

Search for subsolar-mass black hole binaries in the second part of Advanced LIGO's and Advanced Virgo's third observing run

LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaboration; Smetana, Jiri; Pratten, Geraint; Schmidt, Patricia; Maggiore, Riccardo; Buscicchio, Riccardo; Middleton, Hannah; Moore, Chris; Rettegno, Piero; Zhang, Teng; Martynov, Denis; Prokhorov, Leonid

DOI:

[10.1093/mnras/stad588](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad588)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaboration, Smetana, J, Pratten, G, Schmidt, P, Maggiore, R, Buscicchio, R, Middleton, H, Moore, C, Rettegno, P, Zhang, T, Martynov, D & Prokhorov, L 2023, 'Search for subsolar-mass black hole binaries in the second part of Advanced LIGO's and Advanced Virgo's third observing run', *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad588>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.




























































Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.



















































Search for subsolar-mass black hole binaries in the second part of Advanced LIGO's and Advanced Virgo's third observing run

R. Abbott¹, H. Abe², F. Acernese^{3,4}, K. Ackley⁵,
S. Adhicary⁶, N. Adhikari⁷, R. X. Adhikari¹,
V. K. Adkins⁸, V. B. Adya⁹, C. Affeldt^{10,11},
D. Agarwal¹², M. Agathos^{13,14}, O. D. Aguiar¹⁵,
L. Aiello¹⁶, A. Ain¹⁷, P. Ajith¹⁸,
T. Akutsu^{19,20}, S. Albanesi^{21,22}, R. A. Alfaidi²³,
C. Alléné²⁴, A. Allocca^{25,4}, P. A. Altin⁹,
A. Amato^{26,27}, S. Anand¹, A. Ananyeva¹,
S. B. Anderson¹, W. G. Anderson¹, M. Ando^{28,29},
T. Andrade³⁰, N. Andres²⁴, M. Andrés-Carcasona³¹,
T. Andrić³², S. Ansoldi^{33,34}, J. M. Antelis³⁵,
S. Antier^{36,37}, T. Apostolatos³⁸, E. Z. Appavuravther^{39,40},
S. Appert¹, S. K. Apple⁴¹, K. Arai¹,
A. Araya⁴², M. C. Araya¹, J. S. Areeda⁴³,
M. Arène⁴⁴, N. Aritomi¹⁹, N. Arnaud^{45,46},
M. Arogeti⁴⁷, S. M. Aronson⁸, K. G. Arun⁴⁸,
H. Asada⁴⁹, G. Ashton⁵⁰, Y. Aso^{51,52},
M. Assiduo^{53,54}, S. Assis de Souza Melo⁴⁶, S. M. Aston⁵⁵,
P. Astone⁵⁶, F. Aubin⁵⁴, K. AultONeal³⁵,
S. Babak⁴⁴, F. Badaracco⁵⁷, C. Badger⁵⁸,
S. Bae⁵⁹, Y. Bae⁶⁰, S. Bagnasco²²,
Y. Bai¹, J. G. Baier⁶¹, J. Baird⁴⁴,
R. Bajpai⁶², T. Baka⁶³, M. Ball⁶⁴,
G. Ballardín⁴⁶, S. W. Ballmer⁶⁵, G. Baltus⁶⁶,
S. Banagiri⁶⁷, B. Banerjee³², D. Bankar¹²,
J. C. Barayoga¹, B. C. Barish¹, D. Barker⁶⁸,
P. Barneo³⁰, F. Barone^{69,4}, B. Barr²³,
L. Barsotti⁷⁰, M. Barsuglia⁴⁴, D. Barta⁷¹,
J. Bartlett⁶⁸, M. A. Barton²³, I. Bartos⁷²,
S. Basak¹⁸, R. Bassiri⁷³, A. Basti^{74,17},
M. Bawaj^{39,75}, J. C. Bayley²³, M. Bazzan^{76,77},
B. Bécsy⁷⁸, V. M. Bedakihale⁷⁹, F. Beirnaert⁸⁰,
M. Bejger⁸¹, I. Belahcene⁴⁵, A. S. Bell²³,
V. Benedetto⁸², D. Beniwal⁸³, W. Benoit⁸⁴,
J. D. Bentley⁸⁵, M. BenYaala⁸⁶, S. Bera⁸⁷,
M. Berbel⁸⁸, F. Bergamin^{10,11}, B. K. Berger⁷³,
S. Bernuzzi¹⁴, M. Beroiz¹, C. P. L. Berry²³,
D. Bersanetti⁸⁹, A. Bertolini²⁷, J. Betzwieser⁵⁵,
D. Beveridge⁹⁰, R. Bhandare⁹¹, A. V. Bhandari¹²,

U. Bhardwaj ^{37,27}, R. Bhatt¹, D. Bhattacharjee ^{61,92},
 S. Bhaumik ⁷², A. Bianchi^{27,93}, I. A. Bilenko⁹⁴,
 M. Bilicki ⁹⁵, G. Billingsley ¹, S. Bini^{96,97},
 O. Birnholtz ⁹⁸, S. Biscans^{1,70}, M. Bischi^{53,54},
 S. Biscoveanu ⁷⁰, A. Bisht^{10,11}, B. Biswas ¹²,
 M. Bitossi^{46,17}, M.-A. Bizouard ³⁶, J. K. Blackburn ¹,
 C. D. Blair^{90,55}, D. G. Blair⁹⁰, R. M. Blair⁶⁸,
 F. Bobba^{99,100}, N. Bode ^{10,11}, M. Boër³⁶,
 G. Bogaert³⁶, M. Boldrini^{101,56}, G. N. Bolingbroke ⁸³,
 L. D. Bonavena⁷⁶, R. Bondarescu ³⁰, F. Bondu¹⁰²,
 E. Bonilla ⁷³, R. Bonnand ²⁴, P. Booker^{10,11},
 R. Bork¹, V. Boschi ¹⁷, N. Bose¹⁰³,
 S. Bose¹², V. Bossilkov⁹⁰, V. Boudart ⁶⁶,
 Y. Bouffanais^{76,77}, A. Bozzi⁴⁶, C. Bradaschia¹⁷,
 P. R. Brady ⁷, A. Bramley⁵⁵, A. Branch⁵⁵,
 M. Branchesi ^{32,104}, J. E. Brau ⁶⁴, M. Breschi ¹⁴,
 T. Briant ¹⁰⁵, J. H. Briggs²³, A. Brillet³⁶,
 M. Brinkmann^{10,11}, P. Brockill⁷, A. F. Brooks ¹,
 J. Brooks⁴⁶, D. D. Brown⁸³, S. Brunett¹,
 G. Bruno⁵⁷, R. Bruntz ¹⁰⁶, J. Bryant¹⁰⁷,
 F. Bucci⁵⁴, J. Buchanan¹⁰⁶, T. Bulik¹⁰⁸,
 H. J. Bulten²⁷, A. Buonanno ^{109,110}, K. Burtnyk⁶⁸,
 R. Buscicchio ^{107,111,112}, D. Buskalic²⁴, C. Buy ¹¹³,
 R. L. Byer⁷³, G. S. Cabourn Davies ¹¹⁴, G. Cabras ^{33,34},
 R. Cabrita ⁵⁷, L. Cadonati ⁴⁷, G. Cagnoli ¹¹⁵,
 C. Cahillane⁶⁸, J. Calderón Bustillo¹¹⁶, J. D. Callaghan²³,
 T. A. Callister^{117,118}, E. Calloni^{25,4}, J. B. Camp¹¹⁹,
 M. Canepa^{120,89}, G. Caneva ³¹, M. Cannavacciuolo⁹⁹,
 K. C. Cannon ²⁹, H. Cao⁸³, Z. Cao ¹²¹,
 L. A. Capistran¹²², E. Capocasa ^{44,19}, E. Capote⁶⁵,
 G. Carapella^{99,100}, F. Carbognani⁴⁶, M. Carlassara^{10,11},
 J. B. Carlin ¹²³, M. Carpinelli^{124,125,46}, G. Carrillo⁶⁴,
 J. J. Carter ^{10,11}, G. Carullo ^{74,17}, J. Casanueva Diaz⁴⁶,
 C. Casentini^{126,127}, G. Castaldi¹²⁸, S. Caudill^{27,63},
 M. Cavaglia ⁹², F. Cavalier ⁴⁵, R. Cavaliere ⁴⁶,
 G. Cella ¹⁷, P. Cerdá-Durán¹²⁹, E. Cesarini ¹²⁷,
 W. Chaibi³⁶, W. Chakalis^{117,118}, S. Chalathadka Subrahmanya ⁸⁵,
 E. Champion ¹³⁰, C.-H. Chan¹³¹, C. Chan²⁹,
 C. L. Chan ¹³², K. Chan¹³², M. Chan¹³³,
 K. Chandra¹⁰³, I. P. Chang¹³¹, W. Chang¹³¹,
 P. Chaniãl ^{46,44}, S. Chao¹³¹, C. Chapman-Bird ²³,
 P. Charlton ¹³⁴, E. Chassande-Mottin ⁴⁴, C. Chatterjee ⁹⁰,
 Debarati Chatterjee ¹², Deep Chatterjee ⁷, M. Chaturvedi⁹¹,
 S. Chaty ⁴⁴, K. Chatziioannou ¹, C. Chen ^{135,131},
 D. Chen ⁵¹, H. Y. Chen ⁷⁰, J. Chen ⁷⁰,









K. Chen¹³⁶, X. Chen⁹⁰, Y.-B. Chen¹³⁷,
 Y.-R. Chen¹³¹, Y. Chen¹³⁷, H. Cheng⁷²,
 P. Chessa^{74,17}, H. Y. Cheung¹³², H. Y. Chia⁷²,
 F. Chiadini^{138,100}, C.-Y. Chiang¹³⁹, G. Chiarini⁷⁷,
 R. Chierici¹⁴⁰, A. Chincarini⁸⁹, M. L. Chiofalo^{74,17},
 A. Chiummo⁴⁶, R. K. Choudhary⁹⁰, S. Choudhary¹²,
 N. Christensen³⁶, Q. Chu⁹⁰, Y.-K. Chu¹³⁹,
 S. S. Y. Chua⁹, K. W. Chung⁵⁸, G. Ciani^{76,77},
 P. Ciecielag⁸¹, M. Cieřlar⁸¹, M. Cifaldi^{126,127},
 A. A. Ciobanu⁸³, R. Ciolfi^{141,77}, F. Clara⁶⁸,
 J. A. Clark¹, T. A. Clarke⁵, P. Clearwater¹⁴²,
 S. Clesse¹⁴³, F. Cleva³⁶, E. Coccia^{32,104},
 E. Codazzo³², P.-F. Cohadon¹⁰⁵, D. E. Cohen⁴⁵,
 M. Colleoni⁸⁷, C. G. Collette¹⁴⁴, A. Colombo^{111,112},
 M. Colpi^{111,112}, C. M. Compton⁶⁸, L. Conti⁷⁷,
 S. J. Cooper¹⁰⁷, P. Corban⁵⁵, T. R. Corbitt⁸,
 I. Cordero-Carrión¹⁴⁵, S. Corezzi^{75,39}, N. J. Cornish⁷⁸,
 A. Corsi¹⁴⁶, S. Cortese⁴⁶, A. C. Coschizza¹⁴⁷,
 R. Cotesta¹¹⁰, R. Cottingham⁵⁵, M. W. Coughlin⁸⁴,
 J.-P. Coulon³⁶, S. T. Countryman¹⁴⁸, B. Cousins⁶,
 P. Couvares¹, D. M. Coward⁹⁰, M. J. Cowart⁵⁵,
 D. C. Coyne¹, R. Coyne¹⁴⁹, K. Craig⁸⁶,
 J. D. E. Creighton⁷, T. D. Creighton¹⁵⁰, A. W. Criswell⁸⁴,
 M. Croquette¹⁰⁵, S. G. Crowder¹⁵¹, J. R. Cudell⁶⁶,
 T. J. Cullen⁸, A. Cumming²³, R. Cummings²³,
 E. Cuoco^{46,152,17}, M. Curyło¹⁰⁸, P. Dabadie¹¹⁵,
 T. Dal Canton⁴⁵, S. Dall’Osso⁵⁶, G. Dálya^{80,153},
 A. Dana⁷³, B. D’Angelo^{120,89}, S. Danilishin^{26,27},
 S. D’Antonio¹²⁷, K. Danzmann^{10,11}, C. Darsow-Fromm⁸⁵,
 A. Dasgupta⁷⁹, L. E. H. Datrier²³, Sayantani Datta⁴⁸,
 V. Dattilo⁴⁶, I. Dave⁹¹, M. Davier⁴⁵,
 D. Davis¹, M. C. Davis¹⁵⁴, E. J. Daw¹⁵⁵,
 M. Dax¹¹⁰, D. DeBra⁷³, M. Deenadayalan¹²,
 J. Degallaix¹⁵⁶, M. De Laurentis^{25,4}, S. Deléglise¹⁰⁵,
 V. Del Favero¹³⁰, F. De Lillo⁵⁷, N. De Lillo²³,
 D. Dell’Aquila^{124,125}, W. Del Pozzo^{74,17}, F. De Matteis^{126,127},
 V. D’Emilio¹⁶, N. Demos⁷⁰, T. Dent¹¹⁶,
 A. Depasse⁵⁷, R. De Pietri^{157,158}, R. De Rosa^{25,4},
 C. De Rossi⁴⁶, R. DeSalvo^{128,159}, R. De Simone¹³⁸,
 S. Dhurandhar⁴², R. Diab⁷², M. C. Díaz¹⁵⁰,
 N. A. Didio⁶⁵, T. Dietrich¹¹⁰, L. Di Fiore⁴,
 C. Di Fronzo¹⁰⁷, C. Di Giorgio^{99,100}, F. Di Giovanni¹²⁹,
 M. Di Giovanni³², T. Di Girolamo^{25,4}, D. Diksha^{27,26},
 A. Di Lieto^{74,17}, A. Di Michele⁷⁵, S. Di Pace^{101,56},
 I. Di Palma^{101,56}, F. Di Renzo^{74,17}, A. K. Divakarla⁷²,























































A. Dmitriev ¹⁰⁷, Z. Doctor ⁶⁷, P. P. Doleva ¹⁰⁶,
 L. Donahue ¹⁶⁰, L. D’Onofrio ^{25,4}, F. Donovan ⁷⁰,
 K. L. Dooley ¹⁶, T. Dooney ⁶³, S. Doravari ¹²,
 O. Dorosh ¹⁶¹, M. Drago ^{101,56}, J. C. Driggers ⁶⁸,
 Y. Drori ¹, J.-G. Ducoin ^{162,44}, L. Dunn ¹²³,
 U. Dupletsa ³², O. Durante ^{99,100}, D. D’Urso ^{124,125},
 P.-A. Duverne ⁴⁵, S. E. Dwyer ⁶⁸, C. Eassa ⁶⁸,
 P. J. Easter ⁵, M. Ebersold ¹⁶³, T. Eckhardt ⁸⁵,
 G. Eddolls ²³, B. Edelman ⁶⁴, T. B. Edo ¹,
 O. Edy ¹¹⁴, A. Effler ⁵⁵, S. Eguchi ¹³³,
 J. Eichholz ⁹, S. S. Eikenberry ⁷², M. Eisenmann ^{24,19},
 R. A. Eisenstein ⁷⁰, A. Ejlli ¹⁶, E. Engelby ⁴³,
 Y. Enomoto ²⁸, L. Errico ^{25,4}, R. C. Essick ¹⁶⁴,
 H. Estellés ⁸⁷, D. Estevez ¹⁶⁵, T. Etzel ¹,
 M. Evans ⁷⁰, T. M. Evans ⁵⁵, T. Evstafyeva ¹³,
 B. E. Ewing ⁶, F. Fabrizi ^{53,54}, F. Faedi ⁵⁴,
 V. Fafone ^{126,127,32}, H. Fair ⁶⁵, S. Fairhurst ¹⁶,
 P. C. Fan ¹⁶⁰, A. M. Farah ¹⁶⁶, B. Farr ⁶⁴,
 W. M. Farr ^{117,118}, G. Favaro ⁷⁶, M. Favata ¹⁶⁷,
 M. Fays ⁶⁶, M. Fazio ¹⁶⁸, J. Feicht ¹,
 M. M. Fejer ⁷³, E. Fenyvesi ^{71,169}, D. L. Ferguson ¹⁷⁰,
 A. Fernandez-Galiana ⁷⁰, I. Ferrante ^{74,17}, T. A. Ferreira ¹⁵,
 F. Fidecaro ^{74,17}, P. Figura ¹⁰⁸, A. Fiori ^{17,74},
 I. Fiori ⁴⁶, M. Fishbach ⁶⁷, R. P. Fisher ¹⁰⁶,
 R. Fittipaldi ^{171,100}, V. Fiumara ^{172,100}, R. Flaminio ^{24,19},
 E. Floden ⁸⁴, H. K. Fong ²⁹, J. A. Font ^{129,173},
 B. Fornal ¹⁵⁹, P. W. F. Forsyth ⁹, A. Franke ⁸⁵,
 S. Frasca ^{101,56}, F. Frasconi ¹⁷, J. P. Freed ³⁵,
 Z. Frei ¹⁵³, A. Freise ^{27,93}, O. Freitas ¹⁷⁴,
 R. Frey ⁶⁴, P. Fritschel ⁷⁰, V. V. Frolov ⁵⁵,
 G. G. Fronzé ²², Y. Fujii ¹⁷⁵, Y. Fujikawa ¹⁷⁶,
 Y. Fujimoto ¹⁷⁷, P. Fulda ⁷², M. Fyffe ⁵⁵,
 H. A. Gabbard ²³, W. E. Gabella ¹⁷⁸, B. U. Gadre ^{110,63},
 J. R. Gair ¹¹⁰, J. Gais ¹³², S. Galaudage ⁵,
 R. Gamba ¹⁴, D. Ganapathy ⁷⁰, A. Ganguly ¹²,
 D.-F. Gao ¹⁷⁹, D. Gao ⁷³, S. G. Gaonkar ¹²,
 B. Garaventa ^{89,120}, J. Garcia-Bellido ¹⁸⁰, C. García-Núñez ¹⁸¹,
 C. García-Quirós ^{87,10,11}, K. A. Gardner ¹⁴⁷, J. Gargiulo ⁴⁶,
 F. Garufi ^{25,4}, C. Gasbarra ^{126,127}, B. Gateley ⁶⁸,
 V. Gayathri ⁷², G.-G. Ge ¹⁷⁹, G. Gemme ⁸⁹,
 A. Gennai ¹⁷, J. George ⁹¹, O. Gerberding ⁸⁵,
 L. Gergely ¹⁸², S. Ghonge ⁴⁷, Abhirup Ghosh ¹¹⁰,
 Archisman Ghosh ⁸⁰, Shaon Ghosh ¹⁶⁷, Shrobana Ghosh ¹⁶,
 Tathagata Ghosh ¹², L. Giaccoppo ^{101,56}, J. A. Giaime ^{8,55},
 K. D. Giardino ⁵⁵, D. R. Gibson ¹⁸¹, C. Gier ⁸⁶,


















































P. Giri ^{17,74}, F. Gissi⁸², S. Gkaitatzis ⁴⁶,
 J. Glanzer⁸, A. E. Gleckl⁴³, F. G. Godoy⁴⁷,
 P. Godwin⁶, E. Goetz ¹⁴⁷, R. Goetz ⁷²,
 J. Golomb¹, B. Goncharov ³², G. González ⁸,
 M. Gosselin⁴⁶, R. Gouaty ²⁴, D. W. Gould⁹,
 S. Goyal¹⁸, B. Grace⁹, A. Grado ^{183,4},
 V. Graham²³, M. Granata ¹⁵⁶, V. Granata ⁹⁹,
 S. Gras⁷⁰, P. Grassia¹, C. Gray⁶⁸,
 R. Gray ¹⁸⁴, G. Greco³⁹, A. C. Green ⁷²,
 R. Green¹⁶, A. M. Gretarsson³⁵, E. M. Gretarsson³⁵,
 D. Griffith¹, W. L. Griffiths ¹⁶, H. L. Griggs ⁴⁷,
 G. Grignani^{75,39}, A. Grimaldi ^{96,97}, S. J. Grimm^{32,104},
 H. Grote ¹⁶, S. Grunewald¹¹⁰, A. S. Gruson⁴³,
 D. Guerra ¹²⁹, G. M. Guidi ^{53,54}, A. R. Guimaraes⁸,
 H. K. Gulati⁷⁹, F. Gulminelli¹⁸⁵, A. M. Gunny⁷⁰,
 H.-K. Guo ¹⁵⁹, Y. Guo²⁷, Anchal Gupta¹,
 Anuradha Gupta ¹⁸⁶, P. Gupta^{27,63}, S. K. Gupta¹⁰³,
 J. Gurs⁸⁵, R. Gustafson¹⁸⁷, N. Gutierrez¹⁵⁶,
 F. Guzman ¹²², S. Ha¹⁸⁸, I. P. W. Hadiputrawan¹³⁶,
 L. Haegel ⁴⁴, S. Haino¹³⁹, O. Halim ³⁴,
 E. D. Hall ⁷⁰, E. Z. Hamilton¹⁶³, G. Hammond²³,
 W.-B. Han ¹⁸⁹, M. Haney ¹⁶³, J. Hanks⁶⁸,
 C. Hanna⁶, M. D. Hannam¹⁶, O. Hannuksela^{63,27},
 H. Hansen⁶⁸, J. Hanson⁵⁵, R. Harada¹⁹⁰,
 T. Harder³⁶, K. Haris^{27,63}, J. Harms ^{32,104},
 G. M. Harry ⁴¹, I. W. Harry ¹¹⁴, D. Hartwig ⁸⁵,
 K. Hasegawa¹⁹¹, B. Haskell⁸¹, C.-J. Haster ⁷⁰,
 J. S. Hathaway¹³⁰, K. Hattori¹⁹², K. Haughian ²³,
 H. Hayakawa¹⁹³, K. Hayama¹³³, F. J. Hayes²³,
 J. Healy ¹³⁰, A. Heidmann ¹⁰⁵, A. Heidt^{10,11},
 M. C. Heintze⁵⁵, J. Heinze ^{10,11}, J. Heinzl⁷⁰,
 H. Heitmann ³⁶, F. Hellman ¹⁹⁴, P. Hello⁴⁵,
 A. F. Helmling-Cornell ⁶⁴, G. Hemming ⁴⁶, M. Hendry ²³,
 I. S. Heng²³, E. Hennes ²⁷, J.-S. Hennig^{26,27},
 M. Hennig^{26,27}, C. Henshaw⁴⁷, A. G. Hernandez¹⁹⁵,
 F. Hernandez Vivanco⁵, M. Heurs ^{10,11}, A. L. Hewitt ¹⁹⁶,
 S. Higginbotham¹⁶, S. Hild^{26,27}, P. Hill⁸⁶,
 Y. Himemoto¹⁹⁷, A. S. Hines¹²², N. Hirata¹⁹,
 C. Hirose¹⁷⁶, T.-C. Ho¹³⁶, S. Hochheim^{10,11},
 D. Hofman¹⁵⁶, J. N. Hohmann⁸⁵, D. G. Holcomb ¹⁵⁴,
 N. A. Holland^{27,93}, I. J. Hollows ¹⁵⁵, Z. J. Holmes ⁸³,
 K. Holt⁵⁵, D. E. Holz ¹⁶⁶, Q. Hong¹³¹,
 J. Hough²³, S. Hourihane¹, D. Howell^{117,118},
 E. J. Howell ⁹⁰, C. G. Hoy ¹⁶, D. Hoyland¹⁰⁷,
 A. Hreibi^{10,11}, B.-H. Hsieh¹⁹¹, H.-F. Hsieh ¹³¹,







































































C. Hsiung¹³⁵, H.-Y. Huang¹³⁹, P. Huang¹⁷⁹,
 Y.-C. Huang¹³¹, Y.-J. Huang¹³⁹, Y. Huang⁷⁰,
 M. T. Hübner⁵, A. D. Huddart¹⁹⁸, B. Hughey³⁵,
 D. C. Y. Hui¹⁹⁹, V. Hui²⁴, S. Husa⁸⁷,
 S. H. Huttner²³, R. Huxford⁶, T. Huynh-Dinh⁵⁵,
 J. Hyland²³, G. A. Iandolo²⁶, S. Ide²⁰⁰,
 B. Idzkowski¹⁰⁸, A. Iess^{152,17}, K. Inayoshi²⁰¹,
 Y. Inoue¹³⁶, P. Iosif²⁰², J. Irwin²³,
 Ish Gupta⁶, M. Isi^{117,118}, K. Ito²⁰³,
 Y. Itoh^{177,204}, B. R. Iyer¹⁸, V. JaberianHamedan⁹⁰,
 T. Jacqmin¹⁰⁵, P.-E. Jaquet¹⁰⁵, S. J. Jadhav²⁰⁵,
 S. P. Jadhav¹², T. Jain¹³, A. L. James¹⁶,
 A. Z. Jan¹⁷⁰, K. Jani¹⁷⁸, J. Janquart^{63,27},
 K. Janssens^{206,36}, N. N. Jantalur²⁰⁵, P. Jaranowski²⁰⁷,
 D. Jariwala⁷², S. Jarov¹⁴⁷, R. Jaume⁸⁷,
 A. C. Jenkins⁵⁸, K. Jenner⁸³, C. Jeon²⁰⁸,
 W. Jia⁷⁰, J. Jiang⁷², H.-B. Jin^{209,210},
 G. R. Johns¹⁰⁶, R. Johnston²³, N. Johny^{10,11},
 A. W. Jones⁹⁰, D. I. Jones²¹¹, P. Jones¹⁰⁷,
 R. Jones²³, P. Joshi⁶, L. Ju⁹⁰,
 K. Jung¹⁸⁸, P. Jung⁶⁰, J. Junker^{10,11},
 V. Juste¹⁶⁵, K. Kaihotsu²⁰³, T. Kajita²¹²,
 M. Kakizaki²¹³, C. Kalaghatgi^{63,27,214}, V. Kalogera⁶⁷,
 B. Kamal¹, M. Kamiizumi¹⁹³, N. Kanda^{177,204},
 S. Kandhasamy¹², G. Kang²¹⁵, J. B. Kanner¹,
 Y. Kao¹³¹, S. J. Kapadia¹⁸, D. P. Kapasi⁹,
 S. Karat¹, C. Karathanasis³¹, S. Karki⁹²,
 R. Kashyap⁶, M. Kasprzak¹, W. Kastaun^{10,11},
 T. Kato¹⁹¹, S. Katsanevas⁴⁶, E. Katsavounidis⁷⁰,
 W. Katzman⁵⁵, T. Kaur⁹⁰, K. Kawabe⁶⁸,
 K. Kawaguchi¹⁹¹, F. Kéfélian³⁶, D. Keitel⁸⁷,
 J. S. Key²¹⁶, S. Khadka⁷³, F. Y. Khalili⁹⁴,
 S. Khan¹⁶, T. Khanam¹⁴⁶, E. A. Khazanov²¹⁷,
 N. Khetan^{32,104}, M. Khursheed⁹¹, N. Kijbunchoo⁹,
 C. Kim²⁰⁸, J. C. Kim²¹⁸, J. Kim²¹⁹,
 K. Kim²⁰⁸, P. Kim²²⁰, W. S. Kim⁶⁰,
 Y.-M. Kim¹⁸⁸, C. Kimball⁶⁷, N. Kimura¹⁹³,
 B. King²²¹, M. Kinley-Hanlon²³, R. Kirchoff^{10,11},
 J. S. Kissel⁶⁸, S. Klimenko⁷², T. Klinger¹⁶,
 A. M. Knee¹⁴⁷, N. Knust^{10,11}, Y. Kobayashi¹⁷⁷,
 P. Koch^{10,11}, S. M. Koehlenbeck^{10,11}, G. Koekoek^{27,26},
 K. Kohri²²², K. Kokeyama¹⁶, S. Koley³²,
 P. Kolitsidou¹⁶, M. Kolstein³¹, V. Kondrashov¹,
 A. K. H. Kong¹³¹, A. Kontos²²¹, M. Korobko⁸⁵,
 R. V. Kossak^{10,11}, M. Kovalam⁹⁰, N. Koyama¹⁷⁶,










































































D. B. Kozak¹, C. Kozakai⁵¹, L. Kranzhoff^{10,11},
 V. Kringel^{10,11}, N. V. Krishnendu^{10,11}, A. Królak^{223,161},
 G. Kuehn^{10,11}, P. Kuijjer²⁷, S. Kulkarni¹⁸⁶,
 A. Kumar²⁰⁵, Praveen Kumar¹¹⁶, Prayush Kumar¹⁸,
 Rahul Kumar⁶⁸, Rakesh Kumar⁷⁹, J. Kume²⁹,
 K. Kuns⁷⁰, Y. Kuromiya²⁰³, S. Kuroyanagi^{224,225},
 S. Kuwahara¹⁹⁰, K. Kwak¹⁸⁸, G. Lacaille²³,
 P. Lagabbe²⁴, D. Laghi¹¹³, E. Lalande²²⁶,
 M. Lalleman²⁰⁶, A. Lamberts^{36,227}, M. Landry⁶⁸,
 B. B. Lane⁷⁰, R. N. Lang⁷⁰, J. Lange¹⁷⁰,
 B. Lantz⁷³, I. La Rosa²⁴, A. Lartaux-Vollard⁴⁵,
 P. D. Lasky⁵, J. Lawrence¹⁴⁶, M. Laxen⁵⁵,
 A. Lazzarini¹, C. Lazzaro^{76,77}, P. Leaci^{101,56},
 S. Leavey^{10,11}, S. LeBohec¹⁵⁹, Y. K. Lecoecuche¹⁴⁷,
 E. Lee¹⁹¹, H. M. Lee²²⁸, H. W. Lee²¹⁸,
 K. Lee²²⁰, R. Lee¹³¹, I. N. Legred¹,
 J. Lehmann^{10,11}, A. Lemaître²²⁹, M. Lenti^{54,230},
 M. Leonardi¹⁹, E. Leonova³⁷, N. Leroy⁴⁵,
 N. Letendre²⁴, C. Levesque²²⁶, Y. Levin⁵,
 J. N. Leviton¹⁸⁷, K. Leyde⁴⁴, A. K. Y. Li¹,
 B. Li¹³¹, K. L. Li²³¹, P. Li²³²,
 T. G. F. Li¹³², X. Li¹³⁷, C-Y. Lin²³³,
 E. T. Lin¹³¹, F-K. Lin¹³⁹, F-L. Lin²³⁴,
 H. L. Lin¹³⁶, L. C.-C. Lin²³¹, F. Linde^{214,27},
 S. D. Linker^{128,195}, T. B. Littenberg²³⁵, G. C. Liu¹³⁵,
 J. Liu⁹⁰, X. Liu⁷, F. Llamas¹⁵⁰,
 R. K. L. Lo¹, T. Lo¹³¹, L. T. London^{37,70},
 A. Longo²³⁶, D. Lopez¹⁶³, M. Lopez Portilla⁶³,
 M. Lorenzini^{126,127}, V. Lorette²³⁷, M. Lormand⁵⁵,
 G. Losurdo¹⁷, T. P. Lott⁴⁷, J. D. Lough^{10,11},
 C. O. Lousto¹³⁰, G. Lovelace⁴³, M. J. Lowry¹⁰⁶,
 J. F. Lucaccioni⁶¹, H. Lück^{10,11}, D. Lumaca^{126,127},
 A. P. Lundgren¹¹⁴, Y. Lung¹³², L.-W. Luo¹³⁹,
 A. W. Lussier²²⁶, J. E. Lynam¹⁰⁶, M. Ma'arif¹³⁶,
 R. Macas¹¹⁴, M. MacInnis⁷⁰, D. M. Macleod¹⁶,
 I. A. O. MacMillan¹, A. Macquet^{31,36}, I. Magaña Hernandez⁷,
 C. Magazzù¹⁷, R. M. Magee¹, R. Maggiore^{107,27,93},
 M. Magnozzi^{89,120}, S. Mahesh²³⁸, E. Majorana^{101,56},
 C. N. Makarem¹, I. Maksimovic²³⁷, S. Maliakal¹,
 A. Malik⁹¹, N. Man³⁶, V. Mandic⁸⁴,
 V. Mangano^{101,56}, B. R. Mannix⁶⁴, G. L. Mansell^{65,68,70},
 G. Mansingh⁴¹, M. Manske⁷, M. Mantovani⁴⁶,
 M. Mapelli^{76,77}, F. Marchesoni^{40,39,239}, D. Marín Pina³⁰,
 F. Marion²⁴, Z. Mark¹³⁷, S. Márka¹⁴⁸,
 Z. Márka¹⁴⁸, C. Markakis¹⁸⁴, A. S. Markosyan⁷³,

A. Markowitz¹, E. Maros¹, A. Marquina¹⁴⁵,
 S. Marsat ¹¹³, F. Martelli^{53,54}, I. W. Martin ²³,
 R. M. Martin¹⁶⁷, M. Martinez³¹, V. A. Martinez⁷²,
 V. Martinez ¹¹⁵, K. Martinovic⁵⁸, D. V. Martynov¹⁰⁷,
 E. J. Marx⁷⁰, H. Masalehdan ⁸⁵, K. Mason⁷⁰,
 A. Masserot²⁴, M. Masso-Reid ²³, S. Mastrogiovanni ^{44,36},
 A. Matas¹¹⁰, M. Mateu-Lucena ⁸⁷, M. Matushechka ^{10,11},
 N. Mavalvala ⁷⁰, J. J. McCann⁹⁰, R. McCarthy⁶⁸,
 D. E. McClelland ⁹, P. K. McClincy⁶, S. McCormick⁵⁵,
 L. McCuller ^{1,70}, G. I. McGhee²³, J. McGinn²³,
 S. C. McGuire⁵⁵, C. McIsaac¹¹⁴, J. McIver ¹⁴⁷,
 A. McLeod ⁹⁰, T. McRae⁹, S. T. McWilliams²³⁸,
 D. Meacher ⁷, M. Mehmet ^{10,11}, A. K. Mehta¹¹⁰,
 Q. Meijer⁶³, A. Melatos¹²³, G. Mendell⁶⁸,
 A. Menendez-Vazquez ³¹, C. S. Menoni ¹⁶⁸, R. A. Mercer ⁷,
 L. Mereni¹⁵⁶, K. Merfeld⁶⁴, E. L. Merilh⁵⁵,
 J. D. Merritt⁶⁴, M. Merzougui³⁶, C. Messenger ²³,
 C. Messick⁷⁰, P. M. Meyers ¹³⁷, F. Meylahn ^{10,11},
 A. Mhaske¹², A. Miani ^{96,97}, H. Miao²⁴⁰,
 I. Michaloliakos ⁷², C. Michel ¹⁵⁶, Y. Michimura ²⁸,
 H. Middleton ¹²³, D. P. Mihaylov ¹¹⁰, A. Miller¹⁹⁵,
 A. L. Miller⁵⁷, B. Miller^{37,27}, M. Millhouse¹²³,
 J. C. Mills¹⁶, E. Milotti ^{241,34}, Y. Minenkov¹²⁷,
 N. Mio²⁴², Ll. M. Mir³¹, M. Miravet-Tenés ¹²⁹,
 A. Mishkin⁷², C. Mishra²⁴³, T. Mishra ⁷²,
 T. Mistry¹⁵⁵, A. L. Mitchell^{27,93}, S. Mitra ¹²,
 V. P. Mitrofanov ⁹⁴, G. Mitselmakher ⁷², R. Mittleman⁷⁰,
 O. Miyakawa ¹⁹³, K. Miyo ¹⁹³, S. Miyoki ¹⁹³,
 Geoffrey Mo ⁷⁰, L. M. Modafferi ⁸⁷, E. Moguel⁶¹,
 K. Mogushi⁹², S. R. P. Mohapatra⁷⁰, S. R. Mohite ⁷,
 M. Molina-Ruiz ¹⁹⁴, C. Mondal¹⁸⁵, M. Mondin¹⁹⁵,
 M. Montani^{53,54}, C. J. Moore¹⁰⁷, J. Moragues ⁸⁷,
 D. Moraru⁶⁸, F. Morawski⁸¹, A. More ¹²,
 S. More ¹², C. Moreno ³⁵, G. Moreno⁶⁸,
 Y. Mori²⁰³, S. Morisaki ⁷, N. Morisue¹⁷⁷,
 Y. Moriwaki²¹³, G. Morras ¹⁸⁰, B. Mours ¹⁶⁵,
 C. M. Mow-Lowry ^{27,93}, S. Mozzon ¹¹⁴, F. Muciaccia^{101,56},
 D. Mukherjee ²³⁵, Soma Mukherjee¹⁵⁰, Subroto Mukherjee⁷⁹,
 Suvodip Mukherjee ^{164,37}, N. Mukund ^{10,11}, A. Mullavey⁵⁵,
 J. Munch⁸³, E. A. Muñiz ⁶⁵, P. G. Murray ²³,
 S. Muusse⁸³, S. L. Nadji^{10,11}, K. Nagano ²⁴⁴,
 A. Nagar^{22,245}, T. Nagar⁵, K. Nakamura ¹⁹,
 H. Nakano ²⁴⁶, M. Nakano^{55,191}, Y. Nakayama²⁰³,
 V. Napolano⁴⁶, I. Nardecchia ^{126,127},
 H. Narola⁶³, L. Naticchioni ⁵⁶, R. K. Nayak ²⁴⁷,

B. F. Neil⁹⁰ , J. Neilson^{82,100} , A. Nelson¹²² ,
 T. J. N. Nelson⁵⁵ , M. Nery^{10,11} , P. Neubauer⁶¹ ,
 A. Neunzert²¹⁶ , K. Y. Ng⁷⁰ , S. W. S. Ng ⁸³ ,
 C. Nguyen ^{44,248} , P. Nguyen⁶⁴ , T. Nguyen⁷⁰ ,
 L. Nguyen Quynh ²⁴⁹ , J. Ni⁸⁴ , W.-T. Ni ^{209,179,131} ,
 S. A. Nichols⁸ , G. Nieradka⁸¹ , T. Nishimoto¹⁹¹ ,
 A. Nishizawa ²⁹ , S. Nissanke^{37,27} , E. Nitoglia ¹⁴⁰ ,
 W. Niu⁶ , F. Nocera⁴⁶ , M. Norman¹⁶ ,
 C. North¹⁶ , J. Notte¹⁶⁷ , J. Novak ^{250,251,252,248,253} ,
 J. F. Nuño Siles ¹⁸⁰ , S. Nozaki¹⁹² , G. Nurbek¹⁵⁰ ,
 L. K. Nuttall ¹¹⁴ , Y. Obayashi ¹⁹¹ , J. Oberling⁶⁸ ,
 B. D. O'Brien⁷² , J. O'Dell¹⁹⁸ , E. Oelker ²³ ,
 M. Oertel ^{250,251,252,248,253} , W. Ogaki¹⁹¹ , G. Oganessian^{32,104} ,
 J. J. Oh ⁶⁰ , K. Oh ¹⁹⁹ , S. H. Oh ⁶⁰ ,
 T. O'Hanlon⁵⁵ , M. Ohashi ¹⁹³ , T. Ohashi¹⁷⁷ ,
 M. Ohkawa ¹⁷⁶ , F. Ohme ^{10,11} , H. Ohta²⁹ ,
 Y. Okutani²⁰⁰ , R. Oliveri ²⁵⁴ , C. Olivetto²⁵⁰ ,
 K. Oohara ^{191,255} , R. Oram⁵⁵ , B. O'Reilly ⁵⁵ ,
 R. G. Ormiston⁸⁴ , N. D. Ormsby¹⁰⁶ , M. Orselli ^{39,75} ,
 R. O'Shaughnessy ¹³⁰ , E. O'Shea ²⁵⁶ , S. Oshino ¹⁹³ ,
 S. Ossokine ¹¹⁰ , C. Osthelder¹ , S. Otabe² ,
 D. J. Ottaway ⁸³ , H. Overmier⁵⁵ , A. E. Pace⁶ ,
 G. Pagano^{74,17} , R. Pagano⁸ , G. Pagliaroli^{32,104} ,
 A. Pai¹⁰³ , S. A. Pai⁹¹ , S. Pal²⁴⁷ ,
 J. R. Palamos⁶⁴ , O. Palashov²¹⁷ , C. Palomba ⁵⁶ ,
 K.-C. Pan ¹³¹ , P. K. Panda²⁰⁵ , P. T. H. Pang^{27,63} ,
 F. Pannarale ^{101,56} , B. C. Pant⁹¹ , F. H. Panther⁹⁰ ,
 F. Paoletti ¹⁷ , A. Paoli⁴⁶ , A. Paolone^{56,257} ,
 G. Pappas²⁰² , A. Parisi ^{17,152,135} , J. Park ²⁵⁸ ,
 W. Parker ⁵⁵ , D. Pascucci ⁸⁰ , A. Pasqualetti⁴⁶ ,
 R. Passaquieti ^{74,17} , D. Passuello¹⁷ , M. Patel¹⁰⁶ ,
 N. R. Patel⁶⁸ , M. Pathak⁸³ , B. Patricelli ^{74,17} ,
 A. S. Patron⁸ , S. Paul ⁶⁴ , E. Payne ¹ ,
 M. Pedraza¹ , R. Pedurand¹⁰⁰ , R. Pegna ^{17,74} ,
 M. Pegoraro⁷⁷ , A. Pele⁵⁵ , F. E. Peña Arellano ¹⁹³ ,
 S. Penano⁷³ , S. Penn ²⁵⁹ , A. Perego^{96,97} ,
 A. Pereira¹¹⁵ , T. Pereira ²⁶⁰ , C. J. Perez⁶⁸ ,
 C. Périgois ¹⁴¹ , C. C. Perkins⁷² , A. Perreca ^{96,97} ,
 S. Perriès¹⁴⁰ , J. W. Perry^{27,93} , D. Pesios²⁰² ,
 J. Petermann ⁸⁵ , H. P. Pfeiffer ¹¹⁰ , H. Pham⁵⁵ ,
 K. A. Pham ⁸⁴ , K. S. Phukon ^{27,214} , H. Phurailatpam¹³² ,
 O. J. Piccinni ^{56,31} , M. Pichot ³⁶ , M. Piendibene^{74,17} ,
 F. Piergiovanni^{53,54} , L. Pierini ^{101,56} , G. Pierra¹⁴⁰ ,
 V. Pierro ^{82,100} , G. Pillant⁴⁶ , M. Pillas⁴⁵ ,
 F. Pilo ¹⁷ , L. Pinard¹⁵⁶ , C. Pineda-Bosque¹⁹⁵ ,

I. M. Pinto ^{82,100,261,25}, M. Pinto⁴⁶, B. J. Piotrkowski⁷,
 K. Piotrkowski⁵⁷, M. Pirello⁶⁸, M. D. Pitkin ¹⁹⁶,
 A. Placidi ^{39,75}, E. Placidi^{101,56}, M. L. Planas ⁸⁷,
 W. Plastino ^{262,236}, R. Poggiani ^{74,17}, E. Polini ²⁴,
 D. Y. T. Pong¹³², S. Ponrathnam¹², E. K. Porter⁴⁴,
 C. Posnansky⁶, R. Poulton ⁴⁶, J. Powell¹⁴²,
 M. Pracchia²⁴, T. Pradier¹⁶⁵, A. K. Prajapati⁷⁹,
 K. Prasai⁷³, R. Prasanna²⁰⁵, G. Pratten ¹⁰⁷,
 M. Principe^{82,261,100}, G. A. Prodi ^{263,97}, L. Prokhorov¹⁰⁷,
 P. Prospero^{126,127}, L. Prudenzi¹¹⁰, A. Puecher^{27,63},
 M. Punturo ³⁹, F. Puosi^{17,74}, P. Puppò⁵⁶,
 M. Pürer ¹¹⁰, H. Qi ¹⁶, N. Quartey¹⁰⁶,
 V. Quetschke¹⁵⁰, P. J. Quinonez³⁵, R. Quitzow-James⁹²,
 F. J. Raab⁶⁸, G. Raaijmakers^{37,27}, H. Radkins⁶⁸,
 N. Radulesco³⁶, P. Raffai ¹⁵³, S. X. Rail²²⁶,
 S. Raja⁹¹, C. Rajan⁹¹, K. E. Ramirez ⁵⁵,
 T. D. Ramirez⁴³, A. Ramos-Buades ¹¹⁰, D. Rana¹²,
 J. Rana⁶, P. R. Rangnekar⁷³, P. Rapagnani^{101,56},
 A. Ray ⁷, V. Raymond ¹⁶, N. Raza ¹⁴⁷,
 M. Razzano ^{74,17}, J. Read⁴³, T. Regimbau²⁴,
 L. Rei ⁸⁹, S. Reid⁸⁶, S. W. Reid¹⁰⁶,
 M. Reinhard⁷², D. H. Reitze¹, P. Relton ¹⁶,
 A. Renzini¹, P. Rettengo ^{21,22}, B. Revenu ⁴⁴,
 J. Reyes¹⁶⁷, A. Reza²⁷, M. Rezac⁴³,
 A. S. Rezaei^{56,101}, F. Ricci^{101,56}, D. Richards¹⁹⁸,
 J. W. Richardson ²⁶⁴, L. Richardson¹²², K. Riles ¹⁸⁷,
 S. Rinaldi ^{74,17}, C. Robertson¹⁹⁸, N. A. Robertson¹,
 R. Robie¹, F. Robinet⁴⁵, A. Rocchi ¹²⁷,
 S. Rodriguez⁴³, L. Rolland ²⁴, J. G. Rollins ¹,
 M. Romanelli¹⁰², R. Romano^{3,4}, C. L. Romel⁶⁸,
 A. Romero ³¹, I. M. Romero-Shaw⁵, J. H. Romie⁵⁵,
 S. Ronchini ^{32,104}, T. J. Roocke ⁸³, L. Rosa^{4,25},
 C. A. Rose⁷, D. Rosińska¹⁰⁸, M. P. Ross ²⁶⁵,
 M. Rossello⁸⁷, S. Rowan²³, S. J. Rowlinson¹⁰⁷,
 Santosh Roy¹², Soumen Roy⁶³, A. Royzman¹⁵⁹,
 D. Rozza ^{124,125}, P. Ruggi⁴⁶, E. Ruiz Morales ¹⁸⁰,
 K. Ruiz-Rocha¹⁷⁸, K. Ryan⁶⁸, S. Sachdev ⁷,
 T. Sadecki⁶⁸, J. Sadiq ¹¹⁶, P. Saffarieh^{27,93},
 S. Saha ¹³¹, Y. Saito¹⁹³, K. Sakai²⁶⁶,
 M. Sakellariadou ⁵⁸, S. Sakon⁶, O. S. Salafia ^{267,112,111},
 F. Salces-Carcoba ¹, L. Salconi⁴⁶, M. Saleem ⁸⁴,
 F. Salemi ^{96,97}, M. Sallé ²⁷, A. Samajdar ¹¹²,
 E. J. Sanchez¹, J. H. Sanchez⁴³, L. E. Sanchez¹,
 N. Sanchis-Gual ^{268,129}, J. R. Sanders²⁶⁹, A. Sanuy ³⁰,
 T. R. Saravanan¹², N. Sarin⁵, A. Sasli ²⁰²,

B. Sassolas¹⁵⁶, H. Satari⁹⁰, B. S. Sathyaprakash ^{6,16},
 O. Sauter ⁷², R. L. Savage ⁶⁸, V. Savant ¹²,
 T. Sawada ¹⁷⁷, H. L. Sawant¹², S. Sayah¹⁵⁶,
 D. Schaetzl¹, M. Scheel¹³⁷, J. Scheuer⁶⁷,
 M. G. Schiworski ⁸³, P. Schmidt ¹⁰⁷, S. Schmidt⁶³,
 R. Schnabel ⁸⁵, M. Schneewind^{10,11}, R. M. S. Schofield⁶⁴,
 A. Schönbeck⁸⁵, B. W. Schulte^{10,11}, B. F. Schutz^{16,10,11},
 E. Schwartz ¹⁶, J. Scott ²³, S. M. Scott ⁹,
 M. Seglar-Arroyo ²⁴, Y. Sekiguchi ²⁷⁰, D. Sellers⁵⁵,
 A. S. Sengupta²⁷¹, D. Sentenac⁴⁶, E. G. Seo¹³²,
 V. Sequino^{25,4}, A. Sergeev²¹⁷, G. Servignat²⁵¹,
 Y. Setyawati ⁶³, T. Shaffer⁶⁸, M. S. Shahriar ⁶⁷,
 M. A. Shaikh ¹⁸, B. Shams¹⁵⁹, L. Shao ²⁰¹,
 A. Sharma^{32,104}, P. Sharma⁹¹, P. Shawhan ¹⁰⁹,
 N. S. Shcheblanov ²²⁹, A. Sheela²⁴³, E. Sheridan¹⁷⁸,
 Y. Shikano ^{272,273}, M. Shikauchi²⁹, H. Shimizu ²⁷⁴,
 K. Shimode ¹⁹³, H. Shinkai ²⁷⁵, T. Shishido⁵²,
 A. Shoda ¹⁹, D. H. Shoemaker ⁷⁰, D. M. Shoemaker ¹⁷⁰,
 S. ShyamSundar⁹¹, M. Sieniawska⁵⁷, D. Sigg ⁶⁸,
 L. Silenzi ^{39,40}, L. P. Singer ¹¹⁹, D. Singh ⁶,
 M. K. Singh ¹⁸, N. Singh ¹⁰⁸, A. Singha ^{26,27},
 A. M. Sintes ⁸⁷, V. Sipala^{124,125}, V. Skliris¹⁶,
 B. J. J. Slagmolen ⁹, T. J. Slaven-Blair⁹⁰, J. Smetana¹⁰⁷,
 J. R. Smith ⁴³, L. Smith²³, R. J. E. Smith ⁵,
 J. Soldateschi ^{230,276,54}, S. N. Somala ²⁷⁷, K. Somiya ²,
 I. Song ¹³¹, K. Soni ¹², S. Soni ⁷⁰,
 V. Sordini¹⁴⁰, F. Sorrentino⁸⁹, N. Sorrentino ^{74,17},
 R. Soulard³⁶, T. Souradeep^{278,12}, V. Spagnuolo^{26,27},
 A. P. Spencer ²³, M. Spera ^{76,77}, P. Spinicelli⁴⁶,
 A. K. Srivastava⁷⁹, V. Srivastava⁶⁵, C. Stachie³⁶,
 F. Stachurski²³, D. A. Steer ⁴⁴, J. Steinlechner^{26,27},
 S. Steinlechner ^{26,27}, N. Stergioulas²⁰², S. Stevenson¹⁴²,
 D. J. Stops¹⁰⁷, K. A. Strain ²³, L. C. Strang¹²³,
 G. Stratta ^{279,56}, M. D. Strong⁸, A. Strunk⁶⁸,
 R. Sturani²⁶⁰, A. L. Stuver ¹⁵⁴, M. Suchenek⁸¹,
 S. Sudhagar ¹², R. Sugimoto ^{280,244}, H. G. Suh ⁷,
 A. G. Sullivan ¹⁴⁸, T. Z. Summerscales ²⁸¹, L. Sun ⁹,
 S. Sunil⁷⁹, A. Sur ⁸¹, J. Suresh ^{29,57},
 P. J. Sutton ¹⁶, Takamasa Suzuki ¹⁷⁶, Takanori Suzuki²,
 Toshikazu Suzuki¹⁹¹, B. L. Swinkels ²⁷, A. Syx¹⁶⁵,
 M. J. Szczepańczyk ⁷², P. Szcwyczyk ¹⁰⁸, M. Tacca ²⁷,
 H. Tagoshi¹⁹¹, S. C. Tait ²³, H. Takahashi ²⁸²,
 R. Takahashi ¹⁹, S. Takano²⁸, H. Takeda ²⁸,
 M. Takeda¹⁷⁷, C. J. Talbot⁸⁶, C. Talbot⁷⁰,
 N. Tamanini ¹¹³, K. Tanaka²⁸³, Taiki Tanaka¹⁹¹,

Takahiro Tanaka ²⁸⁴, A. J. Tanasijczuk⁵⁷, S. Tanioka ¹⁹³,
 D. B. Tanner⁷², D. Tao¹, L. Tao ⁷²,
 R. D. Tapia⁶, E. N. Tapia San Martín ²⁷, C. Taranto¹²⁶,
 A. Taruya ²⁸⁵, J. D. Tasson ¹⁶⁰, R. Tenorio ⁸⁷,
 J. E. S. Terhune ¹⁵⁴, L. Terkowski ⁸⁵, H. Themann¹⁹⁵,
 M. P. Thirugnanasambandam¹², M. Thomas⁵⁵, P. Thomas⁶⁸,
 S. Thomas⁴³, D. Thompson¹⁶⁰, E. E. Thompson⁴⁷,
 J. E. Thompson ¹⁶, S. R. Thondapu⁹¹, K. A. Thorne⁵⁵,
 E. Thrane⁵, Shubhanshu Tiwari ¹⁶³, Srishti Tiwari ¹²,
 V. Tiwari ¹⁶, A. M. Toivonen⁸⁴, A. E. Tolley ¹¹⁴,
 T. Tomaru ¹⁹, T. Tomura ¹⁹³, M. Tonelli^{74,17},
 A. Torres-Forné ¹²⁹, C. I. Torrie¹, I. Tosta e Melo ¹²⁵,
 E. Tournefier ²⁴, D. Töyrä⁹, A. Trapananti ^{40,39},
 F. Travasso ^{39,40}, G. Traylor⁵⁵, J. Trenado ³⁰,
 M. Trevor¹⁰⁹, M. C. Tringali ⁴⁶, A. Tripathee ¹⁸⁷,
 L. Troiano^{286,100}, A. Trovato ^{34,241}, L. Trozzo ^{4,193},
 R. J. Trudeau¹, D. Tsai¹³¹, K. W. Tsang^{27,287,63},
 T. Tsang ²⁸⁸, J-S. Tsao²³⁴, M. Tse ⁷⁰,
 R. Tso¹³⁷, S. Tsuchida¹⁷⁷, L. Tsukada⁶,
 D. Tsuna²⁹, T. Tsutsui ²⁹, K. Turbang ^{289,206},
 M. Turconi³⁶, C. Turski⁸⁰, D. Tuyenbayev ¹⁷⁷,
 H. Ubach ³⁰, A. S. Ubhi ¹⁰⁷,
 T. Uchiyama ¹⁹³, R. P. Udall ¹, A. Ueda²⁹⁰,
 T. Uehara ^{291,292}, K. Ueno ²⁹, G. Ueshima²⁹³,
 C. S. Unnikrishnan²⁹⁴, A. L. Urban⁸, T. Ushiba ¹⁹³,
 A. Utina ^{26,27}, H. Vahlbruch ^{10,11}, N. Vaidya ¹,
 G. Vajente ¹, A. Vajpeyi⁵, G. Valdes ¹²²,
 M. Valentini ^{186,96,97}, S. Vallero²², V. Valsan ⁷,
 N. van Bakel²⁷, M. van Beuzekom ²⁷, M. van Dael ^{27,295},
 J. F. J. van den Brand ^{26,93,27}, C. Van Den Broeck^{63,27}, D. C. Vander-Hyde⁶⁵,
 A. Van de Walle⁴⁵, J. van Dongen^{27,93}, H. van Haevermaet ²⁰⁶,
 J. V. van Heijningen ⁵⁷, J. Vanosky¹, M. H. P. M. van Putten²⁹⁶,
 Z. van Ranst ²⁶, N. van Remortel ²⁰⁶, M. Vardaro^{214,27},
 A. F. Vargas¹²³, V. Varma ¹¹⁰, M. Vasúth ⁷¹,
 A. Vecchio ¹⁰⁷, G. Vedovato⁷⁷, J. Veitch ²³,
 P. J. Veitch ⁸³, J. Venneberg ^{10,11}, G. Venugopalan ¹,
 P. Verrier ¹⁴⁰, D. Verkindt ²⁴, P. Verma¹⁶¹,
 Y. Verma ⁹¹, S. M. Vermeulen ¹⁶, D. Veske ¹⁴⁸,
 F. Vetrano⁵³, A. Viceré ^{53,54}, S. Vidyant⁶⁵,
 A. D. Viets ²⁹⁷, A. Vijaykumar ¹⁸, V. Villa-Ortega ¹¹⁶,
 J.-Y. Vinet³⁶, A. Virtuoso^{241,34}, S. Vitale ⁷⁰,
 H. Vocca^{75,39}, E. R. G. von Reis⁶⁸, J. S. A. von Wrangel^{10,11},
 C. Vorvick ⁶⁸, S. P. Vyatchanin ⁹⁴, L. E. Wade⁶¹,
 M. Wade ⁶¹, K. J. Wagner ¹³⁰, R. C. Walet²⁷,
 M. Walker¹⁰⁶, G. S. Wallace⁸⁶, L. Wallace¹,

J. Wang ¹⁷⁹, J. Z. Wang¹⁸⁷, W. H. Wang¹⁵⁰,
 R. L. Ward⁹, J. Warner⁶⁸, M. Was ²⁴,
 T. Washimi ¹⁹, N. Y. Washington¹, K. Watada¹⁰⁶,
 D. Watarai¹⁹⁰, J. Watchi ¹⁴⁴, K. E. Wayt⁶¹,
 B. Weaver⁶⁸, C. R. Weaving¹¹⁴, S. A. Webster²³,
 M. Weinert^{10,11}, A. J. Weinstein ¹, R. Weiss⁷⁰,
 C. M. Weller²⁶⁵, R. A. Weller ¹⁷⁸, F. Wellmann^{10,11},
 L. Wen⁹⁰, P. Wefels^{10,11}, K. Wette ⁹,
 J. T. Whelan ¹³⁰, D. D. White⁴³, B. F. Whiting ⁷²,
 C. Whittle ⁷⁰, O. S. Wilk⁶¹, D. Wilken ^{10,11,11},
 C. E. Williams¹⁶⁰, D. Williams ²³, M. J. Williams ²³,
 A. R. Williamson ¹¹⁴, J. L. Willis ¹, B. Willke ^{10,11},
 C. C. Wipf¹, G. Woan ²³, J. Woehler^{10,11},
 J. K. Wofford ¹³⁰, I. A. Wojtowicz¹⁶⁰, D. Wong¹⁴⁷,
 I. C. F. Wong ¹³², M. Wright²³, C. Wu ¹³¹,
 D. S. Wu ^{10,11}, H. Wu¹³¹, D. M. Wysocki ⁷,
 L. Xiao ¹, N. Yadav⁸¹, T. Yamada²⁷⁴,
 H. Yamamoto ¹, K. Yamamoto ²¹³, T. Yamamoto ¹⁹³,
 K. Yamashita²⁰³, R. Yamazaki²⁰⁰, F. W. Yang ¹⁵⁹,
 K. Z. Yang ⁸⁴, L. Yang ¹⁶⁸, Y.-C. Yang¹³¹,
 Y. Yang ²⁹⁸, Yang Yang⁷², M. J. Yap⁹,
 D. W. Yeeles¹⁶, S.-W. Yeh¹³¹, A. B. Yelkar ¹³⁰,
 J. Yokoyama ^{29,28}, T. Yokozawa¹⁹³, J. Yoo ²⁵⁶,
 T. Yoshioka²⁰³, Hang Yu ¹³⁷, Haocun Yu ⁷⁰,
 H. Yuzurihara¹⁹¹, A. Zadrożny¹⁶¹, M. Zanolin³⁵,
 S. Zeidler ²⁹⁹, T. Zelenova⁴⁶, J.-P. Zendri⁷⁷,
 M. Zevin ¹⁶⁶, M. Zhan¹⁷⁹, H. Zhang²³⁴,
 J. Zhang ⁹, L. Zhang¹, R. Zhang ⁷²,
 T. Zhang¹⁰⁷, Y. Zhang¹²², C. Zhao ⁹⁰,
 G. Zhao¹⁴⁴, Y. Zhao ^{191,19}, Yue Zhao¹⁵⁹,
 Y. Zheng ⁹², R. Zhou¹⁹⁴, X. J. Zhu ⁵,
 Z.-H. Zhu ^{121,232}, A. B. Zimmerman ¹⁷⁰, M. E. Zucker^{1,70}, and J. Zweizig ¹

(The LIGO Scientific Collaboration, the Virgo Collaboration, and the KAGRA Collaboration)
 and

S. Shandera⁶ and D. Jeong⁶

* *Deceased, December 2021.*

¹LIGO Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

²Graduate School of Science, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan

³Dipartimento di Farmacia, Università di Salerno, I-84084 Fisciano, Salerno, Italy

⁴INFN, Sezione di Napoli, I-80126 Napoli, Italy

⁵OzGrav, School of Physics & Astronomy, Monash University, Clayton 3800, Victoria, Australia

⁶The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

⁷University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI 53201, USA

⁸Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA

⁹OzGrav, Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory 0200, Australia

¹⁰Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics (Albert Einstein Institute), D-30167 Hannover, Germany

¹¹Leibniz Universität Hannover, D-30167 Hannover, Germany

¹²Inter-University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Pune 411007, India

- ¹³University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1TN, United Kingdom
- ¹⁴Theoretisch-Physikalisches Institut, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, D-07743 Jena, Germany
- ¹⁵Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais, 12227-010 São José dos Campos, São Paulo, Brazil
- ¹⁶Cardiff University, Cardiff CF24 3AA, United Kingdom
- ¹⁷INFN, Sezione di Pisa, I-56127 Pisa, Italy
- ¹⁸International Centre for Theoretical Sciences, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bengaluru 560089, India
- ¹⁹Gravitational Wave Science Project, National Astronomical Observatory of Japan (NAOJ), Mitaka City, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan
- ²⁰Advanced Technology Center, National Astronomical Observatory of Japan (NAOJ), Mitaka City, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan
- ²¹Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Torino, I-10125 Torino, Italy
- ²²INFN Sezione di Torino, I-10125 Torino, Italy
- ²³SUPA, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, United Kingdom
- ²⁴Univ. Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, Laboratoire d'Annecy de Physique des Particules - IN2P3, F-74000 Annecy, France
- ²⁵Università di Napoli "Federico II", I-80126 Napoli, Italy
- ²⁶Maastricht University, 6200 MD Maastricht, Netherlands
- ²⁷Nikhef, 1098 XG Amsterdam, Netherlands
- ²⁸Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan
- ²⁹Research Center for the Early Universe (RESCEU), The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan
- ³⁰Institut de Ciències del Cosmos (ICCUB), Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, 08028, Spain
- ³¹Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, and ICREA, E-08193 Barcelona, Spain
- ³²Gran Sasso Science Institute (GSSI), I-67100 L'Aquila, Italy
- ³³Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche, Informatiche e Fisiche, Università di Udine, I-33100 Udine, Italy
- ³⁴INFN, Sezione di Trieste, I-34127 Trieste, Italy
- ³⁵Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University, Prescott, AZ 86301, USA
- ³⁶Artemis, Université Côte d'Azur, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, CNRS, F-06304 Nice, France
- ³⁷GRAPPA, Anton Pannekoek Institute for Astronomy and Institute for High-Energy Physics, University of Amsterdam, 1098 XH Amsterdam, Netherlands
- ³⁸Department of Physics, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 15771 Ilissia, Greece
- ³⁹INFN, Sezione di Perugia, I-06123 Perugia, Italy
- ⁴⁰Università di Camerino, Dipartimento di Fisica, I-62032 Camerino, Italy
- ⁴¹American University, Washington, D.C. 20016, USA
- ⁴²Earthquake Research Institute, The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0032, Japan
- ⁴³California State University Fullerton, Fullerton, CA 92831, USA
- ⁴⁴Université de Paris, CNRS, Astroparticule et Cosmologie, F-75006 Paris, France
- ⁴⁵Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, 91405 Orsay, France
- ⁴⁶European Gravitational Observatory (EGO), I-56021 Cascina, Pisa, Italy
- ⁴⁷Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA
- ⁴⁸Chennai Mathematical Institute, Chennai 603103, India
- ⁴⁹Department of Mathematics and Physics, Graduate School of Science and Technology, Hirosaki University, Hirosaki, Aomori 036-8561, Japan
- ⁵⁰Royal Holloway, University of London, London TW20 0EX, United Kingdom
- ⁵¹Kamioka Branch, National Astronomical Observatory of Japan (NAOJ), Kamioka-cho, Hida City, Gifu 506-1205, Japan
- ⁵²The Graduate University for Advanced Studies (SOKENDAI), Mitaka City, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan
- ⁵³Università degli Studi di Urbino "Carlo Bo", I-61029 Urbino, Italy
- ⁵⁴INFN, Sezione di Firenze, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Firenze, Italy
- ⁵⁵LIGO Livingston Observatory, Livingston, LA 70754, USA
- ⁵⁶INFN, Sezione di Roma, I-00185 Roma, Italy
- ⁵⁷Université catholique de Louvain, B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium
- ⁵⁸King's College London, University of London, London WC2R 2LS, United Kingdom
- ⁵⁹Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information, Daejeon 34141, Republic of Korea
- ⁶⁰National Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Daejeon 34047, Republic of Korea
- ⁶¹Kenyon College, Gambier, OH 43022, USA
- ⁶²School of High Energy Accelerator Science, The Graduate University for Advanced Studies (SOKENDAI), Tsukuba City, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan
- ⁶³Institute for Gravitational and Subatomic Physics (GRASP), Utrecht University, 3584 CC Utrecht, Netherlands
- ⁶⁴University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403, USA
- ⁶⁵Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13244, USA
- ⁶⁶Université de Liège, B-4000 Liège, Belgium
- ⁶⁷Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA
- ⁶⁸LIGO Hanford Observatory, Richland, WA 99352, USA
- ⁶⁹Dipartimento di Medicina, Chirurgia e Odontoiatria "Scuola Medica Salernitana", Università di Salerno, I-84081 Baronissi, Salerno, Italy
- ⁷⁰LIGO Laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
- ⁷¹Wigner RCP, RMKI, H-1121 Budapest, Hungary
- ⁷²University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA
- ⁷³Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA
- ⁷⁴Università di Pisa, I-56127 Pisa, Italy
- ⁷⁵Università di Perugia, I-06123 Perugia, Italy
- ⁷⁶Università di Padova, Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, I-35131 Padova, Italy

- 77INFN, Sezione di Padova, I-35131 Padova, Italy
- 78Montana State University, Bozeman, MT 59717, USA
- 79Institute for Plasma Research, Bhat, Gandhinagar 382428, India
- 80Universiteit Gent, B-9000 Gent, Belgium
- 81Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center, Polish Academy of Sciences, 00-716, Warsaw, Poland
- 82Dipartimento di Ingegneria, Università del Sannio, I-82100 Benevento, Italy
- 83OzGrav, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia 5005, Australia
- 84University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA
- 85Universität Hamburg, D-22761 Hamburg, Germany
- 86SUPA, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G1 1XQ, United Kingdom
- 87IAC3-IEEC, Universitat de les Illes Balears, E-07122 Palma de Mallorca, Spain
- 88Departamento de Matemáticas, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain
- 89INFN, Sezione di Genova, I-16146 Genova, Italy
- 90OzGrav, University of Western Australia, Crawley, Western Australia 6009, Australia
- 91RRCAT, Indore, Madhya Pradesh 452013, India
- 92Missouri University of Science and Technology, Rolla, MO 65409, USA
- 93Department of Physics and Astronomy, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, 1081 HV Amsterdam, Netherlands
- 94Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia
- 95Center for Theoretical Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, 02-668, Warsaw, Poland
- 96Università di Trento, Dipartimento di Fisica, I-38123 Povo, Trento, Italy
- 97INFN, Trento Institute for Fundamental Physics and Applications, I-38123 Povo, Trento, Italy
- 98Bar-Ilan University, Ramat Gan, 5290002, Israel
- 99Dipartimento di Fisica “E.R. Caianiello”, Università di Salerno, I-84084 Fisciano, Salerno, Italy
- 100INFN, Sezione di Napoli, Gruppo Collegato di Salerno, I-80126 Napoli, Italy
- 101Università di Roma “La Sapienza”, I-00185 Roma, Italy
- 102Univ Rennes, CNRS, Institut FOTON - UMR 6082, F-3500 Rennes, France
- 103Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Powai, Mumbai 400 076, India
- 104INFN, Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, I-67100 Assergi, Italy
- 105Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, ENS-Université PSL, Collège de France, F-75005 Paris, France
- 106Christopher Newport University, Newport News, VA 23606, USA
- 107University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom
- 108Astronomical Observatory Warsaw University, 00-478 Warsaw, Poland
- 109University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA
- 110Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics (Albert Einstein Institute), D-14476 Potsdam, Germany
- 111Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, I-20126 Milano, Italy
- 112INFN, Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, I-20126 Milano, Italy
- 113L2IT, Laboratoire des 2 Infinis - Toulouse, Université de Toulouse, CNRS/IN2P3, UPS, F-31062 Toulouse Cedex 9, France
- 114University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth, PO1 3FX, United Kingdom
- 115Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, Institut Lumière Matière, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France
- 116IGFAE, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Spain
- 117Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA
- 118Center for Computational Astrophysics, Flatiron Institute, New York, NY 10010, USA
- 119NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
- 120Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Genova, I-16146 Genova, Italy
- 121Department of Astronomy, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China
- 122Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA
- 123OzGrav, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia
- 124Università degli Studi di Sassari, I-07100 Sassari, Italy
- 125INFN, Laboratori Nazionali del Sud, I-95125 Catania, Italy
- 126Università di Roma Tor Vergata, I-00133 Roma, Italy
- 127INFN, Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata, I-00133 Roma, Italy
- 128University of Sannio at Benevento, I-82100 Benevento, Italy and INFN, Sezione di Napoli, I-80100 Napoli, Italy
- 129Departamento de Astronomía y Astrofísica, Universitat de València, E-46100 Burjassot, València, Spain
- 130Rochester Institute of Technology, Rochester, NY 14623, USA
- 131National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu City, 30013 Taiwan, Republic of China
- 132The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong
- 133Department of Applied Physics, Fukuoka University, Jonan, Fukuoka City, Fukuoka 814-0180, Japan
- 134OzGrav, Charles Sturt University, Wagga Wagga, New South Wales 2678, Australia
- 135Department of Physics, Tamkang University, Danshui Dist., New Taipei City 25137, Taiwan
- 136Department of Physics, Center for High Energy and High Field Physics, National Central University, Zhongli District, Taoyuan City 32001, Taiwan
- 137CaRT, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
- 138Dipartimento di Ingegneria Industriale (DIIN), Università di Salerno, I-84084 Fisciano, Salerno, Italy
- 139Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Nankang, Taipei 11529, Taiwan
- 140Université Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, IP2I Lyon / IN2P3, UMR 5822, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France
- 141INAF, Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, I-35122 Padova, Italy
- 142OzGrav, Swinburne University of Technology, Hawthorn VIC 3122, Australia
- 143Université libre de Bruxelles, 1050 Bruxelles, Belgium

- 144 Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels 1050, Belgium
- 145 Departamento de Matemáticas, Universitat de València, E-46100 Burjassot, València, Spain
- 146 Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409, USA
- 147 University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada
- 148 Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA
- 149 University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI 02881, USA
- 150 The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, Brownsville, TX 78520, USA
- 151 Bellevue College, Bellevue, WA 98007, USA
- 152 Scuola Normale Superiore, I-56126 Pisa, Italy
- 153 Eötvös University, Budapest 1117, Hungary
- 154 Villanova University, Villanova, PA 19085, USA
- 155 The University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, United Kingdom
- 156 Université Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, Laboratoire des Matériaux Avancés (LMA), IP2I Lyon / IN2P3, UMR 5822, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France
- 157 Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche, Fisiche e Informatiche, Università di Parma, I-43124 Parma, Italy
- 158 INFN, Sezione di Milano Bicocca, Gruppo Collegato di Parma, I-43124 Parma, Italy
- 159 The University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA
- 160 Carleton College, Northfield, MN 55057, USA
- 161 National Center for Nuclear Research, 05-400 Świerk-Otwock, Poland
- 162 Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, UMR 7095, 75014 Paris, France
- 163 University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland
- 164 Perimeter Institute, Waterloo, ON N2L 2Y5, Canada
- 165 Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, F-67000 Strasbourg, France
- 166 University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA
- 167 Montclair State University, Montclair, NJ 07043, USA
- 168 Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA
- 169 Institute for Nuclear Research, H-4026 Debrecen, Hungary
- 170 University of Texas, Austin, TX 78712, USA
- 171 CNR-SPIN, I-84084 Fisciano, Salerno, Italy
- 172 Scuola di Ingegneria, Università della Basilicata, I-85100 Potenza, Italy
- 173 Observatori Astronòmic, Universitat de València, E-46980 Paterna, València, Spain
- 174 Centro de Física das Universidades do Minho e do Porto, Universidade do Minho, PT-4710-057 Braga, Portugal
- 175 Department of Astronomy, The University of Tokyo, Mitaka City, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan
- 176 Faculty of Engineering, Niigata University, Nishi-ku, Niigata City, Niigata 950-2181, Japan
- 177 Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka City University, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka City, Osaka 558-8585, Japan
- 178 Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37235, USA
- 179 State Key Laboratory of Magnetic Resonance and Atomic and Molecular Physics, Innovation Academy for Precision Measurement Science and Technology (APM), Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xiao Hong Shan, Wuhan 430071, China
- 180 Instituto de Física Teórica UAM/CSIC, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Cantoblanco 28049 Madrid, Spain
- 181 SUPA, University of the West of Scotland, Paisley PA1 2BE, United Kingdom
- 182 University of Szeged, Dóm tér 9, Szeged 6720, Hungary
- 183 INAF, Osservatorio Astronomico di Capodimonte, I-80131 Napoli, Italy
- 184 Queen Mary University of London, London E1 4NS, United Kingdom
- 185 Université de Normandie, ENSICAEN, UNICAEN, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC Caen, F-14000 Caen, France
- 186 The University of Mississippi, University, MS 38677, USA
- 187 University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA
- 188 Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology, Ulsan 44919, Republic of Korea
- 189 Shanghai Astronomical Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200030, China
- 190 University of Tokyo, Tokyo, 113-0033, Japan.
- 191 Institute for Cosmic Ray Research (ICRR), KAGRA Observatory, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa City, Chiba 277-8582, Japan
- 192 Faculty of Science, University of Toyama, Toyama City, Toyama 930-8555, Japan
- 193 Institute for Cosmic Ray Research (ICRR), KAGRA Observatory, The University of Tokyo, Kamioka-cho, Hida City, Gifu 506-1205, Japan
- 194 University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
- 195 California State University, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90032, USA
- 196 Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YW, United Kingdom
- 197 College of Industrial Technology, Nihon University, Narashino City, Chiba 275-8575, Japan
- 198 Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot OX11 0DE, United Kingdom
- 199 Department of Astronomy & Space Science, Chungnam National University, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 34134, Republic of Korea
- 200 Department of Physical Sciences, Aoyama Gakuin University, Sagami-hara City, Kanagawa 252-5258, Japan
- 201 Kavli Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Peking University, Haidian District, Beijing 100871, China
- 202 Department of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54124 Thessaloniki, Greece
- 203 Graduate School of Science and Engineering, University of Toyama, Toyama City, Toyama 930-8555, Japan
- 204 Nambu Yoichiro Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics (NITEP), Osaka City University, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka City, Osaka 558-8585, Japan
- 205 Directorate of Construction, Services & Estate Management, Mumbai 400094, India
- 206 Universiteit Antwerpen, 2000 Antwerpen, Belgium
- 207 University of Białystok, 15-424 Białystok, Poland

- ²⁰⁸Ewha Womans University, Seoul 03760, Republic of Korea
- ²⁰⁹National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academic of Sciences, Chaoyang District, Beijing, China
- ²¹⁰School of Astronomy and Space Science, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chaoyang District, Beijing, China
- ²¹¹University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom
- ²¹²Institute for Cosmic Ray Research (ICRR), The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa City, Chiba 277-8582, Japan
- ²¹³Faculty of Science, University of Toyama, Toyama City, Toyama 930-8555, Japan
- ²¹⁴Institute for High-Energy Physics, University of Amsterdam, 1098 XH Amsterdam, Netherlands
- ²¹⁵Chung-Ang University, Seoul 06974, Republic of Korea
- ²¹⁶University of Washington Bothell, Bothell, WA 98011, USA
- ²¹⁷Institute of Applied Physics, Nizhny Novgorod, 603950, Russia
- ²¹⁸Inje University Gimhae, South Gyeongsang 50834, Republic of Korea
- ²¹⁹Department of Physics, Myongji University, Yongin 17058, Republic of Korea
- ²²⁰Sungkyunkwan University, Seoul 03063, Republic of Korea
- ²²¹Bard College, Annandale-On-Hudson, NY 12504, USA
- ²²²Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies (IPNS), High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba City, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan
- ²²³Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, 00656 Warsaw, Poland
- ²²⁴Instituto de Fisica Teorica, 28049 Madrid, Spain
- ²²⁵Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 464-8602, Japan
- ²²⁶Université de Montréal/Polytechnique, Montreal, Quebec H3T 1J4, Canada
- ²²⁷Laboratoire Lagrange, Université Côte d'Azur, Observatoire Côte d'Azur, CNRS, F-06304 Nice, France
- ²²⁸Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea
- ²²⁹NAVIER, École des Ponts, Univ Gustave Eiffel, CNRS, Marne-la-Vallée, France
- ²³⁰Università di Firenze, Sesto Fiorentino I-50019, Italy
- ²³¹Department of Physics, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan City 701, Taiwan
- ²³²School of Physics and Technology, Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei, 430072, China
- ²³³National Center for High-performance computing, National Applied Research Laboratories, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu City 30076, Taiwan
- ²³⁴Department of Physics, National Taiwan Normal University, sec. 4, Taipei 116, Taiwan
- ²³⁵NASA Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL 35811, USA
- ²³⁶INFN, Sezione di Roma Tre, I-00146 Roma, Italy
- ²³⁷ESPCI, CNRS, F-75005 Paris, France
- ²³⁸West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA
- ²³⁹School of Physics Science and Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China
- ²⁴⁰Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
- ²⁴¹Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Trieste, I-34127 Trieste, Italy
- ²⁴²Institute for Photon Science and Technology, The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan
- ²⁴³Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036, India
- ²⁴⁴Institute of Space and Astronautical Science (JAXA), Chuo-ku, Sagami-hara City, Kanagawa 252-0222, Japan
- ²⁴⁵Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques, F-91440 Bures-sur-Yvette, France
- ²⁴⁶Faculty of Law, Ryukoku University, Fushimi-ku, Kyoto City, Kyoto 612-8577, Japan
- ²⁴⁷Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Kolkata, Mohanpur, West Bengal 741252, India
- ²⁴⁸Université de Paris, 75006 Paris, France
- ²⁴⁹Department of Physics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA
- ²⁵⁰Centre national de la recherche scientifique, 75016 Paris, France
- ²⁵¹Laboratoire Univers et Théories, Observatoire de Paris, 92190 Meudon, France
- ²⁵²Observatoire de Paris, 75014 Paris, France
- ²⁵³Université PSL, 75006 Paris, France
- ²⁵⁴Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, 182 00 Praha 8, Czechia
- ²⁵⁵Graduate School of Science and Technology, Niigata University, Nishi-ku, Niigata City, Niigata 950-2181, Japan
- ²⁵⁶Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14850, USA
- ²⁵⁷Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche - Istituto dei Sistemi Complessi, I-00185 Roma, Italy
- ²⁵⁸Korea Astronomy and Space Science Institute (KASI), Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 34055, Republic of Korea
- ²⁵⁹Hobart and William Smith Colleges, Geneva, NY 14456, USA
- ²⁶⁰International Institute of Physics, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Natal RN 59078-970, Brazil
- ²⁶¹Museo Storico della Fisica e Centro Studi e Ricerche "Enrico Fermi", I-00184 Roma, Italy
- ²⁶²Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università degli Studi Roma Tre, I-00146 Roma, Italy
- ²⁶³Università di Trento, Dipartimento di Matematica, I-38123 Povo, Trento, Italy
- ²⁶⁴University of California, Riverside, Riverside, CA 92521, USA
- ²⁶⁵University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA
- ²⁶⁶Department of Electronic Control Engineering, National Institute of Technology, Nagaoka College, Nagaoka City, Niigata 940-8532, Japan
- ²⁶⁷INAF, Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera sede di Merate, I-23807 Merate, Lecco, Italy
- ²⁶⁸Departamento de Matemática da Universidade de Aveiro and Centre for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications, 3810-183 Aveiro, Portugal
- ²⁶⁹Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI 53233, USA
- ²⁷⁰Faculty of Science, Toho University, Funabashi City, Chiba 274-8510, Japan
- ²⁷¹Indian Institute of Technology, Palaj, Gandhinagar, Gujarat 382355, India

- ²⁷²Graduate School of Science and Technology, Gunma University, Maebashi, Gunma 371-8510, Japan
- ²⁷³Institute for Quantum Studies, Chapman University, Orange, CA 92866, USA
- ²⁷⁴Accelerator Laboratory, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba City, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan
- ²⁷⁵Faculty of Information Science and Technology, Osaka Institute of Technology, Hirakata City, Osaka 573-0196, Japan
- ²⁷⁶INAF, Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri, I-50125 Firenze, Italy
- ²⁷⁷Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, Sangareddy, Khandi, Telangana 502285, India
- ²⁷⁸Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Pune, Maharashtra 411008, India
- ²⁷⁹Istituto di Astrofisica e Planetologia Spaziali di Roma, 00133 Roma, Italy
- ²⁸⁰Department of Space and Astronautical Science, The Graduate University for Advanced Studies (SOKENDAI), Sagami-hara City, Kanagawa 252-5210, Japan
- ²⁸¹Andrews University, Berrien Springs, MI 49104, USA
- ²⁸²Research Center for Space Science, Advanced Research Laboratories, Tokyo City University, Setagaya, Tokyo 158-0082, Japan
- ²⁸³Institute for Cosmic Ray Research (ICRR), Research Center for Cosmic Neutrinos (RCCN), The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa City, Chiba 277-8582, Japan
- ²⁸⁴Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Sakyou-ku, Kyoto City, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
- ²⁸⁵Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics (YITP), Kyoto University, Sakyou-ku, Kyoto City, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
- ²⁸⁶Dipartimento di Scienze Aziendali - Management and Innovation Systems (DISA-MIS), Università di Salerno, I-84084 Fisciano, Salerno, Italy
- ²⁸⁷Van Swinderen Institute for Particle Physics and Gravity, University of Groningen, 9747 AG Groningen, Netherlands
- ²⁸⁸Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong
- ²⁸⁹Vrije Universiteit Brussel, 1050 Brussel, Belgium
- ²⁹⁰Applied Research Laboratory, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba City, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan
- ²⁹¹Department of Communications Engineering, National Defense Academy of Japan, Yokosuka City, Kanagawa 239-8686, Japan
- ²⁹²Department of Physics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA
- ²⁹³Department of Information and Management Systems Engineering, Nagaoka University of Technology, Nagaoka City, Niigata 940-2188, Japan
- ²⁹⁴Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai 400005, India
- ²⁹⁵Eindhoven University of Technology, 5600 MB Eindhoven, Netherlands
- ²⁹⁶Department of Physics and Astronomy, Sejong University, Gwangjin-gu, Seoul 143-747, Republic of Korea
- ²⁹⁷Concordia University Wisconsin, Mequon, WI 53097, USA
- ²⁹⁸Department of Electrophysics, National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan
- ²⁹⁹Department of Physics, Rikkyo University, Toshima-ku, Tokyo 171-8501, Japan

ABSTRACT

We describe a search for gravitational waves from compact binaries with at least one component with mass $0.2 M_{\odot}$ – $1.0 M_{\odot}$ and mass ratio $q \geq 0.1$ in Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo data collected between 1 November 2019, 15:00 UTC and 27 March 2020, 17:00 UTC. No signals were detected. The most significant candidate has a false alarm rate of 0.2 yr^{-1} . We estimate the sensitivity of our search over the entirety of Advanced LIGO’s and Advanced Virgo’s third observing run, and present the most stringent limits to date on the merger rate of binary black holes with at least one subsolar-mass component. We use the upper limits to constrain two fiducial scenarios that could produce subsolar-mass black holes: primordial black holes (PBH) and a model of dissipative dark matter. The PBH model uses recent prescriptions for the merger rate of PBH binaries that include a rate suppression factor to effectively account for PBH early binary disruptions. If the PBHs are monochromatically distributed, we can exclude a dark matter fraction in PBHs $f_{\text{PBH}} \gtrsim 0.6$ (at 90% confidence) in the probed subsolar-mass range. However, if we allow for broad PBH mass distributions we are unable to rule out $f_{\text{PBH}} = 1$. For the dissipative model, where the dark matter has chemistry that allows a small fraction to cool and collapse into black holes, we find an upper bound $f_{\text{DBH}} < 10^{-5}$ on the fraction of atomic dark matter collapsed into black holes.

Key words: (transients:) black hole mergers – black hole physics – (cosmology:) dark matter

1 INTRODUCTION

The Advanced LIGO (Aasi et al. 2015) and Advanced Virgo (Acernese et al. 2015) detectors have completed three observing runs, O1, O2, and O3 (split into O3a and O3b), since the first observation of gravitational waves from a binary black hole (BBH) coalescence (Abbott et al. 2016b). The collected data have been analyzed by the LIGO–Virgo–KAGRA (LVK) Collaboration (Abbott et al. 2020a) in successive versions of the Gravitational Wave Transient Catalog (GWTC; Abbott et al. 2016a, 2019a, 2021d,a,b), which report a total of 90 candidate gravitational-wave (GW) events from the coalescence of compact binary systems with a probability of astrophysical origin > 0.5 . Several additional candidates of compact binary signals have also been included in independent catalogs (Nitz et al. 2019a; Magee et al. 2019; Venumadhav et al. 2019, 2020; Nitz et al. 2019b, 2021b,a; Olsen et al. 2022) after analyzing the publicly released strain data (Abbott et al. 2021e). These detections have revealed features in the population of coalescing objects that revolutionize our previous understanding of astrophysics and stellar evolution (Mandel & Farmer 2022; Spera et al. 2022). The masses of many black holes (BHs) detected in GWs are much larger than those of the BHs observed in X-ray binaries (Bailyn et al. 1998; Ozel et al. 2010; Farr et al. 2011; Fishbach & Kalogera 2022) and some signals, such as GW190521 (Abbott et al. 2020c,f), have primary component masses within the predicted pair-instability mass gap (Woosley 2017; Farmer et al. 2019). On the other side of the mass range are events like GW190425 (Abbott et al. 2020d), whose total mass is substantially larger than any known Galactic neutron star binary (Farrow et al. 2019; Abbott et al. 2020b), and events like GW190814 (Abbott et al. 2020e, 2021f) and GW200210_092254 (Abbott et al. 2021b) that are also atypical due to their highly asymmetric masses and the properties of their light components (Zevin et al. 2020). While open questions remain, GWs have provided a unique census of the population of black holes in binaries in our Universe (Abbott et al. 2021c).

Current models of stellar evolution predict that white dwarfs that end their thermonuclear burning with a mass greater than the Chandrasekhar limit (Chandrasekhar 1931; Chandrasekhar 1935; Suwa et al. 2018; Müller et al. 2019; Ertl et al. 2020) will collapse to form either a neutron star or a supersolar-mass black hole. Since there are no standard astrophysical channels that produce subsolar-mass objects more compact than white dwarfs, the detection of a subsolar-mass (SSM) compact object would indicate the presence of a new formation mechanism alternative to usual stellar evolution.

Given the still-unknown nature of 84% of the matter in the Universe (Aghanim et al. 2020), it is reasonable to consider whether the DM might be composed of, or produce, distinct populations of compact objects. Primordial black holes (PBHs), postulated to form from the collapse of large overdensities in the early Universe (Zel’dovich & Novikov 1967; Hawking 1971; Carr & Hawking 1974; Chapline 1975), are candidates to form at least a fraction of the dark matter (DM) while providing an explanation to several open problems in astrophysics and cosmology (Barrow et al. 1991; Bean & Magueijo 2002; Kashlinsky 2016; Clesse & García-Bellido 2018). Soon after the first BBH coalescence was observed, it was suggested (Bird et al. 2016; Clesse & García-Bellido 2017; Sasaki et al. 2016) that the detected BHs could have a primordial origin. Large primordial fluctuations at small scales generated during inflation can produce PBHs (Carr & Lidsey 1993; Ivanov et al. 1994; Kim & Lee 1996; García-Bellido et al. 1996), though other processes in the early Universe, like bubble nucleation and domain walls (Garriga et al. 2016), cosmic string loops, and scalar field instabilities (Khlopov et al. 1985; Cotner & Kusenko 2017) can also be sources of overdensities that eventually collapse to produce PBHs (Khlopov 2010; Carr et al. 2021b; Carr & Kuhnel 2020; Villanueva-Domingo et al. 2021). The thermal history of the Universe can further enhance the formation of PBH at different scales (Carr et al. 2021a). For example, the quark–hadron (QCD) transition significantly reduces the radiation pressure of the plasma, so that a uniform primordial enhance-

ment stretching across the QCD scale will generate a distribution of PBH masses that is sharply peaked around a solar mass (Byrnes et al. 2018) as well as a broader mass distribution at both larger and smaller masses that could explain some of the GW observations (Clesse & Garcia-Bellido 2022; Jedamzik 2021, 2020; Chen et al. 2022; Juan et al. 2022; Franciolini & Urbano 2022). In particular, GW events in the SSM range could be used to probe mergers involving PBH black holes from a QCD enhanced peak.

Models of particle dark matter can also produce compact objects either from an interaction of dark matter with Standard Model particles, such as boson stars or neutron stars transmuted into black holes due to DM accretion (Dasgupta et al. 2021; Kouvaris et al. 2018; Kouvaris & Tinyakov 2011; de Lavallaz & Fairbairn 2010; Goldman & Nussinov 1989; Bramante & Elahi 2015; Bramante & Linden 2014; Bramante et al. 2018; Takhistov 2018; Takhistov et al. 2021), or directly from the gravitational collapse of dissipative DM (Ryan et al. 2022; Chang et al. 2019; Shandera et al. 2018; Choquette et al. 2019; Latif et al. 2019; D’Amico et al. 2018; Essig et al. 2019; Hippert et al. 2022). DM black holes (DBHs) may form in the late universe if DM has a sufficiently rich particle content to allow dissipation and collapse of DM into compact structures. While these mechanisms generically produce black holes that overlap the standard astrophysical population, under specific assumptions they may also be able to create SSM compact objects.

Searches for compact binaries with at least one component below $1 M_{\odot}$ have been carried out using both Initial LIGO (Abbott et al. 2005, 2008), and Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo data (Abbott et al. 2018a, 2019b, 2022; Nitz & Wang 2021b, 2022, 2021a; Phukon et al. 2021; Nitz & Wang 2021c). No firm detections were reported in any of these analyses. We describe and present the results of the search for the GWs from binary systems with at least one SSM component down to $0.2 M_{\odot}$, using data from the second part of the third observing run (O3b) in Sec. 2. We find no unambiguous GW candidates. The null result, combined with our previous analysis of the first part of the third observing run (O3a; Abbott et al. 2022), allows us to set in Sec. 3 upper limits on the merger rate of binaries with one SSM component, as function of the chirp mass and in the m_1 – m_2 plane.

These new upper limits on the merger rate can be used to constrain any model that might generate compact objects in the SSM range. As illustrative examples, we derive in Sec. 4 new constraints on two particular scenarios, PBHs and a model of DBHs. For PBH models, we calculate the merger rate of SSM binaries taking into account the early (Hütsi et al. 2021) and late binary formation scenarios (Clesse & Garcia-Bellido 2022; Phukon et al. 2021), and we reevaluate the constraints on PBH DM models with monochromatic (delta-function) and extended mass distributions. We update the PBH merger rate model of previous LVK works (Abbott et al. 2018b, 2019c, 2022) with additional physics to allow for binary disruption and find that the constraints on monochromatically distributed PBHs are weakened. We also consider broad PBH mass functions such as those of thermal history scenarios of PBHs and find that they are not significantly constrained in the SSM range by the present LVK data. For DBHs, we constrain a simple atomic dark matter model where DM consists of two oppositely charged dark fermions interacting via a dark photon (Shandera et al.

2018). This model has been estimated to produce a sizeable population of SSM black holes if the heavier of the fermions, X , is more massive than the Standard Model proton (Shandera et al. 2018); the fermion mass range previously probed was $0.66 \text{ GeV}/c^2 < m_X < 8.8 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ (Abbott et al. 2022; Singh et al. 2021). We obtain improved constraints on the fraction of DM in DBHs as a function of the minimum mass of the DBHs. In Sec. 5 we summarize our findings and discuss prospects for Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo’s fourth observing run.

2 SEARCH

The SSM search analyzes data collected during O3b, covering the period from 1 November 2019 1500 UTC to 27 March 2020 1700 UTC. The characterization and calibration of data and the non-linear removal of spectral lines follow the same methods as in our O3a analyses (Abbott et al. 2021a,d, 2022).

The analysis is performed by using three matched-filtering pipelines: `GstLAL` (Messick et al. 2017; Sachdev et al. 2019; Hanna et al. 2020), `MBTA` (Aubin et al. 2021) and `PyCBC` (Allen et al. 2012; Allen 2005; Dal Canton et al. 2014; Usman et al. 2016; Nitz et al. 2017; Davies et al. 2020). These analyses correlate the data with a bank of templates that model the gravitational-wave signals expected from binaries in quasi-circular orbit. All search pipelines use the same template banks and the same setup as for the O3a SSM analysis (Abbott et al. 2022). Templates are generated using the `TaylorF2` waveform (Sathyaprakash & Dhurandhar 1991; Blanchet et al. 1995; Poisson 1998; Damour et al. 2001; Mikóczy et al. 2005; Blanchet et al. 2005; Arun et al. 2009; Buonanno et al. 2009; Bohé et al. 2013, 2015; Mishra et al. 2016) and include phase terms up to 3.5 post-Newtonian order, but no amplitude corrections. **We estimate the GW emission starting at a frequency of 45 Hz to limit the computational cost of the search; we estimate that this reduces the network average signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) by 7%.** The template bank was constructed using a geometric placement algorithm (Harry et al. 2014). The bank is designed to recover binaries with (redshifted) primary mass $m_1 \in [0.2, 10] M_{\odot}$ and secondary mass $m_2 \in [0.2, 1.0] M_{\odot}$. The lower mass bound is set for consistency with previous searches (Abbott et al. 2018b, 2019c, 2022) and to limit the computational cost of the search. We additionally limit the binary mass ratio, $q \equiv m_2/m_1$, with $m_2 \leq m_1$, to range from $0.1 < q < 1.0$. We include the effect of spins aligned with the orbital angular momentum. For masses of a binary component larger than $0.5 M_{\odot}$ we allow for a dimensionless component spin ($\chi_{1,2} = |\mathbf{S}_{1,2}|/m_{1,2}^2$, with $\mathbf{S}_{1,2}$ the angular momentum of the compact objects) up to 0.9, while for compact objects with masses less than or equal to $0.5 M_{\odot}$, we limit the maximum dimensionless spin to 0.1. The restriction on component spins is chosen to reduce the computational cost of the analyses (Abbott et al. 2022). We set a minimum match (Owen 1996) of 0.97 to ensure that no more than 10% of astrophysical signals can be missed due to the discrete sampling of the parameter space.

We report in Table 1 the most significant candidates down to the threshold false alarm rate (FAR) of $\text{FAR} < 2 \text{ yr}^{-1}$. We do not apply a trials factor to our analysis. We identify only three triggers that pass this threshold in at least one pipeline.

Table 1. The triggers with a FAR $< 2 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in at least one search pipeline. We include the search-measured parameters associated with each candidate: m_1 and m_2 , the redshifted component masses, and χ_1 and χ_2 , the dimensionless component spin. The parameters shown in the table are the ones reported by the search where the trigger is identified with the lowest FAR. H, L, and V denote the Hanford, Livingston, and Virgo interferometers, respectively. The dashes in the “V SNR” column mean that no single-detector trigger was found in Advanced Virgo. The network SNR is computed by adding the SNR of single detector triggers in quadrature.

FAR [yr^{-1}]	Pipeline	GPS time	$m_1 [M_\odot]$	$m_2 [M_\odot]$	χ_1	χ_2	H SNR	L SNR	V SNR	Network SNR
0.20	GstLAL	1267725971.02	0.78	0.23	0.57	0.02	6.31	6.28	-	8.90
1.37	MBTA	1259157749.53	0.40	0.24	0.10	-0.05	6.57	5.31	5.81	10.25
1.56	GstLAL	1264750045.02	1.52	0.37	0.49	0.10	6.74	6.10	-	9.10

Visual inspection of the data around the time of the triggers indicate no data quality issues that would point to a definitive instrumental origin of the candidates. However, the number of triggers with their estimated FAR is consistent with what we would expect if no astrophysical signal was present in the data, given that the duration of O3b is 0.34 yr and that three pipelines are being used. The most significant candidate has a FAR of 0.2 yr^{-1} , which assuming a Poisson distribution for the background triggers and an observing time of 0.34 yr, corresponds to a p-value of 6.6%. We conclude that there is no statistically significant evidence for the detection of a GW from a SSM source.

3 SENSITIVITY AND RATE LIMITS

The absence of significant candidates in O3b allows us to characterize the sensitivity of our search and to set upper limits on the merger rate of such binary systems. We estimate the sensitive volume–time $\langle VT \rangle$ over all of O3. We find the sensitivity of each of the three pipelines introduced in Sec. 2 with a common set of simulated signals in real data, generated using the precessing post-Newtonian waveform model *SpinTaylorT5* (Ajith 2011), with source component masses sampled from log-uniform distributions with primary masses in range $(0.19, 11.0) M_\odot$ and secondary masses in range $(0.19, 1.1) M_\odot$. The injection’s component spins are distributed isotropically with dimensionless spin magnitudes going up to 0.1. The injections are distributed uniformly in comoving volume up to a maximum redshift of $z = 0.2$, at which the sensitivity of the search has been checked to be negligible. We injected a total of approximately 2 million simulated signals, spaced 15 s apart, spanning all O3.

The sensitivity of each search pipeline is estimated by computing the sensitive volume–time of the search:

$$\langle VT \rangle = \epsilon V_{\text{inj}} T, \quad (1)$$

where ϵ is the efficiency, defined as the ratio of recovered to total injections in the data in the source frame mass bin of interest, T is the analyzed time, and V_{inj} is the comoving volume at the farthest injected simulation. Each pipeline uses all injections with $q > 0.05$. We evaluate the uncertainties at 90% confidence interval on the sensitive volume–time estimate (Tiwari 2018) and consider binomial errors on the efficiency ϵ , given by

$$\delta \langle VT \rangle = 1.645 \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}{N_{\text{inj}}}} V_{\text{inj}} T, \quad (2)$$

where N_{inj} are the total injections in the considered mass range.

We use the FAR of the most significant candidate in O3 for

each pipeline to estimate the upper limit on the merger rate in accordance with the loudest event statistic formalism (Biswas et al. 2009). The FAR thresholds used were 0.2 yr^{-1} , 1.4 yr^{-1} and 0.14 yr^{-1} (Abbott et al. 2022) for GstLAL, MBTA and PyCBC, respectively. By omitting a trials factor in our analysis, we obtain a conservative upper limit on the sensitive $\langle VT \rangle$ of the searches. Though MBTA and PyCBC results use the full injection set, GstLAL analyzed a subset; the uncertainties in $\langle VT \rangle$ shown in Fig. 1 are therefore larger for GstLAL.

To lowest order, the inspiral of a binary depends sensitively on the chirp mass of the system (Blanchet 2014), which is defined as $\mathcal{M} \equiv (m_1 m_2)^{3/5} / (m_1 + m_2)^{1/5}$. Therefore, we split the population into nine equally spaced chirp mass bins in the range $0.16 M_\odot \leq \mathcal{M} \leq 2.72 M_\odot$ to determine the $\langle VT \rangle$ as a function of the chirp mass, shown in Fig. 1. The highest chirp mass bin of this search exhibits a drop in sensitivity as the component masses contained within this bin are beyond the redshifted component masses covered by the template bank (Sec. 2). As a consequence, there is a drop in efficiency and smaller $\langle VT \rangle$ values in that region. The sensitivity estimates obtained from the analysis of O3a data with the common injection set are consistent with the ones reported in our previous work (Abbott et al. 2022).

The null result from O3 yields $\langle VT \rangle$ values approximately 2 times larger than those obtained for O3a, in agreement with the expected increase in observing time. The sensitive hypervolumes of the searches presented in GWTC-3 (Abbott et al. 2021b) for chirp masses of $1.3 M_\odot$ and $2.3 M_\odot$ are comparable to those in Fig. 1 even though the mass ratio bounds of the two populations are different.

Given the obtained sensitive volume and the absence of significant detection, one can infer merger rate limits. Treating each bin, i , as a different population, we computed an upper limit on the binary merger rate to 90% confidence (Biswas et al. 2009):

$$\mathcal{R}_{90,i} = \frac{2.3}{\langle VT \rangle_i}. \quad (3)$$

We show in Fig. 2 and in Fig. 3 the upper limits on the binary merger rate as function of the chirp mass and in the source m_1 – m_2 plane, respectively.

4 CONSTRAINTS ON DARK MATTER MODELS

The upper limits that we infer from our null result can generically be used to constrain models that predict an observable population of binaries with at least one SSM component. We connect our results to two possible sources of SSM black holes: PBHs and DBHs. We parameterize our constraints in




Figure 1. Sensitive volume–time as a function of the source frame chirp mass in data from O3, obtained through the analysis of the set of common injections (blue triangles with dotted lines, orange circles with dashed lines, and green squares with continuous lines). The statistical errors are evaluated at 90% confidence interval, following Eq. (2) and represented by the shaded areas.




Figure 2. Merger rate limits as function of the source frame chirp mass of the binary system, in data from the full O3. The dotted, dashed and solid lines represent the 90% confidence limits obtained by GstLAL, MBTA and PyCBC, respectively.

terms of the fraction of the dark matter that can be comprised of compact objects under each model.

4.1 Primordial Black Holes

The abundance and mass distribution of PBHs depend on the details of their particular formation mechanism. The pri-




Figure 3. Merger rate limits in the source frame m_1 – m_2 plane, in data from the full O3 for the three pipelines. The error bars in each panel are given at the 90% confidence interval, following Eq. 2.

mordial power spectrum generated during inflation must have sufficiently large fluctuations on small scales for PBHs formation, while keeping the fluctuations small at the scale of the observed cosmic microwave background anisotropies (Cole et al. 2022). This is possible in several two-field models of inflation (Clesse & Garcia-Bellido 2015; Braglia et al. 2020; Zhou et al. 2020; De Luca et al. 2021), single-field models with a non slow-roll regime due to specific features in the inflation dynamics (García-Bellido & Ruiz Morales 2017; Ezquiaga et al. 2018), and by the enhancement of fluctuations at small scales due to quantum diffusion (Pattison et al. 2017; Ezquiaga et al. 2020), which provide recent examples of inflationary scenarios that can produce PBHs in the SSM range.

The probability of matter fluctuations to collapse into PBHs is enhanced by the decrease of the radiation pressure as different particles become non-relativistic along the thermal history of the Universe (Carr et al. 2021a). In particular, a peak around a solar mass is expected due to the QCD transition, although its exact position and height depend on the characteristics of the matter fluctuations at those scales (Byrnes et al. 2018). Furthermore, the probability of bi-

nary formation and thus estimates of the event rates depends on the clustering of PBHs and the cluster dynamics. This remains an area of active study (Raidal et al. 2019; Trashorras et al. 2021; Jedamzik 2020). All these uncertainties make our predictions on the DM fraction of PBHs very sensitive to the particular choice of the model parameters (Escrivà et al. 2022; Franciolini et al. 2022).

We update the theoretical merger rate of PBHs used in previous LVK searches (Abbott et al. 2018b, 2019c, 2022). We approximate the merger rates of early PBH binaries (EBs) formed in the radiation-dominated era with the approximations provided by Hütsi et al. (2021); Chen & Huang (2018); Ali-Haïmoud et al. (2017) and numerically validated with N-body simulations in Raidal et al. (2019),

$$\frac{d\mathcal{R}^{\text{PBH}}}{d\ln m_1 d\ln m_2} = 1.6 \times 10^6 \text{ Gpc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1} \times f_{\text{sup}} f_{\text{PBH}}^{53/37} f(m_1) \times f(m_2) \left(\frac{m_1 + m_2}{M_\odot} \right)^{-32/37} \left[\frac{m_1 m_2}{(m_1 + m_2)^2} \right]^{-34/37}, \quad (4)$$

where f_{PBH} denotes the DM density fraction made of PBHs and $f(m)$ is the normalized PBH density distribution. We neglect the redshift dependence in the merger rates, since the current generation of ground-based interferometers is only sensitive to BBHs with at least one SSM component at low redshifts. The main difference, compared to the theoretical rates predicted by Sasaki et al. (2016) that were used in previous LVK searches, comes from a rate suppression factor f_{sup} that effectively accounts for PBH binary disruptions by early forming clusters due to Poisson fluctuations in the initial PBH separation, by matter inhomogeneities, and by nearby PBHs (Suyama & Yokoyama 2019; Matsubara et al. 2019). For instance, if PBHs have all the same mass or a strongly peaked mass function and significantly contribute to the dark matter, one gets $f_{\text{sup}} \approx 2.3 \times 10^{-3} f_{\text{PBH}}^{-0.65}$, so the merger rates are highly suppressed (Hütsi et al. 2021). As a result, the limits on f_{PBH} are much less stringent than previously estimated. Data from O2 still allow for $f_{\text{PBH}} = 1$ in a scenario where all the PBHs have the same mass. Though monochromatically distributed PBHs are unrealistic, they provide a useful approximation for models with a highly peaked distribution, e.g., as predicted from PBH scenarios with sharp QCD transitions (Carr et al. 2021a). Given the still large uncertainties and possible caveats for the merger rate prescriptions of early binaries, we also considered the case where merger rates entirely come from late PBH binaries (LBs) formed dynamically inside PBH clusters seeded by the above-mentioned Poisson fluctuations that grow in the matter-dominated era and lead to the formation of PBH clusters, following Clesse & Garcia-Bellido (2022); Phukon et al. (2021). This allows us to illustrate the important variations in the PBH limits obtained for different binary formation scenarios.

For a monochromatic PBH mass distribution, we derive new limits on f_{PBH} in the SSM range, shown in Fig. 4, for both EBs and LBs. While the scenario of DM entirely made of PBHs with the same mass was not totally excluded by previous searches, after O3 it becomes strongly disfavored up to $1M_\odot$, with $f_{\text{PBH}} < 0.6$ around $0.3M_\odot$ and $f_{\text{PBH}} < 0.09$ at $1M_\odot$. For LBs only, we do not find yet significant limits, since we do not restrict f_{PBH} to be lower than one.

For unequal mass BBH, the merger rates are more uncertain and model dependent, but one can obtain a limit on an




Figure 4. Constraints on DM fraction of PBHs, f_{PBH} , for a monochromatic mass function and assuming the merger rates for early PBH binaries from Hütsi et al. (2021) (orange) and late PBH binaries from Phukon et al. (2021) (blue). Shown in black are results for SSM searches in O2 (Abbott et al. 2019b) with and without the rate suppression factor f_{sup} . For the first time, $f_{\text{PBH}} = 1$ for early binaries is excluded in the whole SSM range probed by this search.

effective parameter

$$F_{\text{PBH}} \equiv \left(\frac{f_{\text{sup}}}{2.3 \times 10^{-3}} \right) f(m_1) f(m_2) f_{\text{PBH}}^{53/37}, \quad (5)$$

in such a way that it corresponds to the product of $f(m_2)$ and $f(m_1)$ in a scenario where $f_{\text{PBH}} \approx 1$. This allows us to establish model-independent limits on PBHs since F_{PBH} encompasses all the uncertainties on the mass distribution and rate suppression, by using the limits shown in Fig. 3 and the rates of Eq. (4) but neglecting their variations in individual mass bins. We find that the limits on F_{PBH} is sensitive to the location in the m_1 - m_2 plane. These can be used to constrain f_{PBH} for arbitrary mass functions. For models with $f_{\text{PBH}} = 1$ and a peak above $1M_\odot$, these restrict the possible distribution of BHs in the SSM range. We find that some representative distributions with QCD-enhanced features (Byrnes et al. 2018; Carr et al. 2021a; De Luca et al. 2021; Jedamzik 2021) become constrained in the range $f_{\text{PBH}} \approx (0.1-1)$. SSM searches are therefore complementary to searches in the solar mass range in order to distinguish PBH mass functions that are viable from those that are more constrained.

4.2 Dark black holes

If all or some of the DM has rich enough particle content to dissipate kinetic energy and cool, then compact objects made from DM may form through gravitational collapse of the dark gas (Shandera et al. 2018). The particle content of the DM allows SSM black holes if, for example, there is

a cosmologically dominant heavy fermion analogous to the proton but with mass greater than $938 \text{ MeV}/c^2$. In that case, the Chandrasekhar limit for DM black holes is lower than that for Standard Model matter. Constraints on SSM black holes in mergers then constrain formation channels for DM black holes in the detectable mass range, bounding the total cooling rate (total dissipation) of the dark sector (Singh et al. 2021).

Here we consider a population of DBHs formed within a particular dissipative scenario, the atomic DM model (Ackerman et al. 2009; Kaplan et al. 2010; Feng et al. 2009), with a power-law distribution of masses modeled after observations and simulations of Population III stars (Stacy & Bromm 2013; Greif et al. 2011; Hartwig et al. 2016). We derive the posterior probability for the fraction of dissipative DM that can be in black holes, the lower and upper limits of the DBH mass distribution, and the power-law slope, using the sensitive volume from the SSM search and modelled rates for DBH mergers (Shandera et al. 2018; Singh et al. 2021). The posterior is marginalized over the parameters that characterize the distribution, including the power-law slope and the upper limit of the distribution to obtain the constraints on the fraction of dissipative DM that can be in black holes, f_{DBH} , together with the lower limit of the DBH distribution $M_{\text{min}}^{\text{DBH}}$, as done in Singh et al. (2021); Abbott et al. (2021a) previously.

The upper limits on f_{DBH} are shown as a function of $M_{\text{min}}^{\text{DBH}}$ in Fig. 5. Compared to the results obtained from the SSM search in O3a (Abbott et al. 2022), where the most stringent constraint on $f_{\text{DBH}} \lesssim 0.003\%$, the limit improves by roughly a factor of 2, which can be directly attributed to the increase in the observing time. We derive the strictest limit on $f_{\text{DBH}} \lesssim 0.0012 - 0.0014\%$ at $M_{\text{min}}^{\text{DBH}} = 1M_{\odot}$ across the 3 pipelines. The range of heavy dark fermion masses, m_X probed by this search inferred from the Chandrasekhar limit of the fermionic particle progenitors of DBHs, is $1.1 \text{ GeV}/c^2 < m_X < 8.9 \text{ GeV}/c^2$.

A non-detection provides no information for the model parameter $M_{\text{min}}^{\text{DBH}} < 2 \times 10^{-2} M_{\odot}$ because the searches are not sensitive enough to support distributions with $M_{\text{min}}^{\text{DBH}}$ in that mass range since we only consider $M_{\text{max}}^{\text{DBH}} = r M_{\text{min}}^{\text{DBH}}$ with $2 \leq r \leq 1000$. We also exclude limits where $M_{\text{min}}^{\text{DBH}} > 1M_{\odot}$ because the detection of a SSM DBH would require a mass distribution with $M_{\text{min}}^{\text{DBH}} \leq 1M_{\odot}$. If these limits survive with subsequent searches, the detection of a SSM compact object would directly constrain the particle properties of atomic dark matter. Future searches could potentially rule out regions of the DM parameter space associated with dissipative dark matter.

5 CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

We have presented a search for compact binary coalescences with at least one SSM component in data from the second half of the third LVK observing run, O3b. The search did not yield any significant candidates.

The absence of significant candidates enables us to set improved merger-rate limits based on the full O3 dataset. We obtain consistent results with each of the three considered search pipelines. We demonstrate how the new upper limits




Figure 5. Constraints on the abundance of DBHs, f_{DBH} , as a function of the lower limit of the DBH mass distribution, $M_{\text{min}}^{\text{DBH}}$ from O3 data for the 3 search pipelines: GstLAL (dotted), MBTA (dashed) and PyCBC (solid). Constraints from the search for SSM compact objects in O3a data (Abbott et al. 2022) are shown for comparison.

can be used to constrain two illustrative models: SSM PBHs and DBHs.

We have considered PBH merger rate models that incorporate additional physics relative to previous LVK works and obtained new limits that are less stringent than previous LVK searches for SSM objects. Using these upper limits, the data allow us to exclude equal mass PBHs with a DM fraction smaller than one, in the entire subsolar range probed by the search. More general PBH distributions with extended mass functions remain viable, even for $f_{\text{PBH}} \approx 1$. Our SSM search therefore provides limits that are complementary to other types of observations such as pulsar timing arrays (De Luca et al. 2021; Chen et al. 2020; Domènech & Pi 2022; Kohri & Terada 2021) and microlensing surveys (Allsman et al. 2001; Tisserand et al. 2007; Wyrzykowski et al. 2011) that can probe or constrain the GW background induced by the density fluctuations at the origin of the formation of SSM PBHs.

For the dissipative dark matter model we consider, bounds on dark matter self-interactions on large scales (Markevitch et al. 2004) already weakly constrain the amount of dark matter that can be efficiently cooling, so only some of the dark matter can have cooled sufficiently to form compact objects (Buckley & DiFranzo 2018; Shandera et al. 2018). Our analysis here provides the strongest constraint on this fraction so far from a SSM search, finding that no more than $f_{\text{DBH}} \approx 10^{-5}$ of atomic dark matter can be collapsed into black holes for distributions that include DBHs in the $0.2-1M_{\odot}$ range where the sensitive volume is determined from this search alone.

Given the fundamental physics implications of observing a

SSM black hole, it will be important to continue this type of search in the next LVK observing runs ([Abbott et al. 2020a](#)). Each of the upcoming observing runs will be preceded by detector upgrades, designed to enhance the sensitivity of our ground-based interferometer network and our reach into the Universe. These developments will facilitate either the detection of a SSM compact object or provide tighter constraints on their abundance.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Analyses in this catalog relied upon the LALSuite software library ([LIGO Scientific Collaboration 2018](#)). The detection of the signals and subsequent significance evaluations in this catalog were performed with the GstLAL-based inspiral software pipeline ([Messick et al. 2017](#); [Sachdev et al. 2019](#); [Hanna et al. 2020](#); [Cannon et al. 2021](#)), with the MBTA pipeline ([Adams et al. 2016](#); [Aubin et al. 2021](#)), and with the PyCBC ([Usman et al. 2016](#); [Nitz et al. 2017](#); [Davies et al. 2020](#)) package. Plots were prepared with Matplotlib ([Hunter 2007](#)). Numpy ([Harris et al. 2020](#)) and Scipy ([Virtanen et al. 2020](#)) were used in the preparation of the manuscript.

This material is based upon work supported by NSF’s LIGO Laboratory which is a major facility fully funded by the National Science Foundation. The authors also gratefully acknowledge the support of the Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) of the United Kingdom, the Max-Planck-Society (MPS), and the State of Niedersachsen/Germany for support of the construction of Advanced LIGO and construction and operation of the GEO 600 detector. Additional support for Advanced LIGO was provided by the Australian Research Council. The authors gratefully acknowledge the Italian Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), the French Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) and the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO), for the construction and operation of the Virgo detector and the creation and support of the EGO consortium. The authors also gratefully acknowledge research support from these agencies as well as by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research of India, the Department of Science and Technology, India, the Science & Engineering Research Board (SERB), India, the Ministry of Human Resource Development, India, the Spanish Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI), the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación and Ministerio de Universidades, the Conselleria de Fons Europeus, Universitat i Cultura and the Direcció General de Política Universitaria i Recerca del Govern de les Illes Balears, the Conselleria d’Innovació, Universitats, Ciència i Societat Digital de la Generalitat Valenciana and the CERCA Programme Generalitat de Catalunya, Spain, the National Science Centre of Poland and the European Union’s European Regional Development Fund; Foundation for Polish Science (FNP), the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF), the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, the Russian Science Foundation, the European Commission, the European Social Funds (ESF), the European Regional Development Funds (ERDF), the Royal Society, the Scottish Funding Council, the Scottish Universities Physics Alliance, the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA), the French Lyon Institute of Origins (LIO), the Belgian Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FRS-FNRS), Actions de Recherche

Concertées (ARC) and Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek à Vlaanderen (FWO), Belgium, the Paris Île-de-France Region, the National Research, Development and Innovation Office Hungary (NKFIH), the National Research Foundation of Korea, the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council Canada, Canadian Foundation for Innovation (CFI), the Brazilian Ministry of Science, Technology, and Innovations, the International Center for Theoretical Physics South American Institute for Fundamental Research (ICTP-SAIFR), the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong, the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC), the Leverhulme Trust, the Research Corporation, the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST), Taiwan, the United States Department of Energy, and the Kavli Foundation. The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the NSF, STFC, INFN and CNRS for provision of computational resources. Funding for this project was provided by the Charles E. Kaufman Foundation of The Pittsburgh Foundation and the Institute for Computational and Data Sciences at Penn State.

This work was supported by MEXT, JSPS Leading-edge Research Infrastructure Program, JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Specially Promoted Research 26000005, JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas 2905: JP17H06358, JP17H06361 and JP17H06364, JSPS Core-to-Core Program A. Advanced Research Networks, JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) 17H06133 and 20H05639, JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Transformative Research Areas (A) 20A203: JP20H05854, the joint research program of the Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, University of Tokyo, National Research Foundation (NRF), Computing Infrastructure Project of KISTI-GSDC, Korea Astronomy and Space Science Institute (KASI), and Ministry of Science and ICT (MSIT) in Korea, Academia Sinica (AS), AS Grid Center (ASGC) and the Ministry of Science and Technology (MoST) in Taiwan under grants including AS-CDA-105-M06, Advanced Technology Center (ATC) of NAOJ, and Mechanical Engineering Center of KEK.

We would like to thank all of the essential workers who put their health at risk during the COVID-19 pandemic, without whom we would not have been able to complete this work.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The raw data used in the analyses are available via the [Gravitational Wave Open Science Center](#). The derived data generated in this work can be found on the [LIGO Document Control Center](#).

REFERENCES

- Aasi J., et al., 2015, *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 32, 074001
- Abbott B., et al., 2005, *Phys. Rev. D*, 72, 082002
- Abbott B., et al., 2008, *Phys. Rev. D*, 77, 062002
- Abbott B. P., et al., 2016a, *Phys. Rev. X*, 6, 041015
- Abbott B. P., et al., 2016b, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 116, 061102
- Abbott B. P., et al., 2018a, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 121, 231103
- Abbott B. P., et al., 2018b, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 121, 231103
- Abbott B. P., et al., 2019a, *Phys. Rev. X*, 9, 031040
- Abbott B., et al., 2019c, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 123, 161102
- Abbott B. P., et al., 2019b, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 123, 161102
- Abbott B., et al., 2020a, *Living Reviews in Relativity*, 23
- Abbott B. P., et al., 2020b, *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 37, 045006
- Abbott R., et al., 2020c, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 125, 101102
- Abbott R., et al., 2020d, *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 892, L3
- Abbott R., et al., 2020e, *Astrophys. J.*, 896, L44
- Abbott R., et al., 2020f, *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 900, L13
- Abbott R., et al., 2021a, arXiv:2108.01045
- Abbott R., et al., 2021b, arXiv:2111.03606
- Abbott R., et al., 2021c, arXiv:2111.03634
- Abbott R., et al., 2021d, *Phys. Rev. X*, 11, 021053
- Abbott R., et al., 2021e, *SoftwareX*, 13, 100658
- Abbott R., et al., 2021f, *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 913, L7
- Abbott R., et al., 2022, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 129, 061104
- Acernese F., et al., 2015, *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 32, 024001
- Ackerman L., Buckley M. R., Carroll S. M., Kamionkowski M., 2009, "Phys. Rev. D", 79, 023519
- Adams T., et al., 2016, *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 33, 175012
- Aghanim N., et al., 2020, *Astron. Astrophys.*, 641, A6
- Ajith P., 2011, *Phys. Rev. D*, 84, 084037
- Ali-Haïmoud Y., Kovetz E. D., Kamionkowski M., 2017, *Phys. Rev. D*, 96, 123523
- Allen B., 2005, *Phys. Rev. D*, 71, 062001
- Allen B., Anderson W. G., Brady P. R., Brown D. A., Creighton J. D. E., 2012, *Phys. Rev. D*, 85, 122006
- Allsman R. A., et al., 2001, *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 550, L169
- Arun K. G., Buonanno A., Faye G., Ochsner E., 2009, *Phys. Rev. D*, 79, 104023
- Aubin F., et al., 2021, *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 38, 095004
- Bailyn C. D., Jain R. K., Coppi P., Orosz J. A., 1998, *Astrophys. J.*, 499, 367
- Barrow J. D., Copeland E. J., Kolb E. W., Liddle A. R., 1991, *Phys. Rev. D*, 43, 984
- Bean R., Magueijo J., 2002, *Phys. Rev. D*, 66, 063505
- Bird S., Cholis I., Muñoz J. B., Ali-Haïmoud Y., Kamionkowski M., Kovetz E. D., Raccanelli A., Riess A. G., 2016, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 116, 201301
- Biswas R., Brady P. R., Creighton J. D. E., Fairhurst S., 2009, *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 26, 175009
- Blanchet L., 2014, *Living Rev. Rel.*, 17, 2
- Blanchet L., Damour T., Iyer B. R., Will C. M., Wiseman A. G., 1995, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 74, 3515
- Blanchet L., Damour T., Esposito-Farese G., Iyer B. R., 2005, *Phys. Rev. D*, 71, 124004
- Bohé A., Marsat S., Blanchet L., 2013, *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 30, 135009
- Bohé A., Faye G., Marsat S., Porter E. K., 2015, *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 32, 195010
- Braglia M., Hazra D. K., Finelli F., Smoot G. F., Sriramkumar L., Starobinsky A. A., 2020, *JCAP*, 08, 001
- Bramante J., Elahi F., 2015, *Phys. Rev. D*, 91, 115001
- Bramante J., Linden T., 2014, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 113, 191301
- Bramante J., Linden T., Tsai Y.-D., 2018, *Phys. Rev. D*, 97, 055016
- Buckley M. R., DiFranzo A., 2018, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 120, 051102
- Buonanno A., Iyer B. R., Ochsner E., Pan Y., Sathyaprakash B. S., 2009, *Phys. Rev. D*, 80, 084043
- Byrnes C. T., Hindmarsh M., Young S., Hawkins M. R. S., 2018, *JCAP*, 08, 041
- Cannon K., et al., 2021, *SoftwareX*, 14, 100680
- Carr B. J., Hawking S. W., 1974, *MNRAS*, 168, 399
- Carr B., Kuhnel F., 2020, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.*, 70, 355
- Carr B. J., Lidsey J. E., 1993, *Phys. Rev. D*, 48, 543
- Carr B., Clesse S., García-Bellido J., Kühnel F., 2021a, *Phys. Dark Univ.*, 31, 100755
- Carr B., Kohri K., Sendouda Y., Yokoyama J., 2021b, *Rept. Prog. Phys.*, 84, 116902
- Chandrasekhar S., 1931, *Astrophys. J.*, 74, 81
- Chandrasekhar S., 1935, *MNRAS*, 95, 207
- Chang J. H., Egana-Ugrinovic D., Essig R., Kouvaris C., 2019, *JCAP*, 03, 036
- Chapline G. F., 1975, *Nature*, 253, 251
- Chen Z.-C., Huang Q.-G., 2018, *Astrophys. J.*, 864, 61
- Chen Z.-C., Yuan C., Huang Q.-G., 2020, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 124, 251101
- Chen Z.-C., Yuan C., Huang Q.-G., 2022, *Phys. Lett. B*, 829, 137040
- Choquette J., Cline J. M., Cornell J. M., 2019, *JCAP*, 07, 036
- Clesse S., García-Bellido J., 2015, *Phys. Rev. D*, 92, 023524
- Clesse S., García-Bellido J., 2017, *Phys. Dark Univ.*, 15, 142
- Clesse S., García-Bellido J., 2018, *Phys. Dark Univ.*, 22, 137
- Clesse S., García-Bellido J., 2022, *Phys. Dark Univ.*, 38, 101111
- Cole P. S., Gow A. D., Byrnes C. T., Patil S. P., 2022, arXiv:2204.07573
- Cotner E., Kusenko A., 2017, *Phys. Rev. D*, 96, 103002
- D'Amico G., Panci P., Lupi A., Bovino S., Silk J., 2018, *MNRAS*, 473, 328
- Dal Canton T., et al., 2014, *Phys. Rev. D*, 90, 082004
- Damour T., Jaranowski P., Schaefer G., 2001, *Phys. Lett. B*, 513, 147
- Dasgupta B., Laha R., Ray A., 2021, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 126, 141105
- Davies G. S., Dent T., Tápai M., Harry I., Mclsaac C., Nitz A. H., 2020, *Phys. Rev. D*, 102, 022004
- De Luca V., Franciolini G., Riotto A., 2021, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 126, 041303
- Domènech G., Pi S., 2022, *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.*, 65, 230411
- de Lavalaz A., Fairbairn M., 2010, *Phys. Rev. D*, 81, 123521
- Ertl T., Woosley S. E., Sukhbold T., Janka H. T., 2020, *Astrophys. J.*, 890, 51
- Escrivà A., Bagui E., Clesse S., 2022, arXiv:2209.06196
- Essig R., Mcdermott S. D., Yu H.-B., Zhong Y.-M., 2019, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 123, 121102
- Ezquiaga J. M., García-Bellido J., Ruiz Morales E., 2018, *Phys. Lett. B*, 776, 345
- Ezquiaga J. M., García-Bellido J., Venin V., 2020, *JCAP*, 03, 029
- Farmer R., Renzo M., de Mink S. E., Marchant P., Justham S., 2019, arXiv:1910.12874
- Farr W. M., Sravan N., Cantrell A., Kreidberg L., Bailyn C. D., Mandel I., Kalogera V., 2011, *Astrophys. J.*, 741, 103
- Farrow N., Zhu X.-J., Thrane E., 2019, *Astrophys. J.*, 876, 18
- Feng J. L., Kaplinghat M., Tu H., Yu H.-B., 2009, *JCAP*, 2009, 004
- Fishbach M., Kalogera V., 2022, *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 929, L26
- Franciolini G., Urbano A., 2022, *Phys. Rev. D*, 106, 123519
- Franciolini G., Musco I., Pani P., Urbano A., 2022, *Phys. Rev. D*, 106, 123526
- García-Bellido J., Ruiz Morales E., 2017, *Phys. Dark Univ.*, 18, 47
- García-Bellido J., Linde A. D., Wands D., 1996, *Phys. Rev.*, D54, 6040
- Garriga J., Vilenkin A., Zhang J., 2016, *JCAP*, 02, 064
- Goldman I., Nussinov S., 1989, *Phys. Rev. D*, 40, 3221
- Greif T., Springel V., White S., Glover S., Clark P., Smith R., Klessen R., Bromm V., 2011, *Astrophys. J.*, 737, 75
- Hanna C., et al., 2020, *Phys. Rev. D*, 101, 022003

- Harris C. R., et al., 2020, *Nature*, 585, 357
- Harry I. W., Nitz A. H., Brown D. A., Lundgren A. P., Ochsner E., Keppel D., 2014, *Phys. Rev. D*, 89, 024010
- Hartwig T., Volonteri M., Bromm V., Klessen R. S., Barausse E., Magg M., Stacy A., 2016, *MNRAS*, 460, L74
- Hawking S., 1971, *MNRAS*, 152, 75
- Hippert M., Setford J., Tan H., Curtin D., Noronha-Hostler J., Yunes N., 2022, *Phys. Rev. D*, 106, 035025
- Hunter J. D., 2007, *Comput. Sci. Eng.*, 9, 90
- Hütsi G., Raidal M., Vaskonen V., Veermäe H., 2021, *JCAP*, 03, 068
- Ivanov P., Naselsky P., Novikov I., 1994, *Phys. Rev. D*, 50, 7173
- Jedamzik K., 2020, *JCAP*, 09, 022
- Jedamzik K., 2021, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 126, 051302
- Juan J. I., Serpico P. D., Franco Abellán G., 2022, *JCAP*, 07, 009
- Kaplan D. E., Krnjaic G. Z., Rehermann K. R., Wells C. M., 2010, *JCAP*, 2010, 021
- Kashlinsky A., 2016, *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 823, L25
- Khlopov M. Y., 2010, *Res. Astron. Astrophys.*, 10, 495
- Khlopov M., Malomed B. A., Zeldovich I. B., 1985, *MNRAS*, 215, 575
- Kim H. I., Lee C. H., 1996, *Phys. Rev. D*, 54, 6001
- Kohri K., Terada T., 2021, *Phys. Lett. B*, 813, 136040
- Kouvaris C., Tinyakov P., 2011, *Phys. Rev. D*, 83, 083512
- Kouvaris C., Tinyakov P., Tytgat M. H. G., 2018, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 121, 221102
- LIGO Scientific Collaboration 2018, LIGO Algorithm Library, [doi:10.7935/GT1W-FZ16](https://doi.org/10.7935/GT1W-FZ16), [doi:10.7935/GT1W-FZ16](https://doi.org/10.7935/GT1W-FZ16)
- Latif M., Lupi A., Schleicher D., D'Amico G., Panci P., Bovino S., 2019, *MNRAS*, 485, 3352
- Magee R., et al., 2019, *Astrophys. J.*, 878, L17
- Mandel I., Farmer A., 2022, *Phys. Rept.*, 955, 1
- Markevitch M., Gonzalez A. H., Clowe D., Vikhlinin A., Forman W., Jones C., Murray S., Tucker W., 2004, *Astrophys. J.*, 606, 819
- Matsubara T., Terada T., Kohri K., Yokoyama S., 2019, *Phys. Rev. D*, 100, 123544
- Messick C., et al., 2017, *Phys. Rev. D*, 95, 042001
- Mikóczy B., Vasuth M., Gergely L. A., 2005, *Phys. Rev. D*, 71, 124043
- Mishra C. K., Kela A., Arun K. G., Faye G., 2016, *Phys. Rev. D*, 93, 084054
- Müller B., et al., 2019, *MNRAS*, 484, 3307
- Nitz A. H., Wang Y.-F., 2021a, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 126, 021103
- Nitz A. H., Wang Y.-F., 2021b, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 127, 151101
- Nitz A. H., Wang Y.-F., 2021c, *Astrophys. J.*, 915, 54
- Nitz A. H., Wang Y.-F., 2022, *Phys. Rev. D*, 106, 023024
- Nitz A. H., Dent T., Dal Canton T., Fairhurst S., Brown D. A., 2017, *Astrophys. J.*, 849, 118
- Nitz A. H., Capano C., Nielsen A. B., Reyes S., White R., Brown D. A., Krishnan B., 2019a, *Astrophys. J.*, 872, 195
- Nitz A. H., et al., 2019b, *Astrophys. J.*, 891, 123
- Nitz A. H., Kumar S., Wang Y.-F., Kastha S., Wu S., Schäfer M., Dhurkunde R., Capano C. D., 2021a, arXiv:2112.06878
- Nitz A. H., Capano C. D., Kumar S., Wang Y.-F., Kastha S., Schäfer M., Dhurkunde R., Cabero M., 2021b, *Astrophys. J.*, 922, 76
- Olsen S., Venumadhav T., Mushkin J., Roulet J., Zackay B., Zaldarriaga M., 2022, *Phys. Rev. D*, 106, 043009
- Owen B. J., 1996, *Phys. Rev. D*, 53, 6749
- Ozel F., Psaltis D., Narayan R., McClintock J. E., 2010, *Astrophys. J.*, 725, 1918
- Pattison C., Vennin V., Assadullahi H., Wands D., 2017, *JCAP*, 10, 046
- Phukon K. S., et al., 2021, arXiv:2105.11449
- Poisson E., 1998, *Phys. Rev. D*, 57, 5287
- Raidal M., Spethmann C., Vaskonen V., Veermäe H., 2019, *JCAP*, 02, 018
- Ryan M., Gurian J., Shandera S., Jeong D., 2022, *Astrophys. J.*, 934, 120
- Sachdev S., et al., 2019, arXiv:1901.08580
- Sasaki M., Suyama T., Tanaka T., Yokoyama S., 2016, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 117, 061101
- Sathyaprakash B. S., Dhurandhar S. V., 1991, *Phys. Rev. D*, 44, 3819
- Shandera S., Jeong D., Gebhardt H. S. G., 2018, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 120, 241102
- Singh D., Ryan M., Magee R., Akhter T., Shandera S., Jeong D., Hanna C., 2021, *Phys. Rev. D*, 104, 044015
- Spera M., Trani A. A., Mencagli M., 2022, *Galaxies*, 10, 76
- Stacy A., Bromm V., 2013, *MNRAS*, 433, 1094
- Suwa Y., Yoshida T., Shibata M., Umeda H., Takahashi K., 2018, *MNRAS*, 481, 3305
- Suyama T., Yokoyama S., 2019, *PTEP*, 2019, 103E02
- Takhistov V., 2018, *Phys. Lett. B*, 782, 77
- Takhistov V., Fuller G. M., Kusenko A., 2021, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 126, 071101
- Tisserand P., et al., 2007, *Astron. Astrophys.*, 469, 387
- Tiwari V., 2018, *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 35, 145009
- Trashorras M., García-Bellido J., Nesseris S., 2021, *Universe*, 7, 18
- Usman S. A., et al., 2016, *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 33, 215004
- Venumadhav T., Zackay B., Roulet J., Dai L., Zaldarriaga M., 2019, *Phys. Rev. D*, 100, 023011
- Venumadhav T., Zackay B., Roulet J., Dai L., Zaldarriaga M., 2020, *Phys. Rev. D*, 101, 083030
- Villanueva-Domingo P., Mena Ó., Palomares-Ruiz S., 2021, *Front. Astron. Space Sci.*, 8, 87
- Virtanen P., et al., 2020, *Nature Meth.*, 17, 261
- Woosley S. E., 2017, *Astrophys. J.*, 836, 244
- Wyrzykowski L., et al., 2011, *MNRAS*, 416, 2949
- Zel'dovich Y. B., Novikov I. D., 1967, *Soviet Astronomy*, 10, 602
- Zevin M., Spera M., Berry C. P. L., Kalogera V., 2020, *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 899, L1
- Zhou Z., Jiang J., Cai Y.-F., Sasaki M., Pi S., 2020, *Phys. Rev. D*, 102, 103527