



Editorial: Age-Based Stereotype Threat Effects on Performance Outcomes

Hannah J. Swift^{1*}, Sarah J. Barber², Ruth A. Lamont³, David Weiss⁴ and Alison L. Chasteen⁵

¹ School of Psychology, University of Kent, Canterbury, United Kingdom, ² Department of Psychology, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA, United States, ³ College of Medicine and Health, University of Exeter, Exeter, United Kingdom, ⁴ Department of Psychology, Leipzig University, Leipzig, Germany, ⁵ Department of Psychology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

Keywords: age-based stereotype threat, performance, identity, memory, stereotype

Editorial on the Research Topic

Age-Based Stereotype Threat Effects on Performance Outcomes

INTRODUCTION

The United Nations General Assembly has declared 2021–2030 the decade of healthy aging (World Health Organization, 2021a), but although people are living longer than ever before, ageism and commonly held stereotypes about aging continue to be a risk to healthy aging. Stereotypes about older people can pose a serious challenge for how societies respond to population aging and they can impact adversely on older people's own aging trajectories (Levy, 2009; Swift et al., 2017; World Health Organization, 2021b). Adding to our understanding of the impact of age stereotypes, this special collection of articles focuses on age-based stereotype threat (ABST).

Since its inception in 1995, stereotype threat theory (e.g., Steele and Aronson, 1995) has helped shape the way we understand the impact of negative stereotypes. Stereotype threat is defined as a disruptive concern that arises *in situations* when individuals feel concerned or worried about the possibility of confirming or being judged in light of a negative stereotype. This in turn can result in heightened feelings of anxiety, stress, and worry (Schmader et al., 2008), which can lead to underperformance in task domains associated with the negative stereotype (Steele, 1997), and disengagement and avoidance of these situations or tasks in the future (Woodcock et al., 2012). Hundreds of studies have demonstrated the consequences of stereotype threat, sometimes referred to as *stereotype threat effects* on a range of outcomes and for a variety of negatively stereotyped populations (see Nguyen and Ryan, 2008; Nadler and Clark, 2011; Picho et al., 2013; Appel et al., 2015; Flore and Wicherts, 2015; Gentile et al., 2018 for meta-analytic research on stereotype threat effects).

Early work examining ABST was primarily done within the realm of cognition and focused on how experimental manipulations that highlight (or refute) common assumptions about age-related cognitive decline affect older adults' subsequent cognitive performance (Hess and Hinson, 2006). Subsequent meta-analytic reviews of these ABST studies have revealed reliable decrements for older adults for cognitive (Lamont et al., 2015) and memory performance (Armstrong et al., 2017) when age stereotypes inferring declining cognitive ability are highlighted. However, the magnitude of this effect can be dependent on several moderating factors, including type of experimental manipulation used, chronological age, age-group identification, age-based self-categorization (i.e., seeing the self as "older" rather than "younger"), essentialist beliefs about aging, and regulatory focus, all of which

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Susan Murphy,
University of Edinburgh,
United Kingdom

*Correspondence:

Hannah J. Swift
h.j.swift@kent.ac.uk

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Organizational Psychology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 10 September 2021

Accepted: 11 October 2021

Published: 02 November 2021

Citation:

Swift HJ, Barber SJ, Lamont RA,
Weiss D and Chasteen AL (2021)
Editorial: Age-Based Stereotype
Threat Effects on Performance
Outcomes.
Front. Psychol. 12:773615.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.773615

highlight the nuances of stereotype threat effects (Hess and Hinson, 2006; Kang and Chasteen, 2009; Haslam et al., 2012; Barber and Mather, 2013; Lamont et al., 2015; Armstrong et al., 2017; Weiss, 2018).

Beyond cognitive and memory tasks, the second most explored domain for ABST is physical performance, captured via measures of handgrip strength (e.g., Swift et al., 2012), walking (e.g., Chalabaev et al., 2020), and flexibility (Marquet et al., 2018). However, this research has revealed a mixed picture about the impact of age stereotypes on tasks requiring physical or motor action or skill. Some research has revealed significant effects of ABST on physical performance measures (e.g., Swift et al., 2012), while others have found no effect of ABST on physical performance outcomes (e.g., Marquet et al., 2018), or found that there are boundary conditions of ABST on physical tasks, with the effects being dependent on task difficulty and level of self-efficacy/confidence (Barber et al., 2020). These mixed results raise further questions about the nature of ABST, the methods used to capture these effects, and the moderators of the effects, all of which have implications for understanding the application of ABST in everyday contexts where both formal and informal tests of performance emerge (e.g., in employment and healthcare settings; Barber, 2020). This special collection on ABST set out to shed light on some of these issues. We believe the collection of nine articles add to our knowledge in four key areas covering manipulations used to elicit ABST, methodologies used to detect ABST, settings, and people affected by ABST and moderators of ABST.

The present body of work uses a diverse range of methods to explore ABST, including qualitative research (Lamont et al.), quantitative survey research (Gilet et al.; Manzi et al.), and longitudinal survey research (Mariano et al.). The articles within this collection have examined ABST in online (Atkinson et al.), lab-based (Fourquet et al.), and field-based experimental designs (Strickland-Hughes and West). Within the experimental research different types of manipulations are used, including both blatant (Fourquet et al.) and subtle manipulations of ABST (Atkinson et al.) as well as newly defined naturalistic stereotype manipulations explored by Strickland-Hughes and West. For further discussion on experimental manipulations and other methodological insights for ABST effects on memory, the current collection also includes a comprehensive narrative review by Mazerolle et al.

The articles are also diverse in the domains and outcomes they investigate, which range from memory (Mazerolle et al.; Strickland-Hughes and West), meta-memory (Fourquet et al.), emotional recognition (Atkinson et al.), engagement in technology (Mariano et al.), and self-rated performance and organizational relevant variables such as organizational identity and authenticity (Manzi et al.). Manzi et al.'s paper (Manzi et al.) explores the intersection between age and gender stereotype

threat on older workers from diverse sample of organizations from Italy, they find that age and gender based stereotypes present a double-threat to women over fifty in the workplace. Lamont et al.'s paper (Lamont et al.) provides further insight into areas of life and domains where younger, middle aged and older adults perceive ABST. Across these articles, moderators are also examined, including educational attainment (Gilet et al.) and participant age (Fourquet et al.; Strickland-Hughes and West), and reviewed (Mazerolle et al.). These studies provide insights into the boundary effects of ABST, which is an issue raised by past research.

Taken together, this special collection both provides further support for the adverse effects of ABST, but also further information on its boundaries and applicability to informal performance contexts. Within these articles there are demonstrations of significant adverse effects of ABST on meta-memory (Fourquet et al.) and increase in false memories for highly educated older adults under ABST (Gilet et al.). Some articles reveal different outcomes of ABST, such as change in organizational identity and self-rated performance (Manzi et al.), and identify new or informal evaluative contexts and age groups that may be subject to ABST and its deleterious effects (Lamont et al.; Mariano et al.). One article also revealed older adult's emotional recognition ability was not impacted by ABST (Atkinson et al.). The majority of the research in the special collection, with the exception of Manzi et al. focuses only on age-identity based stereotype threat, with data collected from predominately White, western contexts (e.g., UK, France, Portugal, Italy, and US).

In the context of global population aging and workforce aging, we believe the research conducted in this special collection of studies make a compelling case that we need to combat negative age stereotypes and change narratives and expectations of aging, as well-inform and educate people so they have the ability to mitigate the influence of age stereotypes. This in turn should reduce vulnerability to negative ABST effects and increase the likelihood of healthy aging. Each article addresses new and important questions regarding the contexts and populations for which ABST effects occur, the strength of ABST effects, and provide a steer for progressing research in this area. We'd like to thank all the authors who contributed to this special collection and we hope you enjoy reading them and learning more about the intricacies of ABST.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

HS planned, conceptualized, and wrote the first draft of the article. SB, RL, DW, and AC contributed to the main text. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

REFERENCES

- Appel, M., Weber, S., and Kronberger, N. (2015). The influence of stereotype threat on immigrants: review and meta-analysis. *Front. Psychol.* 6:900. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00900
- Armstrong, B., Gallant, S. N., Li, L., Patel, K., and Wong, B. I. (2017). Stereotype threat effects on older adults' episodic and working memory: a meta-analysis. *Gerontologist* 57(Suppl. 2), S193–205. doi: 10.1093/geront/gnx056
- Barber, S. J. (2020). The applied implications of age-based stereotype threat for older adults. *J. Appl. Res. Mem. Cognit.* 9, 274–285. doi: 10.1016/j.jarmac.2020.05.002
- Barber, S. J., Hamel, K., Ketcham, C., Lui, K., and Taylor-Ketcham, N. (2020). The effects of stereotype threat on older adults' walking performance as a function of task difficulty and resource evaluations. *Psychol. Aging* 35, 250–266. doi: 10.1037/pag0000440
- Barber, S. J., and Mather, M. (2013). Stereotype threat can both enhance and impair older adults' memory. *Psychol. Sci.* 24, 2522–2529. doi: 10.1177/0956797613497023
- Chalabaev, A., Palluel, E., and Ruchaud, F. (2020). "The threat is in the head, not in the legs": activating negative age stereotypes generates extra cognitive load during walking. *Psychol. Sport Exerc.* 51:101740. doi: 10.1016/j.psychsport.2020.101740
- Flore, P. C., and Wicherts, J. M. (2015). Does stereotype threat influence performance of girls in stereotyped domains? A meta-analysis. *J. Sch. Psychol.* 53, 25–44. doi: 10.1016/j.jsp.2014.10.002
- Gentile, A., Boca, S., and Giammusso, I. (2018). 'You play like a woman! Effects of gender stereotype threat on women's performance in physical and sport activities: a meta-analysis. *Psychol. Sport Exerc.* 39, 95–103. doi: 10.1016/j.psychsport.2018.07.013
- Haslam, C., Morton, T. A., Haslam, S. A., Varnes, L., Graham, R., and Gamaz, L. (2012). "When the age is in, the wit is out." age-related self-categorization and deficit expectations reduce performance on clinical tests used in dementia assessment. *Psychol. Aging* 27, 778–784. doi: 10.1037/a0027754
- Hess, T. M., and Hinson, J. T. (2006). Age-related variation in the influences of aging stereotypes on memory in adulthood. *Psychol. Aging* 21, 621–625. doi: 10.1037/0882-7974.21.3.621
- Kang, S. K., and Chasteen, A. L. (2009). The moderating role of age- group identification and perceived threat on stereotype threat among older adults. *Int. J. Aging Hum. Dev.* 69, 201–220. doi: 10.2190/AG.69.3.c
- Lamont, R. A., Swift, H. J., and Abrams, D. (2015). A review and meta-analysis of age-based stereotype threat: negative stereotypes, not facts, do the damage. *Psychol. Aging* 30, 180–193. doi: 10.1037/a0038586
- Levy, B. (2009). Stereotype embodiment: a psychosocial approach to aging. *Curr. Direct. Psychol. Sci.* 18, 332–336. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8721.2009.01662.x
- Marquet, M., Boutayamou, M., Schwartz, C., Locquet, M., Bruyere, O., Croisier, J. L., et al. (2018). Does negative information about aging influence older adults' physical performance and subjective age? *Archiv. Gerontol. Geriatr.* 78, 181–189. doi: 10.1016/j.archger.2018.06.013
- Nadler, J. T., and Clark, M. H. (2011). Stereotype threat: a meta-analysis comparing African Americans to Hispanic Americans. *J. Appl. Soc. Psychol.* 41, 872–890. doi: 10.1111/j.1559-1816.2011.00739.x
- Nguyen, H. H. D., and Ryan, A. M. (2008). Does stereotype threat affect test performance of minorities and women? A meta-analysis of experimental evidence. *J. Appl. Psychol.* 93, 1314–1334. doi: 10.1037/a0012702
- Picho, K., Rodriguez, A., and Finnie, L. (2013). Exploring the moderating role of context on the mathematics performance of females under stereotype threat: a meta-analysis. *J. Soc. Psychol.* 153, 299–333. doi: 10.1080/00224545.2012.737380
- Schmader, T., Johns, M., and Forbes, C. (2008). An integrated process model of stereotype threat effects on performance. *Psychol. Rev.* 115, 336–356. doi: 10.1037/0033-295X.115.2.336
- Steele, C. M. (1997). A threat in the air: how stereotypes shape intellectual identity and performance. *Am. Psychol.* 52, 613–629. doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.52.6.613
- Steele, C. M., and Aronson, J. (1995). Stereotype threat and the intellectual test performance of African Americans. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* 69, 797–811. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.69.5.797
- Swift, H. J., Abrams, D., Lamont, R. A., and Drury, L. (2017). The risks of ageism model: How ageism and negative attitudes toward age can be a barrier to active aging. *Soc. Issues Policy Rev.* 11, 195–231. doi: 10.1111/sipr.12031
- Swift, H. J., Lamont, R. A., and Abrams, D. (2012). Are they half as strong as they used to be? An experiment testing whether age-related social comparisons impair older people's hand grip strength and persistence. *BMJ open*, 2, e001064. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001064
- Weiss, D. (2018). On the inevitability of aging: essentialist beliefs moderate the impact of negative age stereotypes on older adults' memory performance and physiological reactivity. *J. Gerontol. Ser. Psychol. Sci. Soc. Sci.* 73, 925–933. doi: 10.1093/geronb/gbw087
- Woodcock, A., Hernandez, P. R., Estrada, M., and Schultz, P. (2012). The consequences of chronic stereotype threat: domain disidentification and abandonment. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* 103, 635–646. doi: 10.1037/a0029120
- World Health Organization (2021a). *UN Decade of Healthy Ageing*. World Health Organisation. Available online at: <https://www.who.int/initiatives/decade-of-healthy-ageing> (accessed October 19, 2021).
- World Health Organization (2021b). *Global Report on Ageism*. World Health Organisation. Available online at: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/global-report-on-ageism> (accessed October 19, 2021).

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Swift, Barber, Lamont, Weiss and Chasteen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.