



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Invited Perspective: Can Eating a Healthy Diet during Pregnancy Attenuate the Obesogenic Effects of Persistent Organic Pollutants?

Citation for published version:

Jaacks, L 2023, 'Invited Perspective: Can Eating a Healthy Diet during Pregnancy Attenuate the Obesogenic Effects of Persistent Organic Pollutants?', *Environmental Health Perspectives*, vol. 131, no. 3, 031306, pp. 31306. <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP12193>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1289/EHP12193](https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP12193)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

Environmental Health Perspectives

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Invited Perspective: Can Eating a Healthy Diet during Pregnancy Attenuate the Obesogenic Effects of Persistent Organic Pollutants?

Lindsay Jaacks¹ 

¹Global Academy of Agriculture and Food Systems, University of Edinburgh, Midlothian, UK

<https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP12193>

Refers to <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP11258>

The problem of childhood obesity is substantial. For most of the 20th century, childhood obesity was rare, even in high-income countries.¹ However, today obesity affects an estimated 50 million girls and 75 million boys 5–19 years of age around the world.¹ The etiology of obesity is complex; it is not always as simple as eating more calories than you expend. Early life exposures to obesogenic synthetic chemicals, particularly persistent organic pollutants (POPs),² can influence how our bodies metabolize food, acting through epigenetic mechanisms.³ Pregnant women are, therefore, an important population to target to reduce the obesogenic effects of POPs on future generations.⁴

The persistence of these chemicals means that even after regulations are enacted to restrict or prohibit their use, they can still be detected in the general population. For example, the insecticide dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) was banned in the United States in 1972,⁵ yet studies have shown that DDT's primary metabolite, dichlorodiphenyldichloroethene (DDE), continues to be detected in nearly 100% of the biomonitoring subsample of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey.⁶ The pervasiveness of these chemicals also makes it remarkably challenging to reduce exposures through behavior change interventions.^{7,8}

So how can we protect pregnant women and their offspring from potentially obesogenic synthetic chemicals? Nutrition interventions have been proposed as one potential solution, and there is precedent for such interventions in other fields. For example, the COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the role that healthy diets play in reducing susceptibility to viral infection.⁹ Analogously, healthy diets may reduce susceptibility to the adverse effects of obesogens.¹⁰ In addition, some research has shown that certain components of healthy diets, such as fiber,^{11,12} can bind to POPs and prevent their absorption. On the other hand, high-fat diets may enhance the absorption of POPs.¹³

In this issue of *Environmental Health Perspectives*, Cano-Sancho et al. aimed to determine if certain nutrients interact with POPs early in pregnancy and affect risk of obesity in children at 7 years of age.¹⁴ To do so, they presented a “comprehensive exploratory framework within a hypothesis-driven context” to screen for two-way interactions between 10 POPs and 17 nutrients. To my knowledge, this is the first study to assess the joint effects of POPs and nutrients on obesity.

After identifying two potential two-way interaction pairs—hexachlorobenzene (HCB) and vitamin B₁₂, and perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and β-cryptoxanthin (an antioxidant)—the authors characterized each pair's interactive relationships using

multivariable regression. They found that the increased risk of childhood obesity associated with HCB exposure was greatest in women with the highest blood levels of vitamin B₁₂; in other words, a synergistic effect. In contrast, the increased risk of childhood obesity associated with PFOS exposure was attenuated in women with the highest blood levels of β-cryptoxanthin, although the effect was smaller and less consistent.

The lack of studies on nutrient–pollutant interactions is surprising given that it was posited in *Environmental Health Perspectives* >50 y ago that nutritional status plays an important role in susceptibility to toxicity.¹⁵ How can we make more substantive progress? To start, we need more training and research to be conducted by multidisciplinary teams that combine epidemiologists, toxicologists, nutritionists, data scientists, and clinicians, among others. Given that pregnant women's chemical mixture exposures and their underlying nutritional status will vary widely across populations, it is important that these multidisciplinary teams conduct studies in a diversity of settings around the world.

One of the key limitations of the study by Cano-Sancho et al.¹⁴ is the small sample size, which, as they pointed out, increased their false negative discovery rate. Efforts to collate data across birth cohorts and conduct pooled analyses (i.e., a mega-analysis) could help address issues of small sample size. In Europe alone, a recent systematic review identified 111 birth cohorts.¹⁶ The Environmental Health Risks in European Birth Cohorts project, which launched in 2009, reviewed environmental exposure and health data available in 37 European birth cohorts.¹⁷ At the time of that review, 20 of the 37 cohorts were assessed for metals, 18 for pesticides, 19 for POPs, and 18 for other chemicals.¹⁷ More recently, the Environment and Child Health International Birth Cohort Group demonstrated the feasibility of harmonizing blood lead levels across five cohorts.^{18,19} Two studies published in 2016 combined results of four birth cohorts in the United States that evaluated biomarkers of organophosphorus pesticide exposure.^{20,21}

Can eating a healthy diet during pregnancy attenuate the obesogenic effects of POPs? It is too soon to say conclusively one way or the other. In their study, Cano-Sancho et al.¹⁴ suggest “maybe antioxidants can.” However, much more research is needed, and we should proceed with caution in making clinical recommendations. This is particularly true as relates to supplements, given the observed increased risk of childhood obesity related to HCB exposure in women with the highest intakes of vitamin B₁₂, which was related to supplement intake.¹⁴ Nonetheless, existing recommendations for pregnant and lactating women, such as the *Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2020–2025*,²² already advise a diet rich in fresh fruits and vegetables (an important source of antioxidants), whole grains, low-fat or fat-free dairy products, and high-protein foods, including lean meats, chicken, eggs, seafood, beans, lentils, nuts, seeds, and tofu. Therefore, continuing to recommend they follow these guidelines may have co-benefits by reducing the consequences of obesogen exposure.

References

1. Di Cesare M, Sorici M, Bovet P, Miranda JJ, Bhutta Z, Stevens GA, et al. 2019. The epidemiological burden of obesity in childhood: a worldwide epidemic

Address correspondence to Lindsay Jaacks. Email: lindsay.jaacks@ed.ac.uk
The author declares she has no competing interests to disclose.

Received 23 September 2022; Revised 21 December 2022; Accepted 17 February 2023; Published 16 March 2023.

Note to readers with disabilities: *EHP* strives to ensure that all journal content is accessible to all readers. However, some figures and Supplemental Material published in *EHP* articles may not conform to 508 standards due to the complexity of the information being presented. If you need assistance accessing journal content, please contact ehpsubmissions@niehs.nih.gov. Our staff will work with you to assess and meet your accessibility needs within 3 working days.

- requiring urgent action. *BMC Med* 17(1):212, PMID: 31760948, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-019-1449-8>.
2. Stratakis N, Rock S, La Merrill MA, Saez M, Robinson O, Fecht D, et al. 2022. Prenatal exposure to persistent organic pollutants and childhood obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis of human studies. *Obes Rev* 23(suppl 1): e13383, PMID: 34766696, <https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.13383>.
 3. Heindel JJ, Howard S, Agay-Shay K, Arrebola JP, Audouze K, Babin PJ, et al. 2022. Obesity II: establishing causal links between chemical exposures and obesity. *Biochem Pharmacol* 199:115015, PMID: 35395240, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2022.115015>.
 4. Grandjean P, Abdennebi-Najar L, Barouki R, Cranor CF, Etzel RA, Gee D, et al. 2019. Timescales of developmental toxicity impacting on research and needs for intervention. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol* 125(suppl 3):70–80, PMID: 30387920, <https://doi.org/10.1111/bcpt.13162>.
 5. U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 2022. DDT—A Brief History and Status. Last updated 21 April 2022. <https://www.epa.gov/ingredients-used-pesticide-products/ddt-brief-history-and-status> [accessed 21 December 2022].
 6. Li M, Wang R, Su C, Li J, Wu Z. 2022. Temporal trends of exposure to organochlorine pesticides in the United States: a population study from 2005 to 2016. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 19(7):3862, PMID: 35409545, <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19073862>.
 7. Sathyanarayana S, Alcedo G, Saelens BE, Zhou C, Dills RL, Yu J, et al. 2013. Unexpected results in a randomized dietary trial to reduce phthalate and bisphenol A exposures. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol* 23(4):378–384, PMID: 23443238, <https://doi.org/10.1038/jes.2013.9>.
 8. Galloway TS, Baglin N, Lee BP, Kocur AL, Shepherd MH, Steele AM, et al. 2018. An engaged research study to assess the effect of a 'real-world' dietary intervention on urinary bisphenol A (BPA) levels in teenagers. *BMJ Open* 8(2): e018742, PMID: 29431133, <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018742>.
 9. Merino J, Joshi AD, Nguyen LH, Leeming ER, Mazidi M, Drew DA, et al. 2021. Diet quality and risk and severity of COVID-19: a prospective cohort study. *Gut* 70(11):2096–2104, PMID: 34489306, <https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2021-325353>.
 10. Sargis RM, Heindel JJ, Padmanabhan V. 2019. Interventions to address environmental metabolism-disrupting chemicals: changing the narrative to empower action to restore metabolic health. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* 10:33, PMID: 30778334, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2019.00033>.
 11. Zhan J, Liang Y, Liu D, Ma X, Li P, Zhai W, et al. 2019. Pectin reduces environmental pollutant-induced obesity in mice through regulating gut microbiota: a case study of p,p'-DDE. *Environ Int* 130:104861, PMID: 31195221, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.05.055>.
 12. Aozasa O, Ohta S, Nakao T, Miyata H, Nomura T. 2001. Enhancement in fecal excretion of dioxin isomer in mice by several dietary fibers. *Chemosphere* 45(2):195–200, PMID: 11572611, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535\(00\)00557-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(00)00557-9).
 13. Dulfer WJ, Groten JP, Govers HA. 1996. Effect of fatty acids and the aqueous diffusion barrier on the uptake and transport of polychlorinated biphenyls in Caco-2 cells. *J Lipid Res* 37(5):950–961, PMID: 8725148, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2275\(20\)42006-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2275(20)42006-1).
 14. Cano-Sancho G, Warembourg C, Güil N, Stratakis N, Lertxundi A, Irizar A, et al. 2023. Nutritional modulation of associations between prenatal exposure to persistent organic pollutants and childhood obesity: a prospective cohort study. *Environ Health Perspect* 131(3):037011, <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP11258>.
 15. Mahaffey KR, Vanderveen JE. 1979. Nutrient-toxicant interactions: susceptible populations. *Environ Health Perspect* 29:81–87, PMID: 510246, <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.792981>.
 16. Pansieri C, Pandolfini C, Clavenna A, Choonara I, Bonati M. 2020. An inventory of European birth cohorts. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 17(9):3071, PMID: 32354101, <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17093071>.
 17. Gehring U, Casas M, Brunekreef B, Bergström A, Bonde JP, Botton J, et al. 2013. Environmental exposure assessment in European birth cohorts: results from the ENRIECO project. *Environ Health* 12:8, PMID: 23343014, <https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-12-8>.
 18. Etzel R, Charles MA, Dellarco M, Gajesk K, Jöckel K, Hirschfeld S, et al. 2014. Harmonizing biomarker measurements in longitudinal studies of children's health and the environment. *Biomonitoring* 1:97223266.
 19. Nakayama SF, Espina C, Kamijima M, Magnus P, Charles MA, Zhang J, et al. 2019. Benefits of cooperation among large-scale cohort studies and human biomonitoring projects in environmental health research: an exercise in blood lead analysis of the Environment and Child Health International Birth Cohort Group. *Int J Hyg Environ Health* 222(8):1059–1067, PMID: 31327570, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2019.07.005>.
 20. Harley KG, Engel SM, Vedar MG, Eskenazi B, Whyatt RM, Lanphear BP, et al. 2016. Prenatal exposure to organophosphorous pesticides and fetal growth: pooled results from four longitudinal birth cohort studies. *Environ Health Perspect* 124(7):1084–1092, PMID: 26685281, <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1409362>.
 21. Engel SM, Bradman A, Wolff MS, Rauh VA, Harley KG, Yang JH, et al. 2016. Prenatal organophosphorus pesticide exposure and child neurodevelopment at 24 months: an analysis of four birth cohorts. *Environ Health Perspect* 124(6):822–830, PMID: 26418669, <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1409474>.
 22. U.S. Department of Agriculture. 2020. *Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2020–2025*. Washington DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture. https://www.dietaryguidelines.gov/sites/default/files/2021-03/Dietary_Guidelines_for_Americans-2020-2025.pdf [accessed 8 March 2023].