

Article Info

jans.v15i1.4038

https://doi.org/10.31018/

Revised: January 8, 2023

Accepted: January 14, 2023

Received: September 13, 2022

Research Article

Physiological response and productivity of aerobic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) to iron fertilization in typic Ustifluvents soil

Chitteti Shalini

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar-608002 (Tamil Nadu), India

R. Manivannan*

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar-608002 (Tamil Nadu), India

M.V. Sriramachandrasekharan

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar-608002 (Tamil Nadu), India

P. Senthilvalavan

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar-608002 (Tamil Nadu), India

C. Ravikumar

Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar-608002 (Tamil Nadu), India

*Corresponding author. E mail: rengamanivannan@gmail.com

How to Cite

Shalini, C. *et al.* (2023). Physiological response and productivity of aerobic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) to iron fertilization in typic Ustifluvents soil. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, 15(1), 23 - 28. https://doi.org/10.31018/jans.v15i1.4038

Abstract

Aerobic rice is projected as a sustainable rice production technology for the immediate future to address water scarcity and environmental safety. Micronutrient deficiency, particularly iron is one of the main factors responsible for low productivity in aerobic rice. With this perspective, a field experiment was conducted at farmer's field in 2022 at Kuttalam, Mayladuthurai district, Tamilnadu, in sandy clay loam (Padugai Series – Typic Ustifluvents) to predict the response of aerobic rice (*Oryza sativa*) to iron nutrition. The experiment was laid out in randomised block design with eleven treatments (Recommended dose of fertilizers (RDF) NPK only (control)(T₁), (RDF) + FeSO₄ @ 25 kg ha⁻¹ (SA) (T₂), RDF + FeSO₄ 37.5 kg ha⁻¹ (SA)(T₃), RDF+ FeSO₄ @ 15 kg ha⁻¹ (SA) + FeSO₄ @ 1% (FS)(T₅), RDF+ FeSO₄ 37.5 kg ha⁻¹ (SA) + FeSO₄ @ 1% (FS)(T₆), RDF+ FeSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹ (SA) + FeSO₄ @ 1% (FS)(T₇), RDF+ FeSO₄ @ 25 kg ha⁻¹ (SA) + Fe-EDTA @ 0.5% (FS) (T₈), RDF+ FeSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹ (SA) + Fe-EDTA @ 0.5% (FS) (T₉), RDF+ FeSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹ (SA) + Fe-EDTA @ 0.5% (FS) (T₉), RDF+ FeSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹ (SA) + Fe-EDTA @ 0.5% (FS) (T₁₀) and RDF + Seed priming 0.05M Fe-EDTA(T₁₁)) and replicated thrice. The results revealed that application of FeSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹ (SA) + Fe-EDTA @ 0.5% (FS) with RDF recorded the highest growth and yield parameters and the highest grain (3438 kg ha⁻¹) and straw yield (5078 kg ha⁻¹) compared to other treatments including control. This study concluded that iron fertilization through the soil and foliar application could enhance aerobic rice productivity.

Keywords: Aerobic rice, Growth parameters, Iron fertilization, Yield attributes, Yield

INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the world's second most important cereal crop. Rice accounts for about 59.5% of food grain production and 39.5% of cereal production in India. India produced 275.68 million tonnes of food grains with a major contribution from rice, i.e. 110.15 million tonnes (Pradhan *et al.*, 2020) but the productivity of milled rice is still much low, i.e. 2,404 kg/ha Water gets depleted in many rice growing countries due

to the removal of excess water particularly in China and India, where competing and increasing demands for freshwater are coming from other sectors In the idea of efficient resource use hierarchy, water crisis threatens the lowland rice production and necessitates the adoption of water saving irrigation technology. There is a need to economise water usage in rice production. The aerobic rice system (ARS) is a new production system in which rice is grown under non-puddled, non-flooded and non-saturated soil conditions as other upland crops

This work is licensed under Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0). © : Author (s). Publishing rights @ ANSF.

(Prasad, 2011; Bouman, 2001; Tuong and Bouman, 2003).

For sustainable aerobic rice cultivation, there is a need to find effective micronutrient management, especially iron (Fe). Iron is an important micronutrient because it plays a crucial role in the metabolic processes, DNA synthesis, respiration and photosynthesis. It is involved in the synthesis of chlorophyll and the maintenance of chlorophyll structure.(Gyana et al., 2015;Gangadi Kalyan et al.,2020). Iron can act as electron carriers in the enzyme systems, bringing about oxidation-reduction reactions in rice (Jones and Benton, 2012; Meghana et al.,2019). Iron deficiency is major concern and critical to yield realization of aerobic rice ecosystem (Soumya et al. 2017); and rice grown in problem soils (Gao et al.,2022). The presence of micronutrient deficiency renders it impossible to gain maximum benefit from NPK fertilizers application in aerobic rice production. With this background, the present investigation was carried out to study the effect of iron nutrition on growth, yield attributes and yield of aerobic rice (Oryza sativa L.) in iron-deficient sandy clay loam soil.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted in the farmer's field at Kuttalam, Tamil Nadu, in 2022 in sandy clay loam (Padugai Series- Typic Ustifluvents) in navarai season (January - May) to assess the iron nutrition on growth, yield attributes and yield in aerobic rice (O. sativa). The experimental soil was sandy clay loam (Typic Ustifluvents). The treatments consisted of T₁- NPK only (control), T₂- RDF + FeSO₄ @ 25 kg ha⁻¹ (SA), T₃-RDF + FeSO₄ 37.5 kg ha⁻¹ (SA), T₄- RDF+ FeSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹ (SA), T₅- RDF+ FeSO₄ @ 25 kg ha⁻¹ (SA) + FeSO₄ @ 1% (FS), T₆- RDF+ FeSO₄ 37.5 kg ha⁻¹ (SA) + FeSO₄ @ 1% (FS) , T₇ - RDF+ FeSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹ (SA) + FeSO₄ @ 1% (FS), T₈ - RDF+ FeSO₄ @ 25 kg ha⁻¹(SA) + Fe-EDTA @ 0.5% (FS), T₉- RDF+ FeSO₄ 37.5 kg ha⁻¹ (SA) + Fe-EDTA @ 0.5% (FS), T₁₀- RDF+ FeSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹ (SA) + Fe-EDTA @ 0.5% (FS) and T₁₁- RDF + Seed priming 0.05M Fe-EDTA. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with three replications.. The initial soil sample was with pH (6.9), EC (0.23), available nitrogen $(232.3 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$, available phosphorus (16.1 kg ha⁻¹), available potassium (270.5 kg ha⁻¹) and available iron (2.6 mg kg⁻¹).

The experimental field was initially ploughed to remove all the weeds and other plant residues of the previous crop. The second ploughing was done 15 days after the first ploughing and light irrigation was applied to the field to enhance the germination of weed seeds and seeds of the previous crop. The third ploughing was done 3 days before sowing to destroy the weeds and previous crop. Level the field with leveller in order to prepare good seed bed for the smooth germination of rice seeds. All the plots received uniform doses of NPK (100: 50:50 kg ha⁻¹). Iron sulphate was applied as basal as per the treatment schedule. Foliar spray of FeSO4 @ 1 % and Fe-EDTA @ 0.5% was applied thrice per the treatment schedule at tillering, pre-flowering and flowering stages, respectively. The seeds were soaked in a wet gunny bag for 24 hours for sprouting. The seed priming was done for the respective treatment Fe-EDTA @ 0.05 M. The sprouted seeds were broadcasted in respective plots.

Irrigation for the field was provided to maintain saturation conditions and to overcome water stress, especially during critical growth stages of the crop. Irrigation was done once a week to keep the soil moist. The bracketpre-emergence herbicide 3.3 lit/ha of Pendimethalin @ 1 kg a.i ha⁻¹ 30 EC was applied 2 days after sowing. Bispyribac sodium @ 0.025 kg a.i ha⁻¹ (250 ml ha⁻¹) was sprayed 15 days after sowing. Hand weeding was done at 35 DAS. The plant samples were collected at 35 DAS, 75 DAS and harvest stages to observe the growth parameters. The yield attributes, grain and straw yield, were recorded at the harvest stage of aerobic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physiological responses

Application of iron through soil alone or soil and foliar significantly (p=0.05) increased the growth parameters and yield attributes over control (Table 1, 2). Among the different levels of iron application, the highest growth parameters viz., plant height (64.2 cm), no. of tillers/hill(10.3), leaf area index (LAI) of 3.40, chlorophyll content (36.8 SPAD), crop growth rate (CGR) 8.20 g m⁻² d⁻¹, relative growth rate of (RGR)23.07 mg g⁻ ¹ d⁻¹ ,net assimilation rate(NAR) of 1.17 g dm⁻² d⁻¹, drymatter production(DMP) of 4180 kg ha⁻¹, and yield components viz., number of panicles m⁻²(187.9), number of grains panicle⁻¹(124.9) and panicle length (21.5) cm) were recorded in RDF+ FeSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹ (T_4) in aerobic rice. The efficient iron management with nitrogen might have helped in inducing vegetative growth leading to better interception of photosynthetically active radiation and greater photosynthesis by rice crop resulted in highest number of tillers hill⁻¹ (Suresh and Salakinkop, 2016; Pradhan et al., 2020). The increase in the panicle length might be due to higher photosynthates sinking due to higher chlorophyll content facilitated by iron nutrition.

The application of iron through soil + foliar recorded higher growth parameters and yield attributes than soil application alone. The highest growth parameters viz., plant height (81.4cm), no. of productive tillers/hill(14.1), chlorophyll content(47.5 SPAD value), CGR (10.44 g

		0 1						
Treatments	Plant height (cm)	No. of productive tillers/hill	LAI	Chlorophyll content (SPAD)	CGR (g m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	RGR (mg g ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)	NAR (g dm ⁻² d ⁻¹)	DMP kg ha ⁻¹
T ₁ - NPK only (control)	52.6	8.0	2.16	31.4	6.88	20.53	1.08	3408
T ₂ - RDF+FeSO ₄ @ 25 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA)	59.6	9.4	2.92	34.8	7.35	21.49	1.14	3784
T ₃ - RDF+FeSO ₄ @ 37.5 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA)	61.3	9.6	3.01	35.2	7.57	21.91	1.15	3879
T ₄ - RDF+FeSO ₄ @ 50 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA)	64.2	10.3	3.40	36.8	8.20	23.07	1.17	4180
T_5 - RDF+FeSO ₄ @ 25 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA) + FeSO ₄ @ 1% (FS)	67.0	10.8	3.73	38.5	8.53	23.63	1.19	4381
T ₆ - RDF+FeSO₄ @ 37.5 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA) + FeSO₄ @ 1% (FS)	72.7	11.8	4.43	41.7	9.16	24.78	1.23	4855
T ₇ - RDF+FeSO ₄ @ 50 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA) + FeSO ₄ @ 1% (FS)	78.4	12.7	5.11	45.1	9.78	25.62	1.27	5147
T ₈ - RDF+FeSO ₄ @ 25 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA) + Fe-EDTA 0.5% (FS)	69.9	11.3	4.07	42.9	8.93	24.31	1.21	4614
T ₉ -RDF+FeSO₄@ 37.5 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA)+Fe-EDTA@0.5% (FS)	76.6	12.4	5.18	44.3	9.58	25.31	1.25	5022
T ₁₀ - RDF+FeSO₄ @50kg ha ⁻¹ (SA)+Fe- EDTA@0.5% (FS)	81.4	14.1	6.39	47.5	10.44	26.55	1.31	5453
T ₁₁ - RDF + Seed priming @ 0.05M Fe-EDTA	55.4	8.6	2.46	33.5	7.12	21.02	1.11	3592
C.D (p= 0.05)	2.61	0.49	0.17	1.24	0.23	0.45	0.012	151.5

Table 1. Effect of iron fertilization on growth parameters in aerobic rice

RDF – Recommended dose of fertilizer; SA- Soil application; FS- Foliar sprays; LAI- Leaf area index; CGR- Crop growth rate; RGR-Relative growth rate; NAR-Net assimilation rate; DM- Dry matter production

Table 2. Effect of iron fertilization on yield attributes in aerobic rice

Treatments	Number of panicles m ⁻²	Number of grains panicle ⁻¹	Panicle length (cm)
T ₁ - NPK only (control)	177.4	106.8	18.5
T ₂ - RDF+FeSO ₄ @ 25 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA)	183.4	116.7	20.1
T ₃ - RDF+FeSO ₄ @ 37.5 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA)	185.1	119.1	20.7
T ₄ - RDF+FeSO ₄ @ 50 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA)	187.9	124.9	21.5
T ₅ - RDF+FeSO ₄ @ 25 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA) + FeSO ₄ @ 1% (FS)	190.8	130.6	22.3
T ₆ - RDF+FeSO ₄ @ 37.5 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA) + FeSO ₄ @ 1%(FS)	197.2	142.6	23.9
T ₇ - RDF+FeSO ₄ @ 50 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA) + FeSO ₄ @ 1% (FS)	202.4	150.8	25.1
T ₈ - RDF+FeSO ₄ @ 25 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA) + Fe-EDTA @ 0.5% (FS)	194.6	136.6	23.1
T ₉ -RDF+FeSO₄ @37.5 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA) + Fe-EDTA @0.5%(FS)	200.6	148.3	24.6
T ₁₀ - RDF+FeSO₄ @50 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA)+ Fe-EDTA @ 0.5%(FS)	205.6	156.3	25.8
T ₁₁ - RDF + Seed priming @ 0.05M Fe-EDTA	180.8	110.9	19.2
C.D(p= 0.05)	2.36	3.63	0.61

* RDF – Recommended dose of fertilizer; SA- Soil application; FS- Foliar sprays at tillering, preflowering and flowering stages



Fig. 1. Linear relationship between nutrient uptake with grain yield

 $m^{-2} d^{-1}$), RGR(26.55 mg $g^{-1} d^{-1}$), NAR(1.31 g dm⁻² d⁻¹), DMP(5453 kg ha⁻¹), yield components viz., number of panicles $m^{-2}(205.6)$, number of grains panicle⁻¹(156.3) and panicle length (25.8 cm) were registered in FeSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹ (SA) + Fe-EDTA @ 0.5% (FS) with RDF (T_{10}) . The increase in the plant height might be owing to an adequate quantity of iron supplied to crops as per need during the critical stage through soil and foliar. Higher plant height attained may be due to better internode elongation and good vegetative growth throughout the crop cycle (Das et al. 2016). Iron is involved in many enzymatic reactions as a catalyst in various growth processes, hormone production and protein synthesis, which might have resulted in more grains panicle⁻¹(Baishya *et al.* 2016). The application of iron increased the enzymatic reaction and improvement of chlorophyll content which facilitated the higher CGR, RGR and NAR in rice. Dry matter production (DMP) at various growth stages increased with the iron application (Rakesh et al., 2012) which may be due to the involvement of iron in rice metabolism.

that helps in flower initiation in many tillers and increased synthesis of organic compounds by the increased photosynthesis, plants use these organic compounds for cell division and multiplication that in turn resulted in increased yield parameters (Meghana et al., 2019). The increased growth parameters might be attributed to the higher response of rice to iron fertilization involved in electron transport in photosynthesis and as a constituent of porphorins and ferredoxins (Vikash Kumar et al., 2015). Iron fertilization may have significantly improved plant growth by enhancing photosynthesis and root growth. This improved plant growth might be the reason for higher values of yield attributes with iron fertilization. The results obtained in the present study were confirmed by a significant positive correlation between plant height r= (0.834^{**}) , tillering count r= (0.841^{**}) , LAI r= (0.832^{**}) , chlorophyll content r=(0.781**). CGR (r=0.828**), RGR (r=0.824**) and NAR (r=0.842**) DMP r=(0.824**) with available iron. Similarly positive correlation between iron uptake with number of panicles m⁻² r= (0.996^{**}) , number of grains panicle⁻¹ r= (0.995^{**}) and

Better enhancement of the metabolic activity of rice

Treatments	Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹)	% increase over control	Straw yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	% increase over control
T ₁ - NPK only (control)	2293	-	3552	-
T ₂ - RDF +FeSO ₄ @ 25 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA)	2538	10.7	3855	8.5
T ₃ - RDF+FeSO ₄ @ 37.5 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA)	2624	14.4	3987	12.3
T₄ - RDF+FeSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹ (SA)	2748	19.8	4145	16.7
T ₅ - RDF+FeSO ₄ @ 25 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA) + FeSO ₄ @ 1% (FS)	2864	24.9	4304	21.2
T ₆ - RDF+FeSO ₄ @ 37.5 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA) + FeSO ₄ @ 1% (FS)	3098	35.1	4624	30.2
T ₇ - RDF+FeSO ₄ @ 50 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA) + FeSO ₄ @ 1% (FS)	3321	44.8	4909	38.2
T ₈ - RDF+FeSO ₄ @ 25 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA) + Fe-EDTA @ 0.5% (FS)	2980	30.0	4461	25.6
T_9 - RDF+FeSO_4 @ 37.5 kg ha $^{-1}$ (SA)+ Fe-EDTA @ 0.5%(FS)	3217	40.3	4773	34.4
T ₁₀ - RDF+FeSO ₄ @ 50 kg ha ⁻¹ (SA) + Fe-EDTA @ 0.5%(FS)	3438	49.9	5078	43.0
T ₁₁ - RDF + Seed priming @ 0.05M Fe-EDTA	2416	5.4	3701	4.2
C.D(p= 0.05)	110.5	-	143.4	-

* RDF – Recommended dose of fertilizer; SA- Soil application; FS- Foliar sprays at tillering, preflowering and flowering stages

panicle length r=(0.991**).

Rice yield

Application of iron either through soil or soil and foliar significantly increased the grain and straw yield over control (Table 3). Among the different levels of iron application through soil alone, the highest grain (2748 kg ha⁻¹) and straw yield(4145 kg ha⁻¹) was noticed in FeSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹ (T₄) caused 19.8% and 16.7% increase over control in grain and straw yield respectively. The treatment (T₃) FeSO₄ @ 37.5 kg ha⁻¹ was on par with (T₂) FeSO₄ @ 25 kg ha⁻¹. Better response of rice to iron application was due to low initial status in the experimental site. Soil application of iron @ 50 kg ha⁻¹ to rice with RDF could be advantageous for profuse vegetative and root growth which activates higher absorption of nutrients from soil. Further improved metabolic activity due to iron application reflected in higher grain and straw yield in rice. In addition to that, higher absorption due to the foliar application of iron and loading of the iron nutrient into the edible parts of the plants, and higher translocation of Fe to economic parts may be attributed to higher grain yield (Prom U Thai et al., 2020).

Grain yield of the rice plant increased further with soil and foliar application than with soil alone. Among different levels and sources of foliar application of iron, the highest grain yield (3438 kg ha⁻¹) and (5078 kg ha⁻¹) was noticed in FeSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹ + Fe-EDTA (FS) @ 0.5% (T₁₀), 3 foliar sprays at tillering, pre-flowering and flowering stages which were significantly superior over rest of the treatments. The best treatment caused 49.9 % and 43.0% increase over control in grain and straw yield, respectively. Applying iron through foliar spray with chelates resulted in a faster supply of soluble iron than soil application alone, which ultimately resulted in higher grain yield. Applying iron in aerobic rice improved the DMP, eventually leading to increased straw yield (Vikashkumar et al., 2015). Higher grain and straw yield with iron nutrition was mainly due to higher crop growth with more number of effective tillers, a higher number of filled grains, more panicle length, thousandgrain weight and an increased supply of photosynthates from source to sink (Soumya et al., 2017; Shaygany et al., 2012; Yadav et al., 2013). It was confirmed by a significant positive correlation of grain yield with available iron r=(0.834**). Similarly, there was a significant positive linear relationship between available iron and iron uptake with grain yield (Fig.1).

Conclusion

Based on the findings of this study, it can be concluded that to attain higher productivity in aerobic rice, *O. sativa*, the application of iron through $FeSO_4 @ 50 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$

+ Fe-EDTA (FS) @ $0.5\%(T_{10})$ may be recommended. The increase in the grain yield in combined treatments may be due to the general improvement in the nutritional state of the plant along with major nutrients. However, applying iron through the soil and foliar can be a better choice than soil alone to attain higher growth, yield attributes and yield of aerobic rice, *O. sativa* in iron-deficient sandy clay loam soil.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Baishya, L.K., Sarkar, D., Ansari, M. A., Singh, K.R., Meitei C.B. & Prakash. N. (2016). Effect of micronutrients, organic manures and lime on bio-fortified rice production in acid soils of Eastern Himalayan region. *Ecol. Environ. Conserv.*, 22(1), 199-206.
- Bouman, B. A. M. & Tuong. T.P. (2001). Field water management to save water and increase its productivity in irrigated rice. *Agrl Water Manag.*, 49,11-30.
- Das, L., Kumar, R., Kumar, V. and & Kumar. N. (2016). Effect of moisture regimes and levels of iron on growth and yield of rice under aerobic condition. *The Bioscan*, 11 (4), pp.2475-2479.
- Gao, D., Ran, C., Zhang, Y., Wang, X., Lu, S., Geng, Y. & Shao, X. (2022). Effect of different concentrations of foliar iron fertilizers on chlorophyll fluorescence characteristics of iron deficient rice seedlings in under saline sodic conditions. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.*, 185,112-122. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2022.05.021
- Gangadi Kalyan,R., Umesha,C. & Thomas, A. (2020). Effect of planting system and foliar application of iron and silicon on growth and yield of rice(Oryza sativa L.). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App.Sci.*, 9(11),532-541. https:// doi.org/10.20546/IJCMAS.2020.911.065
- Gyana, R., Rout & Sunita,S. (2015). Role of iron in plant growth and metabolism. *Reviews in Agricultural Science*, 3,1-24.
- 7. Jones, J. R. & Benton. J. (2012). Fifth. Iron Chelation in Plants and Soil Microorganisms, 447.
- Meghana, S., Kadalli, G.G., Prakash S. S. & Fathima. P.S. (2019). Effect of micronutrients mixture on growth and yield of aerobic rice. *Int. J. Chem. Stud.*, 7(2), 1733-1735.
- 9. Prasad, R. (2011). Aerobic rice systems. *Adv. Agron.*, 111, 207-247.
- Pradhan, S.K., Pandit, E., Pawar, S., Pradhan, A., Behera, L., Das S.R. & Pathak. H. (2020). Genetic regulation of homeostasis, uptake, bio-fortification and efficiency enhancement of iron in rice. *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, 177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2020.104066
- Prom U Thai, C., Rashid, A., Ram, H. Zou, C. Guilherme, L.R.G. Corguinha A.P.B., & Cakmak. I. (2020). Simultaneous biofortification of rice with zinc, iodine, iron and selenium through foliar treatment of a micronutrient cocktail in five countries. *Front. Plant Sci.*, 11, 589835. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.589835

- Rakesh, D., Reddy P. R. R. & Pasha. M. L. (2012). Response of aerobic rice to varying fertility levels in relation to iron application. *J. Res. ANGRAU*, 40(4),94-97.
- Shaygany, J., Peivandy, N. & Ghasemi. S. (2012). Increased yield of direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) by foliar fertilization through multi-component fertilizers. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.*, 58(10),1091-1098.
- Soumya, B., Vani, K.P., Babu, P.S., Rao V.P. & Surekha. K. (2017). Impact of iron nutrition on yield and economics of aerobic rice cultivars. *J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem.*, 6 (5), 1096-1100.
- 15. Suresh. S. & Salakinkop. S. R. (2016). Growth and yield of rice as influenced by biofortification of zinc and iron. J.

Farm Sci., 29(4), 443-448.

- Tuong, T. P. & Bouman. B.A. (2003). Rice production in water-scarce environments. Water productivity in agriculture: Limits and opportunities for improvement, 1, 3-42.
- Vikashkumar, V., Kumar, D., Singh Y.V. & Raj. R. (2015). Effect of iron fertilization on dry-matter production, yield and economics of aerobic rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Indian J. Agron.*, 60(4), 547-553.
- Yadav, G.S., Shivay, Y.S., Kumar D. & Babu. S.(2016). Agronomic evaluation of mulching and iron nutrition on productivity, nutrient uptake, iron use efficiency and economics of aerobic rice-wheat cropping system. *J. Plant Nutr.*, 39(1),116-135.