Biocatalytic and Chemo-Enzymatic Synthesis of Quinolines and 2-Quinolones by Monoamine Oxidase (MAO-N) and Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP) Biocatalysts

Haoyue Xiang, Salvatore Ferla, Carmine Varricchio, Andrea Brancale, Nicola L. Brown, Gary W. Black, Nicholas J. Turner, and Daniele Castagnolo*

Cite This: *ACS Catal.* 2023, 13, 3370−3378

Read Online

ACCESS | Metrics & More | Article Recommendations | SI Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The oxidative aromatization of aliphatic *N*-heterocycles is a fundamental organic transformation for the preparation of a diverse array of heteroaromatic compounds. Despite many attempts to improve the efficiency and practicality of this transformation, most synthetic methodologies still require toxic and expensive reagents as well as harsh conditions. Herein, we describe two enzymatic strategies for the oxidation of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolines (THQs) and *N*-cyclopropyl-*N*-alkylanilines into quinolines and 2-quinolones, respectively. Whole cells and purified monoamine oxidase (MAO-N) enzymes were used to effectively catalyze the biotransformation of THQs into the corresponding aromatic quinoline derivatives, while *N*-cyclopropyl-*N*-alkylanilines were converted into 2-quinolone compounds through a horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-catalyzed annulation/aromatization reaction followed by Fe-mediated oxidation.

KEYWORDS: biocatalysis, monoamine oxidase, horseradish peroxidase, quinoline, quinolone

INTRODUCTION

Oxidation is unarguably a fundamental transformation in synthetic chemistry and chemical industry, especially in accessing ubiquitous (hetero)aromatic compounds.[1] Despite endless attempts to improve the efficiency and practicality of this transformation, most of the traditional oxidation reactions heavily rely on transition-metal-catalyzed or promoted strategies or on the use of toxic reagents and harsh reaction conditions.[2] From a sustainability point of view, the development of chemical processes that extend beyond the traditional oxidations is still highly desirable and remains a long-standing challenge in synthetic chemistry. The use of enzymes as catalysts in oxidations is of great appeal because of their mild, efficient, benign, and highly selective nature.[3] In fact, oxidases can catalyze a multitude of oxidative transformations at ambient temperature and pressure and, in some cases, without the need for any external cofactor additive or recycling system. For such reasons, oxidizing biocatalysts have been widely employed in the last decade in asymmetric reactions,[4] like the deracemization of chiral amines by monoamine oxidases (MAO-N)[5] or the enantioselective synthesis of alcohols by glucose oxidase (GOase M3−5)[6] or alcohol dehydrogenases (ADH).[7] Over the past few years, our group successfully demonstrated the possibility of employing oxidizing biocatalysts such as MAO-N and laccase also in the aromatization of

aliphatic or partially saturated cyclic substrates into aromatic pyrroles, pyridines, indoles, and furans under mild reaction conditions.[8] These transformations well demonstrated the aromatizing properties of MAO-N and laccase enzymes and encouraged us to further expand the scope of aromatic heterocycles accessible via biocatalysis.

Quinolines and 2-quinolones are privileged scaffolds present in many drugs such as lenvatinib, brexpiprazole, bosutinib, and indacaterol (Figure 1a), and consequently, several methodologies have been reported to date for their synthesis. However, the functionalization of these *N*-heterocycles is a challenge due to the low reactivity of their π-electron-deficient skeleton.[9] Thus, the development of practical and mild transformations that allow the synthesis of these aromatic rings from readily available starting materials is highly desirable. From a retrosynthetic standpoint, the oxidation of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolines (THQs) is the straightforward way to obtain aromatic quinolines (Figure 1b).[10] Such an

Figure 1. Representative bioactive molecules with the quinoline/2-quinolone motif and our design.

approach enables the synthesis of these heteroaromatic compounds with substitution patterns and/or functional groups that are otherwise difficult to insert via traditional aromatic functionalization reactions. As a result, several examples of oxidation of THQs into quinoline derivatives have been recently described through the use of heterogeneous polymaleimide (PMI),[11] cobalt oxide[12] or o-quinone-based[13] catalysts, or via photocatalysis using Ru-, Ir-, or TiO$_2$ photosensitizers.[14]

Nevertheless, such methods show drawbacks in terms of reaction yields and, not less important, the costs of the catalysts. Herein, we describe a new, milder, and more sustainable route to quinolines via the oxidative aromatization of THQs by MAO-N biocatalysts (Figure 1b). Although this transformation operates well for *N*-unsubstituted THQs, it was found that the presence of alkyl substituents on the THQs nitrogen posed hurdles toward the formation of quinolinium derivatives and in turn to 2-quinolone frameworks through further oxidation (Figure 1b).[15] A different biocatalytic strategy was therefore investigated to access *N*-alkylquinolinium compounds, namely, the oxidative cyclization/aromatization of *N*-cyclopropyl-*N*-alkylanilines (NCAs) using horseradish peroxidase (HRP). Even if HRP has been the major focus of numerous structural and mechanistic investigation, due to its unusual stability in aqueous solutions,[16] it has been rarely employed in the area of organic synthesis.[17] Moreover, a chemo-enzymatic approach to access 2-quinolone derivatives from NCAs in a one-pot two-steps cascade, combining HRP with K$_3$Fe(CN)$_6$, was developed. To the best of our

knowledge, this is the first example describing the direct conversion of *N*-cyclopropyl-*N*-alkylanilines into 2-quinolone scaffolds.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The MAO-N biocatalyzed oxidation of THQs was first investigated. THQ **1a** was initially dissolved in NaPBS buffer (pH = 7.8, 1.0 M) at 37 °C in the presence of DMSO as the cosolvent and treated with three whole cell MAO-N biocatalysts (variants D5, D9, and D11)[5,8] and a whole cell hydroxy-D-nicotine oxidase (HDNO)[8] (Table 1).

The variant MAO-N D11 proved to be the most efficient biocatalyst affording the quinoline **2a** with 56% conversion (Table 1, entries 1−4). Increasing the amount of MAO-N in the reaction mixture or adding MAO-N biocatalyst in portions did not affect the reaction efficiency in a significant manner (Table 1, entries 5−6). Shorter reaction times provided the quinoline **2a** in lower conversion (Table 1, entry 7), while no improvement in the formation of the quinoline product was observed when the reaction was carried out for more than 7 days. To exclude the possibility that H$_2$O$_2$ produced during the biocatalytic oxidation could induce the oxidation of the THQ **1a**, catalase was added to the biotransformation mixture (Table 1, entry 8). Quinoline **2a** was obtained with 52% conversion in the presence of catalase, showing that H$_2$O$_2$ did not affect the aromatization of **1a**. Finally, two blank experiments without MAO-N or in the presence of *E. coli* BL21(DE3) cells harboring no MAO-N enzymes were carried out, confirming

Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions of the Biocatalytic Aromatization of THQs[a]


entry	MAO-N	conv.[b]
1	D5	22
2	D9	43
3	D11	56
4	HDNO	17
5[c]	D11	50
6[d]	D11	53
7[e]	D11	39
8[f]	D11	52
9	without MAO-N	0
10[g]	E. coli BL21(DE3) cells	0

[a]Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.2 mmol), freeze-dried MAO-N whole cells (190 mg), buffer (Na$_2$HPO$_4$/NaH$_2$PO$_4$, pH = 7.8, 1.0 M) (3.0 mL), DMSO (50 μL), air, 37 °C, 7 days. [b]Conversion was determined by ^{1}H NMR integration of the crude mixture. [c]95 mg of freeze-dried MAO-N D11 whole cells was added at the beginning of the reaction, and then, another 95 mg of freeze-dried MAO-N D11 whole cells was added at the third day of the reaction. [d]190 mg of freeze-dried MAO-N D11 whole cells was added at the beginning of the reaction, and then, another 190 mg of freeze-dried MAO-N D11 whole cells was added after 72 h. [e]The reaction was stopped after 96 h. [f]2 mg of catalase was added. [g]E. coli BL21(DE3) cells harboring no MAO-N enzymes were used.

Table 2. Substrate Scope of the MAO-N Biocatalytic Aromatization of THQs[a]

[a]Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.2 mmol), freeze-dried MAO-N D11 whole cells (190 mg), buffer (Na$_2$HPO$_4$/NaH$_2$PO$_4$, pH = 7.8, 1.0 M) (3.0 mL), DMSO (50 μL), air, 37 °C, 7 days; conversion was determined by the ^{1}H NMR integration of the crude mixture. [b]Purified MAO-N D11 was used. [c]Isolated yield based on the recovery starting material. [d]The reaction was performed in 15 mL of buffer with 250 μL DMSO.

that the oxidation of **1a** is catalyzed by the MAO-N enzyme (Table 1, entries 9−10).

With the optimal conditions in hand, the reaction scope of the biocatalyzed aromatization of THQs was investigated (Table 2). A series of commercially available THQs bearing different substituents were treated with MAO-N D11 whole cells. Both the electronic nature and the substituents on the THQ backbone dramatically affected the oxidation reaction. Electron-donating substituents favored the aromatization of THQs affording the quinolines **2b−2f** with good conversions (up to 84%). On the other hand, the THQs bearing a halogen substituent (F, Cl, Br) on the aromatic ring were poorly converted into the corresponding derivatives **2g**, **2h**, and **2j**. Interestingly, the position of the substituents on the THQs also affects the reaction as shown by the 6-MeO-quinoline **2c** which was obtained with 69% conversion, while the analogue 7-MeO-quinoline **2i** resulted in only 11% conversion.

The effect of the substituent position is clear in the series of the methyl-substituted THQs **1b** and **1k−m**. The quinolines bearing the methyl group at positions C6 (**2b**) and C2 (**2l**) were obtained with good conversions (60 and 52%, respectively) while the derivatives **2k** and **2m** bearing the methyl substituent at C8 and C4, respectively, were formed in low yields (13% for **2m**) or not formed at all (**2k**). In order to evaluate if the conversion of THQs into quinolines could be affected by the use of the whole cell biocatalyst, the substrates **1b**, **1c**, and **1f** were treated with the purified MAO-N D11 enzyme. In all cases, the quinoline derivatives **2b**, **2c**, and **2f** were formed with conversions similar to those obtained in the whole cell-catalyzed biotransformations. The scalability of this protocol was successfully demonstrated on substrates **1b** and **1e** by performing the reaction on 1 mmol scale, leading to the

desired quinolines **2b** and **2e** with high yields. Finally, the MAO-N aromatization strategy was extended to the N-methyl derivative of **1a**. However, despite several attempts, the desired quinolinium ion **4a** was not obtained via MAO-N biocatalyzed aromatization.

In order to rationalize the results of the biocatalytic transformations and to determine if the different conversions observed were due to the diverse binding interactions of the THQs with the MAO-N active site, or to an electronic factor, or a combination of the two, a series of in silico studies was carried out.

According to the generally accepted mechanism of the MAO-N catalyzed oxidation,[18,8c] the abstraction of a hydride from the methylene group in the α-position to the nitrogen of the THQ by the FAD cofactor represents the initial step of the catalytic cycle. All the substrates **1b−1h** bearing a substituent at C6 showed a similar and consistent binding mode to the MAO-N D11 catalytic site, with the methylene group at the α position of the THQ nitrogen correctly oriented toward the FAD cofactor (Figure 2A,B, compounds **1c** and **1h**).

A closer evaluation of the electrostatic potential surface (EPS) of substrate **1c** shows a high electron density localized on its nitrogen-containing ring and on its α-methylene group which may favor the abstraction of the hydride unit by the FAD (Figure 3A).

In contrast, the presence of a Br substituent in **1h** withdraws electrons from the α-methylene group reducing its electron density (Figure 3B). As a consequence, the hydride abstraction step by the FAD cofactor and the following aromatization is facilitated for the THQ **1c** as compared to **1h**, accounting for

Figure 2. Proposed binding modes for compounds (A) **1c**, (B) **1h**,(C) **1i**, (D) (**R**)-**1l**, and (E) (**S**)-**1l** in the MAO-N D11 catalytic site (PDB ID: 3ZDN). Carbon atoms of compound **1c** are shown in purple, compound **1h** in dark green, compound **1i** in gold, compound (**R**)-**1l** in teal, and compound (**S**)-**1l** in green. The binding area of the catalytic site is represented as a transparent surface. FAD is represented as a ball-and-stick model. Nitrogen atoms of **1c**, **1h**, **1i**, (**R**)-**1l**, (**S**)-**1l** and FAD are shown in blue. The α-methylene group is shown as black ball. The nitrogen atom of **1c** and **1h** is oriented toward the FAD nitrogen and carbon atom (represented as a black atom) involved in the mechanism of the reaction, whereas the presence of 7-MeO group forces compound **1i** to adopt several possible binding modes, but none of them in line with the plausible mechanism of MAO-N biocatalytic aromatization. The methyl substituent on C2 is not impeding a correct binding to the active site for both **1l** enantiomers, but only the (**S**)-enantiomer has the α-hydride facing the FAD cofactor, while the (**R**)-enantiomer present the α-hydride pointing away.


Figure 3. Electrostatic potential surface (EPS) for (A) **1c**, (B) **1h**, and (C) **1i**.

the different conversions observed (65 versus 9%). This finding suggests the importance of the electronic factor over the binding site occupation for the substrates bearing a substituent at position C6. Differently, the lower conversions observed for the substrates **1i**−**1m** bearing substituents in different positions than C6 seem to be due mainly to their diverse binding interactions with the MAO-N binding site. In fact, the difference in the abundance of electrons around the nitrogen atom and the α-methylene group between **1c** and its

C7 analogue **1i** is not substantial and both substrates have comparable EPS (Figure 3A,C). However, moving the methoxy group from C6 to C7 forces **1i** to modify its occupation of the MAO-N site and to adopt several possible binding modes, none of which is however in line with the plausible mechanism of the biocatalytic aromatization (Figure 2C). To accommodate the 7-MeO group in the MAO-N binding pocket, **1i** moves the α-methylene group and the nitrogen atom away from the FAD, thus potentially affecting

the ability of the cofactor to abstract the α-hydride and leading in turn to quinoline **2i** in poor yields. Similarly, the presence of a substituent on the C8 (**1k**), C4 (**1m**), or directly on the THQ nitrogen (*N*-Met-**1a**) does not allow the correct orientation of these molecules (Figure S4A−D) in the MAO-N binding site. Also, in these cases, the electronic factor seems to be less relevant for the biotransformation outcomes (Figure S5).

Figure 4 shows how the addition of electron-withdrawing and electron-donating groups to the THQ core influences the


Figure 4. Fukui functions showing the relation between the nucleophilicity N index of THQs and the conversions of the MAO-N biocatalyzed aromatization. The nucleophilicity index N prediction was performed using Multiwfn based on the HOMO energies obtained within the Kohn−Sham scheme and defined as N = $E_{HOMO(Nu)} − E_{HOMO(TCE)}$.

nucleophilicity of the α-methylene group and their consequent conversion to quinolines. Overall, there is a linear correspondence between the nucleophilicity index of the α-methylene group and the observed conversions, confirming that the electronic factor plays an important role, at different degrees, in the biotransformation outcomes (e.g., it is the main factor for compound **1c**, but it is less relevant for **1i**). All these data suggest that the MAO-N aromatization of THQs may be affected both by steric and electronic factors as well as by the binding mode of the substrates within the enzyme catalytic pocket.

Finally, the aromatization of the racemic THQ **1l** was analyzed. The docking results obtained show that the methyl substituent on C2 of **1l** does not obstruct a correct binding to the active site of MAO-N D11 and suggest that the (*S*)-enantiomer of **1l** could potentially bind the MAO-N binding pocket better than the (*R*)-enantiomer. In fact, while both enantiomers occupy the MAO-N binding site in a similar manner, only the (*S*)-enantiomer has the α-hydride facing the FAD cofactor, while the (*R*)-enantiomer presents the α-hydride pointing away (Figure 2ED). However, the unreacted THQ **1l** was recovered at the end of the biocatalytic reaction as a racemic mixture, thus suggesting that the (*R*)-enantiomer modifies its occupation of the binding site during the biotransformation.

From previous experiments, the biocatalyst MAO-N D11 was unable to convert the substrate *N*-Me-**1a** into the corresponding quinolinium product **4a** (Table 2). Inspired by former studies on the cytochrome P450 and HRP-mediated metabolism of *N*-cyclopropyl-anilines[18] as well as on the Pd-

mediated synthesis of dihydroquinolines from phenyl cyclopropyl carbamates,[19] we decided to explore an alternative biocatalytic pathway to synthesize *N*-alkyl-quinolinium compounds **4** through an HRP-catalyzed cyclization/aromatization of *N*-cyclopropyl-*N*-alkylanilines substrates (Table 3). *N*-

Table 3. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions of the Biocatalytic Cyclization/Aromatization of *N*-Cyclopropyl-*N*-methylaniline[a]

entry	cosolvent	peroxide[c]	yield (%)[b]
1		H_2O_2	54
2	acetone	H_2O_2	67
3	THF	H_2O_2	50
4	DCE	H_2O_2	28
5	MeCN	H_2O_2	37
6	DMSO	H_2O_2	36
7	acetone	UHP	56
8	acetone	oxone	0
9	acetone	mCPBA	0
10	acetone		0
11[d]	acetone	H_2O_2	<10
12[e]	acetone	H_2O_2	33
13[f]	acetone	H_2O_2	52
14[g]	acetone	H_2O_2	58
15[h]	acetone	H_2O_2	61
16[i]	acetone	H_2O_2	60
17[i,j]	acetone	H_2O_2	67

[a]Reaction conditions: **3a** (0.08 mmol, 1 equiv), NaPBS (pH = 5.5, 0.4 M, 1 mL), cosolvent (1% v/v), peroxide (2.5 equiv), 100 μL HRP (4 mg per 1 mL NaPBS, 240 U/mg), rt, 2 h. [b]NMR yield determined by ^{1}H-NMR with sodium 4-methylbenzenesulfonate as the internal standard. [c]Unless otherwise specified, 30% H_2O_2 was used. [d]No HRP was added. [e]10 μL 30% H_2O_2 was used. [f]30 μL 30% H_2O_2 was used. [g]The reaction was carried out under N_2. [h]50 μL HRP was added after 0.5 h. [i]100 μL HRP was added after 0.5 h. [j]2 mL NaPBS and 20 μL acetone were used.

cyclopropyl-*N*-methylaniline **3a**[20] was initially investigated and treated with HRP and H_2O_2 in NaPBS buffer (pH = 5.5) in the presence of different cosolvents. Although the reaction proceeds in the absence of any organic cosolvent (Table 3, *entry 1*) affording the quinolinium **4a** in 54% NMR yield, the addition of acetone as the cosolvent proved to be beneficial, increasing the yield of **4a** to 67% (Table 3, *entry 2*). Lower yields were observed instead when other cosolvents were used (Table 3, *entries 3−-6*). Different oxidants than H_2O_2, such as the urea-hydrogen peroxide adduct (UHP), oxone, and *meta*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (*m*CPBA) were then tested. When UHP was used, quinolinium **4a** was formed with 56% yield, comparable to the data obtained with H_2O_2 (Table 3, *entry 7*). On the other hand, no formation of **4a** was achieved with oxone and *m*CPBA (Table 3, *entries 8−9*). Importantly, no desired product **4a** was detected in the absence of H_2O_2, thus suggesting that the reaction is catalyzed by the HRP activated by the peroxide (Table 3, *entry 10*). Furthermore, when the biocatalytic cyclization/aromatization reaction was carried out without HRP and in the presence of sole H_2O_2, only a low amount of the desired product **4a** was

observed (Table 3, entry 11). Lowering or increasing the amount of hydrogen peroxide led to inferior results (Table 3, entries 12−13).[21]

The nitrogen atmosphere was also unhelpful to improve the yield of 4a (Table 3, entry 14), as well as increasing the loading of HRP or the volume of the buffer had no obvious effect on the yield of this biotransformation (Table 3, entries 15−17).

The scope and versatility of the HRP-catalyzed cyclization/aromatization strategy were then explored. A range of N-cyclopropyl-N-alkylanilines 3a−n bearing different substituents on the phenyl ring were prepared and treated with HRP/H_2O_2 under the previously identified optimal reaction conditions (Table 4).

Table 4. Substrate Scope of the Cyclization/Aromatization of N-Cyclopropyl-N-alkylanilines 3[a,b]

4a, 67%   4b, 50%   4c, 8%   4d, 38%

4e, 40%   4f, 38%   4g/g', 58%

4h/h', 45%   4i/i', 43%

4j, trace   4k, 83%   4l, 77%   4m, R = Et, 55% 4n, R = Bn, 20%

[a]Reaction conditions: 3 (0.08 mmol, 1 equiv), 2 mL NaPBS (pH = 5.5, 0.4 M), 20 μL acetone, 20 μL H_2O_2 (30%), 100 μL HRP (4 mg per 1 mL NaPBS, 240 U/mg) and then 50 μL after 0.5 h, rt. [b]NMR yields determined by ^{1}H NMR with sodium 4-methylbenzenesulfonate as the internal standard.

As a general trend, rather than the nature of the substituent (electron-donating groups, halogens, phenyl), the cyclization/aromatization was more affected by the position of the substituents on the phenyl ring. The para-Me-substituted derivative 3b led to quinolinium 4b with good 50% yield, while the aniline 3c bearing a MeO-group at the para-position on the phenyl ring was converted to 4c with low yield. It was found that 4c was quite unstable even under air conditions, due to its electron-rich properties, and this may have affected the outcome of the reaction. On the other hand, aniline 3g bearing a MeO-group at the meta-position was converted into an inseparable mixture of the isomers 4g/4 g' (1:1 ratio) with good 58% yield, thus highlighting the effect of the substituents on the outcome of the reaction. Similarly, the di-substituted anilines 3j (ortho-para-dimethyl) and 3k (meta-dimethyl)

showed opposite reactivity. No reaction occurred for substrate 3j, showing that a second substituent at the ortho-position was detrimental for the biotransformation. Such an effect, especially if compared with substrate 3b, may be attributed to steric hindrance. In contrast, derivative 3k was converted into quinolinium 4k with excellent 83% yield.

The anilines 3d, 3e, and 3f bearing, respectively, a phenyl, a fluorine, and a chlorine substituent at the para-position of the phenyl ring were all converted into the corresponding quinolinium ions 4d−f with good yields, while both the F- and Cl-meta-substituted anilines 3h and 3i were converted with good yields into the corresponding 4h/4h' and 3i/3i' as isomeric mixtures (1:1 ratio of 5- and 7-substituted quinolinium ions). Remarkably, the tricyclic product 4L was smoothly synthesized in 77% yield. Finally, the two N-substituted anilines 3m−n, bearing an Et or Bn group on the N-atom, were also investigated. Both anilines were converted into the N-alkyl quinolinium ions 4m and 4n, respectively, in good-moderate yields.[22]

Based on previous reports[23] and our experiments, a proposed mechanism for the HRP biocatalyzed cyclization/aromatization of N-cyclopropyl-N-alkylanilines 3 was proposed (Scheme 1). Initially, the rapid transfer of an oxygen atom from H_2O_2 to the ferric heme cofactor of HRP produced a porphyrin cation-radical/oxoiron complex HRP-Fe=O and a molecule of water. The amine substrates were oxidized by HRP-Fe=O through a single electron transfer (SET) process, giving rise to an N-center radical A and HRP-Fe−O$^{.-}$. In situ EPR experiments provided further evidence for the possible involvement of the HRP-Fe−O$^{.-}$ radical in this transformation. The ring opening of A formed the radical B which collapsed on the aromatic ring leading, after deprotonation, to the intermediate E. A second one-electron oxidation of E forms the enamine F, with the regeneration of HRP. The enamine F is ultimately oxidized by HRP-Fe-O$^{.-}$ into the final quinolinium ion 4a in a similar way.

Finally, the synthetic potential of this HRP biocatalyzed transformation was explored through the development of a chemo-enzymatic sequence to convert anilines 3 into 2-quinolone compound 5 in a two-step one-pot manner (Table 5).

Quinolinium ions are reactive substrates which can be further carbonylated into quinolone derivates through the addition of $K_3Fe(CN)_6$.[24] The four N-cyclopropyl-N-alkylanilines which gave the best results in the substrate scope screening were treated with HRP and H_2O_2 and then added with $K_3Fe(CN)_6$ and NaOH. The 2-quinolone derivates 5a, 5e, 5k, and 5m (Table 5) were all obtained with good isolated yields from the corresponding anilines in a one-pot two-step chemo-enzymatic cascade. The tricyclic product 5l was also obtained and isolated from the chemo-enzymatic cascade, albeit with a lower yield.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have developed two new biocatalytic methodologies for the synthesis and construction of quinoline and 2-quinolone heterocycles using two different oxidase enzymes. A series of quinoline derivatives were obtained from 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline substrates using monoamine oxidase (MAO-N) biocatalysts in good yields. Computational studies highlighted that the MAO-N biotransformation may be affected both by steric and binding effects as well as by the electronic properties of the THQ substrates. In parallel, HPR

Scheme 1. Proposed Mechanism for the HRP-Catalyzed Oxidation Process

Table 5. Chemo-Enzymatic Cascade for the Synthesis of 2-Quinolones 5[a]

[a]Two steps one-pot cascade. K₃Fe(CN)₆ was added after the completion of the first biocatalytic step.

was successfully employed in the construction of a range of quinolinium derivatives from *N*-cyclopropyl-*N*-alkylaniline substrates through a cyclization/aromatization radical cascade, highlighting the possibility to construct heteroaromatic rings from aliphatic substrates. Furthermore, a chemo-enzymatic sequence was designed and successfully developed to convert *N*-cyclopropyl-*N*-alkylanilines into 2-quinolones in a one-pot procedure. These results further confirm the key role of biocatalysis in the synthesis of a variety of organic molecules, including nonchiral heteroaromatic compounds.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Ⓢ Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acscatal.2c05902.

> Experimental details; procedures; and copies of spectra for new compounds (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Daniele Castagnolo — *Department of Chemistry, University College London, London WC1H 0AJ, U.K.;* Ⓞ orcid.org/0000-0002-7517-5732; Email: d.castagnolo@ucl.ac.uk

Authors

Haoyue Xiang — *Department of Chemistry, University College London, London WC1H 0AJ, U.K.;* Present
Address: College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Central South University, Changsha 410083, P. R. China

Salvatore Ferla — *Medical School, Faculty of Medicine, Health and Life Science, Swansea University, Swansea SA2 8PP, U.K.*

Carmine Varricchio — *School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF10 3NB, U.K.;* Ⓞ orcid.org/0000-0002-1673-4768

Andrea Brancale — *School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF10 3NB, U.K.; University of Chemistry and Technology, Prague, 166 28 Prague 6, Czech Republic;* Ⓞ orcid.org/0000-0002-9728-3419

Nicola L. Brown − *Department of Applied Sciences, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 8ST, U.K.*

Gary W. Black − *Department of Applied Sciences, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 8ST, U.K.*

Nicholas J. Turner − *Department of Chemistry, University of Manchester, Manchester Institute of Biotechnology, Manchester M1 7DN, U.K.;* ⓘ orcid.org/0000-0002-8708-0781

Complete contact information is available at:
https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acscatal.2c05902

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ABBREVIATIONS

MAO-N monoamine oxidase
NCA *N*-cyclopropyl-*N*-alkylaniline
HRP horseradish peroxidase
UHP urea-hydrogen peroxide
*m*CPBA *meta*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Crabtree, R. H. Homogeneous Transition Metal Catalysis of Acceptorless Dehydrogenative Alcohol Oxidation: Applications in Hydrogen Storage and to Heterocycle Synthesis. *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 9228−9246. (b) Qi, M. Y.; Conte, M.; Anpo, M.; Tang, Z. R.; Xu, Y. J. Cooperative Coupling of Oxidative Organic Synthesis and Hydrogen Production over Semiconductor-Based Photocatalysts. *Chem. Rev.* **2021**, *121*, 13051−13085.

(2) (a) Nicolaou, K. C.; Mathison, C. J.; Montagnon, T. New reactions of IBX: oxidation of nitrogen- and sulfur-containing substrates to afford useful synthetic intermediates. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 4077−4082. (b) Richter, H.; Garcia Mancheno, O. TEMPO oxoammonium salt-mediated dehydrogenative Povarov/oxidation tandem reaction of N-alkyl anilines. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 6066−6069. (c) Chen, Y.; Xiang, H.; Tan, C.; Xie, Y.; Yang, C. A tandem copper (II)-promoted synthesis of 2-substituted pyrrolo[2,1-f][1,2,4] triazin-4(3H)-ones. *Tetrahedron* **2013**, *69*, 2714−2719. (d) Jia, X.; Zhu, Y.; Yuan, Y.; Zhang, X.; Lü, S.; Zhang, L.; Luo, L. C−H Activation Relay (CHAR): An Efficient Construction of Isatin Skeleton by Aerobic Oxidation of Glycine Esters. *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 6033−6036. (e) Gao, Y.; Yang, S.; Huo, Y.; Chen, Q.; Li, X.; Hu, X.-Q. NiH-Catalyzed Hydroamination/Cyclization Cascade: Rapid Access to Quinolines. *ACS Catal.* **2021**, *11*, 7772−7779. (f) Yang, T.; Nie, Z. W.; Su, M. D.; Li, H.; Luo, W. P.; Liu, Q.; Guo, C. C. Unexpected Annulation between 2-Aminobenzyl Alcohols and Benzaldehydes in the Presence of DMSO: Regioselective Synthesis of Substituted Quinolines. *J. Org. Chem.* **2021**, *86*, 15228−15241.

(3) (a) Dong, J.; Fernandez-Fueyo, E.; Hollmann, F.; Paul, C. E.; Pesic, M.; Schmidt, S.; Wang, Y.; Younes, S.; Zhang, W. Biocatalytic Oxidation Reactions: A Chemist's Perspective. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 9238−9261. (b) Tang, H.; Tang, Y.; Kurnikov, I. V.; Liao, H. J.; Chan, N. L.; Kurnikova, M. G.; Guo, Y.; Chang, W. C. Harnessing the Substrate Promiscuity of Dioxygenase AsqJ and Developing Efficient Chemoenzymatic Synthesis for Quinolones. *ACS Catal.* **2021**, *11*, 7186−7192.

(4) Heath, R. S.; Turner, N. J. Recent Advances in Oxidase Biocatalysts: Enzyme Discovery, Cascade Reactions and Scale up. *Curr. Opin. Green Sustainable Chem.* **2022**, *38*, No. 100693.

(5) Batista, V. F.; Galman, J. L.; Pinto, D. C. G. A.; Silva, A. M. S.; Turner, N. J. Monoamine Oxidase: Tunable Activity for Amine Resolution and Functionalization. *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 11889−11907.

(6) Heath, R. S.; Sangster, J. J.; Turner, N. J. An Engineered Cholesterol Oxidase Catalyses Enantioselective Oxidation of Non-steroidal Secondary Alcohols. *ChemBioChem* **2022**, *23*, No. e202200075.

(7) (a) Miranda, A. S.; Milagre, C. D. F.; Hollmann, F. Alcohol Dehydrogenases as Catalysts in Organic Synthesis. *Front. Catal.* **2022**, *2*, No. 900554. (b) de Gonzalo, G.; Paul, C. E. Recent Trends in Synthetic Enzymatic Cascades Promoted by Alcohol Dehydrogenases. *Curr. Opin. Green Sustainable Chem.* **2021**, *32*, No. 100548.

(8) (a) Scalacci, N.; Black, G. W.; Mattedi, G.; Brown, N. L.; Turner, N. J.; Castagnolo, D. Unveiling the Biocatalytic Aromatizing Activity of Monoamine Oxidases MAO-N and 6-HDNO: Development of Chemoenzymatic Cascades for the Synthesis of Pyrroles. *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7*, 1295−1300. (b) Toscani, A.; Risi, C.; Black, G. W.; Brown, N. L.; Shaaban, A.; Turner, N. J.; Castagnolo, D. Monoamine Oxidase (MAO-N) Whole Cell Biocatalyzed Aromatization of 1,2,5,6-Tetrahydropyridines into Pyridines. *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 8781−8787. (c) Zhao, F.; Masci, D.; Ferla, S.; Varricchio, C.; Brancale, A.; Colonna, S.; Black, G. W.; Turner, N. J.; Castagnolo, D. Monoamine Oxidase (MAO-N) Biocatalyzed Synthesis of Indoles from Indolines Prepared via Photocatalytic Cyclization/Arylative Dearomatization. *ACS Catal.* **2020**, *10*, 6414−6421. (d) Risi, C.; Zhao, F.; Castagnolo, D. Chemo-Enzymatic Metathesis/Aromatization Cascades for the Synthesis of Furans: Disclosing the Aromatizing Activity of Laccase/TEMPO in Oxygen-Containing Heterocycles. *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9*, 7264−7269.

(9) Jin, Y.; Ou, L.; Yang, H.; Fu, H. Visible-Light-Mediated Aerobic Oxidation of N-Alkylpyridinium Salts under Organic Photocatalysis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 14237−14243.

(10) (a) Yamaguchi, R.; Ikeda, C.; Takahashi, Y.; Fujita, K. Homogeneous catalytic system for reversible dehydrogenation-hydrogenation reactions of nitrogen heterocycles with reversible interconversion of catalytic species. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 8410−8412. (b) Wu, Y.; Yi, H.; Lei, A. Electrochemical Acceptorless Dehydrogenation of N-Heterocycles Utilizing TEMPO as Organo-Electrocatalyst. *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 1192−1196. (c) Liao, C.; Li, X.; Yao, K.; Yuan, Z.; Chi, Q.; Zhang, Z. Efficient Oxidative Dehydrogenation of N-Heterocycles over Nitrogen-Doped Carbon-Supported Cobalt Nanoparticles. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2019**, *7*, 13646−13654. (d) Balayeva, N. O.; Mamiyev, Z.; Dillert, R.; Zheng, N.; Bahnemann, D. W. Rh/TiO2-Photocatalyzed Acceptorless Dehydrogenation of N-Heterocycles upon Visible-Light Illumination. *ACS Catal.* **2020**, *10*, 5542−5553. (e) Pang, S.; Liu, F.; Zhang, Y.; Dong, Z.; Su, Q.; Wang, W.; Li, Z.; Zhou, F.; Wang, Y. Construction of Functional Superhydrophobic Biochars as Hydrogen Transfer Catalysts for Dehydrogenation of N-Heterocycles. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2021**, *9*, 9062−9077. (f) Mejuto, C.; Ibanez-Ibanez, L.; Guisado-Barrios, G.; Mata, J. A. Visible-Light-Promoted Iridium(III)-Catalyzed Acceptorless Dehydrogenation of N-Heterocycles at Room Temperature. *ACS Catal.* **2022**, *12*, 6238−6245.

(11) Zhang, Y.; Pang, S.; Wei, Z.; Jiao, H.; Dai, X.; Wang, H.; Shi, F. Synthesis of a Molecularly Defined Single-Active Site Heterogeneous

Catalyst for Selective Oxidation of N-Heterocycles. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 1465.

(12) Iosub, A. V.; Stahl, S. S. Catalytic Aerobic Dehydrogenation of Nitrogen Heterocycles Using Heterogeneous Cobalt Oxide Supported on Nitrogen-Doped Carbon. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 4404−4407.

(13) Wendlandt, A. E.; Stahl, S. S. Modular o-Quinone Catalyst System for Dehydrogenation of Tetrahydroquinolines under Ambient Conditions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 11910−11913.

(14) (a) Torregrosa-Chinillach, A.; Chinchilla, R. Visible Light-Induced Aerobic Oxidative Dehydrogenation of C−N/C−O to C=N/C=O Bonds Using Metal-Free Photocatalysts: Recent Developments. *Molecules* **2022**, *27*, 497. (b) Chen, S.; Wan, Q.; Badu-Tawiah, A. K. Picomole-Scale Real-Time Photoreaction Screening: Discovery of the Visible-Light-Promoted Dehydrogenation of Tetrahydroquinolines under Ambient Conditions. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 9345−9349. (c) Mejuto, C.; Ibáñez-Ibáñez, L.; Guisado-Barrios, G.; Mata, J. A. Visible-Light-Promoted Iridium(III)-Catalyzed Acceptorless Dehydrogenation of N-Heterocycles at Room Temperature. *ACS Catal.* **2022**, *12*, 6238−6245. (d) Balayeva, N. O.; Zheng, N.; Dillert, R.; Bahnemann, D. W. Visible-Light-Mediated Photocatalytic Aerobic Dehydrogenation of N-heterocycles by Surface-Grafted TiO$_2$ and 4-amino-TEMPO. *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9*, 10694−10704.

(15) (a) Zhou, Y.; Liu, W.; Xing, Z.; Guan, J.; Song, Z.; Peng, Y. External-photocatalyst-free visible-light-mediated aerobic oxidation and 1,4-bisfunctionalization of N-alkyl isoquinolinium salts. *Org. Chem. Front.* **2020**, *7*, 2405−2413. (b) Tang, J.; Chen, X.; Zhao, C. Q.; Li, W. J.; Li, S.; Zheng, X. L.; Yuan, M. L.; Fu, H. Y.; Li, R. X.; Chen, H. Iodination/Amidation of the N-Alkyl (Iso)quinolinium Salts. *J. Org. Chem.* **2021**, *86*, 716−730.

(16) (a) Berglund, J.; Pascher, T.; Winkler, J. R.; Gray, H. B. Photoinduced Oxidation of Horseradish Peroxidase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 2464−2469. (b) Lopes, G. R.; Pinto, D. C. G. A.; Silva, A. M. S. Horseradish peroxidase (HRP) as a tool in green chemistry. *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 37244−37265. (c) Totah, R. A.; Hanzlik, R. P. Non-oxidative decarboxylation of glycine derivatives by a peroxidase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 10000−10001. (d) Hynninen, P. H.; Kaartinen, V.; Kolehmainen, E. Horseradish peroxidase-catalyzed oxidation of chlorophyll a with hydrogen peroxide: characterization of the products and mechanism of the reaction. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **2010**, *1797*, 531−542.

(17) (a) Adam, W.; Hoch, U.; Saha-Möller, C. R.; Schreier, P. Enzyme-Catalyzed Asymmetric Synthesis: Kinetic Resolution of Chiral Hydroperoxides by Enantioselective Reduction to Alcohols with Horseradish Peroxidase. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1993**, *32*, 1737−1739. (b) Adam, W.; Hoch, U.; Lazarus, M.; Saha-Moeller, C. R.; Schreier, P. Enzyme-catalyzed asymmetric synthesis: kinetic resolution of racemic hydroperoxides by enantioselective reduction to alcohols with horseradish peroxidase and guiacol. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 11898−11901. (c) Zhang, H.; Trout, W. S.; Liu, S.; Andrade, G. A.; Hudson, D. A.; Scinto, S. L.; Dicker, K. T.; Li, Y.; Lazouski, N.; Rosenthal, J.; Thorpe, C.; Jia, X.; Fox, J. M. Rapid Bioorthogonal Chemistry Turn-on through Enzymatic or Long Wavelength Photocatalytic Activation of Tetrazine Ligation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 5978−5983.

(18) (a) Vianello, R.; Repič, M.; Mavri, J. How Are Biogenic Amines Metabolized by Monoamine Oxidases? *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *36*, 7057−7065. (b) Gu, G.; Collins, R.; Holsworth, D. D.; Walker, G. S.; Voorman, R. L. Metabolic Aromatization of N-Alkyl-1,2,3,4-Tetrahydroquinoline Substructures to Quinolinium by Human Liver Microsomes and Horseradish Peroxidase. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* **2006**, *34*, 2044−2055.

(19) Rousseaux, S.; Liégault, B.; Fagnou, K. Palladium(0)-Catalyzed Cyclopropane C−H Bond Functionalization: Synthesis of Quinoline and Tetrahydroquinoline Derivatives. *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 244−248.

(20) Nguyen, T. H.; Morris, S. A.; Zhen, N. Intermolecular [3+2] Annulation of Cyclopropylanilines with Alkynes, Enynes, and Diynes via Visible Light Photocatalysis. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2014**, *356*, 2831−2837.

(21) Attempts to improve the reaction yields by increasing the temperature to 37 °C or changing the buffer to NaPBS (pH = 7.8) were unsuccessful.

(22) The secondary N-cyclopropylaniline analogue of **3a**, bearing no alkyl group on the nitrogen (R^3 = H), was also reacted under the HRP biotransformation conditions. However, no formation of the corresponding quinoline **2a** was detected and only uncharacterized side products were obtained.

(23) (a) Das, P. K.; Caaveiro, J. M. M.; Luque, S.; Klibanov, A. M. Binding of Hydrophobic Hydroxamic Acids Enhances Peroxidase's Stereoselectivity in Nonaqueous Sulfoxidations. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 782−787. (b) Huang, J.; Sommers, E. M.; Kim-Shapiro, D. B.; King, S. B. Horseradish Peroxidase Catalyzed Nitric Oxide Formation from Hydroxyurea. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 3473−3480. (c) Huang, L.; Colas, C.; Ortiz de Montellano, P. R. Oxidation of Carboxylic Acids by Horseradish Peroxidase Results in Prosthetic Heme Modification and Inactivation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 12865−12873.

(24) (a) Nishiwaki, N. Chemistry of Nitroquinolones and Synthetic Application to Unnatural 1-Methyl-2-quinolone Derivatives. *Molecules* **2010**, *15*, 5174−5195. (b) Nishiwaki, N.; Tanaka, A.; Uchida, M.; Tohda, Y.; Ariga, M. cine-Substitution of 1-methyl-3,6,8-trinitro-2-quinolone. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1996**, *69*, 1377−1381.

⬚ Recommended by ACS