



# Genetic variation at the catalytic subunit of glutamate cysteine ligase contributes to the susceptibility to sporadic colorectal cancer: a pilot study

Marina A. Bykanova<sup>1,2</sup> · Maria A. Solodilova<sup>2</sup> · Iuliia E. Azarova<sup>3,4</sup> · Elena Y. Klyosova<sup>2,4</sup> · Olga Y. Bushueva<sup>1,2</sup> · Anna A. Polonikova<sup>2</sup> · Mikhail I. Churnosov<sup>5</sup> · Alexey V. Polonikov<sup>2,6</sup>

Received: 20 January 2022 / Accepted: 18 March 2022 / Published online: 6 April 2022  
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2022

## Abstract

**Background** Glutathione is a tripeptide detoxifying a variety of exogenous and endogenous free radicals and carcinogens, and a deficiency of glutathione is associated with an increased host susceptibility to oxidative stress, a pathological condition implicated in the development and progression of cancer. The catalytic subunit of glutamate-cysteine ligase (GCLC) is an enzyme responsible for the initial and rate-limiting step of glutathione biosynthesis.

**Methods and results** The aim of this pilot study was to investigate whether genetic variation at the *GCLC* gene contributes to the risk of colorectal cancer (CRC). DNA samples from 681 unrelated Russian individuals (283 patients with CRC and 398 age- and sex-matched healthy controls) were genotyped for six common functional SNPs of the *GCLC* gene (SNPs) such as rs12524494, rs17883901, rs606548, rs636933, rs648595 and rs761142 of the *GCLC* gene using the MassARRAY-4 system. We found that genotype rs606548-C/T is significantly associated with increased risk of CRC regardless of sex and age (OR 2.24; 95% CI 1.24–4.03;  $P=0.007$ , FDR = 0.04). Moreover, ten *GCLC* genotype combinations showed association with the risk of CRC ( $P<0.05$ ). Functional SNP annotation enabled establishing the CRC-associated polymorphisms are associated with a decreased *GCLC* expression that may be attributed to epigenetic effects of histone modifications operating in a colon-specific manner.

**Conclusions** The present study was the first to show that genetic variation at the catalytic subunit of glutamate-cysteine ligase may contribute to the risk of colorectal cancer risk. However, further genetic association studies with a larger sample size are required to substantiate the role of *GCLC* gene polymorphisms in the development of sporadic colorectal cancer.

**Keywords** Colorectal cancer · Carcinogenesis · Genetic predisposition to disease · Single nucleotide polymorphism · Glutamate cysteine ligase · Glutathione

## Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common malignant tumor and the second cause of death attributed to cancer worldwide [1, 2]. About 1.9 million new cases of CRC and 935,000 deaths have been recorded in the world in 2020 [3]. The incidence of this cancer type is higher in developed countries than in countries with emerging economies, and the incidence rate of CRC is progressively growing in many countries of the world, including the Russian Federation [4].

Colorectal cancer is a multifactorial disease resulting from interactions between genetic and environmental factors such as a lack of regular physical activity, cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, and various dietary factors [4–6]. Moreover, substances with carcinogenic activity such as drugs, pesticides, food additives, and chemicals released during food cooking have been found to increase the risk of colorectal cancer. Numerous candidate gene and genome-wide association studies have been done to investigate the role of genetic factors in CRC susceptibility, and numerous single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) have been identified to be associated with disease risk [8–10]. There exists increasing evidence that dietary factors such as low consumption of fruits, vegetables, and fibers, high-fat diet, a diet high in processed meats play an important role in

✉ Marina A. Bykanova  
bikanovama@kursksmu.net

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

the development of CRC and therefore dietary modification is promised to reduce disease incidence [4, 11, 12]. Anti-carcinogenic properties of fruits and vegetables, as well as unprocessed meats, are attributed to numerous natural components in such food, among which glutathione is of particular importance.

Glutathione (GSH) is an intracellular thiol peptide (consists of three amino acids such as cysteine, glycine, and glutamic acid) that presents in the majority of cell types at high concentrations, and is involved in xenobiotic detoxification, antioxidant defense, maintenance of mitochondrial function, and modulation of cellular proliferation, inhibition of apoptosis in many other crucial biological functions [13, 14]. Since glutathione is known to detoxify a wide variety of exogenous and endogenous carcinogens and free radicals, and this function makes GSH a powerful molecule protecting from carcinogenesis [15, 16]. Importantly, glutathione deficiency is associated with increased susceptibility to oxidative stress implicated in the development and progression of cancer [17, 18]. In the context of the anti-carcinogenic function of GSH, Shiraishi with co-authors reported that long-term ingestion of reduced glutathione (GSH) was found to suppress an accelerating effect of beef tallow diet on colon carcinogenesis in rats [19]. Hoensch with co-workers [20] observed that glutathione levels in the large intestine are relatively low and decrease from proximal (the colon transversum) to a distal colon (sigma). Genetic polymorphisms for glutathione metabolism enzymes may explain interindividual differences in glutathione biosynthesis and thus influence susceptibility to colorectal cancer. Thus, polymorphic genes encoding enzymes involved in the glutathione biosynthesis like glutamate-cysteine ligase (GCL) are attractive biomarkers for testing the genetic susceptibility to colorectal cancer. However, the contribution of genes responsible for glutathione biosynthesis such as *GCLC*, a catalytic subunit of glutamate-cysteine ligase, catalyzing the initial rate-limiting step of GSH biosynthesis [21], to the predisposition to colorectal cancer has not so far been investigated. Therefore, the purpose of this pilot study was to investigate whether common SNPs at the *GCLC* gene are associated with the risk of colorectal cancer in a population of Central Russia.

## Material and methods

### Study participants

The Ethical Review Committee of Kursk State Medical University has approved the research protocol. All participants gave written informed consent before enrollment for this study. A total of 681 unrelated individuals (283 patients with CRC and 398 healthy controls) from Central Russia were recruited for the study. The prevalence of colorectal cancer

in the Kursk region is 275 per 100,000 men and women per year. The patients were enrolled from the Kursk Regional Oncological Dispensary during the period between 2013 and 2016. Patients with a positive family history of any type of cancer were not included in the case group. The diagnosis of CRC was verified by experienced oncologists based on the results of clinical, laboratory, and instrumental methods. Histological types of colorectal cancer in the case group included well-differentiated adenocarcinoma (70.3% of cases), moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma (21.6% of cases), and poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma (8.1% of cases). The control group was recruited from the same population and included healthy blood volunteers and hospital-based patients with no clinical evidence for CRC, as described previously [22, 23]. The criterion for inclusion in the control group was the absence of oncological and other chronic diseases. The mean age of the case and control groups were  $66.13 \pm 10.02$  and  $66.08 \pm 5.27$  years, respectively ( $P=0.93$ ). The number of males was similar in the case ( $N=146$ , 51.59%) and control ( $N=228$ , 57.29%) groups ( $P=0.61$ ).

### DNA analysis

Whole blood samples (5 mL) were collected from all study participants into EDTA-coated tubes and maintained at  $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  until processed. Genomic DNA was isolated using the standard procedure of phenol–chloroform extraction. Six common functional SNPs of the *GCLC* gene (minor allele frequency in the European population is higher than 5%) such as rs12524494, rs17883901, rs606548, rs636933, rs648595, and rs761142 were selected for the study using SNPinfo, GenePipe, and FuncPred bioinformatics tools [24], as described previously [7]. Genotyping of the SNPs was performed with the MassArray-4 system (Agena Bioscience Inc, San Diego, CA, USA) at the Research Institute for Genetic and Molecular Epidemiology of Kursk State Medical University (Kursk, Russia). To ensure quality control, 10% of the samples were chosen randomly for repeat genotyping, which was performed blindly to the case–control status, and the repeatability test yielded a 100% concordance rate.

### Statistical and bioinformatics analysis

Allele frequencies were estimated by the gene counting method. The chi-square test was applied to assess significant departures of genotype frequency from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE).  $P$ -value  $\leq 0.05$  was considered statistically significant. Allele and genotype frequencies and their association with CRC groups were analyzed using the SNPStats software [25] available online at <https://snpstats.net>. SNP-disease associations were evaluated by multiple

logistic regression (codominant genetic model) with the calculation of odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) adjusted for covariates such as age and sex. SNPStats software was also used to estimate *GCLC* haplotypes and their association with CRC risk, as well as to assess linkage disequilibrium (LD,  $D$ , and  $D'$  values) between SNPs. Genotype combinations were compared between the study groups using the chi-square test, and the method of false discovery rate (FDR) was applied to all SNP–disease associations to control for multiple testing (FDR calculator available online at <http://www.sdmproject.com/utilities/?show=FDR>).

Publicly available bioinformatics databases and online resources such as eQTLGen Consortium (<https://www.eqtlgen.org>), GTEx portal (<https://gtexportal.org>), and VannoPortal (<http://www.mulinlab.org/vportal/index.html>) were used to annotate the studied polymorphisms of the *GCLC* gene. In particular, we analyzed VannoPortal data to assess the regulatory chromatin states from DNase-Seq, ATAC-seq, histone ChIP-Seq, and selected transcription factor ChIP-seq from 869 biosamples, a part of the EpiMap Epigenomics 2021 project [26]. The bioinformatics analysis was aimed to assess whether the studied *GCLC* gene polymorphisms are significant quantitative trait loci (QTL) that correlate with molecular traits such as mRNA expression (eQTL) and histone modification (hQTL).

## Results

### Allele and genotype frequencies of the *GCLC* polymorphisms in the studied population

The genotype and allele frequencies are shown in Table 1. The genotype distribution for all studied polymorphisms of the *GCLC* gene was in the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium ( $P > 0.05$ ). Minor allele frequencies (MAF) for all studied SNPs were in accordance with those reported in non-Finnish Europeans, as compared with MAF obtained from the Genome Aggregation Database (<https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org>).

### Association of the *GCLC* polymorphisms with the risk of colorectal cancer

Statistically significant difference in minor allele frequencies for SNPs rs606548 ( $P = 0.041$ ) and rs761142 ( $P = 0.032$ ) of the *GCLC* gene were observed between the case and control groups. A carriage of genotype rs606548-C/T (OR 2.24; 95% CI 1.24–4.03;  $P = 0.007$ ) was associated with increased risk of colorectal cancer regardless of sex and age (overdominant effect of SNP). Furthermore, SNP rs761142 (OR 1.30; 95% CI 1.01–1.66;  $P = 0.041$ ) of *GCLC* showed an

association with increased susceptibility to colorectal cancer (log-additive SNP effect).

### Joint effects of the *GCLC* polymorphisms on CRC susceptibility

Table 2 shows genotype combinations associated with the risk of colorectal cancer. As can be seen from Table 2, eight out of ten genotype combinations were associated with increased risk of CRC. The disease high risk of these genotype combinations were attributed to the presence of heterozygotes such as rs12524494-G/A, rs636933-G/A, rs648595-G/T, and rs606548-C/T. In contrast, two genotype combinations such as rs636933-G/G × rs761142-A/A ( $G3$ ) and rs606548-C/C × rs17883901-G/G ( $G9$ ) were protective against the risk of CRC. However, this association did not survive after correction for multiple testing using the FDR procedure.

We estimated haplotype frequencies in CRC patients and controls (Supplementary table 1). No difference was observed in the haplotype distribution between the study groups ( $P > 0.05$ ). Supplementary table 2 shows data on linkage disequilibrium between the studied SNPs in the Russian population. SNPs rs12524494 and rs636933 were in positive linkage disequilibrium ( $D' = 0.812$ ,  $P = 0.0011$ ). SNP-pairs such as rs606548 and rs761142, rs12524494 and rs761142 were in strong linkage disequilibrium ( $D' = 0.9987$ ,  $D' = 9114$ ).

### Functional annotation for CRC-associated polymorphisms of the *GCLC* gene

Functional annotation of the studied SNPs was done using the Vannovar bioinformatics tools (Table 3). We found that all the polymorphisms represent functional genetic variants by which *GCLC* gene expression might be modulated in the colon and rectal cells in an allele-specific manner. SNPs rs12524494 and rs606548 were a subject of great interest since the variants showed association with CRC susceptibility. All studied polymorphisms of the *GCLC* gene were in silico predicted as likely pathogenic variants with oncogenicity scores. SNP rs12524494 is associated with histone mark H3K36me3 (the tri-methylation at the 36th lysine residue to the DNA packaging protein Histone H3) in malignant cell types such as lung epithelial and hepatocellular carcinoma, sarcoma, melanoma, B cell lymphoma, acute lymphoblastic leukemia, testicular embryonal carcinoma, eye retinoblastoma, and neuroblastoma, as identified by the EpiMap Epigenomics 2021 project. In addition, rs12524494 is associated with epigenetic modification H3K79me2 (the di-methylation at the 79th lysine residue of the histone H3 protein) in lung epithelial carcinoma. SNP rs12524494 was found to be associated with strong gene transcription in mucosal cells

**Table 1** Genotype and allele frequencies for the studied gene polymorphisms of *GCLC* among CRC patients and healthy controls

| SNP ID              | Genotype, allele | Controls, n (%) <sup>a</sup> | CRC patients, n (%) <sup>a</sup> | OR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup> | <i>P</i> -Value <sup>b</sup> | adj OR (95% CI) <sup>c</sup> | <i>P</i> -value <sup>c</sup> |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| rs12524494<br>A > G | A/A              | 335 (92.8)                   | 249 (89.6)                       | 1.00                     | <b>0.034</b>                 | 1.00                         | <b>0.028</b>                 |
|                     | A/G              | 23 (6.4)                     | 29 (10.4)                        | 1.70 (0.96–3.00)         |                              | 1.74 (0.98–3.08)             |                              |
|                     | G/G              | 3 (0.8)                      | 0 (0.0)                          | NA                       |                              | NA                           |                              |
|                     | G                | 0.040                        | 0.052                            | 1.31 (0.78–2.23)         | 0.31                         | 1.77 (0.45–1.30)             | 0.30                         |
| rs17883901<br>G > A | G/G              | 327 (84.7)                   | 225 (82.1)                       | 1.00                     | 0.62                         | 1.00                         | 0.64                         |
|                     | G/A              | 57 (14.8)                    | 48 (17.5)                        | 1.22 (0.80–1.86)         |                              | 1.22 (0.80–1.86)             |                              |
|                     | A/A              | 2 (0.5)                      | 1 (0.4)                          | 0.73 (0.07–8.06)         |                              | 0.74 (0.07–8.24)             |                              |
|                     | A                | 0.079                        | 0.091                            | 1.17 (0.79–1.73)         | 0.43                         | 1.19 (0.76–1.77)             | 0.41                         |
| rs606548<br>C > T   | C/C              | 346 (93.8)                   | 234 (88.6)                       | 1.00                     | <b>0.013</b>                 | 1.00                         | <b>0.008</b>                 |
|                     | C/T              | 21 (5.7)                     | 30 (11.4)                        | 2.11 (1.18–3.78)         |                              | 2.23 (1.24–4.01)             |                              |
|                     | T/T              | 2 (0.5)                      | 0 (0.0)                          | NA                       |                              | NA                           |                              |
|                     | T                | 0.034                        | 0.057                            | 1.72 (1.00–2.96)         | <b>0.048</b>                 | 1.74 (1.01–2.99)             | <b>0.041</b>                 |
| rs636933<br>G > A   | G/G              | 244 (64.2)                   | 162 (58.1)                       | 1.00                     | 0.26                         | 1.00                         | 0.3                          |
|                     | G/A              | 119 (31.3)                   | 104 (37.3)                       | 1.32 (0.95–1.83)         |                              | 1.30 (0.93–1.81)             |                              |
|                     | A/A              | 17 (4.5)                     | 13 (4.7)                         | 1.15 (0.54–2.44)         |                              | 1.14 (0.54–2.42)             |                              |
|                     | A                | 0.201                        | 0.233                            | 1.21 (0.92–1.57)         | 0.17                         | 1.19 (0.90–1.61)             | 0.18                         |
| rs648595<br>T > G   | T/T              | 130 (33.5)                   | 85 (30.4)                        | 1.00                     | 0.6                          | 1.00                         | 0.58                         |
|                     | T/G              | 186 (47.9)                   | 136 (48.6)                       | 1.12 (0.79–1.59)         |                              | 1.11 (0.78–1.59)             |                              |
|                     | G/G              | 72 (18.6)                    | 59 (21.1)                        | 1.25 (0.81–1.95)         |                              | 1.27 (0.81–1.97)             |                              |
|                     | G                | 0.425                        | 0.454                            | 1.12 (0.90–1.40)         | 0.30                         | 1.10 (0.93–1.42)             | 0.28                         |
| rs761142<br>A > C   | A/A              | 228 (60.0)                   | 148 (52.7)                       | 1.00                     | 0.12                         | 1.00                         | 0.12                         |
|                     | A/C              | 131 (34.5)                   | 110 (39.1)                       | 1.29 (0.93–1.79)         |                              | 1.28 (0.93–1.78)             |                              |
|                     | C/C              | 21 (5.5)                     | 23 (8.2)                         | 1.69 (0.90–3.16)         |                              | 1.71 (0.91–3.20)             |                              |
|                     | C                | 0.228                        | 0.278                            | 1.30 (1.01–1.68)         | <b>0.038</b>                 | 1.32 (1.02–1.70)             | <b>0.032</b>                 |

<sup>a</sup>Absolute number and percentage of individuals/chromosomes with particular genotype/allele

<sup>b</sup>Odds ratio with 95% confidence intervals (crude analysis) with one degree of freedom

<sup>c</sup>Odds ratio with 95% confidence intervals adjusted for age and sex. Bold is significant *P*-values

NA not available

of the colon and rectum (Roadmap Epigenomics) and also related with epigenetic modification (H3K36me3). Importantly, 3D Genomes data from VannoPortal show that SNP

rs12524494 is associated with enhancer/promoter activity of *GCLC* and *AL033397.2* miRNA (antisense) in colorectal adenocarcinoma epithelial cells.

**Table 2** Association of genotype combinations with the risk of CRC

| No         | <i>GCLC</i> genotype combination | CRC patients |      | Healthy controls |      | OR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup> | <i>P</i> <sup>b</sup> |
|------------|----------------------------------|--------------|------|------------------|------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
|            |                                  | N            | %    | N                | %    |                          |                       |
| <i>G1</i>  | rs12524494-G/A × rs761142-C/C    | 9            | 3.2  | 2                | 0.6  | 4.88<br>(1.20–19.82)     | <b>0.03</b>           |
| <i>G2</i>  | rs12524494-G/A × rs606548-C/T    | 27           | 10.4 | 13               | 3.9  | 2.88<br>(1.45–5.70)      | <b>0.002</b>          |
| <i>G3</i>  | rs636933-G/G × rs761142-A/A      | 138          | 49.5 | 213              | 57.4 | 0.73<br>(0.53–0.99)      | <b>0.04</b>           |
| <i>G4</i>  | rs636933-G/A × rs606548-C/T      | 10           | 3.8  | 3                | 0.8  | 4.20<br>(1.24–14.23)     | <b>0.02</b>           |
| <i>G5</i>  | rs636933-G/A × rs17883901-G/A    | 27           | 9.9  | 20               | 5.4  | 1.94<br>(1.06–3.54)      | <b>0.03</b>           |
| <i>G6</i>  | rs648595-G/T × rs606548-C/T      | 18           | 6.8  | 12               | 3.3  | 2.13<br>(1.01–4.51)      | <b>0.04</b>           |
| <i>G7</i>  | rs648595-G/T × rs17883901-G/A    | 25           | 9.2  | 18               | 4.7  | 2.03<br>(1.08–3.80)      | <b>0.02</b>           |
| <i>G8</i>  | rs761142-C/C × rs606548-C/T      | 10           | 3.8  | 3                | 0.8  | 4.21<br>(1.24–14.28)     | <b>0.02</b>           |
| <i>G9</i>  | rs606548-C/C × rs17883901-G/G    | 187          | 71.9 | 287              | 79.1 | 0.68<br>(0.47–0.98)      | <b>0.04</b>           |
| <i>G10</i> | rs606548-C/T × rs17883901-G/G    | 26           | 10.0 | 17               | 4.7  | 2.26<br>(1.20–4.26)      | <b>0.01</b>           |

<sup>a</sup>Odds ratio with 95% confidence intervals for particular genotype combination (crude analysis)

<sup>b</sup>*P*-values for association of particular genotype combination with CRC (Pearson's chi-square test);

Bold is statistically significant *P*- values

**Table 3** A summary on the functional annotation of CRC-associated SNPs with *Vannovar* bioinformatics resource\*

| SNP ID             | SNP location | LD information (#SNP in $D' \geq 0.9$ ) | Roadmap Epigenomics <sup>a</sup>                                     | EpiMap Epigenomics 2021 <sup>b</sup>                   | 3D Genomes <sup>c</sup>                       | Pathogenicity Score <sup>d</sup>     | Oncogenicity Score <sup>d</sup>         |
|--------------------|--------------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| rs12524494 (A > G) | intron       | 14                                      | H3K36me3   | H3K36me3 (cancer)                                      | Enhancer/promoter (colorectal adenocarcinoma) | Likely pathogenic ( <i>fitCons</i> ) | Likely cancer driver ( <i>FunSeq2</i> ) |
| rs606548 (C > T)   | intron       | 17                                      | Weak transcription (mucosal cells of the colon and rectum), H3K79me2 | H3K79me2, H4K20me1 (colorectal adenocarcinoma)         | Enhancer/promoter (colorectal adenocarcinoma) | Likely pathogenic ( <i>fitCons</i> ) | Likely cancer driver ( <i>FunSeq2</i> ) |
| rs761142 (A > C)   | intron       | 7                                       | H3K79me2 (rectum), weak enhancer (colon)                             | H3K79me2, H3K4me1, H3K4me2 (colorectal adenocarcinoma) | Enhancer/promoter (colorectal adenocarcinoma) | Likely pathogenic ( <i>fitCons</i> ) | Likely cancer driver ( <i>FunSeq2</i> ) |

<sup>a</sup>Data on colon/rectum mucosal cells

<sup>b</sup>Data on various cancer cells

<sup>c</sup>Data on colorectal adenocarcinoma epithelial cells

<sup>d</sup>Predicted pathogenicity/oncogenicity (prediction score method);

\*<http://www.mulinlab.org/vportal/index.html>

The analysis of the EpiMap Epigenomics data showed that SNP rs606548 is associated with histone marks such as H3K79me2 and H4K20me1 in colorectal adenocarcinoma cells. H4K20me1 (the mono-methylation at the 20th lysine residue of the histone H4 protein) is associated with transcriptional activation and important for cell cycle regulation [27]. According to the Roadmap Epigenomics data, polymorphism rs606548 of the *GCLC* gene is associated with a weak transcriptional activity in mucosal cells of the colon and rectum as well as with histone mark H3K79me2.

The Roadmap Epigenomics data shows that SNP rs761142 is associated with weak transcriptional activity and histone mark H3K79me2 in mucosal cells of the rectum as well as with weak enhancer in mucosal cells of the colon. The EpiMap Epigenomics data show that polymorphism rs761142 is associated with histone marks such as H3K79me2 and H3K4me1 in colorectal adenocarcinoma. Finally, the all CRC-associated polymorphisms (rs12524494, rs606548 and rs761142) are associated with enhancer/promoter activity of *GCLC* in colorectal adenocarcinoma epithelial cells, as identified by the 3D Genomes project.

Tissue-specific eQTL data on the polymorphisms of the *GCLC* gene of VannoPortal were analyzed. In addition, the bioinformatics databases such as eQTLGen and the GTEx mRNA expression in different tissues and whole-genome genotype data were also used to assess the functional effects of the SNPs. Table 4 shows tissue-specific eQTL analysis for polymorphisms of the *GCLC* gene. In the whole blood, allele rs12524494-G is associated with decreased levels of *GCLC* (eQTLGen Consortium,  $Q < 0.001$ ) and increased levels of pseudogene *ERHP2* (VannoPortal,  $Q = 8.44 \times 10^{-4}$ ). Allele rs606548-T is associated with decreased expression

of *GCLC* in the whole blood (eQTLGen Consortium,  $Q < 0.001$ ) and increased expression of *ELOVL5* (VannoPortal,  $Q = 1.44 \times 10^{-7}$ ) in neutrophils and monocytes, as assessed on the transcriptomic data of Chen with co-workers [28]. Allele rs761142-C is associated with decreased expression of *GCLC* in the whole blood. Thus, none of the CRC-associated polymorphisms are associated with expression levels of *GCLC* in both sigmoid and transverse parts of the colon.

Tissue and cell type-specific prioritization of regulatory variants that are in the linkage disequilibrium with the CRC-associated *GCLC* gene polymorphisms has revealed that these variants are likely regulated in both colonic mucosa and sigmoid colon through epigenetic mechanisms, as predicted by the VannoPortal tool on the 1000 Genomes Project, Phase 3 (data of European ancestry). REG-score was used to indicate the regulatory potential of a SNP that is estimated by VarNote-REG V1.1, a bioinformatics tool for prioritization of likely causal regulatory variants from GWAS studies. In particular, SNP rs761142 is associated with histone mark H3K79me2 (REG score = 0.86537) and is in LD with a variant rs9474579 associated with histone marks such as H3K27ac, H3K4me2, and H3K79me2 (REG score = 0.87631) in mucosal cells of the colon. In the sigmoid colon, SNP rs9474579 linked to the rs761142 variant is also associated with histone marks such as H3K27ac, H3K4me2, and H3K79me2 (REG score = 0.87631). The regulatory variants rs17885586 (REG score = 0.88625), rs1555907 (REG score = 0.78026) and rs1555906 (REG score = 0.74814) linked to the rs761142 polymorphism are associated with histone mark H3K79me2, whereas a regulatory variant rs2268326 (REG score = 0.75310) is associated with histone mark H3K4me2.

**Table 4** Tissue-specific eQTL analysis for polymorphisms of the *GCLC* gene from databases QTLGen and GTEx portal

| Gene          | Allele assessed | SNP ID     | Whole blood <sup>a</sup> |          | Colon Sigmoid <sup>b</sup> |        | Colon Transverse <sup>b</sup> |         |
|---------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------------|----------|----------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|---------|
|               |                 |            | FDR                      | Z        | P                          | NES    | P                             | NES     |
| <i>GCLC</i>   | G               | rs12524494 | < 0.0001                 | −8.5924  | –                          | –      | –                             | –       |
| <i>GCLC</i>   | A               | rs17883901 | –                        | –        | 0.18                       | 0.086  | 0.24                          | −0.086  |
| <i>FBXO9</i>  | A               | rs17883901 | –                        | –        | <b>0.031</b>               | −0.13  | 0.096                         | 0.10    |
| <i>ELOVL5</i> | A               | rs17883901 | –                        | –        | <b>0.0093</b>              | −0.14  | 0.89                          | −0.0069 |
| <i>KLHL31</i> | A               | rs17883901 | –                        | –        | 0.77                       | 0.035  | 0.30                          | −0.073  |
| <i>GCLC</i>   | T               | rs606548   | < 0.0001                 | −8.0428  | 0.85                       | 0.011  | 0.24                          | −0.083  |
| <i>GCLC</i>   | A               | rs636933   | < 0.0001                 | −10.6309 | 0.96                       | 0.0021 | 0.63                          | −0.023  |
| <i>GCLC</i>   | G               | rs648595   | < 0.0001                 | −17.7719 | 0.37                       | 0.028  | 0.076                         | −0.069  |
| <i>GCLC</i>   | C               | rs761142   | < 0.0001                 | −15.0363 | 0.83                       | 0.0071 | 0.10                          | −0.068  |

<sup>a</sup>Q-value (false discovery rate) and Z-score statistics for SNP's eQTLs in whole blood obtained from the eQTLGen database (<https://www.eqtlgen.org>)

<sup>b</sup>P-value and NES (normalized effect size) statistics for SNP's eQTLs in the colon obtained from the GTEx portal (<https://gtexportal.org>)

\*Statistics for SNP's eQTLs obtained from the QTLbase database (<http://mulinlab.tmu.edu.cn/qtlbase/index.html>): P-value and Z-score or *beta* estimate



In the colon mucosa, polymorphism rs12524494 is linked with numerous regulatory variants that are an object of epigenetic regulation. For instance, a tightly linked SNP rs2268329 ( $D' = 0.9441$ ) is associated (REG score = 0.90309) with histone marks such as H3K27ac, H3K4me1, H3K4me2, H3K79me2, and H3K4me3 as well as represents a DNase hypersensitivity site. In the sigmoid colon, nine regulatory variants (rs16883893, rs16883924, rs17881289, rs2300422, rs2268329, rs3799699, rs3799698, rs606548 and rs77802486) linked with SNP rs12524494 are associated (REG score > 0.674) with histone marks such as H3K36me3, H3K79me2 and H3K4me2.

SNP rs606548 is linked to six regulatory variants (rs77802486, rs4715408, rs16883924, rs3799698, rs3799699 and rs2284650) which are associated with histone marks H3K79me2 and H3K36me3 in colon mucosal cells. In the sigmoid colon, nine rs606548-linked regulatory variants (rs16883893, rs2268329, rs77802486, rs16883924, rs17881289, rs2300422, rs3799698, rs3799699 and rs2284650) are associated (REG score > 0.676) with histone marks H3K79me2, H3K4me2 and H3K36me3.

The 3D Genomes data from VannoPortal show that the CRC-associated polymorphisms rs12524494, rs606548, and rs761142 are associated with enhancer/promoter activity of *GCLC* in colorectal adenocarcinoma epithelial cells. In addition, all these SNPs are associated with the weak transcriptional activity of the *GCLC* gene in mucosal cells of the colon, as assessed by regulatory chromatin states from the DNase-Seq and histone ChIP-Seq data of the Roadmap Epigenomics Project (VannoPortal).

## Discussion

Glutathione is a tripeptide,  $\gamma$ -L-glutamyl-L-cysteinyl glycine, present in all tissues at high (1–10 mM) concentrations and is considered as the most abundant non-protein thiol antioxidant playing a critical role in maintaining redox homeostasis and defending the cell against oxidative damage [14, 29, 30]. GSH possesses numerous vital functions in the cell such as detoxifying xenobiotics, scavenging free radicals, maintaining the essential thiol status of proteins, providing a reservoir for cysteine, as well as modulating critical cellular processes such as DNA synthesis, microtubule dynamics, and immune function [14, 31]. The major determinants of intracellular GSH production are the availability of cysteine, the sulfur amino acid determining the activity of glutamate-cysteine ligase (GCL), the rate-limiting enzyme of glutathione biosynthesis. GCL is composed of catalytic (GCLC) and modifier (GCLM) subunits which are differentially regulated [30].

The levels of reduced glutathione were found to be elevated in numerous types of human cancers such as bone

marrow [32], breast [33], and lung [34] as well as colorectal cancer [21]. Moreover, the increased expression of *GCLC* has been identified in lung, breast, liver, and other types of cancer [35]. It is observed that the increased resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs and radiation therapy might be associated with increased levels of GSH [36], suggesting that increased glutathione is a secondary event when tumor cells somehow enhance glutathione biosynthesis to ensure their vital functions. In addition, the levels of *GCLC* were found to be overexpressed in patients with liver metastases, where the enzyme is thought to promote tumor cell survival under hypoxic and cell-dense conditions [37]. Nguyen with co-workers [38] observed that the RNAi-mediated inhibition of glutathione synthesis impaired survival of multiple colon cancer cell lines.

The present study was the first to identify significant associations between polymorphisms of *GCLC* and the risk of CRC. In particular, a polymorphism rs606548 of *GCLC* showed a significant association with the risk of colorectal cancer in the Russian population, regardless of age and sex. Two other SNPs of the *GCLC* gene such as rs12524494 and rs761142 showed a weak association with disease risk, and the association did not survive after correction for multiple tests. Furthermore, ten genotype *GCLC* combinations were associated with the risk of CRC. Functional SNP annotation using multiple bioinformatics tools revealed that polymorphisms rs606548, rs12524494, and rs761142, despite being located in non-coding regions of the gene, represent the regulatory variants that themselves or due to their tightly linked SNPs may impact the expression level of the *GCLC* through epigenetic mechanisms such as histone modification and DNase sensitivity.

According to the literature, *GCLC* gene polymorphisms are known to be associated with breast and prostate cancer [39, 40]. In particular, SNP rs12524494 is associated with susceptibility to breast cancer [41]. Polymorphism rs761142 of *GCLC* is found to affect drug metabolism, but no evidence for association with any type of cancer was observed [42]. Polymorphism rs17883901 [43, 44], rs41303970, and rs12524494 [7] were found to be associated with the risk of diabetes. Interestingly, *ELOVL5*, whose decreased expression level in the sigmoid part of the colon is correlated with allele rs17883901-A of *GCLC* (data obtained from GTEx portal), was found to be highly expressed in colorectal cancer tissues [45]. It is proposed that changes in expression may be indicative of the increased regulation of fatty acid biosynthesis that contributes to the reprogramming of cellular phospholipidome and membrane alterations in colon cancer [46]. There is also evidence for an association between polymorphism rs606548 of the *GCLC* gene and the risk of ischemic stroke [47]. Bioinformatics analysis allowed identifying that the CRC-associated alleles are associated with decreased

expression of the *GCLC* gene, and the modulating effects of these variants, most likely, are realized through epigenetic mechanisms including histone modifications operating in a tissue-specific manner [48]. We suggest that histone modifications such as H3K79me2, H3K4me2, and H3K36me3 as well as H3K79me2 and H3K36me3 might contribute to the weak transcriptional activity of the *GCLC* gene in the sigmoid part of colon and colon mucosa, respectively. Taking together, our findings suggest that the decreased transcription of the *GCLC* gene in the carriers for the rs606548 variant and associated decreased levels of glutathione makes mucosal cells of the colon more sensitive to environmental carcinogens.

### Study limitations

The present study has a limitation in that the results were obtained with a relatively small number of CRC patients and healthy controls. The link between polymorphisms of *GCLC* and colorectal cancer observed in the studied population of relatively low sample size should be considered as an exploratory finding, highlighting the demand for validation in a larger independent population with a focus on a wider spectrum of polymorphisms of the *GCLC* gene. Moreover, the present study did not analyze gene-environment interactions, a joint effect of the *GCLC* gene polymorphisms, and well-recognized environmental factors such as hypodynamia, cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, and dietary factors on the risk of colorectal cancer.

In conclusion, the present study is the first to show an association between single nucleotide polymorphisms and the risk of colorectal cancer. Based on the observed associations, we suppose that the *GCLC* gene may contribute to the CRC susceptibility through a diminished biosynthesis of glutathione in the large intestine where the tripeptide is crucial for the regulation of multiple cellular processes, including cell differentiation, proliferation, and apoptosis as well as for the detoxification and removal of carcinogens and free radicals leading to oxidative stress that has been implicated in cancer development and progression [18, 49]. However, before drawing a definitive conclusion on the roles of the *GCLC* gene in colorectal cancer, further studies with a larger sample size are required to confirm the association between the gene polymorphisms to the risk of colorectal cancer and to investigate whether environmental factors modify the effects of SNPs on the disease susceptibility. Better understanding the impact of the *GCLC* gene polymorphisms on glutathione biosynthesis and their contribution to colorectal cancer susceptibility will open new avenues for disease prevention through glutathione replenishment and provide opportunities for effective genotype-based treatment of disease progression [50].

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-022-07406-0>.

**Acknowledgements** This study was supported by the TRC GEN+ Genetic Research Foundation of Trans Russian Co (contract no. 474).

**Funding** This study was supported by the TRC GEN+ Genetic Research Foundation of Trans Russian Co (Contract No. 474).

### Declarations

**Conflict of interest** The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

**Ethical approval** All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

**Consent to publish** Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

### References

1. Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R et al (2015) Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. *Int J Cancer* 136(5):E359–E386. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.29210>
2. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, Bray F (2021) Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 71(3):209–249. <https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660>
3. Ferlay J, Colombet M, Soerjomataram I, Parkin DM, Piñeros M, Znaor A, Bray F (2020) Cancer statistics for the year 2020: an overview. *Int J Cancer*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.33588>
4. Keum N, Giovannucci E (2019) Global burden of colorectal cancer: emerging trends, risk factors and prevention strategies. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 16(12):713–732. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41575-019-0189-8>
5. Aran V, Victorino AP, Thuler LC, Ferreira CG (2016) Colorectal cancer: epidemiology, disease mechanisms and interventions to reduce onset and mortality. *Clin Colorectal Cancer* 15(3):195–203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clcc.2016.02.008>
6. Wan ML, Wang Y, Zeng Z, Deng B, Zhu BS, Cao T, Li YK, Xiao J, Han Q, Wu Q (2020) Colorectal cancer (CRC) as a multifactorial disease and its causal correlations with multiple signaling pathways. *Biosci Rep* 40(3):BSR2020065
7. Azarova I, Klyosova E, Lazarenko V, Konoplya A, Polonikov A (2020) Genetic variants in glutamate cysteine ligase confer protection against type 2 diabetes. *Mol Biol Rep* 47(8):5793–5805. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-020-05647-5>
8. Fearon ER (2011) Molecular genetics of colorectal cancer. *Annu Rev Pathol* 6:479–507. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pathol-011110-130235>
9. Siebert S, Hampe J, Schafmayer C, von Schönfels W, Egberts JH, Försti A, Chen B, Lascorz J, Hemminki K, Franke A, Nothnagel M, Nöthlings U, Krawczak M (2013) Genome-wide investigation of gene-environment interactions in colorectal cancer. *Hum Genet* 132(2):219–231. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00439-012-1239-2>
10. Zeng C, Matsuda K, Jia WH, Chang J, Kweon SS, Xiang YB, Shin A, Jee SH, Kim DH, Zhang B, Cai Q, Guo X, Long J, Wang N,



- Courtney R, Pan ZZ, Wu C, Takahashi A, Shin MH, Matsuo K, Matsuda F, Gao YT, Oh JH, Kim S, Jung KJ, Ahn YO, Ren Z, Li HL, Wu J, Shi J, Wen W, Yang G, Li B, Ji BT, Genetics and Epidemiology of Colorectal Cancer Consortium (GECCO), Brenner H, Schoen RE, Küry S, Colorectal Transdisciplinary (CORECT) Study, Gruber SB, Schumacher FR, Stenzel SL, Colon Cancer Family Registry (CCFR), Casey G, Hopper JL, Jenkins MA, Kim HR, Jeong JY, Park JW, Tajima K, Cho SH, Kubo M, Shu XO, Lin D, Zeng YX, Zheng W (2016) Identification of Susceptibility Loci and Genes for Colorectal Cancer Risk. *Gastroenterology* 150(7):1633–1645. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2016.02.076>
11. Song M, Garrett WS, Chan AT (2015) Nutrients, foods, and colorectal cancer prevention. *Gastroenterology* 148(6):1244–60.e16. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2014.12.035>
  12. Murphy N, Moreno V, Hughes DJ, Vodicka L, Vodicka P, Aglago EK, Gunter MJ, Jenab M (2019) Lifestyle and dietary environmental factors in colorectal cancer susceptibility. *Mol Aspects Med* 69:2–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mam.2019.06.005>
  13. Wu G, Fang YZ, Yang S, Lupton JR, Turner ND (2004) Glutathione metabolism and its implications for health. *J Nutr* 134(3):489–492. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/134.3.489>
  14. Pizzorno J (2014) Glutathione! *Integr Med (Encinitas)* 13(1):8–12
  15. Richie JP Jr (1992) The role of glutathione in aging and cancer. *Exp Gerontol* 27(5–6):615–626. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0531-5565\(92\)90015-r](https://doi.org/10.1016/0531-5565(92)90015-r)
  16. Richie JP Jr, Komninou D, Albino AP (2007) Induction of colon tumorigenesis by glutathione depletion in p53-knock-out mice. *Int J Oncol* 30(6):1539–1543
  17. Jones DP, Coates RJ, Flagg EW, Eley JW, Block G, Greenberg RS, Gunter EW, Jackson B (1992) Glutathione in foods listed in the National Cancer Institute's Health Habits and History Food Frequency Questionnaire. *Nutr Cancer* 17(1):57–75. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01635589209514173>
  18. Traverso N, Ricciarelli R, Nitti M, Marengo B, Furfaro AL, Pronzato MA, Marinari UM, Domenicotti C (2013) Role of glutathione in cancer progression and chemoresistance. *Oxid Med Cell Longev* 2013:972913. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/972913>
  19. Shiraishi R, Fujise T, Kuroki T, Kakimoto T, Miao L, Sakata Y, Tsunada S, Noda T, Iwakiri R, Fujimoto K (2009) Long-term ingestion of reduced glutathione suppressed an accelerating effect of beef tallow diet on colon carcinogenesis in rats. *J Gastroenterol* 44(10):1026–1035. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00535-009-0101-3>
  20. Hoensch H, Peters WH, Roelofs HM, Kirch W (2006) Expression of the glutathione enzyme system of human colon mucosa by localisation, gender and age. *Curr Med Res Opin* 22(6):1075–1083. <https://doi.org/10.1185/030079906X112480>
  21. Kim AD, Zhang R, Han X, Kang KA, Piao MJ, Maeng YH, Chang WY, Hyun JW (2015) Involvement of glutathione and glutathione metabolizing enzymes in human colorectal cancer cell lines and tissues. *Mol Med Rep* 12(3):4314–4319. <https://doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2015.3902>
  22. Moskalev AS, Barysheva EM, Soldatov VO, Frolova OG, Bobyntseva OV, Samgina TA, Churnosov MI, Ivanov VP, Polonikov AV, Bushueva OYu (2019) Association of C3435T (rs1045642) polymorphism of the MDR1 gene with the increased risk of colorectal cancer in Russian Females from Central Russia. *Russ J Genet* 55(12):1514–1519
  23. Moskalev AS (2020) Association of L432V (rs1056836) polymorphism of the CYP1B1 gene with the increased risk of colorectal cancer in the population of Central Russia. *Res Results Biomed* 6(3):318–322
  24. Polonikov AV, Klyosova EY, Azarova IE (2021) Bioinformatic tools and internet resources for functional annotation of polymorphic loci detected by genome wide association studies of multifactorial diseases (review). *Res Results Biomed* 7(1):15–31. <https://doi.org/10.18413/2658-6533-2020-7-1-0-2>
  25. Solé X, Guinó E, Valls J, Iñiesta R, Moreno V (2006) SNPStats: a web tool for the analysis of association studies. *Bioinformatics* 22(15):1928–1929. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl268>
  26. Hoon DSB, Rahimzadeh N, Bustos MA (2021) EpiMap: fine-tuning integrative epigenomics maps to understand complex human regulatory genomic circuitry. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* 6(1):179. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-021-00620-5>
  27. Wang Z, Zang C, Rosenfeld JA, Schones DE, Barski A, Cudapah S, Cui K, Roh TY, Peng W, Zhang MQ, Zhao K (2008) Combinatorial patterns of histone acetylations and methylations in the human genome. *Nat Genet* 40(7):897–903. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.154>
  28. Chen L, Ge B, Casale FP, Vasquez L, Kwan T, Garrido-Martín D, Watt S, Yan Y, Kundu K, Ecker S, Datta A, Richardson D, Burden F, Mead D, Mann AL, Fernandez JM, Rowston S, Wilder SP, Farrow S, Shao X, Lambourne JJ, Redensek A, Albers CA, Amstislavskiy V, Ashford S, Berentsen K, Bomba L, Bourque G, Bujold D, Busche S, Caron M, Chen SH, Cheung W, Delaneau O, Dermitzakis ET, Elding H, Colgiu I, Bagger FO, Flicek P, Habibi E, Iotchkova V, Janssen-Megens E, Kim B, Lehrach H, Lowy E, Mandoli A, Matarese F, Maurano MT, Morris JA, Pancaldi V, Pourfarzad F, Rehnstrom K, Rendon A, Risch T, Sharifi N, Simon MM, Sultan M, Valencia A, Walter K, Wang SY, Frontini M, Antonarakis SE, Clarke L, Yaspo ML, Beck S, Guigo R, Rico D, Martens JHA, Ouwehand WH, Kuijpers TW, Paul DS, Stunnenberg HG, Stegle O, Downes K, Pastinen T, Soranzo N (2016) Genetic drivers of epigenetic and transcriptional variation in human immune cells. *Cell* 167(5):1398–1414.e24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.10.026>
  29. Sies H (1999) Glutathione and its role in cellular functions. *Free Radic Biol Med* 27(9–10):916–921. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0891-5849\(99\)00177-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0891-5849(99)00177-x)
  30. Lu SC (2009) Regulation of glutathione synthesis. *Mol Aspects Med* 30(1–2):42–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mam.2008.05.005>
  31. Suthanthiran M et al (1990) Glutathione regulates activation-dependent DNA synthesis in highly purified normal human T lymphocytes stimulated via the CD2 and CD3 antigens. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 87(9):3343–3347
  32. Joncourt F, Oberli-Schrämli AE, Stadler M, Buser K, Franscini L, Fey MF, Cerny T (1995) Patterns of drug resistance parameters in adult leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma* 17(1–2):101–109. <https://doi.org/10.3109/10428199509051709>
  33. Jardim BV, Moschetta MG, Leonel C, Gelaleti GB, Regiani VR, Ferreira LC, Lopes JR, Zuccari DA (2013) Glutathione and glutathione peroxidase expression in breast cancer: an immunohistochemical and molecular study. *Oncol Rep* 30(3):1119–1128. <https://doi.org/10.3892/or.2013.2540>
  34. Cook JA, Pass HI, Iype SN, Friedman N, DeGraff W, Russo A, Mitchell JB (1991) Cellular glutathione and thiol measurements from surgically resected human lung tumor and normal lung tissue. *Cancer Res* 51(16):4287–4294
  35. Mougiakakos D, Okita R, Ando T, Dürr C, Gadiot J, Ichikawa J, Zeiser R, Blank C, Johansson CC, Kiessling R (2012) High expression of GCLC is associated with malignant melanoma of low oxidative phenotype and predicts a better prognosis. *J Mol Med (Berl)* 90(8):935–944. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00109-012-0857-4>
  36. Nguyen LN, Munshi A, Hobbs ML, Story MD, Meyn RD (2001) Paclitaxel restores radiation-induced apoptosis in a bcl-2-expressing, radiation-resistant lymphoma cell line. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 49(4):1127–1132. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0360-3016\(00\)01435-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0360-3016(00)01435-8)
  37. Bolger JC, McCartan D, Walsh CA, Hao Y, Hughes E, Byrne C, Young LS (2012) Global analysis of breast cancer metastasis suggests cellular reprogramming is central to the endocrine resistant

- phenotype. In: Cancer research (vol 72). American Association of Cancer Research, Philadelphia
38. Nguyen A, Loo JM, Mital R, Weinberg EM, Man FY, Zeng Z, Paty PB, Saltz L, Janjigian YY, de Stanchina E, Tavazoie SF (2016) PKLR promotes colorectal cancer liver colonization through induction of glutathione synthesis. *J Clin Invest* 126(2):681–694. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI83587>
  39. Rodrigues P, Furriol J, Bermejo B, Chaves FJ, Lluch A, Eroles P (2012) Identification of candidate polymorphisms on stress oxidative and DNA damage repair genes related with clinical outcome in breast cancer patients. *Int J Mol Sci* 13(12):16500–16513. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms131216500>
  40. Koutros S, Andreotti G, Berndt SI, Hughes Barry K, Lubin JH, Hoppin JA, Kamel F, Sandler DP, Burdette LA, Yuenger J, Yeager M, Alavanja MC, Freeman LE (2011) Xenobiotic-metabolizing gene variants, pesticide use, and the risk of prostate cancer. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* 21(10):615–623. <https://doi.org/10.1097/FPC.0b013e3283493a57>
  41. Xiong M (2007) Linkage disequilibrium and test for interaction between two loci. *Curr Top Hum Genet* 5:209. [https://doi.org/10.1142/9789812790811\\_0009](https://doi.org/10.1142/9789812790811_0009)
  42. Guerra R (2018) Adverse cutaneous drug eruptions: review of immunology, pathogenesis, and pharmacogenomics with focus on HIV and TEN. Diss. Harvard University
  43. Vieira SM, Monteiro MB, Marques T, Luna AM, Fortes MA, Nery M, Queiroz M, Dib SA, Vendramini MF, Azevedo MJ, Canani LH, Parisi MC, Pavin EJ, Giannella-Neto D, Corrêa-Giannella ML (2011) Association of genetic variants in the promoter region of genes encoding p22phox (CYBA) and glutamate cysteine ligase catalytic subunit (GCLC) and renal disease in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *BMC Med Genet* 12:129. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2350-12-129>
  44. Perez RV, Machado CG, Santos-Bezerra DP, Admoni SN, Patente TA, Monteiro MB, Cavaleiro AM, Queiroz MS, Nery M, Corrêa-Giannella ML (2019) Allelic variations in genes belonging to glutathione system increase proliferative retinopathy risk in type 1 diabetes individuals. *Gene* 703:120–124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2019.04.015>
  45. Hama K, Fujiwara Y, Hayama T, Ozawa T, Nozawa K, Matsuda K, Hashiguchi Y, Yokoyama K (2021) Very long-chain fatty acids are accumulated in triacylglycerol and nonesterified forms in colorectal cancer tissues. *Sci Rep* 11(1):6163. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-85603-w>
  46. Hofmanová J, Slavík J, Ciganek M, Ovesná P, Tylichová Z, Karasová M, Zapletal O, Straková N, Procházková J, Bouchal J, Kolář Z, Ehrmann J, Levková M, Hušková Z, Skalický P, Kozubík A, Machala M, Vondráček J (2021) Complex alterations of fatty acid metabolism and phospholipidome uncovered in isolated colon cancer epithelial cells. *Int J Mol Sci* 22(13):6650. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22136650>
  47. Bocharova YA (2021) Associations between glutamate cysteine ligase catalytic subunit gene polymorphisms and clinical characteristics of ischemic stroke. *Bull Russ State Med Univ* 1:19–23
  48. Pekowska A, Benoukraf T, Ferrier P, Spicuglia S (2010) A unique H3K4me2 profile marks tissue-specific gene regulation. *Genome Res* 20(11):1493–1502. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.109389.110>
  49. Hussain SP, Hofseth LJ, Harris CC (2003) Radical causes of cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 3(4):276–285. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc1046>
  50. Fedorinov DS, Lyadov VK, Sychev DA (2021) Genotype-based chemotherapy for patients with gastrointestinal tumors: focus on oxaliplatin, irinotecan, and fluoropyrimidines. *Drug Metab Pers Ther*. <https://doi.org/10.1515/dmdi-2021-0162>

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

## Authors and Affiliations

Marina A. Bykanova<sup>1,2</sup>  · Maria A. Solodilova<sup>2</sup>  · Iuliia E. Azarova<sup>3,4</sup>  · Elena Y. Klyosova<sup>2,4</sup>  · Olga Y. Bushueva<sup>1,2</sup>  · Anna A. Polonikova<sup>2</sup> · Mikhail I. Churnosov<sup>5</sup>  · Alexey V. Polonikov<sup>2,6</sup> 

Maria A. Solodilova  
solodilovama@kursksmu.net

Iuliia E. Azarova  
azzzzar@yandex.ru

Elena Y. Klyosova  
ecless@yandex.ru

Olga Y. Bushueva  
bushuevaoy@kursksmu.net

Anna A. Polonikova  
anna-polonikova@rambler.ru

Mikhail I. Churnosov  
churnosov@bsu.edu.ru

Alexey V. Polonikov  
polonikov@rambler.ru

<sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Genomic Research, Research Institute for Genetic and Molecular Epidemiology, Kursk State Medical University, 18 Yamskaya St., Kursk 305041, Russian Federation

<sup>2</sup> Department of Biology, Medical Genetics and Ecology, Kursk State Medical University, 3 Karl Marx Street, Kursk, Russian Federation 305041

<sup>3</sup> Department of Biological Chemistry, Kursk State Medical University, 3 Karl Marx Street, Kursk, Russian Federation 305041

<sup>4</sup> Laboratory of Biochemical Genetics and Metabolomics, Research Institute for Genetic and Molecular Epidemiology, Kursk State Medical University, 18 Yamskaya St., Kursk, Russian Federation 305041

<sup>5</sup> Department of Medical Biological Disciplines, Belgorod State University, 85 Pobedy Street, Belgorod, Russian Federation 308015

<sup>6</sup> Laboratory of Statistical Genetics and Bioinformatics, Research Institute for Genetic and Molecular Epidemiology, Kursk State Medical University, 18 Yamskaya St., Kursk, Russian Federation 305041