



## University of Groningen

# Greener Synthesis Route for Furanic-Aliphatic Polyester

Silvianti, Fitrilia; Maniar, Dina; Boetje, Laura; Woortman, Albert J. J.; Dijken, Jur van; Loos, Katja

Published in: ACS Polymers Au

DOI: [10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035](https://doi.org/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035)

### IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2023

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](https://research.rug.nl/en/publications/d43337ca-f7bb-4e47-9c91-82fd90cdfd0d)

Citation for published version (APA): Silvianti, F., Maniar, D., Boetje, L., Woortman, A. J. J., Dijken, J. V., & Loos, K. (2023). Greener Synthesis Route for Furanic-Aliphatic Polyester: Enzymatic Polymerization in Ionic Liquids and Deep Eutectic Solvents. ACS Polymers Au, 3(1), 82-95. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035>

#### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

#### Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.



# **Greener Synthesis Route for Furanic-Aliphatic Polyester: Enzymatic Polymerization in Ionic Liquids and Deep Eutectic Solvents**

Fitrilia [Silvianti,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Fitrilia+Silvianti"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Dina [Maniar,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Dina+Maniar"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Laura [Boetje,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Laura+Boetje"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Albert J. J. [Woortman,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Albert+J.+J.+Woortman"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Jur van [Dijken,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Jur+van+Dijken"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) and [Katja](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Katja+Loos"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Loos[\\*](#page-11-0)

**Cite This:** *ACS [Polym.](https://pubs.acs.org/action/showCitFormats?doi=10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035&ref=pdf) Au* 2023, 3, 82−95 **Read [Online](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035?ref=pdf)**





nature but also mainly because of the current issues with plastics. Enzymatic polymerizations are a promising way to produce such polymers since they are known to be environmentally friendly. Sustainable polymers that require a greener production process can be realized easily via this polymerization route. However, the use of organic solvents is often one of the drawbacks in developing pathways toward fully green enzymatic polymerization methods. Therefore, in the present work, a series of fully bio-based polyesters based on 2,5-furandicarboxylic acid (FDCA), namely, furanic-aliphatic polyesters (FPEs), were enzymatically synthesized using greener solvents, such as ionic liquids (ILs) and deep eutectic solvents (DESs). The enzymatic polymerization in ILs and DESs effectively leads to the FDCA-based polyesters without any byproduct, which frequently causes coloration using traditional polymerization methods. FPEs with  $M_w$  up to 5.4 kg mol<sup>-1</sup> were successfully achieved



by Novozyme 435-catalyzed polycondensation of dimethyl 2,5-furandicarboxylate (DMFDCA) with aliphatic diols in BMIMPF<sub>6</sub>. Polymerization in DESs was also successfully conducted, resulting in the synthesis of bio-based polyesters, which can be further functionalized. Characterization using TGA, DSC, and WAXD showed that all obtained FPEs are semi-crystalline materials, which decomposed around 390 °C with a *T*<sub>m</sub> of 68−123 °C and *T*<sub>g</sub> of 3−12 °C. With this, we successfully developed more eco-friendly enzymatic synthesis routes for the production of sustainable polyesters

KEYWORDS: *enzymatic polymerization, 2,5-furandicarboxylic acid, furan-based polymers, renewable resources, bio-based polymers, ionic liquids, deep eutectic solvents*

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Over the last few decades, polymers have been developed as an essential part of our modern life. They are used in different applications, such as packaging, electronics, technology, fashion, medicine, agriculture, and many others. Hence, their production is likely to grow extensively in the future. However, the massive demand for polymeric materials is associated with extreme environmental problems. Since polymers are mainly produced from limited petrochemical feedstock and frequently they cannot be recycled efficiently after usage or naturally decomposed, they often end up causing a severe waste problem in landfills, oceans, or cluttering the landscape.<sup>1−[3](#page-12-0)</sup> It is thus of critical importance to develop more sustainable polymers, both to deal with the origin of polymer building blocks and to implement a green(er) synthesis method, including the catalyst and solvent.

One of the prominent bio-based building blocks, 2,5 furandicarboxylic acid (FDCA) has been extensively studied. Properties of the synthesized polymer, namely, poly(ethylene furanoate) (PEF), resembled its petrol-based analogue, poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET).<sup>1,[4](#page-12-0),[5](#page-12-0)</sup> However, drawbacks regarding their synthetic method have been reported previously. Decarboxylation, which occurred at high polymerization temperatures, frequently caused coloration of the polymer product besides the formation of carbonized sugar impurities of  $FDCA$ ,<sup>1,4,6-[8](#page-12-0)</sup> while metal catalysts, such as manganese, cobalt, and germanium, give results in brightly colored PEF products.<sup>[9](#page-12-0)</sup> In addition, metal catalysts used in conventional polymerizations are difficult to remove. The residual metals can cause coloring, thermal instability, reduced electrical performance, and several toxicity issues.<sup>[1,8,9](#page-12-0)</sup> Up until now, extensive research on FDCA-based polyesters has been conducted using various monomers and polymerization methods using nonenzyme catalysts.<sup>[1,4,7](#page-12-0)</sup> The most popular nonenzyme catalysts used for FDCA-based polyester synthesis are titanium(IV) butoxide (TBT) and antimony(III) oxide  $(Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>)<sup>1,10</sup>$  $(Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>)<sup>1,10</sup>$  $(Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>)<sup>1,10</sup>$  Synthetic routes investigated so far include several approaches to polycondensation and polytransesterification. A two-stage melt polycondensation typically involves an initial

Received: July 17, 2022 Revised: October 6, 2022 Accepted: October 6, 2022 Published: October 17, 2022





<span id="page-2-0"></span>esterification or transesterification step, followed by a polycondensation step. Comprehensively, each synthesis parameter was optimized, i.e., starting monomer feed, temperature, and amount of catalyst used.<sup>[1](#page-12-0),[4](#page-12-0),[10](#page-12-0),[11](#page-12-0)</sup> However, this polymerization is generally conducted at high temperatures and under reduced pressure, which in principle is not preferable for sustainable polymer synthesis.<sup>[1](#page-12-0),[10](#page-12-0),[12](#page-12-0)</sup> Besides, as mentioned previously, high-temperature polymerization has limitations due to the coloration of FDCA-based polyester products.[4](#page-12-0),[6,7](#page-12-0)

Enzymatic polymerization is renowned as a powerful method in sustainable polymer synthesis due to its selectivity, high efficiency, and sustainability.[5,11](#page-12-0),[13](#page-12-0)−[16](#page-12-0) Enzymatic synthesis of different polymers, including FDCA-based polyesters, has<br>been reported in recent years.<sup>[14](#page-12-0),[17](#page-12-0)−[20](#page-12-0)</sup> To achieve a high molecular weight polymer product, the optimization of enzyme-catalyzed polymerization was extensively studied, i.e., using different procedures (one-step and two-step polymer-ization) and varying reaction temperature or pressure.<sup>[11,12](#page-12-0)</sup> In addition, the effects of polymerization conditions, including oligomerization time, vacuum, catalyst dosage, the usage of several comonomers, and reaction medium, have been reported as well. $^{11,12,14,21,22}$  $^{11,12,14,21,22}$  $^{11,12,14,21,22}$  Despite this vast progress in enzymatic polymerization, there is still room for improvement, for example, the replacement of organic solvents that are considered harmful to the environment.

Ionic liquids (ILs) and deep eutectic solvents (DESs) were reported as green solvents and can potentially be used as a solvent for enzymatic synthesis. Both are considered to be green solvents because of their recyclability, low flammability, low volatility, and low toxicity.<sup>[11](#page-12-0),[23](#page-13-0)–[25](#page-13-0)</sup> Several recycling techniques are reported for these solvents, such as distillation, extraction, adsorption, membrane-based separation, and specialized techniques using a force-field separation for magnetic field-sensitive  $ILs.$ <sup>[23](#page-13-0),[24,26](#page-13-0)</sup> ILs are defined as salts with a melting temperature below 100 °C, formed from conventional high-temperature melted salts. They are the first hypothetical substitute for organic solvents, which were produced from a mixture of an organic cation (typically pyridinium or imidazolium) with a wide range of anions.<sup>11,[27,28](#page-13-0)</sup> They also have been reported as catalysts for various reactions.[11](#page-12-0)[,29](#page-13-0)−[35](#page-13-0) More recently, DESs are regarded as a newer generation of ILs. DESs are generally formed by mixing an ammonium or phosphonium salt as a hydrogen-bond donor (HBD) with a hydrogen-bond acceptor (HBA) at a certain molar ratio and subsequently heated at 60−100 °C.[32](#page-13-0),[36,37](#page-13-0) Both of these DESs components are typically linked by hydrogen bonds. DESs are considered as a greener solvent compared to ILs since they are less toxic, inexpensive, and more uncomplicated to produce. $24,38$  Moreover, they are considered to be better solvent alternatives due to their potential for scaleup production.[39](#page-13-0),[40](#page-13-0)

In this study, we present the synthesis of FDCA-based polyesters using Novozym 435-immobilized *Candida antartica* lipase B (CALB) known as an effective catalyst for polyester synthesis.<sup>[12](#page-12-0)</sup> Intending to render enzymatic polymerizations more green, in this study, we perform enzymatic polymerizations of dimethyl 2,5-furandicarboxylate (DMFDCA) with aliphatic diols in the selected ILs, i.e., BMIMPF6 and EMIMBF4, and DESs based on choline chloride (ChCl) as the HBA, and the HBD is urea and glycerol. These selected ILs and DESs were previously reported suitable for certain biocatalytic reactions. However, enzymatic polymerization of furanic-aliphatic polyesters utilizing these solvents has not yet been reported.

#### **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **2.1. Materials**

Novozym 435 (N435, *Candida antartica* lipase B (CALB) immobilized on acrylic resin,  $5000 + U/g$ ), 1,6-hexanediol (1,6-HDO, 99%), 1,8-octanediol (1,8-ODO, 98%), 1,10-decanediol (1,10- DDO, 98%), 1,12-dodecanediol (1,12-DODO, 99%), 1-butyl-3 methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate (BMIMPF<sub>6</sub>,  $\geq$ 97.0%), 1ethyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate (EMIMBF<sub>4</sub>,  $\geq$ 98.0%), chloroform (CHCl3, Chromasolv HPLC, ≥99.8%, amylene stabilized), deuterated chloroform (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 99.8 atom% D), sodium chloride (NaCl), and anhydrous sodium sulfate  $(Na_2SO_4)$  were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Dichloromethane (DCM, amylene stabilized) was purchased from Macron Fine Chemicals. Dimethyl 2,5-furandicarboxylate (DMFDCA, 97%) was purchased from Fluorochem, U.K. Absolute methanol (MeOH, AR) was obtained from Biosolve Chemicals. Glycerol (99%) was purchased from Boom; choline chloride (99%) and urea (99.5%) were purchased from Acros Organics.

 $NA35$  was predried as reported previously.<sup>[41](#page-13-0)</sup> Molecular sieves (4 Å) were preactivated at 200 °C in full vacuum. All of the other chemicals were used as received.

#### **2.2. Procedure for One-Step iCALB-Catalyzed Polycondensation of DMFDCA with Various Diols**

Based on our previously reported studies,  $42$  the following one-step enzymatic polymerization of FDCA-based polyester procedure was applied. As an example, the experimental polymerization of DMFDCA and 1,10-DDO is described in the following. A total amount of 1 g monomers (DMFDCA/diols = 1:1, mole ratio), predried N435, and preactivated molecular sieves (15 and 150 wt % of the total amount of the monomer, respectively) were inserted into a 25 mL round-bottom flask under a nitrogen environment. Subsequently, DMFDCA (0.5139 g, 2.79 mmol), 1,10-DDO (0.4860 g, 2.79 mmol), molecular sieve (1.5 g, 150 wt %), N435 (0.15 g, 15 wt %), and either solvent in Table 1 (5 mL) were added

#### Table 1. Abbreviations of the Tested Solvents



into the flask. The flask was magnetically stirred in an oil bath and heated to 90 °C under the nitrogen atmosphere. After a reaction time of 72 h, the reaction was allowed to cool down and stopped. Chloroform (20 mL) was added to dissolve the products under vigorous stirring. N435 and the molecular sieve were filtered by normal filtration (folded filter type 15 Munktell 240 mm) and then washed with chloroform  $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ . All of the obtained solutions were combined and concentrated by the use of a rotary evaporator at 40 °C under a reduced pressure of 400−480 mbar. The concentrated solution was precipitated in an excess amount of methanol. The solution with the precipitated products was then stored overnight at −20 °C. After that, the precipitated product was collected by centrifugation (5 min, 4500 rpm, 4  $^{\circ}$ C) in a Thermo/Heraeus Labofuge 400 R and dried under vacuum at 40 °C for 3 days, which yielded a white powder. The powders were stored under vacuum at room temperature before analysis.

#### **2.3. Procedure for Two-Step iCALB-Catalyzed Polycondensation of DMFDCA with 1,10-Decanediol**

The two-step enzymatic polymerization of PDFs is conducted under two different vacuum conditions. After a two-hour reaction heated at 90 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere and continuous magnetic stirring, a second step was conducted.

- (1) The first method was performed under vaccum at 600 mmHg for 70 h.
- (2) The second method was performed by slowly applying the vacuum to 30 mmHg for 70 h.

The experimental polymerization details and monomer composition of DMFDCA and 1,10-DDO are described similarly to the aforementioned one-step method.

#### **2.4. Preparation of DESs**

To form DES, choline chloride (ChCl) as the hydrogen-bond acceptor (HBA) was added into a round flask and dried in full vacuum at 100 °C for approximately 4 h, and then, it was mixed with the hydrogen-bond donor (HBD), either urea (U) or glycerol (Gly) in a 1:2 molar ratio. The mixture was stirred and heated until a homogeneous solution was obtained. For Gly-ChCl, the DES formed immediately, while for U-ChCl, it mostly took an hour to form a homogeneous solution.

#### **2.5. Alternative Purification Method**

Furanic-aliphatic polyesters obtained from the one-step polymerization using  $\texttt{BMIMPF}_6$  were purified by adding methanol to the crude reaction mixture containing a polymer product,  $BMINPF<sub>6</sub>$ , enzyme, and molecular sieve. Subsequently, to separate the enzyme and molecular sieve, standard filtration using a folded filter type 15 Munktell 240 mm was conducted. The filtrate mixture was then cooled down to −20 °C for 1−2 h, which yielded two phases: a liquid phase containing methanol and  $BMINPF_6$  and a solid precipitated FPE product. The precipitated product was collected by centrifugation (5 min, 4500 rpm, 4 °C) in a Thermo/Heraeus Labofuge 400 R and dried under vacuum at 40 °C. The methanol was then separated from  $\text{BMIMPF}_6$  using a rotary evaporator for additional reuse. Furan polyesters synthesized in U-ChCl were purified by adding dichloromethane (DCM) to the crude reaction mixture. The enzyme and molecular sieve were separated using standard filtering with a folded filter type 15 Munktell of 240 mm. The filtrate was then mixed with a 10 mass equivalents of distilled water containing saturated sodium chloride and subsequently vortexed for 30 s to 1 min. After that, this mixture was transferred to a separating funnel and separated into two phases, a top organic phase containing DCM and FPE products and a bottom phase containing U-ChCl and saturated salt. The organic phase was retained, while the aqueous phase was discarded. The organic phase was then dried using anhydrous sodium sulfate, followed by filtration to separate the liquid organic phase from the salt. Subsequently, the DCM was removed using a rotary evaporator and solid FPEs were obtained and dried, while the collected DCM can be reused.

#### **2.6. Kinetics Study: N435-Catalyzed Polycondensation of DMFDCA and 1,10-DDO**

**2.6.1. <sup>1</sup> H NMR Analysis.** DMFDCA (0.5139 g, 2.79 mmol), 1,10- DDO (0.4860 g, 2.79 mmol), preactivated molecular sieves (1.5 g, 150 wt %), and either solvent in [Table](#page-2-0) 1 (5 g, 500 wt %) were added into a 25 mL flask with or without predried N435 (0.15 g, 15 wt %). The one-step method was applied according to the same procedure as described above. At selected time intervals (0, 0.5, 1, 2, 6, 9, 24, 47, and 72 h), about 70 mg of the solution mixture was withdrawn from the reaction. Subsequently, the solution mixture was dissolved by 1 g of  $CDCl<sub>3</sub>$  for <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis.

**2.6.2. SEC Analysis.** Using a similar procedure as above, the onestep method was performed for enzymatic polycondensation of DMFDCA (0.2569 g, 2.79 mmol) and 1,10-DDO (0.243 g, 2.79 mmol) in the presence of predried N435 (0.15 g, 15 wt %) and preactivated molecular sieves (1.5 g, 150 wt %). A series of reactions were performed and stopped at certain polymerization times (2, 6, 9,

24, 47, and 72 h). After the polymerization, chloroform (10 mL) was added to dissolve the obtained products under vigorous stirring. N435 and molecular sieves were then filtered off and washed with chloroform three times. The obtained solutions were combined and then rotary evaporated at 40 °C under reduced pressure (20−40 mmHg). After that, the concentrated solution was precipitated in an excess amount of methanol. The solution with the precipitated products was then stored overnight at −20 °C. To obtain the pure product, the precipitated polymer was collected by centrifugation (30 min, 4500 rpm, 4 °C) in a Thermo/Heraeus Labofuge 400 R and dried under vacuum at 40 °C for 3 days, which yielded a white powder and finally stored in vacuo at room temperature before SEC analysis.

#### **2.7. FDCA-Based Polyesters**

ATR-FTIR (*v*, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3057–3200 (=C−H stretching vibration of the furan ring); 2780−3021 (C−H stretching vibration); 1720−1724 (C=O stretching vibration of ester); 1573-1576 (aromatic C=C bending vibration); 1491−1493 and 1468−1475 (C−H deformation and wagging vibrations); 1392 (C−H rocking vibration); 1142−1144 and 1270−1284 (asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations of the ester C-O-C group); 1230-1234 and 1010-1016 (=C-O-C= ring vibration and furan ring); 966−977, 820−822, and 764 (= C−H out-of-plane deformation vibration, furan ring).

**2.7.1. Poly(hexamethylene-2,5-furanoate) (PHF).** <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ, ppm): 7.18 (2H, s, −CH=, DMFDCA), 4.32 (4H, m, −CO−O−CH2−, from 1,6-HDO), 1.77 (4H, m, −CO−O− CH<sub>2</sub>−CH<sub>2</sub>−, from 1,6-HDO), 1.47 (4H, m, −CH<sub>2</sub>−, from 1,6-HDO), 3.91 (s,  $-O-CH_3$ , end group from DMFDCA), 3.64 (t, −CH2−OH, end group from 1,6-HDO).

**2.7.2. Poly(octamethylene-2,5-furanoate) (POF).** <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ, ppm): 7.16 (2H, s, −CH=, DMFDCA), 4.30 (4H, m, −CO−O−CH2−, from 1,8-ODO), 1.74 (4H, m, −CO−O− CH<sub>2</sub>−CH<sub>2</sub>−, from 1,8-ODO), 1.36 (4H, m, -CH<sub>2</sub>−, from 1,8-ODO), 3.90 (s, −O−CH3, end group from DMFDCA), 3.62 (t,  $-CH_2$ −OH, end group from 1,8-ODO).

**2.7.3. Poly(decamethylene-2,5-furanoate) (PDF).** <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ, ppm): 7.17 (2H, s, −CH=, DMFDCA), 4.28 (4H, m,  $-CO-O-CH_2$ –, from 1,10-DDO), 1.73 (4H, m,  $-CO-$ O−CH<sub>2</sub>−CH<sub>2</sub>−, from 1,10-DDO), 1.38 (4H, m, -CH<sub>2</sub>−, from 1,10-DDO), 3.91 (s, −O−CH3, end group from DMFDCA), 3.63 (t, −CH2−OH, end group from 1,10-DDO).

**2.7.4. Poly(dodecamethylene-2,5-furanoate) (PDOF).** <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ, ppm): 7.17 (2H, s, −C**H**=, DMFDCA), 4.28 (4H, m, −CO−O−CH2−, from 1,12-DODO), 1.73 (4H, m,  $-CO-O-CH_2-CH_2-$ , from 1,12-DODO), 1.38 (4H, m,  $-CH_2-$ , from 1,12-DODO), 3.91 (s,  $-O-CH_3$ , end group from DMFDCA), 3.63 (t,  $-CH_2$ –OH, end group from 1,12-DODO).

#### **2.8. Analytics**

Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (<sup>1</sup>H NMR; 400 MHz) spectra were recorded on a Varian VXR spectrometer, using CDCl<sub>3</sub> as the solvent. Attenuated total reflection−Fourier transform infrared (ATR-FTIR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker VERTEX 70 spectrometer equipped with an ATR diamond single reflection accessory. The measurement resolution was  $4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and the spectra were collected in the range of 4000-400  $cm^{-1}$ , with 16 scans for each sample. Atmospheric compensation and baseline correction were applied to the collected spectra using OPUS spectroscopy software (v7.0) (Bruker Optics).

Molecular weights (number-average,  $\bar{M}_{\textrm{n}}$ , and weight-average,  $\bar{M}_{\textrm{w}}$ ) of FPEs were determined by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) equipped with a triple detector, consisting of a Viscotek 270 Malvern Dual detector and Schambeck RI2012, a refractive index detector. The separation was carried out using two PLgel 5 *μ*m MIXED-C 300 mm columns from Agilent Technologies at 35 °C. Chloroform of HPLC grade was used as the eluent, with a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. Data acquisition and calculations were performed using Viscotek OmniSec software version 5.0. Molecular weights were determined based on a conventional calibration curve generated from narrow

#### Scheme 1. Enzymatic Synthesis of Furanic-Aliphatic Polyesters



dispersity polystyrene standards (Agilent and Polymer Laboratories,  $\overline{M}_{\rm w}$  = 645 – 3 001 000 g/mol). The samples were filtered over a 0.2 *μ*m PTFE filter before injection.

The analysis of the thermal properties was performed on a TA Instruments Q1000 DSC, which was calibrated on indium as a standard. The heating rate was 10 °C min<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> under nitrogen flow. Melting points  $(T_m)$  of FPEs were measured by a second heating scan. The glass transition temperatures  $(T_g)$  were measured by a temperature-modulated DSC (TMDSC) at 2 °C min<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> with a temperature modulation of  $\pm 0.50$  °C for every 60 s.

The thermal stability and degradation temperatures were analyzed by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) on a TA Instruments Discovery TGA 5500 using a heating rate of 10 °C min<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> in a nitrogen environment.

Wide-angle X-ray diffraction (WAXD) patterns were recorded on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer with Cu K*α* radiation (*λ* = 0.1542 nm) in the angular range of 5−50° (2*θ*) at room temperature.

#### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **3.1. Synthesis and Structural Characterization of Furanic-Aliphatic Polyesters**

Various furanic-aliphatic polyesters were successfully synthesized via two approaches in different media, as outlined in Scheme 1. In this work, furan polyesters were prepared by the N435-catalyzed reaction between DMFDCA and diols. Different reaction media (listed in [Table](#page-2-0) 1) were used to evaluate their influence on the enzymatic synthesis of furanicaliphatic polyesters. The synthesized polyester varies in the number of methylene units (*n*) of the aliphatic linear diols as 6, 8, 10, and 12. These are defined as the carbon chain length of the used aliphatic linear monomers of the polyester's synthesis.

1H NMR and ATR-FTIR spectra (Figures 1, S1, [and](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035/suppl_file/lg2c00035_si_001.pdf) S8) showed the successful enzymatic synthesis of the FPEs. The presence of a −CO−O−CH2− signal at 4.3 ppm confirmed the formation of the polyester. Additionally, ATR-FTIR spectra prove the ester linkage formation by the occurrence of a sharp band around 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup>, corresponding to the C= O stretching vibration of the ester groups.<sup>21,[43](#page-13-0)</sup> The detailed assignment of the NMR and IR peaks are specified in the [Materials](#page-2-0) and Methods section. As shown in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR peaks (see Figure 1a), weak signals corresponding to the ILs were observed. However, different from our previous work on the FDCA-based poly(ester amide)s, $17$  no coloration was observed in the product-see below.

We found that when these ILs and DESs were used as the medium, the polymerization of DMFDCA and diol can also be performed without N435 as the catalyst. This result confirmed that ILs and DESs can be used as both the medium and catalyst in the polymerization, as previously re-ported.<sup>[6](#page-12-0),[11](#page-12-0)[,26,44](#page-13-0)–[46](#page-13-0)</sup> Among the tested solvents, U-ChCl shows the best performance in terms of the yield and molecular weight [\(Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035/suppl_file/lg2c00035_si_001.pdf) S2). However, based on the kinetic study, the N435-catalyzed polymerization of DMFDCA and 1,10-DDO in U-ChCl still gives a higher molecular weight,  $\overline{M}_n = 2300$  g mol<sup>-1</sup> and  $\overline{M}_w = 3400$  g mol<sup>-1</sup>, compared to the nonenzymatic polymerization,  $\bar{M}_\mathrm{n} = 1750\ \mathrm{g}\:\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$  and







Figure 1. (a) <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of PDOF obtained in different solvents and (b) ATR-FTIR spectra of PDOF obtained in different solvents.

 $\overline{M}_{w}$  = 2400 g mol<sup>-1</sup> (see [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035/suppl_file/lg2c00035_si_001.pdf) S3). Nonenzymatic polymerization of DMFDCA and 1,10-DDO for 47 and 72 h resulted in PDF with a relatively similar molecular weight,  $\overline{M}_{\text{w}} = 2200 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$  and  $\overline{M}_{\text{w}} = 2400 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$ , respectively. These findings show that the polymerization was indeed significantly catalyzed by the enzyme.

The influence of the lengths of aliphatic linear monomers on the enzymatic synthesis of furanic-aliphatic polyesters was investigated. As shown in [Figure](#page-5-0) 2, the results indicate that *Candida antarctica* lipase B (CALB) prefers longer linear diols

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 2.  $\overline{\text{DP}}_{\text{n}}$  and  $\overline{\text{DP}}_{\text{w}}$  of the furanic-aliphatic polyesters synthesized in different solvents plotted against the chain length of the linear monomers using the one-step method.



Figure 3. Yield of the furanic-aliphatic polyesters synthesized in different solvents using the one-step method.



 $(n = 10$  and 12) over shorter linear diols  $(n = 6$  and 8). This result is in line with our previous findings that CALB consistently prefers aliphatic monomers with longer chains.<sup>[21,](#page-12-0)[43](#page-13-0)</sup> The results showed that the weight-average degree of polymerization  $(\overline{DP_w})$  of the obtained FPEs synthesized in  $BMINPF<sub>6</sub>$  was increased from 12 to 24 upon increasing the chain length of the diols from  $n = 8$  to 10. Similarly,  $\overline{DP_w}$  of FPEs synthesized in U-ChCl was increased from 15 to 17. A slight decrease was observed when the diol length was increased to  $n = 12$ . As illustrated in Figure 2, the tendency toward a certain diol chain length is similar, regardless of the solvent type. CALB was reported to have better activity in solvents bearing fluorinated anions, and this explains the higher DP obtained in both ILs,  $EMIMBF<sub>4</sub>$  and  $BMIMPF<sub>4</sub>$  especially at a longer alkyl chain length  $n > 8$ .<sup>6,[47](#page-13-0),[48](#page-13-0)</sup> However, no significant difference was observed in the polymerization in DESs despite the increase in the chain length of the used diol. This is in agreement with Durand et al. who reported that immobilized CALB possess the best activity in DESs containing ChCl, regardless of the alcohol chain length used in transesterification of vinyl laurate with alcohols.<sup>4</sup>

#### **3.2. Influence of the Solvent on the Enzymatic synthesis of the Furanic-Aliphatic Polyesters**

Aside from their green characteristics, ILs and DESs were chosen as solvents due to their typical solubility for organic and inorganic materials. Their ability as a lipase stabilizer solvent was reported previously.<sup>50,[51](#page-13-0)</sup> In this work, two types of ILs, i.e.,  $BMINPF_6$  and  $EMIMBF_4$ , were used. It was previously reported that CALB showed higher activity in ILs containing fluorinated anions; hence, these two ILs were selected.<sup>[17](#page-12-0)[,47](#page-13-0)</sup> Similarly, U-ChCl and Gly-ChCl have been reported as appropriate DESs for good lipase activity.[26](#page-13-0),[32,49,52](#page-13-0)−[54](#page-13-0)

We have successfully synthesized FPEs in ILs with a relatively high yield and molecular weight. We observed that there is no significant difference in the resulting yield and molecular weight of the polyester obtained from the two ILs:  $\text{BMIMPF}_6$  and  $\text{EMIMBF}_4$ . As listed in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035/suppl_file/lg2c00035_si_001.pdf) S1, POF synthesized in BMIMPF<sub>6</sub> has a yield of 35% and  $\overline{M_n}$  of 1550 g mol<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> , while for EMIMBF4, the corresponding values

(b)





<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Figure 5. (a) Yield of PDFs and (b) number-average molecular weight  $\bar{M}^{}_{\rm n}$  and weight-average molecular weight  $\bar{M}^{}_{\rm w}$  of PDFs synthesized in different solvents using the two-step method.



Figure 6. Poly(decamethylene-2,5-furanoate) (PDOF) synthesized in different media. Logo by University of Groningen used with permission.

are 36% and 1600 g mol $^{-1}$ . A similar result, which shows that synthesis in  $EMIMBF<sub>4</sub>$  renders a high product yield and molecular weight, was observed in PHF, PDF, and PDOF as well. These results agreed with a nonenzymatic study by Qu et al., in which  $EMIMBF<sub>4</sub>$  was reported as the most preferred solvent−catalyst for FPEs due to the stronger electronegativity and proton donating ability.<sup>[6](#page-12-0)</sup> In contrast, this result did not agree well with our previous report on furan poly(ester amide)s (PEAFs). In PEAF synthesis, BMIMPF<sub>4</sub> gives a better yield and higher molecular weight compared to  $\text{EMIMBF}_{4}$ .<sup>[17](#page-12-0)</sup> This can be explained due to the different solubility of PEAFs and FPEs in both solvents.

Lipase was reported as an active catalyst in two DES, namely, U-ChCl and Gly-ChCl.<sup>[26](#page-13-0),[32,52](#page-13-0)–[55](#page-13-0)</sup> The DESs are prepared typically using a 1:2 molar ratio of the ChCl as the halide salt to the hydrogen-bond donor (HBD), i.e., urea and glycerol[.32](#page-13-0),[54](#page-13-0),[56](#page-13-0) In this study, we used those two DESs as the reaction media and we found that they give significantly different reaction yields ([Figure](#page-5-0) 3). The enzymatic polymerization in U-ChCl results in a higher yield compared to Gly-ChCl. In addition, as depicted in [Figure](#page-5-0) 4 and listed in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035/suppl_file/lg2c00035_si_001.pdf) [S1](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035/suppl_file/lg2c00035_si_001.pdf), the polyesters synthesized in U-ChCl also possess higher  $\bar{M}^{}_{\rm n}$  and  $\bar{M}^{}_{\rm w}$ . These results suggest that U-ChCl is a more



Figure 7.  $^{1}$ H NMR spectra of the solution mixture from the N435catalyzed polycondensation of DMFDCA and 1,10-DDO at 90 °C in  $BMIMPF<sub>6</sub>$ 

preferable DES to Gly-ChCl for the tested polymerization. This might be due to the reduced activity of the enzymes in Gly-ChCl. As seen by the kinetic analysis in [Figure](#page-7-0) 8d, the molecular weight of PDF dropped after a 9-h reaction. Glycerol was reported to have a negative effect on lipase activity and stability due to its ability to be adsorbed onto the lipase. This can potentially limit the substrate and water diffusion into the enzyme that is crucial for the enzyme catalytic activity.<sup>[49,57](#page-13-0),[58](#page-13-0)</sup> In addition, glycerol was found to compete with the alcohol substrate; as reported by Bubalo et al.<sup>[59](#page-13-0)</sup> and Gorke et al.,<sup>54</sup> alcohol could act as hydrogen-donor and then interact with Gly-ChCl as the solvent or linked to the ester substrate. This might explain the molecular mass decreases after 6 h of reaction times (see [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035/suppl_file/lg2c00035_si_001.pdf) S4b), which correspond to the potential transesterification involving

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Figure 8.  $\overline{\rm DP_n}$  and  $\overline{\rm DP_w}$  of the obtained PDF determined by SEC as a function of polymerization times in (a) BMIMPF<sub>6</sub>, (b) EMIMBF<sub>4</sub>, (c) U-ChCl, and (d) Gly-ChCl.

glycerol. While Xu et al. studied ethanolysis of rapeseed oil using immobilized lipase in the presence of glycerol, they successfully prevented glycerol blocking and achieved 90% conversion using agitation in their reaction. $57$  In another study, Durand et al.<sup>49</sup> found that iCALB has better long-term stability in U-ChCl compared to Gly-ChCl. They observed that iCALB activity rate only reduced to 5% after one-day incubation and less than 38% after 5 days in U-ChCl, while it decreased up to 70% in Gly-ChCl. Hawkins and Handy also noticed that, compared to glycerol, urea's preorganized nature and stronger hydrogen bond can positively influence the catalytic activity of their eutectic solvent, as such for U-ChCl.<sup>[45](#page-13-0)</sup>

As illustrated in [Figures](#page-5-0) 3 and [4,](#page-5-0) compared to DESs, ILs display better performance as a solvent for enzymatic polymerization. This can be due to the better activity and stability of CALB in ILs since IL-charged cations and anions may interact electrostatically with the lipase in a favorable manner. Specifically, ILs with lower hydrogen-bond basicity contain  $BF_4$ ,  $PF_6$ , and  $NTf_2$  anions because of their ability to reduce interference with the internal hydrogen bonds of an enzyme.<sup>[60](#page-13-0)−[62](#page-13-0)</sup> However, issues may arise regarding the toxicity of ILs, including the fluorinated ILs, even though it reported that it is safe to use ILs at certain concentrations, higher than 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>[63](#page-14-0)</sup> Therefore it is worth noting to consider an even greener solvent alternative for future studies. However, for enzymatic polymerization in DESs, their constituent components affect the variation of their hydrogen-bond network, hence influencing the enzyme activity's stability, the solvation conformation in the reaction system, and the polarity.[55,56](#page-13-0),[64](#page-14-0),[65](#page-14-0) It was reported that the enzyme possesses high activity in DESs containing water due to its ability to modify the viscosity and polarity of DESs. Up to 10% of water addition to the enzymatic reaction in DESs effectively enhanced the reaction rate and results, while more than 15% water addition caused a decrease in the yield due to which the competitive hydrolysis occurred.[55,56](#page-13-0),[64](#page-14-0),[66](#page-14-0)−[71](#page-14-0) Therefore, for enzymatic synthesis of FPEs in DESs, further studies are required to know the preferred DES composition.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Figure 9.  $\overline{\text{DP}}_{\text{n}}$  and  $\overline{\text{DP}}_{\text{w}}$  comparison between the enzymatic and control reactions of the obtained PDOF determined by SEC as a function of the polymerization time in U-ChCl.

Table 2. Thermal Properties of the Obtained FPEs Using the One-Step Method

			$DSC^a$		$TGA^b$
polymers	solvent	$T_{\rm g}$ (°C)	$T_{\rm m}$ (°C)	$T_c$ (°C)	$T_{\text{d-max}}$ (°C)
PHF	U-ChCl	10	104/119	99	389
	Gly-ChCl	c	c	c	c
	BMINPF <sub>6</sub>	8	99/111	90	352
	EMIMBF <sub>4</sub>	6	108/116	96	390
POF	U-ChCl	10	109/123	102	392
	Gly-ChCl	c	ċ	c	389
	BMIMPF <sub>6</sub>	3	110/119	90	348
	EMIMBF <sub>4</sub>	4	110/118	99	382
PDF	U-ChCl	8	95/102	84	395
	Gly-ChCl	5	83/88	73	397
	$BMIMPF_6$	8	92/103	81	362
	EMIMBF <sub>4</sub>	8	88/100	78	393
PDOF	U-ChCl	9	68/84/96	72	393
	Gly-ChCl	5	75/82	64	401
	BMINPF <sub>6</sub>	12	97/102	87	367
	EMIMBF <sub>4</sub>	10	94/101	82	392

 ${}^{a}T_{g}$  = glass transition temperature from the modulated DSC heating scan,  $T_m$  = melting temperature from the second heating scan,  $T_c$  = crystallization temperature from the cooling scan.  ${}^bT_{\rm d-max}$  = temperature at the maximum rate of decomposition. *<sup>c</sup>*  $\epsilon_{\rm Not}$ determined.

#### **3.3. Influence of the Polycondensation Process**

As illustrated in [Figure](#page-5-0) 3, the yield from one-step polymerization was always less than 60%, which could be owing to molecular sieves' inability to absorb the byproduct methanol or water contaminants from the raw material. Therefore, to further study the influence of the polycodensation process on the enzymatic polymerization of furanic-polyesters in ILs and DESs, we performed a two-step polycondensation method of PDF enzymatic synthesis at two different vacuum conditions. First, by applying a vacuum of 600 mmHg after 2 h of the precondensation reaction, methanol as a byproduct could be removed. Second, we also implemented higher vacuum conditions using 30 mmHg in the second step of the polymerization, which allowed the system to eliminate methanol and water more efficiently. As can be seen in [Figure](#page-6-0)

[5](#page-6-0) and [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035/suppl_file/lg2c00035_si_001.pdf) S4, we found no significant difference in the yield and molecular weight between the two applied methods, especially for U-ChCl and BMIMPF<sub>6</sub>, while for EMIMBF<sub>4</sub>, we can see a slightly different results.

As shown in [Figure](#page-6-0) 5a, PDF possesses the best result in  $\text{BMIMPF}_6$  and almost similar yields of 55 and 54% for the 30 and 600 mmHg methods were achieved, respectively. Moreover, almost similar  $\bar{M}^{}_{\rm n}$  and  $\bar{M}^{}_{\rm w}$  were found for both methods as well (see [Figure](#page-6-0) 5b). However, we discovered no significant difference in the yield and  $\bar{M}_n$  than the one-step method, but  $\overline{M}_{w}$  rose by 12%. For the second IL, EMIMBF<sub>4</sub>, the two-step enzymatic synthesis of PDF resulted in up to 23% decreased  $\bar{M}_\text{n}$  and  $\bar{M}_\text{w}$  as compared to the one-step method and the yield dropped by 45% (see [Tables](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035/suppl_file/lg2c00035_si_001.pdf) S1 and S4). As observed during the reaction,  $EMIMBF<sub>4</sub>$  turned more viscous after the vacuum was applied to the reaction implying limiting mass transfer in the system and reducing the reactivity.

Also, the two-step enzymatic synthesis of PDF using DESs did not give a better result than the one-step method. Lower  $\bar{M}_\text{n}$  and  $\bar{M}_\text{w}$  but up to 24% higher yields were achieved in U-ChCl using the 600 and 30 mmHg methods (see [Tables](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035/suppl_file/lg2c00035_si_001.pdf) S1 [and](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035/suppl_file/lg2c00035_si_001.pdf) S4). For Gly-ChCl, no different results between the onestep and two-step methods were observed, showing only 4 and 3% yields, respectively. Likewise,  $\bar{M}^{}_{\rm n}$  and  $\bar{M}^{}_{\rm w}$  revealed 1600 and 2000 g mol<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> for the one-step method and 1700 and 2100 g mol<sup>-1</sup> for the two-step method, respectively. These results indicate that water influences the enzyme activity in the same way as outlined above when DESs are employed as solvents. This agrees with several reports mentioned above regarding enzyme activity influenced by water addition.<sup>[55,56,](#page-13-0)[64](#page-14-0),[66](#page-14-0)</sup>

#### **3.4. FPE Product Appearance**

We observed no coloration on furanic-aliphatic polyesters synthesized both in ILs and DESs (see [Figure](#page-6-0) 6). This result supports our premise that enzymatic polymerization can remarkably prevent coloration in FDCA-based polymer production. The coloration issue was frequently reported in FDCA-based polymers due to the decarboxylation of FDCA at the high-temperature reaction.<sup>[6](#page-12-0),[7,](#page-12-0)[72](#page-14-0)</sup> We have successfully addressed the coloration issue from the IL residue that was observed in our previous work on the enzymatic synthesis of PEAFs.

In the synthesis of furanic-aliphatic polyester, we have successfully separated the ILs and obtained a high purity product. This can be explained by the properties of the furanicaliphatic polyesters, which have a low polarity and are structurally symmetric from the ester functional groups. Hence, the polyesters can be straightforwardly trapped by nonpolar solvents in the purification stage. Moreover, the amide group of PEAFs has been observed to form hydrogen-bonded complexes with ILs.<sup>[73](#page-14-0),[74](#page-14-0)</sup> As a consequence, PEAF production requires multiple purifying steps.<sup>1</sup>

#### **3.5. Kinetics Study**

To better understand enzymatic polymerization in ILs and DESs, we investigated the enzymatic polymerization kinetics of the one-step method by  $^1\mathrm{H}$  NMR and SEC (see [Figures](#page-6-0) 7 and [8](#page-7-0)) and the kinetics of control reactions (see [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035/suppl_file/lg2c00035_si_001.pdf) S2) by  ${}^{1}H$ NMR. As illustrated in [Figures](#page-5-0) 3 and [4,](#page-5-0) we discovered that enzymatic polymerization of PDF produced high molecular weights and yields in all media. Therefore, in this study, we focus on performing the kinetic analysis to PDF enzymatic synthesis. As shown in [Figure](#page-6-0) 7, PDF was already produced



Figure 10. (a) Representative TGA traces of the obtained furanic-aliphatic polyesters synthesized in U-ChCl. (b) Representative TGA traces of the obtained PDOF synthesized in both ILs and DESs.

within 30 minutes of the reaction, except for the reaction in Gly-ChCl. The PDF formation can be verified by the signal appearance at 4.28 ppm, which belongs to the methoxy group of the oligoesters. On the other hand, the control showed the appearance of the oligoester methoxy peak slightly only for reaction in  $\text{BMIMPF}_6$  and U-ChCl; it appeared after 2 and 1 h, respectively (see [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035/suppl_file/lg2c00035_si_001.pdf) S2). Moreover, the decrease of the methoxy group signal from DMFDCA at 3.9 ppm implies that DMFDCA was already transformed into oligoester. This result is in agreement with our previous finding, in which oligoester and oligoamide were detected after 2 h of the reaction using the enzyme[.75](#page-14-0),[76](#page-14-0) Additionally, when we compare the two ILs, the higher signal intensity of the oligoesters methoxy group was detected for the reaction in  $\text{BMIMPF}_6$  (see [Figure](#page-6-0) 7). This suggests that  $BMINPF_6$  is a more suitable solvent than EMIMBF4. However, for polymerization in Gly-ChCl (see [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035/suppl_file/lg2c00035_si_001.pdf) S11c), the methoxyl group signal of the oligoester only appeared after 6 h and not significantly improved after 72 h. This indicated that the polymerization occurred slowly in this reaction system. This supports our hypothesis that glycerol can form a barrier that reduces enzyme activity. Hence, the polymer was not effectively propagated despite the longer reaction time.<sup>[57,58](#page-13-0)</sup>

From the SEC analysis (see [Figure](#page-7-0) 8), it can be seen that polymerizations of PDF using ILs show a significant increase of  $\overline{DP_w}$  from 2 to 9 h of the reaction time and a subsequent decrease of  $\overline{\rm{DP_w}}$  after 10 h, while the  $\overline{\rm{DP_n}}$  stays relatively the same after 10 h. This insignificant decrease in DP might be due to ester hydrolysis, temporarily dominating the reaction equilibrium. However, upon further increase of the polymerization time to 72 h, the ester linkage formation is prevalent again. On the other hand, as we proceeded under vacuum reactions, which did not significantly increase the results, we assume that the high viscosity of ILs during 47 h results in an instability of mass transfer or in reduced enzyme reactivity in the system. Further experiments must be undertaken to fully understand this behavior of the system. For the reaction in U-ChCl, the DP shows a declining path up to 6 h of the reaction time, followed by a steady increase up to 72 h. In contrast, the reaction in Gly-ChCl showed a sharp increase in the first 6 h of the reaction time, then subsequently decreased after 9 h, and

slightly increased again in the last 72 h. As expected, this sudden decrease can be explained by the glycerol barrier formation on the enzyme. As the reaction proceeds, sufficient agitation may be achieved during the polymerization; hence, we see a slight increase in the DP after 24 h. Based on this result, we can conclude that the enzymatic reaction using Gly-ChCl occurred effectively in a short time, up to 6 h.

Interestingly, the control reaction in U-ChCl gives comparable results to its enzyme-catalyzed polymerization, resulting PDOF with  $\overline{M}_{\mathrm{w}}$  up to 2.5 kg mol<sup>−1</sup> and a yield of 43% (see [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035/suppl_file/lg2c00035_si_001.pdf) S2). However, if we compare the control to the enzyme-catalyzed reaction (see [Figure](#page-8-0) 9), a steady increase in DP was observed after 50 h in the enzyme-catalyzed polymerization. This suggests that, despite the U-ChCl catalysis, enzyme activity has a specific influence to determine the final polymerization results. Therefore, it can be concluded that enzymes plays the main role in catalyzing and stabilizing the polymerization reaction. In addition, we found that  $\bar{M}_\mathrm{n}$  and  $\overline{M}_{w}$  increase up to 24 and 29%, respectively, when the enzyme is used as a catalyst in the polymerization using U-ChCl.

**3.6. Crystallinity and Thermal Analysis of the Obtained Furanic-Aliphatic polyesters**

The thermal stability of furanic-aliphatic polyesters was studied by TGA under a nitrogen atmosphere. The values of their thermal transitions and degradation temperatures are summarized in [Tables](#page-8-0) 2 and [S5](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035/suppl_file/lg2c00035_si_001.pdf). As shown in Figure 10a, regardless of the diol chain length, a similar degradation profile was observed for polyesters synthesized in U-ChCl. The obtained polyesters typically show a one-step degradation pattern and decompose at a temperature around 390 °C. The representative thermal degradation features of PDOF synthesized in all media are depicted in Figure 10b. PDOF obtained from enzymatic polymerization in all media possesses comparable thermal stability.

As shown in [Tables](#page-8-0) 2 and [S5](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035/suppl_file/lg2c00035_si_001.pdf), all obtained polyesters' profiles are similar and represented by the DSC curves of PHF obtained from U-ChCl, depicted in [Figure](#page-10-0) 11a. The endothermic peak is very broad, which indicates multiple melting points around 110 °C ( $T_{\text{m1}}$ ) and 120 °C ( $T_{\text{m2}}$ ), as observed in the first heating cycle. Similarly, this multiple  $T<sub>m</sub>$ 

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Figure 11. DSC curves of FPEs from the enzymatic polymerization conducted in U-ChCl using the one-step method: (a) PHF heating and the cooling scan profile, (b) cooling scan profile of FPEs, (c) second heating scan profile of FPEs, (d)  $T_g$  appearance from the modulated DSC heating scan of FPEs.

appeared at 104 and 119 °C in the second heating scan. In the cooling curve, we observe a crystallization temperature  $(T_c)$  at 99 °C. All furanic-aliphatic polyesters synthesized in U-ChCl possess a  $T_m$  of between 68 and 123 °C and a  $T_c$  of between 64 and 102 °C (see Figure 11b,c). A similar thermal transition profile was also observed for the furanic-aliphatic polyesters synthesized in Gly-ChCl and ILs, summarized in [Table](#page-8-0) 2. The glass transition temperature  $(T_g)$  was determined from the modulated DSC heating scan. The  $T_{\rm g}$  of the obtained furanicaliphatic polyesters was observed at values ranging from 3 to 12 °C (see Figure 11d and [Table](#page-8-0) 2). The  $T_m$  and  $T_g$  of all obtained FPEs show a decreasing trend with an increase in the

<span id="page-11-0"></span>chain length of the diol units. This result was in agreement with our previous studies and can be explained due to the increase in chain flexibility and a reduction in the density of hydrogen bonds and *π−π* stacking.<sup>[17,](#page-12-0)[76](#page-14-0)</sup> All synthesized furanicaliphatic polyesters are found as semi-crystalline materials and can be recrystallized upon cooling from the melt.

In agreement with the DSC results, the wide-angle X-ray diffraction (WAXD) spectra confirmed that the obtained furanic-aliphatic polyesters possess a semi-crystalline structure. As shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035/suppl_file/lg2c00035_si_001.pdf) S9a, all of the obtained PDOF exhibits a similar pattern with one strong diffraction peak at 24.12° and two weak signals at 17.8 and 9.92°. These results match our previous work on furanic-aliphatic polyesters produced by a two-stage method in an organic solvent, diphenyl ether.<sup>[43](#page-13-0)</sup> The WAXD spectra of all furanic-aliphatic polyesters synthesized in U-ChCl are shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035/suppl_file/lg2c00035_si_001.pdf) S9b. They have similar diffraction peaks at round 24.12−25.10°, 16.76−17.85°, and 9.92−13.76°.

#### **3.7. Greener Product Purification**

Chloroform, which usually becomes a preferred solvent in the purification of polyesters, is considered a harmful chemical. Hence, to address this issue, we tested a greener purification method on PDF synthesized in  $\text{BMIMPF}_6$  and U-ChCl. Due to their low solubility in organic solvents, separation of ILs and DESs typically can be executed by a simple extraction process.

The recovery procedure of  $\text{BMINPF}_{6}$ , a hydrophobic ILs, was conducted as follows: methanol was directly added to the crude reaction mixture, followed by standard filtration to separate the enzyme and molecular sieve from the solution. After that, the solution was cooled to −20 °C for 1−2 h resulting in a precipitated PDF product. PDF precipitates are then collected and separated from the liquid phase containing methanol and  $\texttt{BMIMPF}_{6}$ . As shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035/suppl_file/lg2c00035_si_001.pdf) S7, the  $^{1}\texttt{H}$  NMR product shows relatively high purity, free from  $\text{BMIMPF}_{6}$ . Using a rotary evaporator, we then separated the remaining nonvolatile  $BMINPF<sub>6</sub>$  from volatile methanol, resulting in a pure solvent that can be reused.

When the reaction was complete, the PDF product was discovered detached from the U-ChCl. As a result, the PDF can be easily separated from the U-ChCl following the reaction. Nevertheless, we discovered that some enzyme beads remained attached to the product. To obtain a pure product, a suitable solvent must be used; instead of chloroform, we can use DCM, which is less harmful than chloroform. Additionally, we used the extraction method to perform the U-ChCl recovery procedure. The crude reaction mixture was added with a saturated salt solution (as the aqueous phase) and DCM (as the organic phase). Subsequently, a solution with an upper organic phase containing PDF and a lower aqueous phase containing U-ChCl was obtained and separated using a separation funnel. Then, a pure PDF product could be obtained by evaporating the DCM using a rotary evaporator (see [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035/suppl_file/lg2c00035_si_001.pdf) S7). The isolated DCM can be reused for another purification.

#### **4. CONCLUSIONS**

This work demonstrates a successful synthesis of bio-based furanic-aliphatic polyesters via enzymatic polymerization in green solvents, i.e., ILs and DESs, with a yield of up to 56%. We found that the reaction in tested ILs,  $BMINPF<sub>6</sub>$  and  $EMIMBF<sub>4</sub>$ , resulted in polymers with a comparable degree of polymerization  $(\overline{\mathrm{DP_w}})$  using a one-step enzymatic polymerization. These results show that both ILs are suitable for

CALB-catalyzed synthesis of furanic-aliphatic polyesters. For the reaction in DESs, U-ChCl displayed a steady performance for 72 h, while Gly-ChCl showed better performance in a shorter reaction time (6 h). This can be explained by the enzyme instability in the Gly-ChCl reaction system, glycerol possibly trapped to the enzyme active site. Consequently, glycerol can compete with the esters as a cosubstrate or change the initial composition of the eutectic mixtures. In our system, sufficient agitation during the reaction can prevent these problems and significantly improve the reaction condition. Furthermore, we found that FPE polymerization in ILs shows better results compared to that in DESs. The hydrogen-bond network of DESs, which depends on the eutectic mixture composition, can affect their physical−chemical properties. Therefore, this will have a significant effect on enzyme activity. All of the obtained FPEs are semi-crystalline materials and thermally stable with a decomposition temperature of around 390 °C.  $T_m$  and  $T_g$  of all obtained FPEs are between 82 and 123 °C and 3 and  $12$  °C, respectively. On the other hand, we found no significant improvement in the yield and molecular weight of PDFs when a two-step enzymatic polymerization was applied. Finally, we demonstrate the recyclability of both ILs and DESs via a simple extraction method in the purification step. This highlights that we successfully enhanced the sustainability of the whole process for furanic-aliphatic polyester production and complied with the requirements for sustainable polymers.

In future research, we will focus on greener methods to purify the products. While to optimize enzymatic polymerization in DESs, future work should investigate the composition of DESs and the water content in the reaction. In addition, a two-step polymerization method using vacuum and various temperatures will be taken into account to discover the best condition for enzyme catalysis in ILs and DESs to elevate the yield and molecular weight of a product. Since it is essential to scale up this system for the manufacturing industry, a higher yield relative to above 60% and higher molecular weights are preferable, and this will be the focus of future studies.

#### ■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

#### $\bullet$  Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035?goto=supporting-info).

Characterization data, data analysis results and table of molecular weights, and dispersities and yield can be found in the supporting information ([PDF\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035/suppl_file/lg2c00035_si_001.pdf)

#### ■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

#### **Corresponding Author**

Katja Loos − *Macromolecular Chemistry & New Polymeric Materials, Zernike Institute for Advanced Materials, University of Groningen, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands*; [orcid.org/0000-0002-4613-1159;](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4613-1159) Email: [k.u.loos@rug.nl](mailto:k.u.loos@rug.nl)

#### **Authors**

Fitrilia Silvianti − *Macromolecular Chemistry & New Polymeric Materials, Zernike Institute for Advanced Materials, University of Groningen, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands*; ● [orcid.org/0000-0003-0662-4183](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0662-4183)

- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Dina Maniar − *Macromolecular Chemistry & New Polymeric Materials, Zernike Institute for Advanced Materials, University of Groningen, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands*
- Laura Boetje − *Macromolecular Chemistry & New Polymeric Materials, Zernike Institute for Advanced Materials, University of Groningen, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands*
- Albert J. J. Woortman − *Macromolecular Chemistry & New Polymeric Materials, Zernike Institute for Advanced Materials, University of Groningen, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands*
- Jur van Dijken − *Macromolecular Chemistry & New Polymeric Materials, Zernike Institute for Advanced Materials, University of Groningen, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands*

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00035?ref=pdf)

#### **Author Contributions**

CRediT: Fitrilia Silvianti conceptualization (equal), data curation (lead), formal analysis (lead), investigation (lead), methodology (lead), visualization (lead), writing-original draft (lead), writing-review & editing (equal); Dina Maniar conceptualization (supporting), methodology (equal), project administration (supporting), supervision (supporting), writingoriginal draft (supporting), writing-review & editing (supporting); Laura Boetje investigation (supporting), writing-review & editing (supporting); Albert Jan Jacob Woortman methodology (supporting), writing-review & editing (supporting); Jur van Dijken methodology (supporting), writing-review & editing (supporting); Katja Loos conceptualization (equal), funding acquisition (lead), methodology (equal), project administration (lead), resources (lead), supervision (lead), writing-original draft (equal), writing-review & editing (equal).

#### **Notes**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**<br>F.S. gratefully acknowledges the financial support from the Industrial Human Resource Development Agency of the Ministry of Industry of the Republic of Indonesia (BPSDMI, Kemenperin).

#### ■ **REFERENCES**

(1) Loos, K.; Zhang, R.; Pereira, I.; Agostinho, B.; Hu, H.; Maniar, D.; Sbirrazzuoli, N.; Silvestre, A. J. D.; Guigo, N.; Sousa, A. F. [A](https://doi.org/10.3389/FCHEM.2020.00585) [Perspective](https://doi.org/10.3389/FCHEM.2020.00585) on PEF Synthesis, Properties, and End-Life. *Front. Chem.* 2020, *8*, 585.

(2) Schneiderman, D. K.; Hillmyer, M. A. 50th [Anniversary](https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.MACROMOL.7B00293?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Perspective:](https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.MACROMOL.7B00293?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) There Is a Great Future in Sustainable Polymers. *Macromolecules* 2017, *50*, 3733−3749.

(3) Nakajima, H.; Dijkstra, P.; Loos, K. The Recent [Developments](https://doi.org/10.3390/POLYM9100523) in Biobased Polymers toward General and Engineering [Applications:](https://doi.org/10.3390/POLYM9100523) Polymers That Are Upgraded from [Biodegradable](https://doi.org/10.3390/POLYM9100523) Polymers, Analogous to [Petroleum-Derived](https://doi.org/10.3390/POLYM9100523) Polymers, and Newly Developed. *Polymers* 2017, *9*, 523.

(4) Sousa, A. F.; Vilela, C.; Fonseca, A. C.; Matos, M.; Freire, C. S. R.; Gruter, G. J. M.; Coelho, J. F. J.; Silvestre, A. J. D. [Biobased](https://doi.org/10.1039/c5py00686d) Polyesters and Other Polymers from [2,5-Furandicarboxylic](https://doi.org/10.1039/c5py00686d) Acid: A Tribute to Furan [Excellency.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c5py00686d) *Polym. Chem.* 2015, *6*, 5961−5983.

(5) Lalanne, L.; Nyanhongo, G. S.; Guebitz, G. M.; Pellis, A. [Biotechnological](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOTECHADV.2021.107707) Production and High Potential of Furan-Based Renewable [Monomers](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOTECHADV.2021.107707) and Polymers. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 2021, *48*, No. 107707.

(6) Qu, X.; Jiang, M.; Wang, B.; Deng, J.; Wang, R.; Zhang, Q.; Zhou, G.; Tang, J. A [Brønsted](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201902020) Acidic Ionic Liquid as an Efficient and Selective Catalyst System for [Bioderived](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201902020) High Molecular Weight Poly(Ethylene [2,5-furandicarboxylate\).](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201902020) *ChemSusChem* 2019, *12*, 4927−4935.

(7) Papadopoulos, L.; Zamboulis, A.; Kasmi, N.; Wahbi, M.; Nannou, C.; Lambropoulou, D. A.; Kostoglou, M.; Papageorgiou, G. Z.; Bikiaris, D. N. [Investigation](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0GC04254D) of the Catalytic Activity and Reaction Kinetic Modeling of Two [Antimony](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0GC04254D) Catalysts in the Synthesis of [Poly\(Ethylene](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0GC04254D) Furanoate). *Green Chem.* 2021, *23*, 2507−2524.

(8) Terzopoulou, Z.; Karakatsianopoulou, E.; Kasmi, N.; Majdoub, M.; Papageorgiou, G. Z.; Bikiaris, D. N. Effect of [Catalyst](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAAP.2017.05.010) Type on Recyclability and [Decomposition](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAAP.2017.05.010) Mechanism of Poly(Ethylene [Furanoate\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAAP.2017.05.010) Biobased Polyester. *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis* 2017, *126*, 357−370.

(9) Terzopoulou, Z.; Karakatsianopoulou, E.; Kasmi, N.; Tsanaktsis, V.; Nikolaidis, N.; Kostoglou, M.; Papageorgiou, G. Z.; Lambropoulou, D. A.; Bikiaris, D. N. Effect of [Catalyst](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7PY01171G) Type on Molecular Weight Increase and Coloration of [Poly\(Ethylene](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7PY01171G) Furanoate) Biobased Polyester during Melt [Polycondensation.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7PY01171G) *Polym. Chem.* 2017, *8*, 6895−6908.

(10) Terzopoulou, Z.; Papadopoulos, L.; Zamboulis, A.; Papageorgiou, D. G.; Papageorgiou, G. Z.; Bikiaris, D. N. [Tuning](https://doi.org/10.3390/POLYM12061209) the Properties of [Furandicarboxylic](https://doi.org/10.3390/POLYM12061209) Acid-Based Polyesters with [Copolymerization:](https://doi.org/10.3390/POLYM12061209) A Review. *Polymers* 2020, *12*, 1209.

(11) Silvianti, F.; Maniar, D.; Boetje, L.; Loos, K. Green [Pathways](https://doi.org/10.1021/BK-2020-1373.CH001?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) for the Enzymatic Synthesis of [Furan-Based](https://doi.org/10.1021/BK-2020-1373.CH001?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Polyesters and [Polyamides.](https://doi.org/10.1021/BK-2020-1373.CH001?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *ACS Symp. Ser.* 2020, *1373*, 3−29.

(12) Jiang, Y.; Loos, K. [Enzymatic](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym8070243) Synthesis of Biobased Polyesters and [Polyamides.](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym8070243) *Polymers* 2016, *8*, 243.

(13) Hevilla, V.; Sonseca, A.; Echeverría, C.; Muñoz-Bonilla, A.; Fernández-García, M. [Enzymatic](https://doi.org/10.1002/MABI.202100156) Synthesis of Polyesters and Their [Bioapplications:](https://doi.org/10.1002/MABI.202100156) Recent Advances and Perspectives. *Macromol. Biosci.* 2021, *21*, No. 2100156.

(14) Pellis, A.; Comerford, J. W.; Weinberger, S.; Guebitz, G. M.; Clark, J. H.; Farmer, T. J. [Enzymatic](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09817-3) Synthesis of Lignin Derivable Pyridine Based Polyesters for the [Substitution](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09817-3) of Petroleum Derived [Plastics.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09817-3) *Nat. Commun.* 2019, *10*, No. 1762.

(15) Kobayashi, S. Enzymatic [Polymerization:](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-0518(19990815)37:16<3041::AID-POLA1>3.0.CO;2-V) A New Method of Polymer [Synthesis.](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-0518(19990815)37:16<3041::AID-POLA1>3.0.CO;2-V) *J. Polym. Sci. A Polym. Chem.* 1999, *37*, 3041− 3056.

(16) Taden, A.; Antonietti, M.; Landfester, K. [Enzymatic](https://doi.org/10.1002/MARC.200390079) Polymerization towards Biodegradable Polyester [Nanoparticles.](https://doi.org/10.1002/MARC.200390079) *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* 2003, *24*, 512−516.

(17) Maniar, D.; Silvianti, F.; Ospina, V. M.; Woortman, A. J. J.; van Dijken, J.; Loos, K. On the Way to Greener [Furanic-Aliphatic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2020.122662) Poly(Ester Amide)s: Enzymatic [Polymerization](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2020.122662) in Ionic Liquid. *Polymer* 2020, *205*, No. 122662.

(18) Meimoun, J.; Bernhard, Y.; Pelinski, L.; Bousquet, T.; Pellegrini, S.; Raquez, J. M.; De Winter, J.; Gerbaux, P.; Cazaux, F.; Tahon, J. F.; Gaucher, V.; Chenal, T.; Favrelle-Huret, A.; Zinck, P. Lipase-Catalysed [Polycondensation](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0PY01301C) of Levulinic Acid Derived Diol-Diamide [Monomers:](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0PY01301C) Access to New Poly(Ester- Co -Amide)S. *Polym. Chem.* 2020, *11*, 7506−7514.

(19) Gkountela, C. I.; Vouyiouka, S. N. Enzymatic [Polymerization](https://doi.org/10.3390/MACROMOL2010003) as a Green Approach to [Synthesizing](https://doi.org/10.3390/MACROMOL2010003) Bio-Based Polyesters. *Macromol* 2022, *2*, 30−57.

(20) Scheibel, D. M.; Guo, D.; Luo, J.; Gitsov, I. A Single [Enzyme](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biomac.0c00126?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Mediates the ["Quasi-Living"](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biomac.0c00126?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Formation of Multiblock Copolymers with a Broad [Biomedical](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biomac.0c00126?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Potential. *Biomacromolecules* 2020, *21*, 2132−2146.

(21) Maniar, D.; Jiang, Y.; Woortman, A. J. J.; van Dijken, J.; Loos, K. Furan-Based [Copolyesters](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201802867) from Renewable Resources: Enzymatic Synthesis and [Properties.](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201802867) *ChemSusChem* 2019, *12*, 990−999.

(22) Maniar, D.; Hohmann, K. F.; Jiang, Y.; Woortman, A. J. J.; Van Dijken, J.; Loos, K. Enzymatic [Polymerization](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.8b01106?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Dimethyl 2,5<span id="page-13-0"></span>[Furandicarboxylate](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.8b01106?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Heteroatom Diamines. *ACS Omega* 2018, *3*, 7077−7085.

(23) Khoo, K. S.; Tan, X.; Ooi, C. W.; Chew, K. W.; Leong, W. H.; Chai, Y. H.; Ho, S. H.; Show, P. L. How Does Ionic [Liquid](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2020.124772) Play a Role in [Sustainability](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2020.124772) of Biomass Processing? *J. Clean. Prod.* 2021, *284*, No. 124772.

(24) Krishnan, A.; Gopinath, K. P.; Vo, D.-V. N.; Malolan, R.; Nagarajan, V. M.; Arun, J. Ionic Liquids, Deep Eutectic [Solvents](https://doi.org/10.1007/S10311-020-01057-Y) and Liquid Polymers as Green Solvents in Carbon Capture [Technologies:](https://doi.org/10.1007/S10311-020-01057-Y) A [Review.](https://doi.org/10.1007/S10311-020-01057-Y) *Environ. Chem. Lett.* 2020, *18*, 2031−2054.

(25) Tanner, E. E. L. Ionic [Liquids](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41557-022-00975-4) Charge Ahead. *Nat. Chem.* 2022, *14*, 842.

(26) Bjelić, [A](https://doi.org/10.1515/revce-2019-0077).; Hočevar, B.; Grilc, M.; Novak, U.; Likozar, B. A Review of Sustainable [Lignocellulose](https://doi.org/10.1515/revce-2019-0077) Biorefining Applying (Natural) Deep Eutectic Solvents (DESs) for [Separations,](https://doi.org/10.1515/revce-2019-0077) Catalysis and Enzymatic [Biotransformation](https://doi.org/10.1515/revce-2019-0077) Processes. *Rev. Chem. Eng.* 2022, *38*, 243−272.

(27) Hejazifar, M.; Lanaridi, O.; Bica-Schröder, K. Ionic [Liquid](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2019.112264) Based [Microemulsions:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2019.112264) A Review. *J. Mol. Liq.* 2020, *303*, No. 112264. (28) Rogers, R. D.; Seddon, K. R. Ionic Liquids - [Solvents](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1090313) of the [Future?](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1090313) *Science* 2003, *302*, 792−793.

(29) Jia, H.; An, J.; Guo, X.; Su, C.; Zhang, L.; Zhou, H.; Xie, C. Deep Eutectic [Solvent-Assisted](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLLIQ.2015.10.030) Growth of Gold Nanofoams and Their Excellent Catalytic [Properties.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLLIQ.2015.10.030) *J. Mol. Liq.* 2015, *212*, 763−766.

(30) Gage, S. H.; Ruddy, D. A.; Pylypenko, S.; Richards, R. M. [Deep](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CATTOD.2016.12.016) Eutectic Solvent Approach towards [Nickel/Nickel](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CATTOD.2016.12.016) Nitride Nano[composites.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CATTOD.2016.12.016) *Catal. Today* 2018, *306*, 9−15.

(31) Lionetto, F.; Timo, A.; Frigione, M. Curing [Kinetics](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TCA.2015.05.004) of Epoxy-Deep Eutectic Solvent [Mixtures.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TCA.2015.05.004) *Thermochim. Acta* 2015, *612*, 70−78.

(32) Durand, E.; Lecomte, J.; Villeneuve, P. Deep Eutectic [Solvents:](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.201200416) Synthesis, Application, and Focus on [Lipase-Catalyzed](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.201200416) Reactions. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* 2013, *115*, 379−385.

(33) Hallett, J. P.; Welton, T. [Room-Temperature](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr1003248?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Ionic Liquids: Solvents for Synthesis and [Catalysis.](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr1003248?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) 2. *Chem. Rev.* 2011, *111*, 3508− 3576.

(34) Myles, L.; Gore, R. G.; Gathergood, N.; Connon, S. J. A [New](https://doi.org/10.1039/c3gc40975a) Generation of Aprotic yet Brønsted Acidic [Imidazolium](https://doi.org/10.1039/c3gc40975a) Salts: Low Toxicity, High [Recyclability](https://doi.org/10.1039/c3gc40975a) and Greatly Improved Activity. *Green Chem.* 2013, *15*, 2740−2746.

(35) Zhang, Q.; Zhang, S.; Deng, Y. Recent [Advances](https://doi.org/10.1039/c1gc15334j) in Ionic Liquid [Catalysis.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c1gc15334j) *Green Chem.* 2011, *13*, 2619−2637.

(36) Smith, E. L.; Abbott, A. P.; Ryder, K. S. Deep Eutectic [Solvents](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr300162p?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) (DESs) and Their [Applications.](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr300162p?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Chem. Rev.* 2014, *114*, 11060−11082.

(37) Zhang, Q.; De Oliveira Vigier, K.; Royer, S.; Jérôme, F. [Deep](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cs35178a) Eutectic Solvents: Syntheses, Properties and [Applications.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cs35178a) *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2012, *41*, 7108−7146.

(38) Gaba, R.; Kaur, N.; Pal, A.; Sharma, D.; Kumar, H. [Molecular](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2020.112855) [Interactions](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2020.112855) and Taste Perception of an Artificial Sweetener Saccharin Sodium in Aqueous and in Aqueous [Solutions](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2020.112855) of Choline Chloride at Different [Temperatures.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2020.112855) *J. Mol. Liq.* 2020, *305*, No. 112855.

(39) Tomé, L. I.; Baiao, ̃ V.; da Silva, W.; Brett, C. M. A. [Deep](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APMT.2017.11.005) Eutectic Solvents for the Production and [Application](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APMT.2017.11.005) of New [Materials.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APMT.2017.11.005) *Appl. Mater. Today* 2018, *10*, 30−50.

(40) Makos,́ P.; Słupek, E.; Gębicki, J. [Hydrophobic](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MICROC.2019.104384) Deep Eutectic Solvents in [Microextraction](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MICROC.2019.104384) Techniques−A Review. *Microchem. J.* 2020, *152*, No. 104384.

(41) Jiang, Y.; van Ekenstein, G. O. R. A.; Woortman, A. J. J.; Loos, K. Fully Biobased [Unsaturated](https://doi.org/10.1002/macp.201400164) Aliphatic Polyesters from Renewable Resources: Enzymatic Synthesis, [Characterization,](https://doi.org/10.1002/macp.201400164) and Properties. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* 2014, *215*, 2185−2197.

(42) Jiang, Y.; Maniar, D.; Woortman, A. J. J.; Alberda Van Ekenstein, G. O. R.; Loos, K. Enzymatic [Polymerization](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biomac.5b01172?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Furan-2,5- Dicarboxylic Acid-Based [Furanic-Aliphatic](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biomac.5b01172?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Polyamides as Sustainable Alternatives to [Polyphthalamides.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biomac.5b01172?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Biomacromolecules* 2015, *16*, 3674− 3685.

(43) Jiang, Y.; Woortman, A. J. J.; Alberda Van Ekenstein, G. O. R.; Loos, K. A [Biocatalytic](https://doi.org/10.1039/c5py00629e) Approach towards Sustainable Furanic-Aliphatic [Polyesters.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c5py00629e) *Polym. Chem.* 2015, *6*, 5198−5211.

(44) Handy, S.; Lavender, K. Organic [Synthesis](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TETLET.2013.05.122) in Deep Eutectic Solvents: Paal−Knorr [Reactions.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TETLET.2013.05.122) *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2013, *54*, 4377− 4379.

(45) Hawkins, I.; Handy, S. T. [Synthesis](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TET.2013.08.060) of Aurones under Neutral [Conditions](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TET.2013.08.060) Using a Deep Eutectic Solvent. *Tetrahedron* 2013, *69*, 9200−9204.

(46) Yadav, U. N.; Shankarling, G. S. [Synergistic](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLLIQ.2014.02.016) Effect of [Ultrasound](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLLIQ.2014.02.016) and Deep Eutectic Solvent Choline Chloride−Urea as Versatile Catalyst for Rapid Synthesis of *β*[-Functionalized](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLLIQ.2014.02.016) Ketonic [Derivatives.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLLIQ.2014.02.016) *J. Mol. Liq.* 2014, *195*, 188−193.

(47) van Rantwijk, F.; Secundo, F.; Sheldon, R. A. [Structure](https://doi.org/10.1039/B513062J) and Activity of Candida [Antarctica](https://doi.org/10.1039/B513062J) Lipase B in Ionic Liquids. *Green Chem.* 2006, *8*, 282−286.

(48) Cui, X.; Zhang, S.; Shi, F.; Zhang, Q.; Ma, X.; Lu, L.; Deng, Y. The Influence of the Acidity of Ionic Liquids on [Catalysis.](https://doi.org/10.1002/CSSC.201000075) *ChemSusChem* 2010, *3*, 1043−1047.

(49) Durand, E.; Lecomte, J.; Baréa, B.; Piombo, G.; Dubreucq, E.; Villeneuve, P. [Evaluation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2012.07.027) of Deep Eutectic Solvents as New Media for Candida Antarctica B Lipase Catalyzed [Reactions.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2012.07.027) *Process Biochem.* 2012, *47*, 2081−2089.

(50) Gorke, J.; Srienc, F.; Kazlauskas, R. Toward [Advanced](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12257-009-3079-z) Ionic Liquids. Polar, [Enzyme-Friendly](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12257-009-3079-z) Solvents for Biocatalysis. *Biotechnol. Bioprocess Eng.* 2010, *15*, 40−53.

(51) Lee, S. H.; Doan, T. T. N.; Ha, S. H.; Koo, Y. M. [Using](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLCATB.2006.11.008) Ionic Liquids to [Stabilize](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLCATB.2006.11.008) Lipase within Sol−Gel Derived Silica. *J. Mol. Catal. B Enzym.* 2007, *45*, 57−61.

(52) Gorke, J. T.; Srienc, F.; Kazlauskas, R. J.Deep Eutectic Solvents for Candida Antarctica Lipase B-Catalyzed Reactions. In *ACS Symposium Series*, American Chemical Society, 2010; Vol. *1038*, pp 169−180.

(53) Gorke, J. T.; Kazlauskas, R. J.; Srienc, F.Enzymatic Processing in Deep Eutectic Solvents. US2009/01176281988.

(54) Gorke, J. T.; Srienc, F.; Kazlauskas, R. J. [Hydrolase-Catalyzed](https://doi.org/10.1039/b716317g) [Biotransformations](https://doi.org/10.1039/b716317g) in Deep Eutectic Solvents. *Chem. Commun.* 2008, 1235−1237.

(55) Durand, E.; Lecomte, J.; Villeneuve, P. Deep Eutectic [Solvents:](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.201200416) Synthesis, Application, and Focus on [Lipase-Catalyzed](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.201200416) Reactions. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* 2013, *115*, 379−385.

(56) Durand, E.; Lecomte, J.; Baréa, B.; Dubreucq, E.; Lortie, R.; Villeneuve, P. [Evaluation](https://doi.org/10.1039/C3GC40899J) of Deep Eutectic Solvent − Water Binary Mixtures for [Lipase-Catalyzed](https://doi.org/10.1039/C3GC40899J) Lipophilization of Phenolic Acids. *Green Chem.* 2013, *15*, 2275−2282.

(57) Xu, Y.; Nordblad, M.; Nielsen, P. M.; Brask, J.; Woodley, J. M. In Situ Visualization and Effect of Glycerol in [Lipase-Catalyzed](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLCATB.2011.06.008) [Ethanolysis](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLCATB.2011.06.008) of Rapeseed Oil. *J. Mol. Catal. B Enzym.* 2011, *72*, 213− 219.

(58) Dossat, V.; Combes, D.; Marty, A. [Continuous](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0229(99)00026-5) Enzymatic [Transesterification](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0229(99)00026-5) of High Oleic Sunflower Oil in a Packed Bed Reactor: Influence of the Glycerol [Production.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0229(99)00026-5) *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* 1999, *25*, 194−200.

(59) Cvjetko Bubalo, M.; Jurinjak Tusek, ̌ A.; Vinkovic, ́ M.; Radošević, K.; Gaurina Srček, V.; Radojčić Redovniković, I. [Cholinium-Based](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLCATB.2015.09.005) Deep Eutectic Solvents and Ionic Liquids for [Lipase-Catalyzed](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLCATB.2015.09.005) Synthesis of Butyl Acetate. *J. Mol. Catal. B Enzym.* 2015, *122*, 188−198.

(60) Kim, H. S.; Ha, S.. H.; Sethaphong, L.; Koo, Y.-M.; Yingling, Y. G. The [Relationship](https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CP52516C) between Enhanced Enzyme Activity and Structural Dynamics in Ionic Liquids: A Combined [Computational](https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CP52516C) and [Experimental](https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CP52516C) Study. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2014, *16*, 2944− 2953.

(61) Park, S.; Kazlauskas, R. J. [Biocatalysis](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-1669(03)00100-9) in Ionic Liquids − Advantages beyond Green [Technology.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-1669(03)00100-9) *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 2003, *14*, 432−437.

(62) Persson, M.; Bornscheuer, U. T. [Increased](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1381-1177(02)00294-1) Stability of an Esterase from Bacillus [Stearothermophilus](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1381-1177(02)00294-1) in Ionic Liquids as [Compared](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1381-1177(02)00294-1) to Organic Solvents. *J. Mol. Catal. B Enzym.* 2003, *22*, 21−27.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>(63) Egorova, K. S.; Ananikov, V. P. [Toxicity](https://doi.org/10.1002/CSSC.201300459) of Ionic Liquids: [Eco\(Cyto\)Activity](https://doi.org/10.1002/CSSC.201300459) as Complicated, but Unavoidable Parameter for Task-Specific [Optimization.](https://doi.org/10.1002/CSSC.201300459) *ChemSusChem* 2014, *7*, 336−360.

(64) Durand, E.; Lecomte, J.; Baréa, B.; Villeneuve, P. [Towards](https://doi.org/10.1002/EJLT.201300246) a Better Understanding of How to Improve [Lipase-Catalyzed](https://doi.org/10.1002/EJLT.201300246) Reactions Using Deep Eutectic Solvents Based on Choline [Chloride.](https://doi.org/10.1002/EJLT.201300246) *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* 2014, *116*, 16−23.

(65) Roda, A.; Matias, A. A.; Paiva, A.; Duarte, A. R. C. [Polymer](https://doi.org/10.3390/POLYM11050912) Science and [Engineering](https://doi.org/10.3390/POLYM11050912) Using Deep Eutectic Solvents. *Polymers* 2019, *11*, 912.

(66) Guajardo, N.; Ahumada, K.; Domínguez de María, P.; Schrebler, R. A. [Remarkable](https://doi.org/10.1080/10242422.2018.1492567) Stability of Candida Antarctica Lipase B Immobilized via [Cross-Linking](https://doi.org/10.1080/10242422.2018.1492567) Aggregates (CLEA) in Deep Eutectic [Solvents.](https://doi.org/10.1080/10242422.2018.1492567) *Biocatal. Biotransformation* 2019, *37*, 106−114.

(67) Guajardo, N.; Ahumada, K.; Domínguez de María, P. Immobilized [Lipase-CLEA](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JBIOTEC.2020.02.003) Aggregates Encapsulated in Lentikats as Robust Biocatalysts for [Continuous](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JBIOTEC.2020.02.003) Processes in Deep Eutectic [Solvents.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JBIOTEC.2020.02.003) *J. Biotechnol.* 2020, *310*, 97−102.

(68) Guajardo, N.; Domínguez de María, P.; Ahumada, K.; Schrebler, R. A.; Ramírez-Tagle, R.; Crespo, F. A.; Carlesi, C. Water as Cosolvent: [Nonviscous](https://doi.org/10.1002/CCTC.201601575) Deep Eutectic Solvents for Efficient [Lipase-Catalyzed](https://doi.org/10.1002/CCTC.201601575) Esterifications. *ChemCatChem* 2017, *9*, 1393−1396.

(69) Guajardo, N.; Domínguez de María, P. [Continuous](https://doi.org/10.1002/CCTC.201900773) Biocatalysis in [Environmentally-Friendly](https://doi.org/10.1002/CCTC.201900773) Media: A Triple Synergy for Future [Sustainable](https://doi.org/10.1002/CCTC.201900773) Processes. *ChemCatChem* 2019, *11*, 3128−3137.

(70) Zhao, H.; Baker, G. A.; Holmes, S. Protease [Activation](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLCATB.2011.05.015) in [Glycerol-Based](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLCATB.2011.05.015) Deep Eutectic Solvents. *J. Mol. Catal. B Enzym.* 2011, *72*, 163−167.

(71) Merza, F.; Fawzy, A.; AlNashef, I.; Al-Zuhair, S.; Taher, H. [Effectiveness](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EGYR.2018.01.005) of Using Deep Eutectic Solvents as an Alternative to [Conventional](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EGYR.2018.01.005) Solvents in Enzymatic Biodiesel Production from Waste [Oils.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EGYR.2018.01.005) *Energy Rep.* 2018, *4*, 77−83.

(72) Tsanaktsis, V.; Papageorgiou, G. Z.; Bikiaris, D. N. A [Facile](https://doi.org/10.1002/POLA.27730) Method to Synthesize [High-Molecular-Weight](https://doi.org/10.1002/POLA.27730) Biobased Polyesters from [2,5-Furandicarboxylic](https://doi.org/10.1002/POLA.27730) Acid and Long-Chain Diols. *J. Polym. Sci. Part A Polym. Chem.* 2015, *53*, 2617−2632.

(73) Taghavi, M.; Ghaemy, M.; Nasab, S. M. A.; Hassanzadeh, M. Influence of Ionic Liquid on Selective [Polycondensation](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.POLYMER.2013.05.043) of a New [Diamine-Bisphenol:](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.POLYMER.2013.05.043) Synthesis and Properties of Polyamides and Their [Composites](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.POLYMER.2013.05.043) with Modified Nanosilica. *Polymer* 2013, *54*, 3828−3840.

(74) Khachatrian, A. A.; Yakhvarov, D. G.; Sinyashin, O. G.; Rakipov, I. T.; Mukhametzyanov, T. A.; Solomonov, B. N. [Hydrogen](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TCA.2020.178757) [Bonding](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TCA.2020.178757) of Linear and Cyclic Amides in Ionic Liquids. *Thermochim. Acta* 2020, *692*, No. 178757.

(75) Jiang, Y.; Woortman, A. J. J.; Ekenstein, G. O. R. A.; Van; Loos, K. [Enzyme-Catalyzed](https://doi.org/10.3390/BIOM3030461) Synthesis of Unsaturated Aliphatic Polyesters Based on Green [Monomers](https://doi.org/10.3390/BIOM3030461) from Renewable Resources. *Biomolecules* 2013, *3*, 461−480.

(76) Jiang, Y.; Maniar, D.; Woortman, A. J. J.; Loos, K. [Enzymatic](https://doi.org/10.1039/c6ra14585j) Synthesis of [2,5-Furandicarboxylic](https://doi.org/10.1039/c6ra14585j) Acid-Based Semi-Aromatic Polyamides: Enzymatic [Polymerization](https://doi.org/10.1039/c6ra14585j) Kinetics, Effect of Diamine Chain Length and Thermal [Properties.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c6ra14585j) *RSC Adv.* 2016, *6*, 67941−67953.

# **Recommended by ACS**

#### **[Dithiol](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00045?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035)**[-](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00045?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035)**[yne](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00045?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035) [Polymerization](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00045?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035)**[:](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00045?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035) **[Comb](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00045?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035) [Polymers](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00045?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035) [with](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00045?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035) [Poly](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00045?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035)**[\(](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00045?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035)**[ethylene](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00045?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035) [glycol](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00045?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035)**[\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00045?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035) **[Side](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00045?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035) [chains](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00045?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035)**

Brennan J. Curole, Scott M. Grayson, et al. OCTOBER 14, 2022 ACS POLYMERS AU [READ](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00045?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035) DE READ D



#### **[Bioderived](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.biomac.2c00085?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035) [4D](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.biomac.2c00085?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035) [Printable](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.biomac.2c00085?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035) [Terpene](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.biomac.2c00085?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035) [Photopolymers](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.biomac.2c00085?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035) [from](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.biomac.2c00085?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035) [Limonene](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.biomac.2c00085?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035) [and](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.biomac.2c00085?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035) [β](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.biomac.2c00085?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035)**[-](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.biomac.2c00085?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035)**[Myrcene](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.biomac.2c00085?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035)**

Eric Constant, Andrew C. Weems, et al. MAY 24, 2022 BIOMACROMOLECULES [READ](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.biomac.2c00085?utm_campaign=RRCC_apaccd&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1679433307&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facspolymersau.2c00035)  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ 

**[Get More Suggestions >](https://preferences.acs.org/ai_alert?follow=1)**