

University of Groningen

Can I handle the scalpel?

Nieboer, Patrick; Cnossen, Fokeltje; Bulstra, Sjoerd; Stevens, Martin ; Jaarsma, Debbie

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2016

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Nieboer, P., Cnossen, F., Bulstra, S., Stevens, M., & Jaarsma, D. (2016). *Can I handle the scalpel? Different views on critical subtask assessment between residents and expert surgeons*. Poster session presented at International Meeting for Behavioural Sciences Applied to Surgery and Acute Care Settings, Aberdeen, United Kingdom.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Can I handle the scalpel? Different views on critical subtask assessment between residents and expert surgeons

Patrick Nieboer, MD
Sjoerd Bulstra, MD, PhD
Martin Stevens, PhD
University medical Centre Groningen, Dep. of orthopaedic surgery

Debbie Jaarsma, PhD
University medical Centre Groningen, Dep. of medical education

Fokke Cnossen, PhD
University of Groningen, Center of artificial intelligence and cognitive engineering.

Introduction

- **Experts** have **two roles** once they entrust residents to 'handle the scalpel' in the operation theatre:
 - As a **teacher**: Allowing the resident to **progress to autonomous** task performance.
 - As an **expert**: Striving for the **best possible outcome** for the patient.
- **Residents** have **two goals** as **learners** in the operation theatre:
 - 'Handle the scalpel' **as long as possible**.
 - Elicit expert information** when their **expertise becomes insufficient**.
- **Complex or critical subtasks execution has an effect on** :
 - Experts**: **outcome** becomes **more important** than **teaching**.
 - Residents**: they need **teachers** even more to 'keep the scalpel' themselves.

Objective

What is the **complexity** of all the **different subtask** of a **single** orthopaedic surgical procedure:

a. According to the **experts**?

b. According to the **residents**?

Methods

- **56 subtasks** were identified in an **uncemented total hip replacement** procedure for osteoarthritis.
- 21 residents and 17 experts rated **how much attention they need for every subtask** of the procedure on a **5-point Likert scale**.
- **High attention sub tasks** were defined as a median attention score of **4 or more**.
- We asked the participants to provide **arguments for high attention scores**.

Results

- Both experts and residents expected to need **normal attention for the majority** of the subtasks.
- **Experts** had:
 - High attention scores on 12 subtasks** (table).
 - Frequent **Arguments**: '**Crucial for end result**', '**Essential for outcome**', '**Prevention of complications such as luxation**'.
- **Residents** had:
 - High attention scores on 8 subtasks** (table).
 - Frequent **Arguments**: '**Little room for error**', '**Is difficult**', '**Must be perfect**'.
- The **majority** of these **critical tasks** can be characterised as '**point of no return**' **judgment and decision making** (table).

table: High attention subtasks of experts and residents

	Subtask	Skill (predominantly)	Experts High attention	Residents High attention
1	Assessing angle and height of collum osteotomy femur	Judgement / Decision making	Yes	Yes
2	Exposing acetabulum with homan retractors / pins	Motor	Yes	
3	Reaming acetabulum in right angle and volume	Motor + Judgement / Decision making	Yes	Yes
4	Testing trial cup acetabulum and assess definite size	Judgement / Decision making	Yes	Yes
5	Insert cup acetabulum	Motor	Yes	Yes
6	Assessing cup position acetabulum	Judgement / Decision making	Yes	Yes
7	Assessing direction of broaching femur	Judgement / Decision making	Yes	
8	Assess position trial prosthesis and determine off set femur	Judgement / Decision making	Yes	Yes
9	Assessing definitive stem size femur	Judgement / Decision making	Yes	
10	Definitive stem placement femur	Motor	Yes	
11	Assessing definitive stem position and anteversion angle	Judgement / Decision making	Yes	Yes
12	Assessing definitive position, stability and length uncemented	Judgement / Decision making	Yes	Yes
	Total		12	8

Conclusion and discussion:

- **Experts' high attention** for subtasks was different from **residents'**:
 - Experts**: effects on patient **outcome and complications**.
 - Residents**: more **anxious** about their own **task performance**.
- **Critical subtasks** are characterised by **judgment and decision making** as key competences.
- **Experts and residents** might both **benefit** when they **identify and discuss critical and complex subtasks before** going into the operation theatre, because:
 - Experts as teachers** can adapt their guiding strategies to the residents' needs.
 - Residents as learners** need to appreciate when the focus of experts shifts towards patient outcome rather than to teaching.