVAL CINT=0.005 NSTEPS NSTP=1 VARIABLE TIME=0.0 CONSTANT TMX=40.0 "---INITIAL CONTITIONS---" CONSTANT VZ=0.0,PZ=0.0,RZ=0.0,PHZ=0.0 UO=VTO\*COS(THO) WO=VTO\*SIN(THO) END \$ "OF INITIAL" DYNAMIC DERIVATIVE "---AILERON DEFLECTION---" DX=KX1\*STEP(TX1)-KX2\*STEP(TX2) "---RUDDER DEFLECTION---" DZ=KZ1\*STEP(TZ1)-KZ2\*STEP(TZ2) VD=YV\*V+(YP+WO)\*P+(YR-UO)\*R+G\*CO5(THO)\*PH+YZ\*DZ PD=LV\*V+LP\*P+LR\*R+LX\*DX+LZ\*DZ RD=NV\*V+NP\*P+NR\*R+NX\*DX+NZ\*DZ PHD=P+TAN(THO)\*R V=INTEG(VD,VZ) P=INTEG(PD,PZ) R=INTEG(RD,RZ) PH=INTEG(PHD, PHZ) END # "OF DERIVATIVE" TERMT(TIME.GE.TMX) END \$ "OF DYNAMIC" END **\$** "OF PROGRAM"

- 176 -

```
PROGRAM KALMAN
 DOUBLE PRECISION T(15), W1(4), EPS, HM, HI
 REAL XG(1001), YG(10010)
 INTEGER IA1(2)
 PARAMETER (ISZ=4)
 PARAMETER (INPZ=2)
 PARAMETER(IDZ=2)
 PARAMETER (IPZ=10)
 PARAMETER (IASZ=14)
 PARAMETER (ITZ=1001)
 DOUBLE PRECISION AS(ISZ, ISZ), BS(ISZ, INPZ), CS(IDZ, ISZ),
      X8(I8Z), X18(ISZ), X28(ISZ), U(INPZ), US(INPZ), Y(IOZ), Y8(IOZ),
   #
   ¥
      Q(IPZ, IPZ), R(IOZ, IOZ), RI(IOZ, IOZ),
   ¥
      C(IOZ, IASZ), CT(IASZ, IOZ), E(IASZ, IPZ), ET(IPZ, IASZ),
   ¥
         PHI(IASZ, IASZ), PHIT(IASZ, IASZ), GM(IASZ, IASZ), GP(IASZ, IASZ),
   ¥
         JK(IASZ,IOZ),JKT(IOZ,IASZ),XM(IASZ),XP(IASZ),UNI(IASZ,IASZ),
         UG(INPZ,ITZ),VG(IOZ,ITZ),V(IOZ),EM(IOZ),ZH(IOZ),
   ¥
   #
      A1(IASZ, IOZ), A2(IOZ, IOZ), A3(IASZ, IASZ), A4(IASZ, IPZ),
   *
      A5(IASZ, IASZ), A6(IASZ, IASZ), A7(IASZ, IASZ)
 COMMON UG
 DATA IS, INP, ID, IP/4, 2, 2, 10/
 DATA R(1,1),R(1,2),R(2,1),R(2,2)/2.014D-7,0.0,0.0,2.014D-7/
 OPEN(FILE='EXKAL', STATUS='NEW', UNIT=17)
ENTER PROCESS MATRIX Q(IP, IP)
WRITE(6,998)
78
         FORMAT(2(1X/),5X, 'ENTER Q(I,I), I=1, IP')
 READ(5,*) (Q(I,I),I=1,IP)
 DO 10 I=1, IP
 DO 10 J=1, IP
 IF(I.NE.J) Q(I,J)=0.0
 CONTINUE
******************
 ENTER MEASUREMENT AND INTEGRATION STEPS
WRITE(6,999)
        FORMAT(1X/5X, 'ENTER HM, HI')
 READ(5,*) HM,HI
 WRITE(17,1000) HM,HI
        FORMAT(2(1X/),1X, 'MEASUREMENT INTERVAL :',F8.4,' secs'/
 )O
                                            :',F8.4,' secs')
   1
                      1X, 'INTEGRATION STEP
```

\*\*\*\*\*\* IS : NUMBER OF STATES INP : NUMBER OF INPUTS I NUMBER OF OUTPUTS IO IP : NUMBER OF PARAMETERS TO BE IDENTIFIED IAS : NUMBER OF AUGMENTED STATES \*\*\*\*\* IAS=IS+IP \* CREATE SYSTEM MATRICES \*\*\*\*\*\* CALL SMTCS (AS, BS, CS, HI, IS, INP, ID) \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* SELECT SYSTEM INPUT \*\*\*\*\* WRITE(6,1010) FORMAT(2(1X/),1X,' SELECT SYSTEM INPUT'// 010 1X,′ TYPE 1 : For SQUARE WAVE'/ 1 1X,' TYPE 2 : For MULTISTEP'/ 2 1X.' TYPE 3 : For RANDOM NOISE'//) 3 READ(5,\*) INPUT IF(INPUT.EQ.1) CALL SQW(INP,HM) IF (INPUT.EQ.2) CALL MLSTP (INP,HM) IF(INPUT.EQ.3) CALL RANDOM(INP) SELECT MEASUREMENT NOISE WRITE (6, 1020) SELECT MEASUREMENT NOISE'// FORMAT(2(1X/),1X,' 20 1X,' TYPE 1 : IF YOU WANT NOISE'/ 1 1X,' TYPE 2 : IF YOU DO NOT WANT NOISE'//) 2 READ(5,\*) NOISE IF (NOISE.NE.1) GO TO 50 DO 20 I=1,IO EM(I) = 0.0CONTINUE WRITE (6,1030)

```
030
         FORMAT(2(1X/),1X,'
                                ENTER COVARIANCE R OF
      MEASUREMENT NOISE ///)
    1
 READ(5,*) ((R(I,J),I=1,IO),J=1,IO)
 WRITE(17,1040)
040
         FORMAT(2(1X/),1X,'COVARIANCE R OF MEASUREMENT NOISE'/)
 DO 30 I=1.IO
 WRITE(17,1050) (R(I,J),J=1,ID)
O CONTINUE
050
         FORMAT(1X.4F11.5)
 EPS=0.01/DBLE(ID)
 I=1
 CALL GO5CBF(I)
 IFAIL=0
 CALL GOSEAF (EM, ID, R, ID, EPS, T, 15, IFAIL)
 IF(IFAIL.NE.O) WRITE(6,1060)
         FORMAT(2(1X/),1X, 'ERROR IN GO5EAF'/)
060
 DO 40 I=1.1001
 IFAIL=0
 CALL GOSEZF(ZH, ID, T, 15, IFAIL)
 IF(IFAIL.NE.O) WRITE(6,1070)
070
         FORMAT(2(1X/),1X,'ERROR IN GO5EZF'/)
 DO 40 J=1,IO
 VG(J,I) = ZH(J)
O CONTINUE
O CONTINUE
*******
 ENTER INITIAL CONDITIONS
***********
 WRITE(6,1080)
                                ENTER AUGMENTED INITIAL
080
         FORMAT(2(1X/),1X,'
      STATE VECTOR'//)
   1
 READ(5,*) (XM(I), I=1, IAS)
 WRITE(17,1090) (XM(I),I=1,IAS)
990
         FORMAT(2(1X/),1X, 'AUGMENTED INITIAL STATE VECTOR'/
   1
                       17(1X,F11.4/)
 DO 60 I=1,IS
 XS(I) = 0.0
 CONTINUE
 DO 70 I=1,IAS
 DO 70 J=1, IAS
 GM(I,J) = 0.0
 CONTINUE
 WRITE(6,2000)
                                ENTER DIAGONAL ELEMENS OF GM'//)
00
         FORMAT(2(1X/),1X,'
 READ(5,*) (GM(I,I),I=1,IAS)
 WRITE(17,2010) (GM(I,I),I=1,IAS)
 10
         FORMAT(2(1X/),1X,'DIAGONAL OF GM'/17(1X,F11.4/))
```

- 179 -

```
WRITE(6,2020)
2020
          FORMAT(2(1X/),1X,'
                                ENTER NUMBER OF ITERATIONS ///)
  READ(5,*) ITER
  NPTS=ITER+1
THE EXTENDED KALMAN FILTER ALGORITHM
I=INT(HM/HI)
  K=0
  J=0
  DO 80 L=1, IAS
  DO 80 M=1,10
  C(M,L) = 0.0
30 CONTINUE
  C(1,2)=1.0
  C(2,3)=1.0
  CALL TRANS(C,CT,IO,IAS)
  CALL MTXE(E,HI,IAS,IP)
  CALL TRANS(E,ET,IAS,IP)
  CALL MULT(E,Q,A4,IA8,IP,IP)
  CALL MULT (A4, ET, A5, IA8, IP, IA8)
  CALL NULL (UNI, IAS)
O CONTINUE
  DD 100 L=1, INP
  U(L) = UG(L, K+1)
  US(L)=UG(L,K+1)
00
         CONTINUE
  CALL MULT1(CS,XS,Y,IO,IS)
  IF (NOISE.EQ.1) THEN
     DO 110 L=1,IO
     V(L) = VG(L, K+1)
10
             CONTINUE
     CALL ADD1(Y,V,Y,IO)
  ENDIF
  CALL MULT (GM, CT, A1, IAS, IAS, ID)
  CALL MULT(C,A1,A2,IO,IAS,IO)
  CALL ADD (R, A2, A2, I0, I0, I0)
  IFAIL=0
  CALL FO1AAF(A2, ID, ID, RI, ID, W1, IFAIL)
  IF(IFAIL.NE.O) GD TD 9999
  CALL MULT(A1,RI,JK,IAS,IO,IO)
  CALL MULT (JK,C,A3,IAS,IO,IAS)
  CALL SUB(UNI,A3,A3,IAS,IAS,IAS)
  CALL TRANS(A3,A6,IAS,IAS)
  CALL MULT (A3, GM, A7, IAS, IAS, IAS)
  CALL MULT (A7, A6, GP, IA5, IA5, IA5)
```

· - 180 - 1

- 181 -

```
CALL MULT (JK,R,A1,IAS,IO,IO)
  CALL TRANS(JK, JKT, IAS, IO)
  CALL MULT (A1, JKT, A6, IAS, ID, IAS)
  CALL ADD (GP, A6, GP, IAS, IAS)
  CALL MULT1(C,XM,YS,ID,IAS)
  CALL SUB1 (Y,YS,Y,IO)
  CALL MULT1 (JK,Y,XP,IAS,ID)
  CALL ADD1 (XM, XP, XP, IAS)
  XG(K+1) = DBLE(K) * HM
  DO 11 L=1, IP
  M=(L-1)*NPTS+K+1
  N=IS+L
  YG(M) = XP(N)
1 CONTINUE
  IF (MOD(K,10).EQ.0) THEN
  WRITE(6,12) K
  WRITE(17,12) K
2 FORMAT(1X/5X, 'ITERATIONS', 15)
  WRITE(6,13) (XS(M),M=1,IS),(XP(M),M=1,IS)
  WRITE(17,13) (XS(M),M=1,IS),(XP(M),M=1,IS)
3 FORMAT(1X, 'XS', 10X, ': ', 4F10.4/1X, 'XP', 10X, ': ', 4F10.4)
  WRITE(6,14) (XP(M),M=IS+1,IAS)
  WRITE(17,14) (XP(M),M=IS+1,IAS)
4 FORMAT(1X, 'PARAMETERS
                          : ',10F10.4)
  WRITE(6,15) (JK(M,1),M=1,IAB)
  WRITE(17,15) (JK(M,1),M=1,IAS)
5 FORMAT(1X, 'KALMAN GAIN : '
                               ,4(5F10.4/15X))
  WRITE(6,16) (GP(M,M),M=1,IAS)
  WRITE(17,16) (GP(M,M),M=1,IAS)
6 FORMAT(1X, COVARIANCE :
                              '.4(5F10.4/15X))
  ENDIF
20
           CALL MULT1 (AS, XS, X1S, IS, IS)
  CALL MULT1(BS,US,X25,IS,INP)
  CALL ADD1 (X15, X25, X5, I5)
  CALL SYFN(XM, XP, U, HI, IAS, INP)
  CALL MTXPHI (PHI, XP, U, HI, IAS, INP)
  CALL TRANS(PHI, PHIT, IAS, IAS)
  CALL MULT (PHI, GP, A6, IAS, IAS, IAS)
  CALL MULT (A6, PHIT, A7, IAS, IAS, IAS)
  CALL ADD (A5, A7, GM, IAS, IAS, IAS)
  J=J+1
  IF(K.EQ.ITER) GD TD 140
  IF(J.EQ.I) THEN
                   J=0
                   K = K + 1
                   GD TD 90
              ELSE
                   DO 130 L=1,IAS
                   XP(L) = XM(L)
                   DO 130 M=1,IAS
                   GP(L,M) = GM(L,M)
                            CONTINUE
                   GD TD 120
```

ENDIF

PLOT PARAMETERS \* WRITE(6,2030) FORMAT(2(1X/),1X,' PLOT PARAMETERS ?'// 2030 ix, TYPE 1 : For YES'/ 1 TYPE 2 : For NO'//) 2 1X.' READ(5,\*) IPLOT IF (IPLOT.EQ.2) STOP CALL SAVDRA CALL DEVPAP (297.0,210.0,0) CALL WINDD2(0.0,240.0,0.0,170.0) DO 160 L=1, IP DO 150 M=1,NPTS N=M+(L-1)\*NPTSYG(M) = YG(N).50 CONTINUE CALL PICCLE CALL CHAHAR(0,0) CALL MOVT02(20.0,20.0) CALL GRAF (XG, YG, NPTS, 0) CALL MOVT02(150.0,5.0) CALL CHAHOL('Time (secs)\*.') CALL CHAHAR(0,1) CALL MOVT02(9.0,100.0) READ(5,17) IA1(1), IA1(2) 7 FORMAT(1X,2A1) CALL CHAA1(IA1,2) 60 CONTINUE CALL DEVEND STOP 777 WRITE(6,2040) 640 FORMAT(1X, 'ERROR IN FO1AAF') STOP

END

```
SUBROUTINE SMTCS(AS, BS, CS, H, IS, INP, ID)
DOUBLE PRECISION AS(IS, IS), BS(IS, INP), CS(ID, IS)
DOUBLE PRECISION YV, YZ, LV, LP, LR, LX, NV, NP, NR, NZ, H
DATA YV,YZ/-0.336,3.909/
DATA LV, LP, LR, LX/-0.414, -13.360, 2.412, -142.902/
DATA NV, NP, NR, NZ/0.558, -0.622, -1.426, -18.015/
AS(1,1) = 1.+YV*H
AS(1,2)=-0.561*H
AS(1,3) = -29.767 + H
AS(1,4) = 9.804 + H
AS(2,1) = LV + H
AS(2,2) = 1.+LP*H
AS(2,3) = LR + H
AS(2,4) = 0.0
AS(3,1) = NV * H
AS(3,2) = NP * H
AS(3,3) = 1. + NR + H
AS(3,4) = 0.0
AS(4,1)=0.0
AS(4,2) = H
AS(4,3) = -0.025 * H
AS(4,4) = 1.0
BS(1,1)=0.0
BS(2,1) = LX + H
BS(3,1) = 4.182 + H
BS(4,1)=0.0
BS(1,2) = YZ + H
BS(2,2)=2.485*H
BS(3,2) = NZ + H
BS(4,2)=0.0
CS(1,1)=0.0
CS(1,2)=1.0
CS(1,3) = 0.0
CS(1,4) = 0.0
CB(2,1)=0.0
CS(2,2)=0.0
CS(2,3)=1.0
CS(2,4)=0.0
RETURN
END
```

```
SUBROUTINE SYFN (F, X, U, H, IAS, INP)
 DOUBLE PRECISION F(IAS), X(IAS), U(INP), H
 F(1)=(1.+X(5)*H)*X(1)-.561*H*X(2)-29.767*H*X(3)+9.B04*H*X(4)
            +X(6)*H*U(2)
   *
 F(2)=X(7)*H*X(1)+(1.+X(8)*H)*X(2)+X(9)*H*X(3)+X(10)*H*U(1)
            +2.485*H*U(2)
   #
F(3)=X(11)*H*X(1)+X(12)*H*X(2)+(1.+X(13)*H)*X(3)+4.182*H*U(1)
   ¥
            +X(14)*H*U(2)
F(4) = H \times X(2) - 0.025 \times H \times X(3) + X(4)
DO 10 I=5, IAS
F(I) = X(I)
10
         CONTINUE
```

```
RETURN
```

```
SUBROUTINE MTXE(E,H,IAS,IP)
DOUBLE PRECISION E(IAS,IP),H
```

```
DD 10 I=1,IAS

DD 10 J=1,IP

E(I,J)=0.

10 CONTINUE

DD 20 I=1,IP

J=I+IAS-IP

E(J,I)=H

20 CONTINUE
```

```
RETURN
END
```

```
SUBROUTINE MTXPHI (PHI, X, U, H, IAS, INP)
 DOUBLE PRECISION PHI(IAS, IAS), X(IAS), U(INP), H
 DO 10 I=1,IA5
 DO 10 J=1, IAS
 PHI(I,J)=0.
10
          CONTINUE
 PHI(1,1)=1.+X(5)*H
 PHI(1,2)=-.561*H
 PHI(1,3)=-29.767*H
 PHI(1,4)=9.804*H
 PHI(1,5) = X(1) * H
 PHI(1,6)=U(2)*H
 PHI(2,1) = X(7) * H
 PHI(2,2)=1.+X(8)*H
 PHI(2,3) = X(7) + H
 PHI(2,7) = X(1) * H
 PHI(2,8) = X(2) + H
 PHI(2,9)=X(3)*H
 PHI(2,10) = U(1) * H
 PHI(3,1) = X(11) * H
 PHI(3,2) = X(12) * H
 PHI(3,3)=1.+X(13)*H
 PHI(3,11) = X(1) * H
 PHI(3, 12) = X(2) * H
 PHI(3,13) = X(3) + H
 PHI(3, 14) = U(2) * H
 PHI(4,2) = H
 PHI(4,3) = -0.025 * H
 PHI(4,4)=1.
 DO 20 I=5,IAS
 PHI(I,I)=1.
20
          CONTINUE
 RETURN
 END
```

```
SUBROUTINE SQW(INP,H)
       DOUBLE PRECISION UG(2,1001), SM(2), P,H
  COMMON UG
  WRITE(6,1000)
                                   ENTER : Period'/
          FORMAT(2(1X/),1X,'
000
                          1X,'
                                            AND'/
    1
                          1X,'
                                            Amplitude of SQUARE WAVE'//)
    2
  READ(5,*) P,(SM(I),I=1,INP)
  WRITE(17,1010) P,(SM(I),I=1,INP)
          FORMAT (2(1X/),1X, 'SYSTEM INPUT : SQUARE WAVE'/
010
                                                        ',F15.4,' secs'/
                         1X, '
                                              Period
    1
    2
                      1X,'
                                           Amplitude',4F15.4,' rads')
  P=P/H
  N=INT(P/2.)
  DD 10 J=1,N
  DO 10 I=1, INP
  UG(I,J) = SM(I)
O CONTINUE
  DO 20 J=N+1,2*N
  DO 20 I=1, INP
  UG(I,J) = -SM(I)
O CONTINUE
  DD 30 J=1,1001-2*N
  DO 30 I=1, INP
  UG(I,J+2*N)=UG(I,J)
O CONTINUE
  RETURN
  END
```

- 186 -
```
SUBROUTINE MLSTP(INP,H)
   DOUBLE PRECISION UG(2,1001), SM(2), P,H
   COMMON UG
   WRITE(6,1000)
1000
           FORMAT(2(1X/),1X,'
                                    ENTER : Period'/
                                             AND'/
     1
                           1X,
     2
                        1X,'
                                          Amplitude of MULTISTEP'//)
   READ(5,*) P, (SM(I), I=1, INP)
   WRITE(17,1010) P, (SM(I), I=1, INP)
           FORMAT(2(1X/),1X,'SYSTEM INPUT : MULTISTEP'/
1010
                        1X,
     1
                                            Period
                                                      ',F15.5,' secs'/
                        1X.,
     2
                                            Amplitude',F15.5,' rads')
   WRITE(6,1020)
1020
           FORMAT(2(1X/),1X,'
                                    DO YOU WANT ONE PERIOD ONLY?'/
                       1X,'
                                 TYPE 1 I IF YES'/
     1
                           1X,'
                                    TYPE 2 : IF ND')
     2
   READ(5,*) IPER
   P=P/H
  L=INT(P/7.)
   DO 10 J=1,3*L
   DO 10 I=1, INP
   UG(I,J) = SM(I)
10 CONTINUE
   DD 20 J=3*L+1,5*L
   DO 20 I=1, INP
   UG(I,J) = -SM(I)
20 CONTINUE
   DO 30 J=5*L+1,6*L
  DO 30 I=1, INP
   UG(I,J) = SM(I)
O CONTINUE
  DD 40 J=6*L+1,7*L
   DO 40 I=1, INP
  UG(I,J) = -SM(I)
O CONTINUE
   IF(IPER.EQ.1) THEN
  WRITE(17,1030)
030
           FORMAT(16X, 'ONE PERIOD ONLY')
  DD 50 J=1,1001-7*L
  DO 50 I=1, INP
  UG(I, J+7*L) = 0.0
 ) CONTINUE
  ELSE
  DD 60 J=1,1001-7*L
  DO 60 I=1, INP
  UG(I,J+7*L)=UG(I,J)
  CONTINUE
  ENDIF
  RETURN
  END
```

```
SUBROUTINE RANDOM (INP)
   DOUBLE PRECISION UG(2,1001), E(2), SC(2,2), ZH(2), T(15), EPS
   COMMON UG
   WRITE(6,1000)
1000
                                    ENTER MEAN VECTOR ///)
           FORMAT(2(1X/),1X,'
   READ(5,*) (E(I), I=1, INP)
   WRITE(6,1010)
1010
           FORMAT(2(1X/),1X,'
                                    ENTER COVARIANCE MATRIX'//)
   READ(5,*) ((SC(I,J),I=1,INP),J=1,INP)
   WRITE(17,1020)
           FORMAT(2(1X/),1X,'SYSTEM INPUT : GAUSSIAN NOISE'//
1020
                      1X, 'Mean'/)
     1
   WRITE(17,1030) (E(I),I=1,INP)
1030
           FORMAT(1X,4F15.5)
  WRITE(17,1040)
           FORMAT(2(1X/),1X,'Covariance'/)
1040
  DO 10 I=1, INP
  WRITE(17,1050) (SC(I,J),J=1,INP)
10 CONTINUE
1050
           FORMAT(1X,4F15.5)
   EPS=0.01/DBLE(INP)
   I=2
  CALL GOSCBF(I)
   IFAIL=0
   CALL GOSEAF(E, INP, SC, INP, EPS, T, 15, IFAIL)
   IF(IFAIL.NE.O) WRITE(6,1060)
1060
           FORMAT(2(1X/),1X, 'ERROR IN GOSEAF')
   DO 20 I=1,1001
   IFAIL=0
   CALL GOSEZF(ZH, INP, T, 15, IFAIL)
   IF(IFAIL.NE.O) WRITE(6.1070)
070
           FORMAT(2(1X/),1X,'ERROR IN GO5EZF')
  DO 20 J=1, INP
  UG(J,I)=ZH(J)
O CONTINUE
  RETURN
```

END

- 188 -

```
SUBROUTINE MULT(A, B, C, M, N, K)
 DOUBLE PRECISION A(M,N),B(N,K),C(M,K)
 DO 10 I=1,M
 DO 10 J=1.K
 C(I,J)=0.
 DO 10 L=1,N
10
         C(I,J)=C(I,J)+A(I,L)*B(L,J)
 RETURN
 END
 SUBROUTINE ADD (A, B, C, M, N)
 DOUBLE PRECISION A(M,N), B(M,N), C(M,N)
 DO 20 I=1,M
 DD 20 J=1,N
20
         C(I,J) = A(I,J) + B(I,J)
 RETURN
 END
 SUBROUTINE SUB(A, B, C, M, N)
 DOUBLE PRECISION A(M,N), B(M,N), C(M,N)
 DD 30 I=1,M
DD 30 J=1,N
30
         C(I,J) = A(I,J) - B(I,J)
RETURN
 END
SUBROUTINE MULT1(A,B,C,M,N)
DOUBLE PRECISION A(M,N),B(N),C(M)
DO 40 I=1,M
C(I)=0.
DO 40 K=1.N
40
         C(I)=C(I)+A(I,K)+B(K)
```

RETURN END

```
SUBROUTINE ADD1(A,B,C,M)
 DOUBLE PRECISION A(M), B(M), C(M)
 DD 50 I=1,M
50
          C(I) = A(I) + B(I)
 RETURN
 END
 SUBROUTINE SUB1(A,B,C,M)
 DOUBLE PRECISION A(M), B(M), C(M)
 DO 60 I=1,M
          C(I) = A(I) - B(I)
60
 RETURN
 END
 SUBROUTINE TRANS (A, AT, M, N)
 DOUBLE PRECISION A(M,N),AT(N,M)
 DO 70 I=1,N
 DO 70 J=1,M
70
          AT(I,J) = A(J,I)
 RETURN
 END
 SUBROUTINE NULL (AI, M)
 DOUBLE PRECISION AI (M, M)
 DO 80 I=1,M
 DO 80 J=1.M
 AI(I,J)=0.
 IF(I.EQ.J) AI(I,J)=1.
80
          CONTINUE
 RETURN
 END
 SUBROUTINE MULTC(A, B, C, M, N)
 DOUBLE PRECISION A(M,N),B(M,N),C
 DO 90 I=1,M
 DO 90 J=1,N
90
         B(I,J)=A(I,J)+C
 RETURN
 END
```

- 190 -

```
SUBROUTINE SMTCS (AS, BS, CS, H, IS, INP, ID)
 DOUBLE PRECISION AS(IS, IS), BS(IS, INP), CS(IO, IS)
 DOUBLE PRECISION XU, XW, ZU, ZW, ZN, MU, MW, MQ, MN, H
 DATA XU, XW/-0.097,0.039/
 DATA ZU, ZW, ZN/-0.775, -5.399, -15.887/
 DATA MU, MW, MQ, MN/0.185, -2.782, -18.117, -175.890/
 AS(1,1) = 1.+XU*H
 AS(1,2) = XW + H
 AS(1,3)=0.704*H
 AB(1,4) = -7.804 + H
 AS(2,1) = ZU + H
 AS(2,2) = 1.+ZW*H
 AS(2,3)=28.575*H
 AS(2,4) = 0.236 + H
 AS(3,1) = MU + H
 AS(3,2)=MW*H
 AS(3,3) = 1. + MQ + H
 AS(3,4)=-0.047*H
 AB(4,1)=0.0
 AS(4,2)=0.0
 AS(4,3) = H
 A5(4,4)=1.0
 BS(1,1) = -0.39 * H
 BS(2,1) = ZN + H
 BS(3,1) = MN + H
 BS(4,1)=0.0
 CS(1,1)=0.0
 CS(1,2)=0.0
 CS(1,3)=1.0
 CS(1,4)=0.0
 RETURN
 END
 SUBROUTINE MTXE(E,H,IAS,IP)
 DOUBLE PRECISION E(IAS, IP), H
 DO 10 I=1,IAS
DO 10 J=1, IP
E(I,J)=0.
          CONTINUE
10
DO 20 I=1, IP
J=I+IAS-IP
E(J,I)=H
20
          CONTINUE
 RETURN
 END
```

```
SUBROUTINE SYFN(F,X,U,H,IAS,INP)
DOUBLE PRECISION F(IAS), X(IAS), U(INP), H
F(1)=(1.+X(5)*H)*X(1)+X(6)*H*X(2)+.704*H*X(3)-9.804*H*X(4)
           -.39*H*U(1)
  ÷
F(2)=X(7)*H*X(1)+(1.+X(8)*H)*X(2)+28.575*H*X(3)+.236*H*X(4)
              +X(9)*H*U(1)
   ¥
F(3)=X(10)*H*X(1)+X(11)*H*X(2)+(1.+X(12)*H)*X(3)-.047*H*X(4)
              +X(13)*H*U(1)
   ¥
F(4)=H*X(3)+X(4)
DO 10 I=5, IAS
F(I) = X(I)
         CONTINUE
10
```

```
RETURN
END
```

```
SUBROUTINE MTXPHI (PHI, X, U, H, IAS, INP)
 DOUBLE PRECISION PHI(IAS, IAS), X(IAS), U(INP), H
 DO 10 I=1, IAS
 DO 10 J=1, IAS
 PHI(I,J)=0.
          CONTINUE
10
 PHI(1,1)=1.+X(5)*H
 PHI(1,2) = X(6) * H
 PHI(1,3) = .704 * H
 PHI(1,4)=-7.804*H
 PHI(1,5)=X(1)*H
 PHI(1,6) = X(2) + H
 PHI(2,1) = X(7) + H
 PHI(2,2)=1.+X(B)*H
 PHI(2,3)=28.575*H
 PHI(2,4) = .236 * H
 PHI(2,7) = X(1) + H
 PHI(2,8) = X(2) + H
 PHI(2,9) = U(1) + H
 PHI(3,1) = X(10) + H
 PHI(3,2) = X(11) + H
 PHI(3,3)=1.+X(12)*H
 PHI(3,4) =-.047*H
 PHI(3,10) = X(1) + H
 PHI(3,11) = X(2) + H
 PHI (3,12)=X (3) *H
 PHI(3,13) = U(1) * H
 PHI(4,3)=H
 PHI(4,4) = 1.
 DO 20 I=5,IAS
PHI(I,I)=i.
20
          CONTINUE
RETURN
END
```