Washington University School of Medicine Digital Commons@Becker

2020-Current year OA Pubs

Open Access Publications

5-1-2022

Functional analysis of a novel de novo variant in PPP5C associated with microcephaly, seizures, and developmental delay

Sara M Fielder Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Jill A Rosenfeld Baylor College of Medicine

Lindsay C Burrage Baylor College of Medicine

Lisa Emrick *Baylor College of Medicine* Seema Lalani

Baylor College of Medicine

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/oa_4

Part of the Medicine and Health Sciences Commons Please let us know how this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

Fielder, Sara M; Rosenfeld, Jill A; Burrage, Lindsay C; Emrick, Lisa; Lalani, Seema; Attali, Ruben; Bembenek, Joshua N; Hoang, Hieu; Baldridge, Dustin; Silverman, Gary A; Undiagnosed Diseases Network; Schedl, Tim; and Pak, Stephen C, "Functional analysis of a novel de novo variant in PPP5C associated with microcephaly, seizures, and developmental delay." Molecular Genetics and Metabolism. 136, 1. 65 - 73. (2022).

https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/oa_4/1433

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by the Open Access Publications at Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2020-Current year OA Pubs by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact vanam@wustl.edu.

Authors

Sara M Fielder, Jill A Rosenfeld, Lindsay C Burrage, Lisa Emrick, Seema Lalani, Ruben Attali, Joshua N Bembenek, Hieu Hoang, Dustin Baldridge, Gary A Silverman, Undiagnosed Diseases Network, Tim Schedl, and Stephen C Pak

This open access publication is available at Digital Commons@Becker: https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/oa_4/1433



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Molecular Genetics and Metabolism



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ymgme

Functional analysis of a novel *de novo* variant in *PPP5C* associated with microcephaly, seizures, and developmental delay



Sara M. Fielder ^a, Jill A. Rosenfeld ^b, Lindsay C. Burrage ^{b,c}, Lisa Emrick ^{b,c}, Seema Lalani ^{b,c}, Ruben Attali ^d, Joshua N. Bembenek ^e, Hieu Hoang ^a, Dustin Baldridge ^a, Gary A. Silverman ^a, Undiagnosed Diseases Network, Tim Schedl ^{f,1}, Stephen C. Pak ^{a,*,1}

^a Department of Pediatrics, Washington University in St Louis School of Medicine, St Louis, MO 63110, USA

^b Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030, USA

^c Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, TX 77030, USA

^d Genomic Research Department, Emedgene Technologies, 6744332 Tel Aviv, Israel

e Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, C.S. Mott Center for Human Growth and Development, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, MI 48201, USA

^f Department of Genetics, Washington University in St Louis School of Medicine, St Louis, MO 63110, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 February 2022 Accepted 13 March 2022 Available online 22 March 2022

Keywords: PPP5C PPH-5 Microcephaly Developmental delay *C. elegans*

ABSTRACT

We describe a proband evaluated through the Undiagnosed Diseases Network (UDN) who presented with microcephaly, developmental delay, and refractory epilepsy with a *de novo* p.Ala47Thr missense variant in the protein phosphatase gene, *PPP5C*. This gene has not previously been associated with a Mendelian disease, and based on the population database, gnomAD, the gene has a low tolerance for loss-of-function variants (pLI = 1, o/e = 0.07). We functionally evaluated the *PPP5C* variant in *C. elegans* by knocking the variant into the orthologous gene, *pph-5*, at the corresponding residue, Ala48Thr. We employed assays in three different biological processes where *pph-5* was known to function through opposing the activity of genes, *mec-15* and *sep-1*. We demonstrated that, in contrast to control animals, the *pph-5* Ala48Thr variant suppresses the neurite growth phenotype and the GABA signaling defects of *mec-15* mutants, and the embryonic lethality of *sep-1* mutants. The Ala48Thr variant did not display dominance and behaved similarly to the reference *pph-5* null, indicating that the variant is likely a strong hypomorph or complete loss-of-function. We conclude that *pph-5* Ala48Thr is damaging in *C. elegans*. By extension in the proband, *PPP5C* variant is likely responsible for one or more of the proband's phenotypes. © 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Protein phosphatase 5, encoded by the *PPP5C* gene, is a serine/threonine phosphatase that participates in the modulation of protein function by removing phosphate groups at serine and threonine residues. PPP5C interacts with a wide range of proteins, including nuclear receptors, kinases and transcription factors, and plays an important role in the regulation of numerous cellular processes including cell growth and differentiation, migration, apoptosis, DNA damage response and regulation of circadian rhythm [1–8] (reviewed in [9]). PPP5C is expressed ubiquitously but is present in high levels in the mammalian brain [10–13], and altered phosphatase activity has been associated with disease. For example, elevated PPP5C expression was detected in tumors, but not in normal tissues taken from patients with prostate cancer

E-mail address: stephen.pak@wustl.edu (S.C. Pak).

¹ These authors are joint senior authors.

[14]. Additionally, knock down of PPP5C caused a decrease in the proliferation of cultured prostate, lung and urinary bladder cancer cells [5,14, 15]. In contrast, overexpression of PPP5C in a breast cancer cell line (MCF7) enabled estrogen-dependent cells to grow independently of estrogen [16]. PPP5C has also been shown to regulate ciliogenesis by dephosphorylating DVL2, a key mediator of WNT signaling, in mammalian cells [17]. PPP5C is known to physically interact with and dephosphorylate HSP90 and glucocorticoid receptors suggesting a role in metabolism, stress response, immune function, skeletal growth, reproduction and cognition [18,19]. HSP90 is a protein chaperone responsible for stabilizing many different proteins. For example, in conjunction with the TEL2-TTI1-TTI2 (TTT) complex, HSP90 stabilizes phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase related kinases (PIKKs), which in turn are responsible for regulating DNA damage responses, cell growth, and transcriptome surveillance (reviewed in [20,21]).

Ppp5c homozygous knockout mice are viable and fertile, however, these mice have lower body weights as compared to their wild type littermates and do not gain weight when fed a high-fat diet [22–24]. In

1096-7192/@ 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

^{*} Corresponding author.

Drosophila, the *PPP5C* homolog (PpD3) is most highly expressed during embryonic development and has been implicated in centrosome separation during mitosis [25,26]. Ppt1p, the yeast homolog of *PPP5C*, shows peak expression during early log cell growth, suggesting that Ppt1p may be involved in cell growth similar to what has been reported in human cell culture [27].

Analogous to the mammalian PPP5C, the *Caenorhabditis elegans* (*C. elegans*) ortholog PPH-5 is known to associate with the HSP90 and the glucocorticoid receptor complex [2,28]. While *pph-5* null animals are superficially wild-type, genetic studies in *C. elegans* have revealed a role for *pph-5* in the regulation of GABA signaling and in neurite growth by opposing the activity of the F-box protein, MEC-15 [28]. Similarly, numerous *pph-5* loss-of-function mutants were identified in a genetic screen for interactors with separase (*sep-1*), a cysteine protease that plays an important role in chromosome segregation during mitosis, cortical granule exocytosis, and eggshell formation [29,30]. In a *sep-1* hypomorphic mutant that is unable to form an eggshell, loss-of-function mutations in *pph-5* were found to rescue the embryonic lethality, suggesting a role for *pph-5* in the regulation of exocytosis and egg-shell formation.

Here we present a proband accepted to the Undiagnosed Diseases Network (UDN) with a heterozygous, de novo missense variant $(NM_006247.3, c.139G > A, p.Ala47Thr)$ in PPP5C. The proband's main clinical features include microcephaly, epilepsy, and developmental delay. According to the population database, gnomAD v2.1.1 [31], *PPP5C* is highly constrained for loss-of-function variants (pLI = 1.0, o/e = 0.07). This gene has not previously been associated with a Mendelian disease. Therefore, we evaluated this gene-variant by performing in vivo functional analysis in the model organism C. elegans, as has been performed for other genes of uncertain significance [32-34]. We employed CRISPR-Cas9 to introduce the proband's missense variant into pph-5, the C. elegans ortholog of PPP5C, and assessed the impact of pph-5 Ala48Thr, corresponding to human PPP5C p.Ala47Thr. We provide data showing that the pph-5 Ala48Thr variant alters neurite growth, GABA signaling, and eggshell formation, by acting as a strong hypomorph or loss-of-function allele. By extension, our data suggest that the human PPP5C p.Ala47Thr is damaging and likely responsible for one or more of the neurodevelopmental phenotypes in the proband.

2. Methods

2.1. Proband evaluation and consent

Informed consent was obtained from the proband's parents as part of enrollment into the Undiagnosed Diseases Network study, which is overseen by the National Institutes of Health Institutional Review Board (protocol #15HG0130). Phenotypic evaluation was completed through review of medical records and in-person examination by physicians who are co-investigators on the study.

2.2. Exome sequencing and variant analysis

Clinical trio exome sequencing was performed clinically in a CLIA certified laboratory and the data was transferred for research analysis within the Undiagnosed Diseases Network. Codified Genomics (www. codifiedgenomics.com) and emedgene (www.emedgene.com) were used to prioritize novel, *de novo* variants that were not observed in gnomAD or in our database of over 1000 exomes and genomes (unless the phenotype of the probands matched) and biallelic variants in the coding region or near intron-exon boundaries with allele frequency of less than 1% and without homozygous entries in gnomAD [31] (Table S1). Variants of interest were confirmed using Sanger Sequencing within a CLIA certified laboratory.

2.3. C. elegans strain and culture information

C. elegans were grown on standard Nematode Growth Media (NGM) and fed OP50 *E. coli* [35]. As mec-15(u1042) phenotypes were previously reported to be more extreme at higher temperatures [28], strains used for aldicarb assay and PLM-anterior neurite length were grown at 25 °C for at least two generations before analysis. Strains used in this paper include (TU5237) mec-15(u1042); uls115 (a generous gift from the Chalfie lab), pph-5(ok3498), sep-1(e2406) and VC2010. Strains with pph-5(udn90-94) generated in this study are described below. A full list of strains used in this study is in Table S2. Some strains were provided by the CGC, which is funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440).

2.4. CRISPR/Cas9-based gene editing

pph-5(udn90-94) alleles were generated by injecting VC2010 1 day adults with Cas9 (IDT 1081060), tracrRNA (IDT 1072533), crRNA with the targeting sequence TGCAGATCAAGTGTACGACG (IDT Alt-R® CRISPR-Cas9 sgRNA), pRF4 rol-6 plasmid as a positive injection marker, and single-stranded DNA oligonucleotide repair template (IDT) as previously described [32,33]. Repair templates were 100 bases in length, centering on the locus of the proband variant, and were used to destroy the PAM site to prevent further editing, add a silent AvaII (NEB R0153L) restriction site to aid in genotyping, and to introduce the proband variant. Control repair templates containing only the silent PAM site change and the silent AvaII sites were also used, to determine that any phenotypes observed in the proband variant lines were due to the proband variant alone and not due to any silent mutations. Complete sequences of the repair templates are shown in Table S3. Each edited line was Sanger sequence verified and backcrossed twice to VC2010 to remove any background mutations. A line was considered independent if obtained from a separate injected animal. Three variant Ala48Thr lines were initially generated, and as all three lines showed no statistical difference in a preliminary blinded aldicarb paralysis assay (Fig. S1), only two lines were used for further analysis. Additionally, two control Ala48Ala edit lines were initially generated, and as both showed no statistical difference from each other or to the corresponding background strains in a blinded aldicarb paralysis assay and in the sep-1 embryo lethality assay, only one control edit line was used for analysis.

2.5. Aldicarb paralysis assay

To make 0.25 mM aldicarb plates, 22.5 μ L of 100 mM aldicarb (Sigma #33386) stock in 70% ethanol was spread onto each NGM plate and allowed to dry at room temperature for one hour. A drop of OP50 suspended in LB was added to the center of each plate to help keep animals concentrated in the middle of the plate. Plates were then allowed to dry at room temperature for an additional hour. Ten one-day-old adult animals were added to each plate, with three replicates per strain. Paralyzed animals, defined as those not independently moving following two gentle taps with a platinum pick, were counted every twenty minutes. Animals were scored every thirty minutes from t = 30 min to 130 min. Each experiment was performed three times, and the experimenter was blinded to genotypes. Plates that had two or more animals crawl off the agar were discarded from analysis.

2.6. Microscopy and neurite length measurement

PLM anterior neurites (PLM-AN) tagged with RFP (*uls115*[*Pmec-17:: RFP*]) were imaged with a Zeiss compound fluorescence microscope. Strains were grown at 25 °C for several generations before this assay was performed. Larval stage L4 animals were grown at 25 °C for 24 hours, then immobilized in 2.5 mg/mL levamisole on dried 2% agarose pads. Length of the PLM-AN that had grown past the center of the vulva was measured (recorded as positive values), or PLM-AN that

had not grown past the vulva, the length from the PLM-AN terminal to the center of the vulva was measured (recorded as negative values) using ImageJ. If both the bilaterally symmetric left and right PLM-ANs in a single animal were clearly visible and differentiable, both were measured. At least 37 PLM-AN lengths were recorded per line, from at least 23 animals.

2.7. Obtaining heterozygote animals for phenotyping

To ensure heterozygous animals were generated, mec-15; uls115 larval stage L4 animals were raised on fem-1 RNAi plates at 25 °C, and females from the next generation were picked (*i.e.* 1-day adults observed with stacked oocytes and no embryos that did not lay embryos for 4 h). These females were mated to pph-5(xxx); mec-15; uls115 males, and resulting cross progeny were analyzed as described above. At least 29 PLM-AN lengths were recorded per line, from at least 15 animals.

2.8. Quantification of embryo lethality in sep-1 mutant animals

Embryo lethality in *sep-1* separase and *pph-5* mutant animals were quantified as previously described [30]. In brief, strains were maintained at 15 °C to obtain gravid mutant adult animals as *sep-1(e2406)* mutants have elevated sterility at higher temperatures. A single hermaphrodite animal staged between L4 and young adult was plated to individual 35 mm NGM plates for 24 to 30 h at 20 °C and allowed to lay embryos. Animals were removed from the plate and embryos were incubated at 20 °C for another 24 h to allow hatching to occur. Dead embryos and live young larvae were counted to determine embryo lethality.

3. Results

3.1. Clinical presentation

The proband is a 6-year-old girl with epilepsy, nystagmus, congenital microcephaly, and developmental delay (Fig. 1). Brain MRI initially at 11 months old showed slightly incomplete myelination that improved on an updated brain MRI at 4 years old but still with diminutive cerebral white matter volume (Fig. 1A-D). Her head size at birth was at the 2nd percentile (32 cm); by 18 months her head size was at -5.5 standard deviations (SD) and has remained in the -5.5SD to -6SD range (Fig. 1E). Seizure onset was at 12–16 months of age with generalized seizures including tonic clonic and drop seizures that are medically refractory. EEG has shown recurrent spike and slow-wave activity independently and multifocal spikes. Monitoring at age 4 showed subtle seizures every 2-13 min. Developmentally, she rolled over at 8-9 months with therapies, sat up after age 1 year, and currently crawls and pulls to a stand but is unable to walk independently. She is nonverbal and uses an augmentative communication device. On physical exam, she is microcephalic and has synophrys. Neurological exam noted bilateral horizontal nystagmus with inconsistent tracking but able to reach for objects. She is nonverbal, has decreased axial tone with increased tone in the extremities with scissoring in her legs with symmetric strength and increased deep tendon reflexes 3+ bilaterally in biceps, patellae and ankle clonus. She does not have any tremors, titubations or dysmetria on exam. She was also noted to have failure to thrive (Fig. 1F) and anemia. An ophthalmologic exam was significant for pendular nystagmus and moderate myopia. Family history is significant for a brother who passed away at birth; his pregnancy was complicated by pre-eclampsia, but the cause of death is unknown, and samples were unavailable for sequencing. Clinical trio exome sequencing was non-diagnostic. However, research analysis of the trio exome sequencing revealed a heterozygous, de novo missense variant in PPP5C: NM_006247.3:c.139G > A: p.Ala47Thr. The variant has a CADD score of 29.4, is not found in gnomAD v2.1.1 [31], and the gene has not yet



Fig. 1. Proband brain MRI and growth chart. (A) T1 axial and (B) sagittal brain MRI at 11 months showing microcephaly with mild delay in myelination and decreased amount of white matter. (C) T1 axial and (D) sagittal brain MRI at 49 months demonstrating microcephaly with further myelination but persistent overall decreased amount of white matter. Additional findings on sagittal image showing decreased cerebellar folia indicative of mild cerebellar atrophy (white arrow). (E) Head circumference for age Nellhaus Girls 2 to 18 years old chart showing head circumference well below 2 standard deviations (red bracket) between 2 and 4 years of age. (F) Weight for age CDC chart demonstrating failure to thrive between 2 and 6 years old.

been associated with disease apart from a possible association with autism [36]. The gene level scores, pLI = 1 and o/e = 0.07, suggest a haploinsufficiency model for the genetic mechanism in humans.

3.2. PPP5C is conserved in C. elegans

To assess the effect of *PPP5C* p.Ala47Thr on *in vivo* function, we evaluated the impact of this gene variant in the model organism *C. elegans*. The ortholog of *PPP5C* in *C. elegans* is *pph-5*, which overall has an identity of 55.9% and a similarity of 72.3% to the human gene. In both organisms, *PPP5C* and *pph-5* encode two large domains: a phosphatase domain, which shares 62.7% identity (77.8% similarity), and three tetratricopeptide repeats (TPR) that are responsible for autoinhibition of the phosphatase domain by physically blocking the active site [37,38]. The vast majority of mutations in *C. elegans pph-5* were previously identified in a suppressor screen [30] and are conserved or moderately conserved in humans (Table 1). The *PPP5C* p.Ala47Thr variant is located at the

Table 1

pph-5 legacy missense alleles are experimental tests of yet to be observed PPP5C variants.

Alled'pph5 cracue'pph5 cracue'pomAD instances (n)comentsref3LessAnnStrongLessnoneref36LessAnnStrongLessnoneref47Ty52HisStrongCly6noneref48Cly66CluStrongCly6noneref49Me210ProWeakCly7noneref49Me211LyStrongCly6noneref49Me211LyStrongCly25Noneref49Me212LyStrongCly25Noneref49StrongStrongCly25Noneconservative change in residue, gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionref49Nep20AsStrongAs27Noneconservative change in residue, gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionref49As270AsStrongAs271Noneconservative change in residue, gnomAD residue a conservative substitution aconservative substitutionref41As270Cly3StrongAs271Noneref42As300Cly3WeakAs301Strongref43As300Cly3WeakAs301Strongref44Me311ArgWeakAs301Noneref45Me313ArgWeakAs301Noneref45Me313ArgWeakAs301Noneref45Me313ArgNoneNoneref43Me314Ar			-	-			
residuecharaccharacresidueinter Rev domainerb58les22erb54les22Asn strong Leu31 noneerb64colspan="4">noneerb64colspan="4">noneerb64colspan="4">noneerb30Mutations in the phosphatase domainerb46His243Argstronglie212lie > Leu (1)conservative change in residue. gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionerb46His243ArgstrongHis244noneerb46His243noneerb46His243ArgstrongArg301noneerb57Ag370Ans strongArg301noneerb46Arg300CyweakArg301noneerb51Ag170Ans strongArg301noneerb46Arg300CyweakArg301noneerb51Ar	Allele†	pph-5	pph-5	Hypomorphic	PPP5C	gnomAD instances (n)	comments
Mutations in the TRP domainerb58Ile32AsnstrongLeu31noneerb47Tyr52HisstrongTyr51noneerb51Gly66GlustrongGly66noneerb44Leu77ProweakCys77nonenoneMutations in the phosphatase domainconservative change in residueconservative change in residue.erb46His243ArgstrongHis244noneerb46Gly244GlustrongGly245noneerb47Gly244GlustrongAp271noneerb48Met285ArgweakMet286Leu > Phe (1)conservative change in residue. gnomAD residue a conservativeerb63Arg300CysweakArg301noneenservative change in residue. gnomAD residue a conservativeerb59Met311ArgweakIle312noneconservative change in residue. gnomAD residue a conservativeerb59Met311ArgweakIle312noneconservative change in residue.erb72His351Argvery weakHis352noneconservative change in residue.erb73Met301ThrweakMet331noneconservative change in residue.erb63Arg300CysweakHis352noneconservative change in residue.erb59Met311Argvery weakMet331noneconservative change in residue.erb64Met330Th		residue	change	character ⁸	residue		
erb3 erb47Ile3 Tyr52Asn ts strongLeu31 Tyr51noneerb44 erb47Cly66Clu StrongCly67 Cly66noneerb44Leu7Pro WeakCys77nonemutations in the phosphase doma erb47Met211LysstrongIle212Ile > Leu (1) conservative change in residue. gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionerb46His243Arg Cly244strongCly245 Cly245noneerb47Asp270AsnstrongAsp271 Asp270noneerb48Net285ArgweakIle286Leu > Phe (1) substitutionerb47Arg300CysweakArg301 Asp271noneerb48Arg300LysweakArg301 Asn > Lys (1)noneerb49Met285ArgweakArg301 Asn > Lys (1)noneerb57Asp300LysweakArg301 Asn > Lys (1)noneerb58Met311ArgweakIle312 Asn > Lys (1)noneerb59Met311ArgweakIle312 Asn > Lys (1)noneerb59Met311ArgweakNan > Lys (1) Asn > Lys (1)noneerb59Met311Argweak <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Mu</td><td>tations in the TRP do</td><td>omain</td><td></td><td></td></td<>			Mu	tations in the TRP do	omain		
erbf3fyrsHisstrongTyr51noneerb54Gly66nonenon-conservative change in residueerb44Leu77ProweakCys77nonemutations in the phosphatase domainuseconservative change in residue. gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionerb40Met211VsstrongHis244noneerb45Gly243ArgstrongHis244noneerb46Gly243ArgstrongAsp271noneerb47Asp270AlastrongAsp271noneerb48Met285Argweaklle286Leu > Phe (1) substitutionconservative change in residue. gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionerb47Arg300CysweakArg301noneerb59Met311ArgweakArg301noneerb59Met311ArgweakAlg32noneerb59Met311ArgweakAlg32noneerb59Met311ArgweakAlg32noneerb59Met311ArgweakAlg32noneerb59Met311ArgweakAlg32noneerb59Met311ArgweakAlg32noneerb59Met311ArgweakAlg32noneerb59Met311ArgweakAlg32noneerb59Met311ArgweakAlg32noneerb59Met311Arg <t< td=""><td>erb58</td><td>Ile32</td><td>Asn</td><td>strong</td><td>Leu31</td><td>none</td><td></td></t<>	erb58	Ile32	Asn	strong	Leu31	none	
erb31Gly66GlustrongGly67noneerb44Leu77ProweakCys77nonenon-conservative change in residuemutations in the phosphatase downerb30Met211LysstrongIle212Ile > Leu (1)conservative change in residue, gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionerb46His243ArgstrongGly245noneerb45Gly244GlustrongGly245noneerb47Asp270AlastrongAsp271noneerb48Met25ArgweakIle286Leu > Pho (1) conservative change in residue, gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionerb43Arg300CysweakArg301noneerb43Arg300CysweakArg301noneerb43Arg300CysweakArg301noneerb43Arg300CysweakArg301noneerb43Arg300CysweakArg301noneerb43Arg300CysweakArg301noneerb43Arg300CysweakArg301noneerb44Het311ArgweakTy323noneerb453Arg300CysweakTy323noneerb463Arg307GlyweakTy323noneerb472His351Argvery weakMe381noneerb48Ser397ProweakMe381noneerb48<	erb47	Tyr52	His	strong	Tyr51	none	
erb44Leu77ProweakCys77nonenon-conservative change in residueerb30Met211LysstrongCys77lle > Leu (1)conservative change in residue, gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionerb46His243ArgstrongHis244noneerb47G(2)244GlustrongG(2)245noneerb47Asp270AlastrongAsp271noneerb48Met285Argweaklle286Leu > Phe (1)conservative change in residue, gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionerb49Met285Arg300CysweakArg301noneerb51Asp300LysweakAle286Leu > Phe (1)conservative change in residue, gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionerb44Met285Met311ArgweakAle286Leu > Phe (1)conservative change in residue, gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionerb52Met311ArgweakAle312noneconservative change in residue, gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionerb52Met311ArgweakIle326noneconservative change in residue a weak hypomorpherb52Met311ArgweakIle312noneerb52Met311ArgweakMet381noneerb52Met311ArgweakMet381noneerb53Met311ArgweakMet381noneerb54Met381Argweak	erb51	Gly66	Glu	strong	Gly66	none	
Mutations in the phosphatase Jointerb30Met21LysstrongHie242Neroleconservative change in residue, gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionerb46His243ArgstrongHis244noneerb47Gly244GlustrongGly245noneerb47Asp270AlastrongAsp271noneerb57Asp270AlastrongAsp271noneerb57Asp270AnstrongAsp271noneerb57Asp270AnstrongAsp271noneerb63Arg300CysweakArg301noneerb63Arg300LysweakArg301noneerb57Met311ArgweakArg301noneerb57Met311ArgweakArg301noneerb58Met311ArgweakTyr323noneerb59Met311ArgweakTyr323noneerb52Met331Argvery weakTyr323noneerb53Gr/94CflweakMet341noneerb63Ser397ProweakMet341noneerb54Met36ThrweakMet31noneerb55Clys414TyrweakMet31noneerb56Ser397ProweakMet34noneerb58Clys414TyrweakMet34noneerb53Clys414Tyrwea	erb44	Leu77	Pro	weak	Cys77	none	non-conservative change in residue
erb30Met211LysstrongIIe212IIe > Leu (1)conservative change in residue. gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionerb43ArgArgstrongHis244noneerb45Gly244GlustrongAsp271noneerb57Asp270AlastrongAsp271noneerb58Met285ArgweakIIe286Leu > Phe (1)conservative change in residue. gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionerb58Arg300CysweakArg301nonesubstitutionerb51Arg300LysweakArg301nonesubstitutionerb52Arg300LysweakArg301nonesubstitutionerb52Met311ArgweakArg301nonesubstitution being a weak hypomorpherb52Met311ArgweakIIe312noneconservative change in residueerb52Met311Argvery weakHis352noneerb52Met380ThrweakMet381noneerb53Ser397ProweakMet381noneerb53Cys414TyrweakMet381noneerb53Cys414TyrweakMet381noneerb53Cys414TyrweakMet381noneerb53Cys414TyrweakMet381noneerb53Cys414TyrweakPie414noneerb53Cys414Tyrweak <t< td=""><td colspan="6">Mutations in the phosphatase domain</td><td></td></t<>	Mutations in the phosphatase domain						
erb46 erb47 erb48His243 Gly244Arg strong Gly245Hone noneerb47 erb31Asp270 AlaAlastrong strong Asp271Noneerb57 erb57Asp270 AspAsistrong Asp271Anoneerb48 erb48Met285ArgweakIe86 Asp271conservative change in residue, gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionerb63 erb53Arg300 Asp300CysweakArg301 Asp300none erb21conservative change in residue, gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionerb63 erb59Met311 MagArgweakArg301 Asp300none erb21presence in gnomAD may be explained by the substitution being a weakerb59 erb72Met311 HargArgweakIf312 Mag300none erb21erb59 ar/101Pro375 Pro375Glnveray weakNon26 Mag300erb59 ar/101Pro375 Pro375Glnveray weakNon26 Mag300erb52 erb53Afg300ThrweakMet331 Mag300erb53 erb53Gly4TyrweakMet331 Mag300erb54 erb38Cys414TyrweakMet331 Mag300erb53 erb38Cys414TyrweakMet331 Mag300erb53 erb38Cys414TyrweakMet331 Mag300erb54 erb38Gly4TyrweakMet331 Mag300erb55 erb38Cys414TyrweakMet331 Mag300erb52 erb	erb30	Met211	Lys	strong	Ile212	Ile > Leu (1)	conservative change in residue. gnomAD residue a
erb46His243ArgstrongHis244noneerb43Gly244GlustrongGly245noneerb51Asp270AlastrongAsp271noneerb57Asp270AsnstrongAsp271noneerb48Met285Argweaklle286Leu > Phe (1) substitutionconservative change in residue. gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionerb53Arg300CysweakArg301noneerb51Asn309LysweakArg310noneerb52Met311ArgweakIle312noneerb53Met311ArgweakIle312noneerb54Met311ArgweakHis352noneerb54Met311Argvery weakHis352noneerb54Met313Argvery weakHis352noneerb54Met381Argvery weakPhe376noneerb54Met381Argvery weakPhe376noneerb54Met381Argvery weakPhe376noneerb57Met381Argvery weakSer398noneerb33Trp413GlyweakPhe414noneconservative change in residueerb33Cys44TyrweakPhe414noneconservative change in residueerb33Cys444TyrweakPhe414noneconservative change in residueerb55His426Gh <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>conservative substitution</td>							conservative substitution
erb45Gly244GlustrongGly245noneerb57Asp270AlastrongAsp271noneerb58Met285Argweaklle286Leu > Phe (1)conservative change in residue, gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionerb63Arg300CysweakArg301noneerb57Asn309LysweakArg301noneerb51Asn309LysweakArg301noneerb52Met311ArgweakIle312noneconservative change in residueerb54Met311ArgweakTyr323noneerb54Met311Argvery weakTyr323noneerb54Met311Argvery weakPro376noneerb72His351Argvery weakPro376noneerb73Arg43GlyweakSer398noneerb53GlyWeakSer398noneerb33Trp413GlyweakPrestnoneerb33Trp413GlyweakPrestnoneerb53Cys414TyrweakPrestnoneerb54His426GlnstrongCys424noneerb55Thr443IleweakThr444noneerb54Gly458GluweakThr443noneerb54Gly458GluweakArg459noneerb54Fir438GluweakArg459none <td>erb46</td> <td>His243</td> <td>Arg</td> <td>strong</td> <td>His244</td> <td>none</td> <td></td>	erb46	His243	Arg	strong	His244	none	
erb31Asp270AlastrongAsp271noneerb37Asp270AsnstrongAsp271noneerb48Met285Argweaklle286Leu > Phe (1) substitutionconservative change in residue, gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionerb63Arg300CysweakArg301noneerb54Arg300LysweakArg301noneerb57Met311ArgweakArg301noneerb57Met311ArgweakIle312noneerb58Met311ArgweakIle312noneerb52Met311ArgweakHis352noneerb54Arg300ThrweakPro376noneerb54Ser397Glnvery weakPro376noneerb63Ser397ProweakMet31noneerb58Ser397ProweakSer398noneerb58Cys414TyrweakLeu415noneerb53Trp413GlyweakLeu415noneerb55His426GlnstrongCys422noneerb55Thr443IleweakThr444noneerb65Thr443IleweakPro499noneerb55Gly48GluweakPro499noneerb54Gly48GluweakPro499noneerb55Thr443IleweakPro499none <t< td=""><td>erb45</td><td>Gly244</td><td>Glu</td><td>strong</td><td>Gly245</td><td>none</td><td></td></t<>	erb45	Gly244	Glu	strong	Gly245	none	
erb57Asp270AsnstrongAsp271noneerb48Met285ArgweakIle286Leu > Phe (1)conservative change in residue. gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionerb63Arg300CysweakArg301noneerb21Asn309LysweakArg301noneerb59Met311ArgweakArg310noneerb52Jyr322IleweakJyr323noneerb52Mt351ArgweakJyr323noneerb52His351Argvery weakHis352noneerb72His351Argvery weakHis352noneerb73Glavery weakPro376noneerb54Ser397ProweakSer398noneerb33Trp413GlyweakPhe414noneconservative change in residueerb33Trp413GlyweakPhe414noneconservative change in residueerb24His426GldStrongHis427noneerb33Trp413GlyweakPhe414nonenon-conservative change in residueerb55Thr433IleweakThr444noneerb55Gly458GluweakThr444noneerb54Gly458GluweakPro449noneerb55Gly458GluweakPro449noneerb54Gly458GluweakAla59none <tr< td=""><td>erb31</td><td>Asp270</td><td>Ala</td><td>strong</td><td>Asp271</td><td>none</td><td></td></tr<>	erb31	Asp270	Ala	strong	Asp271	none	
erb48Met285ArgweakIle286Leu > Phe (1)conservative change in residue. gnomAD residue a conservative substitutionerb63Arg300CysweakArg301nonepresence in gnomAD may be explained by the substitution being a weak hypomorpherb59Met311ArgweakIle312noneconservative change in residue.erb542Tyr322IleweakTyr323noneerb72His351Argvery weakHis352noneerb73Glnvery weakHis352noneerb68Ser397ProweakMet381noneerb68Ser397ProweakPro376noneerb58Trp413GlyweakPhe414noneerb68Ser397ProweakPhe415noneerb72His426GlnstrongHis427noneerb28His426GlnstrongHis427noneerb55Thr43IleweakThr444noneerb52Gly48GluweakPhe449noneerb53Thr433IleweakPhe449noneerb54Fir434IleweakPhe449noneerb55Thr434IleweakPhe449noneerb55Gly48GluweakPhe449noneerb55Gly48GluweakPhe449noneerb55Gly48GluweakPhe499none	erb57	Asp270	Asn	strong	Asp271	none	
substitutionerb63Arg300CysweakArg301noneerb63Asn309LysweakArg301Asn > Lys (1)presence in gnomAD may be explained by the substitution being a weak hypomorpherb59Met311ArgweakIle312noneconservative change in residueerb42Tyr322IleweakTyr323noneconservative change in residueerb72His351Argvery weakHis352noneerb72His351Argvery weakPro376noneerb72Met380ThrweakMet381noneerb68Ser397ProweakSer398noneerb33Trp413GlyweakPhe414noneconservative change in residueerb28His426GlnstrongHis427noneerb28His426GlnstrongHis427noneerb25Gly458IleweakThr444noneerb52Gly458GluweakPro449noneerb52Gly458GluweakAla459noneerb13Tyr65*strong/nullTyr64none	erb48	Met285	Arg	weak	Ile286	Leu > Phe(1)	conservative change in residue. gnomAD residue a conservative
erb63Arg300CysweakArg301noneerb21Asn309LysweakAsn310Asn > Lys (1)presence in gnomAD may be explained by the substitution being a weak hypomorpherb59Met311ArgweakIle312noneconservative change in residueerb42Tyr322IleweakTyr323noneerb72His351Argvery weakHis352noneerb72His351Argvery weakPro376noneerb72Met380ThrweakMet381noneerb68Ser397ProweakSer398noneerb33Trp413GlyweakPhe414noneconservative change in residueerb84His426GlnstrongHis427noneerb25Cys441TyrweakThr444noneerb65Thr433IleweakPro449noneerb52Gly458GluweakAla459noneerb52Gly458GluweakAla459noneerb13Tyr65*strong/nullTyr64none							substitution
erb21Asn309LysweakAsn310Asn > Lys (1)presence in gnomAD may be explained by the substitution being a weak hypomorpherb59Met311ArgweakIle312noneconservative change in residueerb42Tyr322IleweakTyr323noneerb72His351Argvery weakHis352noneav101Pro375Glnvery weakPro376noneerb2Met380ThrweakMet381noneerb33Trp413GlyweakPhe414noneconservative change in residueerb38Cys414TyrweakLeu415nonenon-conservative change in residueerb22Cys441TyrweakPhe414nonenon-conservative change in residueerb38Cys414TyrweakPhe414nonenon-conservative change in residueerb28His426GlnstrongHis427noneerb22Cys441TyrstrongCys442noneerb40Pro448LeuweakPro449noneerb52Gly458GluweakAla459noneerb13Tyr65*strong/nullTyr64none	erb63	Arg300	Cys	weak	Arg301	none	
erb59Met311ArgweakIle312noneconservative change in residueerb42Tyr322IleweakTyr323noneerb72His351Argvery weakHis352noneerb72Met380ThrweakPro376noneerb2Met380ThrweakMet381noneerb33Ser397ProweakSer398noneerb33Trp413GlyweakPhe414noneconservative change in residueerb38Cys414TyrweakLeu415nonenon-conservative change in residueerb28His426GlnstrongHis427nonenon-conservative change in residueerb20Cys441TyrweakThr443nonenoneerb40Pro448LeuweakPro449noneerb52Gly458GluweakAla459noneerb13Tyr65*strong/nullTyr64none	erb21	Asn309	Lys	weak	Asn310	Asn > Lys(1)	presence in gnomAD may be explained by the substitution being
erb59Met311ArgweakIle312noneconservative change in residueerb42Tyr322IleweakTyr323noneerb72His351Argvery weakHis352noneav101Pro375Glnvery weakPro376noneerb2Met380ThrweakMet381noneerb68Ser397ProweakSer398noneerb33Trp413GlyweakPhe414noneconservative change in residueerb38Cys414TyrweakLeu415nonenoneconservative change in residueerb28His426GlnstrongHis427nonenoneconservative change in residueerb25Thr443IleweakThr444noneerb65Thr443Ileerb52Gly458GluweakAla459noneenservative change in residueerb52Gly458GluweakAla459noneerb13Tyr65*strong/nullTyr64none							a weak hypomorph
erb42Tyr322IleweakTyr323noneerb72His351Argvery weakHis352noneav101Pro375Glnvery weakPro376noneerb2Met380ThrweakMet381noneerb68Ser397ProweakSer398noneerb33Trp413GlyweakPhe414noneconservative change in residueerb38Cys414TyrweakLeu415nonenoneconservative change in residueerb28His426GlnstrongHis427noneerb25Cys441TyrstrongCys442noneerb65Thr443IleweakThr444noneerb52Gly458GluweakAla459noneerb52Gly458GluweakAla459noneerb13Tyr65*strong/nullTyr64none	erb59	Met311	Arg	weak	Ile312	none	conservative change in residue
erb72His351Argvery weakHis352noneav101Pro375Glnvery weakPro376noneerb2Met380ThrweakMet381noneerb68Ser397ProweakSer398noneerb33Trp413GlyweakPhe414noneconservative change in residueerb38Cys414TyrweakPhe415nonenone-conservative change in residueerb28His426GlnstrongHis427nonenone-conservative change in residueerb22Cys441TyrstrongCys442noneerb65Thr443IleweakPro449noneerb52Gly458GluweakAla459noneerb13Tyr65*strong/nullTyr64none	erb42	Tyr322	Ile	weak	Tyr323	none	
av101Pro375Glnvery weakPro376noneerb2Met380ThrweakMet381noneerb68Ser397ProweakSer398noneerb33Trp413GlyweakPhe414noneconservative change in residueerb38Cys414TyrweakLeu415nonenoneconservative change in residueerb28His426GlnstrongHis427noneerb25Cys414TyrstrongCys422noneerb65Thr443IleweakThr444noneerb52Gly458GluweakAla459noneerb13Tyr65*stopstrong/nullTyr64none	erb72	His351	Arg	very weak	His352	none	
erb2Met380ThrweakMet381noneerb68Ser397ProweakSer398noneerb33Trp413GlyweakPhe414noneconservative change in residueerb38Cys414TyrweakLeu15nonenone-conservative change in residueerb28His426GlnstrongHis427noneerb22Cys414TyrstrongCys442noneerb55Thr443IleweakThr444noneerb52Gly458GluweakPro449noneerb52Gly458GluweakAla459noneerb13Tyr65*strong/nullTyr64none	av101	Pro375	Gln	very weak	Pro376	none	
erb68Ser397ProweakSer398noneerb33Trp413GlyweakPhe414noneconservative change in residueerb38Cys414TyrweakLeu415nonenon-conservative change in residueerb28His426GlnstrongHis427noneerb20Cys411TyrstrongCys442noneerb25Thr443IleweakThr444noneerb40Pro448LeuweakPro449noneerb52Gly458GluweakAla459noneerb13Tyr65*strong/nullTyr64none	erb2	Met380	Thr	weak	Met381	none	
erb33Trp413GlyweakPhe414noneconservative change in residueerb38Cys414TyrweakLeu415nonenon-conservative change in residueerb28His426GlnstrongHis427noneerb22Cys441TyrstrongCys442noneerb25Thr443IleweakThr444noneerb40Pro448LeuweakPro449noneerb52Gly458GluweakAla459noneerb13Tyr65*stopstrong/nullTyr64none	erb68	Ser397	Pro	weak	Ser398	none	
erb38Cys414TyrweakLeu415nonenon-conservative change in residueerb28His426GlnstrongHis427noneerb22Cys441TyrstrongCys442noneerb65Thr443IleweakThr444noneerb40Pro448LeuweakPro449noneerb52Gly458GluweakAla459noneerb13Tyr65*stopstrong/nullTyr64none	erb33	Trp413	Gly	weak	Phe414	none	conservative change in residue
erb28His426GlnstrongHis427noneerb22Cys41TyrstrongCys42noneerb65Thr443IleweakThr444noneerb40Pro448LeuweakPro449noneerb52Gly458GluweakAla459noneerb13Tyr65*stopstrong/nullTyr64none	erb38	Cys414	Tyr	weak	Leu415	none	non-conservative change in residue
erb22Cys41TyrStrongCys42noneerb65Thr443IleweakThr444noneerb40Pro448LeuweakPro449noneerb52Gly458GluweakAla459noneerb13Tyr65*stopstrong/nullTyr64none	erb28	His426	Gln	strong	His427	none	
erb65Thr443IleweakThr444noneerb40Pro448LeuweakPro449noneerb52Gly458GluweakAla459noneconservative change in residueerb13Tyr65*stopstrong/nullTyr64none	erb22	Cys441	Tyr	strong	Cys442	none	
erb40Pro448LeuweakPro449noneerb52Gly458GluweakAla459noneconservative change in residueerb13Tyr65*stopstrong/nullTyr64none	erb65	Thr443	lle	weak	Thr444	none	
erb52 Gly458 Glu weak Ala459 none conservative change in residue erb13 Tyr65* stop strong/null Tyr64 none	erb40	Pro448	Leu	weak	Pro449	none	
erb13 Tyr65* stop strong/null Tyr64 none	erb52	Gly458	Glu	weak	Ala459	none	conservative change in residue
	erb13	Tyr65*	stop	strong/null	Tyr64	none	

[†] Mutations identified in *Richie* et al., 2011 or *Melesse* et al., 2018. [§] Hypomorphic character accessed from suppression of *sep-1(e2406)* embryonic lethality. Bold indicates alleles that are strong suppressors of *sep-1(e2406)* embryonic lethality phenotype.

N-terminus of the protein in the TPR1 domain (Fig. 2A). The TPRs are highly conserved between *C. elegans* and humans, with PPH-5 and PPP5C sharing ~54% identity (72.5% similarity) in this domain (Fig. 2B). We therefore modeled the *PPP5C* p.Ala47Thr proband variant in the corresponding *C. elegans* residue (Ala48) of *pph-5* using CRISPR/Cas9-based gene editing. In addition to the variant residue, we introduced synonymous changes to block Cas9 re-cleavage following homology-directed repair and a restriction enzyme cleavage site to aid in allele genotyping. As controls, we generated lines that contain only the synonymous changes, but not the proband's variant. In total, we generated three independent variant (Ala48Thr) alleles and two independent control (Ala48Ala) alleles (Table S2). All the CRISPR-edited



Fig. 2. *pph-5* is the *C. elegans* ortholog of *PPP5C*. (A) PPP5C domain map, with the locations of the proband variant Ala47Thr (red arrow) and *C. elegans pph-5(null)* allele (green line) indicated. (B) Alignment of *H. sapiens* and *C. elegans* Tetratricopeptide repeat 1 region. Proband variant residue location highlighted in *red.*

lines were superficially wild-type, similar to the *pph-5(ok3498)* null mutants (hereafter referred to as *pph-5(null)*) [29].

3.3. pph-5 Ala48Thr variant is defective in function and suppresses the touch receptor neuron neurite growth phenotype in mec-15 F-box protein mutants

Since the *pph-5* Ala48Thr proband variant and the *pph-5* null mutants were superficially wild-type, we employed sensitized genetic backgrounds, the *mec-15* null or the *sep-1* hypomorph, where it is known that pph-5 acts to oppose the function of these genes. In C. elegans, pph-5 has previously been reported to have genetic interactions with mec-15 in regulating neurite growth [28]. MEC-15 is an F-box protein (orthologous to human FBXW9) that promotes touch receptor neurite growth by aiding degradation of DLK-1 (orthologous to human MAP3K12), an inhibitor of microtubule stability [28,39]. As a consequence, in mec-15(u1042) mutants, the microtubules are less stable, and the PLM anterior neurites (PLM-AN) are considerably shorter than those of wild-type animals. PPH-5 is part of the HSP90 chaperone complex, which aids in protein folding [40,41], including that of DLK-1 [28]. Thus, PPH-5 negatively regulates neurite growth by opposing the function of MEC-15. Loss-of-function alleles of pph-5, and other components of the HSP90 complex, therefore restore microtubule stability in mec-15(u1042) mutants, and suppress the short PLM-AN phenotype [28]. To examine the role of the PPH-5 Ala48Thr proband variant in neurite growth, we crossed the *pph-5* variants into the *mec-15(u1042)* mutant background and measured PLM-AN neurite length. As previously reported, mec-15 mutants have significantly shorter PLM-AN neurites compared to wild-type animals (Fig. 3A and B). However, when combined with the proband variant, the short neurite phenotype was completely suppressed and neurite length was restored to that of the



Fig. 3. Ala48Thr variant suppresses mec-15 PLM-anterior neurite growth defect. (A) Images of day 1 adult animals expressing pmec-17::RFP in the PLM neurons. The PLM-anterior neurite normally grows (PLM-AN terminus blue arrow) past the vulva (red arrowhead). However, mec-15(u1042) animals have a PLM-AN that typically does not grow past the vulva. Two independently generated lines of pph-5[Ala487hr]; mec-15 animals have neurites that grow past the vulva, while the control pph-5[Ala48Ala]; mec-15 mimics the mec-15 PLM-AN length. (B) Quantification of neurite termination in relation to the vulva of at least 37 neurites from at least 23 animals per genotype. Negative values indicate PLM-AN did not grow past the vulva, and indicate distance from PLM-AN terminus to vulva, while positive values indicate length the PLM-AN grew past the vulva in the anterior direction. Vulval location indicated by dashed vertical line. Mean and standard deviation plotted. Statistical significance indicated is genotype as compared to mec-15. (C) Quantification of at least 29 neurites from at least 15 heterozygous pph-5; homozygous mec-15(u1042) animals. Kruskall-Wallis ANOVA was performed, followed by post-hoc Dunn's multiple comparisons tests in both B and C. (ns, **** $p \le 0.0001$).

wild-type (Fig. 3A and B). In contrast, the *pph-5* Ala48Ala control edited lines did not suppress the short neurite phenotype of *mec-15(u1042)* animals. These results indicate that *pph-5* Ala48Thr is defective in function as a negative regulator of PLM-AN neurite length. Moreover, the extent of the suppression by the proband's variant was similar to that of the reference null allele of *pph-5*, indicating that the *pph-5* Ala48Thr variant is likely a strong hypomorph or a complete loss-of-function.

3.4. pph-5 Ala48Thr variant suppresses aldicarb-induced paralysis of mec-15 mutants

In the C. elegans neuromuscular junction, opposing neurotransmitter signals regulate muscle contraction. Acetylcholine (ACh) provides the stimulatory signal for muscle contraction while gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) provides the inhibitory signal. ACh activity is regulated by acetylcholinesterase, an enzyme that degrades ACh in the neuromuscular junction. Inhibition of acetylcholinesterase by treatment with the drug aldicarb leads to ACh accumulation in the extracellular space and subsequent hyper-contraction of the muscle and eventual paralysis (reviewed in [42]). MEC-15 promotes synaptic transmission in GABAergic motor neurons to inhibit muscle contraction [43,44]. Consequently, when exposed to aldicarb, mec-15(u1042) mutants release less GABA and reach paralysis at a faster rate than wild-type animals (Fig. 4A) [28,44,45]. This increased aldicarb sensitivity of mec-15 mutants can be suppressed by loss-of-function mutations in pph-5, indicating that PPH-5 negatively regulates the release of GABA [28]. Thus, we used an aldicarb sensitivity assay to assess the impact of pph-5 Ala48Thr on GABA signaling. Upon aldicarb treatment, the time taken for 50% of mec-15 (*u*1042) animals to reach paralysis was 62 min, which was similar to that of the control edit pph-5[Ala48Ala]; mec-15(u1042) animals (Fig. 4B). In contrast, the pph-5[Ala48Thr]; mec-15(u1042) animals were less sensitive to aldicarb, and took 86 min to reach 50% paralysis. These

results were indistinguishable to those obtained with the *pph-5(null)*; *mec-15(u1042)* animals (Fig. 4B). Taken together, our aldicarbsensitivity data provide additional evidence for *pph-5* Ala48Thr variant being damaging to gene function and behaving similarly to the null allele.

3.5. pph-5 Ala48Thr variant suppresses embryonic lethality of sep-1 mutants

As a final test of the proband's variant function, we examined the role of PPH-5 Ala48Thr in embryogenesis. C. elegans sep-1 (orthologous to human ESPL1) encodes a cysteine protease required for the cleavage of cohesin complex subunits during mitosis, exocytosis of cortical granules and eggshell formation [46,47]. Consequently, sep-1(e2406) hypomorphic mutants do not form an eggshell, fail to hatch, and are embryonic lethal [47]. However, reduction of function mutations in pph-5, including mutations within the TPR domain, are known to suppress the embryonic lethality of sep-1 mutants, indicating that PPH-5 phosphatase activity has a role in negatively regulating both SEP-1 and eggshell formation [30]. Therefore, we used the suppression of embryonic lethality as a readout to assess the functionality of the proband's variant. Consistent with previous results, we found sep-1 animals to be 100% embryonic lethal (Fig. 4C). When the control edit pph-5 Ala48Ala allele was combined with the sep-1 allele, the resulting animals were also 100% embryonic lethal as indicated by the lack of hatched embryos (Fig. 4C). In contrast, embryonic lethality was strongly suppressed by the pph-5 Ala48Thr variant and 89-96% of the embryos successfully hatched (Fig. 4C). The percent hatched values are similar to the previous data obtained for the pph-5(tm2979) null; sep-1(e2406) double mutant [30]. Combined, our results indicate that Ala48Thr variant leads to defective PPH-5 function with a severity that is similar to that of the pph-5 null alleles.



Fig. 4. Ala48Thr variant suppresses known *pph-5* **interaction phenotypes.** (A) A genetic model of PPH-5/MEC-15 interaction in GABA signaling, (B) Quantification of percent of animals paralyzed at the indicated time after the start of exposure to aldicarb. Statistical significance as compared to *mec-15. t*-tests (ns, **** $p \le 0.0001$). (C) Percent of embryos that hatched when *pph-5*[Ala48Thr] or *pph-5*[Ala48Ala] was combined with *sep-1(e2406)*.

3.6. pph-5 null and Ala48Thr variants behave recessively in C. elegans

The underrepresentation of loss-of-function variants in the control human population (gnomAD v2.1.1, pLI = 1, o/e = 0.07) suggests that *PPP5C* is a haploinsufficient gene. However, missense variants in haploinsufficient genes may act in a gain-of-function manner, for example, *via* a dominant negative or a hyperactive mechanism. We therefore used the PLM-AN neurite growth assay to compare heterozygous and homozygous phenotypes for the *pph-5* null and Ala48Thr alleles.

In the *mec-15(u1042)* mutant background, the *pph-5 null* and *pph-5* Ala48Thr homozygous animals have normal PLM-AN neurite lengths (Fig. 3B), similar to wild-type animals indicating full suppression of *mec-15* phenotype. In contrast, animals heterozygous for *pph-5* Ala48Thr failed to suppress the *mec-15* mutation and had similar short neurite lengths compared to the *pph-5* Ala48Ala control edited animals (p = 0.31) (Fig. 3C), suggesting that PPH-5 Ala48Thr does not have dominant gain-of-function activity. Similarly, animals heterozygous for *pph-5* null also fail to suppress the *mec-15* mutation, consistent with *pph-5* not being a haploinsufficient gene in *C. elegans*. The absence of haploinsufficiency for *pph-5*, compared to *PPP5C*, is not unexpected given that different species titrate gene expression differently and haploinsufficiency is thought to be rare in *C. elegans* (Hodgkin, 2005).

3.7. pph-5 legacy mutations as functional tests of yet to be identified PPP5C missense variants

A collection of 25 *pph-5* missense mutations has been generated in *C. elegans* forward genetic screens [29,30]. The *pph-5* mutations were isolated following chemical mutagenesis as recessive suppressors of the *sep-1* separase embryonic lethality phenotype, analogous to the

assay shown in Fig. 4C. These *pph-5* legacy mutations may have already been observed or have yet to be observed in the human ortholog and provide pre-existing experimental functional information about conserved residues and variants in *PPP5C*.

We mapped the 25 legacy missense variants to the corresponding amino acid residues in PPP5C (Table 1). All the mutations reside in the TRP repeats or phosphatase domain. The wild type residue of the pph-5 mutation is identical in PPP5C in 18 cases, while for the remaining seven, five contain conservative substitutions. From examination of the gnomAD population database, there are no examples of variants observed for 22 of the PPP5C residues, indicating that these residues show some level of constraint to variation. For two of the exceptions, PPP5C has conservative changes, and the variant residues are conservative substitutions. From the mutant screens, it was found that a modest reduction in PPH-5 function can suppress some sep-1 mutant phenotypes, indicating that the screen is very sensitive [30]. We therefore subdivided the pph-5 mutations into three categories based on the extent of suppression of sep-1 mutant embryonic lethality [29,30]: strong hypomorphs that are similar to null alleles (greater than 70% suppression); weak hypomorphs (between 30 and 70% suppression); and very weak hypomorphs (less than 30% suppression). Assuming haploinsufficiney for the PPP5C p.Ala47Thr dominant proband phenotypes, it is likely that the *pph-5* weak and very weak mutations do not reduce gene function enough to achieve haploinsufficiency in PPP5C. Therefore, we only considered the strong hypomorph pph-5 mutations as sufficiently damaging to be similar to pph-5 Ala48Thr. This analysis yielded 10 legacy pph-5 strong hypomorphic mutations, where the residue is identical or a conservative substitution in PPP5C, and there are no variants in gnomAD (Table 1). The C. elegans functional analysis indicates that these 10 variants are damaging and, by extension, if observed as PPP5C heterozygotes are likely to impact human phenotype.

4. Discussion

In a pediatric proband with congenital microcephaly, epilepsy, and developmental delays, we identified a *de novo* heterozygous missense variant p.Ala47Thr in *PPP5C*, a gene not previously associated with Mendelian disease. By modeling the variant in the *C. elegans* ortholog, *pph-5*, we demonstrated that *pph-5* Ala48Thr is damaging to function *in vivo* using three different biological assays. In addition, the proband variant behaved similarly to the *pph-5* null allele indicating that *pph-5* Ala48Thr, and by extension *PPP5C* p.Ala47Thr, likely acts as a strong hypomorph or complete loss-of-function. These functional data, combined with the *in silico* prediction of likely deleterious (CADD score 29.4), the intolerance of *PPP5C* to loss of function variants (pL1 = 1, o/e = 0.07), the absence of variants at residue p.Ala48 in control population databases (gnomAD v2.1.1), and the dominant presentation of the proband are consistent with a haploinsufficient mechanism for the proband's phenotypes.

Although *pph-5* null and Ala48Thr variant animals are superficially wild-type, the variant function could be assessed in *C. elegans* using sensitized genetic backgrounds. MEC-15 promotes PLM-AN neurite growth by regulating the degradation of a HSP90-client protein, DLK-1, and thus, *mec-15* mutants have short neurites. Using the short PLM-AN neurite length of *mec-15* mutants as our readout, we determined that *pph-5* Ala48Thr is defective in its ability to negatively regulate touch receptor neurite growth (Fig. 3). Using similar suppression assays, we showed that *pph-5* Ala48Thr suppresses the aldicarb hypersensitivity of *mec-15* mutants (Fig. 4), indicating that the *pph-5* variant is defective in inhibition of GABA signaling. These *C. elegans* nervous system functions of *pph-5* are consistent with the neurodevelopmental phenotypes observed in the proband.

A recent large-scale exome sequencing study of 11,986 individuals with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) identified *PPP5C* as one of 102 risk genes [36]. These three reported probands with autism have variants of uncertain significance in the C-terminus of PPP5C, as compared

to the proband described here with a variant in the N-terminal TPR domain. However, functional data evaluating the role of *PPP5C* missense variants in ASD and/or other neurodevelopmental disorders is currently lacking. *In vivo* gene function studies in model organisms like *C. elegans* offer a fast, cost-effective and quantitative approach in assessing the biological effects of future *de novo* variants identified in *PPP5C*.

The Ala47Thr variant is located in the TPR domain of PPP5C, which is thought to play an important role in the autoinhibition of the phosphatase activity through intramolecular interactions with the C-terminal domain that hinder substrate access to the phosphatase catalytic pocket [41]. The binding of HSP90 to the TPR domain of PPP5C relieves this autoinhibition and stimulates phosphatase activity. The Ala47Thr variant might disrupt binding with HSP90. The active phosphatase can subsequently activate one of several hundred known HSP90 client proteins [48]. One such client is ataxia and telangiectasia and Rad3 related (ATR), a kinase that activates DNA damage signaling response [48,49]. Mutations in ATR, and other ATR-mediated checkpoint response genes, MCPH1 and ATRIP, have been linked to microcephaly and specifically Seckel syndrome [50] (reviewed in [51]). This is thought to be because ATR has an important role in supporting the rapidly proliferating zones in the brains of mice [52,53]. ATR, as well as other PIKK genes, are stabilized by the Hsp90 complex, through interaction with the Tel2-Tti1-Tti2 (TTT) complex [49,54,55]. Compound heterozygous variants in TELO2 (the human homolog of yeast Tel2) have been identified in six probands, including three siblings and three unrelated individuals, with You-Hoover-Fong syndrome, which is characterized by microcephaly, movement disorder, and severely delayed development [56]. Recently, a proband with microcephaly was identified to have a homozygous missense variant in TTI2, further implicating ATR, HSP90 and TTT complex in microcephaly [57]. Given that PPP5C is highly expressed in the mammalian brain, and overexpression and knockdown studies showed hyper- and hypo-cellular proliferation, respectively, it is tempting to hypothesize that a reduction of PPP5C function as seen with the variant Ala47Thr could lead to microcephaly and other neurodevelopmental abnormalities observed in the proband [5,14]. Moreover, it is also intriguing to note the parallel between the proband's failure to thrive and the low body weight of Ppp5c^{-/-} mice [23]. However, the Ppp5c knockout mouse is not a perfect model of human phenotypes as it does not have reported microcephaly or intellectual disabilities. It has been suggested that mouse models of microcephaly can have limits, as the human brain is much larger when compared to the body, and mice do not have structures such as gyri and encephalization [51]. Additionally, a recent study looked at the correlation of phenotypes between known human disease gene phenotypes and knockout mouse model phenotypes, of which 49.53% do not match [58]. A recent study also demonstrated that there are differences in gene expression during the developmental timeline of humans as compared to mice and other model organisms (with approximately 15% of genes expressed in the brain during development having different expression patterns between mice and humans), which could explain differences in phenotype between human patients and mouse models [59].

Numerous chemical mutagenesis screens have been performed in *C. elegans* and other model organisms generating large collections of historical or legacy missense mutations. These missense mutations, as they disrupt protein function, provide functional information for the corresponding variant in the human ortholog or homolog. For example, the myosin heavy chain mutation *unc-54(st132)* Glu524Lys, isolated as a dominant extragenic suppressor of the *unc-22* (human titan ortholog) twitching phenotype [60,61] is at the same position and the same change in the myosin motor domain as *MYH7* Glu525Lys, a pathogenic variant in dilated cardiomyopathy [62]. This *unc-54* legacy mutation provides experimental support for the *MYH7* variant being damaging, where the data were available more than 10 years before identification of the variant from next-generation sequencing of a patient cohort.

We have examined a collection of 25 *pph-5* legacy missense mutations identified from forward genetic screens [29,30]. For 10 of these the residue is identical or a conservative substitution in *PPP5C*, there are no

instances of variants at these residues in gnomAD, and all the *pph-5* mutations are strong hypomorphs or complete loss of function, and thus likely to contribute to human phenotype if observed in *PPP5C*, under a model of dominant presentation due to haploinsufficiency (Table 1). The 10 variants have yet to be reported in the human population in ClinVar (search date 12/27/2021). These 10 legacy mutations provide functional data that the missense changes are damaging in *C. elegans* and, by extension, when observed in humans likely to contribute to disease. Given the potential utility of model organism legacy missense mutation findings to human gene-variant analysis, model organism databases (WormBase, FlyBase, Alliance of Genome Resources, *etc.*) should aggregate and display such data similar to that shown in Table 1 and make that information available to databases such as ClinVar and MARRVEL

In summary, PPP5C p.Ala47Thr, a de novo heterozygous missense gene-variant identified in a UDN proband, was modeled in C. elegans. In vivo functional analyses indicated that the pph-5 Ala48Thr variant is damaging, similar in extent to the complete pph-5 loss of function. By extension, our data suggest that PPP5C p.Ala47Thr is also damaging, likely resulting in a strong hypomorph or complete loss of function. Given that PPP5C, HSP90 and client proteins are important for the development of the brain, it is likely that the PPP5C p.Ala47Thr loss-offunction variant is responsible for one or more of the proband's phenotypes, such as microcephaly and/or the other neurodevelopmental disorders. While our studies provide strong evidence for the Ala48Thr variant being damaging to pph-5 function, they do not definitively establish causality. Nonetheless, making this data accessible to other researchers via matchmaking databases (e.g., GeneMatcher) may lead to the identification of additional unrelated cases with de novo dominant missense variants in PPP5C with similar or overlapping phenotypes and establish PPP5C as a disease-causing gene.

Author contributions

S.M.F., T.S., and S.C.P. designed research; S.M.F, J.N.B., and H.H. performed research; S.M.F., J.A.R., L.C.B., L.E., S.L., R.A., J.N.B., D.B., G.A.S., T.S., and S.C.P. analyzed data; and S.M.F, T.S., and S.C.P. wrote the paper.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The Department of Molecular and Human Genetics at Baylor College of Medicine receives revenue from clinical genetic testing conducted at Baylor Genetics Laboratories.

Acknowledgements

Research reported in this manuscript was supported by the NIH Common Fund, through the Office of Strategic Coordination/Office of the NIH Director under Award Number U54 NS108251 (TS and Lila Solnica-Krezel) and U01HG007709. Funding was also provided by the National Institutes of Health (R01 GM11447, JNB), the Children's Discovery Institute, St Louis Children's Hospital Foundation (GAS and SCP). Some strains were provided by the CGC, which is funded by the NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440). The content of this manuscript is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

CONSORTIA (Members of the Undiagnosed Diseases Network).

Maria T. Acosta, Margaret Adam, David R. Adams, Justin Alvey, Laura Amendola, Ashley Andrews, Euan A. Ashley, Mahshid S. Azamian, Carlos A. Bacino, Guney Bademci, Ashok Balasubramanyam, Dustin Baldridge, Jim Bale, Michael Bamshad, Deborah Barbouth, Pinar Bayrak-Toydemir, Anita Beck, Alan H. Beggs, Edward Behrens, Gill Bejerano, Hugo Bellen, Jimmy Bennet, Beverly Berg-Rood, Jonathan A. Bernstein, Gerard T. Berry, Anna Bican, Stephanie Bivona, Elizabeth Blue, John Bohnsack, Devon Bonner, Lorenzo Botto, Brenna Boyd, Lauren C. Briere, Elly Brokamp, Gabrielle Brown, Elizabeth A. Burke, Lindsay C. Burrage, Manish J. Butte, Peter Byers, William E. Byrd, John Carey, Olveen Carrasquillo, Thomas Cassini, Ta Chen Peter Chang, Sirisak Chanprasert, Hsiao-Tuan Chao, Gary D. Clark, Terra R. Coakley, Laurel A. Cobban, Joy D. Cogan, Matthew Coggins, F. Sessions Cole, Heather A. Colley, Cynthia M. Cooper, Heidi Cope, William J. Craigen, Andrew B. Crouse, Michael Cunningham, Precilla D'Souza, Hongzheng Dai, Surendra Dasari, Joie Davis, Jyoti G. Dayal, Matthew Deardorff, Esteban C. Dell'Angelica, Katrina Dipple, Daniel Doherty, Naghmeh Dorrani, Argenia L. Doss, Emilie D. Douine, Laura Duncan, Dawn Earl, David J. Eckstein, Lisa T. Emrick, Christine M. Eng, Cecilia Esteves, Marni Falk, Liliana Fernandez, Elizabeth L. Fieg, Laurie C. Findley, Paul G. Fisher, Brent L. Fogel, Irman Forghani, William A. Gahl, Ian Glass, Bernadette Gochuico, Rena A. Godfrey, Katie Golden-Grant, Madison P. Goldrich, Alana Grajewski, Irma Gutierrez, Don Hadley, Sihoun Hahn, Rizwan Hamid, Kelly Hassey, Nichole Hayes, Frances High, Anne Hing, Fuki M. Hisama, Ingrid A. Holm, Jason Hom, Martha Horike-Pyne, Alden Huang, Yong Huang, Wendy Introne, Rosario Isasi, Kosuke Izumi, Fariha Jamal, Gail P. Jarvik, Jeffrey Jarvik, Suman Javadev, Orpa Jean-Marie, Vaidehi Jobanputra, Lefkothea Karaviti, Jennifer Kennedy, Shamika Ketkar, Dana Kiley, Gonench Kilich, Shilpa N. Kobren, Isaac S. Kohane, Jennefer N. Kohler, Deborah Krakow, Donna M. Krasnewich, Elijah Kravets, Susan Korrick, Mary Koziura, Seema R. Lalani, Byron Lam, Christina Lam, Grace L. LaMoure, Brendan C. Lanpher, Ian R. Lanza, Kimberly LeBlanc, Brendan H. Lee, Hane Lee, Roy Levitt, Richard A. Lewis, Pengfei Liu, Xue Zhong Liu, Nicola Longo, Sandra K. Loo, Joseph Loscalzo, Richard L. Maas, Ellen F. Macnamara, Calum A. MacRae, Valerie V. Maduro, Rachel Mahoney, Bryan C. Mak, May Christine V. Malicdan, Laura A. Mamounas, Teri A. Manolio, Rong Mao, Kenneth Maravilla, Ronit Marom, Gabor Marth, Beth A. Martin, Martin G. Martin, Julian A. Martínez-Agosto, Shruti Marwaha, Jacob McCauley, Allyn McConkie-Rosell, Alexa T. McCray, Elisabeth McGee, Heather Mefford, J. Lawrence Merritt, Matthew Might, Ghayda Mirzaa, Eva Morava, Paolo M. Moretti, John J. Mulvihill, Mariko Nakano-Okuno, Stan F. Nelson, John H. Newman, Sarah K. Nicholas, Deborah Nickerson, Shirley Nieves-Rodriguez, Donna Novacic, Devin Oglesbee, James P. Orengo, Laura Pace, Stephen C. Pak, J. Carl Pallais, Christina GS. Palmer, Jeanette C. Papp, Neil H. Parker, John A. Phillips III, Jennifer E. Posey, Lorraine Potocki, Barbara N. Pusey, Aaron Quinlan, Wendy Raskind, Archana N. Raja, Deepak A. Rao, Anna Raper, Genecee Renteria, Chloe M. Reuter, Lynette Rives, Amy K. Robertson, Lance H. Rodan, Jill A. Rosenfeld, Natalie Rosenwasser, Francis Rossignol, Maura Ruzhnikov, Ralph Sacco, Jacinda B. Sampson, Mario Saporta, C. Ron Scott, Judy Schaechter, Timothy Schedl, Kelly Schoch, Daryl A. Scott, Vandana Shashi, Jimann Shin, Rebecca Signer, Edwin K. Silverman, Janet S. Sinsheimer, Kathy Sisco, Edward C. Smith, Kevin S. Smith, Emily Solem, Lilianna Solnica-Krezel, Ben Solomon, Rebecca C. Spillmann, Joan M. Stoler, Jennifer A. Sullivan, Kathleen Sullivan, Angela Sun, Shirley Sutton, David A. Sweetser, Virginia Sybert, Holly K. Tabor, Amelia L. M. Tan, Queenie K.-G. Tan, Mustafa Tekin, Fred Telischi, Willa Thorson, Cynthia J. Tifft, Camilo Toro, Alyssa A. Tran, Brianna M. Tucker, Tiina K. Urv, Adeline Vanderver, Matt Velinder, Dave Viskochil, Tiphanie P. Vogel, Colleen E. Wahl, Stephanie Wallace, Nicole M. Walley, Melissa Walker, Jennifer Wambach, Jijun Wan, Lee-kai Wang, Michael F. Wangler, Patricia A. Ward, Daniel Wegner, Monika Weisz-Hubshman, Mark Wener, Tara Wenger, Katherine Wesseling Perry, Monte Westerfield, Matthew T. Wheeler, Jordan Whitlock, Lynne A. Wolfe, Jeremy D. Woods, Kim Worley, Changrui Xiao, Shinya Yamamoto, John Yang, Diane B. Zastrow, Zhe Zhang, Chunli Zhao, Stephan Zuchner.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ymgme.2022.03.007.

References

- A. Ali, et al., Requirement of protein phosphatase 5 in DNA-damage-induced ATM activation, Genes Dev. 18 (3) (2004) 249–254.
- [2] A. Kaziales, et al., Glucocorticoid receptor complexes form cooperatively with the Hsp90 co-chaperones Pp5 and FKBPs, Sci. Rep. 10 (1) (2020) 1–16.
- [3] W. Yong, et al., Mice lacking protein phosphatase 5 are defective in ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM)-mediated cell cycle arrest, J. Biol. Chem. 282 (20) (2007) 14690–14694.
- [4] J. Zhang, et al., Protein phosphatase 5 is required for ATR-mediated checkpoint activation, Mol. Cell. Biol. 25 (22) (2005) 9910–9919.
- [5] Z. Zuo, N.M. Dean, R.E. Honkanen, Serine/threonine protein phosphatase type 5 acts upstream of p53 to regulate the induction of p21WAF1/Cip1 and mediate growth arrest, J. Biol. Chem. 273 (20) (1998) 12250–12258.
- [6] T. Wechsler, et al., DNA–PKcs function regulated specifically by protein phosphatase 5, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 101 (5) (2004) 1247–1252.
- [7] C.L. Partch, et al., Posttranslational regulation of the mammalian circadian clock by cryptochrome and protein phosphatase 5, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 103 (27) (2006) 10467–10472.
- [8] F. Liu, et al., Dephosphorylation of tau by protein phosphatase 5: impairment in Alzheimer's disease, J. Biol. Chem. 280 (3) (2005) 1790–1796.
- [9] T.D. Hinds Jr., E.R. Sánchez, Protein phosphatase 5, Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. 40 (11) (2008) 2358–2362.
- [10] R. Bahl, et al., Localization of protein Ser/Thr phosphatase 5 in rat brain, Mol. Brain Res. 90 (2) (2001) 101–109.
- [11] W. Becker, et al., Distribution of the mRNA for protein phosphatase T in rat brain, Mol. Brain Res. 36 (1) (1996) 23–28.
- [12] W. Becker, et al., Molecular cloning of a protein serine/threonine phosphatase containing a putative regulatory tetratricopeptide repeat domain, J. Biol. Chem. 269 (36) (1994) 22586–22592.
- [13] M. Chinkers, Targeting of a distinctive protein-serine phosphatase to the protein kinase-like domain of the atrial natriuretic peptide receptor, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 91 (23) (1994) 11075–11079.
- [14] J.-M. Lv, et al., PPP5C promotes cell proliferation and survival in human prostate cancer by regulating of the JNK and ERK1/2 phosphorylation, OncoTargets Therapy 11 (2018) 5797.
- [15] M. Chen, et al., Disruption of serine/threonine protein phosphatase 5 inhibits tumorigenesis of urinary bladder cancer cells, Int. J. Oncol. 51 (1) (2017) 39–48.
- [16] G. Urban, et al., Identification of an estrogen-inducible phosphatase (PP5) that converts MCF-7 human breast carcinoma cells into an estrogen-independent phenotype when expressed constitutively, J. Biol. Chem. 276 (29) (2001) 27638–27646.
- [17] J. Xie, et al., PP5 (PPP5C) is a phosphatase of Dvl2, Sci. Rep. 8 (1) (2018) 1–15.
- [18] P.J. Barnes, Anti-inflammatory actions of glucocorticoids: molecular mechanisms, Clin. Sci. 94 (6) (1998) 557–572.
- [19] R.M. Sapolsky, L.M. Romero, A.U. Munck, How do glucocorticoids influence stress responses? Integrating permissive, suppressive, stimulatory, and preparative actions, Endocr. Rev. 21 (1) (2000) 55–89.
- [20] K. Sugimoto, Branching the Tel2 pathway for exact fit on phosphatidylinositol 3kinase-related kinases, Curr. Genet. 64 (5) (2018) 965–970.
- [21] R.T. Abraham, Pl 3-kinase related kinases: big players in stress-induced signaling pathways, DNA repair 3 (8–9) (2004) 883–887.
- [22] L. Amable, et al., Disruption of serine/threonine protein phosphatase 5 (PP5: PPP5c) in mice reveals a novel role for PP5 in the regulation of ultraviolet light-induced phosphorylation of serine/threonine protein kinase Chk1 (CHEK1), J. Biol. Chem. 286 (47) (2011) 40413–40422.
- [23] N. Grankvist, et al., Serine/threonine protein phosphatase 5 regulates glucose homeostasis in vivo and apoptosis signalling in mouse pancreatic islets and clonal MIN6 cells, Diabetologia 55 (7) (2012) 2005–2015.
- [24] N. Grankvist, et al., Genetic disruption of protein phosphatase 5 in mice prevents high-fat diet feeding-induced weight gain, FEBS Lett. 587 (23) (2013) 3869–3874.
- [25] L. Brown, E.B. Borthwick, P.T. Cohen, Drosophila protein phosphatase 5 is encoded by a single gene that is most highly expressed during embryonic development, Biochim. Biophys.Acta (BBA)-Gene Struct.Express. 1492 (2–3) (2000) 470–476.
- [26] F. Chen, et al., Multiple protein phosphatases are required for mitosis in drosophila, Curr. Biol. 17 (4) (2007) 293–303.
- [27] J.-Y. Jeong, et al., Characterization of Saccharomyces cerevisiae protein Ser/Thr phosphatase T1 and comparison to its mammalian homolog PP5, BMC Cell Biol. 4 (1) (2003) 1–13.
- [28] C. Zheng, et al., Opposing effects of an F-box protein and the HSP90 chaperone network on microtubule stability and neurite growth in Caenorhabditis elegans, Development 147 (12) (2020) p. dev189886.
- [29] C.T. Richie, et al., Protein phosphatase 5 is a negative regulator of separase function during cortical granule exocytosis in C. Elegans, J. Cell Sci. 124 (17) (2011) 2903–2913.
- [30] M. Melesse, et al., Genetic identification of separase regulators in Caenorhabditis elegans. G3: genes, genomes, Genetics 8 (2) (2018) 695–705.
- [31] K.J. Karczewski, et al., The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans, Nature 581 (7809) (2020) 434–443.
- [32] H. Huang, et al., A dominant negative variant of RAB5B disrupts maturation of surfactant protein B and surfactant protein C, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 119 (6) (2022) https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2105228119 e2105228119.

- [33] T. Boulin, et al., Functional analysis of a de novo variant in the neurodevelopment and generalized epilepsy disease gene NBEA, Mol. Genet. Metab. 134 (1–2) (2021) 195–202.
- [34] H. Suzuki, et al., Biallelic loss of OTUD7A causes severe muscular hypotonia, intellectual disability, and seizures, Am. J. Med. Genet. A 185 (4) (2021) 1182–1186.
- [35] S. Brenner, The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans, Genetics 77 (1) (1974) 71-94.
- [36] F.K. Satterstrom, et al., Large-scale exome sequencing study implicates both developmental and functional changes in the neurobiology of autism, Cell 180 (3) (2020) 568–584. e23.
- [37] M.-S. Chen, et al., The tetratricopeptide repeat domain of protein phosphatase 5 mediates binding to glucocorticoid receptor heterocomplexes and acts as a dominant negative mutant, J. Biol. Chem. 271 (50) (1996) 32315–32320.
- [38] H. Kang, et al., Identification of amino acids in the tetratricopeptide repeat and Cterminal domains of protein phosphatase 5 involved in autoinhibition and lipid activation, Biochemistry 40 (35) (2001) 10485–10490.
- [39] S. Karney-Grobe, et al., HSP90 is a chaperone for DLK and is required for axon injury signaling, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 115 (42) (2018) E9899-E9908.
- [40] C.K. Vaughan, et al., Hsp90-dependent activation of protein kinases is regulated by chaperone-targeted dephosphorylation of Cdc37, Mol. Cell 31 (6) (2008) 886–895.
- [41] V. Haslbeck, et al., The activity of protein phosphatase 5 towards native clients is modulated by the middle-and C-terminal domains of Hsp90, Sci. Rep. 5 (1) (2015) 1–16
- [42] J. Rand, Acetylcholine, WormBook, ed. The C. elegans Research Community, WormBook, 2007 https://doi.org/10.1895/wormbook.1.131.1.2007, January 30.
- [43] J.E. Richmond, E.M. Jorgensen, One GABA and two acetylcholine receptors function at the C. Elegans neuromuscular junction, Nat. Neurosci. 2 (9) (1999) 791–797.
- [44] Y. Sun, et al., The F-box protein MEC-15 (FBXW9) promotes synaptic transmission in GABAergic motor neurons in C. Elegans, PloS one 8 (3) (2013), e59132.
- [45] P.M. Loria, J. Hodgkin, O. Hobert, A conserved postsynaptic transmembrane protein affecting neuromuscular signaling in Caenorhabditis elegans, J. Neurosci. 24 (9) (2004) 2191–2201.
- [46] M.F. Siomos, et al., Separase is required for chromosome segregation during meiosis I in Caenorhabditis elegans, Curr. Biol. 11 (23) (2001) 1825–1835.
- [47] J.N. Bembenek, Cortical granule exocytosis, C. Elegans is Regulated by Cell Cycle Components Including Separase, 2007.
- [48] F.H. Schopf, M.M. Biebl, J. Buchner, The HSP90 chaperone machinery, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 18 (6) (2017) 345–360.

- [49] H. Takai, et al., Tel2 structure and function in the Hsp90-dependent maturation of mTOR and ATR complexes, Genes Dev. 24 (18) (2010) 2019–2030.
- [50] M. O'Driscoll, A. Jackson, P.A. Jeggo, Microcephalin: a causal link between impaired damage response signalling and microcephaly, Cell Cycle 5 (20) (2006) 2339–2344.
- [51] M. O'Driscoll, P.A. Jeggo, The role of the DNA damage response pathways in brain development and microcephaly: insight from human disorders, DNA Repair 7 (7) (2008) 1039–1050.
- [52] C.W. Abner, P.J. McKinnon, The DNA double-strand break response in the nervous system, DNA Repair 3 (8–9) (2004) 1141–1147.
- [53] K.E. Orii, et al., Selective utilization of nonhomologous end-joining and homologous recombination DNA repair pathways during nervous system development, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 103 (26) (2006) 10017–10022.
- [54] H. Takai, et al., Tel2 regulates the stability of PI3K-related protein kinases, Cell 131 (7) (2007) 1248–1259.
- [55] K.E. Hurov, C. Cotta-Ramusino, S.J. Elledge, A genetic screen identifies the triple T complex required for DNA damage signaling and ATM and ATR stability, Genes Dev. 24 (17) (2010) 1939–1950.
- [56] J. You, et al., A syndromic intellectual disability disorder caused by variants in TELO2, a gene encoding a component of the TTT complex, Am. J. Hum. Genet. 98 (5) (2016) 909–918.
- [57] V. Picher-Martel, et al., Whole-exome sequencing identifies homozygous mutation in TTI2 in a child with primary microcephaly: a case report, BMC Neurol. 20 (1) (2020) 1–6.
- [58] P. Cacheiro, M.A. Haendel, D. Smedley, New models for human disease from the international mouse phenotyping consortium, Mamm. Genome 30 (5) (2019) 143–150.
- [59] M. Cardoso-Moreira, et al., Developmental gene expression differences between humans and mammalian models, Cell Rep. 33 (4) (2020), 108308.
- [60] D.G. Moerman, et al., Mutations in the unc-54 myosin heavy chain gene of Caenorhabditis elegans that alter contractility but not muscle structure, Cell 29 (3) (1982) 773–781.
- [61] D. Moerman, A. Fire, D.In Riddle, C. Elegans II, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York, 1997.
- [62] N.K. Lakdawala, et al., Genetic testing for dilated cardiomyopathy in clinical practice, J. Card. Fail. 18 (4) (2012) 296–303.