

A QUALITATIVE EVIDENCE SYNTHESIS OF ARTICLE ABSTRACT WRITING IN ELT AND LITERATURE JOURNALS

Sri Budiyo

Department of Indonesian Language and Literature, Faculty of Teachers Training and Education, Universitas Widyadarma, Klaten, Indonesia
Email: sribudiono15@gmail.com

Fahrus Zaman Fadhly

Department of English Education, Faculty of Teachers Training and Education, Universitas Kuningan, Indonesia
Email: fahrus.zaman.fadhly@uniku.ac.id

APA Citation: Budiyo, S., & Fadhly, F. Z. (2023). A qualitative evidence synthesis of article abstract writing in ELT and literature journals. *English Review: Journal of English Education*, 11(1), 253-262. <https://doi.org/10.25134/erjee.v11i1.7753>

Received: 11-10-2022

Accepted: 29-12-2022

Published: 28-02-2023

Abstract: Abstracts are essential components of academic research articles, providing a concise summary of the study's purpose, methods, results, and conclusions. This qualitative evidence synthesis examines the quality, structure, and content of abstracts in the fields of English Language Teaching (ELT) and Literature. A systematic search of relevant databases identified 15 peer-reviewed articles published in English with a focus on abstract writing. Thematic analysis was used to analyze the studies for their research findings, methodologies, and recommendations related to abstract writing. The findings reveal that abstracts tend to be descriptive, with a focus on presenting the research problem, methodology, and main findings. However, there is a lack of consistency in structure and content across journals, and the quality of abstracts varies widely. Based on the analysis, several key recommendations for improving abstract writing in ELT and Literature journals are proposed, including greater consistency and standardization across journals, and improved training for authors and reviewers. This qualitative evidence synthesis offers a thorough overview of the body of knowledge on the subject of writing effective abstracts for ELT and literature journals and makes suggestions for further study and practice in this field. The study highlights the need for greater attention to abstract writing quality, structure, and content, and provides practical recommendations for improving the quality of abstracts in ELT and Literature research articles using thematic analysis as a data analysis technique.

INTRODUCTION

The importance of abstracts in academic research cannot be overstated, as they serve as essential tools for disseminating knowledge and promoting scholarly work. Research on abstracts across various academic disciplines has provided valuable insights into their role, structure, and impact (Wang & Lin, 2021; Carvalho & Nascimento, 2020; Nguyen et al., 2020; Xie, 2019; Couto & Fraser, 2018; Park & Lee, 2020). Wang and Lin (2021) emphasize the significance of understanding genre conventions by proposing a genre-based approach to improve abstract quality. Carvalho and Nascimento (2020) analyze abstracts in linguistic journals, highlighting the need to teach abstract writing skills to new writers in order to produce effective and informative abstracts.

Furthermore, Nguyen et al. (2020) assess abstract quality in linguistics journals, stressing

the importance of attention to detail in crafting well-structured and informative abstracts. Xie (2019) explores the connection between genre and researcher identity, indicating that genre awareness is crucial in developing high-quality abstracts that effectively communicate the essence of the research. Couto and Fraser (2018) identify issues with clarity and specificity in titles and abstracts, emphasizing the need for clear and precise language in order to convey the research's key findings and implications accurately.

Lastly, Park and Lee (2020) showcase the importance of abstract quality in promoting research visibility and impact through a qualitative evidence synthesis in social science journals. In light of these findings, it is evident that understanding the intricacies of abstract writing is of paramount importance for researchers across various fields, including ELT and Literature. This study seeks to present a

thorough overview of the body of research on various fields' abstract writing, highlighting the key findings and recommendations for improvement.

The role of abstracts in academic research is crucial, as they not only summarize the essence of scholarly work but also serve as vital tools for disseminating knowledge and promoting research visibility (Pepe & Mongeon, 2019; Ceylan & Özkan, 2020; Nisa & Abbas, 2021; Torres-Salinas et al., 2020; Andrade-Molina et al., 2022; Ke & Ahn, 2020). The studies cited here encompass a wide range of research focusing on various aspects of academic publishing, particularly abstract writing and bibliometric analysis. Some studies concentrate on specific disciplines, while others maintain a broader scope, emphasizing the significance of abstracts across academic fields.

A common theme emerging from these studies is the importance of understanding the structure and content of effective abstracts. Researchers like Pepe and Mongeon (2019) have explored the key features of well-written abstracts and suggested ways to enhance their quality. Other studies, such as those by Ceylan and Özkan (2020) and Nisa and Abbas (2021), investigate the structure and content of abstracts in specific journals or fields, providing valuable insights for researchers in these areas.

Several studies have also analyzed the evolution of abstracts over time, such as those by Torres-Salinas et al. (2020) and Andrade-Molina et al. (2022), which highlight changes in abstract length, structure, and content, and suggest possible explanations for these trends. Additionally, some studies focus on more specific topics related to abstracts, such as Ke and Ahn's (2020) investigation of the impact of abstract structure on attention and citations in interdisciplinary research.

Ke and Ahn (2020) examined how abstract structure affects attention and citations in interdisciplinary research, finding that more detailed abstracts correlate with higher citation rates, possibly due to clearer communication of the research's main contributions. Kousha and Thelwall (2015) investigated Microsoft Academic's capability to identify research papers' disciplinary impact using abstracts, noting its effectiveness in recognizing main subject areas but requiring additional information for accurately determining impact.

Zhang et al. (2019) performed a bibliometric review on journal abstracts research, emphasizing topics, research methods, and publishing journals

to identify literature gaps and inform future research. Mazandu and Okesola (2020) conducted a qualitative evidence synthesis on Journal of Proteomics abstracts to identify emerging trends. Indicators were studied by Hammarfelt and Rushforth (2017) for use in research evaluation, cautioning against unintended consequences and calling for more attention to metric development and usage.

Zhang et al. (2017) and Zhang and Yang (2020) carried out qualitative evidence syntheses on abstract research in China and library and information science, respectively, to determine areas receiving increased attention and future research directions. Kim and Lee (2019) utilized co-word analysis to explore research topics in the Journal of Educational Technology and Society, while Park and Lee (2019) investigated the effects of author identity, abstract length, and journal type on citation counts in education journals. Li et al. (2018) found improved medical paper abstract quality over time through a qualitative evidence synthesis.

García-Pérez (2021), Shafi et al. (2021), and Li et al. (2020) conducted bibliometric studies of abstracts in tourism, public health, and scientific article journals, respectively. Bar-Ilan et al. (2017) examined scholars' social web visibility beyond traditional citations, while Sutanto and Susilo (2019) conducted a qualitative evidence synthesis of abstracts, keywords, and citations in the Indonesian Journal of Chemistry. Pei and Wang (2019) studied abstract quality in Chinese scientific and technical journals using the CNKI database.

These studies offer valuable insights into abstract characteristics and trends across various disciplines. However, limitations may exist in using citation counts to measure abstract quality, as noted in some studies (e.g., Park & Lee, 2019). Different methodologies and criteria for evaluating abstracts might also affect result comparability. Nonetheless, these studies enhance our understanding of abstract structure, content, and impact in scholarly publishing.

Given the wide variety of research on abstracts, this study seeks to give a thorough assessment of the body of work, focusing on abstract writing in ELT and Literature journals. By synthesizing the key findings and recommendations from these studies, the current research seeks to enhance our understanding of the critical role abstracts play in academic publishing and to identify areas for future research and improvement.

METHOD

To identify relevant studies, a systematic search of electronic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, and Google Scholar will be conducted (Booth et al., 2018; Tricco et al., 2018). Specific keywords related to abstract writing in ELT and Literature, such as "abstract writing," "ELT abstracts," "Literature abstracts," and "journal abstracts," will be used in various combinations to yield the most relevant results. Peer-reviewed English-language articles will be the only ones included in the search.

Studies included in the synthesis must meet the following criteria (Levac et al., 2018; Suri, 2019): Inclusion criteria: (1) Peer-reviewed articles published in English. (2) Focus on abstract writing in ELT and Literature journals. (3) Empirical studies, reviews, or meta-analyses related to abstract writing. Exclusion criteria: (1) Studies not focused on abstract writing in ELT and Literature. (2) Non-empirical investigations, such as editorials or works of writing. (3) Studies released in tongues besides English

The titles and abstracts of the identified papers will be screened by two independent reviewers (France et al., 2016). The inclusion and exclusion criteria will be used to determine whether or not full-text publications are eligible. Discussion or consultation with a third reviewer will be used to settle any disputes amongst the reviewers.

The following were taken from the studies that were considered (Booth et al., 2018): (1) Author(s) and publication year. (2) Journal and discipline (ELT or Literature). (3) Research aim or objective. (4) Methodology. (5) Main findings. (6) Recommendations related to abstract writing.

To find common themes and patterns among the research, a thematic analysis technique will be used (Levac et al., 2018). The quality, organization, and substance of abstracts in ELT and Literature publications will all be taken into consideration as the data is processed and sorted into themes. The results will be combined to offer a summary of the state of abstract writing in these domains and suggestions for development.

Using an acceptable quality appraisal instrument, such as the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) Qualitative Checklist (Booth et al., 2018), the included studies' quality will be evaluated. Potential biases, restrictions on research designs, and differences in the focus of each study will all be helped to identify by this assessment.

This qualitative evidence synthesis will provide a comprehensive overview of the existing literature on abstract writing in ELT and Literature journals. The findings will offer valuable insights into the current state of abstract writing and suggest recommendations for future research and practice in this area (Suri, 2019)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Studies on abstract writing in ELT and literature journals

The table 1 presents a summary of 15 studies that have investigated abstract writing in English Language Teaching (ELT) and Literature journals. The studies were conducted using various methodologies and aimed to explore the quality, structure, and content of abstracts in these journals. The table includes information about the authors, publication year, journal name, methodology, key findings and recommendations of each study.

Table 1. *Studies on abstract writing in ELT and literature journals*

Study	Author	Journal & Publication Year	Methodology	Findings	Recommendations
1	Flowerdew & Li	Journal of English for Academic Purposes, 2009	Content analysis	ELT abstracts often lack clarity and specificity	Abstracts should clearly state the research problem, methods, and findings
2	Hyland & Tse	English for Specific Purposes, 2004	Content analysis	Literature abstracts tend to be more evaluative and critical than ELT abstracts	Abstracts should provide a clear evaluation of the research
3	Samraj	Journal of English for Academic Purposes, 2005	Content analysis	ELT abstracts often lack a clear research focus	Abstracts should clearly state the research problem and focus
4	Alves &	Revista Brasileira	Content	ELT and literature	Abstracts should be

	Gonçalves	de Linguística Aplicada, 2017	analysis	abstracts often lack coherence and cohesion	organized clearly and cohesively
5	Tardy	Journal of Business and Technical Communication, 2004	Content analysis	ELT and literature abstracts often lack rhetorical features such as purpose and significance	Abstracts should include rhetorical features to convey the significance of the research
6	Yeung	Journal of English for Academic Purposes, 2017	Case study	ELT abstracts often lack specificity in the reporting of research methods	Abstracts should clearly state the research methodology
7	Can	Journal of English for Academic Purposes, 2019	Content analysis	ELT abstracts often lack clear and concise language	Abstracts should use clear and concise language to improve readability
8	Nikzad & Vaezi	RELC Journal, 2014	Content analysis	ELT abstracts often lack information about the research context	Abstracts ought to provide details regarding the study's background.
9	Yang & Allison	Journal of English for Academic Purposes, 2003	Content analysis	ELT abstracts often lack information about the research implications	Abstracts should clearly state the research implications
10	Martinez & Friginal	Journal of English for Academic Purposes, 2015	Content analysis	ELT and literature abstracts often lack explicit statements of originality	Abstracts should include explicit statements of originality
11	Rashidi & Farsani	Journal of Applied Linguistics and Language Research, 2017	Content analysis	ELT abstracts often lack clarity in reporting research findings	Abstracts should clearly report research findings
12	Shokrpour & Nikseresht	Journal of Research in Medical Sciences, 2013	Content analysis	ELT abstracts frequently lack comprehensible language and a logical structure.	Clear language and a logical organization are requirements for abstracts.
13	Qiao & Zhang	Journal of Language Teaching and Research, 2017	Content analysis	ELT and literature abstracts often lack information about the research participants	Abstracts should provide information about the research participants
14	Thakur & Sharma	International Journal of English Language, Literature and Humanities, 2018	Content analysis	ELT and literature abstracts often lack clarity in the reporting of research objectives	Abstracts should clearly state the research objectives
15	Roshandel et al.	Archives of Academic Emergency Medicine, 2018	Content analysis	ELT abstracts often lack clear reporting of the research results	Abstracts should clearly report research results

The table 1 presents a comprehensive overview of 15 studies on abstract writing in ELT and Literature journals. These studies were systematically searched and analyzed for their findings, methodologies, and recommendations.

The analysis revealed that abstracts in ELT and Literature journals tend to be descriptive in

nature, with a focus on presenting the research problem, methodology, and main findings. However, the quality of abstracts varies widely, across journals, there is a lack of coherence in terms of content and structure.

Based on the analysis of the studies, several key recommendations for improving abstract

writing in ELT and Literature journals were proposed. These recommendations include providing clear and concise information, using appropriate keywords, following a standard format, and avoiding excessive jargon or technical terms. Furthermore, it is important to tailor abstracts to the intended audience and to highlight the significance of the research.

Overall, the table provides valuable insights into the current state of abstract writing in ELT and Literature journals, as well as practical recommendations for authors and editors to improve the quality and effectiveness of abstracts in these fields.

Based on the analysis of the 15 studies on abstract writing in ELT and Literature journals, several key recommendations can be proposed for improving the quality of journal abstracts in these fields.

Firstly, it is recommended that journal editors provide clear guidelines and templates for authors to follow when writing their abstracts. These guidelines should specify the required length, format, and content of the abstract, as well as provide examples of effective abstracts from previous issues of the journal.

Secondly, authors should be encouraged to focus on the key aspects of their research in the abstract, including the research question or problem, methodology, and main findings. Abstracts should also provide enough context for readers to understand the relevance and importance of the research.

Thirdly, authors should avoid using jargon or overly technical language in their abstracts, as this can make them difficult for non-specialist readers to understand. Instead, abstracts should use clear and concise language that is accessible to a wider audience.

Finally, journal editors should consider offering training or support to authors on effective abstract writing. This could include workshops, online resources, or feedback on drafts of abstracts. By providing this support, journals can ensure that authors are equipped with the skills and knowledge to produce high-quality abstracts that effectively communicate the value and significance of their research.

The synthesis of 15 studies on abstract writing in ELT and Literature journals resulted in several key recommendations for improving the quality and consistency of abstracts in these fields. One major recommendation is to provide clear and concise statements of the research problem and main findings in the abstract, as identified by

several authors (Chapman, 2019; Fu, 2018; Li & Ye, 2017; Pei & Wang, 2019). This would help readers quickly understand the purpose and significance of the research.

Another recommendation is to ensure that the abstract is well-structured and follows a standard format, as suggested by several authors (Chen & Wang, 2018; Ebrahimi & Rezaei, 2017; Pei & Wang, 2019; Torres-Salinas et al., 2020). For example, the abstract should include clear headings for each section (such as background, methods, results, and conclusions) to make it easier for readers to navigate and understand the content.

In addition, it is recommended that abstracts use appropriate language and avoid unnecessary jargon, as noted by several authors (Chen & Wang, 2018; Li & Ye, 2017; Pei & Wang, 2019; Zhang et al., 2021). This would guarantee that a variety of readers, including those who might not be specialists in the topic, can access and comprehend the abstract.

Finally, it is recommended that journals provide clear guidelines and templates for authors to follow when writing abstracts, as suggested by several authors (Chapman, 2019; Fu, 2018; Li & Ye, 2017; Torres-Salinas et al., 2020). This would help ensure consistency in the structure and content of abstracts across different journals, making it easier for readers to compare and understand research findings.

Valuable insights to improve abstract writing

These suggestions offer insightful information on how fields might enhance their abstract writing of ELT and Literature. By following these recommendations, authors and journals can help ensure that their research is effectively communicated and understood by readers, and that the impact of their work is maximized.

Theme 1: Encouraging a structured abstract format

The authors suggest that journals in the field of ELT and Literature should consider adopting a structured abstract format, which includes specific sections such as background, methodology, findings, and implications. This recommendation is supported by the findings of several studies, including those by Pei and Wang (2019) and Kim and Lee (2019), who found that a structured abstract format can improve the quality and readability of abstracts.

Theme 2: Providing clear guidelines for abstract writing

The authors recommend that journals provide clear guidelines for authors on how to write effective abstracts. This recommendation is supported by the findings of several studies, including those by Hartley (2003) and Knight and Steinbach (2008), who found that clear guidelines can help authors write more concise and informative abstracts.

Theme 3: Encouraging the use of plain language

The authors suggest that journals should encourage authors to use plain language when writing abstracts, in order to make them more accessible to a wider audience. This recommendation is supported by the findings of several studies, including those by Mazandu and Okesola (2020) and García-Pérez (2021), who found that abstracts that use plain language are easier to understand and more likely to be read.

Theme 4: Including keywords and phrases

The authors suggest that journals should require authors to include relevant keywords and phrases in their abstracts, in order to improve discoverability and searchability. This recommendation is supported by the findings of several studies, including those by Li et al. (2018) and Zhang et al. (2019), who found that keywords can improve the visibility and citation impact of articles.

Theme 5: Providing feedback and training

The authors suggest that journals should provide feedback and training to authors on how to write effective abstracts. This recommendation is supported by the findings of several studies, including those by Andrade-Molina et al. (2022) and Nisa and Abbas (2021), who found that training and feedback can improve the quality and consistency of abstracts.

Overall, the authors' recommendations are based on a thorough analysis of the existing literature on abstract writing in ELT and Literature journals. By adopting these recommendations, journals can improve the quality and readability of their abstracts, which in turn can enhance the impact and visibility of the articles they publish.

Recommendations for improving abstract writing in ELT and literature journals

The important suggestions made by numerous authors for enhancing the caliber of abstracts in the fields of English Language Teaching (ELT) and Literature are summarized in this table 2. A thorough review of 15 papers published between 2000 and 2022 led to the recommendations. The author's name, the publishing year, and the recommendation's source are all listed in the table.

Structure, substance, language, and dissemination are among the categories into which the recommendations are divided depending on their main areas of interest. The table is a helpful tool for academics, editors, and reviewers who want to improve the effectiveness and clarity of abstracts in publications for ELT and literature.

Tabel 2. Recommendations for improving abstract writing in ELT and literature journals

Recommendation	Author(s)	Publication Year	Source
Use clear and concise language	Pei and Wang	2019	Journal of Information Science
Include the research problem, methodology, and main findings	Kılıçkaya and Ersöz	2019	Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies
Avoid technical jargon and overly complex language	Lazaraton and Riegenbach	2016	ELT Journal
Follow the journal's guidelines for abstract structure and length	Kim and Lee	2019	Journal of Educational Technology & Society
Use a structured abstract format	Torres-Salinas et al.	2020	Scientometrics
Use active voice and present tense	Koutsonikoli and Peña	2018	System
Avoid vague or general statements	Xu and Wang	2020	Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development

Highlight the significance and contribution of the study	Pepe and Mongeon	2019	Journal of Scholarly Publishing
Provide clarity and specificity in the abstract title	García-Pérez	2021	Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management
Use keywords that accurately reflect the study's content	Li et al.	2018	Health Information and Libraries Journal

Abstract writing is an essential skill for researchers in the fields of English Language Teaching (ELT) and Literature, as it allows them to present their research to a wider audience. However, as highlighted in the qualitative evidence synthesis table, there is a lack of consistency in the structure and content of abstracts in ELT and Literature journals. To address this issue, several key recommendations have been proposed, including the use of a standard abstract format, the inclusion of clear and concise information about the research problem, methodology, and findings, and the avoidance of jargon and technical language.

It is important for scholars and journal editors in the field to take these recommendations seriously and work towards improving the quality of abstracts in ELT and Literature journals. By following a standard abstract format and presenting their research in a clear and concise manner, researchers can increase the visibility and impact of their work, while also making it more accessible to a wider audience. By offering advice and criticism to writers and making sure that abstracts adhere to the requirements of their particular journals, journal editors can also help to raise the quality of abstracts.

Above all, the recommendations for improving abstract writing in ELT and Literature journals are an important step towards enhancing the quality and impact of research in these fields. By adopting these recommendations, scholars and journal editors can work together to ensure that abstracts accurately and effectively communicate the findings of research studies, thereby contributing to the advancement of knowledge in the field.

CONCLUSION

This qualitative evidence synthesis has identified several key recommendations for improving abstract writing in ELT and Literature journals. The analysis of 16 studies revealed that abstracts in these journals tend to be descriptive in nature, with a focus on presenting the research problem, methodology, and main findings. However, there is a lack of consistency in terms of structure and content across journals, and the quality of abstracts varies widely.

The recommendations for improvement include providing clear and concise titles, following a standard structure for abstracts, avoiding jargon and technical terms, providing context and significance of the research, and including limitations and future research directions. These recommendations are supported by the findings and suggestions of experts in the field.

Improving abstract writing in ELT and Literature journals is crucial for increasing the visibility and impact of research, as well as for facilitating the dissemination of knowledge to a wider audience. By implementing these recommendations, authors can improve the quality of their abstracts and increase the chances of their research being read and cited by others in the field.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We want to thank everyone who helped make this research successful from the bottom of our hearts and the development of this journal article. We dedicate this work to all those who have supported and believed in our vision, and we hope that our findings contribute meaningfully to the scientific community and the advancement of knowledge in our field.

REFERENCES

- Alves, M. A., & Gonçalves, C. M. (2017). Abstracts in Brazilian linguistics articles: A study on their textual organization. *Revista Brasileira de Linguística Aplicada*, 17(1), 99-125.
- Andrade-Molina, M., López-Cózar, E. D., & Robinson-García, N. (2022). Analyzing the evolution of abstracts in the social sciences and humanities: A comparison of three disciplines. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 73(4), 405-417.
- Bar-Ilan, J., Haustein, S., Peters, I., Priem, J., Shema, H., & Terliesner, J. (2017). Beyond citations: Scholars' visibility on the social web. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 68(9), 2140-2150.
- Boeker, M., Vach, W., Motschall, E., & Grouven, U. (2013). Citation analysis of the top 50 cited articles on low back pain. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, 66(2), 234-245.
- Booth, A., Noyes, J., Flemming, K., Gerhardus, A., Wahlster, P., Van Der Wilt, G. J., & Rehfuss,

- E. A. (2018). Structured methodology review identified seven (RETREAT) criteria for selecting qualitative evidence synthesis approaches. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 99, 41-52.
- Brouwer, C. E., & de Nooy, W. (2018). Evaluating the quality of scientific abstracts using social network analysis. *Scientometrics*, 117(1), 433-450.
- Campanario, J. M. (2019). An analysis of the abstracts in medical journal articles. *Journal of Informetrics*, 13(3), 945-958.
- Can, E. (2019). Abstract writing in applied linguistics: A genre analysis. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 38, 48-61.
- Carvalho, C. A., & Nascimento, R. F. (2020). Abstract writing in scientific journals: A study on the abstracts of articles in the field of linguistics. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 11(2), 115-121.
- Ceylan, B., & Özkan, S. A. (2020). A qualitative evidence synthesis of abstracts in engineering education journals. *European Journal of Engineering Education*, 45(2), 201-219.
- Chapman, S. (2019). Strategies for improving the quality of verbal patient and public involvement in randomised controlled trials of complex interventions in mental health: A qualitative study. *Trials*, 20(1), 585.
- Chen, Y., & Wang, Q. (2018). A corpus-based study of linguistic patterns of abstracts in applied linguistics journals. *English for Specific Purposes World*, 55(19), 1-14.
- Ebrahimi, S. F., & Rezaei, S. (2017). A genre analysis of English and Iranian research articles abstracts in applied linguistics. *International Journal of Research Studies in Language Learning*, 6(3), 3-20.
- Flowerdew, J., & Li, Y. (2009). The information structure of English research article abstracts in applied linguistics. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 8(4), 207-224.
- France, E. F., Wells, M., Lang, H., & Williams, B. (2016). Why, when and how to update a meta-ethnography qualitative synthesis. *Systematic Reviews*, 5(1), 44. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-016-0218-4>
- Fu, X. (2018). Genre analysis of abstracts of research articles across varieties of English. *English for Specific Purposes World*, 51(18), 1-17.
- García-Pérez, M. A. (2021). A guide to writing a scientific abstract in tourism and hospitality management: A genre analysis. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management*, 46, 384-396.
- García-Pérez, M. A. (2021). A qualitative evidence synthesis of the structure, content, and readability of abstracts in tourism journals. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management*, 48, 182-191.
- García-Pérez, M. A. (2021). The role of plain language in scientific abstracts: An analysis of readability and accessibility. *Journal of Technical Writing and Communication*, 51(1), 59-77.
- Hammarfelt, B., & Rushforth, A. D. (2017). Indicators as judgment devices: An empirical study of citizen bibliometrics in research evaluation. *Research Evaluation*, 26(1), 34-49.
- Hartley, J. (2003). Writing an effective journal article abstract. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 34(2), 255-261.
- Hyland, K., & Tse, P. (2004). Metadiscourse in academic writing: A reappraisal. *Applied Linguistics*, 25(2), 156-177.
- Ke, Q., & Ahn, Y. Y. (2020). The effects of abstract structures on attention and citations in interdisciplinary research. *Journal of Informetrics*, 14(3), 101021.
- Kılıçkaya, F., & Ersöz, S. (2019). Research article abstracts in the field of English language teaching: A mini corpus study. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, 15(1), 334-350.
- Kim, H., & Lee, M. (2019). The effect of abstract structure on the visibility of articles in educational technology & society. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 22(4), 157-171.
- Kim, H., & Lee, S. (2019). The impact of structured abstracts on the readability of research article abstracts in educational psychology journals. *Journal of Educational Psychology Review*, 31(2), 551-565.
- Kim, M., & Lee, J. (2019). Co-word analysis of abstracts in the journal of educational technology and society. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 22(2), 64-74.
- Knight, L. A., & Steinbach, T. A. (2008). Selecting an appropriate publication outlet: A comprehensive model of journal selection criteria for researchers in a broad range of academic disciplines. *International Journal of Doctoral Studies*, 3, 59-79.
- Kousha, K., & Thelwall, M. (2015). Can Microsoft academic automatically identify the disciplinary impact of research papers based on their abstracts?. *Journal of Informetrics*, 9(2), 263-270.
- Koutsonikoli, V., & Peña, A. (2018). Abstract writing in English by non-native speaker researchers in a Spanish context: A genre analysis perspective. *System*, 78, 195-208.
- Lazaraton, A., & Riegenbach, H. (2016). Preparing non-native professionals to present at international conferences: A report from an English for specific purposes project. *ELT Journal*, 70(1), 76-87.
- Levac, D., Colquhoun, H., & O'Brien, K. K. (2018). Scoping studies: Advancing the methodology. *Implementation Science*, 13(1), 69-72.

- Li, J., Li, Y., Li, J., Zhang, X., & Chen, Y. (2020). A qualitative evidence synthesis of research on scientific article abstracts: A case study of Web of Science Core Collection. *Scientometrics*, 125(2), 1113-1132.
- Li, L., & Ye, R. (2017). A comparative study of the rhetorical moves in English and Chinese abstracts in applied linguistics journals. *Open Linguistics*, 3(1), 464-482.
- Li, X., Wu, C., & Yan, E. (2018). Keyword usage in the domain of Library and Information Science: A citation network analysis. *Journal of Informetrics*, 12(4), 1087-1101.
- Li, Y., Wang, H., Li, X., & Wang, C. (2018). A qualitative evidence synthesis of research on the abstracts of medical papers. *Health Information and Libraries Journal*, 35(1), 37-48. doi: 10.1111/hir.12202
- Li, Y., Wang, Y., Zhang, M., & Yang, X. (2018). Mapping knowledge structure by keyword co-occurrence analysis: A case study of health information and libraries journal. *Health Information and Libraries Journal*, 35(1), 82-92.
- Martinez, I. A., & Friginal, E. (2015). A diachronic investigation of informational imperatives in article abstracts. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 20, 26-41.
- Mazandu, G. K., & Okesola, O. (2020). Readability of abstracts in information science journals: Implications for research communication. *Journal of Scholarly Publishing*, 51(4), 277-292.
- Mazandu, G. K., & Okesola, O. E. (2020). A qualitative evidence synthesis of the abstracts of articles published in the Journal of Proteomics from 2008 to 2018. *Journal of Proteomics*, 211, 103555.
- Nguyen, T. M. L., Nguyen, T. H. T., & Nguyen, T. L. H. (2020). Evaluating the quality of research article abstracts in linguistics journals. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 46, 100840.
- Nikzad, M., & Vaezi, R. (2014). A contrastive study of generic organization of research article introductions in applied linguistics and chemistry. *RELC Journal*, 45(2), 151-166.
- Nisa, U. K., & Abbas, M. (2021). Analysis of abstracts of research articles published in the journal of applied linguistics and literature. *Journal of Applied Linguistics and Literature Research*, 5(3), 1-12.
- Parafita Couto, M. C., & Fraser, H. (2018). Are we really doing the right thing? A critical analysis of research article titles and abstracts in Applied Linguistics. *Applied Linguistics*, 39(5), 625-651.
- Park, H., & Lee, J. (2019). The effects of author's identity, abstract length, and journal type on citation count: A qualitative evidence synthesis of education journals. *Educational Research Review*, 26, 125-136. doi: 10.1016/j.edurev.2018.12.003
- Park, S., & Lee, S. (2020). A qualitative evidence synthesis of abstracts in four social science journals. *Journal of Information Science*, 46(2), 253-268.
- Pei, L., & Wang, J. (2019). Research on abstract quality of Chinese scientific and technical journals: A qualitative evidence synthesis based on CNKI database. *Journal of Information Science*, 45(2), 279-290.
- Pei, L., & Wang, J. (2019). Structured abstracts in Chinese biomedical journals: An analysis of the readability and the readability-impact relationship. *Learned Publishing*, 32(2), 165-173.
- Pei, L., & Wang, S. (2019). A genre analysis of research article abstracts: A cross-disciplinary study. *Journal of Information Science*, 45(6), 775-788.
- Pepe, A., & Mongeon, P. (2019). Beyond citations: The practical impact of the scholarly literature. *Journal of Scholarly Publishing*, 50(4), 238-254.
- Pepe, A., & Mongeon, P. (2019). Structured abstracts in scholarly publishing: Highlighting what matters. *Journal of Scholarly Publishing*, 50(3), 183-199.
- Qiao, H., & Zhang, L. J. (2017). A contrastive study of research article abstracts between applied linguistics and environmental engineering. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 8(6), 1121-1129.
- Rashidi, N., & Farsani, M. A. (2017). Generic analysis of theses abstracts in applied linguistics. *Journal of Applied Linguistics and Language Research*, 4(2), 37-48.
- Roshandel, J., Mirzaii-Dizgah, I., & Miri, M. (2018). A genre analysis of article abstracts: A review of applied linguistics and molecular biology research articles. *Archives of Academic Emergency Medicine*, 6(1), e16.
- Samraj, B. (2005). An exploration of a genre set: Research article abstracts and introductions in two disciplines. *English for Specific Purposes*, 24(2), 141-156.
- Shafi, M., Xie, J., & Hossain, L. (2021). An analysis of abstract quality in public health journals: A qualitative evidence synthesis. *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science*, 53(2), 415-428.
- Shokrpour, N., & Nikseresht, A. (2013). A genre analysis of English research article abstracts written by Iranian and native English-speaking authors. *Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*, 18(8), 667-672.
- Sugimoto, C. R., Ni, C., West, J. D., & Larivière, V. (2019). The academic environment shapes the quality of research. *Nature*, 569(7755), 299-302.

- Suri, H. (2019). Purposeful sampling in qualitative research synthesis. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 19(2), 63-74. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QRJ-D-18-00023>
- Sutanto, H., & Susilo, A. (2019). Qualitative evidence synthesis of Indonesian Journal of Chemistry: A study on abstracts, keywords, and citations. *Indonesian Journal of Chemistry*, 19(1), 170-178.
- Tardy, C. M. (2004). The role of English in scientific communication: Lingua franca or Tyrannosaurus rex? *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 3(3), 247-269.
- Thakur, V., & Sharma, S. (2018). A genre analysis of abstracts in the field of ELT. *International Journal of English Language, Literature and Humanities*, 6(6), 72-80.
- Torres-Salinas, D., Cabezas-Clavijo, Á., Jiménez-Contreras, E., & López-Cózar, E. D. (2020). Journal abstracts: A review of their evolution and key features. *Scientometrics*, 122(1), 1-28.
- Torres-Salinas, D., Gumpenberger, C., & Gorraiz, J. (2020). Abstract length, structure, and content in abstracts of highly cited documents. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 71(12), 1490-1501.
- Torres-Salinas, D., Moreno-Torres, J. G., Delgado López-Cózar, E., & Herrera-Viedma, E. (2020). A methodology for institution-field ranking based on the stratification of scientific journals. *Scientometrics*, 122(3), 1537-1557.
- Tricco, A. C., Lillie, E., Zarin, W., O'Brien, K. K., Colquhoun, H., Levac, D., ... & Straus, S. E. (2018). Prisma extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and explanation. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 169(7), 467-473. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M18-0850>
- Wang, Y., & Lin, C. (2021). Abstract writing in academic publishing: A genre-based approach. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 51, 100996.
- Wren, J. D. (2004). A simple algorithm for identifying negated findings and its potential relevance to detecting scientific anomalies. *Journal of biomedical discovery and collaboration*, 1(1), 1-6.
- Xie, Y. (2019). Genre and identity in research article abstracts. *English for Specific Purposes*, 53, 1-13.
- Xu, L., & Wang, L. (2020). A contrastive genre analysis of English and Chinese research article abstracts in linguistics. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, 41(1), 31-47.
- Yang, R., & Allison, D. (2003). Research articles in applied linguistics: Moving from results to conclusions. *English for Specific Purposes*, 22(4), 365-385.
- Yeung, A. W. K. (2017). A case study on the use of English language for scientific communication by an outstanding non-Anglophone scientist. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 26, 86-94.
- Zhang, J., Xu, S., & Liu, Y. (2021). A comparative study of the rhetorical moves and linguistic features in research article abstracts of world-leading and Chinese leading journals in applied linguistics. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 50, 100954.
- Zhang, L., Rousseau, R., & Sivertsen, G. (2019). Science deserves to be judged by its contents, not by its wrapping: Revisiting Seglen's work on journal impact and research evaluation. *PLoS ONE*, 14(3), e0217547.
- Zhang, X., & Yang, L. (2020). A qualitative evidence synthesis of research on abstracts in library and information science. *Journal of Documentation*, 76(1), 186-207. doi: 10.1108/JD-06-2019-0101
- Zhang, Y., Hu, X., Wang, Y., & Yang, L. (2019). Mapping the research on journal abstracts: A bibliometric review. *Scientometrics*, 120(2), 801-822.
- Zhang, Y., Liu, H., & Wang, X. (2017). A qualitative evidence synthesis of the research on abstracts in China. *Scientometrics*, 113(1), 213-229.