Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Redhwan Ahmed Al-Naggar, National University of Malaysia, Malaysia

REVIEWED BY Feisul Mustapha, Ministry of Health Malaysia, Malaysia Huan-Keat Chan, Ministry of Health (Malaysia), Malaysia

\*CORRESPONDENCE Nicholas W. S. Chew ⊠ nicholas\_ws\_chew@nuhs.edu.sg

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 25 March 2023 ACCEPTED 06 April 2023 PUBLISHED 24 April 2023

#### CITATION

Anand VV, Goh RSJ, Nah B, Koh SWC, Lim J, Neo NWS, Chew J, Lee YY, Chin YH, Chong B, Kong G, Tan B, Low Z, Khoo CM, Goh LH, Loh PH, Chai P, Dalakoti M, Chan M, Foo R, Muthiah M and Chew NWS (2023) General Public's knowledge, awareness, and perception of Cardiometabolic diseases: data from a Singapore study population. *Front. Med.* 10:1193829. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2023.1193829

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Anand, Goh, Nah, Koh, Lim, Neo, Chew, Lee, Chin, Chong, Kong, Tan, Low, Khoo, Goh, Loh, Chai, Dalakcti, Chan, Foo, Muthiah and Chew. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# General Public's knowledge, awareness, and perception of Cardiometabolic diseases: data from a Singapore study population

Vickram Vijay Anand<sup>1†</sup>, Rachel Sze Jen Goh<sup>2†</sup>, Benjamin Nah<sup>3†</sup>, Sky Wei Chee Koh<sup>4,5</sup>, Jieyu Lim<sup>2</sup>, Nicholas W. S. Neo<sup>6</sup>, Jocelyn Chew<sup>7</sup>, Yuan Ying Lee<sup>6</sup>, Yip Han Chin<sup>2</sup>, Bryan Chong<sup>2</sup>, Gwyneth Kong<sup>2</sup>, Bryan Tan<sup>2</sup>, Zhiwen Low<sup>8</sup>, Chin Meng Khoo<sup>2,9</sup>, Lay Hoon Goh<sup>4,5</sup>, Poay Huan Loh<sup>2,10,11</sup>, Ping Chai<sup>2,10</sup>, Mayank Dalakoti<sup>2,10</sup>, Mark Chan<sup>2,10</sup>, Roger Foo<sup>2,10</sup>, Mark Muthiah<sup>2,3,12</sup> and Nicholas W. S. Chew <sup>10</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lee Kong Chian School of Medicine, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore, <sup>2</sup>Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University Singapore, Singapore, <sup>3</sup>Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Medicine, National University Hospital, Singapore, Singapore, <sup>4</sup>National University Polyclinics, National University Health System, Singapore, Singapore, <sup>5</sup>Division of Family Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, <sup>6</sup>Nursing Department, National University Heart Centre, Singapore, Singapore, <sup>7</sup>Alice Lee Centre for Nursing Studies, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University Singapore, Singapore, Singapore, <sup>8</sup>Faculty of Science, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, <sup>9</sup>Department of Endocrinology, National University Hospital, Singapore, <sup>10</sup>Department of Cardiology, National University Heart Centre, National University Health System, Singapore, <sup>11</sup>Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Ng Teng Fong General Hospital, Singapore, Singapore, <sup>12</sup>National University Centre for Organ Transplantation, National University Health System, Singapore, Singapore, Singapore, <sup>12</sup>National

**Background:** Health literacy and illness perception play crucial roles in tackling the cardiometabolic disease epidemic. We aim to compare the attitudes, knowledge, self-perceived risks and actions taken, between individuals with and without metabolic risk factors (MFs).

**Methods:** From 5 June to 5 October 2022, participants of the general public were invited to complete a self-administered questionnaire. MF status was defined as the presence of hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus and/or current/previous smoking. Participants were assessed based on four categories (knowledge-based, attitude-based, perceived risk, and action-based) of questions pertaining to four cardiometabolic diseases – diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.

**Results:** A total of 345 participants were enrolled, of whom 34.5% had at least one MF. Compared to those without MFs, participants with MFs had lower knowledge scores, but higher perceived risk scores across all cardiometabolic diseases. The largest knowledge gap pertained to hypertension-related questions. After adjustment, linear regression demonstrated that the presence of MFs ( $\beta$ :2.752, 95%CI: 0.772–4.733, p = 0.007) and higher knowledge scores ( $\beta$ :0.418, 95%CI: 0.236–0.600, p < 0.001) were associated with higher perceived risk. Despite increased perceived risk in those with MFs, this translated to only few increased self-reported preventive actions, when compared to those without MFs, namely the reduction in red meat/processed food consumption (p=0.045) and increase in fruits/vegetables consumption (p=0.009).

**Conclusion:** This study identified a vulnerable subpopulation living with MFs, with high perceived risks, and discordant levels of knowledge and preventive actions taken. Nationwide efforts should be channeled into addressing the knowledge-to-action gap.

KEYWORDS

knowledge, awareness, perception, actions, cardiometabolic disease, risk factors

# 1. Introduction

The rising cardiometabolic disease epidemic is a global health concern, with worrisome trends of disease burden and morbidity over the past decades (1-3). In Asia, nearly a fifth of the adult population is affected by cardiometabolic diseases (4). Based on the National Population Health Survey 2021 (5), the prevalence of hypertension and hyperlipidemia in Singapore was 15.7 and 13.9% respectively, while the prevalence of diabetes mellitus and was 6.9%. Cardiometabolic diseases exist in tandem with one another, often sharing similarities in underlying pathomechanisms including insulin resistance and metabolic dysregulation. In the later stages, they can manifest as clinically identifiable pre-disease states of metabolic syndrome and prediabetes, eventually resulting in cardiovascular diseases and diabetes mellitus (6). In contrast to Western populations, there is a higher prevalence of metabolic dysfunction at relatively lower levels of obesity in Asian populations, which makes the identification and management of these silent metabolic disorders even more challenging (7-10). Increasingly, the individual's own illness perception and understanding of their medical condition have been shown to have significant effects on readmission rates and recovery for many diseases (11, 12). This has been attributed to higher medication compliance (13, 14) and adherence to lifestyle changes (15) in individuals with better disease knowledge and positive illness perceptions. With multidisciplinary approaches to managing cardiometabolic disease often hinging on lifestyle modifications and medication adherence as the cornerstone of primary prevention (16-19), there is an urgent need to understand the public's knowledge and illness perception that can prove useful for important stakeholders in healthcare policymaking in the concerted efforts to derail the incoming metabolic wave (20-23).

While the current literature has explored the perception of cardiometabolic disease in the Western population (24), there is limited data on the knowledge and perception of cardiometabolic diseases within the Singaporean population (25, 26). Given the unique cultural and socio-economic factors that influence risk perception and actions (27), this study aims to provide insights into the perception of cardiometabolic diseases the Singapore population by exploring the general public's attitudes and knowledge of the disease, self-perceived risks and actions taken to improve metabolic health, stratified by the presence of metabolic risk factors (MFs). These unique perspectives can help inform policy makers on the vital components of cardiometabolic diseases that needs to be addressed, as well as the

identification of vulnerable population subgroups that may benefit from targeted interventions.

# 2. Materials and methods

## 2.1. Study design and population

This cross-sectional study was conducted from 5 June 2022 to 5 October 2022. Participants were recruited through convenience sampling. The online survey was disseminated to personal contacts, *via* social media and through mailing lists, and participants forwarded the survey link to other individuals that met the eligibility criteria. The study was open to all participants aged 21 years and above, residing in Singapore.

## 2.2. Data collection

All participants willing to participate in the study filled in an online self-administered English questionnaire secured by FormSg. Implied consent was indicated through the voluntary completion of the online questionnaire. Baseline characteristics such as age, sex, ethnicity, and anthropometric variables were recorded. Participants were stratified into two groups, participants with MFs and those without. The presence of MFs was defined as the self-reported presence of hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus and current or ex-smoking.

## 2.3. Instrument

The questionnaire was developed and adapted for the use in our Singaporean cohort, based on previous validated psychometric tools (28–32). It is sectioned into four main categories – knowledge-based, attitude-based, risk perception, and action-based questions. Knowledge-based questions evaluated participants' understanding of the metabolic diseases including their awareness of the disease, perception of the risk factors, reversibility, and complications of the disease. Attitude-based questions examined the perceived importance of factors including lifestyle modifications and medications in improving the course of the disease. Risk perception questions measured both the cognitive and affective aspect of patients' perception of susceptibility to developing complications of cardiometabolic diseases. Action-based questions evaluated participants' willingness in taking action toward mitigating cardiometabolic risks. These questions were raised in the survey in

Abbreviations: MF – Metabolic risk factor; NAFLD – Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.

relation to each of the four cardiometabolic diseases that were being studied, namely hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). The study was approved by the local institutional review committee in accordance with the revised Declaration of Helsinki (National Healthcare Group Domain Specific Review Board Ref: 2022/00097).

## 2.4. Statistical analysis

The study outcomes included participants' knowledge and attitude toward cardiometabolic diseases, their perceived risk of cardiometabolic complications, and actions taken to mitigate these risks, based on the individual's MF status.

Knowledge scores were computed in accordance to the latest guideline recommendations (33, 34), with the objective of gaging participants' understanding of specific cardiometabolic diseases. The knowledge-based point system was developed with reference to a study (35) by Al-Hanawi et al. For knowledge questions on a Likert scale, scores were assigned based on the following: "Strongly Disagree," "Disagree" and "Neutral" were assigned 0 points, while "Agree" and "Strongly Agree" were assigned 1 point. For the remaining questions, correct responses were assigned a score of 1, and incorrect or uncertain answers were assigned a score of 0. Perceived risk scores comprising of both the affective and cognitive component were developed to evaluate the extent of the participants' perceived susceptibility to cardiometabolic diseases and the complications (32). The cognitive aspect was assessed on the following scale ("Very High Risk" = 5 points, "High Risk" = 4 points, "Neutral" = 3 points, "Low Risk" = 2 points, "Very Low Risk" = 1 point). Higher perceived risk scores signified that the individual perceives their own risk of developing an adverse event to be increased. The affect aspect, participants' level of concern was evaluated on a scale ("Not Worried at All" = 1 point, "A Little Worried" = 2 points, "Somewhat Worried" = 3 points, "Worried" = 4 points and "Very Worried" = 5 points). Based on the cumulated perceived risk score, a multivariable linear regression model was constructed to examine independent predictors of increased perceived risk, which included covariates such as age, sex, ethnicity, marital status, education levels, presence of MFs, and knowledge-based score (36).

The analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS statistics 25 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) and RStudio (Version 4.2.2). Categorical variables were expressed as numbers (percentages) and continuous variables were expressed as mean (standard deviation). Pearson's chi-square test was used to compare categorical variables, 2-sample T test (or Mann–Whitney U test, where appropriate) for the comparison of continuous and ordinal variables. A *p* <0.05 was deemed statistically significant for this study.

# 3. Results

## 3.1. Baseline characteristics

A total of 345 participants were recruited for this study, of whom 226 (65.5%) were participants without MFs and 119 (34.5%) were participants with MFs. Individuals with MFs tended to be older  $(55 \pm 11 \text{ years})$  and more likely to be male (44.5%), compared to those

without MFs (37±12years, 35.8% male). Ethnicity did not vary significantly between the groups, with the majority being Chinese (89.0%), followed by Malay (5.8%), and Indian (2.6%) within the overall population. Of the study cohort, 7.0% of participants had the diagnosis of diabetes mellitus, 3.8% had prediabetes, 16.8% had hypertension, 26.8% had hyperlipidemia, and 10.5% were current/ ex-smokers. The demographics and clinical characteristics of participants are appended in Table 1. All participants completed the surveys without any missing data.

## 3.2. Knowledge and attitude

#### 3.2.1. Hypertension

The majority of the population had heard of hypertension (89.6%), with a higher percentage of those with MFs being aware of hypertension (95.8%) compared to those without MFs (86.3%, p=0.006). Of the study population, 68.7% agreed that hypertension is a lifelong disease. The large proportion of participants strongly agreed (52.8%) and agreed (38.3%) that hypertension will increase the risk of coronary artery disease and stroke; however, a substantial proportion of participants chose "neutral" (44.3%) when asked if hypertension will increase the risk of fatty liver disease.

Majority of participants felt that lifestyle changes (diet and exercise) were "very important" (74.2%) with regards to the control of blood pressure. Approximately two-thirds of participants found that being compliant to blood pressure medications was "very important" (67.2%) in managing hypertension. There was no difference in responses between those with and without MFs.

#### 3.2.2. Hyperlipidemia

Almost all participants had heard of hyperlipidemia (95.4%). While participants strongly agreed (33.0%) and agreed (43.2%) that hyperlipidemia will increase the risk of fatty liver disease, there was a higher proportion of those without MFs who strongly agreed (35.8%) compared to those with MFs (27.7%, p=0.024). The majority of participants strongly agreed (58.0%) or agreed (33.0%) that hyperlipidemia will increase the risk of coronary artery disease and stroke, with no differences between the two groups (p=0.099). Most participants felt that lifestyle changes were "very important" (72.8%) in controlling hyperlipidemia, with no difference in responses between those with and without MFs (p=0.824).

### 3.2.3. Diabetes Mellitus

Majority of the population had heard of diabetes mellitus (95.4%), with 78.6% of the population agreeing that it is a lifelong disease. The large proportion of participants strongly agreed (40.0%) and agreed (35.7%) that diabetes mellitus will increase the risk of coronary artery disease and stroke; however, more than a third of participants (36.2%) answered "neutral" when asked if diabetes mellitus will increase the risk of fatty liver disease. Almost all participants felt that lifestyle changes (84.9%) and adherence to prescribed medications (79.1%) were "very important" in controlling diabetes mellitus. There was no difference in responses between those with and without MFs.

### 3.2.4. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

Most participants had heard of NAFLD (90.7%) and agreed that it can be reversed in its early stages (76.8%). A large proportion of

## TABLE 1 Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics.

Variable	Overall Cohort (N =345)	Metabolic risk factors (N =119)	No metabolic risk factors (N =226)	<i>p</i> -values
Male sex	134 (38.8%)	53 (44.5)	81 (35.8)	0.144
Ethnicity				0.097
Chinese	307 (89.0%)	105 (88.2)	202 (89.4)	
Malay	20 (5.8%)	7 (5.9)	13 (5.8)	
Indian	9 (2.6%)	1 (0.8)	8 (3.5)	
Others	9 (2.6%)	6 (5.0)	3 (1.3)	
Age	43.9 (14.6)	55.7 (11.1)	37.7 (12.3)	<0.001
Body Mass Index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	23.3 (4.2)	24.3 (4.4)	22.7 (4.1)	0.001
Education status				0.002
Secondary Education	80 (23.2%)	41 (34.5%)	39 (17.3%)	
Tertiary Education	180 (52.2%)	53 (44.5%)	127 (56.2%)	
Post-Graduate	85 (24.6%)	25 (21.0%)	60 (26.5%)	
Employment status				<0.001
Student	10 (2.9%)	0 (0.0%)	10 (4.4%)	
Unemployed	18 (5.2%)	10 (8.4%)	8 (3.5%)	
Working (Part-time)	26 (7.5%)	13 (10.9%)	13 (5.8%)	
Working (Full-time)	261 (75.7%)	72 (60.5%)	189 (83.6%)	
Retired	30 (8.7%)	24 (20.2%)	6 (2.7%)	
Income				0.049
Less than SGD\$1,000	40 (11.6%)	17 (14.3%)	23 (10.2%)	
SGD\$1,001-2,500	23 (6.7%)	12 (10.1%)	11 (4.9%)	
\$GD\$2,501-5,000	117 (33.9%)	34 (28.6%)	83 (36.7%)	
\$GD\$5,001-7,500	73 (21.2%)	18 (15.1%)	55 (24.3%)	
SGD\$7,501-10,000	39 (11.3%)	15 (12.6%)	24 (10.6%)	
More than SGD\$10,000	53 (15.4%)	15 (12.6%)	30 (13.3%)	
Diabetes Mellitus	24 (7.0%)	24 (20.2%)	-	<0.001
Pre-diabetes	13 (3.8%)	9 (7.6%)	4 (1.8%)	
Hypertension	58 (16.8%)	58 (48.7%)	-	<0.001
Hyperlipidaemia	96 (27.8%)	96 (80.6%)	-	<0.001
Smoking				<0.001
Never smoked	309 (89.6%)	94 (79.0%)	215 (95.1%)	
Ex-smoker	24 (7.0%)	16 (13.4%)	8 (3.5%)	

#### TABLE 1 (Continued)

Variable	Overall Cohort (N =345)	Metabolic risk factors (N =119)	No metabolic risk factors ( <i>N</i> =226)	<i>p</i> -values
Current smoker	12 (3.5%)	9 (7.6%)	3 (1.3%)	

\*\*Variables are presented as absolute numbers (%), except for age and body mass index which will be presented as mean (standard deviation).

Bolded values indicate p-value of < 0.05 and it is taken as statistical significance.



participants agreed that fat in liver can cause serious health problems (90.4%) and liver failure (81.8%). There were no differences in responses between those with and without MFs. Majority of the participants either strongly agreed (45.8%) or agreed (35.9%) that excessive alcohol intake would increase the risk of NAFLD and agreed (42.3%) that NAFLD will increase the risk of coronary artery disease and stroke (37). Those without MFs were more likely to agree that family history of NAFLD (46.9% vs. 34.5% respectively, p = 0.030), smoking (36.3% vs. 26.9% respectively, p = 0.043), obesity (42.9% vs. 34.5% respectively, p = 0.024) and physical inactivity (49.1% vs. 32.6% respectively, p = 0.010) will increase the risk of NAFLD, compared to those with MFs.

Many of the participants felt that lifestyle factors were "very important" (72.8%) in treating NAFLD, with a larger proportion of those with MFs choosing "very important" (74.8%) compared to those without MFs (71.7%, p=0.019). However, while most participants felt that compliance to medications for metabolic diseases was "very important" (53.9%) in the treatment NAFLD, a larger proportion of participants without MFs chose this option (56.6%) than those with MFs (48.7%, p=0.034).

Overall, participants had good knowledge of cardiometabolic diseases, answering 78.6% of all questions correctly. Participants demonstrated the best knowledge for hyperlipidemia (87.6% of questions answered correctly) and the biggest gaps in knowledge for hypertension (73.8% of questions answered correctly). Participants without MFs had higher knowledge scores on cardiometabolic diseases (80.8% of questions answered correctly) across all four cardiometabolic diseases. The biggest discrepancy in knowledge scores between participants with and without MFs was pertaining to NAFLD. There is no difference in knowledge scores across the income

tiers apart from hyperlipidemia, with a trend toward higher knowledge scores with increasing levels of income. Knowledge scores improved as education level increased from secondary school education (74.8% questions answered correctly) to post-graduate (81.5% questions answered correctly) (Figure 1; Table 2).

# 3.3. Perceived risk

In the study cohort, the majority estimated their risk to be "low risk" (25.4%) or "neutral" (51.0%) for coronary artery disease, stroke, NAFLD, and chronic kidney disease. However, those with MFs were more likely to choose "very high risk" (4.4%) or "high risk" (25.9%) for all cardiometabolic complications compared to those without MFs (0.9% very high risk, 10.1% high risk). Similarly, majority of participants were only "somewhat worried" (25.3%) or "a little worried" (28.6%) about their risk of developing cardiometabolic diseases and complications. They were most worried about developing strokes (31.0% worried or very worried) and least concerned about incident NAFLD (22.9% worried or very worried). Those with MFs were generally more worried about developing coronary artery disease, stroke, NAFLD and chronic kidney disease compared to those without MFs (Figure 2; Table 3).

Multivariable linear regression demonstrated that the presence of MFs (adjusted  $\beta$ : 2.752, 95%CI: 0.772 to 4.733, p = 0.007) was significantly associated with increased perceived risk after adjusting for age, sex, race, education, and marital status. Higher knowledge scores of cardiometabolic diseases (adjusted  $\beta$ : 0.418, 95%CI: 0.236 to 0.600, p < 0.001) were also associated with higher perceived risk, after adjusting for important confounders (Table 4).

## TABLE 2 Attitude and knowledge with regards to cardiometabolic diseases.

Questions	Response	Overall	No metabolic risk factor	Metabolic risk factor	<i>p</i> -value
Hypertension					
Knowledge					
Have you heard of	Yes	309 (89.6%)	195 (86.3%)	114 (95.8%)	0.010
hypertension?	No	36 (10.4%)	31 (13.7%)	5 (4.2%)	
Do you think that high	Yes	237 (68.7%)	152 (67.3%)	85 (71.4%)	0.501
blood pressure is a lifelong disease?	No/Do not Know	108 (31.3%)	74 (32.7%)	34 (28.6%)	
High blood pressure is likely	Strongly agree	63 (18.3%)	44 (19.5%)	19 (16.0%)	0.026
to increase my risk of fatty	Agree	96 (27.8%)	74 (32.7%)	22 (18.5%)	
liver disease	Neutral	153 (44.3%)	90 (39.8%)	63 (52.9%)	
	Disagree	29 (8.4%)	16 (7.1%)	13 (10.9%)	
	Strongly disagree	4 (1.2%)	2 (0.9%)	2 (1.7%)	
High blood pressure is likely	Strongly agree	182 (52.8%)	124 (54.9%)	58 (48.7%)	0.373
to increase my risk of	Agree	132 (38.3%)	85 (37.6%)	47 (39.5%)	
coronary artery disease and	Neutral	26 (7.5%)	14 (6.2%)	12 (10.1%)	
stroke	Disagree	4 (1.2%)	3 (1.3%)	1 (0.8%)	
	Strongly disagree	1 (0.3%)	0	1 (0.8%)	
Attitude	1	1		I	1
How important do you think lifestyle changes (diet and exercise) are with regards to control of your blood pressure?	Very important	256 (74.2%)	173 (76.5%)	83 (69.7%)	0.061
	Somewhat important	74 (21.4%)	48 (21.2%)	26 (21.8%)	
	Neutral	12 (3.5%)	4 (1.8%)	8 (6.7%)	
	Not very important	3 (0.9%)	1 (0.4%)	2 (1.7%)	
*	Not important at all	0	0	0	
How important do	Very important	232 (67.2%)	158 (69.9%)	74 (62.2%)	0.289
you think it is for patients to	Somewhat important	91 (26.4%)	55 (24.3%)	36 (30.3%)	
take their prescribed	Neutral	20 (5.8%)	11 (4.9%)	9 (7.6%)	
blood pressure?	Not very important	2 (0.6%)	2 (0.9%)	0	
*	Not important at all	0	0	0	
<b>Hyperlipidemia</b> Knowledge					
Have you ever heard of	Yes	329 (95.4%)	215 (95.1%)	114 (95.8%)	0.992
hyperlipidemia?	No	16 (4.6%)	11 (4.9%)	5 (4.2%)	
High cholesterol levels are	Strongly agree	114 (33.0%)	81 (35.8%)	33 (27.7%)	0.024
likely to increase my risk of	Agree	149 (43.2%)	103 (45.6%)	46 (38.7%)	
fatty liver disease	Neutral	73 (21.2%)	39 (17.3%)	34 (28.6%)	
	Disagree	6 (1.7%)	2 (0.9%)	4 (3.4%)	
	Strongly disagree	3 (0.9%)	1 (0.4%)	2 (1.7%)	
High cholesterol levels are	Strongly agree	200 (58.0%)	139 (61.5%)	61 (51.3%)	0.099
likely to increase my risk of	agree	114 (33.0%)	72 (31.9%)	42 (35.3%)	
coronary artery disease and	Neutral	22 (6.4%)	9 (4.0%)	13 (10.9%)	
stroke	Disagree	5 (1.4%)	3 (1.3%)	2 (1.7%)	
	Strongly Disagree	4 (1.2%)	3 (1.3%)	1 (0.8%)	
Attitude			1	1	1

### TABLE 2 (Continued)

Questions	Response	Overall	No metabolic risk factor	Metabolic risk factor	<i>p</i> -value
How important do	Very important	251 (72.8%)	169 (74.8%)	82 (68.9%)	0.824
you think lifestyle changes	Somewhat important	80 (23.2%)	48 (21.2%)	32 (26.9%)	
(diet and exercise) are to a	Neutral	6 (1.7%)	4 (1.8%)	2 (1.7%)	
patient's cholesterol levels:	Not very important	3 (0.9%)	2 (0.9%)	1 (0.8%)	
	Not important at all	5 (1.4%)	3 (1.3%)	2 (1.7%)	
Diabetes Mellitus					
Knowledge					
Have you ever heard of	Yes	329 (95.4%)	218 (96.5%)	111 (93.3%)	0.286
diabetes?	No	16 (4.6%)	8 (3.5%)	8 (6.7%)	
Do you think that diabetes is	Yes	271 (78.6%)	175 (77.4%)	96 (80.7%)	0.576
a lifelong disease?	No	74 (21.4%)	51 (22.6%)	23 (19.3%)	
Diabetes is likely to increase	Strongly agree	82 (23.8%)	60 (26.5%)	22 (18.5%)	0.099
my risk of fatty liver disease	Agree	122 (35.4%)	84 (37.2%)	38 (31.9%)	
	Neutral	125 (36.2%)	75 (33.2%)	50 (42.0%)	
	Disagree	14 (4.1%)	6 (2.7%)	8 (6.7%)	
	Strongly disagree	2 (0.6%)	1 (0.4%)	1 (0.8%)	
Diabetes is likely to increase	Strongly agree	138 (40.0%)	99 (43.8%)	39 (32.8%)	0.095
my risk of coronary artery	Agree	123 (35.7%)	82 (36.3%)	41 (34.5%)	
disease and stroke	Neutral	61 (17.7%)	32 (14.2%)	29 (24.4%)	
	Disagree	18 (5.2%)	10 (4.4%)	8 (6.7%)	
	Strongly disagree	5 (1.4%)	3 (1.3%)	2 (1.7%)	
Attitude					
How important do	Very important	293 (84.9%)	193 (85.4%)	100 (84.0%)	0.335
you think lifestyle changes	Somewhat important	43 (12.5%)	29 (12.8%)	14 (11.8%)	
(diet and exercise) are to	Neutral	8 (2.3%)	3 (1.3%)	5 (4.2%)	
levels?	Not very important	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.4%)	0	
	Not important at all	0	0	0	
How important is it for	Very important	273 (79.1%)	181 (80.1%)	92 (77.3%)	0.471
patients to take their	Somewhat important	59 (17.1%)	39 (17.3%)	20 (16.8%)	
prescribed diabetes	Neutral	10 (2.9%)	5 (2.2%)	5 (4.2%)	
control your blood sugar	Not very important	3 (0.9%)	1 (0.4%)	2 (1.7%)	
levels?	Not important at all	0	0	0	
Non-alcoholic fatty liver dise	ase				
Knowledge					
Have you ever heard of fatty	Yes	313 (90.7%)	210 (92.9%)	103 (86.6%)	0.081
liver disease?	No	32 (9.3%)	16 (7.1%)	16 (13.4%)	
Do you think fatty liver	Yes	265 (76.8%)	179 (79.2%)	86 (72.3%)	0.188
disease can be reversible in its early stage?	No/do not know	80 (23.2%)	47 (20.8%)	33 (27.7%)	
Do you think fat in the liver	Yes	312 (90.4%)	207 (91.6%)	105 (88.2%)	0.415
can cause serious health problems?	No/do not know	33 (7.6%)	19 (8.4%)	14 (11.8%)	
Can fatty liver disease cause	Yes	279 (81.1%)	185 (81.9%)	94 (79.7%)	0.617
liver failure?	No/do not know	66 (18.9%)	41 (18.1%)	25 (21.0%)	

### TABLE 2 (Continued)

Questions	Response	Overall	No metabolic risk factor	Metabolic risk factor	<i>p</i> -value
Family history of fatty liver	Strongly agree	75 (21.7%)	53 (23.5%)	22 (18.5%)	0.030
disease is likely to increase	Agree	147 (42.6%)	106 (46.9%)	41 (34.5%)	
the risk of fatty liver disease	Neutral	100 (29.0%)	55 (24.3%)	45 (37.8%)	
	Disagree	20 (5.8%)	10 (4.4%)	10 (8.4%)	
	Strongly disagree	3 (0.9%)	2 (0.9%)	1 (0.8%)	
Smoking is likely to increase	Strongly agree	83 (24.1%)	59 (26.1%)	24 (20.2%)	0.043
the risk of fatty liver disease	Agree	114 (33.0%)	82 (36.3%)	32 (26.9%)	
	Neutral	127 (36.8%)	76 (33.6%)	51 (42.9%)	
	Disagree	19 (5.5%)	8 (3.5%)	11 (9.2%)	
	Strongly disagree	2 (0.6%)	1 (0.4%)	1 (0.8%)	
Obesity is likely to increase	Strongly agree	165 (47.8%)	111 (49.1%)	54 (45.4%)	0.024
the risk of fatty liver disease	Agree	138 (40.0%)	97 (42.9%)	41 (34.5%)	
	Neutral	38 (11.0%)	16 (7.1%)	22 (18.5%)	
	Disagree	2 (0.6%)	1 (0.4%)	1 (0.8%)	
	Strongly disagree	2 (0.6%)	1 (0.4%)	1 (0.8%)	
Excessive stress is likely to	Strongly agree	62 (18.0%)	39 (17.3%)	23 (19.3%)	0.164
increase the risk of fatty liver	Agree	121 (35.1%)	89 (39.4%)	32 (26.9%)	
disease	Neutral	133 (38.6%)	82 (36.3%)	51 (42.8%)	
	Disagree	24 (7.0%)	14 (6.2%)	10 (8.4%)	
	Strongly disagree	5 (1.4%)	2 (0.9%)	3 (2.5%)	
Excessive alcohol intake is	Strongly agree	158 (45.8%)	106 (46.9%)	52 (43.7%)	0.083
likely to increase the risk of	Agree	124 (35.9%)	87 (38.5%)	37 (31.1%)	
fatty liver disease	Neutral	60 (17.4%)	32 (14.2%)	28 (23.5%)	
	Disagree	0	0	0	
	Strongly disagree	3 (0.9%)	1 (0.4%)	2 (1.7%)	
Physical inactivity is likely to	Strongly agree	116 (33.6%)	75 (33.2%)	41 (34.5%)	0.010
increase the risk of fatty liver	Agree	151 (43.8%)	111 (49.1%)	40 (32.6%)	
disease	Neutral	63 (18.2%)	30 (13.2%)	33 (27.7%)	
	Disagree	9 (2.6%)	6 (2.7%)	3 (2.5%)	
	Strongly Disagree	6 (1.7%)	4 (1.8%)	2 (1.7%)	
Fatty liver disease is likely to	Strongly Agree	110 (31.9%)	77 (34.1%)	33 (27.7%)	0.279
increase the risk of coronary	Agree	146 (42.3%)	99 (43.8%)	47 (39.5%)	
artery disease and stroke	Neutral	78 (22.6%)	43 (19.0%)	35 (29.4%)	
	Disagree	8 (2.3%)	5 (2.2%)	3 (2.5%)	
	Strongly Disagree	3 (0.9%)	2 (0.9%)	1 (0.8%)	
Attitude					
How important do	Very important	251 (72.8%)	162 (71.7%)	89 (74.8%)	0.019
you think lifestyle changes	Somewhat important	79 (22.9%)	59 (26.1%)	20 (16.8%)	
(diet and exercise) are in	Neutral	6 (1.7%)	2 (0.9%)	4 (3.4%)	
actualing milly inver disease:	Not very important	0	0	0	
	Not important at all	9 (2.6%)	3 (1.3%)	6 (5.0%)	

#### TABLE 2 (Continued)

Questions	Response	Overall	No metabolic risk factor	Metabolic risk factor	<i>p</i> -value
How important do	Very important	186 (53.9%)	128 (56.6%)	58 (48.7%)	0.034
you think taking	Somewhat important	108 (31.3%)	75 (33.2%)	33 (27.7%)	
medications regularly for	Neutral	45 (13.0%)	21 (9.3%)	24 (20.2%)	
diabetes and high	Not very important	4 (1.2%)	1 (0.4%)	3 (2.5%)	
cholesterol) is in treating	Not important at all	2 (0.6%)	1 (0.4%)	1 (0.8%)	
fatty liver disease?					

Bolded values indicate p < 0.05 and it is taken as statistical significance.

Some categories may not total 100% due to rounding.



## 3.4. Actions taken

Only a minority adhered to the recommended guidelines of more than 150 min of moderate intensity exercise (14.8%) and more than 75 min of vigorous-intensity exercise per week (10.1%). Most participants made a conscious effort in cutting down sugar (70.1%), dietary fat (55.7%), red and processed meat (50.1%) in their diet. However, less than half of participants made a conscious effort to reduce salt in their diet (48.4%) or to limit their daily caloric intake (31.6%). Only 35.1% of participants followed the healthy plate recommendations for at least one meal daily. Nevertheless, those with MFs were more likely to agree or strongly agree with making a conscious effort in reducing red and processed meat consumption (p=0.009), as well as having at least 2 servings of fruits and vegetables a day (p=0.045), compared to those without MFs (Figure 3, Table 3).

# 4. Discussion

Our findings are concordant with current literature, showing heterogeneity in the knowledge across various cardiometabolic diseases and subgroups of the population (38). In recent years, cardiometabolic diseases have been on the rise across the globe (39), especially in Asia, attributed to globalization, socioeconomic changes, technological advancements, and sedentary living (5, 40-42). The study extends the current literature by providing a comprehensive overview of the associations between knowledge, attitudes, perceived risk, and actions taken toward cardiometabolic health in a multi-ethnic Singaporean population. There are several pertinent findings: (1) knowledge on cardiometabolic diseases and the presence of MFs are closely linked to an individual's perception of disease; (2) The largest knowledge gap among all the cardiometabolic diseases pertains to hypertension. However, when comparing to individuals without MFs, those with MFs had lower knowledge scores on all cardiometabolic domains with the largest discrepancy demonstrated in knowledge scores related to NAFLD; (3) Despite the increased perceived risk in those with MFs, this translated to only a few increased self-reported actions taken to address metabolic health, compared to those without MFs - namely red meat and processed food reduction, and adequate fruits/ vegetables intake. The lowest adherence to preventive recommendations pertained to those related with physical activity. The study highlights a vulnerable group of individuals living with cardiometabolic disease, with lower levels of knowledge scores and self-reported action plans, that appear discordant to the selfperceived risk of cardiometabolic complications.

#### TABLE 3 Perceived risks and actions taken for cardiometabolic diseases.

Questions	Response	Overall (%)	No Metabolic Risk Factor	Metabolic Risk Factor	<i>p</i> -value
Perceived risk					
How do you estimate your risk of developing coronary artery disease (blockage of blood vessels of	Very high risk	10 (2.9%)	1 (0.4%)	9 (7.6%)	<0.001
the heart)?	High Risk	65 (18.8%)	29 (12.8%)	36 (30.3%)	
	Neutral	165 (47.8%)	115 (50.9%)	50 (42.0%)	
	Low Risk	86 (24.9%)	68 (30.1%)	18 (15.1%)	
	Very Low Risk	19 (5.5%)	13 (5.8%)	6 (5.0%)	
How do you estimate your risk of developing stroke?	Very High Risk	5 (1.4%)	2 (0.9%)	3 (2.5%)	<0.001
	High Risk	63 (18.3%)	22 (9.7%)	41 (34.5%)	
	Neutral	173 (50.1%)	121 (53.5%)	52 (43.7%)	
	Low Risk	83 (24.1%)	66 (29.2%)	17 (14.3%)	
	Very Low Risk	21 (6.1%)	15 (6.6%)	6 (5.0%)	
How do you estimate your risk of developing fatty liver disease (an abnormal accumulation of fats	Very High Risk	9 (2.6%)	4 (1.8%)	5 (4.2%)	0.003
in the liver cells)?	High Risk	52 (15.1%)	25 (11.1%)	27 (22.7%)	
	Neutral	174 (50.4%)	114 (50.4%)	60 (50.4%)	
	Low Risk	87 (25.2%)	69 (30.5%)	18 (15.1%)	
	Very low risk	23 (6.7%)	14 (6.2%)	9 (7.6%)	
How do you estimate your risk of developing chronic kidney disease?	Very high risk	5 (1.4%)	1 (0.4%)	4 (3.4%)	<0.001
	High risk	34 (9.9%)	15 (6.6%)	19 (16.0%)	
How do you estimate your risk of developing chronic kidney disease?	Neutral	192 (55.7%)	121 (53.5%)	71 (60.0%)	
	Low risk	94 (27.3%)	76 (33.6%)	18 (15.1%)	
	Very low risk	20 (5.8%)	13 (5.8%)	7 (5.9%)	
How worried are you about developing coronary artery disease (blockage of blood vessels of the	Very worried	32 (9.3%)	13 (5.8%)	19 (16.0%)	0.034
heart)?	Worried	74 (21.4%)	50 (22.1%)	24 (20.2%)	
	Somewhat worried	94 (27.2%)	61 (27.0%)	33 (27.7%)	
	A little worried	99 (28.7%)	70 (31.0%)	29 (24.4%)	
	Not worried at all	46 (13.3%)	32 (14.2%)	14 (11.8%)	
How worried are you about getting a stroke?	Very Worried	40 (11.6%)	18 (8.0%)	22 (18.5%)	0.023
	Worried	67 (19.4%)	45 (19.9%)	22 (18.5%)	
	Somewhat worried	83 (24.1%)	51 (22.6%)	32 (26.9%)	
	A little worried	98 (28.4%)	70 (31.0%)	28 (23.5%)	
	Not	57 (16.5%)	42 (18.6%)	15 (12.6%)	

_
5
ă
≝
2
10
7
0
O
-
M
1.1
<u> </u>
$\triangleleft$
-

Frontiers in Medicine

				p-value
Very Worried	28 (8.1%)	13 (5.8%)	15 (12.6%)	0.063
Worried	51~(14.8%)	33 (14.6%)	18 (15.1%)	
Somewhat Worried	88 (25.5%)	53 (23.5%)	35 (29.4%)	
A Little Worried	96 (27.8%)	71 (31.4%)	25 (21.0%)	
Not Worried at All	82 (23.8%)	56 (24.8%)	26 (21.8%)	
Very Worried	34 (9.9%)	18 (8.0%)	16 (13.4%)	0.152
Worried	54 (15.7%)	32 (14.2%)	22 (18.5%)	
Somewhat Worried	84 (24.3%)	52 (23.0%)	32 (26.9%)	
A Little Worried	102 (29.6%)	73 (32.3%)	29 (24.4%)	
Not Worried at All	71 (20.6%)	51 (22.6%)	20 (16.8%)	
-			-	
≤ 90 min^	235 (68.1%)	163 (72.1%)	72 (60.5%)	090.0
91–149 min^	59 (1 7.1%)	36 (15.9%)	23 (19.3%)	
≥ 150 min*	51 (14.8%)	27 (11.9%)	24 (20.2%)	
≤ 30min^	210 (60.9%)	133 (58.8%)	77 (64.7%)	0.390
31–75 min^	100 (29.0%)	71 (31.4%)	29 (24.4%)	
≥ 75 min*	35 (10.1%)	22 (9.7%)	13 (10.9%)	
Strongly Agree	32 (9.3%)	17 (7.5%)	15 (12.6%)	0.081
Agree	160 (46.4%)	102 (45.1%)	58 (48.7%)	
Neutral	98 (28.4%)	63 (27.9%)	35 (29.4%)	
Disagree	44 (12.8%)	34 (15.0%)	10 (8.4%)	
Strongly disagree	11 (3.2%)	10(4.4%)	1(0.8%)	
Strongly agree	19 (5.5%)	13 (5.8%)	6 (5.0%)	0.238
Agree	90 (26.1%)	53 (23.5%)	37 (31.1%)	
Neutral	123 (35.7%)	77 (34.1%)	46 (38.7%)	
Disagree	88 (25.5%)	64 (28.3%)	24 (20.2%)	
Strongly disagree	25 (7.2%)	19 (8.4%)	6 (5.0%)	
Somewhat   Worried   ALittle Worr   Not Worried   Not Worried   Not Worried   Somewhat   Not Worried   ALittle Worr   Not Worried   Alitheworr   Somewhat   Somewhat   Strongly Agre   Agree   Strongly disa;   Strongly agre   Strongly disa;   Strongly disa;	317e e e e e e e e e e	at     88 (25.5%)       at     96 (27.8%)       at     82 (23.8%)       at     82 (23.8%)       at     34 (9.9%)       at     34 (9.9%)       at     34 (9.9%)       bit     34 (15.7%)       bit     34 (15.7%)       bit     34 (15.7%)       bit     54 (15.7%)       bit     71 (20.6%)       bit     71 (20.6%)       bit     71 (20.6%)       ce     35 (10.1%)       ce     35 (10.1%)       bit     98 (28.4%)       bit     98 (28.4%)	88 (25.5%)     53 (23.5%)       icd     96 (27.8%)     71 (31.4%)       at     82 (23.8%)     56 (24.8%)       at     34 (9.9%)     56 (24.8%)       at     34 (9.9%)     56 (24.8%)       at     34 (9.9%)     32 (14.2%)       54 (15.7%)     32 (14.2%)     32 (14.2%)       84 (24.3%)     32 (14.2%)     32 (14.2%)       84 (24.3%)     32 (14.2%)     32 (14.2%)       84 (24.3%)     32 (14.2%)     32 (14.2%)       at     71 (20.6%)     32 (14.2%)       at     71 (20.6%)     52 (23.0%)       at     71 (20.6%)     52 (23.0%)       at     71 (20.6%)     53 (12.9%)       at     71 (20.6%)     53 (13.9%)       at     71 (20.6%)     53 (23.6%)       at <t< td=""><td>R8 (25.5%)     53 (23.5%)     53 (29.4%)     53 (29.4%)       icid     96 (27.8%)     71 (31.4%)     25 (21.0%)       at     82 (23.8%)     56 (24.8%)     25 (21.0%)       at     34 (9.9%)     18 (8.0%)     26 (21.8%)       1     34 (9.9%)     18 (8.0%)     26 (21.8%)       54 (15.7%)     32 (14.2%)     25 (23.0%)     23 (14.3%)       84 (24.3%)     52 (23.0%)     32 (26.9%)     23 (26.9%)       at     71 (20.6%)     73 (32.9%)     23 (26.9%)     23 (26.9%)       at     71 (20.6%)     73 (32.9%)     23 (26.9%)     23 (26.9%)       at     71 (20.6%)     73 (32.9%)     23 (26.9%)     23 (26.9%)       at     71 (20.6%)     73 (32.9%)     23 (36.9%)     23 (36.9%)       at     71 (20.6%)     73 (32.9%)     23 (36.9%)     23 (36.9%)       at     71 (20.6%)     73 (32.9%)     23 (36.9%)     23 (36.9%)       at     71 (20.6%)     73 (32.9%)     23 (36.9%)     23 (36.9%)       at     71 (30.9%)     73 (37.9%)     23 (39.9</td></t<>	R8 (25.5%)     53 (23.5%)     53 (29.4%)     53 (29.4%)       icid     96 (27.8%)     71 (31.4%)     25 (21.0%)       at     82 (23.8%)     56 (24.8%)     25 (21.0%)       at     34 (9.9%)     18 (8.0%)     26 (21.8%)       1     34 (9.9%)     18 (8.0%)     26 (21.8%)       54 (15.7%)     32 (14.2%)     25 (23.0%)     23 (14.3%)       84 (24.3%)     52 (23.0%)     32 (26.9%)     23 (26.9%)       at     71 (20.6%)     73 (32.9%)     23 (26.9%)     23 (26.9%)       at     71 (20.6%)     73 (32.9%)     23 (26.9%)     23 (26.9%)       at     71 (20.6%)     73 (32.9%)     23 (26.9%)     23 (26.9%)       at     71 (20.6%)     73 (32.9%)     23 (36.9%)     23 (36.9%)       at     71 (20.6%)     73 (32.9%)     23 (36.9%)     23 (36.9%)       at     71 (20.6%)     73 (32.9%)     23 (36.9%)     23 (36.9%)       at     71 (20.6%)     73 (32.9%)     23 (36.9%)     23 (36.9%)       at     71 (30.9%)     73 (37.9%)     23 (39.9

(Continued)

11

Questions	Response	Overall (%)	No Metabolic Risk Factor	Metabolic Risk Factor	<i>p</i> -value
I make a conscious effort to reduce the amount of sugar in my diet (<10 teaspoon of sugar a day)	Strongly agree	92 (26.7%)	52 (23.0%)	40 (33.6%)	0.103
	Agree	150(43.5%)	97 (42.9%)	53 (44.5%)	
	Neutral	51 (14.8%)	37 (16.4%)	14(11.8%)	
	Disagree	38 (11.0%)	30 (13.3%)	8 (6.7%)	
	Strongly disagree	14(4.1%)	10 (4.4%)	4(3.4%)	
I make a conscious effort to reduce the salt intake in my diet (<2 g of salt a day/do not add	Strongly agree	36 (10.4%)	22 (9.7%)	14(11.8%)	0.067
additional salt to meals)	Agree	131 (38.0%)	77 (34.1%)	54 (45.4%)	
	Neutral	104 (30.1%)	69 (30.5%)	35 (29.4%)	
	Disagree	56 (16.2%)	45 (19.9%)	11 (9.2%)	
	Strongly disagree	18 (5.2%)	13 (5.8%)	5 (4.2%)	
I make a conscious effort to reduce red meat and processed meat consumption (sausage and ham	Strongly agree	41 (11.9%)	29 (12.8%)	12 (10.1%)	0.045
etc.)	Agree	132~(38.3%)	74 (32.7%)	58 (48.7%)	
	Neutral	87 (25.2%)	59 (26.1%)	28 (23.5%)	
	disagree	68 (19.7%)	52 (23.0%)	16 (13.4%)	
	Strongly disagree	17 (4.9%)	12 (5.3%)	5 (4.2%)	
I make a conscious effort to eat at least 2 servings of fruits and 2 servings of vegetables a day (one	Strongly agree	36 (10.4%)	18 (8.0%)	18 (15.1%)	0.009
serving is one cup)	Agree	145~(42.0%)	95 (42.0%)	50 (42.0%)	
	Neutral	93 (27.0%)	56 (24.8%)	37 (31.1%)	
	Disagree	62 (18.0%)	48 (21.2%)	14(11.8%)	
	Strongly disagree	9 (2.6%)	9 (4.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Bolded values indicate $p < 0.05$ and it is taken as statistical significance. Some categories may not total 100% due to rounding, *Indicates the correct answer(s) based on guidelines, $\wedge$ Indicates the wrong answers.					

Anand et al.



TABLE 4 Independent predictors of the individual's perceived risk of cardiometabolic diseases.

Risk factor	β	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value		
Age	-0.007	-0.076 to 0.063	0.852		
Sex (Male)	-0.104	-1.667 to 1.459	0.896		
Ethnicity					
Chinese		Reference			
Malay	2.391	-1.065 to 5.848	0.174		
Indian	1.574	-3.154 to 6.302	0.513		
Others	-2.981	-7.755 to 1.794	0.220		
Marital status					
Never married	Reference				
Married	0.332	-1.413 to 2.077	0.709		
Divorced	1.825	-2.628 to 6.278	0.421		
Widowed	1.908	-4.076 to 7.892	0.531		
Education status					
Secondary Education		Reference			
Tertiary Education	0.715	-1.295 to 2.724	0.485		
Post-Graduate	0.465	-1.848 to 2.779	0.693		
Metabolic risk factors	2.752	0.772 to 4.733	0.007		
Knowledge of diseases	0.418	0.236 to 0.600	<0.001		

Bolded values indicate p<0.05 and it is taken as statistical significance.

Secondary Education: Secondary school, Junior college, Polytechnic and ITE; Tertiary Education: University Bachelor's Degree or equivalent; Post-Graduate: University Master's Degree/PhD.

The study highlights that the increased knowledge on cardiometabolic diseases is closely associated with an increase in the individual's perceived risk of cardiometabolic disease. We postulate that this observation may be attributed to public education and awareness of cardiometabolic diseases, thus equipping individuals to comprehend the modifiable lifestyle factors that can mitigate the risk of metabolic diseases. Evidence has shown that education remains the key component in primary prevention (24, 43). However, for this to be effective, the "knowledge-toaction gap" where the knowledge of individuals fails to translate to tangible behavioral change needs to be concurrently addressed (44). Based on previous studies, knowledge of a condition must be coupled with knowledge of the treatment and the reasons for treatment compliance (45-47). With a strong baseline understanding of the condition, channeling resources into helping patients understand how daily efforts to improve their diet or increase physical activity can positively impact their condition (48-50). This may be effective in bridging the gap between knowledge of a condition and actions taken. Coupled with improving health literacy in our modern-day society, there is an increasing role for active public involvement and empowering individuals to take charge of their own health. Healthier SG (51) is a national program in Singapore that focuses on empowering the public in understanding and taking initiative toward adopting preventive measures to sustain health within the community and to manage their chronic conditions proactively.

The presence of MFs can also alter the perception and knowledge of cardiometabolic diseases. Those with MFs were more likely to have higher perceived risk of metabolic disease complications. This is important as self-perceived susceptibility to cardiometabolic diseases can serve as motivation toward taking early preventive measures, which in turn modifies the course of cardiometabolic risks and their complications (52). However, the study highlights several gaps that need to be addressed. Those with MFs had lower knowledge scores on all cardiometabolic domains when compared to their counterparts without MFs. Although it is plausible that the higher baseline knowledge of cardiometabolic diseases has equipped individuals to take preemptive measures early to mitigate the risk of cardiometabolic diseases (53), this still raises concerns over the discrepancy in the knowledge gap in those living with cardiometabolic diseases (54, 55). Despite the increased perceived susceptibility of those with MFs, it is concerning that this did not appear to translate into self-reported actions taken to improve metabolic health. This may be due to the lack of knowledge on the cardiometabolic diseases, lack of self-efficacy or motivation as suggested by previous studies (52). Previous studies have also shown that an individual's health literacy is key in the selfmanagement of cardiometabolic diseases (56, 57). We demonstrated that the knowledge scores increased across all cardiometabolic diseases with higher levels of education, but not with higher income. This may be attributed to higher levels of health literacy (58), with education on cardiometabolic diseases playing a significant role in disease prevention. While social economic status may play a part, its role is less defined. Future studies could explore the discrepancies and the barriers that individuals with MFs may experience in trying to mitigate their cardiometabolic risks.

There were several disease-specific knowledge gaps that should be addressed in public education efforts. Knowledge on hyperlipidemia received the highest scores, while the lowest scores were observed for hypertension (59). This is concerning because hypertension is the commonest chronic condition in Singapore. Previous studies have suggested collaborative health education between patients and clinicians as a cornerstone in improving self-management of hypertension (60). Interestingly, participants shared the least concern toward the cardiometabolic complications of NAFLD (61, 62), and we postulate that the reasons for this observation may be multifactorial (63). The greatest cardiometabolic concern for participants was the chance of getting a stroke, with the least concern shown for NAFLD. We postulate that the lack of concern for NAFLD is a result of the following factors; first, there is an underdiagnosis of NAFLD by physicians across the globe (64-67), possibly contributed by the knowledge gap in the identification and diagnosis of NAFLD, as well as the limited treatment options in NAFLD (63, 68, 69). Second, less media portrayal and patient education on NAFLD, relative to that of stroke and coronary artery disease, may have been contributing factors (70, 71). Consequently, the above factors may translate to a lower level of awareness of NAFLD, its severity and consequences, among the general population.

Within the Asian community, studies have shown that the general population tend to lead sedentary lifestyles, spending a significant amount of time sitting down at work, while commuting, and during leisure activities (72, 73). Although most study participants tried to improve their diets by reducing their sugar, red meat, and processed foods intake, only a minority made conscious efforts to increase physical activity, with less than a sixth of participants achieving the Health Promotion Board recommendations of 150 min of moderate-intensity or 75 min of vigorous-intensity physical activity per week (74). This showcases the need for greater public health messaging to incentivize individuals to adopt a more active lifestyle. One such example is the National Steps Challenge that gives participants instant rewards for clocking in steps and doing moderate-vigorous physical activities (75). While these national initiatives are promising forays in encouraging the adoption of physical activity nationwide (76), they share the common pitfalls of other similar mobile health initiatives. Leveraging on novelty and financial incentives, participants were only actively engaged in the first third of the National Steps Challenge programme (77). This highlights the need for other social, cultural and environmental factors (78) to be concurrently addressed for the benefit of lifestyle interventions to be sustainable. At present, the mindset within the population may not be completely receptive toward preventive measures, with the National Population Health Survey 2021 reporting that a substantial proportion of the public expressing that it is not necessary to go for health screenings as they are already healthy (5).

Moving forward, the findings from this study can be used to tailor appropriate healthcare policies, especially towards the Singaporean population. In the 21st century, with an increasingly obesogenic environment, coupled with poor nutrition and sedentarism (54, 79, 80), the burden of cardiometabolic diseases is expected to rise further in Asia (81, 82). It is therefore paramount that early action is taken to mitigate this public health burden. Our study emphasizes the importance of targeting the public's knowledge on cardiometabolic diseases, which is closely associated with the perception of disease and risk. This can serve as catalysts for necessary changes to the attitudes and preventive actions taken to sustain metabolic health (83). Furthermore, the study identifies the vulnerable group of individuals living with metabolic disease, with lower levels of knowledge and action plans that is discordant to their increased perceived risk. Our findings highlight an urgent need to modify policy development in implementing more targeted preventive strategies with an emphasis on the population with metabolic diseases. Interventions should target the root causes of cardiometabolic diseases by tackling issues with knowledge, attitudes and perception, and lifestyle modifications (53, 84). As these metabolic diseases do not exist in silos, prioritizing upstream solutions can help mitigate the overall metabolic milieu of the individual, with the potential benefit of reducing downstream healthcare demands and expenditure.

## 4.1. Study limitations

This study is the first to explore knowledge, attitudes, and perceived risk of cardiometabolic diseases and the action taken to mitigate cardiometabolic risks in a multi-ethnic Singaporean population. However, the study has its limitations. First, as the study used convenience sampling, results may not be generalizable to the entire population, and hence the study results should be interpreted with caution. Although our preliminary results are hypothesis-generating, the findings shed light on the understudied perceptions and attitudes of the general public toward the rising metabolic epidemic. This provides an important platform for future systematic probabilistic sampling with adequately powered sample size calculations to validate the current findings. Second, due to the voluntary nature of the survey, individuals who participate in the survey may tend to have differential concerns of their health, thus introducing self-selection bias to the included study population. This selection bias may lead to an overestimation of the awareness of cardiometabolic disease in the Singapore population. Third, while the questionnaire we used was validated in other published studies, they have not been validated in a Singaporean population. Fourth, the survey was distributed in English, hence there may be a risk in response errors especially among those with limited proficiency in English.

# 5. Conclusion

In the silent metabolic disease epidemic, there appears to be disparate levels of knowledge, attitude, perceived risks, and actions taken toward metabolic health in the study population living in Singapore. The preliminary study findings highlighted a vulnerable subpopulation of individuals living with metabolic risk factors, with high perceived risks, and discordant levels of knowledge and preventive actions taken. Future powered studies with systematic probabilistic sampling will be the next important step to validate the study findings. Nevertheless, our preliminary findings suggest that consolidated efforts should be channeled into addressing the knowledge-to-action gap, with the unified goal of sustaining optimal metabolic health.

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## **Ethics statement**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by National Healthcare Group Domain Specific Review Board. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

# Author contributions

VA and RG conceptualized, acquired, and analyzed data. BN, SK, and NC designed the work and interpreted the data. JL, NN, JC, YL, YC, BC, GK, BT, ZL, CK, LG, PL, PC, MD, MC, RF, and

# References

1. Cheng X, Ma T, Ouyang F, Zhang G, Bai Y. Trends in the prevalence of Cardiometabolic multimorbidity in the United States, 1999-2018. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2022) 19:4726. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19084726

2. Ferdinand KC. Global perspectives on cardiometabolic risk and cardiovascular disease: from basic science to bedside. *Ann Transl Med.* (2018) 6:290. doi: 10.21037/ atm.2018.07.28

3. Chew NW, Ng CH, Tan DJH, Kong G, Lin C, Chin YH, et al. The global burden of metabolic disease: data from 2000 to 2019. *Cell Metab.* (2023) 35:414–428.e3. e3. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2023.02.003

4. Ranasinghe P, Mathangasinghe Y, Jayawardena R, Hills AP, Misra A. Prevalence and trends of metabolic syndrome among adults in the asia-pacific region: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. (2017) 17:101. doi: 10.1186/s12889-017-4041-1

5. Epidemiology & Disease Control Division and Policy RSG. National Population Health Survey 2021 (Household Interview) (2022) Available at: https://www.moh.gov. sg/docs/librariesprovider5/resources-statistics/reports/national-population-healthsurvey-2021-report.pdf. (Accessed January 20, 2023).

6. Kong G, Chew NWS, Ng CH, Chin YH, Lim OZH, Ambhore A, et al. Prognostic outcomes in acute myocardial infarction patients without standard modifiable risk factors: a multiethnic study of 8,680 Asian patients. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2022) 9:869168. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.869168

7. Kurpad AV, Varadharajan KS, Aeberli I. The thin-fat phenotype and global metabolic disease risk. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*. (2011) 14:542–7. doi: 10.1097/MCO.0b013e32834b6e5e

8. Tang A, Ng CH, Phang PH, Chan KE, Chin YH, Fu CE, et al. Comparative burden of metabolic dysfunction in lean NAFLD vs non-lean NAFLD - a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2022). doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2022.06.029

9. Nah BKY, Ng CH, Chan KE, Tan C, Aggarwal M, Zeng RW, et al. Historical changes in weight classes and the influence of NAFLD prevalence: a population analysis of 34,486 individuals. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2022) 19:9935. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19169935

10. Lin C, Loke WH, Ng BH, Chin YH, Chong B, Goh RSJ, et al. Mortality, cardiovascular and medication outcomes in patients with myocardial infarction and underweight in a meta-analysis of 6.3 million patients. *Am J Cardiol.* (2023) 196:1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2023.02.023

11. Giri P, Poole J, Nightingale P, Robertson A. Perceptions of illness and their impact on sickness absence. *Occup Med.* (2009) 59:550–5. doi: 10.1093/occmed/kqp123

12. Sawyer AT, Harris SL, Koenig HG. Illness perception and high readmission health outcomes. *Health Psychol Open*. (2019) 6:2055102919844504. doi: 10.1177/205510291 9844504

13. AlShayban DM, Naqvi AA, Alhumaid O, AlQahtani AS, Islam MA, Ghori SA, et al. Association of Disease Knowledge and Medication Adherence among out-Patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus in Khobar Saudi Arabia. *Front Pharmacol.* (2020) 11:60. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.00060

14. Sweileh WM, SeH Z, Abu Naba RJ, Deleq MI, Enaia MI, Sam N, et al. Influence of patients' disease knowledge and beliefs about medicines on medication adherence: findings from a cross-sectional survey among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Palestine. *BMC Public Health*. (2014) 14:94. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-14-94

MM drafted the work and substantially revised it. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

15. Alm-Roijer C, Stagmo M, Udén G, Erhardt L. Better knowledge improves adherence to lifestyle changes and medication in patients with coronary heart disease. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs.* (2004) 3:321–0. doi: 10.1016/j.ejcnurse.2004.05.002

16. Lillich FF, Imig JD, Proschak E. Multi-target approaches in metabolic syndrome. Front Pharmacol. (2020) 11:554961. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.554961

17. Grundy SM, Hansen B, Smith SC, Cleeman JI, Kahn RA. Clinical Management of Metabolic Syndrome. *Circulation*. (2004) 109:551–6. doi: 10.1161/01. CIR.0000112379.88385.67

18. Wang Q, Chair SY, Wong EM, Taylor-Piliae RE, Qiu XCH, Li XM. Metabolic syndrome knowledge among adults with Cardiometabolic risk factors: a cross-sectional study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2019) 16:159. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16010159

19. Amarasekara P, de Silva A, Swarnamali H, Senarath U, Katulanda P. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices on lifestyle and cardiovascular risk factors among metabolic syndrome patients in an urban tertiary Care Institute in Sri Lanka. *Asia Pac J Public Health*. (2016) 28:32s–40s. doi: 10.1177/1010539515612123

20. Yeong T, Mai AS, Lim OZH, Ng CH, Chin YH, Tay P, et al. Can glucose-lowering medications improve outcomes in non-diabetic heart failure patients? A Bayesian network meta-analysis. *ESC Heart Failure*. (2022) 9:1338–50. doi: 10.1002/ehf2.13822

21. Chew NWS, Ng CH, Truong E, Noureddin M, Kowdley KV. Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis drug development pipeline: an update. *Semin Liver Dis.* (2022) 42:379–0. doi: 10.1055/a-1877-9656

22. Ng CH, Lin SY, Chin YH, Lee MH, Syn N, Goh XL, et al. Antidiabetic medications for type 2 diabetics with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: evidence from a network metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. *Endocr Pract.* (2022) 28:223–0. doi: 10.1016/j. eprac.2021.09.013

23. Chew NWS, Ng CH, Muthiah MD, Sanyal AJ. Comprehensive review and updates on holistic approach towards non-alcoholic fatty liver disease management with cardiovascular disease. *Curr Atheroscler Rep.* (2022) 24:515–2. doi: 10.1007/s11883-022-01027-5

24. Stephens CR, Easton JF, Robles-Cabrera A, Fossion R, de la Cruz L, Martínez-Tapia R, et al. The impact of education and age on metabolic disorders. *Front Public Health*. (2020) 8:180. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2020.00180

25. Roystonn K, AshaRani PV, Siva Kumar FD, Wang P, Abdin E, Sum CF, et al. Factor structure of the diabetes knowledge questionnaire and the assessment of the knowledge of risk factors, causes, complications, and management of diabetes mellitus: a national population-based study in Singapore. *PLoS One.* (2022) 17:e0272745. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0272745

26. Fu CE, Ng CH, Chew NWS, Heng ZEQ, Chin YH, Quek J, et al. A poor perspective of self weight significantly increases adverse outcomes in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). *Front Med.* (2022) 9:9. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.977552

27. Heine M, Badenhorst M, Van Zyl C, De Melo Ghisi GL, Babu AS, Buckley J, et al. Developing a complex understanding of physical activity in Cardiometabolic disease from Low-to-middle-income countries—a qualitative systematic review with meta-synthesis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2021) 18:11977. doi: 10.3390/ijerph182211977

28. Kugbey N, Oppong Asante K, Adulai K. Illness perception, diabetes knowledge and self-care practices among type-2 diabetes patients: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Res Notes.* (2017) 10:381. doi: 10.1186/s13104-017-2707-5

29. Oliveria SA, Chen RS, McCarthy BD, Davis CC, Hill MN. Hypertension knowledge, awareness, and attitudes in a hypertensive population. *J Gen Intern Med.* (2005) 20:219–25. doi: 10.1111/j.1525-1497.2005.30353.x

30. Wieland AC, Mettler P, McDermott MT, Crane LA, Cicutto LC, Bambha KM. Low awareness of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease among patients at high metabolic risk. *J Clin Gastroenterol.* (2015) 49:e6–e10. doi: 10.1097/MCG.000000000000075

31. Zelber-Sagi S, Bord S, Dror-Lavi G, Smith ML, Towne SD Jr, Buch A, et al. Role of illness perception and self-efficacy in lifestyle modification among non-alcoholic fatty liver disease patients. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2017) 23:1881–90. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v23. i10.1881

32. Stol DM, Hollander M, Damman OC, Nielen MMJ, Badenbroek IF, Schellevis FG, et al. Mismatch between self-perceived and calculated cardiometabolic disease risk among participants in a prevention program for cardiometabolic disease: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*. (2020) 20:740. doi: 10.1186/s12889-020-08906-z

33. Singapore HPB. 8 Singapore dietary guidelines you must know (2022) Available at: https://www.healthhub.sg/live-healthy/111/living\_with\_health\_8\_sets\_diet\_guidelines (accessed 15 November 2022).

34. Singapore HPB. How much exercise is enough? (2022) Available at: https://www. healthhub.sg/live-healthy/1820/move-more-live-longer#:~:text=Ideally%2C%20we%20 should%20aim%20for,aerobic%20physical%20activity%20per%20week (accessed 15 November 2022).

35. Al-Hanawi MK, Angawi K, Alshareef N, Qattan AMN, Helmy HZ, Abudawood Y, et al. Knowledge, attitude and practice toward COVID-19 among the public in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: a cross-sectional study. *Front Public Health*. (2020) 8:8. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2020.00217

36. Hao F, Wang B, Tan W, Husain SF, McIntyre RS, Tang X, et al. Attitudes toward COVID-19 vaccination and willingness to pay: comparison of people with and without mental disorders in China. *BJPsych Open*. (2021) 7:e146. doi: 10.1192/bjo.2021.979

37. Tang ASP, Chan KE, Quek J, Xiao J, Tay P, Teng M, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease increases risk of carotid atherosclerosis and ischemic stroke: an updated metaanalysis with 135,602 individuals. *Clin Mol Hepatol.* (2022) 28:483–6. doi: 10.3350/ cmh.2021.0406

38. Huang Z, Yap QV, Chan YH, Ho JS, Tan SY, Koh WP, et al. Knowledge of heart disease, preventive behavior and source of information in a multi-ethnic Asian population: a population-based survey. *J Community Health*. (2021) 46:31–40. doi: 10.1007/s10900-020-00838-4

39. Chong B, Kong G, Shankar K, Chew HSJ, Lin C, Goh R, et al. The global syndemic of metabolic diseases in the young adult population: a consortium of trends and projections from the global burden of disease 2000–2019. *Metabolism.* (2023) 141:155402. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2023.155402

40. Li J-J, Yeo KK, Tan K, Ako J, Krittayaphong R, Tan RS, et al. Tackling cardiometabolic risk in the Asia Pacific region. *Am. J. Prevent. Cardiol.* (2020) 4:100096. doi: 10.1016/j.ajpc.2020.100096

41. Huxley RR, Hirakawa Y, Hussain MA, Aekplakorn W, Wang X, Peters SAE, et al. Age- and sex-specific burden of cardiovascular disease attributable to 5 major and modifiable risk factors in 10 Asian countries of the Western Pacific region. *Circ J.* (2015) 79:1662–74. doi: 10.1253/circj.CJ-15-0661

42. Chongsuvivatwong V, Phua KH, Yap MT, Pocock NS, Hashim JH, Chhem R, et al. Health and health-care systems in Southeast Asia: diversity and transitions. *Lancet*. (2011) 377:429–7. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61507-3

43. Ramôa Castro A, Oliveira NL, Ribeiro F, Oliveira J. Impact of educational interventions on primary prevention of cardiovascular disease: a systematic review with a focus on physical activity. *Eur J Gen Pract.* (2017) 23:59–68. doi: 10.1080/13814788.2017.1284791

44. Hakvoort L, Dikken J, Derks C, Wel M, Schuurmans M. Minimizing the knowledge-to-action gap; identification of interventions to change nurses' behavior regarding fall prevention, a mixed method study. *BMC Nurs.* (2021) 20:80. doi: 10.1186/s12912-021-00598-z

45. Awwad O, Akour A, Al-Muhaissen S, Morisky D. The influence of patients' knowledge on adherence to their chronic medications: a cross-sectional study in Jordan. *Int J Clin Pharm.* (2015) 37:504–0. doi: 10.1007/s11096-015-0086-3

46. Chin YH, Lim O, Lin C, Chan YY, Kong G, Ng CH, et al. Meta-analysis of the placebo and Nocebo effects associated with placebo treatment in randomized trials of lipid lowering therapy. *Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes.* (2022). doi: 10.1093/ehjqcco/qcac060

47. Ng CH, Teng ML, Chew NW, Chan KE, Yong JN, Quek J, et al. Statins decrease overall mortality and cancer related mortality but are underutilized in NAFLD: a longitudinal analysis of 12,538 individuals. *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2022) 16:895–1. doi: 10.1080/17474124.2022.2119128

48. Ng C, Xiao J, Lim WH, Yip Han C, Yong J, Tan D, et al. Placebo effect on progression and regression in non-alcoholic Steatohepatitis. Evidence from a meta-analysis. *Hepatology*. (2022) 75:1647–61. doi: 10.1002/hep.32315

49. Ng CH, Xiao J, Lim WH, Chin YH, Yong JN, Tan DJH, et al. Placebo effect on progression and regression in NASH: evidence from a meta-analysis. *Hepatology*. (2022) 75:1647–61. doi: 10.1002/hep.32315

50. Quek J, Lim G, Lim WH, Ng CH, So WZ, Toh J, et al. The Association of Plant-Based Diet with Cardiovascular Disease and Mortality: a meta-analysis and systematic review of Prospect cohort studies. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2021) 8:756810. doi: 10.3389/ fcvm.2021.756810

51. Singapore MOH. White paper on Healthier SG (2022) Available at: https://file. go.gov.sg/healthiersg-whitepaper-pdf.pdf. (Accessed January 20, 2023).

52. Jones EJ, Appel SJ, Eaves YD, Moneyham L, Oster RA, Ovalle F. Cardiometabolic risk, knowledge, risk perception, and self-efficacy among American Indian women with previous gestational diabetes. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs*. (2012) 41:246–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1552-6909.2012.01339.x

53. Xu C, Cao Z. Cardiometabolic diseases, total mortality, and benefits of adherence to a healthy lifestyle: a 13-year prospective UK biobank study. *J Transl Med.* (2022) 20:234. doi: 10.1186/s12967-022-03439-y

54. Anand VV, Lee Cheng Zhe E, Chin YH, Lim WH, Goh RSJ, Lin C, et al. Barriers and facilitators to engagement with a weight management intervention in Asian patients with overweight or obesity: a systematic review. *Endocr Pract.* (2022). doi: 10.1016/j. eprac.2022.10.006

55. Kong G, Chew NWS, Ng CH, Chin YH, Zeng R, Foo R, et al. Long-term outcomes in acute coronary syndrome patients without standard modifiable risk factors: a multiethnic retrospective cohort study of 5400 asian patients. *J Thromb Thrombolysis*. (2022) 54:569–8. doi: 10.1007/s11239-022-02704-7

56. Dahal PK, Hosseinzadeh H. Association of health literacy and diabetes selfmanagement: a systematic review. *Aust J Prim Health*. (2019) 25:526–3. doi: 10.1071/ PY19007

57. Sudore RL, Yaffe K, Satterfield S, Harris TB, Mehta KM, Simonsick EM, et al. Limited literacy and mortality in the elderly. *J Gen Intern Med.* (2006) 21:806–2. doi: 10.1111/j.1525-1497.2006.00539.x

58. Teles M, Kaya S. Health literacy of cardiology patients: determinants and effects on patient outcomes. *Soc Work Health Care*. (2021) 60:656–3. doi: 10.1080/00981389. 2021.2014615

59. Ng CH, Wong ZY, Chew NWS, Chan KE, Xiao J, Sayed N, et al. Hypertension is prevalent in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and increases all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2022) 9:942753. doi: 10.3389/ fcvm.2022.942753

60. Johnson HM, LaMantia JN, Brown CM, Warner RC, Zeller LM, Haggart RC, et al. My hypertension education and reaching target (MyHEART): development and dissemination of a patient-centered website for Young adults with hypertension. *JMIR Cardio.* (2017) 1:e5. doi: 10.2196/cardio.8025

61. Ng CH, Chan KE, Chin YH, Zeng RW, Tsai PC, Lim WH, et al. The effect of diabetes and prediabetes on the prevalence, complications and mortality in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Clin Mol Hepatol.* (2022) 28:565–4. doi: 10.3350/cmh.2022.0096

62. Quek J, Ng CH, Tang ASP, Chew N, Chan M, Khoo CM, et al. Metabolic associated fatty liver disease increases the risk of systemic complications and mortality. A metaanalysis and systematic review of 12 620 736 individuals. *Endocr Pract.* (2022) 28:667–2. doi: 10.1016/j.eprac.2022.03.016

63. Younossi ZM, Ong JP, Takahashi H, Yilmaz Y, Eguchi Y, El Kassas M, et al. A global survey of physicians knowledge about nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2022) 20:e1456–68. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2021.06.048

64. Nielsen EM, Anderson KP, Marsden J, Zhang J, Schreiner AD. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease Underdiagnosis in primary care: what are we missing? *J Gen Intern Med.* (2022) 37:2587–90. doi: 10.1007/s11606-021-07197-3

65. Goh GB, Kwan C, Lim SY, Venkatanarasimha NK, Abu-Bakar R, Krishnamoorthy TL, et al. Perceptions of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease - an Asian community-based study. *Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf)*. (2016) 4:131–5. doi: 10.1093/gastro/gov047

66. Alexander M, Loomis AK, Fairburn-Beech J, van der Lei J, Duarte-Salles T, Prieto-Alhambra D, et al. Real-world data reveal a diagnostic gap in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *BMC Med.* (2018) 16:130. doi: 10.1186/s12916-018-1103-x

67. Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, Charlton M, Cusi K, Rinella M, et al. The diagnosis and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: practice guidance from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. *Hepatology*. (2018) 67:328–7. doi: 10.1002/hep.29367

68. Fu CE, Ng CH, Yong JN, Chan KE, Xiao J, Nah B, et al. A meta-analysis on associated risk of mortality in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Endocr Pract.* (2023) 29:33–9. doi: 10.1016/j.eprac.2022.10.007

69. Chan KE, Ng CH, Fu CE, Quek J, Kong G, Goh YJ, et al. The Spectrum and impact of metabolic dysfunction in MAFLD: a longitudinal cohort analysis of 32,683 overweight and obese individuals. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2022). doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2022.09.028

70. Tincopa MA, Wong J, Fetters M, Lok AS. Patient disease knowledge, attitudes and behaviours related to non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a qualitative study. *BMJ Open Gastroenterol.* (2021) 8:e000634. doi: 10.1136/bmjgast-2021-000634

71. Muthiah M, Ng CH, Chan KE, Fu CE, Lim WH, Tan DJH, et al. Type 2 diabetes mellitus in metabolic-associated fatty liver disease vs. type 2 diabetes mellitus nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a longitudinal cohort analysis. *Ann Hepatol.* (2023) 28:100762. doi: 10.1016/j.aohep.2022.100762 72. Mahmood B, Tang TS, Afshar R, Ashe MC. Objective measurement of physical activity and sedentary behavior among south Asian adults: a systematic review. *PLoS One.* (2020) 15:e0236573. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0236573

73. Lau JH, Nair A, Abdin E, Kumarasan R, Wang P, Devi F, et al. Prevalence and patterns of physical activity, sedentary behaviour, and their association with health-related quality of life within a multi-ethnic Asian population. *BMC Public Health.* (2021) 21:1939. doi: 10.1186/s12889-021-11902-6

74. Singapore HPB. Health promotion board launches national physical activity guidelines (2011) Available at: https://www.hpb.gov.sg/newsroom/article/health-promotion-board-launches-national-physical-activity-guidelines#:~:text=To%20achieve%20substantial%20 health%20benefits,intensity%20physical%20activity%20a%20week. (Accessed January 9, 2023).

75. Yao J, Tan CS, Chen C, Tan J, Lim N, Müller-Riemenschneider F. Bright spots, physical activity investments that work: National Steps Challenge, Singapore: a nationwide mHealth physical activity programme. *Br J Sports Med.* (2020) 54:1047–8. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2019-101662

76. Chin YH, Ng CH, Chew NWS, Kong G, Lim WH, Tan DJH, et al. The placebo response rate and nocebo events in obesity pharmacological trials. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *eClinicalMedicine*. (2022) 54:101685. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2022. 101685

77. Yao J, Lim N, Tan J, Matthias Müller A, Martinus van Dam R, Chen C, et al. Evaluation of a population-wide Mobile health physical activity program in 696 907 adults in Singapore. J Am Heart Assoc. (2022) 11:e022508. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.121.022508

78. Garcia L, Mendonça G, Benedetti TRB, Borges LJ, Streit IA, Christofoletti M, et al. Barriers and facilitators of domain-specific physical activity: a systematic review of reviews. *BMC Public Health*. (2022) 22:1964. doi: 10.1186/s12889-022-14385-1

79. Stanhope KL. Sugar consumption, metabolic disease and obesity: the state of the controversy. *Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci.* (2016) 53:52–67. doi: 10.3109/10408363.2015.108 4990

80. Danaei G, Ding EL, Mozaffarian D, Taylor B, Rehm J, Murray CJL, et al. The preventable causes of death in the United States: comparative risk assessment of dietary, lifestyle, and metabolic risk factors. *PLoS Med.* (2009) 6:e1000058. doi: 10.1371/journal. pmed.1000058

81. Raji A, Seely EW, Arky RA, Simonson DC. Body fat distribution and insulin resistance in healthy Asian Indians and Caucasians. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2001) 86:5366–71. doi: 10.1210/jcem.86.11.7992

82. Banerji MA, Faridi N, Atluri R, Chaiken RL, Lebovitz HE. Body composition, visceral fat, leptin, and insulin resistance in Asian Indian men. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (1999) 84:137–4. doi: 10.1210/jc.84.1.137

83. Chew NWS, Kong G, Venisha S, Chin YH, Ng CH, Muthiah M, et al. Long-term prognosis of acute myocardial infarction associated with metabolic health and obesity status. *Endocr Pract.* (2022) 28:802–0. doi: 10.1016/j.eprac.2022.05.007

84. Wändell PE, de Waard A-KM, Holzmann MJ, Gornitzki C, Lionis C, de Wit N, et al. Barriers and facilitators among health professionals in primary care to prevention of cardiometabolic diseases: a systematic review. *Fam Pract.* (2018) 35:383–8. doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmx137