



# University of Groningen

# Maintenance ketamine treatment for depression

Smith-Apeldoorn, Sanne Y.; Veraart, Jolien KE; Spijker, Jan; Kamphuis, Jeanine; Schoevers, Robert A.

Published in: The Lancet Psychiatry

DOI:

10.1016/S2215-0366(22)00317-0

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

Smith-Apeldoorn, S. Y., Veraart, J. KE., Spijker, J., Kamphuis, J., & Schoevers, R. A. (2022). Maintenance ketamine treatment for depression: a systematic review of efficacy, safety, and tolerability. *The Lancet* Psychiatry, 9(11), 907-921. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(22)00317-0

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Download date: 30-04-2023

# Maintenance ketamine treatment for depression: a systematic review of efficacy, safety, and tolerability



Sanne Y Smith-Apeldoorn, Jolien KE Veraart, Jan Spijker, Jeanine Kamphuis, Robert A Schoevers

Ketamine has rapid yet often transient antidepressant effects in patients with treatment-resistant depression. Different strategies have been proposed to prolong these effects. Maintenance ketamine treatment appears promising, but little is known about its efficacy, safety, and tolerability in depression. We searched Pubmed, Embase, and the Cochrane Library and identified three randomised controlled trials, eight open-label trials, and 30 case series and reports on maintenance ketamine treatment. We found intravenous, intranasal, oral, and possibly intramuscular and subcutaneous maintenance ketamine treatment to be effective in sustaining antidepressant effect in treatment-resistant depression. Tachyphylaxis, cognitive impairment, addiction, and serious renal and urinary problems seem uncommon. Despite the methodological limitations, we conclude that from a clinical view, maintenance ketamine treatment seems to be of therapeutic potential. We recommend both controlled and naturalistic studies with long-term follow-up and sufficient power to determine the position of maintenance ketamine treatment within routine clinical practice.

### Introduction

Major depressive disorder is one of the leading causes of burden of disease worldwide.¹ Although it is amenable to pharmacotherapy and psychotherapy in most patients, one third of patients with depression do not achieve adequate response to current treatment options.² Hence, there is a pressing need to develop new treatment strategies for major depressive disorder generally, and for depression resistant to regular treatment (treatment-resistant depression) specifically.

Rapid and robust reductions in depressive symptoms have been observed following administration of the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR) antagonist ketamine, including in patients with treatmentresistant depression. However, the effects are shortlived. In most patients who respond well to a single dose of ketamine, the benefits disappear within two weeks.3 Different strategies have been proposed to prolong these benefits, including subsequent treatment with riluzole, lithium, and repeated dosing of ketamine. 4,5 Of these, repeated dosing of ketamine has emerged as the most promising. However, even with repeated dosing, relapse rates remain high after cessation of treatment, and median time to relapse is still only two to three weeks.<sup>3,4</sup> Maintenance ketamine treatment might be a necessary strategy to sustain the initial antidepressant effects.

In contrast to rapidly accumulating data about short-term effects of ketamine treatment, little is known about maintenance ketamine treatment. Besides the key issue of whether maintenance treatment is indeed capable of sustaining remission of depression, questions about safety and tolerability of long-term treatment arise. Potential harmful consequences of prolonged ketamine use include ulcerative cystitis, liver injury, neurocognitive impairment, and addiction.<sup>6-9</sup> Therefore, with a growing interest in ketamine as a treatment for depression, as well as the increasing use of repeated dosing in both clinical and research settings, the clinical applicability of maintenance ketamine treatment must be further explored and

systematically assessed. The aim of this systematic review is to present a comprehensive overview of current literature on the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of maintenance ketamine treatment in depression.

### Methods

This Review was conducted and reported according to the PRISMA guidelines<sup>10</sup> and methods were preregistered (PROSPERO, CRD42021253253).

### Search strategy and selection criteria

We searched Pubmed, Embase, and the Cochrane Library from inception to March 25, 2021. Search terms included a combination of Medical Subject Headings and text words indicative of (1) (es)ketamine, (2) depression, and (3) maintenance treatment (appendix p 1). No restrictions were set. Database search and eligibility assessment were performed independently in a standardised manner by three reviewers (SS, CE, and DT). Disagreements were resolved through consensus. A log was kept with excluded articles and reasons for exclusion. Reference lists of included articles were hand-searched to identify additional relevant publications.

Following the participants, intervention, comparison, outcomes, and study design (also known as PICOS) strategy, we included studies for which the following criteria were met: (1) participants: men and women of any age with any type of depression, including bipolar depression; (2) intervention: treatment with multiple doses of ketamine or its enantiomer esketamine, for at least four weeks beyond the initial period of acute treatment, and at any dose and in any form of administration; (3) comparison: any control intervention or no control intervention; (4) outcomes: (a) sustained antidepressant effect, as defined by: sustained depressive symptom reduction measured by validated questionnaires, clinician-observed or patient-reported sustained reduction in depressive symptoms, sustained response or remission rates, relapse or recurrence rates, time to relapse or recurrence, or

### Lancet Psychiatry 2022; 9: 907-21

Department of Psychiatry, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands (SYSmith-Apeldoorn MD,

J KE Veraart MD,
J Kamphuis MD PhD,
Prof R A Schoevers MD PhD);
Department of Mood
Disorders, PsyQ Haaglanden,
Parnassia Psychiatric Institute,
The Hague, Netherlands
(J KE Veraart); Pro Persona
Mental Health Care, Depression
Expertise Center, Nijmegen,
Netherlands

Correspondence to:
Dr Sanne Y Smith-Apeldoorn,
Department of Psychiatry,
University of Groningen,
University Medical Center
Groningen, 9700 RB Groningen,
Netherlands
s.y.apeldoorn@umcg.nl

(Prof J Spijker MD PhD)

See Online for appendix




Figure: Study selection

functioning; or (b) safety and tolerability, as defined by: adverse events, serious adverse events, or discontinuation due to adverse events, or both (a) and (b); (5) study design: controlled and uncontrolled studies, including randomised controlled trials (RCTs), open-label trials, cohort studies, case series, and case reports. Letters or comments to editors were included if they reported on original data (eg, case series). Only papers in English, Dutch, or German were included.

## Data collection and analysis

We collected information about study design, sample characteristics, ketamine intervention, comparison intervention, outcome, and source of funding. Two independent reviewers (SS and JV) assessed bias of the included studies using the Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomised trials, the JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-experimental Studies for uncontrolled studies, and the Quality Appraisal Checklists for Case Series Studies for case series and reports. Disagreements were resolved through consensus.

A meta-analysis of RCT data was initially planned but deemed inappropriate as the search revealed only three (heterogeneous) RCTs. Therefore, a qualitative systematic review of both the uncontrolled and controlled study data was undertaken. Results regarding effectiveness were subdivided into results of maintenance treatment within the first 6 months after initial response, results of maintenance treatment of 6 months to 1 year (mid-term), and results of maintenance treatment of 1 year and longer (long-term). Adverse events during these phases were merged and were reported by administration route.

### Results

Overall, 5734 records were identified through database search. Two additional records were identified by the hand search of reference lists. After adjusting for duplicates, 3817 records remained. Of these, 3476 were discarded after reviewing titles and abstracts. Of the remaining 341 full-text articles, 45 met the inclusion criteria. 11-55 Eight articles included the same four (cohorts of) patients. 26,27,29,30,38,39,44.45 Nonetheless, since all articles provided complementary results, all were included in the systematic review (figure).

We included three RCTs, eight open-label trials, and 30 case series and reports, with a total of 1495 patients (table 1). Of these, 1272 were diagnosed with unipolar depression, 43 were diagnosed with bipolar depression, and one patient was diagnosed with schizoaffective disorder. In seven studies including 179 patients with unipolar, bipolar, and schizoaffective depression, no further specification was provided regarding the ratio of these diagnoses among the patients. Treatment administration was intravenous ketamine (18 studies), intranasal ketamine (three studies), intranasal esketamine (five studies), oral ketamine (ten studies), oral esketamine (one study), intramuscular ketamine (three studies), and subcutaneous esketamine (one study). Ketamine doses ranged from 0.5 mg/kg to 1.2 mg/kg for intravenous administration, from 50 mg to 200 mg for intranasal administration, from 5 mg to 300 mg and 0.5 mg/kg to 7.0 mg/kg for oral administration, and from 50 mg to 70 mg and mg/kg to 1.0 mg/kg for intramuscular administration. Esketamine doses ranged from 28 mg to 84 mg for intranasal administration and from 0.5 mg/kg to 1.0 mg/kg for subcutaneous administration. Oral esketamine was administered in a dose of 2.0 mg/kg. The duration of the maintenance phase varied from 4 weeks to 5 years. The frequency of ketamine or esketamine administration during this phase varied from once a day to once every 12 weeks. Typically, patients continued to take their oral antidepressant medication. In at least 33 studies concomitant antidepressants were allowed or required. In one study ketamine administration was alternated with electroconvulsive therapy.<sup>15</sup> In most studies, maintenance treatment was only offered to people

		on, bbody an of ting; ; no ;	with sions or	poold :	mild se of	nitive Jicide Icohol	ness,	t page)
		Adverse events: feeling unsteady, blurred vision, headache, dry mouth, restlessness, abnormal body sensations, dissociation, changes in perception of stimuli, feeling drowsy, difficulties concentrating; drop-out due to an adverse event in 1 patient; no reported cognitive or urinary side effects, no reported abnormalities on laboratory studies; transient elevated heart rate and increased blood pressure	Adverse events: some degree of dissociation in 8 patients; dissociative symptoms attenuated with repeated dosing; nausea in 2 patients; no delusions or hallucinations; no serious adverse event	Adverse events: transient mild cognitive disturbances; vital parameters stable; routine blood parameters stable	Adverse events: transient metallic taste and mild derealisation; MOCA 28–30/30 over the course of treatment	Adverse events: "mild and transient"; no cognitive issues observed as measured by the MOCA; suicide attempt after relapse in 1 patient; return to alcohol misuse after years of sustained sobriety in 2 patients	Adverse events: dissociation, sedation, giddiness, nausea, sore throat, asymptomatic sinus bradycardia (HR 36 to 39 bpm); MOCA: 30/30 in 2 patients (data from other 2 patients are missing); no urinary symptoms; monthly urinalysis results normal	(Table 1 continues on next page)
		ng unsteady, restlessner on, changes sy, difficulti dverse ead dverse ead on labora es on labora art rate and	Adverse events: some degree of dissocia 8 patients; dissociative symptoms atten repeated dosing; nausea in 2 patients; no hallucinations; no serious adverse event	Adverse events: transient mild cognitive disturbances, vital parameters stable, rou parameters stable	sient metalli 28–30/30 o	Adverse events: "mild and transient"; no coc issues observed as measured by the MOCA; s attempt after relapse in 1 patient; retum to a misuse after years of sustained sobriety in 2 patients	Adverse events: dissociation, sedation, gi nausea, sore throat, asymptomatic sinus bradycardia (HR 36 to 39 bpm); MOCA:3 patients (data from other 2 patients are r no urinary symptoms; monthly urinalysis normal	able 1 conti
		wents: feeli s, dry mouth s, dissociati seling drow due to an a cognitive o abnormaliti	vents: somes; dissociativ dosing; nau:	Adverse events: tran: disturbances; vital pz parameters stable	events: transion; MOCA t	wents: "mil served as m after relapse ter years of	events: disse ore throat, or lia (HR 36 t data from or y symptom	
Safety		Adverse of headache sensation stimuli, for drop-out reported reported transient pressure	Adverse e 8 patient repeated hallucina	Adverse disturbar disturbar paramett		Adverse e issues obsattempt attempt misuse af patients		
		dend of (partial ients; dend of 8DI ients	oost-acute 0.75	acute 16; course of	Transient response after initial treatment; sustained partial response and able to do some chores at home over the course of maintenance treatment	s <10) over tenance l response enance t patients	Fluctuation over the 9-months course of maintenance treatment, relatively sober from alcohol (patient 1); sustained response and able to work over the course of maintenance treatment for 5 years; mild relapse after 5 years, followed by regained response (patient 2); initial functional remission; shorter duration of effect after dosing was stretched out to monthly doses, mild relapse after 15 months (patient 3); transient effect (2-3 days) over 10-week course of treatment (patient 4)	
		Median maintenance BDI and end of treatment BDI < baseline BDI (partial sustained response) in 11 patients; median maintenance BDI and end of treatment BDI < post-acute BDI (sustained response) in 4 patients	Mean BDI at baseline 33.75, post-acute 10.25, at end of treatment 10.75	MADRS at baseline 44, post-acute 16; sustained response overthe course of maintenance treatment	Transient response after initial treatment; sustained partial response and able to do some chores at home of the course of maintenance treatment.	Sustained remission (MADRS <10) over the course of 5-month maintenance treatment in 1 patient; initial response but relapse during the maintenance phase after 3-15 months in 4 patients	Fluctuation over the 9-months course of maintenance treatment, relatively sober from alcohol (patient 1.); sustained response and able to work over the cours of maintenance treatment for 5 years; of maintenance treatment for 5 years; mild relapse after 5 years, followed by regained response (patient 2); initial functional remission; shorter duration of effect after dosing was stretched out to monthly doses; mild relapse after 15 months (patient 3); transient effect 15 months (patient 4).	
ίζ		an mainten ment BDI < I ined respon an mainten ment BDI < I ained respon	BDI at base , at end of t	MADRS at baseline 44, p sustained response over maintenance treatment	ient respon ment; sustai ble to do so ourse of ma	ined remiss burse of 5-n ment in 1 pë elapse durin s after 3-15	Fluctuation over the 9 maintenance treatment from alcohol (patient: response and able to vorming relations and albele to wind relapse after 5 year. Engained response (paturctional remission; effect after dosing was monthly doses, mild relations (patient 3) 45 months (patient 4) 45 control (patient 4)	
Efficacy								
		imes a weel dose 2 time e every 1, 2, 6–49 week re 23 weeks	imes a weel iintenance ry 2 weeks	ive therapy; plus 3 times a sks; lose of ive therapy ive therapy le infusions for 6 montle for 6 montle for 6 montle for 6 montle infusions.	ose; dose 2 time months	imes aweel dose every 3-15 montl re 6 month	for 10 week	
Dosing		Initial dose 2 times a week for 3-4 weeks; maintenance dose 2 times a week or once every 1, 2, or 3 weeks for 6-49 weeks (mean exposure 23 weeks)	Initial dose 3 times a week for 1 week; maintenance dose once every 2 weeks for 7 weeks	Initial dose of electroconvulsive therapy 3 times a week plus ketamine 2 or 3 times a week for 3 weeks, maintenance dose of electroconvulsive therapy plus 2 ketamine infusions once a month for 6 months once a month for 6 months	Single initial dose; maintenance dose 2 times a week for ~4 months	Initial dose 2 times a week for 1–2 weeks; maintenance dose every 2–6 weeks for 3–15 months (mean exposure 6 months)	3 times a week to once every 5 weeks for 10 weeks up to 5 years	
			<u>ਦ</u>		a Ti Si	正 ひ 正 '字	9.4 e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
Intervention		Ketamine 0.5 mg/kg (transition to intranasal [dose not reported] in 1 patient)	Ketamine 0.5 mg/kg	Ketamine 75-100 mg; alternating electroconvulsive therapy	Ketamine 0.5 mg/kg	O-5 mg/kg	0.5 mg/kg	
tics		bipolar sistant ; herapy; e t only for	-resistant	t ng nerapy e)	resistant ng nerapy	r ng nerapy enance tonly for oonders	sesistant hol	
Clinical characteristics		Unipolar (n=8) and bipolar (n=8) teatment-resistant depression (55 antidepressants, psychotherapy, and electroconvulsive therapy; n=30; maintenance ketamine treatment only for initial ketamine responders (n=11)	Unipolar treatment-resistant depression	Treatment-resistant depression (including electroconvulsive therapy and esketamine monotherapy failure)	Unipolar treatment-resistant depression (including electroconvulsive therapy failure)	Unipolar and bipolar treatment-resistant depression (including delectroconvulsive therapy failure, n=6); maintenance ketamine treatment only for initial ketamine responders (n=5)	Bipolar treatment-resistant depression and alcohol misuse (patient 1); unipolar treatment-resistant depression (patients 2—4)	
Clinical		Unipolar (na) treatr depression (>=3) treatr depression (>=5 antidep psychothers electrocony n==30); mair ketamine tr initial ketan (n=11)	Unipolar tra depression	Treatment-resist depression (inclue electroconvulsiw and esketamine monotherapy fai	Unipolar depressic electrocc failure)	Unipolar treatmer depressic electrocc failure; n ketamine initial ket	Bipolarti depressic misuse († treatmer depressic	
Women/	men(n)	10/1	6/2	1/0	1/0	1/4	3/1	
Age		51 (31-69)	25–53	45	4	65-82	20-50	
*		Ħ	∞	₩	H	5	4	
Design		Case	Open label	Case	Case report	Case	Case series	
		Intravenous	Barenboim and Lafer, 2018⁴	Bartova et al, 2017 <sup>15</sup>	Blier et al, 201216	Bryant et al, 2019 <sup>17</sup>	Chan et al,	

Safety		Not reported	Adverse events: mild visual and auditory hallucinations; no cognitive dysfunction	Adverse events: initial mild dissociative symptoms, fatigue, mild headache, no psychotic symptoms (patient 1); no psychotic symptoms (patient 2)	Adverse events: transient psychotomimetic effects; no worsening of cognitive symptoms; no urinary or bladder problems; vital parameters stable	No cognitive or physical impairment beyond 2 h after infusion; no tachyphylaxis or tolerance	No worsening of multiple sclerosis symptoms	(Table 1 continues on next page)
Еffсасу		Sustained response (reduction in MADRS >50%) over the course of maintenance treatment in 56 (59-6%) of initial 94 responders	MADRS at baseline 45, after 1 week 25; sustained response (MADRS 20–30) over the course of maintenance treatment	HDRS at baseline 19, post-acute 9; sustained remission (QIDS 6) overthe course of maintenance treatment; transient relapse after cessation of ketamine; regained remission after restart (patient 1); HDRS at baseline 29, post-acute 8; sustained remission (QIDS 5) over the course of maintenance treatment (patient 2)	QIDS at baseline 20, post-acute 6; sustained response (QIDS 6) over the course of maintenance treatment; transient relapse after cessation of ketamine, regained remission after restart (patient 1); QIDS at baseline 14, post-acute 6; sustained response (QIDS 6–7) and resumed daily activities over the course of maintenance treatment (patient 2)	BDI at baseline 22, post-acute <10; sustained remission (BDI <10) over the course of maintenance treatment	BDI at baseline 38, post-acute 19; sustained remission (BDI 5-16) over the course of maintenance treatment	
Dosing		Initial dose 2 times a week for 2 weeks; maintenance dose every 2-12 weeks plus 2 or 3 additional doses if reduction in MADRS <50% for 1 year	Every 1–2 weeks for 10 months	Initial dose frequency and duration not reported; maintenance dose once a month for 1 year	Initial dose 2 times a week for 2 weeks; maintenance dose once a week to once every 2 weeks for 8 weeks	Initial dose every other day for 2 weeks; maintenance dose once every 3 weeks for >15 months	Initial dose every other day for 2 weeks; maintenance dose once a week to once every 3 weeks for 2 years	
Intervention		Ketamine 0.5 mg/kg	Ketamine 0.5 mg/kg	Ketamine 0-5 mg/kg	0.5 mg/kg	Ketamine 0-5 mg/kg IBW	Ketamine 0.5 mg/kg IBW	
Clinical characteristics		Unipolar and bipolar treatment-resistant depression (including electroconvulsive therapy failure, n=150); maintenance kertamine treatment only for initial ketamine responders (n=94)	Unipolar treatment-resistant depression (including electroconvulsive therapy failure)	Unipolar treatment-resistant depression with psychotic features (patient 1); schizoaffective disorder (patient 2)	Unipolar treatment-resistant depression (5 antidepressants; patient 1); bipolar treatment-resistant depression (including electroconvulsive therapy failure; patient 2)	Unipolar treatment-resistant depression (including electroconvulsive therapy failure)	Unipolar treatment-resistant depression (5 antidepressants in current episode) and multiple sclerosis	
Women/ men(n)		94/56 (n=150)	1/0	2/0	2/0	1/0	1/0	
Age	Je)	46 (SD 13·0; n=150)	49	55 and 55	64 and 72	46	45	
*2	ious pag	94	Н	7	7	П	П	
Design	rom prev	Open	Case report	Case series	Case	Case report	Case report	
	(Continued from previous page)	Dale et al, 2020 <sup>22</sup>	Kwon et al, 2018 <sup>33</sup>	Medeiros da Frota Ribeiro et al, 2016 <sup>38</sup> and 2017 <sup>39</sup>	Medeiros da Frota Ribeiro and Riva-Posse 2017**	Messer and Haller, 2010⁴¹	Messer and Haller, 2017 <sup>12</sup>	

	Design	ž	Age	Women/ men(n)	Clinical characteristics	Intervention	Dosing	Еfficacy	Safety
Continued	(Continued from previous page)	ous page	<u></u>						
Phillips et al, 2019 <sup>44</sup> and 2020 <sup>45</sup>	Open label	23	(SD 12·0)	15/8	Unipolar treatment-resistant depression (>2 antidepressants plus 2 augmentation strategies in current episode) and MADRS >25 (n=43); maintenance initial ketamine responders (n=23)	Ketamine 0-5 mg/kg	Initial dose 3 times a week for 2 weeks; maintenance dose once a week for 4 weeks	Sustained response (reduction in MADRS >50%) over the course of maintenance treatment in 21 (91%) of initial 23 responders; no main effect of time on change in MADRS total score (F=0.88, p=0.49) or MADRS suicidality (F=0.88, p=0.94) over the course of maintenance treatment	Most common adverse events: cardiorespiratory effects, numbness or tingling, dissociation, dizziness, visual disturbances; no serious adverse events; dissociative symptoms attenuated with repeated dosing (p=0.001); no reports of craving or drug-seeking behaviour
Sakurai et al, 2020⁴⁵	Case	44	46 (SD 19·1; n=87)	48/39 (n=87)	Unipolar and bipolar treatment-resistant depression (2.2 antidepressants; n=87); maintenance ketamine treatment only for initial (partial) responders (n=44)	Ketamine 0-5-1.2 mg/kg (transition to 50-200 mg intranasal ketamine in 3 patients)	Initial dose 2 times a week for 3 weeks; maintenance dose once every 2-6 weeks for up to 11 months (mean or median exposure not reported)	Initial response (decrease in QIDS ≥50%) or improvement (decrease in QIDS ≥35%) but relapse during the maintenance phase in 7 (16%) of initial 44 (partial) responders	No report of cognitive disturbance or urinary problems
Szymkowicz et al, 2013% et al, 2013%	series series	m	Not reported	Not reported	Unipolar treatment-resistant depression and anxiety disorder (patient 1); unipolar treatment-resistant depression (including electroconvulsive therapy failure; patient 2); bipolar treatment-resistant depression (including electroconvulsive therapy failure) and eating disorder (patient 3)	Ketamine 0-5 mg/kg IBW	Initial dose 3 times a week for 2 weeks; maintenance dose once every 2-7 weeks for 12 months	Initial remission (MADRS ±8) within 1 day; mild relapse after 8 months; regained remission after acute ketamine series (patient 1); initial (partial) response; fluctuation over the course of maintenance treatment; including suicidal relapses (patients 2 and 3)	No adverse events (patient 1); increased cognitive difficulties (word-finding and concentration difficulties) and insomnia (patient 2); hypomanic state twice while being on mood stabilisers, overwhellming emotions, and suicide attempt (patient 3)
Vande Voort et al, 2016⁵	Open label	7.	46 (SD 8; n=12)	11/1 (n=12)	Unipolar and bipolar treatment-resistant depression (≥2 antidepressants or mood stabilisers in current episode; n=12); maintenance heetamine treatment only for initial ketamine remitters (n=5)	0.5 mg/kg	Initial dose 3 times a week for up to 2 weeks, maintenance dose once a week for 4 weeks	Sustained remission (MADRS ≤9) over the course of maintenance treatment in 5 of initial 5 remitters	Most common adverse events in initial phase: dissociation, dizziness, numbness or tingling, sleepiness or sedation, emotionality, facial numbness; no new adverse events in maintenance phase; no hallucinations; no significant increase in YMRS scores
Wilkinson et al, 2018⁵⁴	Case	14	47 (SD 18-0; n=54)	33/21 (n=54)	Unipolar, bipolar, and schizoaffective treatment-resistant depression (n=54); maintenance ketamine treatment only for initial ketamine responders unable to sustain response (n=14)	Ketamine 0-5 mg/kg IBW	Initial dose 2 times a week for 2 weeks, maintenance dose every 3 or 4 weeks for 14-126 weeks (mean exposure 76 weeks; median exposure 84 weeks)	Relapse (QIDS <25% improvement from baseline) over the course of maintenance treatment in 11 (79%) of initial 14 responders, of the 11 patients who relapsed: 9 (82%) regained full response, 1 (9%) regained partial response, and in 1 (9%) tachyphylaxis	CogState battery: no correlation between number of infusions and change in cognition, no report of substance misuse or adverse events related to renal and uninary disorders; relapse resulting in suicide attempt in 2 patients
									(Table 1 continues on next page)

		al symptoms nined to ons		"high" after nood ext treatment	g nts	ss (22 [39%]), [23%]); d pressure in 1 with titive of continuation death	nine arm (38 [25%]), 32 [21%]), ie event ent leading ining of y and o death; no
Safety		Adverse events: misuse and withdrawal symptoms (patient 1); urine incontinence, determined to possibly be caused by ketamine infusions (patient 2)		Adverse events: brief feelings of being "high" after the first few treatment sessions; mild mood decreases on several days before the next treatment sessions	Adverse events: suicide attempt during maintenance treatment in both patients	Most common adverse events: dizziness (22 [39%]); dissociation (14 [25%]), dysgeusia (13 [23%]); elevated heart rate and increased blood pressure in most patients; dissociation attenuated with repeated dosing, no symptoms suggestive of psychosis; adverse event leading to discontinuation in 1 (2%); no adverse event leading to death	Most common adverse events in ketamine arm (n=152): dysgeusia (41 [27%]), vertigo (38 [25%]), dissociation (35 [23%]), somnolence (32 [21%]), dizziness (31 [20%]); no serious adverse event during maintenance phase; adverse event leading to discontinuation in 4 (26%) (worsening of depressive symptoms; transient anxiety and confusion); no adverse event leading to death; no interstitial cystitis observed
Efficacy		Not reported		Initial response by day 3; euthymic and able to work over the course of maintenance treatment	Remission for 17 months; suicide attempt without any reported trigger, followed by regained remission for 2 years, death by suicide after stressful life events (patient 2)	Mean change in MADRS score over the course of maintenance treatment –7.2 (SD 1.84); response (reduction in MADRS ±50%) post-acute: in 38% (n=3/8) with 28 mg, in 36% (n=4/11) with 56 mg, and in 50% (n=5/10) with 84 mg; response (reduction in MADRS ±50%) at end of maintenance treatment in 65% (n=22/34) of participants	Relapse of responders (reduction in MADRS ≥50%) esketamine us placebo: 25.8% (n=16) us 57.6% (n=34; HR 0.30; 95% CI 0.16-0.55; p-c0.001; NNT 4); relapse of remitters (MADRS ≤12) relapse of remitters (MADRS ≤12) esketamine us placebo: 26.7% (n=24) us 45.3% (n=35; HR 0.49; 95% CI 0.29-0.84; p=0.003; NNT 6)
Dosing		Once a day for 6 months (patient 1); once every 2 weeks for 3 years (patient 2)		2 times a week for 4 months	Every other day for 17 months (patient 1); every other day for 2 years (patient 2)	2 times a week for 2 weeks, once a week for 3 weeks, and once every 2 weeks for 4 weeks	Once a week or once every 2 weeks for up to 88 weeks (median exposure 17.7–19.4 weeks)
Intervention		Ketamine (dose not reported)		Ketamine 50 mg	Ketamine 200 mg (patient 1); 60 mg (patient 2)	Esketamine 28 mg, 56 mg, or 84 mg	Esketamine 28 mg, 56 mg, or 84 mg vs placebo
Clinical characteristics		Treatment-resistant depression (SSR), TCA, and MAOI failure) and history of drug misuse (patient 1); treatment-resistant depression (SSR), SNR), TCA, and MAOI failure; patient 2)		Unipolar treatment-resistant depression (including electroconvulsive therapy failure)	Treatment-resistant depression, anxiety disorder, and PTSD (patient 1); unipolar treatment-resistant depression (including electroconvulsive therapy and TMS failure; patient 2)	Unipolar treatment-resistant depression (≥2 antidepressants), IDS ≥34, and post-RCT participation	Unipolar treatment-resistant depression (1–5 antidepressants in current episode), IDS ≥ 34, and MADRS ≥ 28; maintenance ketamine treatment in 62 initial ketamine responders and 90 initial remitters
Women/ men (n)		1/1		1/0	1/1	(n=67)	196/101
Age	(e)	30 and 60		44	35 and 56	45 (SD 10·0; n=67)	46 (SD 11·1)
ž <u>=</u>	(Continued from previous page)	2		t 1	7	22	297
Design	d from pre	il, Case series		Case	, Case series	Open	RCT
	(Continue	Zhang et al, 2016 <sup>55</sup>	Intranasal	Clark, 2014 <sup>19</sup>	Cusin et al, 2020²¹	Daly et al, 2018 <sup>23</sup>	Daly et al, 2019 <sup>24</sup>

		ng to	or Jof	tion,	the		ation idal ns ne by rce,	:page)
Safety		Adverse events: "minor transient side effects"; no serious adverse event; no adverse event leading to discontinuation	Most common adverse events: feeling drunk or euphoria (6 [26-1%] of 23), tiredness (2 [8-7%] of 23), bad taste (2 [8-7%] of 23)	Adverse events: feeling "high", slight dissociation, "wobbly legs"; vital parameters stable	Most common adverse events during maintenance phase (n=603): dizziness (135 [22-4%]), headache (114 [18 9%]), dissociation (113 [18 7%]), serious adverse event in 38 (6-3%) of 603; most common serious adverse events (n=603): suicide lideation (4 [0-7%]), depression (3 [0-5%]), suicide attempt (2 [0-3%]), gastroenteritis (2 [0-3%]); suicide attempt (2 [0-3%]), gastroenteritis (2 [0-3%]); of 603; most common adverse events leading to discontinuation: suicidal ideation (4 [0-7%]), depression (3 [0-5%]), increased blood pressure (2 [0-3%]), hypertension (1 [0-2%]), suicide attempt (1 [0-2%]), writigo (1 [0-2%]), suicide attempt (1 [0-2%]), adverse event leading to death: acute cardiac and respiratory failure and suicide (both assessed as doubtful or unrelated to treatment); adverse event related to real and uninary disorders during both induction and maintenance phase in 136 (17-0%) of 802 of which 6 serious but not ketamine related; no adverse cognitive effects on mot ketamine related; no adverse cognitive effects on group level; no relevant change in laboratory and ECG parameters; no reports of drug seeking or misuse		Most common adverse events (n=22): dissociation (9 [41:8]), dizziness (5 [23:8]), blurred vision (4 [18:8]), numbness (3 [14:8]); transient suicidal ideation (2 [9:8]); lower urinary tract symptoms (1 [5:8]) eletermined to be urirelated to ketamine by urologist); no evidence of misuse or dependence, hepatic injury, changes in blood pressure, or memory impairment	(Table 1 continues on next page)
Efficacy		Sustained response over the course of maintenance treatment in 9 (69%) of sinitial 13 responders	Most common reported changes: improved mood (16 [69-6%]), raised daily efunction (14 [60-9%]), increased social activities (14 [60-9%]), improved motivation (11 [47-8%])	BDI at baseline 38, post-acute 19, sustained remission (BDI 9) over the course of maintenance treatment	Mean change in MADRS score over the course of maintenance treatment 0.3 pt (5D 8.12); response (reduction in MADRS 250%) at end of maintenance treatment 76.5%; remission (MADRS ±12) at end of maintenance treatment 58.2% pt (60 pt )		Among responders (reduction in BDI 5-50%, n=4) and partial responders (reduction in BDI 20–50%, n=3), documentation of continued efficacy iranged from 15 weeks to 2 years	
Dosing		Once a week or 2 times a week for up to 1 year (mean or median exposure not reported)	Once a week or once every 2 weeks for 14-35 months (mean or median exposure not reported)	Initial dose frequency and duration not reported; maintenance dose bimonthly for 3 months	Initial dose 2 times a week for 4 weeks; maintenance dose once a week (MADRS >12) or once every 2 weeks (In=36) or 48 (In=136) weeks (median exposure 22.9 weeks)		Initial dose every 3 days for 4 weeks, maintenance dose every 3 days for 15 weeks to 2 years (mean or median exposure not reported)	
Intervention		Ketamine 100–150 mg	Esketamine 28 mg, 56 mg, or 84 mg	Esketamine 84 mg	Esketamine 28 mg, 56 mg, or 84 mg		Ketamine 50-300 mg	
Clinical characteristics		Treatment-resistant depression (including electroconvulsive therapy failure) and previous intravenous ketamine response (16 of 17; n=17); maintenance ketamine treatment only for initial ketamine responders (n=13)	Unipolar treatment-resistant depression; maintenance ketamine treatment only for initial ketamine responders	Unipolar treatment-resistant depression (4 antidepressants) and anxiety disorder	Unipolar treatment-resistant depression (n=802); maintenance ketamine treatment only for initial ketamine responders (n=603)		Unipolar treatment-resistant depression (8.3 antidepressants plus TMS; n=22); maintenance ketamine treatment only for initial ketamine responders (n=7)	
Women/ men(n)		reported	14/9	1/0	(n=802)		13/9 (n=22)	
Age	(5)	reported	46 (28-64)	55	52 (n=802)		39 (n=22)	
ž	ous page	13	23	1	903		_	
Design	from previ	Case	Open label	Case report	Open		Case	
	(Continued from previous page)	Lee et al, 2019**	Starr et al, 2020⁴8	Stultz et al, 2020 <sup>49</sup>	Wajs et al, 2020 <sup>™</sup>	Oral	Al Shirawi etal, 2017"	

		or oot			o 0	vision 5%]), erent ous åverse	ъ.	ation verse %3]		: page)
		Adverse events in ketamine arm (n=41): tremor (4 [10%]), restlessness (3 [7%]), blurred vision (3 [7%]), dizziness (3 [7%]), nausea (3 [7%]); not significantly different from adverse events in placebo arm; no hepatic, cardiac or dissociative symptoms; no adverse event leading to discontinuation; no evidence of misuse or dependence	ers stable		Most common adverse events: lightheadedness, sedation, dissociative symptoms; no serious adverse events; no cases of bladder toxicity; no tachyphylaxis	Adverse events ketamine arm (n=20); blurred vision (1 [5%]), tremor (1 [5%]), abdominal pain (1 [5%]), loss of appetite (1 [5%]); not significantly different from adverse events in diclofenac arm; no serious adverse event; no cardiovascular events; no adverse event leading to discontinuation	Adverse events: transient lightheadedness and agitation; no manic, psychotic, or dissociative symptoms	Most common adverse events (n=12): dissociation (11 [92%]), hearing unusual things (6 [50%]), blurred vision (5 [42%]), nausea (3 [25%]); adverse events (11 [92%], of which significant in 2 [18%] and minimal in 9 ([82%])	No adverse event; no further decline in renal function	(Table 1 continues on next page)
		Adverse events in ketamine arm (n=41): the (4 [10%]), restlessness (3 [7%]), blurred vis (3 [7%]), nausea (3 [7%]), placeby, dignificantly different from adverse events placebo arm; no hepatic, cardiac or dissoci symptoms; no adverse event leading to discontinuation; no evidence of misuse or dependence	No adverse event; vital parameters stable	d agitation	erse events:   ve symptom cases of blad	Adverse events ketamine arm (n- (1 [5%]), tremor (1 [5%]), abdomi loss of appetite (1 [5%]); not sign from adverse events in diclofenac adverse event; no cardiovascular event leading to discontinuation	, psychotic, c	erse events ( unusual thir 2%]), nausea fwhich signi 82%])	o further de	(Table 1 conf
		e events in k i]), restlessn ), dizziness ( antly differen arm; no hel ms; no adve inuation; no	erse event; v	Adverse events: mild agitation	ommon adve n, dissociativ events; no iylaxis	events ketz ), tremor (1   ppetite (1   lverse event: event; no ca	e events: train, no manic ms	Most common adverse ev (11 [92%]), hearing unusr, blurred vision (5 [42%]), r events (11 [92%], of whici and minimal in 9 ([82%])	erse event; n n	
Safety			No adve				Adverse eve agitation; n symptoms		No adver function	
		Mean HDRS in ketamine arm: at baseline 24.17 (SD 2.31), at week 2 16.48 (SD 3.50), at week 4 12.73 (SD 2.97), at week 6 9.65 (SD 2.90), mean difference ketamine vs placebo at week 2 -3.41 (-5.07 to 1.75; p-0.001), at week 6 -2.61 (-4.11 to -1.11; p=0.001), at week 6 -1.91 (-3.34 to -0.48; p=0.009)	MADRS at baseline 36, post-acute 17 (patient 1); MADRS at baseline 31, post-acute 10 (patient 2); sustained (partial) response in both patients over the course of maintenance treatment	Initial response after 1 dose of ketamine; sustained response over the course of maintenance treatment; alcohol use markedly decreased	Mean change in hospital admission over the course of maintenance treatment -70% (p>0.001); reduced number of inpatient hospital days over the course of maintenance treatment (p<0.001)	Mean change in HADS score in ketamine arm at week 3 – 2.10 (SD 0.72), at week 6 –2.85 (SD 1.04); mean difference ketamine vs diclofenac at week 3 1.05 (0.45 to 1.64; p=0.001), at week 6 0.75 (0.18 to 1.32; p=0.012)	Initial clinical response or remission in 23 patients; maintenance therapy >4 weeks in 11 patients; sustained clinical response over the course of maintenance treatment in 100% of initial 11 responders	Sustained clinical improvement over the course of oral maintenance treatment in 3 of initial 6 responders; initial clinical response but (partial) relapse during the oral maintenance phase in 3 of initial 6 responders	Depression severity at baseline 8 of 10, post-acute 0 of 10; sustained remission over the course of maintenance treatment	
Еfficacy		Mean HDRS in ketamine arm: at bass 24.17 (SD 2.31), at week 2 16.48 (SD 3.50), at week 4.12.73 (SD 2.97), week 6.95 (SD 2.90); mean different etamine vs placebo at week 2 -3.41 (-5.07 to -1.75; p-0.001), at week 4 -2.61 (-4.11 to -1.11; p=0.001), at week 6 -1.91 (-3.34 to -0.48; p=0.00)	MADRS at baseline 36, post-acute 17 (patient 1); MADRS at baseline 31, po acute 10 (patient 2); sustained (partiersponse in both patients over the course of maintenance treatment	Initial response after 1 dose of ketam sustained response over the course o maintenance treatment; alcohol use markedly decreased		Mean change in HADS score in ke arm at week 3 –2·10 (SD 0.72), at –2·85 (SD 1.04); mean difference ketamine vs diclofenac at week 3 (0.45 to 1.64; p=0.001), at week (0.18 to 1.32; p=0.012)	Initial clinical response or remission 23 patients; maintenance therapy >4 weeks in 11 patients; sustained clinical response over the course of maintenance treatment in 100% of initial 11 responders		Depression severity at baseline { post-acute 0 of 10; sustained rer over the course of maintenance treatment	
		6 weeks	, duration trient 1); vration not rt 2)	us e dose as onths	hes a day up k; se 2 times svery 36 months re	6 weeks	r weekly iths	a week for nance dose or	se once a s	
Dosing		2 times a day for 6 weeks	Every 2-3 weeks, duration not reported (patient 1); every month, duration not reported (patient 2)	2 times a day plus additional rescue dose as needed for 6 months	Initial dose 2 times a day up to 2 times a week; maintenance dose 2 times a week to once every 2 weeks for 6 to 36 months (median exposure 31 months)	3 times a day for 6 weeks	Every 2-3 days or weekly for 1-5 to 6 months	Initial dose once a week for 3 weeks; maintenance dose 2 times a week for 2 months	Single initial dose; maintenance dose once a day for 2 months	
Intervention		Ketamine 25 mg vs placebo adjuvant sertraline	Ketamine 3.0 mg/kg (patient 1); 1.5 mg/kg (patient 2)	Ketamine 8–16 mg†	Ketamine 0.5-7.0 mg/kg	Ketamine 50 mg vs diclofenac (control)	Ketamine 5-10 mg†	Initial intravenous ketamine 0.5 mg/kg; maintenance oral ketamine at variable mg	Initial subcutaneus ketamine 0.5 mg/kg; maintenance oral ketamine 40 mg	,
eristics		ere unipolar 3S ≥20)	nt-resistant uding re therapy int) and ideation	ression with atures and vicidality, alcohol delusions	ent-resistant ants)	te unipolar 3S <19) and 1e	oolar tant ants; n=26); tamine 1 of initial	oolar tant ants plus eatment; ance nent for 6 of	anxiety, and ulmonary, renal disease	
Clinical characteristics		Moderate or severe unipolar depression (HDRS ≥20)	Bipolar treatment-resistant depression (including electroconvulsive therapy failure in 1 patient) and chronic suicidal ideation	Bipolar depression with psychotic features and history of suicidality, alcohol misuse, and delusions	Unipolar treatment-resistant depression (≥2 antidepressants)	Mild or moderate unipolar depression (HDRS <19) and chronic headache	Unipolar and bipolar treatment-resistant depression (≥4 antidepressants; n=26); maintenance ketamine treatment for 11 of initial responders	Unipolar and bipolar treatment-resistant depression (≥2 antidepressants plus psychological treatment; n=12); maintenance ketamine treatment for 6 of initial responders	Depression, anxiety, a advanced pulmonary, cardiac, and renal dise	
Women/ men (n)		31/50	1/1	0/1	28/9	30/10	7/4	6/6 (n=12)	0/1	
Age	(=	34 (SD 6-7; n=41)	44 and 37	20	46 (21-84)	41 (SD 8·7; n=20)	34 (24-79)	57 (21–70; n=12)	44	
ž	ous page	81	7	$\vdash$	37	40	11	9	Н	
Design	from previo	<u>گ</u>	Case	Case report	Case	RCT	Case	Open label	Case	
	(Continued from previous page)	Arabzadeh et al, 2018²²	De Gioannis and De Leo 2014²⁵	Grande 2017 <sup>28</sup>	Hartberg et al, 2018³¹	Jafarinia et al, 2016³²	Lara et al, 2013³⁴	Lascelles et al, 2021 <sup>35</sup>	McNulty and Hahn, 2012 <sup>37</sup>	

	Design	*	Age	Women/ men(n)	Clinical characteristics	Intervention	Dosing	Еfficacy	Safety
(Continued	(Continued from previous page)	us page,							
Nguyen et al, 2015⁴³	Case	21	50 (28-66)	12/0	Unipolar treatment-resistant depression (≥2 antidepressants; n=17); maintenance ketamine treatment for 12 of initial responders	Transmucosal ketamine 0-5-1.0 mg/kg	Once a week, once every 10 days, or once every 2 weeks for 8 to 22 months (mean exposure ~14 months)	Ketamine refills up to time of data collection in 10 (83%) of initial 12 responders; treatment discontinued in 2 (17%) patients after 10 months and 17 months for unknown reasons	Reported adverse events (n=12): transient light headache (1 [8%]); no report of serious adverse events
Veraart et al, 2021 <sup>sz</sup>	Case report	н	55	1/0	Unipolar treatment-resistant depression (including psychotherapy, electroconvulsive therapy, and DBS failure) with psychotic features and OCD	Esketamine 2-0 mg/kg	2 times a week for 18 months	HDRS at baseline 24, post-acute 6; sustained remission over the course of maintenance treatment	Adverse events: temporary dizziness; vital parameters stable
Intramuscular	ılar								
Cosin et al, 2012 <sup>20</sup>	Case	7	57 57	2/0	Bipolar treatment-resistant depression (including oral ketamine failure; patient 1); bipolar treatment-resistant depression (including oral and intranasal ketamine and electroconvulsive therapy failure; patient 2)	Ketamine 50 mg (patient 1); 50-70 mg (patient 2)	Every 3 days for 6 months (patient 1); every 4 days for 9 months (patient 2)	Initial clinical response after 1 week, partial relapse after 6 months, regained response after addition of bupropion (patient 1); initial clinical remission within days, partial relapse after 5 months, regained response after dosage increase (patient 2)	Adverse events: headache, irritability (patient 1); irritability, nightmares, dissociative feelings (patient 2)
Grott Zanicotti et al, 2012 <sup>29</sup> and 2013 <sup>30</sup>	Case report	₩.	36	1/0	Unipolar treatment-resistant depression, disseminated cancer, and history of drug misuse	Ketamine 1.0 mg/kg	Initial dose once every 8 to 10 days for 6 weeks, maintenance dose once a week for 10 months	MADRS at baseline 24; transient remission (MADRS <10) over the course of initial treatment, sustained response (MADRS 12-13) and mostly remission (MADRS <10) over the course of maintenance treatment	Adverse events: dissociative effects and dizziness (attenuation with repeated dosing); no worsening in concentration or memory; vital parameters stable
et al, 2021 <sup>47</sup>	Case	4	30-49	4/0	Unipolar (n=3) or bipolar (n=1) treatment-resistant depression and eating disorder	Initial intravenous or intravenous or intramuscular ketamine O.5 mg/kg; maintenance intramuscular ketamine O.5-0-9 mg/kg	Single initial dose; maintenance dose once a week to once every 6 weeks for 12–18 months	Sustained response of depressive symptoms over the course of maintenance treatment in 2 patients; partial sustained response of depressive symptoms over the course of maintenance treatment in 2 patients	Adverse events: mild sedation post injection; no apparent tolerance
Subcutaneous	Snc								
Del Sant et al, 2020 <sup>26</sup> and Delfino et al, 2021 <sup>27</sup>	Case series	02	40 (SD 12-7)	45/25	Unipolar (56%) or bipolar (44%) treatment-resistant depression (≥2 antidepressants in current episode) and MADRS ≥25	Esketamine 0.5-1.0 mg/kg	Once a week for 6 weeks	Initial reduction of anhedonia (MADRS item 8) 24 hours after first subcutaneous administration (t=4.007; p>6-001); sustained (increased) reduction of anhedonia (MADRS item 8) overthe course of treatment (F=5.827; p>6.0001)	No clinically significant adverse event; no serious adverse events; vital functions: increased SBP >>30 mm Hg and increased DBP >15 mmHg in 21 (30%) of 70; SBP ≥180 mmHg or DBP ≥110 mmHg, or Dbth, in 14 (20%) of 70; SBP and DBP returned to pre-dose levels within 2 h across all dosing days; no clinically significant cardiovascular adverse events
DI=Beck Dep	ression Invent	tory. DB	P=diastolic	blood pressi	ure. DBS=deep brain stimulation. E	ECG=electrocardiogra	m. HADS=Hospital Anxiety and	Depression Score. HDRS=Hamilton Depression	BDI=Beck Depression Inventory. DBP=diastolic blood pressure. DBS=deep brain stimulation. ECG=electrocardiogram. HADS=Hospital Anxiety and Depression Score. HDRS=Hamilton Depression Rating Scale. IBW=ideal body weight. IDS=Inventory of

Depressive Symptomatology. MADRS=Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale. MAOI=monoamine oxidase inhibitor. MOCA=Montreal Cognitive Assessment. OCD=obsessive-compolisive disorder. PTSD=post-traumatic stress disorder. QIDS=Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology. RCT=randomised controlled trial. SBP=systolic blood pressure. SNRI=serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor. SSRI=selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor. TCA=tricyclic antidepressant. TMS=transcranial magnetic stimulation. YMRS=Young Mania Rating Scale. \*Number of patients who received maintenance treatment according to our criteria. †Sublingual.

# Table 1: Characteristics and findings of included studies

	Design	N	Sustained re	esponse rate	
			<6 months	6 months to 1 year	≥1 year
Intravenous					
Dale et al, 2020 <sup>22</sup>	Open label	94			60%
Sakurai et al, 2020 <sup>46</sup>	Case series	44	84%*		
Phillips et al, $2019^{44}$ and $2020^{45}$	Open label	23	91%		
Wilkinson et al, 2018 <sup>54</sup>	Case series	14			21%*
Archer et al, 2018 <sup>13</sup>	Case series	11	36%	22% (n=9)†	
Barenboim and Lafer, 2018 <sup>14</sup>	Open label	8	100%		
Bryant et al, 2019 <sup>17</sup>	Case series	5	40%	25% (n=4)†	0 (n=4)†
Vande Voort et al, 2016 <sup>51</sup>	Open label	5	100%		
Chan et al, 2018 <sup>18</sup>	Case series	4	50%	50%	0
Szymkowicz et al, 2013 <sup>50</sup>	Case series	3	33%	0	0
Medeiros da Frota Ribeiro et al, 2016 <sup>38</sup> and 2017 <sup>39</sup>	Case series	2	100%	100% (n=1)†	100% (n=1)†
Medeiros da Frota Ribeiro et al, 2017 <sup>40</sup>	Case series	2	100%		
Bartova et al, 2017 <sup>15</sup>	Case report	1	100%	100%	
Blier et al, 2012 <sup>16</sup>	Case report	1	100%		
Kwon et al, 2018 <sup>33</sup>	Case report	1	100%	100%	
Messer and Haller, 2010 <sup>41</sup>	Case report	1	100%	100%	100%
Messer and Haller, 2017 <sup>42</sup>	Case report	1	100%	100%	100%
Intranasal					
Wajs et al, 2020 <sup>53</sup>	Open label	603	77% *		
Daly et al, 2019 <sup>24</sup>	RCT	297	73-74%*		
Lee et al, 2019 <sup>36</sup>	Case series	13	69% *		
Cusin et al, 2020 <sup>21</sup>	Case series	2	100%	100%	0
Clark, 2014 <sup>19</sup>	Case report	1	100%		
Stultz et al, 2020 <sup>49</sup>	Case report	1	100%		
Oral					
Nguyen et al, 2015 <sup>43</sup>	Case series	12	100%	92%	80% (n=10)
Lara et al, 2013 <sup>34</sup>	Case series	11	100%		
Lascelles et al, 2021 <sup>35</sup>	Open label	6	50%		
De Gioannis and De Leo, 2014 <sup>25</sup>	Case series	2	100%		
Grande, 2017 <sup>28</sup>	Case report	1	100%	100%	
McNulty and Hahn, 2012 <sup>37</sup>	Case report	1	100%		
Veraart et al, 2021 <sup>52</sup>	Case report	1	100%	100%	100%
Intramuscular					
Schwartz et al, 2021 <sup>47</sup>	Case series	4	50%	50%	50%
Cusin et al, 2012 <sup>20</sup>	Case series	2	50%	0	
Grott Zanicotti et al, 2012 <sup>29</sup> and 2013 <sup>30</sup>	Case report	1	100%	100%	

RCT=randomised controlled trial. \*When the duration of maintenance treatment varied between patients within one study, the exact duration per patient or the mean or median duration of the sample were chosen to categorise results in short-term, mid-term, and long-term results. When the exact or mean or medium duration of maintenance treatment was unclear, results were categorised within the term that was obtained with certainty. †Excluding responders who had not (yet) reached the mid-term or long-term results.

 $\textit{Table 2:} \textbf{Sustained response rates, categorised according to route of administration, with studies lined up according to sample size$ 

whose symptoms initially responded or remitted. Definitions of response and remission varied (ie, clinical response or remission, decrease of symptom severity of 50% or more from baseline, or a cutoff score of symptom severity). All but one study<sup>22</sup> reported on

safety or tolerability, and all but one study<sup>55</sup> reported on efficacy.

The overall quality of the RCTs was considered high, resulting in level 1 evidence according to the Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine. The open-label trials, case series, and case reports were evaluated low to moderate, with a high risk of bias in several domains, resulting in level 3 to 4 evidence according to the Centre of Evidence-Based-Medicine. More details are provided in the appendix (pp 2–4).

We included 18 open-label trials, case series, and case reports on maintenance intravenous ketamine treatment, including 222 patients with unipolar, bipolar, or schizoaffective treatment-resistant depression. 13-18,22,33,38-42,44-46,50,51,54,55 Duration of intravenous maintenance treatment ranged from 4 weeks to 5 years. Frequency varied from once a day to once every 12 weeks. Frequency was individually tailored in ten studies and dosing in two studies. No studies on intravenous esketamine maintenance treatment were found (table 1).

Sustained response rates within the first 6 months of maintenance treatment were reported in three open-label trials. What is and 12 case series and reports (table 2). 18,33,38-42,46,50 Rates ranged from 91% to 100% in the open-label trials. Of the 76 initial responders included in the case reports and case series, 55 (72%) showed sustained response. Sustained response was seen in patients with and without electroconvulsive therapy-refractory depression. No difference in effectiveness was noticed when comparing the results of studies including both patients with unipolar and those with bipolar treatment-resistant depression versus the results of studies including only patients with unipolar treatment-resistant depression, or when comparing the results of studies with different treatment frequencies or sample sizes.

Mid-term (6 months to 1 year) sustained response rates were reported in five case series<sup>13,17,18,38,39,50</sup> and four case reports.<sup>15,33,41,42</sup> Of the 29 initial responders included in these nine studies, 25 patients had reached the mid term, whereas for four patients follow-up was too short to be included as mid-term sustained responders. Of these 25 patients, ten (40%) showed sustained response after 6 months to 1 year of maintenance treatment. Sustained response was seen in both patients with unipolar and bipolar depression and in patients with and without electroconvulsive therapy-refractory depression. Numbers were too small to be able to discern any differences in response rates between populations.

Long-term (≥1 year) sustained response rates were reported in eight studies, <sup>17.18,22,38,39,41,42,50,54</sup> of which one was an open-label trial. <sup>22</sup> Of the 150 unipolar and bipolar patients whose symptoms had not responded to electroconvulsive therapy included in this open-label trial, 94 patients initially responded to intravenous ketamine. Of these, 56 (60%) had a sustained response for 1 year. Results were not stratified by diagnostic

categories (unipolar  $\nu$ s bipolar).<sup>22</sup> Of the 30 initial responders included in the case series and case reports, 28 patients had reached the long term, while for two patients follow-up was too short to be included. Of these 28, 21% showed sustained response beyond one year of maintenance treatment.

Adverse events were mild and transient in most patients. In the open-label trials, no serious adverse events, craving or drug-seeking behaviour, cognitive disturbance, or urinary or renal problems were reported. In the case series and reports, tachyphylaxis (n=2), urinary incontinence (n=1), ketamine addiction (n=1; history of substance misuse), persistent cognitive disturbance (n=1), and manic or hypomanic state while being on mood stabilisers (n=1) were reported. In addition, return to alcohol misuse after years of sustained abstinence was reported twice, and relapse of depressive symptoms resulting in a suicide attempt was reported four times. There were five known patients who dropped out owing to adverse events.

Maintenance treatment with intranasal ketamine or esketamine was provided in eight studies, including a total of 997 patients with unipolar treatment-resistant depression. Duration of treatment varied from 7 weeks to 3 years and frequency from every other day to once every 2 months. One RCT, three open-label trials, and one case report reported on intranasal esketamine maintenance treatment. One case report and two case series reported on maintenance treatment with intranasal racemic ketamine (table 1).

The two trials that determined sustained efficacy rates (one placebo-controlled24 and one uncontrolled53, together including 900 of the 997 patients) indicated sustained response rates of 73-77% within the first 6 months of maintenance treatment (table 2). In the second uncontrolled trial, 22 (65%) of 34 participants showed response and 11 (32%) of 34 showed remission after 9 weeks of treatment.23 However, due to the design of this trial, we could not determine the proportion of sustained responders. In the third uncontrolled trial, improvement of mood, daily functioning, social activities, and motivation were presented as the most common reported changes during maintenance ketamine treatment.48 Finally, of the 17 patients included in the case series and reports, 13 (76%) showed sustained response within the first 6 months of maintenance treatment. 19,21,36,49 As stratification of results was mainly absent, we were not able to discern any differences in response rates.

Mid-term (6 months to 1 year) and long-term (≥1 year) intranasal efficacy data showed that response could be sustained for years. La4,36,53 However, as the duration of maintenance treatment varied from relative short-term to long-term within these studies and this was not taken into account when the data were analysed or presented by the authors, it was not possible to draw more exact conclusions regarding the efficacy of mid-term and long-term intranasal maintenance treatment.

Adverse events were mild and transient in most patients. Although adverse events related to renal and urinary disorders were common in one study when incidence rates of the induction and maintenance phases were combined (17%),53 serious renal and urinary problems related to ketamine were not observed, nor were cognitive adverse effects or craving or drug-seeking behaviour. Serious adverse events were detected in two cases and in 0-6% of the participants of the controlled and uncontrolled trials. They were most often related to worsening of depressive symptoms, including suicidal ideation and suicide attempts, or to gastroenteritis. There were three serious adverse events leading to death: acute cardiac and respiratory failure in one participant,53 and suicide in two participants. 21,53 Participants that dropped out due to adverse events occurred in 2-4% of the trial populations. Specifications of the adverse events leading participants to drop-out were often not reported, but two studies report participants dropping out due to worsening of depressive symptoms, suicidality, and transient anxiety and confusion.24,53

We included 11 controlled and uncontrolled trials, case series, and case reports on maintenance oral ketamine treatment, including 199 unipolar (approximately 93%) and bipolar (approximately 7%) patients with treatment-resistant depression. 11,12,25,28,31,32,34,35,37,43,52 Duration and frequency of treatment varied widely, from 6 weeks to 3 years and from 3 times a day to once a month. In some studies frequency was individually tailored, in some dosing was individually tailored, and in some both were. Details and sustained response rates can be found in tables 1 and 2.

Two controlled trials, one uncontrolled trial, and six case series and reports presented results of maintenance treatment within the first 6 months after initial response. 12,25,28,32,34,35,37,43,52 Although the RCTs were not designed to study maintenance treatment, in both studies ketamine treatment was offered for more than 4 weeks, therewith meeting our intervention criteria. In the first RCT, including 40 patients, antidepressant effects were superior for ketamine versus diclofenac after 3 weeks of treatment when measured by the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Score (HADS). These effects were preserved up to 6 weeks of treatment. However, when measured by the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS), patients receiving ketamine achieved greater response and remission after 6 weeks of treatment, but not after 3 weeks of treatment.<sup>32</sup> In the second RCT, including 81 patients, antidepressant effects were superior for ketamine addition to sertraline versus placebo addition to sertraline after 2 weeks of treatment. and these effects were preserved up to 6 weeks of treatment.12 Of the six patients included in the uncontrolled trial, 3 showed sustained response within the first 6 months of maintenance treatment.<sup>35</sup> Of note. in this trial, oral maintenance treatment was studied after initial intravenous treatment. Of the 6 participants

that progressed to oral ketamine treatment, four reported it to be less effective than intravenous infusions. Finally, of the 28 patients included in the six case series and reports, 100% showed sustained response. <sup>25,28,34,37,43,52</sup> No differences in effectiveness were found when comparing the results of studies with different treatment frequencies or sample sizes. The number of patients with bipolar depression was too small to be able to distinguish differences in effectiveness regarding diagnostic categories.

Mid-term (6 months to 1 year) and long-term (≥1 year) efficacy data were reported in three case series11,31,43 and two case reports. 28,52 Of the 14 initial responders included in the three case studies and case reports reporting on mid-term sustained response rates, 13 (93%) showed sustained response after 6 months to 1 year of maintenance treatment. 28,43,52 Of the 13 initial responders included in the two case studies reporting on long-term sustained response rates, two patients were treated with ketamine for less than 12 months. Of the other 11 patients, nine (82%) showed sustained response after 1 year of treatment.43,52 In the fourth case series, no sustained efficacy rates were presented, but documentation of continued efficacy ranged from 15 weeks to 2 years.11 Finally, in a retrospective case series by Hartberg and colleagues,31 patients were found to have reduced numbers of both hospital admissions (-65%) and inpatient hospital days (-70%) following oral ketamine therapy for up to 3 years, compared with the period before therapy.

As with intravenous and intranasal maintenance treatment, adverse events were mild and transient in most individuals. No serious adverse events, patients dropping out due to adverse events, craving, cognitive disturbance, or renal problems were reported during oral maintenance treatment. Lower urinary tract symptoms were reported once but were determined to be unrelated to ketamine. Transient suicidal ideation was reported twice.

The efficacy and safety of intramuscular maintenance treatment was the topic of three case reports and series, including three patients with bipolar and four with unipolar depression. <sup>20,29,30,47</sup> Duration of treatment ranged from 6 months to 18 months and frequency from once every 3 days to once every 6 weeks (table 1).

Of the seven included patients, four showed sustained response within the first 6 months of treatment (table 2). Responses were sustained in three patients in the midterm (6 months to 1 year). Of the four patients included in the single long-term study, two still showed sustained response after 12–18 months.<sup>47</sup>

Adverse events were mild and transient in all patients. No serious adverse events, patients dropping out due to adverse events, craving, cognitive disturbance, urinary problems, or renal problems were reported.

We included one retrospective case series on a 6-week weekly subcutaneous treatment programme, including 70 patients with treatment-resistant depression (39 [56%] unipolar and 31 [44%] bipolar) presented in two manuscripts. Although the programme was not designed as a maintenance treatment programme, subcutaneous esketamine treatment was offered for more than 4 weeks, therewith meeting our intervention criteria (table 1).

Depression response rates were available but not included in this Review since they concerned initial and not sustained response rates. Initial efficacy regarding anhedonia was preserved within the first 6 months of treatment.<sup>27</sup> There were no mid-term or long-term subcutaneous maintenance treatment data available. No serious adverse events or clinically significant adverse events were reported.<sup>26</sup>

### Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review of the literature on the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of maintenance ketamine treatment for depression. So far, maintenance programmes with intravenous ketamine (18 studies including 222 patients), oral ketamine or esketamine (11 studies including 199 patients), and intranasal esketamine or esketamine (eight studies including 997 patients) have been studied the most. Data on maintenance treatment with intramuscular ketamine (three studies including seven patients) and subcutaneous esketamine (one study including 70 patients) are scarce.

Whether the different routes of administration of ketamine differ in efficacy and safety is not yet clear. When discussing these different routes, differences in bioavailability of ketamine and its metabolites must be taken into consideration. The bioavailability of ketamine can be expected to be complete in intravenous administration, is slightly lower in intramuscular and subcutaneous administration (approximately 90%), and further decreases in intranasal (8-45%) and oral (8–29%) administration. 56,57 Ketamine-dosing regimens for treatment-resistant depression often focus on maximising ketamine bioavailability and limiting its first pass metabolism, based on the assumption that the ketamine parent molecule is most important for treating depression. This assumption can be challenged for several reasons, for example because ketamine metabolites seem to have important roles in ketamine's antidepressant actions. 58,59 These are interesting directions for future research to optimise ketamine treatment.

Second, it is not yet clear if there is a difference in efficacy and safety between the different formulations of ketamine. Although ketamine acts primarily as an antagonist of NMDAR, the concept of NMDAR inhibition in depression has been challenged, and various other molecular insights have been gained in the mechanistic pathways of ketamine and its component enantiomers. This notion has recently renewed interest in potential safer alternatives with less affinity for the NMDAR, such

as arketamine. 61 More studies investigating its effectiveness are underway, and future direct comparisons between racemic ketamine, esketamine, and arketamine in depressed patients will be interesting.

Whether sustained responsiveness differs to a clinically significant degree in patients with bipolar depression from those with unipolar depression, or in patients with electroconvulsive therapy-refractory depression from those whose symptoms have not previously not responded to electroconvulsive therapy is also not clear. Nevertheless, even if ketamine is found to be slightly less effective for patients with bipolar treatment-resistant depression or electroconvulsive therapy-refractory depression, this difference might not be meaningful given that there are so few established options for patients with drug-resistant bipolar depression or patients with electroconvulsive therapy-resistant depression.

In summary, there is evidence for sustained therapeutic potential of intravenous, intranasal, and oral maintenance ketamine treatment within the first 6 months after initial response, and for intravenous maintenance treatment beyond 1 year after initial response. To a more limited extent, there is evidence for sustained therapeutic potential of intramuscular and subcutaneous maintenance ketamine treatment. Compared with maintenance antidepressant drug therapy with an average relapse rate of 23% in patients with non-treatment-resistant depression, 62 these results, achieved in patients with difficult-to-treat symptoms, are clearly promising.

Overall, the nature of adverse events reported was consistent with the known safety profile of initial ketamine treatment.<sup>63</sup> Adverse events were mild and transient in most individuals. Cognitive impairment (n=1) and addiction (n=1) were reported but seem very uncommon based upon the current data. Although adverse events related to renal and urinary disorders were common in one study, serious renal and urinary problems related to ketamine seem uncommon.

These findings contrast with previous reports that repeated use of ketamine in other populations, including animals, patients with chronic pain, and recreational users, has been linked with urological toxicity, hepatotoxicity, cognitive deficits, and dependency risks. 6-9 Possible explanations for this disparity are the relatively low dosages (both dosing and frequency) and better controlled circumstances in most treatment programmes for depression. Second, in many studies, exclusion criteria for ketamine treatment included substance use disorders and unstable medical illnesses, possibly limiting the risk of increasing or uncontrolled use with these potential side-effects. Third, current data might be too limited or follow-up time too short in general to detect infrequent but potentially severe adverse effects, including those that might require a longer time to develop. Consistent with our findings regarding the safety of maintenance treatment are the findings of Feifel and colleagues.<sup>64</sup> In a sample of 6630 patients receiving repeated (but not necessarily maintenance) parenteral ketamine for depression, discontinuation due to adverse effects was required in only 47 (0.7%). The most reported adverse effect that required discontinuation was psychological distress (33 [0.5%]). In three patients (0.06%) bladder dysfunction required discontinuation, in two (0.03%) hypomania, in six (0.09%) nausea, and in one (0.02%) psychotic symptoms, respiratory distress, seizure. Adverse events that prompted discontinuation did not include addiction or cognitive deficits. A systematic review suggested that ketamine use for treatment-resistant depression is associated with cognitive improvement, probably related to a decrease in depressive symptoms.65

Serious adverse events were not always specified. Reported specifications were most often related to worsening of depressive symptoms, including suicidality. Relapse of depressive symptoms resulting in suicide, or a suicide attempt, was reported 14 times. These findings highlight the importance of meticulous monitoring of patients, not only during but also after cessation of treatment.

The most frequently reported reasons for discontinuation of maintenance treatment were (partial) relapse or worsening of depressive symptoms, including suicidality. Additional reported adverse events leading to discontinuation were anxiety, (transient) confusional state, manic state, cognitive impairment, ketamine addiction, increased blood pressure, vertigo, and urinary problems. However, they were not often reported in the maintenance phase. Other reasons for discontinuation were classified as other or withdrawal by patient, without any further specification.

This Review has several limitations. The overall quality of the majority of the studies was considered low to moderate, with most reports having a high risk of bias in several domains. For example, most studies were uncontrolled and underpowered, and in many studies assessment and documentation of efficacy, safety, and tolerability were inadequate. Next, publication bias might account for some of the effects we observed, especially since we included case reports, case series, and unregistered trials. Third, as a limitation of the review process, some studies were included although it is doubtful whether the intervention should be categorised as maintenance treatment. For example, repeated oral ketamine for 6 weeks could be considered as both maintenance and initial treatment. Fourth, eight patients who were included in studies that were categorised as mid term (n=4) or long term (n=4) had to be excluded from the mid-term or long-term response rate calculations, because their follow-up was too short. Finally, clinical and methodological heterogeneity between the studies was high, hindering comparison of the results. Therefore, our results should be interpreted with caution.

### Conclusion

Despite the methodological limitations, we conclude that from a clinical view, there is therapeutic potential of maintenance ketamine treatment. The available data show sustained efficacy in the majority of initial responders while severe adverse events seem uncommon. Use of maintenance ketamine treatment could be considered analogous to maintenance electroconvulsive therapy, for which there are no clear clinical guidelines. Electroconvulsive therapy is currently offered in a selection of patients based on response and tolerability, and frequency of treatment is determined clinically on a case-by-case basis. Undoubtedly, there is a need for caution given the many unknowns regarding the longterm effects of ketamine treatment. However, we feel, with the current evidence, that for a select subset of patients, it is justifiable to offer maintenance ketamine treatment despite these unknowns, as there is no reasonable treatment alternative. Careful screening, management, and follow-up will be necessary, as will managing expectations about the potential of relapse and adverse events. Both controlled and uncontrolled (naturalistic) studies with long-term follow-up and sufficient power are needed to determine the position of maintenance ketamine treatment within routine clinical practice.

### Contributors

SYS-A, CE and DT completed the literature search and selected the included studies. SYS-A and JKEV extracted data and assessed the quality of the included studies. SYS-A synthesised and interpreted the data and wrote the manuscript. JKEV, JS, JK, and RAS critically reviewed the manuscript for intellectual content. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

### Declaration of interests

JKEV received a speaker's fee from Janssen Pharmaceuticals, outside the submitted work. RAS received research funding for two randomised clinical trials with generic oral esketamine from the Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development and the National Health Care Institute, a speaker's fee and investigator initiated research grant from Janssen Pharmaceuticals, and consultancy fees from GH Research, Beckley PsyTech, and QPS, outside the submitted work. The other authors declared no competing interests.

### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Charlotte E Eggers and David C Tanis for their help with the database search and eligibility assessment.

### References

- WHO, Media Centre. Depression Fact Sheet. Updated
   September 2021. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/depression (accessed June 14, 2022).
- 2 Rush AJ, Trivedi MH, Wisniewski SR, et al. Acute and longer-term outcomes in depressed outpatients requiring one or several treatment steps: a STAR\*D report. Am J Psychiatry 2006; 163: 1905–17.
- Bobo WV, Vande Voort JL, Croarkin PE, Leung JG, Tye SJ, Frye MA. Ketamine for treatment-resistant unipolar and bipolar major depression: critical review and implications for clinical practice. Depress Anxiety 2016; 33: 698–710.
- 4 Kryst J, Kawalec P, Mitoraj AM, et al. Efficacy of a single and repeated administration of ketamine in unipolar and bipolar depression: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Pharmacol Rep* 2020; 72: 543–62.
- 5 Papakostas GI. Maintaining rapid antidepressant effects following ketamine infusion: a major unmet need. J Clin Psychiatry 2020; 81: 19r12859

- 6 Morgan CJ, Meutzelfeldt L, Curran HV. Consequences of chronic ketamine self-administration upon neurocognitive function and psychological wellbeing: a 1-year longitudinal study. *Addiction* 2010; 105: 121–33
- 7 Morgan CJ, Curran HV, Independent scientific committee on drugs. Ketamine use: a review. Addiction 2012; 107: 27–38.
- 8 Strous JFM, Weeland CJ, Van der Draai FA, et al. Brain changes associated with long-term ketamine abuse, a systematic review. Front Neuroanat 2022; 16: 795231.
- 9 Zhu W, Ding Z, Zhang Y, Shi J, Hashimoto K, Lu L. Risks associated with misuse of ketamine as a rapid-acting antidepressant. *Neurosci Bull* 2016; 32: 557–64.
- 10 Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 2021; 372: n71.
- 11 Al Shirawi MI, Kennedy SH, Ho KT, Byrne R, Downar J. Oral ketamine in treatment-resistant depression: a clinical effectiveness case series. J Clin Psychopharmacol 2017; 37: 464–7.
- 12 Arabzadeh S, Hakkikazazi E, Shahmansouri N, et al. Does oral administration of ketamine accelerate response to treatment in major depressive disorder? Results of a double-blind controlled trial. J Affect Disord 2018; 235: 236–41.
- Archer S, Chrenek C, Swainson J. Maintenance ketamine therapy for treatment-resistant depression. J Clin Psychopharmacol 2018; 38: 380–84.
- 14 Barenboim I, Lafer B. Maintenance use of ketamine for treatmentresistant depression: an open-label pilot study. Braz J Psychiatry 2018: 40: 110.
- 15 Bartova L, Weidenauer A, Dold M, et al. Robust antidepressant effect following alternating intravenous racemic ketamine and electroconvulsive therapy in treatment-resistant depression: a case report. J ECT 2017; 33: 31–32.
- 16 Blier P, Zigman D, Blier J. On the safety and benefits of repeated intravenous injections of ketamine for depression. *Biol Psychiatry* 2012; 72: 11–2.
- Bryant KA, Altinay M, Finnegan N, Cromer K, Dale RM. Effects of repeated intravenous ketamine in treatment-resistant geriatric depression: a case series. J Clin Psychopharmacol 2019; 39: 158–61.
- 18 Chan LF, Eu CL, Soh SY, et al. Is ketamine the future clozapine for depression? A case series and literature review on maintenance ketamine in treatment-resistant depression with suicidal behavior. J Psychiatr Pract 2018; 24: 279–91.
- 19 Clark P. Treatment-refractory depression: a case of successful treatment with intranasal ketamine 10%. Ann Clin Psychiatry 2014; 26:142
- 20 Cusin C, Hilton GQ, Nierenberg AA, Fava M. Long-term maintenance with intramuscular ketamine for treatment-resistant bipolar II depression. Am J Psychiatry 2012; 169: 868–9.
- 21 Cusin C, Sakurai H, Bentley K, et al. All suicidal ideation is not created equal: two cases of suicide attempts during maintenance ketamine treatment. *Am J Psychiatry* 2020; 177: 173–4.
- 22 Dale RM, Bryant KA, Thompson NR. Metabolic syndrome rather than body mass index is associated with treatment response to ketamine infusions. J Clin Psychopharmacol 2020; 40: 75–9.
- 23 Daly EJ, Singh JB, Fedgchin M, et al. Efficacy and safety of intranasal esketamine adjunctive to oral antidepressant therapy in treatment-resistant depression: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Psychiatry 2018; 75: 139–48.
- 24 Daly EJ, Trivedi MH, Janik A, et al. Efficacy of esketamine nasal spray plus oral antidepressant treatment for relapse prevention in patients with treatment-resistant depression: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Psychiatry 2019; 76: 893–903.
- 25 De Gioannis A, De Leo D. Oral ketamine augmentation for chronic suicidality in treatment-resistant depression. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 2014: 48: 686–90.
- 26 Del Sant LC, Sarin LM, Magalhães EJM, et al. Effects of subcutaneous esketamine on blood pressure and heart rate in treatment-resistant depression. J Psychopharmacol 2020; 34: 1155–62.
- 27 Delfino RS, Del-Porto JA, Surjan J, et al. Comparative effectiveness of esketamine in the treatment of anhedonia in bipolar and unipolar depression. J Affect Disord 2021; 278: 515–8.

- 28 Grande LA. Sublingual ketamine for rapid relief of suicidal ideation. Prim Care Companion CNS Disord 2017; 19: 16102012.
- 29 Grott Zanicotti C, Perez D, Glue P. Mood and pain responses to repeat dose intramuscular ketamine in a depressed patient with advanced cancer. J Palliat Med 2012; 15: 400–3.
- 30 Grott Zanicotti C, Perez D, Glue P. Case report: long-term mood response to repeat dose intramuscular ketamine in a depressed patient with advanced cancer. J Palliat Med 2013; 16: 719–20.
- 31 Hartberg J, Garrett-Walcott S, De Gioannis A. Impact of oral ketamine augmentation on hospital admissions in treatmentresistant depression and PTSD: a retrospective study. *Psychopharmacology* 2018; 235: 393–98.
- 32 Jafarinia M, Afarideh M, Tafakhori A, et al. Efficacy and safety of oral ketamine versus diclofenac to alleviate mild to moderate depression in chronic pain patients: a double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. J Affect Disord 2016; 204: 1–8.
- 33 Kwon JH, Sim WS, Hong JP, Song IS, Lee JY. Intravenous ketamine infusion for a patient with treatment-resistant major depression: a 10-month follow-up. J Clin Pharm Ther 2018; 43: 581–83.
- 34 Lara DR, Bisol LW, Munari LR. Antidepressant, mood stabilizing and procognitive effects of very low dose sublingual ketamine in refractory unipolar and bipolar depression. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 2013; 16: 2111–17.
- 35 Lascelles K, Marzano L, Brand F, Trueman H, McShane R, Hawton K. Ketamine treatment for individuals with treatmentresistant depression: longitudinal qualitative interview study of patient experiences. BJPsych Open 2021; 7: 1–8.
- 36 Lee V, Archer S, Chrenek C, Swainson J. A response to: repeated intranasal ketamine for treatment resistant depression: the way to go? Results from a pilot randomised controlled trial. J Psychopharmacol 2019; 33: 258–59.
- 37 McNulty JP, Hahn K. Compounded oral ketamine. Int J Pharm Compd 2012; 16: 364–68.
- 38 Medeiros da Frota Ribeiro C, Sanacora G, Hoffman R, Ostroff R. The use of ketamine for the treatment of depression in the context of psychotic symptoms. *Biol Psychiatry* 2016; 79: 65–66.
- 39 Medeiros da Frota Ribeiro C, Sanacora G, Ostroff R. Reply to: ketamine and psychosis history: antidepressant efficacy and psychotomimetic effects postinfusion. *Biol Psychiatry* 2017; 82: e37.
- 40 Medeiros da Frota Ribeiro C, Riva-Posse P. Use of ketamine in elderly patients with treatment-resistant depression. Curr Psychiatry Rep 2017; 19: 107–11.
- Messer MM, Haller IV. Maintenance ketamine treatment produces long-term recovery from depression. *Primary Psychiatry* 2010; 17: 48–50.
- 42 Messer MM, Haller IV. Ketamine therapy for treatment-resistant depression in a patient with multiple sclerosis: a case report. *Innov Clin Neurosci* 2017; 14: 56–9.
- 43 Nguyen L, Marshalek PJ, Weaver CB, Cramer KJ, Pollard SE, Matsumoto RR. Off-label use of transmucosal ketamine as a rapidacting antidepressant: a retrospective chart review. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat* 2015; 11: 2667–73.
- 44 Phillips JL, Norris S, Talbot J, et al. Single, repeated, and maintenance ketamine infusions for treatment-resistant depression: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Psychiatry 2019; 176: 401–9.
- 45 Phillips JL, Norris S, Talbot J, et al. Single and repeated ketamine infusions for reduction of suicidal ideation in treatment-resistant depression. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2020; 45: 606–12.
- 46 Sakurai H, Jain F, Foster S, et al. Long-term outcome in outpatients with depression treated with acute and maintenance intravenous ketamine: a retrospective chart review. J Affect Disord 2020; 276: 660–6.
- 47 Schwartz T, Trunko ME, Feifel D, et al. A longitudinal case series of IM ketamine for patients with severe and enduring eating disorders and comorbid treatment-resistant depression. Clin Case Rep 2021; 9: e03869.

- 48 Starr HL, Abell J, Larish A, et al. Self-reported review of the value of esketamine in patients with treatment-resistant depression: understanding the patient experience in the STRIVE study. Psychiatry Res 2020; 293: 113376.
- 49 Stultz DJ, Stanley N, Gills T, et al. Three months of treatment with esketamine: effects on depression, insomnia, and weight. Prim Care Companion CNS Disord 2020; 22: 19l02555.
- 50 Szymkowicz SM, Finnegan N, Dale RM. A 12-month naturalistic observation of three patients receiving repeat intravenous ketamine infusions for their treatment-resistant depression. J Affect Disord 2013; 147: 416–20.
- 51 Vande Voort JL, Morgan RJ, Kung S, et al. Continuation phase intravenous ketamine in adults with treatment-resistant depression. J Affect Disord 2016; 206: 300–04.
- 52 Veraart JKE, Kamphuis J, Schlegel M, Schoevers RA. Oral S-ketamine effective after deep brain stimulation in severe treatment-resistant depression and extensive comorbidities. BMJ Case Rep 2021; 14: 238135.
- 53 Wajs E, Aluisio L, Holder R, et al. Esketamine nasal spray plus oral antidepressant in patients with treatment-resistant depression: assessment of long-term safety in a phase 3, open-label study (SUSTAIN-2). J Clin Psychiatry 2020; 81: 19m12891.
- 54 Wilkinson ST, Katz RB, Toprak M, Webler R, Ostroff RB, Sanacora G. Acute and longer-term outcomes using ketamine as a clinical treatment at the Yale psychiatric hospital. J Clin Psychiatry 2018; 79: 17m11731.
- 55 Zhang MW, Harris KM, Ho RC. Is off-label repeat prescription of ketamine as a rapid antidepressant safe? Controversies, ethical concerns, and legal implications. BMC Med Ethics 2016; 17: 4–11.
- 56 Li L, Vlisides PE. Ketamine: 50 years of modulating the mind. Front Hum Neurosci 2016: 10: 612–26.
- 57 Andrade C. Ketamine for depression, 4: in what dose, at what rate, by what route, for how long, and at what frequency?
  J Clin Psychiatry 2017; 78: 852–57.
- 58 Glue P, Russell B, Medlicott NJ. Influence of formulation and route of administration on ketamine's safety and tolerability: systematic review. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2021; 77: 671–76.
- 59 Zhang K, Hashimoto K. An update on ketamine and its two enantiomers as rapid-acting antidepressants. Expert Rev Neurother 2019; 19: 83–92.
- 60 Jelen LA, Young AH, Stone JM. Ketamine: a tale of two enantiomers. J Psychopharmacol 2021; 35: 109–23.
- 61 Zhang JC, Yao W, Hashimoto K. Arketamine, a new rapid-acting antidepressant: a historical review and future directions. *Neuropharmacology* 2022; 218: 109219.
- 62 Williams N, Simpson AN, Simpson K, Nahas Z. Relapse rates with long-term antidepressant drug therapy: a meta-analysis. *Hum Psychopharmacol* 2009; 45: 401–08.
- 63 Short B, Fong J, Galvez V, et al. Side-effects associated with ketamine use in depression: a systematic review. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2018; 5: 65–78.
- 64 Feifel D, Dadiomov D, Lee KC. Safety of repeated administration of parenteral ketamine for depression. *Pharmaceuticals* 2020; 13: 151–62.
- 65 Souza-Marques B, Santos-Lima C, Araújo-de-Freitas L, et al. Neurocognitive effects of ketamine and esketamine for treatmentresistant major depressive disorder: a systematic review. Harv Rev Psychiatry 2021; 29: 340–50.

Copyright © 2022 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.