

**Review** Article



# Alpha-Amylase Immobilization: Methods and Challenges

Ladan Mafakher<sup>1</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, Yasin Ahmadi<sup>2</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, Javad Khalili Fard<sup>3,4</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, Sajjad Yazdansetad<sup>5</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, Sina Rezaei Gomari<sup>6</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, Babak Elyasi Far<sup>7,6</sup>

Alpha-amylase is one of the most widely used enzymes in the starch industry. However, industrial

application of soluble alpha-amylase is hampered by changes in pH and temperature (adverse

effects on enzyme stability) and activity loss, leading to higher costs. Immobilization of alpha-

amylase is an efficient strategy to reduce the enzyme losing and subsequently reduces costs in

this regard. Alpha-amylases are immobilized by adsorption, entrapment, covalent attachment,

and cross-linking. A barrier in alpha-amylase immobilization is the large size of its substrate,

namely amylose and amylopectin. Most of these immobilization methods decrease the affinity of

the enzyme for its substrate as well as the maximum rate of reaction  $(V_{max})$ . This review aims to

study different aspects of alpha-amylase including enzyme activity, applications, structure, starch,

immobilization methods, and immobilization's obstacles to improve alpha-amylase efficiency in

the industry and also lowering the costs related to providing this enzyme.

<sup>1</sup>Thalassemia and Hemoglobinopathy Research Center, Health Research Institute, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran.

<sup>2</sup>College of Sciences, Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, Komar University of Sciences and Technology, 46001, Sulaimani, Iraq.

<sup>3</sup>Razi Herbal Medicines Research Center, Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Khorramabad, Iran.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

<sup>5</sup>Laboratory Sciences Research Center, Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Gorgan, Iran.

<sup>6</sup>School of Computing, Engineering and Digital Technologies, Teesside University, Middlesbrough, UK.

Abstract

<sup>7</sup>Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, School of Medicine, Dezful University of Medical Sciences, Dezful, Iran.

#### Article Info

Article History: Received: 4 June 2022 Accepted: 4 September 2022 ePublished: 10 September 2022

Keywords: -Alpha-amylase -Immobilization -K<sub>m</sub> -Starch -V<sub>max</sub>

# Introduction

Enzymes are known as biocatalysts carrying out specific chemical reactions.<sup>1</sup> Several types of enzymes are used on the industrial scale, such as in food and beverage, pharmaceutical, laundry detergent, motor-fuel industry (bioethanol), and leather industry.<sup>2,3</sup> The biocatalysts used in industry are disposable and so impose extra costs of providing new enzymes.<sup>4,5</sup>

Immobilization of alpha-amylase is the most widespread strategy to increase the number of cycles using this enzyme. Immobilization saves enzyme, providing the possibility of more sophisticated and modern processes (such as fedbatch, continuous or fixed-bed processes), and a more convenient handling and longer storage period.<sup>6-8</sup>

The starch industry is the major industry consuming alpha-amylase. Although acid can be used for the digestion of starch, enzymes are more applicable to process starch due to mild reaction conditions and lack of secondary reactions.<sup>9</sup>

Several types of amylases, including alphaamylases,  $\beta$ -amylases, and glycoamylases are used in industry.<sup>10</sup> Alpha-amylases hydrolysis  $\alpha$ -1,4 bonds between glucose subunits, thereby cleaving branched/ unbranched starch. Among alpha-amylases, microbial alpha-amylases are more popular owing to advantages such as higher stability in harsh process conditions, easy genetic manipulation, and an inexpensive production.<sup>11,12</sup> Therefore, alpha-amylases produced by different bacteria such as *Bacillus licheniformis*, *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Aspergillus oryzae*, and *Rhizopus* sp. are widely used in different industrial sectors, including manufacturing detergents, paper, textile, and food industries.<sup>13,14</sup>

To increase the number of application cycles and improving their stability, alpha-amylases need to be immobilized, whereas immobilization imposes several limitations on the activity of enzymes and preparation processes. Also, immobilization influences kinetics parameters such as maximum reaction rate ( $V_{max}$ ) and Michaelis constant ( $K_m$ ). The  $K_m$  value shows the affinity of enzymes for substrates, and the low values highlight the higher affinity of the enzymes for substrates.<sup>15,16</sup> Given the growing importance of alpha-amylase in the starch industry, this review provides an overview of literature focusing on alpha-amylase immobilization and its difficulties.

\*Corresponding Author: Babak Elyasi Far, E-mail: B.elyasifar@gmail.com

©2023 The Author(s). This is an open access article and applies the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### Mafakher, et al.

#### **Amylase and Polymer Therapeutics**

There are several applications of amylase in the pharmaceutical industry. Dextrin and dextran as substrates of amylase are antithrombotic (antiplatelet) agents used to decrease blood viscosity and as a volume expander in patients with hypovolaemia.<sup>17</sup> Also, amylase and its associated polymer substrates are highly interested in polymer therapeutics, particularly the science of drug delivery science. Conjugation of these polymers with bioactive molecules protects other tissues from possible adverse effects of them, as well as protects biomolecules from being degraded, immunological interactions, or renal uptake. However, applying biodegradable polymer offers a strategy to release the active payload at the target site. Then, in a predictable and safe method, amylase breaks down these polymers.<sup>18</sup> The first utilization of dextrin as a protective polymer was to improve the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect of recombinant human epidermal growth factor; this complex (EGF-dextrin) would localize to the wound site, thereby accelerating wound healing.<sup>19,20</sup> An affordable, selective, and controlled release of bioactive molecules to the site of infection may be achieved by Dextrincolistin. There was a successful outcome in preclinical studies, while clinically less successful.<sup>21,22</sup>

To increase the targeted delivery, receptors on the surface of the cells can be exploited by adding tags to the polymers in use that recognize these receptors. Tilmanocept is an example; it is a mannosylated tagged dextran-based polymer providing an innovative therapeutic strategy for melanoma and breast cancer patients. Tilmanocept binds tightly to CD206 mannose receptors of the reticuloendothelial cells in lymph nodes.<sup>23</sup>

Also, alpha-amylase-replacement therapy is a proper therapeutic method in pancreatic insufficiency disorders like cystic fibrosis; in these patients, alpha-amylase is not secreted to the normal site of action. Thus, they are in urgent need of amylase replacement therapy.<sup>24</sup> On the contrary, alpha-amylase inhibitors (acarbose) are prescribed in type 2 diabetic patients, which mostly reduce the digestion of carbohydrates by inhibiting amylase and reducing blood glucose.<sup>25,26</sup> Similarly, Phaseolamin is an enteric amylase inhibitor used with the aim of weight loss.<sup>27</sup> Phaseolamine also was reported can be used to control hyperglycemia in diabetes.<sup>28</sup>

Finally, glucose, as the final product of amylase, has been supposed to underlie the effective inhibition of the production of the toxins related to gas gangrene.<sup>29</sup>

#### Safety Evaluation of Alpha-Amylases

Emergence in enzyme preparation technologies results from the advancement of science in protein engineering and molecular biology techniques so that microbially-derived enzymes are being used throughout the world in the food industry. After publishing considerations for evaluating human food safety of enzyme preparations in 1983, it was updated by developing recombinant DNA technology and adopted for its applications in animal feed. Moreover, its use in the Generally Recognized as Safe (GRAS) process for enzymes is peer-reviewed and clarified. According to these guidelines, the safety of enzyme preparations used in human and animal food was widely evaluated by peerreviewing based on published scientific studies concerning the history of safe use of enzymes, the establishment of Safe Strain Lineages to serve as their production strains, and well-known strain improvement methods.<sup>30-35</sup>

The majority of the toxicity evaluations of the alphaamylase enzyme preparations were based on three methods: 1-90-day oral toxicity testing in a rodent (generally in rat), 2- Ames test (*Salmonella typhimurium* and *Escherichia coli* strains), 3-Chromosomal aberration test

Previously safety evaluation was conducted for C16F alpha-amylase enzyme preparations derived from Bacillus licheniformis (whole broth or WB and clarified preparation or UFC). Oral toxicity testing for Whole Broth (WB) and Ultra-filtered Concentrate (UFC) were done in rat according to OECD (The Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development), and the systemic toxicity of C16F alpha-amylase preparations was evaluated in 90 days toxicological study. Moreover, Salmonella typhimurium strains TA98 and TA100 were used for the evaluation of the mutagenic effects of the preparations according to the Ames test. After 13 weeks of oral gavage, the safety of the alpha-amylase in both preparations doesn't induce systemic toxicity and is not mutagen.<sup>36</sup> In another study, Salmonella Typhimurium strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537, and Escherichia coli WP2uvrA, were used for the Ames test to evaluate mutagenic effects of alpha-amylase from the genetically modified Bacillus licheniformis strain DP-Dzb52. 90-day oral toxicity testing for the preparation was done in rats and revealed that the preparation can be considered safe under the intended conditions of use.<sup>37</sup>

# Alpha-Amylase Structure

Alpha-amylase hydrolyzes starch via internal a-1, 4-glycosidic bonds and produces maltotriose and maltose (from amylose) or glucose, maltose, and limit dextrins (from amylopectin). The molecular weight of most alpha-amylases is around 45-60 kDa.<sup>38</sup>

Alpha-amylases mainly belong to the family of GH13; also, the enzyme is classified in GH57, GH119, and GH126 families.<sup>39</sup> The family GH13 alpha-amylases possess catalytic machinery, retaining reaction mechanism, 4–7 conserved sequence regions (CSRs), and type of  $(\beta/\alpha)_{s}$ -barrel catalytic domain. The alpha-amylases classified in family GH57, as well as GH13, use the same retaining mechanism. Moreover, it has specific catalytic machinery, five CSRs, and a  $(\beta/\alpha)_{s}$ -barrel fold.<sup>39</sup>

The GH13 alpha-amylases generally have three domains consisting of domain A (which Contains  $(\beta/\alpha)_{g}$ -barrel domain as catalytic part), domain B, which is located between the strand  $\beta$ 3 and helix  $\alpha$ 3 of the  $(\beta/\alpha)_{g}$ -barrel domain, and domain C has a  $\beta$ -sheet structure attached to domain B via simple loop.<sup>40,41</sup> The active site of alpha-amylase locates in a cleft between domains A and B, and it

consists of three acidic amino acid residues, one glutamate (Glu), and two aspartate (Asp) residues. In alpha-amylase from *Aspergillus oryzae* (TAA), Glu230, as a general acid/ base catalyst, donates a proton to the leaving glycosidic oxygen group and provides a nucleophilic species for the dislocation of the glycoside. Asp206 (as the catalytic nucleophile) and Asp297 form a covalent intermediate and stabilization of the transition state, respectively.<sup>42,43</sup> Figure 1 shows the 3D structure of alpha-amylase from *A. oryzae* (PDB code: 2TAA).

# Starch, The Most Widely Used Substrate of Alpha-Amylase

Starch is a well-known carbohydrate resource in plants and a significant energy source. Starch is produced in the amyloplast of plants. The most important starch sources are tubers, roots, cereals, and rhizomes.<sup>44</sup> Starch consists of two parts, amylose and amylopectin. Amylose contains glucose monomers which are attached via  $\alpha$  (1-4) glycosidic bonds and amylopectin is polymerized via a (1-4) glycosidic bands and is branched by  $\alpha$  (1-6) glycosidic bands.45-47 Starch normally contains 15-30% amylose and 70-75% amylopectin; however, waxy starch have slight amount of amylose.48,49 According to digestibility, starches are classified in three groups of the rapidly digestible starch (RDS), slowly digestible starch (SDS) and resistant starch (RS).<sup>50</sup> Starch forms semi-crystalline granules having distinct morphology and size for in different plant species.44,51

The granule size is a determining factor of digestibility by alpha-amylase. Generally, due to the diffusion of amylase through the grain fragment, small starch granule is hydrolyzed faster than large granule.<sup>52,53</sup> Another important physical characteristic is molecular size. The amylopectin molecule contains an average of 2000000 glucose units, making it one of the most significant natural molecules; however, this enormous size makes immobilization of enzymes difficult.<sup>54,55</sup> Also, molecular size varies according



**Figure 1.** 3D structure of alpha-amylase from *A. oryzae* (TAA). The active site is depicted in red color Asp206, Glu230, and Asp297. The *N*- and the *C*-terminal are shown in blue and red, respectively.

Table 1. Some physical characteristics of different starch sources.

Starch source	Granule size (µm)	Amylose (%)	Ref.
Potato	5–100	25–31	
Sweet potato	7-28	19–20	
Maize	2–30	20–28	
Rice	3–8	17–29	
Wheat	15–35 (A granules), 2–10 (B granules)	17–34	
Barley	15–25 (A granules <b>),</b> 2–5 (B granules)	22–27	51,52,
Triticale	3–33	23–27	57-62
Sorghum	5–20	22–30	
Oat	3–10	18–29	
Rye	10–40 (A granules), 5–10 (B granules)	26–30	
Arrowroot	8-42	19–21	
Bean	8-55	23-39	
Sago	20-40	24-31	

to the starch sources. The largest and smallest types of amylose are from potato and cereal, respectively.<sup>51,56</sup> Table 1 shows the physical characteristics of different starch from various sources.

#### Immobilization of Alpha-Amylase

The greatest hindrance in applying alpha-amylase is its wasting during large-scale reactions causing the high cost of providing new enzymes.<sup>63</sup> Immobilization methods, such as entrapment, adsorption, cross-linking, and covalent attachment, are known to retrieve enzyme.<sup>64</sup> Furthermore, immobilization allows enzymatic material recovery and multiple reusing, lowering production costs and improving catalytic activity retention and enzyme stability.<sup>65</sup> The advantages and disadvantages of immobilization methods are mentioned in Table 2.

# Adsorption method

Among several enzyme immobilization methods, adsorption to solid carriers seems to be widely applicable. The physical interactions, including van der Waals forces, hydrogen bonding, and ionic interactions, are formed between the enzyme and carrier. The weak interactions keep the native structure of the enzyme and its activity.<sup>66,67</sup> Notably, selecting a carrier with reasonable cost, availability, stability, and reactivity is essential for establishing a good affinity between enzyme and carrier. Moreover, the physicochemical parameters of the carriers, including particle size, type of functional groups placed on the surface, surface area, and pore structure, should be regarded. The surface of carriers should provide the specific active groups causing the enzyme-carrier interactions. Also, it can be applied by intermediate agents (carrier modifiers) when specific active groups are absent.68

Carriers are classified into two groups, organic (such as chitosan, cellulose, chitin, and alginate) and inorganic (such as silica, hydroxyapatite, and titania).<sup>68</sup>

Silicas are one of the most common inorganic carriers

Method	Advantage	Disadvantage	Ref.
Adsorption method	The weak interactions keep native structure of the enzyme and its activity	Diffusional limitations and conformational changes	66-72
Entrapment method	Affordable and fast method requiring mild conditions, and protects the enzyme from me- chanical shear, hydrophobic solvents, and gas bubbles	Loss of enzyme activity and limitation in mass transfer and low-level enzyme load-ing.	73-76
Cross-linking method	Increased specific activity, greater volumet- ric activity per biocatalyst mass, more simple production, higher purity, less production costs, and less contaminations by the support	Low mechanical stability, low activity, poor reproducibility, and difficulties with handling	76-78
Covalent attachment method	Strong attachment of enzyme with carrier	Rigorous preparation condition and loss of enzyme activity due to reaction with toxic cross-linking reagents	74,79

Table 2.	advantage	and	disadvantage	of	immobilization	methods.
----------	-----------	-----	--------------	----	----------------	----------

Table 3. Effects of Immobilization by adsorption on the kinetic of alpha-amylase.

Alpha-amylase	Carrier	Carrier modifier	K <sub>m</sub>	V <sub>max</sub>	Ref.
Diastase alpha amylase from malt	Polypyrrole (PPy) particles	-	1.49 ± 0.05 mg/ml (Soluble enzyme, 0.50 ± 0.04)	$3.44 \pm 0.02$ mg/ml/min (Soluble en- zyme, 7.40 ± 0.05)	69
Bacillus subtilis	Mesoporous silica SBA-15	-	-	-	80
Bacillus species	Mesoporous silica thin film	-	-	-	81
Bacillus subtilis	Zirconia	-	AZ-1: 9.53 *10 <sup>-4</sup> mol/ml and AZ-2: 7.07 *10 <sup>-4</sup> mol/ml (Sol- uble enzyme, 2.51)	AZ-1: 0.15 .10 <sup>-4</sup> mol/ml/min and AZ- 2: 0.86 .10 <sup>-4</sup> mol/ml/min (Soluble enzyme, 1.02)	70
Bacillus subtilis	Alumina	-	AA-1: 4.67 *10 <sup>-4</sup> mol/ml and AA-2: 7.09 *10 <sup>-4</sup> mol/ml (Soluble enzyme, 2.51)	AA-1: 0.99 .10 <sup>-4</sup> mol/ml/min and AA- 2: 0.83 99 .10 <sup>-4</sup> mol/ml/min (Soluble enzyme, 1.02)	71

used to immobilize alpha-amylase. Table 3 shows several carriers used in the immobilization of enzymes by adsorption.

In the absorption method, carriers and enzymes need specific functional groups on their surface to achieve a successful enzyme immobilization.<sup>82-84</sup> Also, modifying agents have two reactive groups, one of them chemically interacts with the carrier, and the second one physically attaches to the enzyme. Bifunctional carbonyl compounds, such as glutaraldehyde, are widely used to immobilize enzymes by adsorption.<sup>85,86</sup> Reshmi *et al.*<sup>71</sup> immobilized alpha-amylase by adsorption method using alumina. Figure 2 shows immobilized alpha-amylase onto alumina surface.<sup>71</sup>

Most studies of immobilization by adsorption indicated an increased  $K_m$  and decreased  $V_{max}$  values compared to soluble alpha-amylase, probably due to diffusional limitations and conformational changes (see Table 3).<sup>68-71</sup>

#### **Entrapment method**

In this method, the enzyme is entrapped in the synthetic or natural polymeric porous membrane (such as gel and microencapsulation); substrates and the products freely diffuse through it (see Figure 3). This approach is an



Figure 2. Schematic of alpha-amylase immobilization by adsorption alumina surface

affordable and fast method requiring mild conditions and protects the enzyme from mechanical shear, hydrophobic solvents, and gas bubbles; however, it has a limitation in mass transfer and low-level enzyme loading.<sup>72,73</sup> A drawback of this method is the loss of enzyme activity; to tackle this problem, polymer porosity, surface functionality, network structure, and particle size needs to be modified.<sup>74,75</sup>

Pervez *et al.*<sup>87</sup> prepared immobilized alpha-amylase by agar-agar matrix support (Table 4). The studies on

#### Alpha-Amylase Immobilization



Semi permeable membran

Figure 3. Schematic of entrapment of alpha-amylase.

immobilization of alpha-amylase by entrapment approach revealed that the approach decreases  $V_{max}$  and affinity for the substrate.<sup>87,88</sup> Diffusional substrate limitation in the entrapment approach causes a decreased affinity for the substrate.<sup>89,90</sup> Also, diffusional resistance is the main hindrance in decreasing of  $V_{max}$  immobilization process.<sup>87,91</sup>

#### Cross-linking method

In the cross-linking method, for linking two enzymes and conducting carrier-free immobilization, bifunctional cross-linking agents or simply cross-linkers are used.<sup>91</sup> To form cross-linking between enzymes, flocculating agents such as glutaraldehyde, polyethyleneimine, polyamines, and polystyrene sulfonates are extensively used.<sup>92</sup>

The cross-linking method has several advantages, including increased specific activity, more significant volumetric activity per biocatalyst mass, more straightforward production, higher purity, less production costs, and less contamination by the support.<sup>77,93</sup> However,

cross-linking methods have some drawbacks, including low mechanical stability, low activity, poor reproducibility, and difficulties with handling. To overcome these, cross-linked enzyme aggregates (CLEAs), cross-linked enzyme crystals (CLECs), and combi-CLEAs have been developed.<sup>75,76</sup>

CLECs are prepared by crystallizing the enzyme at an optimum pH range (from an aqueous buffer solution) and treating it with glutaraldehyde.<sup>75</sup> Despite simple preparation, the CLECs method is expensive because it requires pure enzyme.<sup>94</sup>

CLEA, via non-covalently binding, is prepared from nonpurified precipitated enzymes that remain permanently insoluble while covalent binding with a cross-linking agent (such as glutaraldehyde).<sup>95,96</sup> Alpha-amylase from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* was immobilized by the CLEA method and used glutaraldehyde to make the covalent binding. The immobilized alpha-amylase kept 65% activity following four-time reuses (Figure 4).<sup>97</sup>



**Figure 4.** Preparation of cross-linked alpha-amylase aggregate. (a) Aggregation of alpha-amylase; (b) Covalent binding with a cross-linking agent.

Table 4. Effects of Immobilization by entrapment on the kinetic of alpha-amylase.

Alpha-amylase	Carrier	Immobilization yield [%]	K <sub>m</sub>	V <sub>max</sub>	Ref.
Pennisetum typhoides	Calcium alginate beads	69	-	-	90
Aspergillus fumigatus	Agar-Agar	80	3.39 mg ml <sup>-1</sup> (Soluble enzyme: 1.41)	698 kU mg <sup>-1</sup> (Soluble enzyme: 947 kU mg <sup>-1</sup> )	87
Bacillus subtilis	Calcium alginate / Cellulosic residue	64.46	-	-	98
Bacillus circulans GRS 313	Calcium alginate beads	75	Bead size 4, 3 and 2 mm were 31.2, 28.2, and 23.75, respectively.	Bead size 4, 3 and 2 mm were 30.03, 33.08, and 36.23, respectively.	99
Fusarium solani	Calcium alginate beads	81	18.52 (mg ml <sup>-1</sup> (Solu- ble enzyme 27.47)	1.23 mole min <sup>.1</sup> ml <sup>.1</sup> (Soluble enzyme 5.28)	88
Aspergillus oryzae	Sol-gel entrapment	-	-	-	100
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens	Drop-wise addition of an aqueous mixture of sodium alginate	89	-	-	101
Bacterial isolate (MW2)	Sodium alginate and agar, chitosan	72.18% (sodium alginate, agar), 66.45% (chitosan)	-	-	102

When CLEAs immobilize more than one enzyme, it is called combi-CLEA.<sup>74</sup> Combi-CLEA has an advantage compared to CLEA due to the proximity of the first active site of the enzyme to the second active site, which causes the quickly transferring of the first product to the second step.<sup>75</sup>

In a study, alpha-amylase was immobilized with glucoamylase and pullulanase by combi-CLEA. The activity of enzymes in combi-CLEAs was almost kept up to 5 cycles.<sup>104</sup> Table 5 shows the effects of immobilization by cross-linking on alpha-amylase kinetic. The values of  $K_m$  and  $V_{max}$  were shown to decrease.<sup>103-107</sup> In the cross-linking immobilization method,  $V_{max}$  values have decreased due to the substrate's diffusion limitation.<sup>105,108</sup> Low  $K_m$  values of the immobilized alpha-amylase demonstrated that the conformational changes of the alpha-amylase following cross-linking process caused proper orientation of the active sites towards the starch (substrate).<sup>109,110</sup>

#### Covalent attachment method

The covalent attachment approach improves enzyme stability via covalent binding of the enzyme with carrier;<sup>78,111</sup> electrons are shared between the surface of the carrier and the amine functional group of the enzyme.<sup>74</sup> Several reactions, such as diazo linkage, iso-urea linkage, peptide binding, and alkylation, contribute to covalent interaction between enzyme molecules and support.<sup>98</sup> By immobilization, the enzymes remain attached to the carrier even in harsh conditions.<sup>73</sup> The disadvantage of the method

is the rigorous preparation condition and loss of enzyme activity due to reaction with toxic cross-linking reagents.<sup>78</sup>

Demir *et al.*<sup>112</sup> modified nano  $CaCO_3$  particles with 3-aminopropyl triethoxysilane to provide a functional group on the surface. Then, glutaraldehyde was added to make a covalent attachment between the enzyme reactive functional groups (-NH<sub>2</sub>) and the modified nano  $CaCO_3$  particles (Figure 5).

Most studies of immobilization by covalent attachment showed high  $K_m$  values (reduced affinity for substrate) and variable  $V_{max}$  values (Table 6).<sup>65,112-116</sup> The increased values of  $K_m$  may result from changes in the accessibility of the substrate, steric effects, structural changes, and changes in the affinity of the substrate during immobilization. Also, the most likely reason for the increase in  $V_{max}$  values is conformational changes of alpha-amylase.<sup>114,117</sup> However, a study indicated that the reduced  $V_{max}$  of the immobilized alpha-amylase was due to the multiple linkages between the enzyme and carrier, which consequently caused a decrease in the rate of reaction.<sup>118</sup>

# Conclusion

Alpha-amylase, due to specificity, high catalytic efficiency, and mild operation conditions, has gained remarkable popularity in the industry. Microorganisms are the primary source of alpha-amylase with different characteristics. The soluble enzyme has limited optimization activity due to harsh conditions and production costs. In order to optimize alpha-amylase catalytic properties and lower production

Table 5. effects of immobilization by cross-linking on the kinetic of alpha-amylase.

Alpha amylase	Type of cross-linking	Cross-linking agent	K <sub>m</sub>	V <sub>max</sub>	Ref.
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens NCIM 2829	CLEA	Glutaraldehyde	0.3245 ± 0.013 mg/ml (Soluble enzyme, 2.748 ± 0.027)	0.179 ± 0.023 μmole/min (Soluble enzyme, 0.174 ± 0.011)	103
Bacillus lehensis G1	CLEA	Chitosan	Mag1-p-CLEAs and Mag1-CLEAs were 2.02 and 1.26 mM, respectively. (Soluble enzyme, 3.82)	Mag1-p-CLEAs and Mag1-CLEAs were 4.57 and 2.72 μmol ml <sup>-1</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> , respectively. (Soluble enzyme, 4.59)	105
Bacillus licheniformis	CLEA	Glutaraldehyde	CLEAs–BSA–CN and CLEAs–BSA were 5.26 and 3.12 mg/mL, respectively. (Soluble enzyme, 5.35)	CLEAs–BSA–CN and CLEAs–BSA were 1.12 and 1.09 $\mu$ mol min <sup>-1</sup> , respectively. (Soluble enzyme, 1.34)	106
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	CLEA	Glutaraldehyde	Magnetic CLEAs and CLEAs were $0.21 \pm 0.019$ and $0.21 \pm 0.023$ mg/mL, respectively. (Soluble enzyme, 0.93 $\pm$ 0.014)	Magnetic CLEAs and CLEAs were $81 \pm 0.27$ and $83 \pm 0.13 \mu mol/min$ , respectively. (Soluble enzyme, $85 \pm 0.11$ )	107
Alpha amylase, glucoamylase, pullulanase	Combi-CLEA	Glutaraldehyde	-	-	111
Alpha amylase ( <i>Aspergillus oryzae</i> ) and maltogenic amylase	Combi-CLEA	Glutaraldehyde	3.33 × 10-4±0.000017 M (Soluble enzyme, 4.86 × 10-4±0.000021)	9.98 ± 0.057 µmol.min <sup>-1</sup> (Soluble enzyme, 10.95 ± 0.042)	104



**Figure 5.** Procedure involved in immobilization of alpha-amylase by covalent attachment method. (a) preparation of functional group on the surface of nano  $CaCO_3$  particles; (b) Modified nano  $CaCO_3$  particles preparation by glutaraldehyde; (c) covalent attachment of the enzyme reactive functional groups (–NH2) and the modified nano  $CaCO_3$  particles.

Table 6. effects of immobilization by covale	nt attachment on the kinetic of alpha-amylas
--	--

Alpha amylase	Carrier	Carrier modifier	K <sub>m</sub>	V <sub>max</sub>	Ref.
porcine pancreas	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	3-aminopropyl triethoxysilane	0.55 mg/mL ( 0.45 mg/mL for soluble enzyme)	0.35 mg/mL/min (10 for soluble enzyme)	113
Bacillus licheneformis	Cellulose fibers	periodic acid	-	-	119
porcine pancreas	Glass beads	3-aminopropyl- triethoxysilane and triethylamine	-	-	120
Bacillus subtilis	Magnetic Nanoparticles (MNPs)	tetra methyl ammonium hydroxide	Increase 28.9-fold (0.5 mg mL <sup>-1</sup> for soluble enzyme)	Increase 40.5-fold (10 mg mL <sup>-1</sup> for soluble enzyme)	114
<i>Anoxybacillus</i> sp. SK3-4	Amino-epoxide	-	-	-	121
Aspergillus Oryzae	Chitosan-montmorillonite nanocomposite beads	Glutaraldehyde	9.12 µmol/ml (Soluble enzyme, 6.80)	0.629 µmol/mg.min (Soluble enzyme, 1.30)	115
Aspergillus Oryzae	Magnetic nanoparticles coated with silica and gold	3-phosphono propionic acid (3- PPA)	8.054 mg/mL (Soluble enzyme, 5.8)	1.851 Imole/min (Soluble enzyme, 1.811)	65
Aspergillus Oryzae	TiO <sub>2</sub>	poly-L-lysine	15.03 mM (Soluble enzyme, 11.04)	855 U/mg (Soluble enzyme, 920)	116
Bacillus Subtilis	Chitosan bead	Glutaraldehyde	0.431 mg/ml (Soluble enzyme, 0.208)	227 U/mg Enzyme (Soluble enzyme, 416.67)	68

costs, the enzyme's immobilization has been developed. The amylose and amylopectin molecule size is the greatest obstacle to alpha-amylase immobilization. Four main methods are used to prepare alpha-amylase immobilization, including adsorption, entrapment, covalent attachment, and cross-linking. Apart from the cross-linking method, all these methods use various carriers. Each method has several advantages and drawbacks, causing changes in the  $\rm K_m$  and  $\rm V_{max}$  values. Immobilization using adsorption, cross-linking, entrapment, and covalent attachment causes an increase in Km and a decrease in  $\rm V_{max}$  values. The most important reasons for decreasing  $\rm V_{max}$  and affinity

Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2023, 29(2), 144-155 | 150

for the substrate have been attributed to the diffusional limitations due to the large size of the substrate. Also, the reasons for decreasing the affinity and  $V_{max}$  by covalent attachment immobilization have been due to changes in the accessibility of the substrate for the active site, steric effects, structural changes, and changes in affinity during immobilization. A recently developed method removes all these drawbacks. This method allows immobilization of silica particles (SPs) in a thin organosilica layer and makes large substrates accessible to the enzyme active site. Therefore, partial shielding is a promising strategy to improve stability and preserve activity for several industry use cycles. The method is a good candidate for the immobilization of alpha-amylase to solve the problem.

#### Acknowledgments

We would like to appreciate all who kindly helped us to prepare this article.

### **Author Contributions**

Design of the this study and supervision of the team was performed by BE; collecting data and writing of the manuscript was performed by LM, JKF, and SY; and editing and revising were done by YA and SRG.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- Inanan T, Tüzmen N, Karipcin F. Oxime-functionalized cryogel disks for catalase immobilization. Int J Biol Macromol. 2018;114:812-20. doi:10.1016/j. ijbiomac.2018.04.006
- Liu D-M, Chen J, Shi Y-P. A-glucosidase immobilization on chitosan-enriched magnetic composites for enzyme inhibitors screening. Int J Biol Macromol. 2017;105:308-16. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2017.07.045
- Defaei M, Taheri-Kafrani A, Miroliaei M, Yaghmaei P. Improvement of stability and reusability of α-amylase immobilized on naringin functionalized magnetic nanoparticles: A robust nanobiocatalyst. Int J Biol Macromol. 2018;113:354-60. doi:10.1016/j. ijbiomac.2018.02.147
- Santos ELI, Rostro-Alanís M, Parra-Saldívar R, Alvarez AJ. A novel method for bioethanol production using immobilized yeast cells in calcium-alginate films and hybrid composite pervaporation membrane. Bioresour Technol. 2018;247:165-73. doi:10.1016/j. biortech.2017.09.091
- Summoogum-Utchanah SL, Swami J. Investigating the extraction of alcohol from agricultural wastes in mauritius. J Environ Chem Eng. 2015;3(4):2255-62. doi:10.1016/j.jece.2015.08.021
- Mahboubi A, Ylitervo P, Doyen W, De Wever H, Molenberghs B, Taherzadeh MJ. Continuous bioethanol fermentation from wheat straw hydrolysate with high suspended solid content using an immersed

flat sheet membrane bioreactor. Bioresour Technol. 2017;241:296-308. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2017.05.125

- Nguyen QA, Cho E, Trinh LTP, Jeong J-s, Bae H-J. Development of an integrated process to produce d-mannose and bioethanol from coffee residue waste. Bioresour Technol. 2017;244:1039-48. doi:10.1016/j. biortech.2017.07.169
- Mulko L, Pereyra JY, Rivarola CR, Barbero CA, Acevedo DF. Improving the retention and reusability of alpha-amylase by immobilization in nanoporous polyacrylamide-graphene oxide nanocomposites. Int J Biol Macromol. 2019;122:1253-61. doi:10.1016/j. ijbiomac.2018.09.078.
- Bilal M, Iqbal HM, Guo S, Hu H, Wang W, Zhang X. State-of-the-art protein engineering approaches using biological macromolecules: A review from immobilization to implementation view point. Int J Biol Macromol. 2018;108:893-901. doi:10.1016/j. ijbiomac.2017.10.182
- 10. Singh S, Singh S, Bali V, Sharma L, Mangla J. Production of fungal amylases using cheap, readily available agriresidues, for potential application in textile industry. Biomed Res Int 2014;2014:215748. doi:10.1155/2014/215748
- 11. Elyasi Far B, Dilmaghani A, Khosroushahi AY. In silico study and optimization of bacillus megaterium alpha-amylases production obtained from honey sources. Curr Microbiol 2020;77:2593-601. doi:10.1007/s00284-020-02019-x
- Sundarram A, Murthy TPK. A-amylase production and applications: A review. J Appl Environ Microbiol. 2014;2(4):166-75. doi:10.1007/s00284-020-02019-x
- 13. Tanyildizi MS, Elibol M, Özer D. Optimization of growth medium for the production of  $\alpha$ -amylase from bacillus amyloliquefaciens using response surface methodology. J Chem Technol Biotechnol. 2006;81(4):618-22.doi:10.1002/jctb.1445
- Sahnoun M, Kriaa M, Elgharbi F, Ayadi D-Z, Bejar S, Kammoun R. Aspergillus oryzae s2 alphaamylase production under solid state fermentation: Optimization of culture conditions. Int J Biol Macromol. 2015;75:73-80. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2015.01.026
- Cosulich M, Russo S, Pasquale S, Mariani A. Performance evaluation of hyperbranched aramids as potential supports for protein immobilization. Polymer. 2000;41(13):4951-6. doi:10.1016/S0032-3861(99)00284-0
- 16. Park D, Haam S, Jang K, Ahn I-S, Kim W-S. Immobilization of starch-converting enzymes on surface-modified carriers using single and coimmobilized systems: Properties and application to starch hydrolysis. Process Biochem. 2005;40(1):53-61. doi:10.1016/j.procbio.2003.11.039
- 17. Ahmed I, Lianfu Z, Mahdi A. Preparation and application. Int J Res Stud Agric Sci. 2016;3(5):259-65.
- 18. Azzopardi E, Lloyd C, Teixeira SR, Conlan RS, Whitaker IS. Clinical applications of amylase:

Novel perspectives. Surgery. 2016;160(1):26-37. doi:10.1016/j.surg.2016.01.005

- Hardwicke JT, Hart J, Bell A, Duncan R, Thomas DW, Moseley R. The effect of dextrin-rhegf on the healing of full-thickness, excisional wounds in the (db/db) diabetic mouse. J Control Release. 2011;152(3):411-7. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2011.03.016
- Hardwicke J, Ferguson EL, Moseley R, Stephens P, Thomas DW, Duncan R. Dextrin-rhegf conjugates as bioresponsive nanomedicines for wound repair. J Control Release 2008;130(3):275-83. doi:10.1016/j. jconrel.2008.07.023
- 21. Azzopardi EA. Bioresponsive dextrin-colistin conjugates as antimicrobial agents for the treatment of gram-negative infection [dissertation]. Cardiff: Cardiff University; 2013.
- 22. Azzopardi EA, Conlan RS, Whitaker IS. Polymer therapeutics in surgery: The next frontier. J Interdiscip Nanomed. 2016;1(1):19-29. doi:10.1002/jin2.6
- 23. Marcinow AM, Hall N, Byrum E, Teknos TN, Old MO, Agrawal A. Use of a novel receptor-targeted (cd206) radiotracer, 99mtc-tilmanocept, and spect/ ct for sentinel lymph node detection in oral cavity squamous cell carcinoma: Initial institutional report in an ongoing phase 3 study. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2013;139(9):895-902. doi:10.1001/ jamaoto.2013.4239
- Li L, Somerset S. Digestive system dysfunction in cystic fibrosis: Challenges for nutrition therapy. Dig Liver Dis. 2014;46(10):865-74. doi:10.1016/j. dld.2014.06.011
- 25. Sales PM, Souza PM, Simeoni LA, Magalhães PO, Silveira D. A-amylase inhibitors: A review of raw material and isolated compounds from plant source. J Pharm Pharm Sci. 2012;15(1):141-83. doi:10.18433/ J35S3K
- Kaur N, Kumar V, Nayak SK, Wadhwa P, Kaur P, Sahu SK. Alpha-amylase as molecular target for treatment of diabetes mellitus: A comprehensive review. Chem Biol Drug Des. 2021;98(4):539-60. doi:10.1111/cbdd.13909
- An in vitro and in vivo study of the α-amylase activity of phaseolamin. J Med Food. 2014;17(8):915-20. doi:10.1089/jmf.2013.0044
- 28. Oliveira RJ, de Oliveira VN, Deconte SR, Calábria LK, da Silva Moraes A, Espindola FS. Phaseolamin treatment prevents oxidative stress and collagen deposition in the hearts of streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. Diab Vasc Dis Res. 2014;11(2):110-7.
- Méndez MB, Goñi A, Ramirez W, Grau RR. Sugar inhibits the production of the toxins that trigger clostridial gas gangrene. Microb Pathog. 2012;52(1):85-91. doi:10.1016/j.micpath.2011.10.008
- Jonas DA, Antignac E, Antoine JM, Classen HG, Huggett A, Knudsen I, et al. The safety assessment of novel foods. Guidelines prepared by ilsi europe novel food task force. Food Chem Toxicol. 1996;34(10):931-40. doi:10.1016/s0278-6915(96)00061-0

- Pariza MW, Cook M. Determining the safety of enzymes used in animal feed. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol. 2010;56(3):332-42.doi:10.1016/j.yrtph.2009.10.005
- Pariza MW, Foster EM. Determining the safety of enzymes used in food processing. J Food Prot. 1983;46(5):453-68.doi:10.4315/0362-028X-46.5.453
- Pariza MW, Johnson EA. Evaluating the safety of microbial enzyme preparations used in food processing: Update for a new century. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol. 2001;33(2):173-86. doi:10.1006/rtph.2001.1466
- 34. Sewalt V, LaMarta J, Shanahan D, Gregg L, Carrillo R. Letter to the editor regarding "gras from the ground up: Review of the interim pilot program for gras notification" by hanlon et al., 2017. Food Chem Toxicol. 2017;105:140-50. doi:10.1016/j.fct.2017.06.042
- 35. Sewalt V, Shanahan D, Gregg L, La Marta J, Carillo R. The generally recognized as safe (GRAS) process for industrial microbial enzymes. Ind Biotechnol. 2016;12(5):295-302. doi:10.1089/ind.2016.0011
- 36. Sewalt VJ, Reyes TF, Bui Q. Safety evaluation of two α-amylase enzyme preparations derived from *Bacillus licheniformis* expressing an α-amylase gene from cytophaga species. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol. 2018;98:140-50. doi:10.1016/j.yrtph.2018.07.015
- Lambré C, Barat Baviera JM, Bolognesi C, Cocconcelli PS, Crebelli R, Gott DM, et al. Safety evaluation of the food enzyme α-amylase from the genetically modified *Bacillus licheniformis* strain DP-Dzb52. EFSA J. 2021;19(4):e06564. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2021.6564
- Yamamoto T. Enzyme chemistry and molecular biology of amylases and related enzymes: Boca Raton: CRC Press; 1994.
- Janeček Š, Svensson B, MacGregor EA. A-amylase: An enzyme specificity found in various families of glycoside hydrolases. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2014;71(7):1149-70. doi:10.1007/s00018-013-1388-z
- MacGregor EA, Janeček Š, Svensson B. Relationship of sequence and structure to specificity in the α-amylase family of enzymes. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2001;1546(1):1-20. doi:10.1016/S0167-4838(00)00302-2
- Janeček Š, Svensson B, MacGregor EA. Relation between domain evolution, specificity, and taxonomy of the α-amylase family members containing a c-terminal starch-binding domain. Eur J Biochem. 2003;270(4):635-45.doi:10.1046/j.1432-1033.2003.03404.x
- 42. Matsuura Y, Kusunoki M, Harada W, Kakudo M. Structure and possible catalytic residues of taka-amylase A. J Biochem. 1984;95(3):697-702. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.jbchem.a134659
- Kuriki T, Imanaka T. The concept of the α-amylase family: Structural similarity and common catalytic mechanism. J Biosci Bioeng. 1999;87(5):557-65. doi:10.1016/S1389-1723(99)80114-5
- 44. Jane JL, Kasemsuwan T, Leas S, Zobel H, Robyt JF. Anthology of starch granule morphology by scanning

electron microscopy. Starch-Stärke. 1994;46(4):121-9. doi:10.1002/star.19940460402

- 45. Lee B-H, Hamaker BR. Number of branch points in  $\alpha$ -limit dextrins impact glucose generation rates by mammalian mucosal  $\alpha$ -glucosidases. Carbohydr Polym. 2017;157:207-13. doi:10.1016/j. carbpol.2016.09.088
- 46. Hizukuri S, Takeda Y, Yasuda M, Suzuki A. Multibranched nature of amylose and the action of debranching enzymes. Carbohydr Res. 1981;94(2):205-13. doi:10.1016/S0008-6215(00)80718-1
- Bertoft E, Piyachomkwan K, Chatakanonda P, Sriroth K. Internal unit chain composition in amylopectins. Carbohydr Polym. 2008;74(3):527-43. doi:10.1016/j. carbpol.2008.04.011
- Yoshimoto Y, Tashiro J, Takenouchi T, Takeda Y. Molecular structure and some physicochemical properties of high-amylose barley starches. Cereal Chem. 2000;77(3):279-85. doi:10.1094/ CCHEM.2000.77.3.279
- 49. Carciofi M, Blennow A, Jensen SL, Shaik SS, Henriksen A, Buléon A, et al. Concerted suppression of all starch branching enzyme genes in barley produces amyloseonly starch granules. BMC Plant Biol. 2012;12(1):223. doi:10.1186/1471-2229-12-223
- 50. Zhang G, Hamaker BR. Slowly digestible starch: Concept, mechanism, and proposed extended glycemic index. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2009;49(10):852-67. doi:10.1080/10408390903372466
- 51. Bertoft E. Understanding starch structure: Recent progress. Agronomy. 2017;7(3):56. doi:10.3390/ agronomy7030056
- 52. Qi X, Tester RF. Effect of native starch granule size on susceptibility to amylase hydrolysis. Starch-Stärke. 2016;68(9-10):807-10. doi:10.1002/star.201500360
- 53. Al-Rabadi GJ, Gilbert RG, Gidley MJ. Effect of particle size on kinetics of starch digestion in milled barley and sorghum grains by porcine alpha-amylase. J Cereal Sci. 2009;50(2):198-204. doi:10.1016/j.jcs.2009.05.001
- 54. Van der Maarel MJ, Van der Veen B, Uitdehaag JC, Leemhuis H, Dijkhuizen L. Properties and applications of starch-converting enzymes of the α-amylase family. J Biotech. 2002;94(2):137-55. doi:10.1016/S0168-1656(01)00407-2
- 55. Tavano OL, Pessela BC, Goulart AJ, Fernández-Lafuente R, Guisán JM, Monti R. Stabilization of an amylase from neurospora crassa by immobilization on highly activated supports. Food Biotechnol. 2008;22(3):262-75. doi:10.1080/08905430802262616
- 56. Takeda Y, Takeda C, Mizukami H, Hanashiro I. Structures of large, medium and small starch granules of barley grain. Carbohydr Polym. 1999;38(2):109-14. doi:10.1016/S0144-8617(98)00105-2
- 57. Fredriksson H, Silverio J, Andersson R, Eliasson A-C, Åman P. The influence of amylose and amylopectin characteristics on gelatinization and retrogradation properties of different starches. Carbohydr

Polym. 1998;35(3-4):119-34. doi:10.1016/S0144-8617(97)00247-6

- Gregorová E, Pabst W, Bohačenko I. Characterization of different starch types for their application in ceramic processing. J Eur Ceram Soc. 2006;26(8):1301-9. doi:10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2005.02.015
- 59. Peroni F, Rocha T, Franco C. Some structural and physicochemical characteristics of tuber and root starches. Food Sci Technol Int. 2006;12(6):505-13. doi: doi:10.1177/1082013206073045
- Ovando-Martínez M, Bello-Pérez LA, Whitney K, Osorio-Díaz P, Simsek S. Starch characteristics of bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) grown in different localities. Carbohydr Polym. 2011;85(1):54-64. doi:10.1016/j. carbpol.2011.01.043
- 61. Lai C, Varriano-Marston E. Studies on the characteristics of blac bean starch. J Food Sci. 1979;44(2):528-30. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2621.1979. tb03828.x
- Ahmad FB, Williams PA, Doublier J-L, Durand S, Buleon A. Physico-chemical characterisation of sago starch. Carbohydr Polym. 1999;38(4):361-70. doi:10.1016/S0144-8617(98)00123-4
- 63. Elyasi Far B, Ahmadi Y, Khosroshahi AY, Dilmaghani A. Microbial alpha-amylase production: Progress, challenges and perspectives. Adv Pharm Bull. 2020;10(3):350-8. doi:10.34172/apb.2020.043
- 64. Cipolatti EP, Silva MJA, Klein M, Feddern V, Feltes MMC, Oliveira JV, et al. Current status and trends in enzymatic nanoimmobilization. J Mol Catal B Enzym. 2014;99:56-67. doi:10.1016/j.molcatb.2013.10.019
- 65. Singh V, Rakshit K, Rathee S, Angmo S, Kaushal S, Garg P, et al. Metallic/bimetallic magnetic nanoparticle functionalization for immobilization of  $\alpha$ -amylase for enhanced reusability in bio-catalytic processes. Bioresour Technol 2016;214:528-33. doi:10.1016/j. biortech.2016.05.002
- 66. Hernandez K, Fernandez-Lafuente R. Control of protein immobilization: Coupling immobilization and site-directed mutagenesis to improve biocatalyst or biosensor performance. Enzyme Microb Technol. 2011;48(2):107-22. doi:10.1016/j. enzmictec.2010.10.003
- 67. Hwang ET, Gu MB. Enzyme stabilization by nano/microsized hybrid materials. Eng Life Sci. 2013;13(1):49-61. doi:10.1002/elsc.201100225
- Jesionowski T, Zdarta J, Krajewska B. Enzyme immobilization by adsorption: A review. Adsorption. 2014;20(5-6):801-21. doi:10.1007/s10450-014-9623-y
- 69. Heydarzadeh Darzi H, Gilani S, Farrokhi M, Nouri S, Karimi G. Textural and structural characterizations of mesoporous chitosan beads for immobilization of alpha-amylase: Diffusivity and sustainability of biocatalyst. Int J Eng. 2019;32(2):207-16
- 70. Antony N, Mohanan P. Template synthesized polypyrroles as a carrier for diastase alpha amylase immobilization. Biocatal Agric Biotechnol.

# 2019;19:101164. doi:10.1016/j.bcab.2019.101164

- Reshmi R, Sanjay G, Sugunan S. Immobilization of α-amylase on zirconia: A heterogeneous biocatalyst for starch hydrolysis. Catal Commun. 2007;8(3):393-9. doi:10.1016/j.catcom.2006.07.009
- 72. Reshmi R, Sanjay G, Sugunan S. Enhanced activity and stability of  $\alpha$ -amylase immobilized on alumina. Catal Commun. 2006;7(7):460-5. doi:10.1016/j. catcom.2006.01.001
- 73. Nisha S, Karthick SA, Gobi N. A review on methods, application and properties of immobilized enzyme. Chem Sci Rev lett. 2012;1(3):148-55.
- 74. Thangaraj B, Solomon PR. Immobilization of lipases-a review. Part i: Enzyme immobilization. ChemBioEng Reviews. 2019;6(5):157-66. doi:10.1002/ cben.201900016
- 75. Roy I, Gupta MN. Bioaffinity Immobilization. In: Guisan JM. editor. Immobilization of Enzymes and Cells. Methods in Biotechnology<sup>™</sup>, vol 22. Totowa, New Jersey: Humana Press; 2006. p. 107-16. doi:10.1007/978-1-59745-053-9\_10
- 76. Sheldon R, van Pelt S. Enzyme immobilisation in biocatalysis: Why, what and how. Chem Soc Rev. 2013;42:6223-35. doi:10.1039/c3cs60075k
- 77. da Silva TL, Reis A, Kent CA, Kosseva M, Roseiro JC, Hewitt CJ. Stress-induced physiological responses to starvation periods as well as glucose and lactose pulses in bacillus licheniformis ccmi 1034 continuous aerobic fermentation processes as measured by multiparameter flow cytometry. Eng J. 2005;24(1):31-41. doi:10.1016/j.bej.2005.01.013.
- Sheldon R. Cross-linked enzyme aggregates (CLEAs): stable and recyclable biocatalysts. Biochem Soc Trans. 2007;35(6):1583-7. doi:10.1002/adsc.200700082
- 79. Zheng M-M, Dong L, Lu Y, Guo P-M, Deng Q-C, Li W-L, et al. Immobilization of candida rugosa lipase on magnetic poly (allyl glycidyl ether-co-ethylene glycol dimethacrylate) polymer microsphere for synthesis of phytosterol esters of unsaturated fatty acids. J Mol Catal B Enzym. 2012;74(1-2):16-23. doi:10.1016/j. molcatb.2011.08.008
- Ajitha S, Sugunan S. Tuning mesoporous molecular sieve SBA-15 for the immobilization of α-amylase. J Porous Mater. 2010;17(3):341-9. doi:10.1007/s10934-009-9298-z
- Bellino MnG, Regazzoni AE, Soler-Illia GJ. Amylasefunctionalized mesoporous silica thin films as robust biocatalyst platforms. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2010;2(2):360-5. doi:10.1021/am900645b
- Kosaka P, Kawano Y, El Seoud O, Petri D. Catalytic activity of lipase immobilized onto ultrathin films of cellulose esters. Langmuir. 2007;23(24):12167-73. doi:10.1021/la701913q
- 83. Gustafsson H, Johansson EM, Barrabino A, Odén M, Holmberg K. Immobilization of lipase from mucor miehei and rhizopus oryzae into mesoporous silica the effect of varied particle size and morphology.

Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces. 2012;100:22-30. doi:10.1016/j.colsurfb.2012.04.042

- 84. Wu C, Zhou G, Jiang X, Ma J, Zhang H, Song H. Active biocatalysts based on candida rugosa lipase immobilized in vesicular silica. Process Biochem. 2012;47(6):953-9. doi:10.1016/j.procbio.2012.03.004
- 85. Thudi L, Jasti LS, Swarnalatha Y, Fadnavis NW, Mulani K, Deokar S, et al. Enzyme immobilization on epoxy supports in reverse micellar media: Prevention of enzyme denaturation. J Mol Catal B Enzym 2012;74(1-2):54-62. doi:10.1016/j.molcatb.2011.08.014
- 86. De Lathouder K, van Benthem D, Wallin S, Mateo C, Lafuente RF, Guisan J, et al. Polyethyleneimine (PEI) functionalized ceramic monoliths as enzyme carriers: Preparation and performance. J Mol Catal B Enzym. 2008;50(1):20-7. doi:10.1016/j.molcatb.2007.09.016
- 87. Pervez S, Nawaz MA, Jamal M, Jan T, Maqbool F, Shah I, et al. Improvement of catalytic properties of starch hydrolyzing fungal amyloglucosidase: Utilization of agar-agar as an organic matrix for immobilization. Carbohydr Res. 2019;486:107860. doi:10.1016/j. carres.2019.107860
- Kumar D, Muthukumar M, Garg N. Kinetics of fungal extracellular [alpha]-amylase from fusarium solani immobilized in calcium alginate beads. J Environ Biol. 2012;33(6):1021
- Reddy KRC, Kayastha AM. Improved stability of urease upon coupling to alkylamine and arylamine glass and its analytical use. J Mol Catal B Enzym. 2006;38(2):104-12. doi:10.1016/j.molcatb.2005.12.001
- Kharkrang K, Ambasht P. Characterization of α-amylase from pennisetum typhoides immobilized inside calcium alginate beads. J Sci Res. 2019;63:53-67.
- 91. Talekar S, Joshi A, Joshi G, Kamat P, Haripurkar R, Kambale S. Parameters in preparation and characterization of cross linked enzyme aggregates (CLEAs). RSC Adv. 2013;3(31):12485-511. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2013.08.035
- 92. Elnashar M. Biotechnology of biopolymers. London: InTechOpen; 2011.
- 93. Cao L, van Langen L, Sheldon RA. Immobilised enzymes: Carrier-bound or carrier-free? Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2003;14(4):387-94. doi:10.1016/S0958-1669(03)00096-X
- 94. Kartal F, Janssen MH, Hollmann F, Sheldon RA, Kılınc A. Improved esterification activity of candida rugosa lipase in organic solvent by immobilization as cross-linked enzyme aggregates (CLEAs). J Mol Catal B Enzym. 2011;71(3-4):85-9. doi:10.1016/j. molcatb.2011.04.002
- 95. Sheldon RA. Enzyme immobilization: The quest for optimum performance. Adv Synth Catal. 2007;349(8-9):1289-307. doi:10.1002/adsc.200700082
- 96. Cao L, van Rantwijk F, Sheldon RA. Cross-linked enzyme aggregates: A simple and effective method for the immobilization of penicillin acylase. Org Lett. 2000;2(10):1361-4. doi:10.1021/ol005593x

- Talekar S, Waingade S, Gaikwad V, Patil S, Nagavekar N. Preparation and characterization of cross linked enzyme aggregates (cleas) of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* alpha amylase. J Biochem Technol 2012;3(4):349-53.
- 98. Herizi A, Rachid S, Djaffar D, Boubekeur N. Optimization and immobilization of alphaamylase from *Bacillus subtilis* in calcium alginate and calcium alginate-cellulosic residue beads. Microbiol Res. 2020;11(1):8458. doi:10.4081/mr.2020.8458
- 99. Mulagalapalli S, Kumar S, Kalathur RCR, Kayastha AM. Immobilization of urease from pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*) on agar tablets and its application in urea assay. Appl Biochem Biotechnol. 2007;142(3):291-7. doi:10.1007/s12010-007-0022-7
- 100.Dey G, Bhupinder S, Banerjee R. Immobilization of alpha-amylase produced by bacillus circulans GRS 313. Braz Arch Biol Technol. 2003;46(2):167-76.
- 101.100Caresani JRF, Dallegrave A, dos Santos JH. Amylases immobilization by sol-gel entrapment: Application for starch hydrolysis. J Solgel Sci Technol. 2020;94(1):229-40.
- 102.101Demirkan E, Dincbas S, Sevinc N, Ertan F. Immobilization of b. Amyloliquefaciens  $\alpha$ -amylase and comparison of some of its enzymatic properties with the free form. Rom Biotechnol Lett. 2011;16(6):6690-701.
- 103.102Chaudhary M, Rana N, Vaidya D, Ghabru A, Rana K, Dipta B. Immobilization of amylase by entrapment method in different natural matrix. Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci 2019;8(5):1097-103. doi:10.20546/ijcmas.2019.805.126
- 104. Torabizadeh H, Montazeri E. Nano co-immobilization of α-amylase and maltogenic amylase by nanomagnetic combi-cross-linked enzyme aggregates method for maltose production from corn starch. Carbohydr Res. 2020;488:107904. doi:10.1016/j.carres.2019.107904
- 105.Nawawi NN, Hashim Z, Manas NHA, Azelee NIW, Illias RM. A porous-cross linked enzyme aggregates of maltogenic amylase from bacillus lehensis G1: Robust biocatalyst with improved stability and substrate diffusion. Int J Biol Macromol. 2020;148:1222-31. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.10.101
- 106. Torabizadeh H, Tavakoli M, Safari M. Immobilization of thermostable α-amylase from bacillus licheniformis by cross-linked enzyme aggregates method using calcium and sodium ions as additives. Int J Biol Macromol. 2014;108:13-20. doi:10.1016/j.molcatb.2014.06.005
- 107. Talekar S, Ghodake V, Ghotage T, Rathod P, Deshmukh P, Nadar S, et al. Novel magnetic cross-linked enzyme aggregates (magnetic cleas) of alpha amylase. Bioresour Technol. 2012;123:542-7. doi:10.1016/j. biortech.2012.07.044
- 108. Wang M, Jia C, Qi W, Yu Q, Peng X, Su R, et al. Porouscleas of papain: Application to enzymatic hydrolysis of macromolecules. Bioresour Technol. 2011;102(3):3541-5. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2010.08.120
- 109.Sangeetha K, Abraham TE. Preparation and

characterization of cross-linked enzyme aggregates (clea) of subtilisin for controlled release applications. Int J Biol Macromol. 2008;43(3):314-9. doi:10.1016/j. ijbiomac.2008.07.001

- 110.Nadar SS, Muley AB, Ladole MR, Joshi PU. Macromolecular cross-linked enzyme aggregates (m-cleas) of α-amylase. Int J Biol Macromol. 2016;84:69-78. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2015.11.082
- 111.Thangaraj B, Muniyandi B, Ranganathan S, Xin H. Functionalized magnetic nanoparticles for catalytic application—a review. Rev Adv Sci Eng. 2015;4(2):106-19. doi:10.1002/cben.201900016
- 112.Demir S, Gök SB, Kahraman MV. A-amylase immobilization on functionalized nano CaCO<sub>3</sub> by covalent attachment. Starch-Stärke. 2012;64(1):3-9. doi:10.1002/star.201100058
- 113. Talekar S, Pandharbale A, Ladole M, Nadar S, Mulla M, Japhalekar K, et al. Carrier free co-immobilization of alpha amylase, glucoamylase and pullulanase as combined cross-linked enzyme aggregates (combicleas): A tri-enzyme biocatalyst with one pot starch hydrolytic activity. Bioresour Technol. 2013;147:269-75. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2013.08.035
- 114.Milani ZM, Jalal R, Goharshadi EK. Carbodiimide for covalent α-amylase immobilization onto magnetic nanoparticles. Int J Nanosci 2017;16(05n06):1750015. doi:10.1142/S0219581X17500156
- 115.Mardani T, Khiabani MS, Mokarram RR, Hamishehkar H. Immobilization of α-amylase on chitosan-montmorillonite nanocomposite beads. Int J Biol Macromol. 2018;120:354-60. doi:10.1016/j. ijbiomac.2018.08.065
- 116.Klapiszewski Ł, Zdarta J, Jesionowski T. Titania/ lignin hybrid materials as a novel support for α-amylase immobilization: A comprehensive study. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces. 2018;162:90-7. doi:10.1016/j.colsurfb.2017.11.045
- 117.Guisan JM, Juan M. Bolivar JM, López-Gallego F, Javier Rocha-Martín J.. Immobilization of enzymes and cells. Totowa, New Jersey: Humana Press; 2006.
- 118.SamuiA,SahuSK.Integration ofα-amylaseinto covalent organic framework for highly efficient biocatalyst. Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2020;291:109700. doi:10.1016/j.micromeso.2019.109700
- 119. Varavinit S, Chaokasem N, Shobsngob S. Immobilization of a thermostable alpha-amylase. ScienceAsia. 2002;28(3):247-51.
- 120.Kahraman MV, Bayramoğlu G, Kayaman-Apohan N, Güngör A. A-amylase immobilization on functionalized glass beads by covalent attachment. Food Chem. 2007;104(4):1385-92. doi:10.1016/j. foodchem.2007.01.054
- 121.Kahar UM, Sani MH, Chan K-G, Goh KM. Immobilization of  $\alpha$ -amylase from anoxybacillus sp. Sk3-4 on relizyme and immobead supports. Molecules. 2016;21(9):1196. doi:10.3390/molecules21091196