### Check for updates

### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Ting Peng, Henan Agricultural University, China

#### REVIEWED BY Jianfeng Weng, Institute of Crop Sciences (CAAS), China Muhammad Irfan Siddique, North Carolina State University, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Hui Liao Mnkliaohui@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Plant Breeding, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

RECEIVED 27 February 2023 ACCEPTED 28 March 2023 PUBLISHED 12 April 2023

#### CITATION

Zhang X, Wang M, Guan H, Wen H, Zhang C, Dai C, Wang J, Pan B, Li J and Liao H (2023) Genetic dissection of QTLs for oil content in four maize DH populations. *Front. Plant Sci.* 14:1174985. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1174985

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Zhang, Wang, Guan, Wen, Zhang, Dai, Wang, Pan, Li and Liao. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or

reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Genetic dissection of QTLs for oil content in four maize DH populations

Xiaolei Zhang<sup>1†</sup>, Min Wang<sup>2†</sup>, Haitao Guan<sup>1</sup>, Hongtao Wen<sup>1</sup>, Changzheng Zhang<sup>3</sup>, Changjun Dai<sup>1</sup>, Jing Wang<sup>1</sup>, Bo Pan<sup>1</sup>, Jialei Li<sup>4</sup> and Hui Liao<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Quality and Safety Institute of Agricultural Products, Heilongjiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Harbin, Heilongjiang, China, <sup>2</sup>National Maize Improvement Center of China, College of Agronomy and Biotechnology, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China, <sup>3</sup>Maize Yufeng Biotechnology LLC, Beijing, China, <sup>4</sup>Food Processing Institute, Heilongjiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Harbin, Heilongjiang, China

Oil is one of the main components in maize kernels. Increasing the total oil content (TOC) is favorable to optimize feeding requirement by improving maize quality. To better understand the genetic basis of TOC, quantitative trait loci (QTL) in four double haploid (DH) populations were explored. TOC exhibited continuously and approximately normal distribution in the four populations. The moderate to high broad-sense heritability (67.00-86.60%) indicated that the majority of TOC variations are controlled by genetic factors. A total of 16 QTLs were identified across all chromosomes in a range of 3.49-30.84% in term of phenotypic variation explained. Among them, six QTLs were identified as the major QTLs that explained phenotypic variation larger than 10%. Especially, qOC-1-3 and qOC-2-3 on chromosome 9 were recognized as the largest effect QTLs with 30.84% and 21.74% of phenotypic variance, respectively. Seventeen wellknown genes involved in fatty acid metabolic pathway located within QTL intervals. These QTLs will enhance our understanding of the genetic basis of TOC in maize and offer prospective routes to clone candidate genes regulating TOC for breeding program to cultivate maize varieties with the better grain quality.

KEYWORDS Maize, DH, kernel, oil, QTL

## 1 Introduction

The modern maize (*Zea mays* L.) kernels are composed of approximately 72% starch, 10% protein, 4% oil, and 14% other constituents (Laurie et al., 2004; Ranum et al., 2014). Oil predominantly accumulates in the embryo and is stored in the form of triacylglycerols, which is composed of roughly 59% polyunsaturated, 24% monounsaturated and 13% saturated fatty acid (Dupont et al., 1990; Lambert, 2001). The proper ratio of unsaturated to saturated fatty acids in maize oil is considered as a character of high-quality oil for human

health (Han et al., 1987; Benitez et al., 1999; Lambert et al., 2004). In addition, the high energy and proportion of polyunsaturated fatty acids is highly valued for animal feed, industrial applications and an alternative to fossil fuels (Hou et al., 2022). Thus, the ability to improve oil quantity and quality has been a key target for plant breeding and biotechnology-assisted improvement (Yang et al., 2012; Li et al., 2013).

High-oil maize hybrids (oil concentration > 6%) are considered as an important crop with valued nutrient (Wei et al., 2009). A series of genetic resources have been generated by long-term artificial selection of high-oil maize populations (Fang et al., 2021). The oil concentration of initial open-pollinated variety Illinois High Oil (IHO) reached about 20% after 100 generations of selection (Dudley and Lambert, 2004). A normal maize synthetic Zhongzong No. 2, which was synthesized with 12 inbred lines of Lancast heterotic group, was used to produce the Beijing High Oil (BHO) with oil concentration increased from 4.71 to 15.55% after 18 selection cycles (Song and Chen, 2004). The inbred line By804 was derived from the high-oil population 'Beinongda' and its oil concentration reached 11.22% (Zhang et al., 2008).

As the unique and precious resources, these high oil materials provide an opportunity to understand the genetic architecture of oil and fatty acid biosynthesis, which in turn increase the efficiency of selection to improve oil concentration and quality (Wassom et al., 2008a; Wassom et al., 2008b; Yang et al., 2010; Li et al., 2020). Combined with map-based cloning, QTL mapping is the most powerful and efficient strategy to identify the genomic region that controls complex quantitative traits in plants (Goldman et al., 1994; Lima et al., 2006; Messmer et al., 2009). The total oil content (TOC) is a quantitative trait, and many quantitative trait loci (QTL) have been demonstrated to control the seed oil accumulation in a randomly mated  $F_{2:3}$  population IHO × ILO (Alrefai et al., 1995; Berke and Rocheford, 1995; Laurie et al., 2004; Clark et al., 2006; Dudley, 2008). These studies revealed that TOC was controlled by numerous genes with individually small effects and mainly additive gene action (Yang et al., 2010). In addition, using a recombinant inbred line (RIL) population derived from B73 × By804, a relatively small number of QTL were detected and accounted for a large percentage of the total phenotypic variation (Song and Chen, 2004; Zhang et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2010; Pan et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2012). These studies also indicated that epistasis is a key factor affecting the genetic basis of oil content in maize kernel (Wassom et al., 2008b; Yang et al., 2010). Similar results were also obtained in two publicly available maize genetic resources, NAM (the nested association mapping population) and AMP508 (association mapping population) based on highresolution and high power QTL analysis (Lambert et al., 2004; Cook et al., 2012). A high-oil QTL (qHO6) on chromosome 6 has been cloned and the candidate gene encodes an acyl-CoA: diacylglycerol acyltransferase (DGAT1-2), which catalyzes the final step of oil synthesis (Zheng et al., 2008). The major QTL QTL-Pal9 explaining 42% of the phenotypic variation in palmitic acid content was identified on maize chromosome 9 in a bi-parental segregating population and the candidate gene Zmfatb encodes acyl-ACP thioesterase (Li et al., 2011).

Distinct mapping populations were featured with advantages and limitations, which results in significant impacts on QTL outputs (Odell et al., 2022). DH segregating populations have been commonly used in QTL analysis for several specific advantages (Chaikam et al., 2019). Complete homozygosity of DH lines allows accurate phenotyping over multiple locations and years compared to families in early selfing generations (Foiada et al., 2015; Yan et al., 2017). In this study, we utilized four DH populations derived from the practical breeding program to further dissect the genetic basis and QTLs controlling the phenotypic variation of TOC in maize kernels. Our intention was to describe the genetic architecture of oil variation in extensive scale and provide the prospective targets to identify candidate genes for increasing oil concentration in commercial maize germplasms.

### 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Plant materials and field experiments

Four DH populations (TOC1, TOC2, TOC3 and TOC4) were constructed as previously method described (Chaikam et al., 2019; Du et al., 2020). The eight inbred parental lines exhibiting the variation in TOC (Table 1) were belonged to Maize Yufeng Biotechnology LLC (Beijing, China) and selected as elite inbred lines used for optimizing grain nutritional quality breeding program. Parents of TOC1 and TOC2 belong to maize Lancaste germplasm, and parents of TOC3 and TOC4 belong to Reid Yellow Dent germplasm. The populations (TOC1, TOC2, TOC3 and TOC4) including 123, 129, 281 and 160 lines, respectively (Table 1). Each population with its parents were planted in 2021 at Liaoning province, China (LN, 40° 82'N, 123°56'E) with three replication blocks. All lines were planted in a single row plot with the length of 150 cm and 60 cm using a complete randomized block design under natural field conditions. All plants were self-pollinated and kernels from middle part of three well-grown ears were harvested and dried for oil measurement. We declare that all the collections of plant and seed specimens related to this study were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations by Ministry of Agriculture (MOA) of the People's Republic of China.

## 2.2 Evaluation of oil content and statistical analysis of phenotypic data

Near infrared reflectance (NIR) spectrometer (DA 7250, Perten Instruments Inc., Sweden) was used to measure TOC in maize kernels as previously described with a few modifications (Chen and Hu, 2017). The reflectance spectra were collected in a range of 400 to 2500 nm with 10-nm intervals in the NIR region. A minimum of 50 kernels per sample was scanned three times and the average was taken as final phenotypic value.

All statistical analyses were performed by using R Version 4.0.1 (www.R-project.org) as previously described (Zhang et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2022). The R 'AOV' function was used to estimate the variances of TOC. The model for the variance analysis was as following:  $y = \mu + \alpha_g + \beta_e + \varepsilon$ , where  $\alpha_g$  is the effect of the  $g^{th}$  line,  $\beta_e$ 

| Trait <sup>a</sup>        | Populations     |               |                 |               |                 |               |             |             |  |
|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|--|
|                           | TOC1            |               | TOC2            |               | TOC3            |               | TOC4        |             |  |
| Parents                   |                 |               |                 |               |                 |               |             |             |  |
| means ± SD (%)            | KB717001        | $4.14\pm0.17$ | KB717001        | $4.14\pm0.17$ | AJ519002        | $4.30\pm0.10$ | AJ519004    | 4.43 ± 0.02 |  |
|                           | KB519009        | 3.50 ± 0.15   | KB719010        | 3.16 ± 0.05   | AJ519001        | 4.90 ± 0.09   | AJ519006    | 4.95 ± 0.09 |  |
| p value <sup>b</sup>      | 0.008**         |               | 0.0             | 006**         | 0.002**         |               | 0.007**     |             |  |
| DHs                       |                 |               |                 |               |                 |               |             |             |  |
| Size                      | 123             |               | 129             |               | 281             |               | 160         |             |  |
| means ± SD (%)            | $4.57 \pm 0.41$ |               | $4.42 \pm 0.40$ |               | $4.50 \pm 0.42$ |               | 5.02 ± 0.41 |             |  |
| Range (%)                 | 3.64 - 5.58     |               | 3.59 - 5.48     |               | 3.10 - 5.42     |               | 4.06 - 6.13 |             |  |
| $\sigma_g^{2 c}$          | 0.205           |               | 0.183           |               | 0.186           |               | 0.168       |             |  |
| $\sigma_e^{2 d}$          | 0.027           |               | 0.059           |               | 0.023           |               | 0.009       |             |  |
| $\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2 e}$ | 0.126           |               | 0.085           |               | 0.274           |               | 0.197       |             |  |
| $h^{2}$ (%) <sup>f</sup>  | 83.00%          |               | 86.60%          |               | 67              | .00%          | 71.80%      |             |  |

TABLE 1 Phenotypic performance, variance, and broad-sense heritability of TOC in the four DH populations.

<sup>a</sup>TOC;

<sup>b</sup>P value based on a t-test evaluating two parental lines;

<sup>c</sup>genetic variance; <sup>d</sup>environmental variance:

environmental varia eresidual variance

<sup>f</sup>broad-sense heritability (h<sup>2</sup>);

\*\* p ≤ 0.01.

is the effect of the e<sup>th</sup> environment, and  $\epsilon$  is the error. The effects in the model were defined by random. The broad-sense heritability  $(h^2)$  analyzed in the populations was calculated according to Knapp et al., 1985. The formula was  $h^2 = \sigma_g^2/(\sigma_g^2 + \sigma_e^2/e)$ , where  $\sigma_g^2$  is the genetic variance,  $\sigma_e^2$  is the residual error, and *e* is the number of environments. The best linear unbiased predictor (BLUP) value of each line was calculated as:  $y_{ij} = \mu + e_i + f_j + \epsilon_{ij}$ , where  $y_{ij}$  is the phenotypic value of individual *j* in environment i,  $\mu$  is the grand mean,  $e_i$  is the effect of different environments,  $f_j$  is the genetic effect, and  $\epsilon_{ij}$  is the random error. The grand mean was fitted as a fixed effect, and genotype and environment were considered random effects (Wang et al., 2015). All of these variances were estimated using the 'LME4' R package. The BLUP values were used for phenotypic description statistics and QTL analysis.

# 2.3 Genotyping and constructing genetic linkage map

The four DH populations with their parents were genotyped using the GenoBaits Maize 1K marker panel (Mol Breeding Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Shijiazhuang, China). A total of 4,589 SNP markers were identified on the basis of genotyping by target sequencing platform (Guo et al., 2019). The minor allele frequency (MAF) and missing rate were estimated in each population and the SNPs with MAF < 0.1 or missing rate > 0.6 were filtered out. After quality control, the polymorphic SNPs between two parental lines were used to construct the genetic linkage maps using the R/qtl package functions est.rf and est.map (Broman et al., 2003) with the kosambi mapping method.

### 2.4 QTL mapping

Composite interval mapping (CIM) method followed by multiple QTL mapping analysis was performed using Windows QTL Cartographer 2.5 and R language (Wang et al., 2010a). The whole genome was scanned at every 1.0 cM interval with a window size of 10 cM. A forward and backward stepwise regression with five controlling markers was conducted to control background from flanking markers. The empirical logarithm of the odds (LOD) threshold was calculated using 1,000 permutations at a significance level of p = 0.05 (Churchill and Doerge, 1994). These threshold LOD values were in a range of 2.76 to 3.06 in four DH populations. QTLs with LOD value greater than the threshold were considered for further analysis. With the 1.5-LOD support interval method, the confidence interval for each QTL position was estimated (Lander and Botstein, 1989). The additive × additive epistatic interactions was performed by "IM-EPI" method in IciMapping Version 4.2.

### 2.5 Gene annotation

QTLs were delimited to a single peak bin interval based on bin map. The protein-coding genes within intervals were listed

according to MaizeGDB database (V2). Each of the corresponding gene were annotated by performing BLASTP searches at the NCBI (blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

## **3** Results

# 3.1 Phenotypic variation and heritability of TOC in maize kernel

Four DH populations, TOC1-TOC4 were developed from eight inbred lines (TOC with a range of 3.16-4.95%). Each population contained 123-281 lines, respectively (Table 1). Within each DH population, TOC exhibited a continuously and approximately normal distribution, which is the typical characteristic of quantitative trait (Figure 1 and Table 1). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) revealed that the genotype variance was greater than environmental variance in all populations (Table 1), indicating that phenotypic variations were mainly controlled by genetic factors. Broad-sense heritability estimates were calculated and showed high for TOC1 and TOC2 populations (83.00-86.60%), and moderate for TOC3 and TOC4 populations (67.00-71.80%) (Table 1). The moderate to high heritability indicated that most of TOC variations in these DH populations were genetically controlled and suitable for further QTL mapping.

### 3.2 Genotyping and genetic linkage map

A GenoBaits Maize 1K SNP marker panel was used for genotyping all DH lines in the four populations. After quality control, a total of 1,217, 575, 1,022 and 1,039 polymorphic SNPs were identified for TOC1-TOC4 populations, respectively. These high-fidelity SNPs were used to construct the genetic linkage map with the missing rate in most lines less than 2% (Figure S1). In total, 925.92, 684.23, 860.81 and 836.67 cM genetic distances spanned in four linkage maps (Figure S2), and the average genetic distance between every two adjacent markers was 0.77, 1.21, 0.85, and 0.81 cM in each DH population, respectively (Table S1).

# 3.3 Identification of QTLs for TOC in four DH populations

A total of 16 QTLs were identified with a LOD threshold of above 3.00 at the 0.05 significance level (Table 2 and Figure 2). Among them, 3, 4, 5 and 4 QTLs were detected in TOC1, TOC2, TOC3 and TOC4, respectively. The average genetic intervals of these QTLs was 82.69 cM in a range of 36.56-125.29 cM. The average physical interval was 102.58 Mb in a range of 11.96-232.42 Mb. The contribution to phenotypic variation for each population ranged from 40.99 (TOC3) to 62.05% (TOC2) with an average of 51.10%. The explained phenotypic variation were less than broadsense heritability (Tables 1, 2), suggesting that only part of QTLs have been detected in these bi-parent populations.

In TOC1, three QTLs (qOC-1-1, qOC-1-2 and qOC-1-3) distributed on chromosome 3, 5 and 9. The QTL, qOC-1-3, with the largest effect (30.84% of the phenotypic variation) was located on chromosome 9. The parental KB717001 allele at this locus had an additive effect of 0.24% for increased oil content. The second QTL qOC-1-2 was located on chromosome 5, and explained 11.64% of phenotypic variance with an additive effect of 0.15%. qOC-1-1 on chromosome 3 explained 7.50% of the phenotypic variance and considered as a minor QTL. The parent KB717001 allele at all of mapped loci had increasing effects for TOC.

In TOC2, four QTLs (qOC-2-1, qOC-2-2, qOC-2-3 and qOC-2-4) were identified and accounted for 62.50% of the total phenotypic variance. One major QTL qOC-2-3 located on chromosome 9 and contributed to 21.74% of the explained phenotypic variance. The second QTL qOC-2-2 on chromosome 2 explained 13.53% of phenotypic variance with an additive effect of 0.15%. The qOC-2-1 and qOC-2-4 explained 5.72% and 7.26% of the phenotypic variance, respectively The parent KB717001 allele increased the TOC for qOC-2-1, qOC-2-2 and qOC-2-3, but decreased the TOC for qOC-2-4.

In TOC3, a total of five QTLs (qOC-3-1, qOC-3-2, qOC-3-3, qOC-3-4 and qOC-3-5) were detected and explained 40.99% of the total phenotypic variance. qOC-3-3 on chromosome 4 was the major QTL explaining phenotypic variation of 12.99% with an additive effect of 0.15%. The parent AJ519002 allele at qOC-3-2



### TABLE 2 Individual QTL for TOC in the four DH populations.

| Populations | QTL         | Chr. <sup>a</sup> | G-Peak<br>(cM) <sup>b</sup> | P-Peak (Mb)<br>_V4 <sup>c</sup> | G-<br>Range<br>(cM) <sup>d</sup> | P-Range (Mb)<br>V4 <sup>e</sup> | LOD   | PVE<br>% <sup>f</sup> | Add. <sup>g</sup> | Parent   | PVE(%)<br>-ALL <sup>i</sup> |
|-------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------|-----------------------------|
| TOC1        | qOC-<br>1-1 | 3                 | 48.55                       | 162.54                          | 41.05-<br>52.23                  | 112.37-169.00                   | 4.68  | 7.50                  | 0.12              | KB717001 | 55.82                       |
|             | qOC-<br>1-2 | 5                 | 53.92                       | 193.53                          | 50.84-<br>58.39                  | 191.47-199.14                   | 5.61  | 11.64                 | 0.15              | KB717001 |                             |
|             | qOC-<br>1-3 | 9                 | 34.02                       | 125.24                          | 31.10-<br>41.66                  | 113.85-143.02                   | 12.24 | 30.84                 | 0.24              | KB717001 |                             |
| TOC2        | qOC-<br>2-1 | 1                 | 19.15                       | 12.72                           | 8.15-<br>29.13                   | 12.72-26.18                     | 4.17  | 7.26                  | 0.11              | KB717001 | - 62.05                     |
|             | qOC-<br>2-2 | 2                 | 20.42                       | 30.39                           | 13.01-<br>24.42                  | 11.17-30.39                     | 8.67  | 13.53                 | 0.15              | KB717001 |                             |
|             | qOC-<br>2-3 | 9                 | 27.23                       | 129.70                          | 25.45-<br>28.83                  | 122.00-130.80                   | 12.80 | 21.74                 | 0.20              | KB717001 |                             |
|             | qOC-<br>2-4 | 10                | 23.05                       | 55.99                           | 23.05-<br>24.63                  | 55.99-79.47                     | 4.03  | 5.72                  | -0.10             | KB719010 |                             |
| TOC3        | qOC-<br>3-1 | 2                 | 42.18                       | 58.26                           | 40.75-<br>42.18                  | 46.13-58.26                     | 3.49  | 3.49                  | -0.08             | AJ519001 | 40.99                       |
|             | qOC-<br>3-2 | 3                 | 37.82                       | 22.64                           | 29.65-<br>44.47                  | 11.58-149.70                    | 7.37  | 8.39                  | 0.12              | AJ519002 |                             |
|             | qOC-<br>3-3 | 4                 | 47.22                       | 232.42                          | 43.03-<br>56.33                  | 196.02-241.81                   | 11.71 | 12.99                 | -0.15             | AJ519001 |                             |
|             | qOC-<br>3-4 | 5                 | 30.81                       | 43.18                           | 23.31-<br>38.99                  | 15.74-85.58                     | 5.33  | 5.41                  | -0.10             | AJ519001 |                             |
|             | qOC-<br>3-5 | 5                 | 65.51                       | 202.27                          | 58.23-<br>79.97                  | 188.27-207.38                   | 7.58  | 8.26                  | -0.12             | AJ519001 |                             |
| TOC4        | qOC-<br>4-1 | 5                 | 24.43                       | 11.96                           | 15.65-<br>31.84                  | 6.09-20.79                      | 5.12  | 8.84                  | -0.13             | AJ519006 | - 45.54                     |
|             | qOC-<br>4-2 | 6                 | 36.45                       | 131.71                          | 35.42-<br>45.25                  | 129.11-140.52                   | 6.83  | 13.05                 | -0.15             | AJ519006 |                             |
|             | qOC-<br>4-3 | 7                 | 54.69                       | 165.51                          | 54.69-<br>54.69                  | 146.02-168.32                   | 3.04  | 5.07                  | -0.10             | AJ519006 |                             |
|             | qOC-<br>4-4 | 8                 | 21.13                       | 63.28                           | 19.12-<br>22.76                  | 10.65-65.48                     | 8.82  | 16.20                 | -0.18             | AJ519006 |                             |

<sup>a</sup>Chromosome;

<sup>b</sup>Genetic position in centimorgans (cM) of QTL with the highest LOD;

<sup>c</sup>Physical position of QTL based on the B73 reference sequence (V4);

<sup>d</sup>Genetic position range in centimorgans (cM) of QTL with the highest LOD;

<sup>e</sup>Physical position range of QTL based on the B73 reference sequence (V4);

<sup>f</sup>Percentage of the phenotypic variation explained by the additive effect of QTL;

<sup>g</sup>Additive effect of QTL;

<sup>h</sup>which parental allele increased the TOC;

<sup>i</sup>Percentage of the phenotypic variation explained by the additive effect of all QTL.

increased the TOC, whereas the parent AJ519001 allele at other QTLs increased the TOC.

In TOC4, a total of four QTLs were identified (*qOC-4-1*, *qOC-4-2*, *qOC-4-3* and *qOC-4-4*) and accounted for 45.54% of the total phenotypic variance. *qOC-4-2* on chromosome 6 and *qOC-4-3* on chromosome 8 were two major QTLs explaining the phenotypic variation of 13.05% and 16.20%, respectively. *qOC-4-1* and *qOC-4-3* were two minor QTLs explaining 8.84% and 5.07% phenotypic variation, respectively. The parent AJ519006 allele at all these QTLs increased the TOC.

# 3.4 Genetic overlap of QTLs in the four DH populations with other populations

Several overlapped QTLs regions were detected across the four populations, including a 37.32 Mb overlap between *qOC-1-1* and *qOC-3-2*, and a 5.05 Mb overlap between *qOC-3-4* and *qOC-4-1* (Figure 3). Moreover, *qOC-1-2* and *qOC-2-3* located within *qOC-3-5* and *qOC-1-3*, respectively (Figure 3).

To investigate whether these newly-identified QTLs shared across different genetic background, we compared their genomic



The distribution of QTLs across the entire genome in the four DH populations. The upper of each picture displayed LOD score (y-axis) against the physical position (x-axis) of markers, while the bottom of the picture displayed additive effect (y-axis) against the physical position (x-axis) of markers. (A-D) designated TOC1, TOC2, TOC3 and TOC4, respectively.

locations with QTLs related to oil traits from the other eight previous studies (Mangolin et al., 2004; Wassom et al., 2008a; Wassom et al., 2008b; Wang et al., 2010b; Cook et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2012; Li et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2016; Karn et al., 2017; Fang et al., 2020 and Fang et al., 2021). A total of 56 genomic regions related to oil synthesis and accumulations were identified to be overlapped with QTLs in our four DH populations (Figure 3). These results indicated that although unique and specific QTLs were detected in each population, some genetic loci may have common effects on TOC among different types of populations.

### 4 Discussion

### 4.1 QTL mapping precision

The genetic architecture of a quantitative trait consists of a set of parameters that explain the genetic component of trait variation within or among populations (Laurie et al., 2004). These parameters include the number of QTL affecting the trait, their locations in the genome, the frequencies of alternative genotypes segregating at the QTL, the pattern of linkage disequilibria among QTL, and the



#### Frontiers in Plant Science

magnitudes of additive, dominance, and epistatic effects (Laurie et al., 2004). Different types of populations used in QTL mapping tend to vary with two main characteristics: (1) their ability to capture genetic diversity, and (2) their power to detect QTL of small effect (Odell et al., 2022). The advantages of DH populations are the capability of removing any residual heterozygosity to ensure genetically identical replicates and increasing selection response by stabilizing heritability of various traits during perse and test cross evaluation (Bordes et al., 2006; Gallais and Bordes, 2007; Mayor and Bernardo, 2009; Odell et al., 2022).

SNP markers are the most frequent variations in genomes and the application of SNP markers in plant breeding has guaranteed the precision of QTL mapping and genetic analysis (Bhattramakki et al., 2002; Mammadov et al., 2012; Flutre et al., 2022; Kaur et al., 2022). By conditioning linked markers in the test, the sensitivity of the test statistic to the position of individual QTLs is increased, and the precision of QTL mapping can be improved (Zeng, 1994). Subsequently, with the development of sequencing technology, an increasing number of molecular markers have been applied to QTL mapping, which greatly improves the accuracy of QTL mapping (Schnable et al., 2009; Chia et al., 2012; Bukowski et al., 2018; Fang et al., 2021). In this study, a total of 16 QTLs were found and distributed across all ten chromosomes. 13 QTLs spanned physical intervals of less than 50 Mb, and two span less than 10 Mb. Thus, the resolution in this study is considerably improved because of the large number of markers and the appropriate population type. The resolution is probably on the order of 2-3 cM, since pairs of markers any farther apart rarely have substantial levels of linkage disequilibrium (Laurie et al., 2004).

## 4.2 Genetic basis of TOC in our DH populations

Within the four DH populations, a broad range of phenotypic variation with normal distribution was observed for TOC with transgressive segregation, indicating quantitative genetic control (Figure 1). The identification of loci controlling oil-related traits should contribute to a better understanding of oil synthesis and storage in maize kernels. The genetic analysis indicated TOC is highly heritable and the heritability (67.00-86.60%) is fairly high in all populations, indicating of superior genetic effect on TOC in DH populations. The high heritability estimates are very favorable for detecting marker-trait associations (Laurie et al., 2004). Among the 16 detected QTLs controlling TOC, 11 QTLs were identified as the major QTLs with the explaining phenotypic variation larger than 10%. Especially qOC-1-3 with the largest effect (30.84% of the phenotypic variance) and qOC-2-3 with the second largest effect (21.74% of the phenotypic variance) were located on chromosome 9. These region have been chosen as our primary QTL for further study because of the higher contribution. The parent allele at this locus had an additive effect of 0.20-0.24% for increased TOC. An additional seven QTLs were identified on chromosomes 2, 4, 5, 6 and 8, explaining between 11.64 and 16.20% of the phenotypic variation. The other minor QTLs each explained 3.49-8.39% of the phenotypic variance with moderate additive effects on TOC. In addition, except for environment variation, none of QTLs were shared by all DH populations, reflecting the complexity of TOC regulation in diverse maize populations. These results indicated that oil content is controlled by a few large-effect QTLs, together with a large number of minor-effect QTLs (Dudley, 1977; Laurie et al., 2004).

Results of QTL detection derived from different studies may exhibit consistency to a certain degree across different germplasms or genetic backgrounds and environments. For instance, the largest and second effective QTL qOC-1-3 and qOC-2-3 was located in the QTL m240 with a 29.17 Mb and 8.81 Mb overlap interval length, respectively, which was related to maize TOC in RIL population (Cook et al., 2012). qOC-3-4 co-localized with koc5b associated to the kernel oil content in a F2:3 tropical maize population (Mangolin et al., 2004). According to Li et al. (2013), the QTL qOC-2-1, qOC-3-1, qOC-3-3, qOC-4-1 and qOC-4-1 more or less co-localized with the QTLs controlling protein and TOC simultaneously and might affect protein and TOC in opposite directions (Li et al., 2013). These results suggested that increases in grain TOC might be associated with increases in grain protein content, both traits could be improved simultaneously. Congruence in QTLs detected in this study with previous reports indicates the robustness of our results. Moreover, these QTLs definitely worth conducting further research on this QTL via NILs, fine mapping, molecular marker-assisted selection (MAS) and ultimate cloning.

## 4.3 Importance of QTLs relevant to TOC in maize genetic and breeding

Oil in maize kernels mainly exists in the form of triacylglycerol (TAG), which composed of fatty acids and glycerol (Du et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2019). Maize oil mainly accumulates in the embryo, and the fatty acids are typically comprised of approximately 11% palmitic acid (C16:0), 2% stearic acid (C18:0), 24% oleic acid (C18:1), 62% linoleic acid (C18:2), and 1% linolenic acid (C18:3) (Lambert, 2001). The quality and utilization of maize oil is determined by their fatty acid composition (Du et al., 2016). Saturated fatty acids, such as palmitic (C16:0) and stearic acids (C18:0), are stable and tolerant to heat and oxidation (Hu et al., 1997). Certain unsaturated fatty acids, such as oleic (C18:1), linoleic (C18:2), and linolenic (C18:3) acids, are beneficial to human health but susceptible to heat and oxidation (Hu et al., 1997). Biosynthesis of storage oil in plant seeds is complex and involved in multitudinous physiological and biochemical processes (Ohlrogge and Browse, 1995; Liu et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2009; Guo et al., 2013; Dong et al., 2015; Glowinski and Flint-Garcia, 2018; Zhang et al., 2018). The co-location analysis of candidate genes underlying QTLs associated with related trait could provide information about functional relationships between gene expression and some QTLs of the complex biosynthesis pathway (Prioul et al., 1997; Thévenot et al., 2005). In our study, of 189 genes involved in the fatty acid biochemical processes, including 17 well-known genes encoding key enzymes in maize lipid synthesis and metabolism, were located within QTL intervals (Figure 4 and Table S2).

The genes related to the TAG synthesis pathway are key regulatory factors in the accumulation process of TOC in corn



FIGURE 4

Association of candidate genes with kernel oil QTLs. The QTLs identified in four DH populations are represented as vertical rectangles of different colors next to each chromosome. The horizontal light blue bars on each chromosome show the positions of the 189 identified genes. The left labels denote known genes that co-localized with the QTLs.

(Zhang et al., 2019). Comparison of the positions of candidate genes and QTL was a suitable strategy to investigate the molecular basis of quantitative traits. Additionally, the positioned candidate genes can be used to develop functional markers for increasing selection efficiency by marker-assisted selection in plant breeding (Andersen and Lübberstedt, 2003). Five KCS genes encoding  $\beta$ ketoacyl CoA synthase isozymes in qOC-1-3, qOC-2-1, qOC-3-4 and qOC-4-4 are mainly involved in the process of elongation of the C16:0- and C18:0-CoAs into very-long-chain fatty acids (VLCFAs) (Gonzales-Vigil et al., 2017). The maize isozymes reflected differences in the enzymatic capability to elongate fatty acids (Stenback et al., 2022). The FAD genes in qOC-1-3 and qOC-2-2 were identified as fatty acid desaturase-coding and are responsible for the production of trienoic fatty acids by unsaturation at the  $\omega$ -3 position and the cDNAs corresponding to the loci have been isolated (Ohlrogge and Browse, 1995; Gao et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2019). Stearoyl-acyl carrier protein desaturases (SACD) encoded by the genes in qOC-1-1, qOC-2-4 and qOC-3-4 are the key enzymes that converts stearic acid to oleic acid by introducing the first double bond into stearoyl-ACP between carbons 9 and 10 (Asamizu et al., 1998; Liu et al., 2009). These enzymes are significantly more abundant in expression in high-oil maize than in normal maize, not only at the mRNA and protein levels, but also at the product level (Liu et al., 2009). LACS2 in qOC-3-3 encoded the long-chain acyl-CoA synthetase (LACS), which plays key roles in activating fatty acids to fatty acyl-CoA thioesters and then further involved in lipid synthesis and fatty acid catabolism (Lü et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2010; Jessen et al., 2011 and Jessen et al., 2015). TAG biosynthesis involves three consequential acylation steps of a glycerol backbone via the Kennedy pathway (Ohlrogge and Browse, 1995; Iskandarov et al., 2017; Müller and Ischebeck, 2018). The process starts with the acylation of glycerol-3-phosphate (G3P) by glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase (GPAT) and lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase (LPAAT), and finalized by diacylglycerol acyltransferase (DGAT), which catalyzes the last acylation step of the pathway (Ohlrogge and

Browse, 1995). The high-oil QTL (qHO6) affecting maize seed oil and oleic-acid contents encodes DGAT1-2 (Zheng et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2010; Hao et al., 2014). The gene GPAT12 in our study was also detected on chromosome 6 and showed 96% identities with DGAT1-2 (Zm00001d036982), which indicated that GPAT12 may be one of DGAT isozymes. The seed oils are packaged in spherical intracellular oil bodies, which have a TAG matrix surrounded by a layer of phospholipids embedded with unique and abundant proteins termed oleosins (Lee and Huang, 1994). Oleosins interact with the surface phospholipids and matrix triacylglycerols to form a stable amphipathic layer on the surface of the oil body and possibly act as recognition signals for the binding of lipase during germination (Lee and Huang, 1994; Lee et al., 1995; Ting et al., 1996). It suggested that OLE1 in qOC-2-2 was an important gene that would facilitate lipase action during germination. The above analysis suggested that the QTLs in this study were related to a series of genes encoding key enzymes relevant to oil content and lipid metabolism. Especially, qOC-4-2 contained a DGAT1-2 homologous protein coding gene and had no common region with qHO6 which was the major oil content QTL (Cook et al., 2012). Therefore, these QTLs will pave a path to explore molecular markers and offer prospective routes to improve maize oil content through molecular marker-assisted selection in maize breeding program.

## 5 Conclusion

In this study, four DH populations were constructed for genetic analysis of kernel TOC and the TOC exhibited continuously and approximately normal distribution in all populations. Six major and ten minor effect QTLs were identified based on the genetic linkage map with LOD threshold of 3.00 and accounted for 3.49-30.84% of oil variation. The result was consistent with Yang et al., 2010 that OC in maize kernel is a complex quantitative trait and controlled by a few large-effect QTLs and numerous minor QTLs. Besides, 17 well-known genes involved in fatty acid synthesis and metabolic pathway were located within QTL intervals. This information provides insight that will help to further understanding of genetic variation in TOC in maize kernels and will thus enhance the feasibility of cloning QTL, lay the foundation to explore candidate genes associated with maize kernel TOC.

### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are publicly available. This data can be found here: https://doi.org/ 10.6084/m9.figshare.22152380.v1.

### Author contributions

HL and CZ conceived and designed the experiments. XZ, HG, HW, CD, JW, BP, and JL performed the research. MW analysed the data. XZ and MW wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### Funding

This research was funded by National Natural Science Foundation of China, grant number 32201798 and Heilongjiang Scientific Research Business Expenses Project of China, grant number CZKYF2023-1-C001.

### References

Alrefai, R., Berke, T. G., and Rocheford, T. R. (1995). Quantitative trait locus analysis of fatty acid concentrations in maize. *Genome.* 38, 894–901. doi: 10.1139/g95-118

Andersen, J. R., and Lübberstedt, T. (2003). Functional markers in plants. *Trends Plant Sci.* 8, 554–560. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.09.010

Asamizu, E., Sato, S., Kaneko, T., Nakamura, Y., Kotani, H., Miyajima, N., et al. (1998). Structural analysis of *Arabidopsis thaliana* chromosome 5. VIII. Sequence features of the regions of 1,081,958 bp covered by seventeen physically assigned P1 and TAC clones. *DNA Res.* 31, 379–391. doi: 10.1093/dnares/5.6.379

Benitez, J. A., Gernat, A. G., Murillo, J. G., and Araba, M. (1999). The use of high oil corn in broiler diets. *Poult. Sci.* 78, 861–865. doi: 10.1093/ps/78.6.861

Berke, T. G., and Rocheford, T. R. (1995). Quantitative trait loci for flowering, plant and ear height, and kernel traits in maize. *Crop Sci.* 35, 1542–1549. doi: 10.2135/ cropsci1995.0011183X003500060004x

Bhattramakki, D., Dolan, M., Hanafey, M., Wineland, R., Vaske, D., Register, J. C., et al. (2002). Insertion-deletion polymorphisms in 3' regions of maize genes occur frequently and can be used as highly informative genetic markers. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 48, 539–547. doi: 10.1023/a:1014841612043

Bordes, J., Charmet, G., de Vaulx, R. D., Pollacsek, M., Beckert, M., and Gallais, A. (2006). Doubled haploid versus S1 family recurrent selection for testcross performance in a maize population. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 112, 1063–1072. doi: 10.1007/s00122-006-0208-3

Broman, K. W., Wu, H., Sen, S., and Churchill, G. A. (2003). R/qtl: QTL mapping in experimental crosses. *Bioinformatics* 19, 889–890. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btg112

Bukowski, R., Guo, X. S., Lu, Y. L., Zou, C., He, B., Rong, Z. Q., et al. (2018). Construction of the third-generation Zea mays haplotype map. Gigascience 7, 1–12. doi: 10.1093/gigascience/gix134

Chaikam, V., Molenaar, W., Melchinger, A. E., and Boddupalli, P. M. (2019). Doubled haploid technology for line development in maize: technical advances and prospects. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 132, 3227–3243. doi: 10.1007/s00122-019-03433-x

### Acknowledgments

We thank all members of our laboratories for helpful discussion and assistance during this research.

### Conflict of interest

Author CZ is employed by Maize Yufeng Biotechnology LLC Beijing, China.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

### Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2023.1174985/ full#supplementary-material

Chen, S. B., and Hu, Z. (2017). Determination of corn fat based on NIRS and QPSO-LSSVM model. *Chem. Engineer.* 31, 30–35. doi: 10.16247/j.cnki.23-1171/tq.20170830

Chia, J. M., Song, C., Bradbury, P. J., Costich, D., de Leon, N., Doebley, J., et al. (2012). Maize HapMap2 identifies extant variation from a genome in flux. *Nat. Genet.* 44, 803–807. doi: 10.1038/ng.2313

Churchill, G. A., and Doerge, R. W. (1994). Empirical threshold values for quantitative trait mapping. *Genetics* 138, 963–971. doi: 10.1093/genetics/138.3.963

Clark, D., Dudley, J. W., Rocheford, T. R., and LeDeaux, J. R. (2006). Genetic analysis of corn kernel chemical composition in the random mated 10 generation of the cross of generations 70 of IHO  $\times$  ILO. *Crop Sci.* 3, 373–379. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2005.06-0153

Cook, J. P., McMullen, M. D., Holland, J. B., Tian, F., Bradbury, P., Ross-Ibarra, J., et al. (2012). Genetic architecture of maize kernel composition in the nested association mapping and inbred association panels. *Plant Physiol.* 158, 824–834. doi: 10.1104/pp.111.185033

Dong, Y. B., Zhang, Z. W., Shi, Q. L., Wang, Q. L., Zhou, Q., and Li, Y. L. (2015). QTL identification and meta-analysis for kernel composition traits across three generations in popcorn. *Euphytica* 204, 649–660. doi: 10.1007/s10681-015-1360-0

Du, H. W., Huang, M., Hu, J. Y., and Li, J. S. (2016). Modification of the fatty acid composition in *Arabidopsis* and maize seeds using a stearoyl-acyl carrier protein desaturase-1 (*ZmSAD1*) gene. *BMC Plant Biol.* 16, 137. doi: 10.1186/s12870-016-0827-z

Du, L., Yu, F., Zhang, H., Wang, B., Ma, K. J., Yu, C. P., et al. (2020). Genetic mapping of quantitative trait loci and a major locus for resistance to grey leaf spot in maize. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 133, 2521–2533. doi: 10.1007/s00122-020-03614-z

Dudley, J. W. (1977). "Seventy-six generation of selection for oil and protein percentage in maize," in *Proceedings of international conference on quantitative genetics*. Ed. E. Pollak (Iowa state, Ames: Iowa State University Press, Ames), 459–473.

Dudley, J. W. (2008). Epistatic interactions in crosses of Illinois high oil × Illinois low oil and of Illinois high protein × Illinois low protein corn strains. *Crop Sci.* 48, 59–68. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2007.04.0242

Dudley, J. W., and Lambert, R. J. (2004). 100 generations of selection for oil and protein in corn. *Plant Breed. Rev.* 24, 79–110.

Dupont, J., White, P. J., Carpenter, M. P., Schaefer, E. J., Meydani, S. N., Elson, C. E., et al. (1990). Food uses and health effects of corn oil. *J. Am. Coll. Nutr.* 9, 438–470. doi: 10.1080/07315724.1990.10720403

Fang, H., Fu, X. Y., Ge, H. Q., Zhang, A. X., Shan, T. Y., Wang, Y. D., et al. (2021). Genetic basis of maize kernel oil-related traits revealed by high-density SNP markers in a recombinant inbred line population. *BMC Plant Biol.* 21, 344. doi: 10.1186/s12870-021-03089-0

Fang, H., Fu, X. Y., Wang, Y. B., Xu, J., Feng, H. Y., Li, W. Y., et al. (2020). Genetic basis of kernel nutritional traits during maize domestication and improvement. *Plant J.* 101, 278–292. doi: 10.1111/tpj.14539

Flutre, T., Le Cunff, L., Fodor, A., Launay, A., Romieu, C., Berger, G., et al. (2022). A genome-wide association and prediction study in grapevine deciphers the genetic architecture of multiple traits and identifies genes under many new QTLs. *G3* (*Bethesda*) 29, jkac103. doi: 10.1093/g3journal/jkac103

Foiada, F., Westermeier, P., Kessel, B., Ouzunova, M., Wimmer, V., Mayerhofer, W., et al. (2015). Improving resistance to the European corn borer: a comprehensive study in elite maize using QTL mapping and genome-wide prediction. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 128, 875–891. doi: 10.1007/s00122-015-2477-1

Gallais, A., and Bordes, J. (2007). The use of doubled haploids in recurrent selection and hybrid development in maize. *Crop Sci.* 47, S190–S201. doi: 10.2135/ cropsci2007.04.0019IPBS

Gao, J. P., Wallis, J. G., and Browse, J. (2015). Mutations in the prokaryotic pathway rescue the fatty acid biosynthesis1 mutant in the cold. *Plant Physiol.* 169, 442–452. doi: 10.1104/pp.15.00931

Glowinski, A., and Flint-Garcia, S. (2018). "Germplasm resources for mapping quantitative traits in maize," in *The maize genome. compendium of plant genomes.* Ed. R. Tuberosa (New York, NYC: Springer Press), 143–159.

Goldman, I. L., Rocheford, T. R., and Dudley, J. W. (1994). Molecular markers associated with maize kernel oil concentration in an Illinois high protein  $\times$  Illinois low protein cross. *Crop Sci.* 34, 908–915. doi: 10.2135/cropsci1994.0011183X003400040013x

Gonzales-Vigil, E., Hefer, C. A., von Loessl, M. E., La Mantia, J., and Mansfield, S. D. (2017). Exploiting natural variation to uncover an alkene biosynthetic enzyme in poplar. *Plant Cell* 29, 2000–2015. doi: 10.1105/tpc.17.00338

Guo, Z. F., Wang, H. W., Tao, J. J., Ren, Y. H., Xu, C., Wu, K. S., et al. (2019). Development of multiple snp marker panels affordable to breeders through genotyping by target sequencing (GBTS) in maize. *Mol. Breed.* 39, 37. doi: 10.1007/s11032-019-0940-4

Guo, Y. Q., Yang, X. H., Chander, S., Yan, J. B., Zhang, J., Song, T. M., et al. (2013). Identification of unconditional and conditional QTL for oil, protein and oil content in maize. *Crop J.* 1, 34–42. doi: 10.1016/j.cj.2013.07.010

Han, Y., Parsons, C. M., and Alexander, D. E. (1987). Nutritive value of high oil for poultry. *Poult. Sci.* 66, 103–111. doi: 10.3382/ps.0660103

Hao, X. M., Li, X. W., Yang, X. H., and Li, J. S. (2014). Transferring a major QTL for oil content using marker-assisted backcrossing into an elite hybrid to increase the oil content in maize. *Mol. Breed.* 34, 739–748. doi: 10.1007/s11032-014-0071-x

Hou, Q. C., Zhang, T. Y., Sun, K. T., Yan, T. W., Wang, L. L., Lu, L., et al. (2022). Mining of potential gene resources for breeding nutritionally improved maize. *Plants* (*Basel*). 11, 627. doi: 10.3390/plants11050627

Hu, F. B., Stampfer, M. J., Manson, J. E., Rimm, E., Colditz, G. A., Rosner, B. A., et al. (1997). Dietary fat intake and the risk of coronary heart disease in women. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 337, 1491–1499. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199711203372102

Iskandarov, U., Silva, J. E., Kim, H. J., Andersson, M., Cahoon, R. E., Mockaitis, K., et al. (2017). A specialized diacylglycerol acyltransferase contributes to the extreme medium-chain fatty acid content of cuphea seed oil. *Plant Physiol.* 174, 97–109. doi: 10.1104/pp.16.01894

Jessen, D., Olbrich, A., Knüfer, J., Krüger, A., Hoppert, M., Polle, A., et al. (2011). Combined activity of LACS1 and LACS4 is required for proper pollen coat formation in *Arabidopsis. Plant J.* 68, 715–726. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04722.x

Jessen, D., Roth, C., Wiermer, M., and Fulda, M. (2015). Two activities of long-chain acyl-coenzyme a synthetase are involved in lipid trafficking between the endoplasmic reticulum and the plastid in *Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol.* 167, 351–366. doi: 10.1104/ pp.114.250365

Karn, A., Gillman, J. D., and Flint-Garcia, S. A. (2017). Genetic analysis of teosinte alleles for kernel composition traits in maize. *G3 (Bethesda)*. 7, 1157–1164. doi: 10.1534/g3.117.039529

Kaur, G., Pathak, M., Singla, D., Chhabra, G., Chhuneja, P., and Kaur Sarao, N. (2022). Quantitative trait loci mapping for earliness, fruit, and seed related traits using high density genotyping-by-sequencing-based genetic map in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* l.). *Front. Plant Sci.* 12. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.799932

Knapp, S. J., Stroup, W. W., and Ross, W. M. (1985). Exact confidence-intervals for heritability on a progeny mean basis. *Crop Sci.* 25, 192–194. doi: 10.2135/cropsci1985.0011183X002500010046x

Lambert, R. J. (2001). "High-oil corn hybrids," in *Specialcorn*. Ed. A. R. Hallau (Boca Raton: CRC Press), 131–153.

Lambert, R. J., Alexander, D. E., and Mejaya, I. J. (2004). Single kernel selection for increased grain oil in maize synthetics and high-oil hybrid development. *Plant Breed. Rev.* 24, 153–175. doi: 10.1002/9780470650240.ch8

Lander, E. S., and Botstein, D. (1989). Mapping mendelian factors underlying quantitative traits using RFLP linkage maps. *Genetics* 121, 185–199. doi: 10.1093/genetics/121.1.185

Laurie, C. C., Chasalow, S. D., LeDeaux, J. R., McCarroll, R., Bush, D., Hauge, B., et al. (2004). The genetic architecture of response to long-term artificial selection for oil concentration in the maize kernel. *Genetics* 168, 2141–2155. doi: 10.1534/genetics.104.029686

Lee, K. Y., and Huang, A. H. (1994). Genes encoding oleosins in maize kernel of inbreds Mo17 and B73. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 26, 1981–1987. doi: 10.1007/BF00019508

Lee, K. Y., Ratnayake, C., and Huang, A. H. (1995). Genetic dissection of the coexpression of genes encoding the two isoforms of oleosins in the oil bodies of maize kernel. *Plant J.* 7, 603–611. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313x.1995.7040603.x

Li, L., Li, H., Li, Q., Yang, X. H., Zheng, D. B., Warburton, M., et al. (2011). An 11-bp insertion in *Zea mays fatb* reduces the palmitic acid content of fatty acids in maize grain. *PloS One* 6, e24699. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0024699

Li, H., Peng, Z. Y., Yang, X. H., Wang, W. D., Fu, J. J., Wang, J. H., et al. (2013). Genome-wide association study dissects the genetic architecture of oil biosynthesis in maize kernels. *Nat. Genet.* 45, 43–50. doi: 10.1038/ng.2484

Li, H., Wang, M., Li, W. J., He, L. L., Zhou, Y. Y., Zhu, J. T., et al. (2020). Genetic variants and underlying mechanisms influencing variance heterogeneity in maize. *Plant J.* 103, 1089–1102. doi: 10.1111/tpj.14786

Lima, M., d., A., de Souza, C. L., Bento, D. A. V., Souza, A., and Carlini-Garcia, L. A. (2006). Mapping QTL for grain yield and plant traits in a tropical maize population. *Mol. Breed.* 17, 227–239. doi: 10.1007/s11032-005-5679-4

Liu, Y. Y., Dong, Y. B., Niu, S. Z., Cui, D. Q., Wang, Y. Z., Wei, M. G., et al. (2008). QTL identification of kernel composition traits with popcorn using both  $F_{2:3}$  and  $BC_2F_2$  populations developed from the same cross. *J. Cereal Sci.* 48, 625–631. doi: 10.1016/j.jcs.2008.02.003

Liu, Z. J., Yang, X. H., Fu, Y., Zhang, Y. R., Yan, J. B., Song, T. M., et al. (2009). Proteomic analysis of early germs with high-oil and normal inbred lines in maize. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 6, 813–821. doi: 10.1007/s11033-008-9250-3

Lü, S. Y., Song, T., Kosma, D. K., Parsons, E. P., Rowland, O., and Jenks, M. A. (2009). *Arabidopsis CER8* encodes LONG-CHAIN ACYL-COA SYNTHETASE 1 (LACS1) that has overlapping functions with LACS2 in plant wax and cutin synthesis. *Plant J.* 59, 553–564. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.03892.x

Mammadov, J., Aggarwal, R., Buyyarapu, R., and Kumpatla, S. (2012). SNP markers and their impact on plant breeding. *Int. J. Plant Genomics* 2012, 728398. doi: 10.1155/ 2012/728398

Mangolin, C. A., de Souza, C. L., Garcia, A. A. F., Garcia, A. F., Sibov, S. T., and de Souza, A. P. (2004). Mapping QTLs for kernel oil content in a tropical maize population. *Euphytica* 137, 251–259. doi: 10.1023/B:EUPH.0000041588.95689.47

Mayor, P. J., and Bernardo, R. (2009). Genomewide selection and marker-assisted recurrent selection in doubled haploid versus f populations. *Crop Sci.* 49, 1719–1725. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2008.10.0587

Messmer, R., Fracheboud, Y., Bänziger, M., Vargas, M., Stamp, P., and Ribaut, J. M. (2009). Drought stress and tropical maize: QTL-by-environment interactions and stability of QTLs across environments for yield components and secondary traits. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 119, 913–930. doi: 10.1007/s00122-009-1099-x

Müller, A. O., and Ischebeck, T. (2018). Characterization of the enzymatic activity and physiological function of the lipid droplet-associated triacylglycerol lipase AtOBL1. *New Phytol.* 217, 1062–1076. doi: 10.1111/nph.14902

Odell, S. G., Hudson, A. I., Praud, S., Dubreuil, P., Tixier, M. H., Ross-Ibarra, J., et al. (2022). Modeling allelic diversity of multiparent mapping populations affects detection of quantitative trait loci. *G3 (Bethesda)* 12, jkac011. doi: 10.1093/g3journal/jkac011

Ohlrogge, J., and Browse, J. (1995). Lipid biosynthesis. Plant Cell 7, 957–970. doi: 10.1105/tpc.7.7.957

Pan, Q. C., Ali, F., Yang, X. H., Li, J. S., and Yan, J. B. (2012). Exploring the genetic characteristics of two recombinant inbred line populations *via* high-density SNP markers in maize. *PloS One* 7, e52777. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0052777

Prioul, J. L., Quarrie, S., Causse, M., and de Vienne, D. (1997). Dissecting complex physiological functions through the use of molecular quantitative genetics. *J. Exp. Bot.* 48, 1151–1163. doi: 10.1093/jxb/48.6.1151

Ranum, P., Peña-Rosas, J. P., and Garcia-Casal, M. N. (2014). Global maize production, utilization, and consumption. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1312, 105–112. doi: 10.1111/nyas.12396

Schnable, P. S., Ware, D., Fulton, R. S., Stein, J. C., Wei, F. S., Pasternak, S., et al. (2009). The B73 maize genome: complexity, diversity, and dynamics. *Science* 326, 1112–1115. doi: 10.1126/science.1178534

Song, T. M., and Chen, S. J. (2004). Long term selection for oil concentration in five maize populations. *Maydica* 49, 9–14. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/289088076\_Long\_term\_selection\_for\_oil\_concentration\_in\_five\_maize\_populations.

Stenback, K. E., Flyckt, K. S., Hoang, T., Campbell, A. A., and Nikolau, B. J. (2022). Modifying the yeast very long chain fatty acid biosynthetic machinery by the expression of plant 3-ketoacyl CoA synthase isozymes. *Sci. Rep.* 12, 13235. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-17080-8

Thévenot, C., Simond-Côte, E., Reyss, A., Manicacci, D., Trouverie, J., Le Guilloux, M., et al. (2005). QTLs for enzyme activities and soluble carbohydrates involved in oil

accumulation during grain filling in maize. J. Exp. Bot. 56, 945–958. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eri087

Ting, J. T., Lee, K., Ratnayake, C., Platt, K. A., Balsamo, R. A., and Huang, A. H. (1996). Oleosin genes in maize kernels having diverse oil contents are constitutively expressed independent of oil contents. size and shape of intracellular oil bodies are determined by the oleosins/oils ratio. *Planta* 199, 158–165. doi: 10.1007/ BF00196892

Wang, S., Basten, C. J., and Zeng, Z. B. (2010a). Windows QTL cartographer V2.5\_011 (North Carolina state, Raleigh: Dep. Stat. North Carolina State University).

Wang, Y. Z., Li, J. Z., Li, Y. L., Wei, M. G., and Fu, J. F. (2010b). QTL detection for grain oil and oil content and their associations in two connected  $F_{2:3}$  populations in high-oil maize. *Euphytica* 174, 239–252. doi: 10.1007/s10681-010-0123-1

Wang, T. T., Wang, M., Hu, S. T., Xiao, Y. N., Tong, H., Pan, Q. C., et al. (2015). Genetic basis of maize kernel starch content revealed by high-density single nucleotide polymorphism markers in a recombinant inbred line population. *BMC Plant Biol.* 15, 288. doi: 10.1186/s12870-015-0675-2

Wassom, J. J., Mikkelineni, V., Bohn, M. O., and Rocheford, T. R. (2008a). QTL for fatty acid composition of maize kernel oil in Illinois high oil x B73 backcross-derived lines. *Crop Sci.* 48, 69–78. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2007.04.0208

Wassom, J. J., Wong, J. C., Martinez, E., King, J. J., Debaene, J., Hotchkiss, J. R., et al. (2008b). QTL associated with maize kernel oil, protein, and oil concentrations; kernel mass; and grain yield in illinois high oil × B73 backcross-derived lines. *Crop Sci.* 48, 243–252. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2007.04.0205

Wei, M., Fu, J., Li, X., Wang, Y., and Li, Y. (2009). Influence of dent corn genetic backgrounds on QTL detection for plant-height traits and their relationships in highoil maize. J. Appl. Genet. 50, 225–234. doi: 10.1007/BF03195676

Yan, G. J., Liu, H., Wang, H. B., Lu, Z. Y., Wang, Y. X., Mullan, D., et al. (2017). Accelerated generation of selfed pure line plants for gene identification and crop breeding. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 1786. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01786

Yang, X. H., Guo, Y. Q., Yan, J. B., Zhang, J., Song, T. M., Rocheford, T., et al. (2010). Major and minor QTL and epistasis contribute to fatty acid compositions and oil concentration in high-oil maize. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 120, 665–678. doi: 10.1007/ s00122-009-1184-1

Yang, Z., Li, X., Zhang, N., Zhang, Y. N., Jiang, H. W., Gao, J., et al. (2016). Detection of quantitative trait loci for kernel oil and protein concentration in a B73 and Zheng58 maize cross. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 15, 1–10. doi: 10.4238/gmr.15038951

Yang, X. H., Ma, H. L., Zhang, P., Yan, J. B., Guo, Y. Q., Song, T. M., et al. (2012). Characterization of QTL for oil content in maize kernel. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 125, 1169– 1179. doi: 10.1007/s00122-012-1903-x

Zeng, Z. B. (1994). Precision mapping of quantitative trait loci. *Genetics* 136, 1457–1468. doi: 10.1093/genetics/136.4.1457

Zhang, M., Fan, J. L., Taylor, D. C., and Ohlrogge, J. B. (2009). *DGAT1* and *PDAT1* acyltransferases have overlapping functions in *Arabidopsis* triacylglycerol biosynthesis and are essential for normal pollen and seed development. *Plant Cell.* 21, 3885–3901. doi: 10.1105/tpc.109.071795

Zhang, Y. H., He, J. B., Wang, H. W., Meng, S., Xing, G. N., Li, Y., et al. (2018). Detecting the QTL-allele system of seed oil traits using multi-locus genome-wide association analysis for population characterization and optimal cross prediction in soybean. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9, 1793. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.01793

Zhang, X. X., Hong, M. Y., Wan, H. P., Luo, L. X., Yu, Z., and Guo, R. X. (2019). Identification of key genes involved in embryo development and differential oil accumulation in two contrasting maize genotypes. *Genes (Basel)* 10, 993. doi: 10.3390/genes10120993

Zhang, J., Lu, X. Q., Song, X. F., Yan, J. B., Song, T. M., Dai, J. R., et al. (2008). Mapping quantitative trait loci for oil, oil, and protein concentrations in grain with high-oil maize by SSR markers. *Euphytica* 162, 335–344. doi: 10.1007/s10681-007-9500-9

Zhang, X. L., Lu, M., Xia, A. A., Xu, T., Cui, Z. H., Zhang, R. Y., et al. (2021). Genetic analysis of three maize husk traits by QTL mapping in a maize-teosinte population. *BMC Genomics* 22, 386. doi: 10.1186/s12864-021-07723-x

Zhang, X. L., Wang, M., Zhang, C. Z., Dai, C. J., Guan, H. T., and Zhang, R. Y. (2022). Genetic dissection of QTLs for starch content in four maize DH populations. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.950664

Zhao, L. F., Katavic, V., Li, F. L., Haughn, G. W., and Kunst, L. (2010). Insertional mutant analysis reveals that long-chain acyl-CoA synthetase 1 (LACS1), but not LACS8, functionally overlaps with LACS9 in *Arabidopsis* seed oil biosynthesis. *Plant J.* 64, 1048–1058. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04396.x

Zhao, X. C., Wei, J. P., He, L., Zhang, Y. F., Zhao, Y., Xu, X. X., et al. (2019). Identification of fatty acid desaturases in maize and their differential responses to low and high temperature. *Genes (Basel)* 10, 445. doi: 10.3390/genes10060445

Zheng, P. Z., Allen, W. B., Roesler, K., Williams, M. E., Zhang, S. R., Li, J. M., et al. (2008). A phenylalanine in DGAT is a key determinant of oil content and composition in maize. *Nat. Genet.* 40, 367–372. doi: 10.1038/ng.85