# UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

# University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

# Developing a social media intervention to counter COVID-19 misinformation in Lebanon

Kabakian-Khasholian, Tamar; Makhoul, Jihad; Al-Majthoub, Lubna; Abou Khouzam, Maya; Bardus. Marco

License:

None: All rights reserved

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Kabakian-Khasholian, T, Makhoul, J, Ál-Majthoub, L, Abou Khouzam, M & Bardus, M 2022, 'Developing a social media intervention to counter COVID-19 misinformation in Lebanon', Paper presented at 7th World Social Marketing Conference, Brighton, United Kingdom, 5/09/22 - 8/09/22.

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)

•Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 29. May. 2023

#### Research / Evaluation submission

#### Title

Developing a social media intervention to counter COVID-19 misinformation in Lebanon

#### Conference track

• COVID-19, lessons learned, and challenges ahead

### **Abstract**

The COVID-19 infodemic continues to spread, especially on social media. Misinformation spreads faster than accurate information hindering the public health response and generating distrust in institutions, fear, and panic. Health, eHealth, and media literacy are essential to respond to misinformation. This study explores how these different literacies are interrelated to develop a COVID-19 counter-misinformation media campaign. This paper presents the results of formative research conducted between April and June 2022. We used a web-based survey to assess eHealth literacy (with the homonymous scale eHEALS), COVID-19-related literacy, and media literacy (through the Critical thinking about media messages, CTMM scale). We explored the associations among these literacies and confounders such as internet use and sociodemographic factors. We used the findings to define our campaign's strategic goals. We recruited a sample of 388 internet users residing in Lebanon, primarily females, married and employed, with a university-level education. Participants showed overall high levels of eHealth literacy, COVID-19-related literacy, and media literacy, which were significantly correlated with one another. Despite these high levels of literacies, about 30% of the sample reported difficulties in appraising the reliability of COVID-19-related information online. This suggests that our campaign should focus on building media literacy skills and better empower individuals to identify COVID-19 misinformation. In our campaign, we will engage the highly health-and-media literate segments of the audience to diffuse reliable and accurate COVID-19-related information, among other segments.

# Introduction and background/rationale

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines infodemic as "an over-abundance of information —some accurate and some not —that makes it hard for people to find trustworthy sources and reliable guidance when they need it" (WHO, World Health Organisation, 2020a). The COVID-19 infodemic continues to spread over the Internet, through widely available and accessible social networking sites, such as Facebook and Twitter, or via messaging applications such as WhatsApp or Telegram (Siddiqui et al., 2020). The COVID-19 infodemic is not a new problem, as several studies demonstrated a wide diffusion of rumors and misinformation on social networking sites for Zika, SARS, and Ebola outbreaks (Allgaier and Svalastog, 2015; He et al., 2015; Merino, 2014; Seltzer et al., 2017). Conspiracy theories, speculating treatments, and rumors constituted the bulk of the misinformation circulated by highly active individuals on social media intending to gain more popularity (Wang et al., 2019). Other research during a Zika outbreak showed that rumors were shared three times more than accurate information (Sommariva et al., 2018). The spread of misinformation is more evident when scientific evidence is lacking or with controversial issues, such as in the case of novel coronavirus outbreaks like SARS (Hartl, 2013). Some more recent research shows how social media content might even play a negative role in spreading fear (Kickbusch and Leung, 2020).

It has become increasingly challenging for lay people to navigate the sea of health information and discern among different sources. People need to have a high level of "critical health literacy," including a critical appraisal of data built on cognitive and social skills, scientific methods, research knowledge, and how media work and diffuse information (Chinn, 2011). However, critical health literacy goes beyond knowing the risks and should include elements of functional health literacy, which is the ability to discern correct and wrong health-related information (Abel and McQueen, 2020). In libertarian societies, individuals are expected to make rational choices; yet, because of the many uncertainties, we observe a variety of herd, irrational behaviors, such as flocking to grocery stores to buy masks, gloves, or hand sanitizers (Abel and McQueen, 2020).

In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, it is a public health imperative to detect and provide a timely response to circulating rumors to mitigate a narrative of counter behaviors that can influence the way people comply with preventive recommendations. Preparedness is the key to responding to emergencies, including outbreaks, and well-planned communication strategies and toolkits are considered an integral part of public health responses. As outlined by WHO, Risk Communication and Community Engagement (RCCE) is an essential component of the preparedness and

response action plan to address this emergency, including developing systems for tracking and responding to rumors and misinformation (WHO, World Health Organisation, 2020b). Understanding the diffusion of information will help policymakers, and public health professionals forecast outbreaks, inform current public health measures, and adopt appropriate communication strategies for the present and future responses. The public health research agenda for managing the so-called "infodemic" (Mahajan et al., 2021; WHO, World Health Organization, 2021) recently put forward by WHO has underlined the research areas of focus, including monitoring the infodemic impact during emergencies, understanding how information originates and spreads, and evaluating interventions that develop resilience to misinformation.

In Lebanon, a small country in the Eastern Mediterranean, there are about 4.1 million social media users, representing about 60% of the active internet population, with 3.5 million people on Facebook, 1.6 million on Instagram, and 590,000 on Twitter (Kemp, 2021). Due to the financial and economic crises, the country is going through (Abouzeid et al., 2020), the trust in institutions has been constantly eroded, making it more difficult to diffuse information despite the significant penetration of social media use in Lebanese society. Public health guidelines are hardly followed, considering the diffusion of self-interest values that clash with communal ideals (Kabakian-Khasholian et al., 2020). Additionally, misinformation is well diffused on social media. A recent study showed that about 25% of the analyzed tweets on COVID-19 contained misinformation, and 17% included unverifiable information, which was shared mainly by informal, personal accounts (Kouzy et al., 2020).

To respond to these pressing demands, supported by a grant from the WHO, we have developed a project to develop an evidence-based social media campaign to address COVID-19 misconceptions among social media users in Lebanon. Within the formative research phase of our study, we assessed the basic level of health literacy, eHealth literacy, and COVID-19-related literacy to understand how to shape our campaign's strategy (i.e., towards building resilience to misinformation, critical health, or digital literacy, etc.). In this paper, we report the survey's preliminary results, which allowed us to refine the campaign goals.

## Method / approach

We conducted a cross-sectional study based on a web-based questionnaire approved by the Institutional review board (ref. Nr. SBS-2021-0362).

**Participants and recruitment:** Participants were recruited through paid and unpaid social media posts on our institution's Facebook, Instagram, and Twitter profiles and posts shared among our personal social media profiles. To participate in the study, respondents had to be 18 and above, reside in Lebanon, and provide consent.

**Questionnaire:** We developed a bespoke questionnaire in English and Arabic, using validated instruments available in both languages.

eHealth literacy was assessed using Norman and Skinner's eHealth literacy scale (eHEALS) (Cameron D. Norman and Skinner, 2006), which generally has good internal consistency with  $\alpha$  = 0.88 (Cameron D. Norman and Skinner, 2006). We used the Arabic version used in a study conducted in Kuwait (Alhuwail and Abdulsalam, 2019) since, at the moment of writing the project, there were no studies that validated the eHEALS in Arabic. We also assessed COVID-19-related literacy using a battery of questions based on the eHEALS (Cameron D. Norman and Skinner, 2006) and developed for this specific study.

The COVID-19 literacy scale included three 5-point Likert items in Table 2 below. Like for the eHEALS, we computed a summary score for COVID-19 literacy.

Media literacy was operationalized through the Critical Thinking About Media Messages (CTMM) scale (Scull et al., 2010), which consists of six 5-point frequency items such as "I think about the things the advertisers do to get my attention" (never=1, always=5). The scale has shown good psychometric properties (McLean et al., 2016a, 2016b), with good internal consistency ( $\alpha$  above 0.90) in the original study (Scull et al., 2010). Responses were summed to form a total score, ranging from 0 to 30. Higher scores reflected a higher media literacy.

We collected information about potential confounders such as sociodemographic variables (gender, age, marital status, employment, education) and internet use, as these have been previously reported to have associations with the health and eHealth literacy (e.g., Alhuwail and Abdulsalam, 2019; AlOthman et al., 2017; Tubaishat and Habiballah, 2016).

Internet use was assessed through the question, "On average, how many hours do you spend on the Internet per day?".

**Data analyses:** We examined the associations between eHealth literacy, COVID-19 literacy, media literacy, and sociodemographic factors, including age, gender, and education level, commonly sociodemographic determinants of health literacy, and eHealth literacy. We used Spearman's rho to examine the correlations between literacy scores (as they tend to be non-normally distributed). We used independent sample t-tests and ANOVA tests to compare the literacy scores across different socio-demographic characteristics and internet use categories. A p-value of < 0.05 was considered significant.

## Results / findings

We collected 388 responses, mostly from females (277/388, 72%), almost equally distributed across age groups. Most participants declared being married or separated/widowed individuals (59%), employed (52%), with a university-level education (67%) – see Table A1 in Appendix for details.

The overall eHealth literacy score was 29.4 out of 40 points (SD=5.1), Covid-19-related literacy was 11.2 out of 23 points (SD=5.5), and media literacy was 19.9 out of 30 points (SD=5.5). All three variables were highly correlated, as shown in Table 1 below, suggesting that the three factors are strongly interrelated. On a 100% scale, eHealth literacy was 73%, COVID-19-related literacy was 48%, and media literacy was 66%. This suggests that the weakest spot in our sample was the COVID-19-related literacy, followed by media literacy.

Table 1. Correlations among different types of literacy

		n	Spearman's rho	р
eHEALS	- Media literacy	388	0.160 **	0.002
eHEALS	- C19 literacy	388	0.345 ***	<.001
Media literacy	- C19 literacy	388	0.168 ***	<.001

<sup>\*</sup> p < .05, \*\* p < .01, \*\*\* p < .001

While there was no significant association between literacies and each sociodemographic factor (age, gender, education, marital status, and education), the various literacy scores progressively increased with internet use. While the association with media literacy was not significant ( $F_{3,333}$ =0.558, p=0.643), it was significant for eHealth literacy ( $F_{3,333}$ =4.119, p=0.007) and COVID-19 literacy ( $F_{3,333}$ =3.956, p=0.009). In other words, the higher the internet use, the higher the eHEALS scale (perceived level of eHealth literacy) and COVID-19-related literacy. This means that internet use could and should be used as a variable to segment our target audience.

When we inspected each literacy scale at the item level response, we found that 30% of the sample found it difficult or very difficult to recognize whether COVID-19 information was reliable (see Table A2 in appendix). This urged us to consider segmenting our target audience according to this dimension when considering the vital factor of critical media literacy.

#### Discussion

In addition to enriching our understanding of eHealth literacy in Arab countries, our study assessed the relationship of eHealth literacy with media literacy and COVID-19-related literacy. The reported levels of eHealth, media, and COVID-19 literacies in our sample were high.

The level of eHealth literacy was slightly higher than the one reported in other Arab countries (Alhuwail and Abdulsalam, 2019; Tubaishat and Habiballah, 2016) and comparable to high-income countries (e.g., Sudbury-Riley et al., 2017). The lack of variation in the eHealth literacy scale by age observed in our study was also reported in Kuwait, where higher levels were found among males (Alhuwail and Abdulsalam, 2019). In this study, we recruited individuals from a high socioeconomic status, demonstrating how samples recruited using social media represent an elite that might not represent the substrate of the population (Telvizian et al., 2020). The survey was mainly distributed through the social media pages of the Faculty of Health Sciences, which caters to an audience with a high educational level compared to the general public. Contrary to reports from other Arab countries, we did not observe significant

variations by gender or other sociodemographic factors. However, this might be due to the remarkably homogenous sample we recruited.

This suggests that public health campaigns addressing COVID-19 using complex content that demands high literacy might be appropriate. Social media users already have high levels of health literacy. However, this is another saying that campaigns preach to the converted. Hence, alternative strategies should be developed to reach those internet users that do not possess high levels of health and critical media literacy. The nature of our sample can partly explain this finding. It is important to note that our questions assessed the perceived competency in determining the quality and that further investigation into the predictive value of this perception with actual skills is warranted.

The correlation between eHealth literacy and media literacy was significant, showing how critical thinking of media messages might also reflect high eHealth literacy scores. A recent systematic review of the literature showed that critical thinking was significantly related also to the health literacy (Seedaket et al., 2020) and others indicate that critical thinking is a part of the definition of the health literacy itself (Levin-Zamir and Bertschi, 2018; Liu et al., 2020). Our findings emphasized the close association between these two concepts and urged us to think about prioritizing the campaign on building media literacy skills to improve eHealth and health literacy. Given that our sample demonstrated gaps in their ability to identify and appraise the quality and reliability of sources of COVID-19 information online, future campaigns should focus on building critical media skills.

Respondents to our survey considered their ability to search for health information online as an essential skill, which they used to improve their understanding of specific diseases and treatments. This finding, together with the high level of eHealth literacy in our sample, points to the importance of capitalizing on this audience's competence and engaging them more digitally as part of social media campaigns. Given that around 30% of the sample reported difficulty in assessing the reliability of the COVID-19-related information they find online, it is necessary to use social media to engage this audience in learning skills they can apply to check the reliability of posted information. This must be considered in future social media campaigns on COVID-19 prevention.

#### **Conclusions**

Our findings highlight that despite the high eHealth literacy level in our sample of social media users, there are still some gaps in their skills related to COVID-19 literacy and media literacy. The findings were used to generate ideas on how to define our target audience segmentation and how to focus on the upcoming media campaign.

Our campaign should focus on building critical media skills to empower individuals to detect and combat misinformation. Our media campaign should involve and engage audiences with high eHealth literacy levels in social media movements becomes imperative given the growing use of social media to access COVID-19-related information and the infodemic surrounding the pandemic.

#### References

- Abel, T., McQueen, D., 2020. Critical health literacy and the COVID-19 crisis. Health Promotion International. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/daaa040
- Abouzeid, M., Habib, R.R., Jabbour, S., Mokdad, A.H., Nuwayhid, I., 2020. Lebanon's humanitarian crisis escalates after the Beirut blast. The Lancet 396, 1380–1382. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31908-5
- Alhuwail, D., Abdulsalam, Y., 2019. Assessing Electronic Health Literacy in the State of Kuwait: Survey of Internet Users From an Arab State. Journal of Medical Internet Research 21, e11174. https://doi.org/10.2196/11174
- Allgaier, J., Svalastog, A.L., 2015. The communication aspects of the Ebola virus disease outbreak in Western Africa do we need to counter one, two, or many epidemics? Croat Med J 56, 496–499. https://doi.org/10.3325/cmj.2015.56.496
- AlOthman, R., Zakaria, N., AlBarrak, A., 2017. Saudi Diabetic Patients' Attitudes Towards Patient Portal Use and Their Perceived E-Health Literacy. Stud Health Technol Inform 245, 1211.
- Chinn, D., 2011. Critical health literacy: A review and critical analysis. Social science & medicine 73, 60–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2011.04.004
- Hartl, G., 2013. Novel coronavirus: the challenge of communicating about a virus which one knows little about. EMHJ Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal, 19 (supp.1), S26 S30, 2013.
- He, Z., Cai, Z., Wang, X., 2015. Modeling Propagation Dynamics and Developing Optimized Countermeasures for Rumor Spreading in Online Social Networks, in: 2015 IEEE 35th International Conference on Distributed Computing

- Systems. Presented at the 2015 IEEE 35th International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems, pp. 205–214. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDCS.2015.29
- Kabakian-Khasholian, T., Makhoul, J., Bardus, M., 2020. To wear or not to wear a mask in the COVID-19 era? The broken bridge between recommendations and implementation in Lebanon. Journal of Global Health, Viewpoints 10, 020322. https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.10.020322
- Kemp, S., 2021. Digital in Lebanon: All the Statistics You Need in 2021 [WWW Document]. DataReportal Global Digital Insights. URL https://datareportal.com/reports/digital-2022-lebanon (accessed 10.27.21).
- Kickbusch, I., Leung, G., 2020. Response to the emerging novel coronavirus outbreak. BMJ 368. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m406
- Kouzy, R., Abi Jaoude, J., Kraitem, A., El Alam, M., Karam, B., Adib, E., Zarka, J., Traboulsi, C., Akl, E.W., Baddour, K., 2020. Coronavirus Goes Viral: Quantifying the COVID-19 Misinformation Epidemic on Twitter. Cureus 12.
- Levin-Zamir, D., Bertschi, I., 2018. Media Health Literacy, eHealth Literacy, and the Role of the Social Environment in Context. Int J Environ Res Public Health 15. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15081643
- Liu, Chenxi, Wang, D., Liu, Chaojie, Jiang, J., Wang, X., Chen, H., Ju, X., Zhang, X., 2020. What is the meaning of health literacy? A systematic review and qualitative synthesis. Fam Med Community Health 8, e000351. https://doi.org/10.1136/fmch-2020-000351
- Mahajan, A., Czerniak, C., Lamichhane, J., Phuong, L., Purnat, T., Nguyen, T., Briand, S., 2021. WHO public health research agenda for managing infodemics. European Journal of Public Health 31, ckab164.030. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckab164.030
- McLean, S.A., Paxton, S.J., Wertheim, E.H., 2016a. The measurement of media literacy in eating disorder risk factor research: psychometric properties of six measures. J Eat Disord 4, 30. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40337-016-0116-0
- McLean, S.A., Paxton, S.J., Wertheim, E.H., 2016b. Does Media Literacy Mitigate Risk for Reduced Body Satisfaction Following Exposure to Thin-Ideal Media? J Youth Adolesc 45, 1678–1695. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-016-0440-3
- Merino, J.G., 2014. Response to Ebola in the US: misinformation, fear, and new opportunities. BMJ 349. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g6712
- Norman, Cameron D., Skinner, H.A., 2006. eHEALS: The eHealth Literacy Scale. Journal of Medical Internet Research 8, e27. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.8.4.e27
- Norman, Cameron D, Skinner, H.A., 2006. eHealth Literacy: Essential Skills for Consumer Health in a Networked World. Journal of Medical Internet Research 8, e9. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.8.2.e9
- Scull, T.M., Kupersmidt, J.B., Parker, A.E., Elmore, K.C., Benson, J.W., 2010. Adolescents' Media-related Cognitions and Substance Use in the Context of Parental and Peer Influences. J Youth Adolesc 39, 981–998. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-009-9455-3
- Seedaket, S., Turnbull, N., Phajan, T., 2020. Factors Associated with Health Literacy for Public Health Students. JCDR. https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2020/43557.13699
- Seltzer, E.K., Horst-Martz, E., Lu, M., Merchant, R.M., 2017. Public sentiment and discourse about Zika virus on Instagram. Public Health 150, 170–175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2017.07.015
- Siddiqui, M.Y.A., Mushtaq, K., Mohamed, M.F.H., Al Soub, H., Hussein Mohamedali, M.G., Yousaf, Z., 2020. "Social Media Misinformation"—An Epidemic within the COVID-19 Pandemic. Am J Trop Med Hyg 103, 920–921. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.20-0592
- Sommariva, S., Vamos, C., Mantzarlis, A., Đào, L.U.-L., Martinez Tyson, D., 2018. Spreading the (Fake) News: Exploring Health Messages on Social Media and the Implications for Health Professionals Using a Case Study. American Journal of Health Education 49, 246–255. https://doi.org/10.1080/19325037.2018.1473178
- Sudbury-Riley, L., FitzPatrick, M., Schulz, P.J., 2017. Exploring the Measurement Properties of the eHealth Literacy Scale (eHEALS) Among Baby Boomers: A Multinational Test of Measurement Invariance. Journal of Medical Internet Research 19, e5998. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.5998
- Telvizian, T., Al Ghadban, Y., Alawa, J., Mukherji, D., Zgheib, N.K., Sawaf, B., Nasr, R., Bardus, M., 2020. Knowledge, beliefs, and practices related to cancer screening and prevention in Lebanon: community and social media users' perspectives. European Journal of Cancer Prevention Publish Ahead of Print. https://doi.org/10.1097/CEJ.0000000000000031
- Tubaishat, A., Habiballah, L., 2016. eHealth literacy among undergraduate nursing students. Nurse Educ Today 42, 47–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2016.04.003
- Wang, Y., McKee, M., Torbica, A., Stuckler, D., 2019. Systematic Literature Review on the Spread of Health-related Misinformation on Social Media. Social Science & Medicine 240, 112552. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2019.112552

- WHO, World Health Organisation, 2020a. Novel Coronavirus(2019-nCoV). Situation Report 13 [WWW Document]. URL https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200202-sitrep-13-ncov-v3.pdf (accessed 5.29.22).
- WHO, World Health Organisation, 2020b. Risk Communication and Community Engagement (RCCE) Action Plan Guidance COVID-19 Preparedness and Response.
- WHO, World Health Organization, 2021. WHO public health research agenda for managing infodemics. World Health Organization, Geneva.

# **Appendix**

### Table A1. Sample characteristics (n=388)

		N	%
Gender	Male	107	27.9
	Female	277	72.1
Age	18-24	60	15.7
	25-34	105	27.4
	Female  18-24  25-34  35-44  45-54  55 or above   Married  Not married  Separated/Divorced/Widowed  Status  Student  Employee (public)  Employee (private)  Self employed  Retired  Unemployed  ational level  University (Graduate) or higher  University (Undergraduate)  High school or less  Technical school (vocational)	86	22.5
	45-54	79	20.6
	55 or above	53	13.8
Marital status	Married	198	52.0
	Not married	157	41.2
	Separated/Divorced/Widowed	26	6.8
Employment Status Stu	Student	48	12.6
	Employee (public)	35	9.2
	Employee (private)	107 277 60 105 86 79 53 198 157 26 48 35 149 52 6 91 154 104 82 45 26 138 121	39.1
	Self employed		13.6
	Retired		1.6
	Unemployed		23.9
Highest educational level	University (Graduate) or higher	154	40.0
	University (Undergraduate)	107 277 60 105 86 79 53 198 157 26 48 35 149 52 6 91 154 104 82 45 26 138 121	27.0
	High school or less	82	21.3
	Technical school (vocational)	45	11.7
On average, how many hours do you spend on the Internet	Less than 1 hour	26	6.9
per day?	1-3 hr.	138	36.5
	1-3 hr. 138 3-5 hr. 121	32.0	
	More than 5 hours	93	24.6

Table A2. COVID-19 related literacy

		n	%
low much do you agree with the following staten	nents?		
I can assess health information related to	Strongly agree	58	14.9
COVID-19	Agree	213	54.9
	Neutral	76	19.6
	Disagree	30	7.7
	Strongly disagree	11	2.8
I can find good, reliable health information	Strongly agree	60	15.5
related to COVID-19 from the internet and social	Agree	212	54.6
media	Neutral	81	20.9
	Disagree	29	7.5
	Strongly disagree	6	1.5
I check different websites to see whether they	Always	107	27.8
provide the same information related to COVID-	Frequently	91	23.6
19	Often	120	31.2
	Seldom	47	12.2
	Never	20	5.2
When searching the Internet for information on C	OVID-19		
How easy or difficult is it for you to decide	Very easy; I always know how to tell whether the	70	18.3
whether the information is reliable or not?	information is reliable or not		
	Easy; most of the time I can recognize whether the	195	50.9
	information is reliable or not		
	Difficult; I am barely able to recognize whether the	99	25.8
	information is reliable or not		
	Very difficult; I never recognize whether the	19	5.0
	information is reliable or not		
How easy or difficult is it for you to use the	Very easy	87	22.7
information you found to make decisions about	Easy	236	61.6
your health? (Protective measures, hygiene	Difficult	52	13.6