

## University of Groningen

### A little less saturation?

Ligtenberg, Jack J. M.; Stolmeijer, Renate; Broekema, Josien J.; ter Maaten, J.C.; Zijlstra, Jan G.

*Published in:*  
Critical Care

*DOI:*  
[10.1186/cc12726](https://doi.org/10.1186/cc12726)

**IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.**

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*  
2013

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Ligtenberg, J. J. M., Stolmeijer, R., Broekema, J. J., ter Maaten, J. C., & Zijlstra, J. G. (2013). A little less saturation? *Critical Care*, 17(3), [439]. <https://doi.org/10.1186/cc12726>

#### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

#### Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

*Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.*

## LETTER

# A little less saturation?

Jack JM Ligtenberg<sup>\*1</sup>, Renate Stolmeijer<sup>1</sup>, Josien J Broekema<sup>1</sup>, Jan C ter Maaten<sup>1</sup> and Jan G Zijlstra<sup>2</sup>

See related viewpoint by Cornet *et al.*, <http://ccforum.com/content/17/2/313>

In the previous issue of *Critical Care*, Alexander Cornet and colleagues reasoned quite convincingly that the liberal use of supplemental oxygen, which is common in the resuscitation of critically ill patients, may be detrimental instead of beneficial [1].

The Surviving Sepsis Campaign guidelines do not give specific recommendations about the amount of oxygen to be administered for patients with severe sepsis or septic shock; instead, they advise one to maintain peripheral oxygen saturation between 88 and 95% [2]. Most pre-hospital and emergency department (ED) sepsis protocols administer 15 l oxygen/minute with a nonrebreather mask or 10 l oxygen/minute with a VentiMask (Intersurgical Ltd, Wokingham, Berkshire, UK), resulting in an inspired oxygen fraction (FiO<sub>2</sub>) of respectively ±0.6 to 0.8 and 0.4.

Although evidence is accumulating that hyperoxia is disadvantageous in more instances than only after cardiac arrest [3], this topic has not been investigated in sepsis patients. We decided to reduce the FiO<sub>2</sub> in our ED sepsis protocol from 0.6–0.8 to 0.4 and subsequently evaluated the incidence of hyperoxia and hypoxia in sepsis patients admitted to the ED [4]. Only 7% of all patients showed hypoxia and needed a nonrebreather mask with 15 l oxygen/minute; most of these patients had a positive history for pulmonary diseases. Of the patients treated with a FiO<sub>2</sub> of 0.4, 64% still showed hyperoxia (defined as PaO<sub>2</sub> >13.5 kPa). Decreasing the FiO<sub>2</sub> therefore seems justified and appears to be safe; the small portion of patients with hypoxia can be identified within 5 minutes and oxygen can be increased immediately.

We agree with Cornet and colleagues that oxygen should be titrated to normoxia (with the use of the point-of-care blood gas analyser), also with oxygen use for sepsis patients admitted to the ED. However, serious action will be required to abolish the widespread attitude that one cannot get enough oxygen.

### Abbreviations

ED, emergency department; FiO<sub>2</sub>, inspired oxygen fraction.

### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Emergency Department, University Medical Center Groningen, PO Box 30001, 9700RB Groningen, The Netherlands. <sup>2</sup>Department of Critical Care, University Medical Center Groningen, PO Box 30001, 9700RB Groningen, The Netherlands.

Published: 19 June 2013

### References

1. Cornet AD, Kooter AJ, Peters MJL, Smulders YM: **The potential harm of oxygen therapy in medical emergencies.** *Crit Care* 2013, **17**:313.
2. Dellinger RP, Levy MM, Rhodes A, Annane D, Gerlach H, Opal SM, Sevransky JE, Sprung CL, Douglas IS, Jaeschke R, Osborn TM, Nunnally ME, Townsend SR, Reinhart K, Kleinpell RM, Angus DC, Deutschman CS, Machado FR, Rubenfeld GD, Webb SA, Beale RJ, Vincent JL, Moreno R; Surviving Sepsis Campaign Guidelines Committee including the Pediatric Subgroup: **Surviving Sepsis Campaign: international guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock: 2012.** *Crit Care Med* 2013, **41**:580-637.
3. O'Driscoll R: **Emergency oxygen use.** *BMJ* 2012, **345**:e6856.
4. Stolmeijer R, ter Maaten JC, Zijlstra JG, Ligtenberg JJM: **Oxygen therapy for sepsis patients in the emergency department; a little less?** *Eur J Emerg Med* 2013. [Epub ahead of print]

doi:10.1186/cc12726

Cite this article as: Ligtenberg JJM, *et al.*: A little less saturation? *Critical Care* 2013, **17**:439.

\*Correspondence: [jjm.ligtenberg@umcg.nl](mailto:jjm.ligtenberg@umcg.nl)

<sup>1</sup>Emergency Department, University Medical Center Groningen, PO Box 30001, 9700RB Groningen, The Netherlands

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article