Providence College **DigitalCommons@Providence**

Phillips Memorial Library Undergraduate Craft of Research Prize

Phillips Memorial Library

Spring 2015

France and the Community of Six: The Schuman Declaration to the Treaties of Rome

Daniel Gagnon Providence College

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.providence.edu/research_prize

Part of the <u>European History Commons</u>, <u>Political History Commons</u>, and the <u>Political Science Commons</u>

Gagnon, Daniel, "France and the Community of Six: The Schuman Declaration to the Treaties of Rome" (2015). *Phillips Memorial Library Undergraduate Craft of Research Prize*. Paper 2. http://digitalcommons.providence.edu/research_prize/2

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Phillips Memorial Library at DigitalCommons@Providence. It has been accepted for inclusion in Phillips Memorial Library Undergraduate Craft of Research Prize by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@Providence. For more information, please contact mcaprio1@providence.edu.

FRANCE AND THE COMMUNITY OF SIX: THE SCHUMAN DECLARATION TO THE TREATIES OF ROME

Daniel A. Gagnon
Providence College
Spring 2015

The European Union is one of the world's most important economic and political institutions. As of 2014, the EU has a larger economy than the United States of America and has more than 500 million citizens. The process of constructing a united Europe began during the 1950s with the creation of the three European Communities: the Coal and Steel Community, the Atomic Energy Community, and the Economic Community. The member-states of these Communities delegated their sovereignty over certain policy areas to the Communities' institutions, therefore making the Communities supranational in nature.

The French government and leading French citizens, most notably Jean Monnet and Foreign Minister Robert Schuman, were instrumental in the creation of the Communities. These visionary Frenchmen overcame skepticism from both within France and from the rest of Europe, and caused Europe to take the first steps towards unification. It was Monnet and Schuman who proposed the first Community, the Coal and Steel Community. These two Frenchmen strongly believed in supranational integration, the delegating of sovereign powers to central European institutions, rather than mere intergovernmental co-operation between the independent states of Europe. The Communities partially integrated the member-states, and the institutions which governed the Community remain in the governing structure of the contemporary European Union.

The Schuman Declaration, 1950

Robert Schuman was Prime Minister of France from November, 1947, to July, 1948, and then again for a week during September, 1948. He was a member of the National Assembly from

¹ "Eurostat - Total Population Table," Eurostat, last modified May 28, 2014, http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/tgm/table.do?tab=table&language=en&pcode=tps00001&tableSelection=1&footnot es=yes&labeling=labels&plugin=1 (accessed July 29, 2014); "Field Listing: GDP (Official Exchange Rate)," World Fact Book, https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/fields/2195.html (accessed August 4, 2014).

the French border region of Alsace-Lorraine, but was born in neighboring Luxembourg. He did not become a French citizen until 1919, after the region of Alsace-Lorraine was returned to France by the Treaty of Versailles. Schuman was a member of Paul Reynaud's government in the spring of 1940 when Germany invaded France. After the Fall of France, Schuman was jailed by the Nazis and later served in the resistance in the unoccupied zone. He was a strong supporter of Franco-German reconciliation and of European integration, and advocated for both as Foreign Minister of France from July, 1948, until January, 1953.

Schuman worked hard after the war to reach an understanding between France and Germany. As someone from the border region between the two nations, he was seen as being the perfect man for the task. He told the National Assembly in 1949, "if I find myself occupying this position [of Foreign Minister], it is not because I have sought it but doubtless because someone from France's eastern frontier was needed to try and achieve peaceful co-existence between the two countries." Schuman agreed with Monnet, Georges Bidault, and others that Germany must be welcomed back into the community of free nations on a fairly equal footing with the other nations of Europe.

The German question was the dominant issue facing the Allies in the late 1940s and early 1950s. At the Allied foreign ministers meeting in September, 1949, American Secretary of State Dean Acheson asked Schuman to submit a proposal to resolve the German question at their next meeting in May, 1950. Schuman did not have any concrete proposals in mind, and conferred with Monnet and others on this important issue. In January, 1950, Monnet wrote a letter to Schuman in which he outlines the importance of reconciliation and equality with Germany in order to reach a permanent solution, "peace can be founded only on equality, we failed in 1919

² Jean Monnet, *Memoirs*, trans. Richard Mayne (Garden City, NY: Doubleday, 1978), 284.

because we introduced discrimination and a sense of superiority, now we are beginning to make the same mistake again." The French Government followed this policy of bringing about greater equality between France and the occupied Germans, at least to a degree that prevented a resurgent Germany.

In April, 1950, Monnet, along with Paul Reuter, the legal advisor to the French Foreign Ministry, and Étienne Hirsch, a longtime associate of Monnet who later became President of the Euratom Commission, wrote a memorandum to Schuman, the French Foreign Minister at the time.⁴ The memorandum proposed that the French and German coal and steel industries should be merged and subjected to a supranational 'High Authority' under which both nations were to be treated as equals. A similar proposal was made several years before by Paul Reynaud to the intergovernmental Council of Europe but that proposal went nowhere, which reinforced the view among federalists that the Council of Europe was not the organization upon which a European federation could be built.⁵

Monnet's proposal to Schuman made both political as well as economic sense for France and Europe. Politically it would remove the industrial restrictions on Germany, and resolve the issue of controlling the industrial potential of the Saar and Ruhr. Also, France and Germany were treated equally under the plan, something the Germans greatly desired. Additionally, with the heavy industries of the two nations intertwined, the risk of future wars diminished greatly. Most importantly, the supranational institutions proposed in the memorandum were the first step towards an eventual European federation.

³ Ibid, 284.

⁴ Ibid, 295.

⁵ Ibid, 282.

Economically, France had the most to gain from this initiative. It was feared that if Germany regained control over its coal resources in the Saar and Ruhr these resources would be directed primarily to German industry. Since there was only a finite amount of coal, less would be transported to France which relied on German coal to power its own domestic industry. If the flow of coal to France stopped or diminished, it would greatly jeopardize Monnet's Economic Modernization Plan, which was so far very successful in rebuilding French industry. The proposed pooling of resources, however, would ensure that both French and German industries were treated equally, and so maintain the flow of coal to French industry.

Monnet's proposal was delivered to Schuman by his *directeur de cabinet*, Bernard Clappier, just before Schuman's train left the Gare de l'Est headed for Metz, where he spent the weekend. Upon his return to Paris the following Monday, Schuman told Monnet that he agreed with the proposal, and the two Frenchmen drafted what became the Schuman Declaration. Although Monnet initiated this proposal, Schuman was essential for bringing about the political will for its implementation. Monnet also sent his proposal to Prime Minister Georges Bidault, but never received a response. Schuman, however, devoted himself entirely to the plan's implementation. Monnet writes about the great step Schuman took by endorsing this plan, "the fact is there was no Bidault Plan, but a Schuman Plan." Without Schuman's support, Monnet's proposal had no chance of success.

Before the plan was publicly announced, the French Government needed to ensure that the German Government was in agreement. On May 8, 1950, Schuman sent a messenger to Chancellor Adenauer in Bonn with the Declaration and a personal letter explaining the proposals. In the letter, Schuman referenced Adenauer's previous comments to the journalist Joseph

⁶ Ibid, 297.

Kingsbury-Smith in which Adenauer advocated for a Franco-German union. Adenauer was immediately receptive to the proposal and understood it had far-reaching economic and political implications. Adenauer recalls this day in his memoirs, writing that he "informed Robert Schuman at once that I accepted his proposal wholeheartedly." Adenauer later told Monnet, "I regard the implementation of the French proposal as my most important task. If I succeed, I believe that my life will not have been wasted." Although this project was an economic proposal, its real significance was that it was the first step towards a united Europe. Adenauer later said in a speech to the West German Bundestag, "the importance of this project is above all political and not economic." The Chancellor recognized that what Monnet and Schuman proposed was not just industrial co-operation, but rather the first step on the path to supranational European integration with the hope of an eventual European federation.

Schuman held a press conference the next day, May 9, 1950, in the famed Salon de l'Horloge in the French Foreign Ministry at the Quai d'Orsay. This room, decorated in the elaborate Second Empire style, was where the Paris Peace Conference convened in 1919. Schuman delivered the Declaration to a gathered audience of the international press with Monnet at his side. Schuman proposed "that Franco-German production of coal and steel as a whole be placed under a common High Authority, within the framework of an organization open to the participation of the other countries of Europe." The Declaration continues, "Europe will not be

 $^{^7}$ Konrad Adenauer, *Memoirs 1945-53*, trans. Beate Rohm von Oppen (Chicago: Henry Regnery Company, 1966), 257.

⁸ Monnet, *Memoirs*, 311.

⁹ Ibid, 320.

¹⁰ Robert Schuman, "The Schuman Declaration," speech presented at Quai d'Orsay, Paris, May 9, 1950, Europa, http://europa.eu/about-eu/basic-information/symbols/europe-day/schuman-declaration/index_en.htm (accessed July 29, 2014).

made all at once, or according to a single plan. It will be built through concrete achievements which first create a *de facto* solidarity. The coming together of the nations of Europe requires the elimination of the age-old opposition of France and Germany. Any action taken must in the first place be between these two countries." Finally, Schuman said that due to the proposed pooling of coal and steel, "any war between France and Germany becomes not merely unthinkable, but materially impossible." Although the Schuman Plan facilitated Franco-German reconciliation, it also laid the foundation for a future European federation, and thus Monnet hoped that many other European nations would join the forthcoming organization. The Declaration encouraged those who feared a resurgent Germany to support the Schuman Plan as a means to establish peace and stability on the Continent.

After the Declaration was announced, Schuman attended a conference of the three Allied foreign ministers in London from May 11 to May 13. The role of West Germany in the face of the Soviet threat to Western Europe was the main topic of this conference, but the discussion went quite differently than expected in light of the Schuman Declaration. Charles Ronsac, a French journalist at the conference, writes, "the atmosphere, the orientation, the perspective have all changed. In place of a negative Cold War conference, we are going to have a positive conference, an attempt to economically organize Europe." The Schuman Declaration changed the way that European leaders looked at the postwar problems of Europe, and proposed the creation of supranational institutions as a means to solve these problems.

¹¹ Ibid.

¹² Ibid.

¹³ Charles Ronsac, "La bombe Schuman dominera les entretiens de Londres," *Franc-Tireur* (Paris), May 11, 1950, http://www.cvce.eu/obj/la_bombe_schuman_dominera_les_entretiens_de_londres_dans_franc_tireur_11_mai_1950-fr-ac4eeae8-6422-4182-b6d6-fb5b87e8dc61.html (accessed July 29, 2014).

The European Coal and Steel Community

In May, 1950, the Schuman Declaration announced the French Government's intention to establish a European Coal and Steel Community (ECSC), a dream that was realized the following year. The ECSC Treaty was drafted by an intergovernmental conference in Paris that commenced in June, 1950. Monnet played a leading role in the negotiations and throughout the conference he continually expounded the idea that key principle of the ECSC Treaty was the delegation of sovereign powers to a central European institution for the first time, even though the institution controlled only one area of the economy. Monnet writes in a letter at the time of the Treaty negotiations, "the Schuman Proposals are revolutionary or they are nothing... The Schuman Proposals provide the basis for the building of a new Europe through the concrete achievement of a supranational régime." The resulting ECSC Treaty established a supranational institution that was very much in line with what Monnet and Schuman first proposed in May, 1950.

The 1951 Treaty Establishing the European Coal and Steel Community was signed and ratified by France, Germany, Italy, Belgium, Luxembourg, and the Netherlands. These nations became known as 'The Six'. The United Kingdom notably did not sign the Treaty; it was more concerned with its Empire and its relationship to the United States, and was unwilling to delegate its sovereignty to a Continental institution. Although Monnet desired British participation in a united Europe, the proposals outlined in the Treaty were too important to allow Britain to water them down. When asked in London by Sir Stafford Cripps whether the ECSC Treaty negotiations would go on without British participation, Monnet replied, "we waited for you for a decision when Hitler entered the Rhineland in 1936 and the results were disastrous. We shall not

¹⁴ Monnet, *Memoirs*, 316.

make that same mistake again."¹⁵ François Duchêne, a political writer and advisor to Monnet, as well as his biographer, described the British outlook, writing that they were "living in Paradise Lost, rather than one to be gained."¹⁶ Britain's belief that it was still an imperial power prevented it from joining any supranational institutions. For the time being, a united Europe was built without Britain.

The ECSC Treaty's preamble states, "Europe can be built only by concrete actions which create a real solidarity and by the establishment of common bases for economic development." This was the only path that Monnet believed would lead to an eventual federation. Article 4 of the Treaty banned customs duties and quotas on the import and export of coal and steel among the member-states of the Community, which created the integrated economy that Monnet and Marjolin desired. The governing structure of the ECSC was supranational in nature, but also included intergovernmental elements. The ECSC was governed by the supranational High Authority, the Court of Justice, the intergovernmental Council of Ministers, and the Common Assembly made up of members of the national parliaments.

The most important and revolutionary aspect of the governing structure was the supranational High Authority, which Monnet was chosen to lead as its first President. The High Authority had nine total members, eight were chosen by collective decision of the national governments, and the ninth was chosen by a decision of the initial eight members.¹⁸ The High

¹⁵ François Duchêne, *Jean Monnet: The First Statesman of Interdependence* (New York: Norton, 1994), 204.

¹⁶ Ibid, 206.

¹⁷ Treaty Establishing the European Coal and Steel Community," April 18, 1951, Le Centre Virtuel de la Connaissance sur l'Europe, Le Gouvernement du Grand-Duché de Luxembourg, http://www.cvce.eu/obj/treaty_establishing_the_european_coal_and_steel_community_paris_18_april_1951-en-11a21305-941e-49d7-a171-ed5be548cd58.html (accessed July 29, 2014).

¹⁸ Ibid, Article 10.

Authority was truly supranational in nature, since its members represented the Community, and not the national governments. Its functioning is described in Article 9 of the ECSC Treaty, which states, "the members of the High Authority shall exercise their functions in complete independence, in the general interest of the Community. In the fulfillment of their duties, they shall neither solicit nor accept instructions from any government or from any organization. They will abstain from all conduct incompatible with the supranational character of their functions." The members of the High Authority swore an oath to respect their role as defined in the Treaty upon taking office. The role of the member-states was also defined in the Treaty, "each member State agrees to respect this supranational character and to make no effort to influence the members of the High Authority in the execution of their duties." The High Authority was the first European institution that partially exercised the delegated sovereignty of the member-states and was independent of the national governments.

In addition to the High Authority, the other key governing body was the Council of Ministers, where the national governments were represented. Its membership was made up of either the industry or economy ministers from the national governments and had the power to block the initiatives of the High Authority. Monnet's plan originally did not include a Council of Ministers, since it was intergovernmental and not supranational in nature. Professor Hallstein, leader of the German delegation at the Treaty negotiations, "strongly agreed" with Monnet that the entire organization should be supranational.²¹ This was because, in Monnet's words, "the supranational Authority is not merely the best means for solving economic problems: it is also

¹⁹ Ibid, Article 9.

²⁰ Ibid, Article 9.

²¹ Monnet, Memoirs, 326.

the first move towards a federation."²² Any intergovernmental part of the institution was likely to protect the power of the national governments and inhibit progress towards a European federation.

However, not all the other negotiators agreed with Monnet and the federalists that the organization should be entirely supranational, and the Council of Ministers was added to the Treaty to appease these skeptical delegates. Dirk Spierenburg, the Dutch negotiator, along with the representatives of Belgium and Luxembourg, argued for this inclusion of the Council of Ministers. At the first meeting of the Council, Adenauer, whose nation held the revolving presidency, described its role, "the Council stands at the crossroads of two kinds of sovereignty: national and supranational."²³ The Council went on to be an important player in the process of European integration and acted as a reassurance that the national governments still had a role in making Community decisions. A modified version of the Council exists today in the present governing structure of the European Union.

The lasting importance of the ECSC was twofold: it modernized and united, if only partially, the European economy, and it laid the institutional foundation for future European integration. Economically, it helped to rebuild European heavy industry that was destroyed by the war. By 1953, the ECSC oversaw "resources to a value of 5 to 6 milliard [billion] dollars per annum, representing 15% of [the member-states'] industrial output; industries which, by employing more than 1,750,000 persons, provide work for one out of ten of their working population; products which, up to an output of 300 million tons, represent more than 40% of the

²² Ibid, 328.

²³ Ibid, 381.

total tonnage transported within the Community."²⁴ Likewise, the ECSC had a successful record of growing the industries which it oversaw, and was particularly successful in increasing the trade of coal and steel between The Six. According to Monnet, by 1953 the transport of coal between the member states increased 300 fold, amounting to roughly 400,000 tons a month and the transport of iron ore from France to Belgium and Luxembourg increased 150 fold, amounting to roughly 200,000 tons a month.²⁵ This economic success continued, with steel production in the Community nearly doubling between 1953 and 1961, and the Community overtook the United States in total coal and steel production by 1962.²⁶

Politically, it was the first time that European nations partially delegated their sovereignty to a supranational institution. The ECSC proved that supranational institutions could function correctly, and in the following years national politicians increasingly began to support the idea of creating new supranational institutions instead of intergovernmental ones, an idea for which Monnet long advocated. The French National Assembly ratified the ECSC Treaty on December 13, 1951, by a wide margin of 377 votes to 233.²⁷

But not all national politicians approved of a united Europe based on supranational institutions. General de Gaulle, founder of the influential political party *Rassemblement du*Peuple Français (RPF), was highly critical of the ECSC and described its central institutions as a

²⁴ Jean Monnet, "Speech to the Common Assembly," speech presented at Common Assembly of the European Coal and Steel Community, Maison de l'Europe, Strasbourg, January 12, 1953, Archive of European Integration, http://aei.pitt.edu/14364/ (accessed July 29, 2014).

²⁵ Jean Monnet, "Statement before the Randall Committee Investigating United States Foreign Trade Policy," speech presented in Paris, November 11, 1953, Archive of European Integration, http://aei.pitt.edu/14365/ (accessed July 29, 2014).

²⁶ European Community Information Service, "1952-1962: Ten Years of ECSC: The European Coal and Steel Community," January 1963, Archive of European Integration, University of Pittsburgh, http://aei.pitt.edu/34491 (accessed July 29, 2014).

²⁷ Duchêne, Jean Monnet: The First, 220.

"cabal." But among those who advocated for further integration, the ECSC was a glowing success. In a letter from April 18, 1951, the day the ECSC Treaty was signed, Schuman writes that it was the dawning of "a new era in the relations between the participating countries and represents a decisive phase on the way to European unity." Hallstein, the head of the German delegation at the Treaty negotiations, writes that the ECSC's essential characteristics were that it was "supranational, that it was practical, and that it was partial." Although the ECSC was important, more progress was required to realize the eventual goal of a European federation.

The Proposed European Defense Community

Before the negotiations on the ECSC Treaty concluded, the French Government proposed The European Defense Community (EDC) as a way to further integrate Europe. This time the European nations were asked to consider military integration, which was another effort to prevent future wars on the Continent. Additionally, the EDC was a way to restore German armed forces to help in the defense of the west against Soviet aggression.

West Germany was self-governing under Chancellor Adenauer, although it was still denied the sovereign right to maintain armed forces by the Allied occupation authorities and was not allowed to seek UN or NATO membership. But as Cold War tensions rose, views on German re-armament changed. The Prague Putsch and the blockade of Berlin in 1948, followed by the detonation of an atomic bomb by the Soviet Union in 1949, and finally the invasion of South Korea by Communist forces on June 25, 1950, made France and its NATO allies realize how

²⁸ Monnet, *Memoirs*, 365.

²⁹ Adenauer, *Memoirs* 1945-53, 339.

³⁰ Walter Hallstein, *United Europe: Challenge and Opportunity*, The William L. Clayton Lectures on International Economic Affairs and Foreign Policy (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1962), 13.

vulnerable they were to the Communist forces arrayed on the eastern half of their continent. Parallels were seen between the invasion of western-backed South Korea by Communist North Korea and the People's Republic of China, and a possible invasion of unarmed West Germany by East German and Soviet forces. Adenauer, the leader of West Germany, writes that he was "firmly convinced that Stalin was planning the same procedure for Western Germany as had been used in Korea." The American Government agreed, and saw the need for Germany to rearm so it could help in the defense of Western Europe. At the September, 1950, meeting of the Allied foreign ministers, the United States announced that it was willing to send additional military forces to Western Europe, but on the condition that Germany was allowed to re-arm so it could contribute to its own defense. The communiqué issued by the foreign ministers states that they would further study "German participation in an integrated force for the defense of European freedom." They welcomed German armed forces to help defend Western Europe, but on the condition that they were not under the independent command of the German Government.

German re-armament was a contentious issue for France, where memories of German aggression were still fresh. Monnet suggested to Prime Minister René Pleven a plan in which Germany would re-arm within the context of a supranational European Army, similar in structure to the ECSC. Pleven was a former Minister of Defense, and as Prime Minister he fought to ensure the ECSC Treaty was successfully ratified by the National Assembly. Pleven put the proposal before the French cabinet, and it became known as the Pleven Plan. The Plan proposed the creation of a European Ministry of Defense responsible to an assembly and a council of the

³¹ Adenauer, *Memoirs* 1945-53, 277.

³² Allied Foreign Ministers, "Communiqué by the Western Foreign Ministers Outlining Steps for Liberalization of Relations with the Federal Republic of Germany, Issued at New York," September 19, 1950, Le Centre Virtuel de la Connaissance sur l'Europe, Le Gouvernement du Grand-Duché de Luxembourg, http://www.cvce.eu/obj/communique_on_germany_new_york_19_september_1950-en-b99fc411-cb50-48b6-b0b9-74597a363cb7.html (accessed July 29, 2014).

national defense ministers, in a structure that mirrored that of the ECSC. This new supranational Community would also control procurement and planning with war industries. Under the umbrella of the European Army all national militaries would still be controlled by their national governments, except for the German contingent which would be broken up and integrated into units from the other member-states. Pleven announced this plan to the National Assembly in a speech on October 24, 1950. The Assembly endorsed the plan in principle by a vote of 343 to 220.³³ However, the Plan initially received little support from France's NATO allies. General Dwight Eisenhower, the Supreme Allied Commander of NATO, said that the Pleven Plan presented "every kind of obstacle, difficulty, and fantastic notion that misguided humans could put into one package." Questions were raised about the Plan's compatibility with the existing structure of the Atlantic Alliance.

Although the Cold War situation precipitated the Pleven Plan, it was far more significant than a simple a Cold War military measure. It was another attempt at European integration, this time in the military sphere. Schuman reinforced this belief during the negotiations of the EDC Treaty, saying, "what we want is not an improvisation imposed on us by immediate necessity. The work we seek to create will not be limited by time. It must become a durable structure, the expression of a European Community that has at last been founded." Adenauer expresses the same view in his memoirs, "the Pleven Plan was not an improvisation. It was the desire of the French Government that the European governments should achieve agreement on a permanent

³³ Duchêne, Jean Monnet: The First, 229.

³⁴ Pascaline Winand, *Eisenhower, Kennedy, and the United States of Europe* (New York: St. Martin's Press, 1993), 28.

³⁵ Adenauer, *Memoirs* 1945-53, 350.

and lasting institution."³⁶ A conference, similar to the Schuman Plan Conference, was called in Paris to formulate the EDC Treaty.

The EDC Conference convened in Paris on February 15, 1951. Schuman announced to the other delegations that the French Government was "convinced that Europe cannot be brought to life at once like a Utopia. It will come about slowly. It is already in process of evolution piece by piece and step by step."³⁷ He further said of the EDC proposal, "within the framework of Atlantic armed forces there will be a European Army as a permanent instrument of the security of our continent and as an essential element of European integration."³⁸ The draft EDC Treaty also emphasizes the integrationist aims of the proposed institution. Article 38 of the Treaty declares that the EDC would be another step towards a future "federal or confederal structure."³⁹ Several nations attending the conference remained skeptical of the initial Pleven Plan, and certain provisions were modified.

One modification was that the power of the proposed Ministry of Defense was weakened, and therefore the supranational aspect was limited. Due to objections from the Benelux nations the proposed single Minister of Defense was replaced with a committee. But the most important modification was the chain-of-command. The original Pleven Plan was modified to be more compatible with NATO, and the proposed European Army was placed under the direct control of the Supreme Allied Commander of NATO, who was, and would always continue to be, an

³⁶ Ibid, 346.

³⁷ Ibid, 348.

³⁸ Ibid.

³⁹ "Treaty Instituting the European Defence Community," May 27, 1952, Le Centre Virtuel de la Connaissance sur l'Europe, Le Gouvernement du Grand-Duché de Luxembourg, Article 38, http://www.cvce.eu/obj/treaty_instituting_the_european_defence_community_paris_27_may_1952-en-2af9ea94-7798-4434-867a-36c4a256d0af.html (accessed July 29, 2014).

American. This was articulated in Article 18.1 of the EDC Treaty, which states, "the competent Supreme Commander responsible to NATO is empowered... to ensure that the European Defense Forces are organized, equipped, instructed, and prepared in a satisfactory way."⁴⁰ This subordination of the proposed European Army to an American commander was one of the factors that prohibited the ratification of the Treaty, as the EDC's European identity was lost.

All six member-states of the ECSC signed the EDC Treaty on May 25, 1952. But ultimately, although it was the French Government who originally proposed the creation of the EDC, it was the French Government which failed to have the Treaty ratified. After the previous legislative elections in June, 1951, the French political landscape changed greatly. In these elections the Gaullist RPF party received the most seats in the Assembly, with 120, and the Communists won the popular vote and received 100 seats. Both groups opposed the EDC Treaty, while the Socialists under Guy Mollet were split on the issue. Prime Minister Antoine Pinay, a supporter of the EDC, lost a vote of confidence soon before he was to introduce the Treaty to the Assembly. Several governments later, Pierre Mendès-France became Prime Minister and brought the Treaty to the Assembly in August, 1954. In this government Robert Schuman was replaced as Foreign Minister by Georges Bidault, after having held the post since 1948. Both Mendès-France and Bidault opposed the EDC Treaty as it was written.

Mendès-France went to Brussels on August 23, 1954, in order to try and persuade the other member states to agree on modifications to the EDC Treaty. It was clear that the Treaty had no chance of ratification in the National Assembly without modifications. One of Mendès-

⁴⁰ Ibid, Article 18.1.

⁴¹ "Quatrième République - IIe Législature 1951-1956," Assemblée Nationale, http://archive.wikiwix.com/cache/?url=http://www.assemblee-nationale.fr/histoire/leg4rep.asp#2leg&title =site% 20de% 20l'Assembl% C3% A9e% 20nationale (accessed July 29, 2014).

⁴² Duchêne, Jean Monnet: The First, 254.

France's proposals was to restrict the Treaty so it only applied to units stationed in Germany.⁴³ The meetings lasted until the early morning of August 24, but he failed to achieve any concessions. At the subsequent press conference he refused to announce how he would vote when the Treaty was put before the National Assembly.⁴⁴

On August 30, 1954, the Treaty was rejected by the National Assembly with 319 votes against the Treaty and 264 votes for. The Gaullists and Communists opposed the Treaty, and the Socialists split 50 in favor and 53 against. The Treaty failed despite vocal support for it in the Assembly from Robert Schuman, leader of the Christian-democratic *Mouvement Républicain Populaire* (MRP). The debate was contentious, and the reaction to the vote was almost riotous with shouts from the benches of the far-left and far-right. After the results were announced, the deputies who voted against the Treaty sang the Marseillaise in the Hémicycle of the Palais Bourbon, while the delegates in the center who supported the Treaty left the chamber.

Each party that opposed the Treaty did so for different reasons. The Socialists split with half supporting and half opposing the Treaty because the European dimension of the Treaty was lost during the revisions of the original Pleven Plan, which now placed the entire EDC under the command of an American. The Communists voted against the Treaty because they were anti-NATO by definition. The Gaullists opposed the Treaty because, along with members of the far-

⁴³ Nora Beloff, *The General Says No: Britain's Exclusion from Europe* (Baltimore, MD: Penguin, 1963), 67.

⁴⁴ Ibid, 67.

⁴⁵ Assemblée nationale, "Débats de l'Assemblée nationale du 30 août 1954," Le Centre Virtuel de la Connaissance sur l'Europe, Le Gouvernement du Grand-Duché de Luxembourg, http://www.cvce.eu/obj/debats _de_l_assemblee_nationale_du_30_aout_1954-fr-b7be6e26-f125-4f20-af78-5699906abc9a.html (accessed July 29, 2014).

⁴⁶ Duchêne, Jean Monnet: The First, 267.

⁴⁷ Assemblée nationale, "Débats de l'Assemblée nationale du 30 août 1954."

right, they opposed delegating French sovereignty to European institutions. General de Gaulle was appalled at the prospect of surrendering the sovereignty of the French armed forces, especially to the Americans. In his memoirs he writes that the EDC Treaty meant "handing over the command of this stateless assemblage lock, stock, and barrel to the United States of America." Subjecting the EDC to an American commander went against the Gaullist view of European integration, which advocated for a strong Europe as a 'Third Force' between the United States and the Soviet Union.

Although General Eisenhower criticized the original Pleven Plan, the American Government strongly supported the revisions that made the EDC subordinate to NATO. John Foster Dulles, the American Secretary of State under now-President Eisenhower, said of the rejection of the Treaty, "it is a tragedy that in one country nationalism, abetted by Communism, has asserted itself so as to endanger the whole of Europe."⁴⁹ The defeat of the Treaty also meant that another agreement was necessary to allow for German re-armament.

The rejection of the EDC was a setback for the European integration movement, but it was only temporary. In his memoirs, Monnet puts the rejection of the EDC in perspective by contrasting it with the ECSC, "coal and steel had been supreme for only a century: the army, on the other hand, had immemorial traditions. Its symbols were the flag and uniform: both were regarded as sacred." France was unwilling to relinquish sovereignty over the armed forces, particularly to the Americans, but it was willing to continue integration in other policy areas.

⁴⁸ Charles de Gaulle, *Memoirs of Hope: Renewal and Endeavor*, trans. Terence Kilmartin (New York: Simon and Schuster, 1971), 11.

⁴⁹ Mark Gilbert, *European Integration: A Concise History*, 2nd ed. (Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 2012), 43.

⁵⁰ Monnet, *Memoirs*, 343.

Monnet left office as President of the High Authority of the ECSC on June 3, 1955. He was replaced by fellow Frenchman René Mayer, a former Prime Minister and a strong supporter of the Community. Monnet announced his decision not to seek another term as President soon after the defeat of the EDC Treaty. His decision surprised many members of the High Authority because Monnet was the embodiment of the ECSC and of supranational integration in general. It was he who proposed the ECSC to Schuman and led the negotiations that drafted the Treaty. Edmond Wellenstein, the Secretary of the High Authority from the Netherlands, said of Monnet, "he was the High Authority," and likewise, Albert Coppé, the Belgian Vice President of the High Authority, described Monnet as "the personification of the High Authority."

Monnet chose to leave because he believed he could do more to accomplish further European integration without the responsibilities of public office. He told the Common Assembly of the ECSC that he resigned "in order that I may have complete freedom of action and of expression in helping to achieve European unity – a real and concrete unity." Monnet went on to establish the Action Committee for the United States of Europe. The Committee brought together trade union and political leaders from all the Community member-states. Almost every political party in the Community was represented except for the Gaullists, Communists, and the Italian Socialists under the leadership of Pietro Nenni. 53

At the time of his departure, Monnet believed that European integration was progressing.

Although the EDC Treaty failed, the proper functioning of the ECSC proved that supranational integration was possible. Monnet described the ECSC in a speech to the National Press Club in

⁵¹ Duchêne, Jean Monnet: The First, 239.

⁵² Jean Monnet, "Speech to the Common Assembly," speech presented at Common Assembly of the European Coal and Steel Community, Maison de l'Europe, Strasbourg, November 30, 1954, Archive of European Integration, http://aei.pitt.edu/14365 (accessed July 29, 2014).

⁵³ Duchêne, *Jean Monnet: The First*, 287.

Washington, DC, before he was President of the High Authority, saying it was "a breach in the citadel of national sovereignty which bars the route to the unity of Europe." This breach continued to widen for the rest of the decade and culminated in 1957 with the Treaties of Rome that established the European Economic Community (EEC) and the European Atomic Energy Community (Euratom). These two Communities were yet further advances in supranational integration.

The Treaties of Rome: Two New Communities

In the mid-1950s, the French Government desired an atomic energy treaty. The Mendès-France government fell in February, 1955, and the new government under Edgar Faure was more open to integration, but only slightly. Faure's government had nine ministers who supported the EDC, mostly Christian-democrats, and six ministers who opposed the EDC, mainly Gaullists. Faure demonstrated his support for supranational integration in a speech to the Assembly in 1955, announcing, "a true organization cannot be given too loose a formula, cannot become a mere club or conference of ambassadors; if the term 'supranationality' is alarming, let us say that nevertheless it must be given the power of decision." Furthermore, in the elections of January 2, 1956, the Gaullists lost five-sixths of their seats in the Assembly, and the RPF party no longer existed. Guy Mollet, the leader of the Socialist party, became Prime Minister and chose Robert Marjolin as his technical adviser on European policy. Mollet had support from the center and the left for his European policy, and from the right for his Algerian policy, and therefore presided

⁵⁴ Jean Monnet, "Allocution de monsieur Jean Monnet au National press club," speech presented at National Press Club, Washington, DC, April 30, 1952, Archive of European Integration, http://aei.pitt.edu/14364/ (accessed July 29, 2014).

⁵⁵ Duchêne, *Jean Monnet: The First*, 266.

⁵⁶ Richard Mayne, "The Role of Jean Monnet," in *The New Politics of European Integration*, ed. Ghita Ionescu (London: St. Martin's Press, 1972), 50.

over one of the strongest governments of the Fourth Republic. He used this strong position to steer the French Government back towards the path of further integration.

France had large civil and military atomic ambitions and desired a European organization for peaceful civilian atomic energy resources, particularly after the 1956 Suez Crisis which showed that Europe was far too reliant on Middle Eastern oil. At the same time, the Benelux nations and West Germany were interested in expanding European economic integration, which began under the ECSC. These nations desired a European Economic Community to facilitate trade for their manufactured goods. Marjolin, the economist and advisor to Mollet, advocated for liberalized trade in a Common Market and believed that it would be very beneficial to France. In 1955 he described France as "the most protectionist country in western Europe," and that summer he wrote that for the future of French economic growth "it is necessary to integrate [France] into a larger entity in which all trade restrictions will be progressively abolished; not only quotas, but also customs duties." Marjolin worked to rally support for the EEC in France, at a time when it had few supporters.

On May 14, 1955, the Common Assembly passed a resolution asking for the foreign ministers of The Six to "call one or several intergovernmental conferences to develop, with the appropriate assistance from the institutions of the Community, the necessary treaty proposals to achieve the next steps of European integration." The foreign ministers of The Six responded at their meeting in June, 1955, at Messina. They appointed Paul-Henri Spaak of Belgium to lead a

⁵⁷ Robert Marjolin, *Architect of European Unity: Memoirs 1911-1986*(London: Weidenfeld and Nicolson, 1989), 251.

⁵⁸ Ibid, 254.

⁵⁹ Common Assembly of the European Coal and Steel Community, "Résolution 35 de l'Assemblée commune de la CECA," May 14, 1955, Le Centre Virtuel de la Connaissance sur l'Europe, Le Gourvernement du Grand-Duche de Luxembourg, http://www.cvce.eu/obj/resolution_35_de_l_assemblee_commune_de_la_ceca _strasbourg_14_mai_1955-fr-02b1c4c5-7302-489b-849b-12dab73a0a5d.html (accessed July 29, 2014).

committee to investigate and make proposals for greater economic integration and for greater integration in the field of atomic energy. The French members of the committee included Pierre Uri, a close associate of Monnet, Robert Marjolin, another associate of Monnet's, former Secretary-General of the OEEC, and advisor to Prime Minister Mollet, and Félix Gaillard, who later became the youngest Prime Minister in the history of France. The committee's report was written mainly by Uri. As to further economic integration, the Spaak Committee called for the creation of a Common Market, with the gradual elimination of internal tariffs between The Six, a common external tariff on goods imported from outside the Community, free movement of labor and capital among The Six, and an institutional structure similar to that of the ECSC and led by a Commission. The Committee also proposed integrating the civilian atomic energy industries of The Six, but not integrating any traditional types of energy.

The Spaak Report was the basis for the subsequent intergovernmental conferences which drafted the Treaties of Rome that established the EEC and Euratom. The bells atop the Capitoline Hill tolled as the representatives of The Six signed the Treaties on March 25, 1957. After the Treaties were signed, all eyes were on France for the ratification process, because the French National Assembly previously caused the downfall of the EDC Treaty in 1954.

The negotiators had France in mind when they drafted the Rome Treaties, especially the EEC Treaty. The institutions created for the two new Communities were on the same basis as the institutions of the ECSC. But, the Treaties intentionally avoided the terms "supranational," "High Authority," and "federal" so as to avoid the impression that The Six were forfeiting too

⁶⁰ Beloff, The General Says No: Britain's, 73.

⁶¹ Ibid, 75.

⁶² Intergovernmental Committee on European Integration, "The Brussels Report on the General Common Market (Spaak Report)," April 21, 1956, Archive of European Integration, University of Pittsburgh, http://aei.pitt.edu/995/ (accessed July 29, 2014).

much sovereignty – the fear that previously doomed the EDC Treaty in the National Assembly. In place of a "High Authority" there was a Commission to govern each Community, and the two new Communities shared the Parliamentary Assembly (formerly the Common Assembly) and the Court of Justice with the ECSC. However, the executives and the Councils of Ministers of the three Communities were separate. Additionally, the Councils of Ministers of the two new Communities had slightly expanded oversight powers to give the national governments more control over the institutions.

France received additional concessions in the form of an association agreement between the EEC and France's former African colonies. Initially, the dependent territories of The Six were not expected to be included in the agreement, but France insisted. France had the most to gain from this association agreement because 98% of the population of the overseas territories included in the agreement lived in the former French West Africa, the former French Equatorial Africa, the former Belgian Congo, Madagascar, and the former Italian Somaliland. The former French West Africa, French Equatorial Africa, and Madagascar made up the overwhelming majority of these territories. These areas gained commercial privileges and development aid from the EEC. Crucially, the Community centrally administered the development aid to these territories. This was more appealing to the leaders of the newly-independent African states, who had difficulty accepting development aid directly from their former colonial masters.

At home, Marjolin succeeded in gaining support for the EEC from the French farmers, who were the main constituents for center-right politicians and therefore helped assure the Treaties' ratification. In Paris, both Prime Minister Guy Mollet and Foreign Minister Christian

⁶³ Michael Curtis, Western European Integration (New York: Harper and Row, 1965), 201.

Pineau supported the Rome Treaties, but former Prime Minister Mendès-France, who previously opposed the EDC Treaty, did not.⁶⁴

The EEC Treaty was ratified by all the legislatures of The Six, including the French National Assembly on August 2, 1957. The Treaty entered into effect on January 1, 1958, along with the Euratom Treaty whose ratification was also successful. Professor Hallstein of Germany became President of the EEC Commission, the most prestigious office in the structure of the three Communities. Marjolin was one of the two French representatives on the EEC Commission. He was responsible for economics and finance, and served as Vice President of the Commission until January, 1967.

Conclusion

In the first decade and a half after the Second World War, important progress was made towards European integration with the goal of an eventual federation. It was the French Government that proposed the first Community, it was France that prevented the creation of the EDC, and it was France that proposed Euratom and was influential in the drafting of the EEC Treaty. No one contributed more to this effort than Jean Monnet. President Kennedy, in a letter written just before his assassination, said of Monnet, "under your inspiration, Europe has moved closer to unity in less than twenty years than it had done before in a thousand. You and your associates have built with the mortar of reason and the brick of economic and political interest. You are transforming Europe by the power of a constructive idea." In his memoirs, Monnet reflects back on this period of great change and progress, "looking back at that midcentury period one can hardly fail to be struck by the extraordinary ferment in men's minds about the

⁶⁴ Marjolin, Architect of European Unity, 285.

⁶⁵ Monnet, *Memoirs*, 472.

idea of European unity. The political parties and militant organizations dealt with it in their manifestoes; statesmen discussed it in their speeches; articles were devoted to it in the press. The London *Times* and *The Economist* published admirable editorials worthy of Jay's, Madison's, and Hamilton's *Federalist Papers*." Monnet was the leader and greatest contributor to European integration during this era.

Although the process of European unification was far from finished, great strides were made during the postwar years. The formation of the three Communities demonstrated that the nations of Western Europe were capable of delegating their sovereignty to central institutions, as Monnet and Schuman originally proposed. When describing his measure of success for the process of European integration in 1953, Monnet stated that success "is whether an authority created freely by six nations divided for so many centuries by their national sovereignty can take its decisions in the interest of these six nations, and then have its decisions carried out by the enterprises of the nations. For the first time in centuries, Europe is doing just that."⁶⁷

⁶⁶ Ibid, 282.

⁶⁷ Monnet, "Statement before the Randall," speech, Archive of European Integration.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Primary Sources

- Adenauer, Konrad. *Memoirs* 1945-53. Translated by Beate Rohm von Oppen. Chicago: Henry Regnery Company, 1966.
 - -Memoirs of the first chancellor of West Germany. His memoirs are incomplete, but in this first volume he writes extensively on his role in the creation of the European Communities. In particular, he describes his co-operation with Robert Schuman and Jean Monnet to create the ECSC.
- Allied Foreign Ministers. "Communiqué by the Western Foreign Ministers Outlining Steps for Liberalization of Relations with the Federal Republic of Germany, Issued at New York." September 19, 1950. Le Centre Virtuel de la Connaissance sur l'Europe. Le Gouvernement du Grand-Duché de Luxembourg. Accessed July 29, 2014. http://www.cvce.eu/obj/communique_on_germany_new_york_19_september_1950-en-b99fc411-cb50-48b6-b0b9-74597a363cb7.html.
- Assemblée nationale. "Débats de l'Assemblée nationale du 30 août 1954." Le Centre Virtuel de la Connaissance sur l'Europe. Le Gouvernement du Grand-Duché de Luxembourg. Accessed July 29, 2014. http://www.cvce.eu/obj/debats_de_l_assemblee_nationale_du_30_aout_1954-fr-b7be6e26-f125-4f20-af78-5699906abc9a.html.
 - -One of the most important primary sources I encountered. It includes statements made by members of the French National Assembly describing why they supported either ratification or rejection of the EDC Treaty. From the transcripts, one can easily ascertain the views of the various political parties in France at the time, and the document also includes the official vote tallies from the Assembly.
- Beloff, Nora. The General Says No: Britain's Exclusion from Europe. Baltimore, MD: Penguin, 1963.
 - -This book gives a very interesting perspective into the process of European integration. Beloff was an English journalist who covered major events in continental Europe including the formation of the Communities. As a writer for a British newspaper, she has a clear bias against General de Gaulle when it comes to the issue of Britain's exclusion from the Communities in the 1960s. However, as a writer from a country that was not part of the Communities, she gives a valuable outsider's perspective on the early steps towards European integration in the 1950s.
- Common Assembly of the European Coal and Steel Community. "Résolution 35 de l'Assemblée commune de la CECA." May 14, 1955. Le Centre Virtuel de la Connaissance sur l'Europe. Le Gourvernement du Grand-Duché de Luxembourg. Accessed July 29, 2014. http://www.cvce.eu/obj/resolution_35_de_l_assemblee_commune_de_la_ceca_strasbourg_14_ma i_1955-fr-02b1c4c5-7302-489b-849b-12dab73a0a5d.html.
- de Gaulle, Charles. *Memoirs of Hope: Renewal and Endeavor*. Translated by Terence Kilmartin. New York: Simon and Schuster, 1971.
 - -De Gaulle's memoirs are very critical of European integration, as he was one of the movement's leading opponents. This contrasting view is important to include and hopefully it helps to minimize any biases that may be present in the memoirs of those who supported European integration.
- Duchêne, François. Jean Monnet: The First Statesman of Interdependence. New York: Norton, 1994.

- -This text is a biography of Monnet, but it is also a primary source. Duchêne was an associate of Monnet's and was an active supporter of the process of European integration. He was a political writer at the time, and many of his views find their way into this biography of Monnet. So in addition to the biographical details of Monnet, it offers a glimpse into the views of those who were in Monnet's inner circle.
- European Commission. "Eurostat Total Population Table." Eurostat. Last modified May 28, 2014. http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/tgm/table.do?tab=table&language=en&pcode=tps00001&tableSelection=1&footnotes=yes&labeling=labels&plugin=1 (accessed July 29, 2014).
- European Community Information Service. "1952-1962: Ten Years of ECSC: The European Coal and Steel Community." January 1963. Archive of European Integration. University of Pittsburgh. Accessed July 29, 2014. http://aei.pitt.edu/34491.
- Hallstein, Walter. *United Europe: Challenge and Opportunity*. The William L. Clayton Lectures on International Economic Affairs and Foreign Policy. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1962.
 - -Walter Hallstein, a German professor and statesman, was one of the leading figures involved in the process of European integration. He became the head of the Commission for the Economic Community. He provides another view of the ECSC Treaty negotiations, and writing from a German perspective he shows how the proposed ECSC was so revolutionary that the former enemies France and Germany were now cooperating with one another to unite Europe.
- Intergovernmental Committee on European Integration. "The Brussels Report on the General Common Market (Spaak Report)." April 21, 1956. Archive of European Integration. University of Pittsburgh. Accessed July 29, 2014. http://aei.pitt.edu/995/.
- Marjolin, Robert. Architect of European Unity: Memoirs 1911-1986. London: Weidenfeld and Nicolson, 1989.
 - -Marjolin was a prominent French economist during the middle part of the 20th century. He worked for the allies during the war organizing supplies and also led the European side of implementing the Marshall Plan to rebuild Europe. He influenced many leading French politicians and went on to play a leading role in the Economic Community.
- Mayne, Richard. "The Role of Jean Monnet." In *The New Politics of European Integration*, edited by Ghita Ionescu. London: St. Martin's Press, 1972.
- Monnet, Jean. "Allocution de monsieur Jean Monnet au National Press Club." Speech presented at National Press Club, Washington, DC, April 30, 1952. Archive of European Integration. Accessed July 29, 2014. http://aei.pitt.edu/14364/.
 - -Monnet's speeches are great documents to find when writing a paper such as this. In his speech to the National Press Club, he outlines why European integration matters. Most Americans at the time did not quite understand the significance of this process and many were even a little suspicious of its consequences. Monnet convincingly argues both the economic and political benefits that integration will have on Western Europe.
- ——. *Memoirs*. Translated by Richard Mayne. Garden City, NY: Doubleday, 1978.

- ——. "Speech to the Common Assembly." Speech presented at Common Assembly of the European Coal and Steel Community, Maison de l'Europe, Strasbourg, January 12, 1953. Archive of European Integration. Accessed July 29, 2014. http://aei.pitt.edu/14364/.
- ——. "Speech to the Common Assembly." Speech presented at Common Assembly of the European Coal and Steel Community, Maison de l'Europe, Strasbourg, November 30, 1954. Archive of European Integration. Accessed July 29, 2014. http://aei.pitt.edu/14365.
- ... "Statement before the Randall Committee Investigating United States Foreign Trade Policy." Speech presented in Paris, November 11, 1953. Archive of European Integration. Accessed July 29, 2014. http://aei.pitt.edu/14365/.
- Ronsac, Charles. "La bombe Schuman dominera les entretiens de Londres." *Franc-Tireur* (Paris), May 11, 1950. Accessed July 29, 2014. http://www.cvce.eu/obj/la_bombe_schuman_dominera_les_entretiens_de_londres_dans_franc_tireur_11_mai_1950-fr-ac4eeae8-6422-4182-b6d6-fb5b87e8dc61.html.
 - -This source, as a contemporary article from a major French newspaper, shows just how revolutionary the Schuman Declaration was. When leading Frenchmen mention the Declaration in their memoirs they may exaggerate its importance, but this article was written immediately in the aftermath of the Declaration and shows that even at the time it was seen as very significant by average Frenchmen.
- Schuman, Robert. "The Schuman Declaration." Speech presented at Quai d'Orsay, Paris, May 9, 1950. Europa. Accessed July 29, 2014. http://europa.eu/about-eu/basic-information/symbols/europe-day/schuman-declaration/index_en.htm.
 - -Arguably the most important document when it comes to the history of Europe since 1945. This Declaration was the first step towards unifying Europe and preventing any more continental wars. Truly revolutionary at the time, it outlines the economic and political reasons why European industry should be integrated both to preserve peace and to strengthen the economies of European nations.
- Service des Archives de l'Assemblée nationale. "Quatrième République IIe Législature." l'Assemblée nationale. Accessed July 29, 2014. http://www.assemblee-nationale.fr/histoire/leg4rep.asp#2leg&title=site% 20de% 20l'Assembl% C3% A9e% 20nationale.
- "Treaty Establishing the European Coal and Steel Community." April 18, 1951. Le Centre Virtuel de la Connaissance sur l'Europe. Le Gouvernement du Grand-Duché de Luxembourg. Accessed July 29, 2014.
 - http://www.cvce.eu/obj/treaty_establishing_the_european_coal_and_steel_community_paris_18_april_1951-en-11a21305-941e-49d7-a171-ed5be548cd58.html.
 - -The ECSC Treaty was the product of the negotiations following the Schuman Declaration. Fortunately, all the treaties pertaining to European integration are available online.
- "Treaty Instituting the European Defence Community." May 27, 1952. Le Centre Virtuel de la Connaissance sur l'Europe. Le Gouvernement du Grand-Duché de Luxembourg. Accessed July 29, 2014.
 - http://www.cvce.eu/obj/treaty_instituting_the_european_defence_community_paris_27_may_195 2-en-2af9ea94-7798-4434-867a-36c4a256d0af.html.

Secondary Sources

Curtis, Michael. Western European Integration. New York: Harper and Row, 1965.

- Gilbert, Mark. *European Integration: A Concise History*. 2nd ed. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 2012.
 - -An excellent overview of the process of European integration written by a political scientist and historian who teaches at Johns Hopkins University. A great work of political history, it provides a helpful, brief overview of the process of European integration.
- Winand, Pascaline. *Eisenhower, Kennedy, and the United States of Europe*. New York: St. Martin's Press, 1993.
 - -This secondary source focuses mainly on American reactions to European integration. For this paper, the most interesting part was the American reaction to the failed European Defense Community. This text includes Eisenhower's views on creating a European Army both when he was the Supreme Commander of NATO and when he was President. This American viewpoint helps to put European integration in a Cold War context, as the Americans were primarily concerned as to how European integration would affect the West's ability to confront the USSR.

Research Process Essay

This paper was adapted from a section of my senior thesis that I wrote this past summer, and I drew upon many of the Phillips Memorial Library's resources during my research. Although I lived at home during the summer, I made several trips to PC to use the library and would not have been able to write my thesis without the resources available there.

As to the secondary sources I used, all of them came from either HELIN or InRhode. I also read many other secondary sources from the library that I did not cite in my final paper in order to gain a better understanding of the historical context of European integration.

I was very fortunate when it came to the availability of primary sources. At first I thought that this would pose a problem, as I did not expect many to be readily available online. However, when I was writing my thesis proposal last spring I met several times with different librarians at the research desk and was able to find several online collections, most notably the University of Pittsburgh's Archive of European Integration. This is where I found many speeches, newspaper articles, and government documents cited in my paper.

Once I discovered the University of Pittsburgh collection, I looked into whether or not the EU had an official database of treaties, and this is how I came across the "Europa.eu" database where I found important documents such as the Schuman Declaration. Similarly, I explored the website of the French National Assembly and was able to find some transcripts of the Assembly debates that helped to shed light on the positions of many French political leaders in regards to European integration. Fortunately, I am able to read French and could translate documents from this website, as well as from the University of Pittsburgh collection, for use in my paper.

In addition to documents, I came across many memoirs and other works written by those who were part of the process of European integration. Like the secondary sources, many of these came from HELIN and the library at PC. There was only one of these books that I could not find in HELIN or InRhode, the memoirs of Robert Marjolin, and that's because in all of New England there was only one copy of this book in a library. With this in mind, I was very fortunate that the rest of the books I needed were so easy to access through the library.

Overall my research was very successful and I was able to base my paper almost entirely on primary sources written in both French and English. These sources are from those who were directly involved in the process of creating the Communities, such as Monnet and Schuman, from those who were outside observers such as Beloff and Ronsac, and from those who opposed European integration such as General de Gaulle. These primary sources from disparate perspectives help to show the significance of the events, and also counter-act possible biases that may be present in some of the memoirs. Finally, the secondary sources allowed me to frame the events in the proper post-war and Cold War contexts.