



# NUAK1 governs centrosome replication in pancreatic cancer via MYPT1/PP1 $\beta$ and GSK3 $\beta$ -dependent regulation of PLK4

Declan Whyte<sup>1,2</sup>, George Skalka<sup>1,2</sup>, Peter Walsh<sup>1,2</sup>, Ania Wilczynska<sup>2</sup>, Nikki R. Paul<sup>2</sup>, Claire Mitchell<sup>2</sup>, Colin Nixon<sup>2</sup>, William Clarke<sup>2</sup>, Martin Bushell<sup>1,2</sup>, Jennifer P. Morton<sup>1,2</sup>, Daniel J. Murphy<sup>1,2</sup> D and Nathiya Muthalagu<sup>1,2,†</sup>

1 School of Cancer Sciences, University of Glasgow, UK

2 CRUK Beatson Institute, Glasgow, UK

#### Keywords

centrosome replication; genomic instability; GSK3β; MYPT1; NUAK1; PDAC

#### Correspondence

D. J. Murphy and N. Muthalagu, School of Cancer Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G61 1QH, UK E-mail: daniel.murphy@glasgow.ac.uk (DJM); nathiya@iitm.ac.in (NM)

#### **Present address**

<sup>†</sup>Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, India

(Received 6 December 2022, revised 8 March 2023, accepted 24 March 2023, available online 3 April 2023)

doi:10.1002/1878-0261.13425

The AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK)-related kinase NUAK1 (NUAK family SNF1-like kinase 1) has emerged as a potential vulnerability in MYC-dependent cancer but the biological roles of NUAK1 in different settings are poorly characterised, and the spectrum of cancer types that exhibit a requirement for NUAK1 is unknown. Unlike canonical oncogenes, NUAK1 is rarely mutated in cancer and appears to function as an obligate facilitator rather than a cancer driver per se. Although numerous groups have developed small-molecule NUAK inhibitors, the circumstances that would trigger their use and the unwanted toxicities that may arise as a consequence of on-target activity are thus undetermined. Reasoning that MYC is a key effector of RAS pathway signalling and the GTPase KRAS is almost uniformly mutated in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), we investigated whether this cancer type exhibits a functional requirement for NUAK1. Here, we show that high NUAK1 expression is associated with reduced overall survival in PDAC and that inhibition or depletion of NUAK1 suppresses growth of PDAC cells in culture. We identify a previously unknown role for NUAK1 in regulating accurate centrosome duplication and show that loss of NUAK1 triggers genomic instability. The latter activity is conserved in primary fibroblasts, raising the possibility of undesirable genotoxic effects of NUAK1 inhibition.

#### Abbreviations

4-OHT, 4-hydroxytamoxifen; AMPK, AMP-activated protein kinase; APC, adenomatous polyposis coli; ARK, AMPK related kinase; CRC, colorectal cancer; DMEM, Dulbecco's modified eagle medium; EDTA, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; EGTA, ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid; EToH, ethanol; FBS, fetal bovine serum; GSK3β, glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta; IP, immunoprecipitation; KRAS, Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homologue; LATS1, large tumour suppressor kinase 1; LKB1, liver kinase B1; MEF, mouse embryonic fibroblast; MIP, maximum intensity projections; MTOC, microtubule organising centre; MYC, MYC proto-oncogene; MYPT1, myosin phosphatase target subunit 1; NaCl, sodium chloride; NFκB, nuclear factor kappa B; NRF2, nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2; NUAK1, NUAK family SNF1-like kinase 1; NUAK2, NUAK family kinase 2; PDAC, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; PLK1, polo kinase kinase 1; PLK4, polo-like kinase 4; PNUTS, protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) nuclear targeting subunit; PP1B, protein phosphatase 1 beta; PTEN, phosphatase and tensin homologue; RPMI, Roswell Park Memorial Institute; SIM, structured illumination microscopy; siRNA, short interfering RNA; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; TGFβ, transforming growth factor beta; TORC1, target of rapamycin complex 1; YAP1, yes-associated protein 1.

# 1. Introduction

Nuak1 is one of 13 AMPK-related protein kinase (ARK) family members, all of which are activated upon phosphorylation by the tumour suppressor LKB1, encoded by STK11 [1]. Diverse cellular activities have been ascribed to NUAK1, from roles in cell detachment and migration [2–4], governance of ploidy [5], regulation of mitochondrial trafficking [6], maintenance of mitochondrial fitness, function, and suppression of oxidative stress [7–9], to regulation of the spliceosome [10] and TORC1-dependent protein translation [7,11]. A full understanding of the mechanisms through which NUAK1 controls such diverse activities has, in many instances, proven elusive, and few reproducible targets of NUAK1 kinase activity have been identified to date [12]. Of these, the best-characterised substrate for NUAK1 is the myosin phosphatase targeting subunit of the PP1 $\beta$  complex, MYPT1, encoded by PPP1R12A. MYPT1 is phosphorylated by NUAK1 on multiple serine residues, resulting in 14-3-3-dependent attenuation of PP1ß phosphatase activity, for example during cell detachment [2]. This activity of NUAK1 is shared by NUAK2, the closest related member of the ARK familv. Importantly, this activity is unique amongst the ARKs, as NUAKs bind directly to the catalytic subunit of PP1<sup>β</sup> via their GILK motifs and appear to thus bind independently of their phospho-target, MYPT1 [2]. Accordingly, another regulatory subunit of PP1β, PNUTS, encoded by PPP1R10, was also shown to be a substrate of NUAK1 during spliceosome formation [10].

Despite being activated by the tumour suppressor LKB1, a growing body of evidence indicates key roles for the NUAKs in cancer. We identified a requirement for NUAK1 to support ATP homeostasis upon acute elevation of MYC activity in vitro and for establishment of MYC-driven hepatocellular tumours in vivo [7]. We subsequently demonstrated a similar requirement for NUAK1 to facilitate the NRF2-dependent adaptation to oxidative stress in colorectal cancer, resulting in a targetable requirement for NUAK1 to maintain colonic tumours driven by loss of Apc and activating mutation of KRas, which converge to increase MYC expression [9]. Deletion of NUAK1 or STK11 (encoding LKB1) was each identified as synthetic lethal with PTEN loss in breast cancer [13], and loss of either NUAK1 or STK11 drives a requirement for increased NFkB activity in ovarian cancer, again linked to suppression of oxidative stress [14]. High expression of NUAK1 is associated with poor outcome in ovarian cancer where it promotes metastasis, in part via upregulation of fibronectin [15,16]. Moreover,

NUAKI mRNA is targeted by several microRNAs that reduce cancer cell migration and/or proliferation in a spectrum of cancer types (reviewed in [12,17]). NUAK2 is frequently amplified in breast and liver cancers [17], where the protein activates YAP1 transcriptional activity via inhibitory phosphorylation of the Hippo pathway kinase, LATS1, which negatively regulates YAP1 [18,19]. NUAK2 was shown to be required for tumourigenesis in a YAP1-driven model of hepatocellular carcinoma, while a dual-specific NUAK inhibitor suppressed growth of YAP1 overexpressing tumour xenografts [18]. NUAK1 also inhibits LATS1 [5] and, akin to NUAK2, was recently described as a transcriptional target of YAP1/TEAD during TGFβdriven fibrosis [20], although the NUAKs are reported to play opposing roles in TGF<sup>β</sup> signalling [21]. Importantly, both NUAKs retain kinase activity in LKB1deficient cancer cells, activated by an as-yet undetermined mechanism [11].

Several groups have shown that MYC is a critical effector of mutant KRAS in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) [22–25], prompting us to examine if NUAK1 might be required to support oncogenic proliferation in this context. Here, we reveal a requirement for NUAK1 to sustain proliferation of pancreatic cancer cells *in vitro* and identify a conserved role for NUAK1 in governing centrosome replication through GSK3β-dependent control of PLK4 protein levels.

## 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Cell lines and cell culture

Mia PaCa-2 (RRID:CVCL 0428) cells were obtained from ATCC and cultured in DMEM containing 2 mM  $100 \ \mu g \cdot m L^{-1}$ L-Glutamine, of streptomycin, 100  $\mu$ g·mL<sup>-1</sup> of penicillin, 4.5 g·L<sup>-1</sup> glucose, and 10% FBS. DAN-G (RRID:CVCL 0243) were obtained from Professor Kevin Ryan and were cultured in **RPMI** containing 2 mM L-glutamine, 100  $\mu$ g·mL<sup>-1</sup> of streptomycin, 100  $\mu$ g·mL<sup>-1</sup> of penicillin, 4.5 g·L<sup>-1</sup> glucose and 10% FBS. All cell lines were tested periodically for mycoplasma. All cell lines were validated by short tandem repeat (STR) profiling by the Beatson Institute in-house service (CRUK-BICR). Primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts were generated as previously described [26]. To delete floxed Nuak1, MEFs were selected on Puromycin for 48 h, and CreER<sup>T2</sup> was subsequently activated by treatment with 100 nm 4-OHT. Cells in log phase growth were treated with the indicated concentrations of 5 or 10 μM of NUAK1

inhibitor (HTH-01-015, Tocris, Bristol, UK), GSK3 inhibitor 3  $\mu$ M – (CHIR99021, Tocris), PLK4 inhibitor 100 nM (Centrinone, MedChem Express, Monmouth Junction, NJ, USA) throughout the study. Where indicated, cells were pre-incubated with GSK3 inhibitor or PLK4 inhibitor for 1 h before a PBS wash and media change. Equivalent volumes of DMSO were used as vehicle controls. Cells in a 6 cm plate were lysed in 150  $\mu$ L, cells in a 10 cm plate were lysed in 300  $\mu$ L of Cell lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholic acid, 1% SDS, plus complete protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail), then sonicated for 10 s at 40% amplitude using the Sonics Vibra Cell Sonicator (Newtown CT, USA).

# 2.2. Double thymidine block and flow cytometry

Thymidine was added to the cells at a concentration of 2, 4, or 6 mM and left for 12 h overnight. The cells were washed with PBS, and then, fresh medium was added 12 h later thymidine was added to the cells at a concentration of 2, 4, or 6 mm. Cell cycle profiles were analysed on the Attune Flow Cytometer. Mia PaCa-2 cells were harvested then fixed in 80% cold ethanol and left at -20 °C overnight. Cells were stained with 15  $\mu$ L of 1 mg·mL<sup>-1</sup> propidium iodide, 1  $\mu$ L of  $10 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$  RNase A (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA) and 400 µL of PBS. Cells were left at 37 °C for an hour prior to analysis on the Attune Flow Cytometer. For pre-treatments with GSK inhibitor or centrinone, cells were treated with the drugs for 1 h prior to a PBS wash and fresh medium was then added with the described concentration of small molecule inhibitors.

# 2.3. Cell number and confluency data

Mia PaCa-2 and DAN-G cells were plated at a seeding density of 50 000 cells respectively, per well of a 6-well plate. The following day 20 nM of siRNA control and two siRNAs (Qiagen *NUAK1* siRNA 3 FlexiTube 00108402 or *NUAK1* siRNA 5 FlexiTube 02224572, Hilden, Germany) targeting *NUAK1* were added and left for 48 h. Cells were then counted using the Casy Cell counter. To measure confluency, an IncuCyte<sup>TM</sup> (Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany) was used to monitor growth over time. Mia PaCa-2 and DAN-G cells were plated at a seeding density of 3000 cells per well of a 96-well plate. The following day drugs were added in fresh medium and left for up to 96 h. Drugs were added at a 2× concentration due to the existing medium still being present from seeding (100 µL of 18780261, 2023, 7. Downloaded from https://febs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/1878-0261, 13425 by Test, Wiley Online Library on [02.08/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/ems-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

new medium containing  $2\times$  concentration was added to 100  $\mu$ L existing medium to give  $1\times$  drug concentration).

# 2.4. Cell death analysis

Cells were seeded at a density of  $1 \times 10^5$  in a 6-well plate and left to settle overnight. Ten micromolar HTH-01-015 or DMSO were added with the appropriate controls and left for 24 h in the Mia PaCa-2 cells or 48 h in the DAN-G cells. The supernatant was collected into 5 mL round bottom FACS tubes. Five hundred microliter of PBS is used to wash the cells and collected into the tube, and trypsin was then added to lift all the cells from the well. The trypsin was quenched with 100  $\mu$ L of FBS and the cells were spun at 300 x g for 5 min. Cells were resuspended in 200 µL Annexin V binding buffer (10 mм Hepes pH 7.4, 140 mм NaCl, 2.5 CaCl<sub>2</sub>) containing 2 µL of Alexa Flour 647 Annexin V (BioLegend 640912, San Diego, CA, USA). The cells were left in the dark for 10 min at room temperature. Prior to analysis on the Attune FACS machine, 100  $\mu$ L of 1 mg·mL<sup>-1</sup> propidium iodide solution (Sigma-Aldrich P4170, St Louis, MO, USA) was added. Each experiment had three technical replicates and repeated at least three times independently.

# 2.5. Plasmid transfection

GSK3ß expression plasmids, previously described in [27,28], were provided by Prof. Jim Norman (CRUK Beatson Institute). pCMV5 was transfected as an empty vector control. One microgram of pCMV5, GSK3ß S9A, and GSK3ß K85R was added to 250 µL OPTI-MEM. In a separate Eppendorf, 3 µL Lipofectamine 3000 and 1 µL p300 was added to 250 µL OPTI-MEM (Thermo, Waltham, MA, USA). Following an initial incubation, the plasmid suspension was transferred into the tube containing Lipofectamine 3000 and p300 and left to equilibrate for 15 min. This master mix was then added in a dropwise manner onto 6 cm dishes with a cell confluency of  $2 \times 10^5$ . Transfection was performed in pen/strep-free medium and changed after 6 h, and Mia PaCa-2 cells left for longer than 6 h showed toxicity and cell death. Cells were harvested 24 h later for immunoblotting.

# 2.6. Immunofluorescence and centrosome quantification/multinucleation

MiaPaCa-2 cells were plated on coverslips in a 6 cm dish  $(2 \times 10^5 \text{ per well})$  and left overnight before treatments were added. Cells were harvested and washed in

PBS  $3 \times$  then fixed in 3.7% formalin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 5 min at room temperature. Coverslips were then washed in PBS  $3 \times$  and permeabilised with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 5 min at room temperature then washed in PBS  $3\times$ . Cells were blocked in 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 1 h at room temperature then antibodies were added in the 1% BSA overnight at 4 °C: NUAK1, (CST 4458, 1 : 100, Danvers, MA, USA); γ-tubulin, (Sigma T6557 1 : 100); αtubulin (CST 8058S, 1:100); pericentrin (Abcam 28144, 1 : 100, Cambridge, UK); DAPI 1 : 5000. Coverslips were washed before addition of secondary antibody at a concentration of 1:250 in 1% BSA. Upon completion of secondary antibody (Invitrogen Alexa Flour-488. Goat anti-Rabbit A11034. or Alexa Flour 568, Goat anti-Mouse A11004), the coverslips were washed  $2 \times$  in PBS then  $1 \times$  in water and left to dry for 30 min before addition of 10 µl DAPI containing mounting medium (Vectashield, Vector Labs, Newark, CA, USA). Slides were imaged using a Zeiss 710 confocal microscope using a  $40 \times /1.30$  NA EC-Plan-Neofluar Oil DIC M27 or a  $63 \times /1.40$  NA Plan-Apochromat DIC M27 objective. Images were acquired using ZEN BLACK software (Zeiss, Jena, Germany) and processed using FIJI (IMAGE J, Opensource software). To measure the effect of RNAi mediated suppression of MYPT1, two siRNAs (Qiagen MYPT1 siRNA 5 FlexiTube 02628682 or siRNA 6 FlexiTube 03067806) were added for 24 h, and cells were harvested for analysis. Upon cell synchronisation by a double thymidine block, the Mia PaCa-2 cells were left for 10.5 h and harvested for analysis in mitosis. To analyse the multinucleation the cells were harvested 13 h from release. Determination of cells in mitosis was assessed by chromosome condensation and clear centrosome poles. Mitotic cells were counted when they were clearly distinct from any neighbouring cells. Multinucleation was counted by counting at least 100 cells in randomised fields across the coverslip. To analyse the effect of post-S phase inhibition of NUAK1, GSK3, or PLK4, Mia PaCa-2 cells were double thymidine blocked, released in fresh medium for 6 h, and drugs were added at described concentrations for 4.5 h for centrosome analysis or 7 h for multinucleation analysis.

IMAGE J was used to determine the mean fluorescence intensity of the NUAK1 staining in the siRNA knockdown experiments. Cell masks were created using Gaussian Blur, the thresholding method was Otsu, particles were analysed per cell with a size limit set at 10-Infinity. The average particle mean fluorescence was then averaged per cell and expressed as a fold change compared to SiControl.

### 2.7. Super-resolution microscopy

Cells were prepared as above using High Precision Coverslips No. 1.5H (Marienfeld, Lauda-Königshofen, Germany) and mounted with Prolong Glass (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Samples were imaged using a Zeiss Elyra 7 Lattice Structured Illumination Microscopy (SIM) dual-camera microscope using a  $63 \times /1.40$  NA Plan Apochromat Oil DIC M27 objective. Z-stacks were acquired using 13 phases and optimal Zsectioning in ZEN BLACK software. Images were processed using SIM2 processing, and maximum intensity projections (MIPs) were generated.

# 2.8. Immunoblotting

Denaturing PAGE was conducted using standard protocols. Primary antibodies for western blot were used at the following dilutions – NUAK1 (CST 4458, 1 : 500); pMYPT1 (MRC S5087, 1 : 400), MYPT1 (CST 8574 1 : 1000), PLK4 (CST 71033, 1 : 500), pGSK S9 (CST 5558, 1 : 500),  $\beta$ -Actin (Sigma-Aldrich A5441, 1 : 5000), Vinculin (Abcam 129002, 1 : 1000). Secondary horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antibodies were added at a concentration of 1 : 5000 in 5% Milk in TBST (HRP anti-Mouse NA931V; HRP anti-Rabbit NA934V, both GE Healthcare (Chicago, IL, USA) or HRP anti-Sheep 31 480 Pierce, Waltham, MA, USA) and detected using chemiluminescence Clarity or Clarity Max ECL western blotting substrate (Bio-Rad 1705061 or 1705062, Hercules, CA, USA).

## 2.9. Immunoprecipitation

Anti-PLK4 (CST 71033) and Protein G Sepharose 4 fast flow beads (GE Healthcare, 17-0618-01) were conjugated for 3 h at 4 °C with constant agitation. Fourteen million cells were harvested by scraping in IP buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA pH 8, 1 mM EGTA pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 1% (v/v) NP40, 270 mM Sucrose, 1% (v/v) Protease inhibitor cocktail (#P8340, Sigma-Aldrich), 1% (v/v) Phosphatase inhibitor cocktail 2 (#P5726, Sigma-Aldrich), 1% (v/v) Phosphatase inhibitor cocktail 3 (#P0044, Sigma-Aldrich)). The lysate was incubated on ice for 10 min, before centrifugation (10 min, 4 °C, 3000 g). In parallel, the conjugated beads were washed in lysis buffer and aliquoted. The lysate was added to washed beads and incubated (16 h, 4 °C) with constant agitation. The beads were washed three times with  $10 \times$  bead volume IP buffer. Finally,  $2 \times$  bead volume of Laemmli buffer (32.6 mM Tris-HCL pH 6.8, 3.5% (v/v) SDS, 10% (w/v) Sucrose, 0.01% (w/v)  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol) was

added to beads prior to boiling at 95 °C for 5 min. Antibodies used for IP – PLK4 (Cell Signalling Technology, 71033S) and Normal Rabbit IgG (Cell Signalling Technology, 2729).

#### 2.10. Next generation sequencing

TriZol RNA precipitations were preformed according to a modified manufacturer's protocol: after the isopropanol precipitation step, the RNA pellet was resuspended in 100 µL H<sub>2</sub>O 100 µL acid phenol: chloroform (Ambion, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was added, samples were vortexed for 20 s and spun at 9500 x g for 10 min. The aqueous phase was then extracted with 100  $\mu$ L of chloroform and then spun at 9500 x g for 3 min. The aqueous phase was then precipitated with 2 µL glycogen, 10 µL NaAc, and 300 µL EtOH, and these were then stored at -20 °C for 24–48 h. Pellets were washed with 500 µL 75% EtOH, spun again at 13 000 r.p.m. for 5 min. Pellets were allowed to air dry, then resuspended in 20 µL H<sub>2</sub>O (Milli-Q). RNA concentrations were obtained using a NanoDrop One (Thermo Fisher Scientific). RNA integrity was assessed using RNA ScreenTape (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol using a 2200 TapeStation System (Agilent Technologies). A RIN value > 7 was deemed acceptable. cDNA was generated using TruSeq stranded mRNA library prep kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) followed by NextSeq500 High output 75 cycle sequencing.

#### 2.11. RNAseq analysis

FASTQ files were aligned to the human genome (hg19) using TOPHAT2 [29] and then transformed into raw count data using FEATURECOUNTS [30]. Differential expression analysis was performed using DESEQ2 [31] or EDGER [32]. Pathway enrichment was assessed using the METACORE (Clarivate) enrichment analysis workflow. Original data are available from Arrayexpress, E-MTAB 6244.

#### 2.12. Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed on at least three separate occasions (biological replicates), except where noted in the figure legends. Graphs represent quantification of biological replicates, except where noted in the figure legends. Statistical analysis was carried out using GRAPH-PAD 9.0 software (San Diego, CA, USA). Unpaired *t*-tests were used when comparing two datasets, as indicated in each figure legend. When more than two data sets were compared, the statistical test ANOVA was used with *Post hoc* Tukey's multiple comparison test. Statistical significance was determined by *P* value \*P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.01; \*\*\*P < 0.001; \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001.

## 3. Results

#### 3.1. NUAK1 is overexpressed in PDAC

Our previous work revealed increased expression of NUAK1 protein in a cohort of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) patient samples [7]. We therefore examined available datasets for expression of *NUAK1* mRNA. The Badea cohort of 108 PDAC cases [33] showed a pronounced increase in *NUAK1* mRNA compared with normal pancreas (Fig. 1A). Analysis of TCGA datasets via CBioPortal revealed PDAC has the highest average expression of *NUAK1* across multiple cancer types (Fig. S1A). We stratified the TCGA cohort of PDAC [34] into *NUAK1* high expression versus *NUAK1* low expression to determine if expression is associated with patient outcome and found significantly reduced survival of PDAC patients with high expression of *NUAK1* (Fig. 1B).

NUAK1 expression in PDAC cell lines varies over a broad range (Fig. S1B). We selected DAN-G and Mia PaCa-2 cells as representative of NUAK1 overexpression across the majority of PDAC lines. These PDAC lines were treated with a highly-selective NUAK1 inhibitor, HTH-01-015, which lacks the off-target activities of several other NUAK inhibitors [12,18,35]. Titration of HTH-01-015 in Mia PaCa-2 and DAN-G cells showed effective inhibition of NUAK1 kinase activity at 10 µm, as judged by MYPT1 phosphorylation on Ser445 (Fig. 1C and Fig. S1C), consistent with previous studies using the same antibody [9,11,35,36]. Note that the residual p-MYPT<sup>S445</sup> signal in Mia PaCa-2 cells likely reflects the redundant activity of NUAK2 on this site [11]. The same concentration of drug completely suppressed proliferation and induced abundant cell death in both cell lines when seeded at low density (Fig. 1D-G). To validate the on-target effects of HTH-01-015, we used siRNA to specifically deplete NUAK1 and again found strong suppression of p-MYPT<sup>S445</sup> and significantly reduced proliferation in both cell lines, confirming the growth-suppressive effects of the inhibitor data (Fig. 1H–K).

# 3.2. NUAK1 suppression drives nuclear defects *in vitro*

Examination of in-house RNA-SEQ datasets generated after inhibition ( $10 \mu M$  HTH-01-015) or shRNAmediated depletion of NUAK1 in U2OS cells revealed convergent enrichment for cell-cycle (G1/S, S and G2/M signatures), DNA-damage, and spindle microtubulerelated pathways (Fig. S2A,B). NUAK1 protein expression was previously shown to fluctuate with the cell cycle, peaking at entry to S-phase, with reciprocal regulation of NUAK1 stability by Polo-Like Kinase 1 (PLK1) and vice versa [36]. To determine if cell cycle defects contribute to suppressed proliferation of PDAC cells, we established a cell synchronisation protocol for Mia PaCa-2 cells by double thymidine block and release. Upon release from thymidine block, Mia PaCa-2 cells rapidly enter S-phase, exiting S-phase after 6 h, with the majority of cells in G2/M by 10 h post-release (Fig. S2C,D). Treatment of Mia PaCa-2 cells with HTH-01-015, starting from the time of release from



Molecular Oncology 17 (2023) 1212–1227 © 2023 The Authors. *Molecular Oncology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Federation of European Biochemical Societies.

Fig. 1. NUAK1 is overexpressed in Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. (A) NUAK1 mRNA levels in PDAC (N = 108) compared with expression in normal pancreas. Data from Badea et al. [33] analysed for NUAK1. T-test used to determine statistical significance. Error bars represent standard deviation. (B) Kaplan-Meier plot of overall survival of PDAC patients with high versus low expression of NUAK1. Data from the TCGA cohort of PDAC. Logrank test. (C) Immunoblot of Ser445-phosphorylated MYPT1 (pMYPT1) in lysates from Mia PaCa-2 (upper panels and DAN-G (lower panels) cells treated with the indicated concentrations of HTH-01-015 or DMSO vehicle. Representative blot of three independent experiments. (D) Confluence of Mia PaCa-2 cells treated with 10 µM HTH-01-015 or DMSO vehicle, measured over time by Incucyte. Mean values ± SEM of three independent experiments shown. T-test. (E) Cell death of Mia PaCa-2 cells treated with 10 μM HTH-01-015 or DMSO vehicle for 24 h, measured by Annexin V/Propidium Iodide (PI) staining. Mean ± SEM of three independent experiments each having three technical replicates. \*\*\*\* denotes P < 0.0001 (t-test). (F) Confluence of DAN-G cells treated with 10 μM HTH-01-015 or DMSO vehicle, measured over time by Incucyte. Mean values ± SEM of three independent experiments shown. T-test. (G) Cell death of DAN-G cells treated with 10 µm HTH-01-015 or DMSO vehicle for 48 h, measured by Annexin V/Propidium Iodide (PI) staining. Mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments each having three technical replicates. \*\*\*\* denotes P < 0.0001 (*t*-test). (H) Cell number of Mia-PaCa-2 cells transfected with NUAK1 or non-targeting (siC) siRNA, measured 48 h post-knockdown measured using manual cell counting. Mean values ± SEM of three independent experiments shown. One-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey's test. (I) Immunoblot of pMYPT1 in lysates from Mia PaCa-2 cells transfected with NUAK1 or non-targeting (siC) siRNA. Representative blot of five independent experiments, (J) Cell number of DAN-G cells transfected with NUAK1 or non-targeting (siC) siRNA, measured 48 h post-knockdown using cell counter. Mean values ± SEM of three independent experiments shown. One-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey's test. (K) Immunoblot of pMYPT1 in lysates from DAN-G cells transfected with NUAK1 or non-targeting (siC) siRNA. Representative blot of three independent experiments. For all panels, *P* value \**P* < 0.05; \*\**P* < 0.01; \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001.

thymidine block, resulted in delayed completion of G2/M, as measured by Cyclin B expression, and a sharp increase in cells exhibiting nuclear atypia, predominantly multinucleation with < 5% also exhibiting micronuclei, visible by DAPI staining (Fig. 2A–C). Depletion of NUAK1 by siRNA similarly increased the proportion of asynchronous Mia PaCa-2 cells exhibiting multinucleation (Fig. 2D,E).

# 3.3. NUAK1 loss drives supernumerary centrosomes

The nuclear aberrations rapidly arising upon suppression of NUAK1 suggested a possible defect with chromosome segregation during mitosis. LKB1 has been shown previously to bind to centrosomes, which establish the spindle poles governing chromosome segregation. Loss of LKB1 was found to increase centrosome number, resulting in chromosome mis-segregation and nuclear defects similar to those observed upon suppression of NUAK1 [37]. Mia PaCa-2 cells were synchronised as above, treated with or without HTH-01-015, fixed at 10.5 h post-release to capture mitosis, and stained for the centrosome marker  $\gamma$ -tubulin. Confocal fluorescent microscopy revealed a sharp increase in mitotic cells with supernumerary (ie. > 2) centrosomes after treatment with HTH-01-015 (Fig. 3A). To validate this result, Mia PaCa-2 cells were synchronised following transfection with NUAK1 siRNA and harvested for  $\gamma$ -tubulin staining during mitosis, 10.5 h post-release. Depletion of NUAK1 with either of two siRNAs sharply increased the number of cells exhibiting supernumerary centrosomes, similar to treatment with the NUAK1 inhibitor (Fig. 3B). Immunofluorescent (IF) staining of untreated mitotic Mia PaCa-2 cells for NUAK1 showed co-localisation of NUAK1 and  $\gamma$ -tubulin at the centrosomes (Fig. 3C and Fig. S3A) while time-course analysis under the same conditions showed redistribution of NUAK1 over the cell cycle (Fig. S3B). IF staining for pericentrin showed similar overlap with NUAK1 as  $\gamma$ -tubulin, confirming centrosomal co-localisation (Fig. S3C). Consistent with the established roles for NUAK1 in regulating the cytoskeleton [2,6], treatment with HTH-01-015 moreover resulted in pronounced disruption of the mitotic microtubule network (Fig. S3D).

To determine if the effect of NUAK1 suppression on centrosome number was cancer cell-specific, we used floxed *Nuak1*<sup>fl/fl</sup> MEFs [38] stably expressing 4-OH-Tamoxifen-inducible CRE recombinase fused to the modified ligand binding domain of the oestrogen receptor, CRE-ER<sup>T2</sup> [39]. Immunofluorescent  $\gamma$ -tubulin staining showed significantly more cells exhibiting supernumerary centrosomes 24 h after activation of CreER<sup>T2</sup> and deletion of *Nuak1*, compared with EtOH-treated controls (Fig. 3D), showing that NUAK1 regulates centrosome number in untransformed fibroblasts as well as in cancer cells.

#### 3.4. NUAK1 controls PLK4 protein levels

Centrosome replication occurs early during S phase and is initiated by Polo-like kinase 4 (PLK4) which is immediately targeted for proteasomal degradation, triggered by autophosphorylation, following duplication of the centrioles [40,41]. To assess whether the inhibition during S-phase is required for excessive centrosome replication, we treated synchronised cells with NUAK1 inhibitor after the completion of S-phase. Treatment of synchronised Mia PaCa-2 cells with HTH-01-015 following S-phase, at



**Fig. 2.** NUAK1 suppression drives nuclear defects *in vitro*. (A) Temporal analysis of Cyclin B expression in synchronised Mia PaCa-2 cells treated with 10  $\mu$ M HTH-01-015 (H) or DMSO vehicle (C) from time of release from thymidine block (0 h). Image is representative of three independent experiments. Red bar highlights delayed Cyclin B turnover upon NUAK1 inhibition, indicative of prolonged G2/M. Note that MYPT1 and Vinculin blots were performed on separate gels from the same lysates. (B) Representative images of DAPI stained, synchronised, Mia PaCa-2 cells treated with 10  $\mu$ M HTH-01-015 or DMSO vehicle from time of release. Cells were harvested for analysis 13 h after release from thymidine block, and at least 100 cells were counted per condition per experiment. Images are representative of three independent experiments. Scale bar = 10  $\mu$ m. (C) Quantification of cells exhibiting nuclear defects following treatment with 10  $\mu$ M HTH-01-015 or DMSO vehicle control as per (B). One hundred cells were scored per treatment group for each of three independent experiments. Mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments shown. *T*-test. (D) DAPI staining reveals nuclear defects in synchronised Mia PaCa-2 cells transfected with NUAK1 si5 were fixed for analysis 24 h post-transfection; cells transfected with NUAK1 si5 were fixed for analysis at 48 h port-transfection. At least 100 cells were counted per condition per experiment. Images are representative of three independent experiments, as per (D). One-way ANOVA with *post hoc* Tukey's test. Mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments, as per (D). One-way ANOVA with *post hoc* Tukey's test. Mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments, as per (D). One-way ANOVA with *post hoc* Tukey's test. Mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments, shown. *T*-test. For all panels, *P* value \**P* < 0.05; \*\*\**P* < 0.001.

6 h post-release, did not increase centrosome number, as measured by  $\gamma$ -tubulin IF staining, consistent with a requirement for NUAK1 prior to completion of S-phase

(Fig. 4A). Overexpression of PLK4 is sufficient to drive excessive centriole replication resulting in supernumerary centrosomes [42]. Immunoblotting for PLK4 revealed



**Fig. 3.** NUAK1 suppression drives ectopic centrosome replication. (A) Confocal analysis of γ-tubulin immunofluorescence in synchronised Mia PaCa-2 cells treated with 10  $\mu$ M HTH-01-015 or vehicle control from time of release. Cells were fixed for analysis at 10.5 h post-release from thymidine block. Right panel shows quantification of 50 mitotic cells per treatment group per experiment. Mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments shown. *T*-test. Scale bar = 10  $\mu$ m. (B) Confocal analysis of γ-tubulin IF in synchronised Mia PaCa-2 cells transfected with NUAK1, or non-targeting (siC), siRNAs. Cells transfected with NUAK1 si3 were analysed 24 h post-transfection; cells transfected with NUAK1 si5 were analysed 48 h post-transfection; both were analysed at 10.5 h from time of release from thymidine block. Graph shows quantification of 50 mitotic cells per treatment group per experiment. Mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments shown. One-way ANOVA with *post hoc* Tukey's test. Scale bar = 10  $\mu$ m. (C) Representative images of confocal analysis of NUAK1 and γ-tubulin IF staining in Mia PaCa-2 cells at 10.5 h post-release from thymidine block. Consistent results were obtained in three independent experiments. Scale bar = 10  $\mu$ m. (D) Confocal analysis of γ-tubulin staining in asynchronous *Nuak1<sup>FL/FL</sup>* MEFs, stably infected with retrovirus expressing Cre-ER<sup>T2</sup> and treated for 24 h with 4-OH-tamoxifen (to activate CreER<sup>T2</sup>) or EtOH vehicle. Graph shows quantification of 50 mitotic cells per treatment group per experiment. Mean  $\pm$  SEM shown of three independent experiments shown. *T*-test. Immunoblot of pMYPT1 in lysates from *Nuak1<sup>FL/FL</sup>* MEFs 24 h post-4-OH-tamoxifen or EtOH vehicle treatment. Representative blot of three independent experiments. Scale bar = 10  $\mu$ m. For all panels, *P* value \**P* < 0.05; \*\**P* < 0.01; \*\*\**P* < 0.001.

-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

18780261, 2023, 7, Downloaded from https://febs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/1878-0261.13425 by Test, Wiley Online Library on [02.08/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms



**Fig. 4.** NUAK1 regulates PLK4 expression. (A) Quantification of centrosome number in 50 mitotic Mia PaCa-2 cells per treatment group per experiment, measured by  $\gamma$ -tubulin IF, fixed 10.5 h post-release from thymidine block, and treated at 6 h post-release with 10  $\mu$ M HTH-01-015 or vehicle control. Mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments shown. *T*-test. (B) Immunoblot of PLK4 total protein in asynchronous Mia PaCa-2 cells transfected with NUAK1, or non-targeting (si Ctrl), siRNAs for 24 h. Representative of three independent experiments. (C) Immunoblot of PLK4 total protein in asynchronous Mia PaCa-2 cells treated with the indicated concentrations of HTH-01-015 for 1 h. Representative of three independent experiments. (D) Immunoblot of PLK4 total protein in asynchronous Mia PaCa-2 cells pre-treated for 1 h with centrinone or vehicle, followed by 1 h treatment with HTH-01-015, vehicle, or HTH-01-015 + centrinone combined. Representative of three independent experiments. (E) Quantification of centrosome number by  $\gamma$ -tubulin IF in mitotic Mia PaCa-2 cells treated with Centrinone, HTH-01-015, both, or vehicle ctrl, from time of release from thymidine block. Cells were fixed for analysis at 10.5 h post-release. Fifty cells were scored per treatment group per experiment. Mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments shown. One-way ANOVA with *post hoc* Tukey's test. (F) Quantification of incidence of nuclear aberrations in Mia PaCa-2 cells treated with centrinone, HTH-01-015, both, or vehicle ctrl, from time of release from thymidine block. Cells were fixed for analysis at 10.5 h post-release. One hundred cells were scored per treatment group per experiment. Mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments shown. One-way ANOVA with *post hoc* t-test. For all panels, *P* value; \*\**P* < 0.01; \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001.

increased protein expression upon depletion or inhibition of NUAK1 in both Mia PaCa-2 (Fig. 4B,C) and DAN-G cells (Fig. S4A,B). Co-treatment of Mia PaCa-2 cells with HTH-01-015 and the PLK4-specific inhibitor centrinone [43] reversed the increased expression of PLK4 and suppressed both the supernumerary centrosome and aberrant nuclear phenotypes induced by NUAK1 inhibitor treatment from time of release from thymidine block (Fig. 4D–F).

# 3.5. NUAK1 regulates PLK4 via MYPT1/PP1 $\beta$ control of GSK3 $\beta$ activity

The NUAK phospho-target MYPT1 localises to centrosomes during mitosis, and roles for NUAK1 and MYPT1 in regulating PLK1 have been demonstrated [36,44,45]. We asked if MYPT1 might similarly regulate PLK4 expression. Indeed, depletion of MYPT1 in Mia PaCa-2 cells, using either of two siRNAs, resulted in a profound increase in PLK4 protein expression and a sharp increase in the number of mitotic cells with supernumerary centrosomes (Fig. 5A,B). Our previously published analysis of HTH-01-015-induced changes to the phospho-proteome identified the inhibitory Ser9 phospho-site of GSK3 $\beta$  as a NUAK1sensitive target of MYPT1/PP1 $\beta$ : treatment with HTH-01-015 reduced ROS-dependent GSK3 $\beta$ <sup>S9</sup> phosphorylation without impairing activity of the upstream kinase AKT, resulting in enhanced GSK3 $\beta$  kinase activity [9]. Phospho-GSK3 $\beta$ <sup>S9</sup> moreover localises specifically to the centrosomes during mitosis [46]. Suppression of NUAK1 by RNAi or HTH-01-015 reduced p-GSK3 $\beta^{S9}$  in Mia PaCa-2 cells (Fig. 5C,D), consistent with previous results from U2OS and CRC cell lines [9]. Overexpression of a constitutively active S9A GSK3 $\beta$  mutant increased, while a kinase-dead K85R mutant decreased, expression of PLK4, consistent with a role for GSK3 $\beta$  in suppressing PLK4 turnover (Fig. 5E). Accordingly, the activating phosphorylation site Thr170 of PLK4 is predicted by recent phospho-motif mapping [47] to be a perfect consensus for GSK3 $\beta$  kinase activity (Fig. S5). Both GSK3 $\beta$  and NUAK1 were moreover present in PLK4 immunoprecipitates (Fig. 5F). Treatment of Mia PaCa-2 cells with the GSK3 inhibitor CHIR-99021 [48] reduced expression of PLK4 accordingly and reversed the increase in



Fig. 5. NUAK1 regulation of PLK4 is mediated by GSK3β. (A) Quantification of centrosome number by γ-tubulin IF staining in synchronised Mia PaCa-2 cells transfected with MYPT1, or non-targeting (siC), siRNAs. Fifty cells scored per condition per experiment. Mean ± SEM of three independent experiments shown. One-way ANOVA with post hoc t-test. (B) Immunoblot of total PLK4 in Mia PaCa-2 cells transfected with MYPT1, versus non-targeting (siCtrl), siRNA. Representative of three independent experiments. (C) Immunoblot of Ser9 phospho-GSK3β in Mia PaCa-2 cells transfected with NUAK1, versus non-targeting, siRNA. Representative of three independent experiments. (D) Immunoblot of Ser9 phospho-GSK3β in Mia PaCa-2 cells treated with 10 μM HTH-01-015 or DMSO vehicle for 4 h. Representative of three independent experiments. (E) Immunoblot of total PLK4 in Mia PaCa-2 cells transiently transfected with constitutively active (S9A) or kinasedead (K85R) mutant GSK3B expression vector, compared with empty vector (EV). Representative of three independent experiments. (F) Immunoblots for endogenously expressed PLK4, NUAK1, and GSK3β in lysates and anti-PLK4 immunoprecipitates, or IgG control IPs, of untreated asynchronous Mia PaCa-2 cells. Representative of two independent experiments. (G) Immunoblot of total PLK4 in Mia PaCA-2 cells pre-treated for 1 h with 3 μM CHIR-99021 (GSK3i) or DMSO vehicle, followed by 10 μM HTH-01-015 ± 3 μM CHIR-99021 for 1 h immediately prior to harvest. Representative of three independent experiments. (H) Quantification of centrosome number by y-tubulin IF in mitotic Mia PaCa-2 cells treated with CHIR-99021 (GSK3i), HTH-01-015 (HTH), both, or vehicle ctrl, from time of release from thymidine block. Cells were fixed for analysis at 10.5 h post-release. Fifty cells were scored per treatment condition per experiment. Mean ± SEM of three independent experiments shown. One-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey's test. (I) Quantification of incidence of nuclear aberrations in Mia PaCa-2 cells treated with CHIR-99021 (GSK3i), HTH-01-015 (HTH), both, or vehicle ctrl, from time of release from thymidine block. HTH-01-015 and ctrl values are the same as Fig. 4F as experiment was performed simultaneously. Cells were fixed for analysis at 13 h post-release. One hundred cells were scored per treatment group per experiment. Mean ± SEM of three independent experiments shown. One-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey's test. For all panels, P value; \*\*P < 0.01; \*\*\*P < 0.001; \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001.

NUAK1 governs centrosome replication

PLK4 induced by NUAK1 inhibition (Fig. 5G). Cotreatment of synchronised Mia PaCa-2 cells with CHIR-99021 and HTH-01-015 moreover suppressed the appearance of supernumerary centrosomes and significantly reduced the incidence of nuclear atypia induced by NUAK1 inhibition (Fig. 5H,I), establishing GSK3 $\beta$  as a critical mediator of NUAK1's role in regulating centrosome number via control of PLK4 protein levels.

# 4. Discussion

The orderly segregation of chromosomes during mitosis is vital to maintain genomic stability. This process is tightly choreographed as cells traverse the cell cycle, beginning in S-phase with a single round of centriole duplication initiated by PLK4 activation [49]. Perturbations to PLK4 levels or activity suffice to disrupt the fidelity of centriole duplication, and simple overexpression of PLK4 drives excessive centriole replication resulting in supernumerary centrosomes and ultimately chromosome mis-segregation at mitosis [42]. Our data identify a novel requirement for NUAK1 to ensure the fidelity of this process by controlling PLK4 protein levels. Nuak1 achieves this via phosphorylation of the PP1ß regulatory subunit MYPT1, resulting in attenuated dephosphorylation of GSK3ß at the inhibitory Serine 9 site. Loss or inhibition of NUAK1 thus results in hyperactive GSK3ß and a consequent stabilisation of PLK4.

The rapid appearance of supernumerary centrosomes and consequent aberrant mitoses immediately following NUAK1 inhibition appears at first blush to be at odds with previous work suggesting that at least two rounds of mitosis are required following centrosome amplification for surplus centrosomes to result in chromosome mis-segregation [50]. Indeed, we concur that regulation of PLK4 levels likely fails to alone account for the rapid appearance of aberrant nuclei in our study. Accordingly, PLK1 plays a vital role in centrosome disjunction and is also known to be regulated by NUAK1/MYPT1/PP1β [36,51]. It is likely that PLK1 dysregulation following suppression of NUAK1 contributes to premature daughter centriole disjunction following PLK4-induced overreplication. Moreover, several laboratories have convincingly demonstrated PP1ß hyperactivity following suppression of NUAK1 [2,7,9,10,36] and hyperactivation of PP1β is well-documented to result in multiple mitotic defects [44,52-54]. Furthermore, we provide clear evidence of the predicted loss of cell fitness and viability expected from such pleiotropic mitotic defects following NUAK1 inhibition [50]. The disentangling of the specific impact of NUAK1 suppression upon PLK4-mediated centrosome replication from these pleiotropic effects of

 $PP1\beta$  hyperactivity will thus require considerably more investigation.

A curious observation that emerged in the course of our work pertains to the normalisation of PLK4 levels and function upon co-treatment with the PLK4 inhibitor Centrinone and the NUAK1 inhibitor HTH-01-015. PLK4 kinase activity is required for centrosome duplication but also triggers auto-phosphorylation-dependent degradation [41]. The increase in PLK4 levels detected upon Centrinone treatment alone is thus expected. The normalisation of PLK4 levels upon co-treatment with Centrinone and HTH-01-015 is however rather perplexing and suggests that additional factors, conceivably including SCFBTRCP, involved in PLK1 regulation [36], participate in PLK4 turnover. We do however demonstrate that GSK3β physically binds to PLK4 and show that GSK3ß activity is required for the stabilisation of PLK4 protein observed upon NUAK inhibition. The recently published phosphoproteome atlas [47] moreover predicts GSK3B as the kinase most likely to phosphorylate PLK4 within its activation T loop, adding further weight to our observations. Although this work does not address the precise mechanism of PLK4 stabilisation by GSK3β, our data do provide a mechanistic junction between several previously unlinked observations of LKB1, MYPT1, and Ser9phosphorylated GSK3<sup>β</sup> localisation to the centrosomes during mitosis [37,44,46,55]. Accordingly, loss of LKB1 upstream and MYPT1 downstream of NUAK1 have each been shown to similarly result in genomic instability and nuclear aberrations of the nature described here [37,55].

We find that a detectable fraction of cytosolic NUAK1 localises to the centrosome, most obviously visible during mitosis. In non-mitotic cells, the centrosome governs additional sub-cellular structures, such as the microtubule organising centre (MTOC) and the primary cilium [56–58]. Localisation to the MTOC is consistent with NUAK1's established role as a major regulator of the cytoskeleton [2] while possible participation in the primary cilium may suggest roles for NUAK1 in WNT and Hedgehog, amongst other, signalling pathways [56]. Interestingly, centrosomes are also present at the immune synapse where they govern delivery of secretory granules [59], suggesting a potential role for NUAK1 in cytotoxic immune cell effector function. This work thus opens new avenues for future investigation of NUAK1 in multiple new biological contexts.

Our analysis identifies a significant association of elevated NUAK1 expression with poor outcome in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC). Treatment of PDAC cell lines with a highly selective NUAK1 inhibitor, HTH-01-015, was profoundly toxic, while NUAK1 depletion by RNAi significantly reduced proliferation of the same cell lines. These data concur with recent results from another lab using a distinct NUAK1 inhibitor [60] and with systems biology-derived multi-omic data showing a requirement for NUAK1 in PDAC [61]. Upregulation of NUAK1 moreover correlates with resistance of PDAC cells to Gemcitabine [62]. Moreover, PDAC is renowned for heavy deposition of fibrotic stroma [63] and NUAK1 was shown to mediate TGFB-induced fibrosis in multiple organs by enhancing YAP and TGF<sup>β</sup>/SMAD signalling [20]. Although other work suggests an attenuating role for NUAK1 in TGF<sup>β</sup> signalling [21], concurrent signalling, cell lineage, and organ context are all likely to influence this activity, and NUAK1 may thus participate in the pathology of PDAC via both tumour cell-intrinsic and stromal activities. Teasing apart the specific roles of NUAK1 in PDAC will thus require generation of appropriately targeted animal models and development of small molecule inhibitors with both greater selectivity and in vivo potency. However, the suitability of NUAK1 as a potential therapeutic target in PDAC, or other cancers, must ultimately be weighed against the potential toxicity arising from the hereindescribed requirement for NUAK1 to maintain genomic integrity through control of centrosome replication.

# 5. Conclusion

NUAK1 is required to maintain genomic stability by regulating accurate centrosome duplication during S-phase. This activity of NUAK1 may restrict the clinical utility of NUAK1 inhibitors currently under development.

# **Acknowledgements**

Funding for this work was provided by a Pancreatic Cancer UK Future Leaders Academy to JM and DJM, supporting DW; Worldwide Cancer project grant AICR 15-0279 to DJM and JM, supporting NM; and Cancer Research UK (CRUK) Core Institute grants A17196 and A31287. JM was supported by CRUK grant A29996; MB was supported by CRUK grant A29252; GS was supported by Merck/Cancer Research Horizons project agreement U14111. The authors wish to acknowledge the assistance of the CRUK Beatson Advanced Imaging Resource and all members of the Murphy Lab and PCUK Future Leaders Academy (Glasgow) for helpful discussions. Thanks to Beatson Integrity Officer, Catherine Winchester, for proofreading and ensuring compliance with best publishing practice.

# **Conflict of interest**

DJM has received funding from the MERCK group for ongoing work not directly related to this

manuscript. DJM has additionally received funding from Puma Biotech for unrelated work. MB has received funding from Celgene for unrelated work. Author contributions DW. GS. DJM. and NM involved in conceptualization. DW involved in data curation. DW, GS, and PW involved in formal analysis. MB, JPM, and DJM involved in funding acquisition. DW involved in investigation. DW, CN, NRP, WC, and CM involved in methodology. DJM and NM involved in project administration. AW. JM, DJM, and NM involved in supervision. DW and GS involved in validation. DW involved in visualization. DW and DJM involved in writing (first draft). All authors involved in writing (review and editing). **Peer review** The peer review history for this article is available at https://www.webofscience.com/api/gateway/wos/peerreview/10.1002/1878-0261.13425.

# **Data accessibility**

Original NGS data are available from Arrayexpress, E-MTAB 6244.

# References

- 1 Lizcano JM, Goransson O, Toth R, Deak M, Morrice NA, Boudeau J, et al. LKB1 is a master kinase that activates 13 kinases of the AMPK subfamily, including MARK/PAR-1. EMBO J. 2004;23:833-43.
- 2 Zagorska A, Deak M, Campbell DG, Banerjee S, Hirano M, Aizawa S, et al. New roles for the LKB1-NUAK pathway in controlling myosin phosphatase complexes and cell adhesion. Sci Signal. 2010;3:ra25.
- 3 Suzuki A, Lu J, Kusakai G, Kishimoto A, Ogura T, Esumi H. ARK5 is a tumor invasion-associated factor downstream of Akt signaling. Mol Cell Biol. 2004:24:3526-35.
- 4 Kusakai G, Suzuki A, Ogura T, Kaminishi M, Esumi H. Strong association of ARK5 with tumor invasion and metastasis. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2004;23:263-8.
- 5 Humbert N, Navaratnam N, Augert A, Da Costa M, Martien S, Wang J, et al. Regulation of ploidy and senescence by the AMPK-related kinase NUAK1. EMBO J. 2010;29:376-86.
- 6 Courchet J, Lewis TLJr, Lee S, Courchet V, Liou DY, Aizawa S, et al. Terminal axon branching is regulated by the LKB1-NUAK1 kinase pathway via presynaptic mitochondrial capture. Cell. 2013;153:1510-25.

- 7 Liu L, Ulbrich J, Muller J, Wustefeld T, Aeberhard L, Kress TR, et al. Deregulated MYC expression induces dependence upon AMPK-related kinase 5. *Nature*. 2012;**483**:608–12.
- 8 Escalona E, Muñoz M, Pincheira R, Elorza ÁA, Castro AF. Cytosolic NUAK1 enhances ATP production by maintaining proper glycolysis and mitochondrial function in cancer cells. *Front Oncol.* 2020;**10**:1123.
- 9 Port J, Muthalagu N, Raja M, Ceteci F, Monteverde T, Kruspig B, et al. Colorectal tumors require NUAK1 for protection from oxidative stress. *Cancer Discov*. 2018;8:632–47.
- 10 Cossa G, Roeschert I, Prinz F, Baluapuri A, Silveira Vidal R, Schulein-Volk C, et al. Localized inhibition of protein phosphatase 1 by NUAK1 promotes spliceosome activity and reveals a MYC-sensitive feedback control of transcription. *Mol Cell*. 2020;77:1322–39 e1311.
- 11 Monteverde T, Tait-Mulder J, Hedley A, Knight JR, Sansom OJ, Murphy DJ. Calcium signalling links MYC to NUAK1. Oncogene. 2018;37:982–92.
- 12 Faisal M, Kim JH, Yoo KH, Roh EJ, Hong SS, Lee SH. Development and therapeutic potential of NUAKs inhibitors. J Med Chem. 2021;64:2–25.
- 13 Tang YC, Ho SC, Tan E, Ng AWT, McPherson JR, Goh GYL, et al. Functional genomics identifies specific vulnerabilities in PTEN-deficient breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res.* 2018;**20**:22.
- 14 Buensuceso A, Fritz J, Collins O, Valdes Y, DiMattia G, Shepherd T. Loss of LKB1-NUAK1 signalling enhances NF-κB activity in a spheroid model of highgrade serous ovarian cancer. *Sci Rep.* 2021;**12**:3011.
- 15 Phippen NT, Bateman NW, Wang G, Conrads KA, Ao W, Teng PN, et al. NUAK1 (ARK5) is associated with poor prognosis in ovarian cancer. *Front Oncol.* 2016;6:213.
- 16 Fritz JL, Collins O, Saxena P, Buensuceso A, Ramos Valdes Y, Francis KE, et al. A novel role for NUAK1 in promoting ovarian cancer metastasis through regulation of fibronectin production in spheroids. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2020;**12**:1250.
- 17 Monteverde T, Muthalagu N, Port J, Murphy DJ. Evidence of cancer-promoting roles for AMPK and related kinases. *FEBS J.* 2015;282:4658–71.
- 18 Yuan WC, Pepe-Mooney B, Galli GG, Dill MT, Huang HT, Hao M, et al. NUAK2 is a critical YAP target in liver cancer. *Nat Commun.* 2018;9:4834.
- 19 Gill MK, Christova T, Zhang YY, Gregorieff A, Zhang L, Narimatsu M, et al. A feed forward loop enforces YAP/TAZ signaling during tumorigenesis. *Nat Commun.* 2018;9:3510.
- 20 Zhang T, He X, Caldwell L, Goru SK, Ulloa Severino L, Tolosa MF, et al. NUAK1 promotes organ fibrosis via YAP and TGF-beta/SMAD signaling. *Sci Transl Med.* 2022;14:eaaz4028.

- 21 Kolliopoulos C, Raja E, Razmara M, Heldin P, Heldin CH, Moustakas A, et al. Transforming growth factor beta (TGFbeta) induces NUAK kinase expression to fine-tune its signaling output. *J Biol Chem.* 2019;**294**:4119–36.
- 22 Walz S, Lorenzin F, Morton J, Wiese KE, von Eyss B, Herold S, et al. Activation and repression by oncogenic MYC shape tumour-specific gene expression profiles. *Nature*. 2014;511:483–7.
- 23 Saborowski M, Saborowski A, Morris JPT, Bosbach B, Dow LE, Pelletier J, et al. A modular and flexible ESCbased mouse model of pancreatic cancer. *Genes Dev*. 2014;28:85–97.
- 24 Farrell AS, Joly MM, Allen-Petersen BL, Worth PJ, Lanciault C, Sauer D, et al. MYC regulates ductalneuroendocrine lineage plasticity in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma associated with poor outcome and chemoresistance. *Nat Commun.* 2017;8:1728.
- 25 Muthalagu N, Monteverde T, Raffo-Iraolagoitia X, Wiesheu R, Whyte D, Hedley A, et al. Repression of the type I interferon pathway underlies MYC & KRAS-dependent evasion of NK & B cells in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Discov*. 2020;10:872–87.
- 26 Muthalagu N, Junttila MR, Wiese KE, Wolf E, Morton J, Bauer B, et al. BIM is the primary mediator of MYC-induced apoptosis in multiple solid tissues. *Cell Rep.* 2014;8:1347–53.
- 27 Fraser E, Young N, Dajani R, Franca-Koh J, Ryves J, Williams RS, et al. Identification of the Axin and frat binding region of glycogen synthase kinase-3. *J Biol Chem.* 2002;**277**:2176–85.
- 28 Roberts MS, Woods AJ, Dale TC, Van Der Sluijs P, Norman JC. Protein kinase B/Akt acts via glycogen synthase kinase 3 to regulate recycling of alpha v beta 3 and alpha 5 beta 1 integrins. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2004;24:1505–15.
- 29 Kim D, Pertea G, Trapnell C, Pimentel H, Kelley R, Salzberg SL. TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. *Genome Biol.* 2013;14:R36.
- 30 Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics*. 2014;**30**:923–30.
- 31 Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 2014;**15**:550.
- 32 Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics*. 2010;**26**:139–40.
- 33 Badea L, Herlea V, Dima SO, Dumitrascu T, Popescu I. Combined gene expression analysis of whole-tissue and microdissected pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma identifies genes specifically overexpressed in tumor epithelia. *Hepatogastroenterology*. 2008;55:2016–27.

- 34 TCGA. Integrated genomic characterization of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Cell*. 2017;**32**:185–203 e113.
- 35 Banerjee S, Buhrlage SJ, Huang HT, Deng X, Zhou W, Wang J, et al. Characterization of WZ4003 and HTH-01-015 as selective inhibitors of the LKB1-tumoursuppressor-activated NUAK kinases. *Biochem J*. 2014;457:215–25.
- 36 Banerjee S, Zagorska A, Deak M, Campbell DG, Prescott AR, Alessi DR. Interplay between polo kinase, LKB1-activated NUAK1 kinase, PP1betaMYPT1 phosphatase complex and the SCFbetaTrCP E3 ubiquitin ligase. *Biochem J*. 2014;461:233–45.
- 37 Werle K, Chen J, Xu HG, Zhao RX, He Q, Lu C, et al. Liver kinase B1 regulates the centrosome via PLK1. *Cell Death Dis.* 2014;**5**:e1157.
- 38 Inazuka F, Sugiyama N, Tomita M, Abe T, Shioi G, Esumi H. Muscle-specific knock-out of NUAK family SNF1-like kinase 1 (NUAK1) prevents high fat dietinduced glucose intolerance. *J Biol Chem.* 2012;287:16379–89.
- 39 Indra AK, Warot X, Brocard J, Bornert JM, Xiao JH, Chambon P, et al. Temporally-controlled site-specific mutagenesis in the basal layer of the epidermis: comparison of the recombinase activity of the tamoxifen-inducible Cre-ER(T) and Cre-ER(T2) recombinases. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1999;**27**:4324–7.
- 40 Arquint C, Nigg EA. The PLK4-STIL-SAS-6 module at the core of centriole duplication. *Biochem Soc Trans*. 2016;44:1253–63.
- 41 Nigg EA, Holland AJ. Once and only once: mechanisms of centriole duplication and their deregulation in disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2018;19:297–312.
- 42 Habedanck R, Stierhof YD, Wilkinson CJ, Nigg EA. The polo kinase Plk4 functions in centriole duplication. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2005;7:1140–6.
- 43 Wong YL, Anzola JV, Davis RL, Yoon M, Motamedi A, Kroll A, et al. Cell biology. Reversible centriole depletion with an inhibitor of polo-like kinase 4. *Science*. 2015;**348**:1155–60.
- 44 Yamashiro S, Yamakita Y, Totsukawa G, Goto H, Kaibuchi K, Ito M, et al. Myosin phosphatase-targeting subunit 1 regulates mitosis by antagonizing polo-like kinase 1. *Dev Cell*. 2008;14:787–97.
- 45 Ong JY, Bradley MC, Torres JZ. Phospho-regulation of mitotic spindle assembly. *Cytoskeleton (Hoboken)*. 2020;**77**:558–78.
- 46 Wakefield JG, Stephens DJ, Tavare JM. A role for glycogen synthase kinase-3 in mitotic spindle dynamics and chromosome alignment. J Cell Sci. 2003;116:637–46.
- 47 Johnson JL, Yaron TM, Huntsman EM, Kerelsky A, Song J, Regev A, et al. An atlas of substrate specificities for the human serine/threonine kinome. *Nature*. 2023;613:759–66.

- 48 Ring DB, Johnson KW, Henriksen EJ, Nuss JM, Goff D, Kinnick TR, et al. Selective glycogen synthase kinase 3 inhibitors potentiate insulin activation of glucose transport and utilization in vitro and in vivo. *Diabetes*. 2003;**52**:588–95.
- 49 Kleylein-Sohn J, Westendorf J, Le Clech M, Habedanck R, Stierhof YD, Nigg EA. Plk4-induced centriole biogenesis in human cells. *Dev Cell*. 2007;13:190–202.
- 50 Ganem NJ, Godinho SA, Pellman D. A mechanism linking extra centrosomes to chromosomal instability. *Nature*. 2009;460:278–82.
- 51 Mardin BR, Agircan FG, Lange C, Schiebel E. Plk1 controls the Nek2A-PP1gamma antagonism in centrosome disjunction. *Curr Biol.* 2011;21:1145–51.
- 52 Pinsky BA, Nelson CR, Biggins S. Protein phosphatase 1 regulates exit from the spindle checkpoint in budding yeast. *Curr Biol.* 2009;**19**:1182–7.
- 53 Moura M, Osswald M, Leça N, Barbosa J, Pereira AJ, Maiato H, et al. Protein phosphatase 1 inactivates Mps1 to ensure efficient spindle assembly checkpoint silencing. *Elife*. 2017;6:e25366.
- 54 Ruggiero A, Katou Y, Shirahige K, Séveno M, Piatti S. The phosphatase PP1 promotes mitotic slippage through Mad3 Dephosphorylation. *Curr Biol.* 2020;**30**:335–43.e335.
- 55 Nai S, Shi Y, Ru H, Ding Y, Geng Q, Li Z, et al. Chk2-dependent phosphorylation of myosin phosphatase targeting subunit 1 (MYPT1) regulates centrosome maturation. *Cell Cycle*. 2019;**18**:2651–9.
- 56 Goetz SC, Anderson KV. The primary cilium: a signalling Centre during vertebrate development. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2010;11:331–44.
- 57 Johnson CA, Collis SJ. Ciliogenesis and the DNA damage response: a stressful relationship. *Cilia*. 2016;5:19.
- 58 Joukov V, De Nicolo A. The centrosome and the primary cilium: the Yin and Yang of a hybrid organelle. *Cells*. 2019;**8**:701.
- 59 Stinchcombe JC, Majorovits E, Bossi G, Fuller S, Griffiths GM. Centrosome polarization delivers secretory granules to the immunological synapse. *Nature*. 2006;443:462–5.
- 60 Seo MS, Jung KH, Kim K, Lee JE, Han BS, Ko S, et al. Discovery of a novel NUAK1 inhibitor against pancreatic cancer. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2022;**152**:113241.
- 61 Rajamani D, Bhasin MK. Identification of key regulators of pancreatic cancer progression through multidimensional systems-level analysis. *Genome Med.* 2016;8:38.
- 62 Wang X, Song Z, Chen F, Yang X, Wu B, Xie S, et al. AMPK-related kinase 5 (ARK5) enhances gemcitabine resistance in pancreatic carcinoma by inducing

epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *Am J Transl Res.* 2018;**10**:4095–106.

63 Watt DM, Morton JP. Heterogeneity in pancreatic cancer fibroblasts-TGFbeta as a master regulator? *Cancers (Basel)*. 2021;**13**:4984.

# **Supporting information**

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article. Fig. S1. NUAK1 expression in PDAC (pertains to main Fig. 1).

Fig. S2. Transcriptomic impact of NUAK1 suppression (pertains to main Fig. 2).

Fig. S3. NUAK1 localisation to centrosomes (pertains to main Fig. 3).

**Fig. S4.** NUAK1 & GSK3 $\beta$  regulation of PLK4 protein levels (pertains to main Figs 4 and 5).

**Fig. S5.** Top 5 kinases predicted to phosphorylate Thr170 of PLK4.