### **Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding**

#### Review

# Perspectives in consumer-oriented breeding for potatoes in India

## RP. Kaur\*, SK. Luthra, Babita Chaudhary, Raj Kumar, Dalamu and Vinay Bhardwaj

Crop Improvement Division, ICAR- Central Potato Research Institute, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh-171001, India **\*E-Mail:** kaur.rp@gmail.com

#### Abstract

Potato has become an integral part of human diets world over and is consumed alone or with wide variety of other vegetables. Consumer preference of the regions varies and is dependent upon market specifications, variety; tuber appearance, size, shape, colour; absence of disease or tubers defects; texture and flavour of cooked potatoes. Breeding for consumer preference related traits is integral part of the varietal development efforts world over and thus helps to write the success story of a variety evolved. In recent years there has been a surge in the consumer awareness in India, with consumers becoming more conscious about the food, its nutritional valueand its use. The desired products fetch higher premium prices in the market. A potato is not merely a potato anymore in recent times, but a commodity having varied uses, with each user having a specific requirement. In view of the above, it becomes pertinent to delineate the various consumer-oriented breeding objectives for potato, based on its use. Indian consumer-based profiles of potato have been proposed, based on recent overview and prevailing Indian scenario for orientingspecific breeding programmes, guiding consumers and seed producers to breed, consume and multiply the variety of their choice and formulation of selection indices for genotype screening.

**Keywords:** consumer preference, marketability, organoleptic attributes, acceptability, flavor, cooking, shelf life, nutritional quality, potatoes

#### INTRODUCTION

Potato is the most consumed vegetable in the world, with rapidly increasing consumption in the developing and underdeveloped countries. It is second only to maize in terms of the number of countries growing the cropand fourth largest food crop in terms of fresh produce after rice, wheat and maizein global production. The projected world population by 2050 is 9.73 billion entailing a 49% increase in agricultural productivity (FAO, 2010) to ensure food security.Potato has been identified as a key crop to counter this challenging scenario producing 47.6 kg of food/ha/ day as compared to wheat, rice and maize which produce 18.1, 12.4 and 9.1 kg food/ha/day, respectively (Kumar and Pandey 2008). Its higher yields, affordability

and storability have led to its identification as a potential crop for ensuring food and nutritional security to the world population (Devaux *et al.*, 2014). It produces highest calories per unit land in comparison to any other major crop(Nunn and Qian 2011). The developed countries have higher potato intake in their diet in contrast to the developing oneswithaverage potato intake of 130 and 41 kcal/day/ person respectively(Burlingame *et al.*, 2009).

In recent years, advances in science along with concomitant extensive media coverage and consumer education programs have increasingly delineated the link between diet and health leading to increased prioritization



of healthy and nutritional foods by the masses. The potatoes not only grow in a wide range of climates and soil types, yielding tubers as quickly as 60 daysbut also have a rich nutritional profilewith generous amounts of phytonutrients, vitamin C and several other minerals.

Fresh potatoes can be baked, boiled, or fried and are used in a staggering range ofrecipes. They are included in the meal in various forms likesalads, soups and snacks to side dishes or even the main meal itself. In India, a country of "unity in diversity", potato has made its place in the food recipes of all 29 states of India. Besides, these international potato recipes like mashed potatoes, potato pancakes, potato dumplings, twice-baked potatoes, potato soup, potato salad and potatoes au gratin, are servedas premium dishesin luxury hotels and restaurants. Specialtybaby potatoes and colored antioxidant rich potatoes have started finding fancy with Indian consumers being included in rich cuisines and fetching premium prices. Besidesthese, potato is consumed as processed food in the form of dehydrated, canned, fries, chips etc. Organic potatoes are the recent fad with consumers becoming more mindful of hazards of agro-chemicals on their health. Simultaneously, the crop offers multifarious industrial uses like feed, starch alcohol production etc. Each of the recipes and uses entails specific quality requirements for ensuring product quality and consumer contentment.

Potatopossesses a high level of moisture contentwhich makes itbulky and highly perishable in nature, especially under tropical and subtropical conditionscausing upto 40% to 50% losses due to the poor handling and storage. Processing of potatoes is therefore preferred to facilitate storage, transportation and increase in shelflife. It adds value to potatoes yielding higher returns, especially for the urban population seeking ready to eat foods. Potato processing is also desirable in view of the seasonal gluts, which are a dominant feature in India, leading to distress sale and loss of profitability to the farmers. Processed potato products, like potato flour, are highly versatile being used in manufacture of a wide variety of convenience foods andcan be used to improve the functional properties of several food products.

Based on these consumer expectationsa lot is happening around the globe to improve the consumer and userbased profile of potato. The major consumer-based uses of potato have been illustrated in **Fig. 1**. For plant breeders working on crop improvement this has offered both new opportunities and new challenges. There is an increasing need to develop cultivars for meetingthese diverse evolving consumer preferences and satisfying changing market demands (Martin and Li 2017; Kumar *et al.,* 2018). The boundary of breeding objectives has expanded way beyond historical priorities like yield and disease resistance to meet the emerging consumer preferences. In view of these, there is a dire need to developconsumer-oriented breeding objectives in sync with the agroclimate situation prevalent in the country.

The availability of large genetic variability in potato genetic resources with 98000 accessions *ex situ* and 80% of them being maintained in 30 key locations (FAO, 2010) offers massive opportunity to breed consumer oriented varieties. Desirable characters related to potato quality, which includes biological (e.g., proteins, carbohydrates, and minerals) and sensorial traits (e.g., flavour and texture) and industrial traits (e.g. tuber shape, cold sweetening and starch quality) can be easily selected and introgressed, using conventional and modern breeding tools.

Based on our studies on potato breeding and observations related to the Indian consumers an elaborate compilation of the various potato characteristics relevant tovaried potato consumer sector has been compiled and specific breeding objectives have been formulated for each. This can be an important resource for not only initiating specific breeding programmes but alsoprovide a basic road map for potato breeders, consumers and seed producers to breed, consume and multiply the variety of their choice, offering higher renumeration as well as consumption contentment. Selection indices can be formulated based on this compilation for evaluation of genetic stocks belonging to different consumer preference type.

#### Potatoes in India

On the basis of area and production, India stands fourth and second respectively in the world(FAO, 2010). Increasing population and urbanization in India have changed the consumer market preferences which also affect potato consumption in the country in tune with other developing nations(Pandey and Sarkar 2005).In India potato is cultivated in almost all parts of the country but mainlyin the states of Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal, Punjab, Bihar, Haryana, Madhya Pradesh, Gujarat and Maharashtra, with other states contributing to small areas under potato cultivation. The unique Indian agroclimate witnesses potato cultivation all around the year, in one part of the country or other. Of the total potato production in the country 90% comes from the plains, where potato is planted under the short-day winter conditions, while the hillsand plateau regions each contribute5% of the total production.

ICAR-Central Potato Research Institute which is the major potato research Institute in India has released 66 different varieties of potato till date, which mostly cater to the different agro-ecological regions of the country besides addressing the various stresses afflicting the productivity of the crop. Besides, these some exotic varieties and varieties of private companies and growers are also cultivated in India. Potato variety software developed by ICAR-Central Potato Research Institute provides basic knowledge on the different potato varieties developed indigenously for diverse Indian conditions



Fig. 1. Consumer oriented uses of Potato

(Rawat *et al.*, 2020). This database can be easily accessed and queried to retrieve this information. Detailed account of Indian potato varieties, their adaptability, resistance/ tolerance to biotic/abiotic stresses and quality attributes has also been compiled by Luthra *et al.* (2020). The major attributes of nutritional and consumer importance of Indian potato varieties have been compiled in **Table 1.** 

Irrespective of the fact that the Indian vegetable basket is incomplete without the potato, it is merely a potato for the masses, who are mostly unaware of the existence of large number of prevalent varieties in India and their differential characters. Varietal differences crudely regulate the market value, in India where processing varieties were observed to fetch higher remunerations as compared to table use varieties. Lack of knowledge among the consumers and the seed producers about potato varieties, has negative implications in correct targeting of the varieties for both cultivation and its consumption.

A major lacuna is observed in a lack of adoption of varieties based on the traits value. Three indigenous varieties namely Kufri Pukhraj, Kufri Jyoti and Kufri Bahar are reportedly the most popular among the farmers occupying 33%, 21% and 17 % areas respectively in the 6 major potato growing areas of the country. These again occupy 2<sup>nd</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> place in southeast Asian potato growing countries (Bhardwaj et al., 2010). This is a clear indicative of the absence of consumer driven demand. Only the varieties having higher productivity and adaptability as suited to the growers are being cultivated which are being merely bought by consumers, irrespective of choice, based on availability. Lack of consumer feedback and instituteindustry collaboration has been reported as a major factor severely hampering the adaptability of released varieties and development of consumer driven varieties (Bhardwaj et al., 2010). Reducing the gap between consumer and use-based preference of potato and breeding such varieties with due consideration to productivity and its various constraints (biotic and abiotic stresses) will lead to development of more successful varieties in India.

#### **Consumer preference**

Like everywhere else, there is a risingcognizance in the country about the quality of food we eatandthe novel productsthat can be derived from food crops in the form

| EJP          | B  |                                 |  |                                |  |  |   |   |  |                                | Kaur et al.,   |
|--------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|--------------------------------|--|
|              | y Dry<br>Matter<br>(%)                   | 18.6                            | 17.3   | 20.4                           | 18.2   | 18.1   | 19.0  | 19.9                                    | 19.5   | 21.2                           | 22.3   |
|              | oids Dormanc                             | >45                             | >45  | >45                            | >45  | >60  | ~   | -60                                     | >45  | >45                            | >45  |
|              | nin Carotenc<br>⁼W)                      |                                 | L<br>≤100  | ı                              | L<br>≤100                                      | L<br>≤100  | L<br>≤101   | L<br>≤100                               | L <u>≤</u> 100   | L<br>≤100                      |  |
|              | c Anthocya<br>(µg/100g I<br>g            |                                 | M<br>50-100  | ı                              | M<br>50-100                                    | M<br>50-100  | M<br>50-101   | H<br>> 100                              | H > 100  | M<br>50-100                    | M<br>50-100  |
|              | Ascorbi<br>acid<br>(mg/100<br>FW)        |                                 | L<br><u>-</u> 25   |                                | M<br>26-35                                     | L<br><u>&lt;</u> 25  | L<br><u>&lt;</u> 26   | M<br>26-35                              | M 26-35  | H<br>≥ 36                      | ⊨ 36   |
| ies          | Zinc<br>(PPM)                            | M<br>16-25                      | M<br>16-25   | L ≤ 15                         | M<br>16-25                                     | M<br>16-25   | M<br>16-26  | L<br>15                                 | M 16-<br>25  | M<br>16-25                     | M<br>16-25   |
| variet       | lron<br>(PPM)                            | L<br>≤ 30                       | M<br>31-20   | M<br>31-50                     | L<br>≤ 30                                      | L<br>≤ 30  | L<br>≤ 31   | M<br>31-50                              | L ≤ 30   | L ≤ 30                         | L ≤ 30   |
| n potato     |  | Average                         | Average  | Good                           | Good   | Average  | Average   | Poor                                    | Good   | Good                           | Average  |
| st in India  | Special<br>attribute                     | Early Bulker                    | Tolerant<br>to hopper<br>burn and<br>frost, good<br>for growing<br>in spring | season                         |  |  |   | Mainly<br>Medium size                   | Attractive<br>Attractive<br>Tubers with<br>excellent<br>flavour,<br>Suitable for<br>processing | also                           | Good for<br>growing<br>in spring<br>season, frost<br>tolerant,<br>Suitable for<br>processing<br>also |
| imer intere  | Consumer<br>and<br>processing<br>quality | Easy To cook,<br>Texture Floury | Elavour Mild,<br>Easy To cook,<br>Texture Floury<br>Flavour Mild             | Easy To cook,<br>Texture Mealy | Elavour Mild<br>Easy To cook,<br>Texture Waxy, | Elavour Mild<br>Easy To cook,<br>Texture Floury<br>Flavour Mild.<br>Coloration on<br>exposure to | light<br>Easy To cook,<br>Texture Floury<br>Flavour Mild,<br>Coloration on<br>exposure to | light<br>Easy to cook,<br>texture waxy, | Elavour Mild,<br>Easy to cook,<br>texture floury,<br>Flavour Mild                              | Easy to cook,<br>texture waxy, | Elavour Mild,<br>Easy to cook,<br>Flavour Mild   |
| and consu    | ility Maturity                           | ian Medium                      | ian Medium   | ian Medium                     | ian Early                                      | ian Medium<br>d  | ian Medium<br>d   | ian Late                                | ian Early<br>d   | ian Medium                     | ian Medium   |
| itional      | Adaptab<br>area                          | North Ind<br>Plains             | North Ind<br>Plains  | North Ind<br>Plains            | North Ind<br>Plains                            | North Ind<br>Plains an<br>plateau  | North Ind<br>Plains an<br>plateau   | North Ind<br>Plains                     | North Ind<br>Plains an<br>plateau  | North Ind<br>Plains            | North Ind<br>plains  |
| to nutr      | Tuber<br>eyes                            | Medium<br>deep                  | Eyes<br>Eyes   | Medium<br>Deep                 | Eyes<br>Medium<br>deep                         | eyes<br>Shallow<br>Eyes  | Shallow<br>Eyes   | Medium<br>deep                          | eyes<br>Shallow<br>Eyes  | Shallow<br>Eyes                | Shallow<br>Eyes  |
| elated       | tuber<br>flesh<br>colour                 | Cream                           | White  | Cream                          | Cream  | Cream  | Cream   | Yellow                                  | White  | White-<br>Cream                | Cream  |
| cters r      | tuber<br>shape                           | Ovoid                           | oblong   | Ovoid                          | Ovoid  | Ovoid  | Ovoid   | Round                                   | Ovoid  | Ovoid                          | Round  |
| chara        | tuber<br>colour                          | White-<br>cream                 | White<br>Cream   | Red                            | White  | White  | White   | Yellow                                  | White<br>ii Cream  | a White<br>Cream               | a White<br>Cream   |
| ble 1. Major | Variety Name                             | Kufri Alankar                   | Kufri Anand  | Kufri Arun                     | Kufri Ashoka                                   | Kufri Badshar  | Kufri Bahar   | Kufri<br>Chamatkar                      | Kufri<br>Chandramukt   | Kufri Chipson:<br>-1           | -2<br>-2   |
| Tal          | S N                                      | -                               | 7  | З                              | 4  | 2J   | 9   | 7                                       | 8  | 6                              | 10   |

#### **EIPR**

| 0        |
|----------|
| Ō        |
| Ē.       |
| 5        |
| -E -     |
| Ħ        |
| 2        |
| X        |
| U.       |
|          |
| <u> </u> |
| đ        |
| -        |

|  | - |
|--|---|
| https://doi.org/10.37992/2023.1401.008 |   |

| ncy Dry<br>Matter<br>(%)              | 21.7   | 22   | 21.5                           | 20.1  | 23.6                                     | 17  | 8   | 15  | 18.3                           | 17.4                           | 19.4  | 23.7   |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| sDorma                                | >45  | >45  | >45                            | >45   | >45                                      | >60   | >45   | >45   | >60                            | >45                            | >60   | >45  |
| Carotenoid                            | M 101-350                                      | L<br>≤100                                      | M 101-350                      |   | L<br>≤100                                |   |   | L<br>≤100   | L<br>≤100                      | L<br>≤100                      | _<br>≤100   | M 101-350                                      |
| ic Anthocyanin<br>(µg/100g FW)<br>0g  | M<br>50-100                                    | M<br>50-100                                    | M<br>50-100                    | 1   | M<br>50-100                              | 1   | 1   | H<br>> 100  | M<br>50-100                    | H<br>> 100                     | 50-100  | M<br>50-100                                    |
| Ascorb<br>acid<br>(mg/10(<br>FW)      | M<br>26-35                                     | L<br>≤ 25                                      | M<br>26-35                     |   | M<br>26-35                               |   |   | H<br>> 100  | L<br>≤ 25                      | M<br>26-35                     | L<br><u>&lt;</u> 25   | ≥ 36   |
| Zinc<br>(PPM)                         | L<br>15  | M 1<br>6-25                                    | L<br><u>&lt;</u> 15            |   | L<br>_ 15                                |   | M<br>16-25                                      | M<br>16-25  | M<br>16-25                     | M<br>16-25                     | M<br>16-25  | L<br>15  |
| ylron<br>(PPM)                        | L<br>≤ 30                                      | L<br>≤ 30                                      | d L<br>≤ 30                    |   | L<br>≤ 30                                |   | L<br>≤ 30                                       | L<br>≤ 30   | M<br>31-50                     | L<br>≤ 30                      | L<br>≤ 30   | L<br>≤ 30                                      |
| Storabilit                            | Good   | Good   | Very Goo                       | Average   | Good                                     | Good  | Good  | Good  | Average<br>f                   | Average                        | Average   | Good   |
| ISpecial<br>attribute                 |  | Suitable for<br>processing<br>also             | Frost<br>tolerant and          | good for<br>Good for<br>rFrench fries           |  | Tolerate to<br>moderate<br>drought              |   | Nutrient<br>use efficient<br>even at<br>sub-optimal | doses<br>Long<br>dormancy o    | INDELS                         | Yields good<br>in hills and<br>plains,<br>possess<br>day-<br>neutrality | teature  |
| Consumer and<br>processing<br>quality | Easy to cook,<br>texture waxy,<br>Flavour Mild | Easy to cook,<br>texture waxy,<br>Flavour Good | Easy to cook,<br>texture waxy, | navou wiiu<br>Mealy texture,<br>pleasant flavou | Easy to cook,<br>texture floury,         | Easy to cook,<br>texture Mealy,<br>Flavour Good | Easy to cook,<br>texture Mealy,<br>Flavour Good | Easy to cook,<br>texture Mealy,<br>Flavour Good     | Easy to cook,<br>Texture waxy, | Easy to cook,<br>texture waxy, | Flavour Mild<br>Easy to cook,<br>texture waxy,<br>Flavour Mild          | Easy to cook,<br>texture waxy,<br>Flavour Mild |
| Maturity                              | Medium   | Medium   | Late                           | Medium<br>to Late                               | Medium                                   | Medium  | Medium  | Medium  | Medium                         | Medium                         | Medium  | Late   |
| Adaptability<br>area                  | North Indian<br>plains                         | Karnataka,<br>West-Bengal<br>and Madhya        | North Indian<br>Plains         | North-<br>western<br>plains,                    | Central plains<br>North Indian<br>Plains | North Indian<br>Plains                          | Indo-<br>Gangetic<br>Plains and                 | North Indian<br>Plains                              | Indian Hills                   | North Indian<br>Hills          | North Indian<br>Hills   | Indian Hills                                   |
| Tuber<br>eyes                         | Shallow<br>Eyes                                | Shallow<br>Eyes                                | Deep<br>Eyes                   | Shallow   | Shallow<br>Eyes                          | Shallow<br>Eyes                                 | Shallow<br>Eyes                                 | Medium<br>deep<br>eyes                              | Shallow<br>Eyes                | Shallow<br>Eyes                | Medium<br>deep<br>eyes  | Shallow<br>Eyes                                |
| tuber<br>flesh<br>colour              | White  | White  | Cream                          | White   | white                                    | Cream   | Light<br>Yellow                                 | White-<br>Cream                                     | White                          | White                          | Cream   | creamy   |
| tuber<br>shape                        | Ovoid  | Round  | Ovoid                          | Oblong,   | Long-<br>oblong                          | Ovoid   | Ovoid   | Ovoid   | Ovoid                          | Ovoid                          | Ovoid   | Round  |
| tuber<br>colour                       | White<br>3 Cream                               | White<br>4 Cream                               | Nhite<br>Cream                 | / White-<br>cream                               | White<br>Cream                           | a White<br>Cream                                | Light<br>Yellow                                 | White<br>Cream                                      | White<br>Cream                 | j White<br>Cream               | White<br>Cream  | White<br>Cream                                 |
| S. Variety<br>No Name                 | 11 Kufri<br>Chipsona-ŝ                         | 12 Kufri<br>Chipsona-₄                         | 13 Kufri Dewa                  | 14 Kufri FryoN                                  | 15 Kufri<br>Frysona                      | 16 Kufri Gang                                   | 17 Kufri<br>Garima                              | 18 Kufri<br>Gaurav                                  | 19 Kufri<br>Girdhari           | 20 Kufri Giriraj               | 21 Kufri<br>Himalini  | 22 Kufri<br>Himsona                            |

Kaur et al.,

| <br>d |  |
|-------|--|
| inue  |  |
| ont   |  |
|       |  |
| able  |  |
| Ë     |  |

| cy Dry<br>Matter                       | (%)            | 18.6  | 20.4                                     | 18.6  | 19.1   | 18.8  | 22   | 16.1   | 22.7   | 19.5                                     | 24.2   | 19.9   |
|--|----------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| s Dorman                               |                | >45   | >45                                      | >75   | >60  | >80   | >45  | > 60   | >45  | >45                                      | >45  | >75  |
| iin Carotenoid                         |                | L<br>≤100   | M 101-350                                | L<br>≤100   | M<br>101-350                                 | 1   |  | L<br>100   |  | M<br>101-350                             | M<br>101-350   | M 101-350  |
| ic Anthocyan<br>(µg/100g               | og FW)         | M<br>50-100   | M<br>50-100                              | M<br>50-100   | M<br>50-100                                  | ı   |  | L<br>< 50  |  | H<br>> 100                               | M<br>50-100  | M<br>50-100  |
| Ascorb<br>acid                         | (mg/10(<br>FW) | L''<br>≤ 25   | M<br>26-35                               | L<br><u>&lt;</u> 25   | M<br>26-35                                   | 1   |  | L<br><u>&lt;</u> 25  | ı  | M<br>26-35                               | M<br>26-35   | M<br>26-35   |
| Zinc<br>(PPM)                          |                | M<br>16-25  | M<br>16-25                               | L<br>≤ 15   | L<br>≤ 15                                    |   | M<br>16-25                                       | M<br>16-25   | M<br>16-25   | M<br>16-25                               |  | M<br>16-25   |
| / Iron<br>(PPM)                        |                | L<br>≤ 30   | L<br>≤ 30                                | L<br>≤ 30   | L<br>≤ 30                                    | ı   | M<br>31-50                                       | M<br>31-50   | L<br>≤ 30  | L<br>≤ 30                                | L<br>≤ 30  | L<br>≤ 30  |
| Storability                            |                | Average<br>n  | Average                                  | Good  | n Good                                       | Good  | Average  | Good   | Poor   | Good                                     | Poor   | Average  |
| I Special<br>attribute                 |                | Slow rate of<br>degeneratio<br>and suitable<br>for inter-     | cropping                                 | Wide<br>adaptability,<br>early bulker<br>and show<br>rate of                            | degeneration<br>Slow rate of<br>degeneration | Multiple<br>disease<br>resistant<br>varietyfor<br>late blight,<br>viruses<br>andPCN | disease  | Early bulker<br>suitable for<br>high croppin                   | intensity  |  |  |  |
| Consumer and<br>processing             | quality        | Easy to cook,<br>texture waxy,<br>Flavour Mild                | Easy to cook,<br>texture floury,         | Easour mild<br>Easy to cook,<br>texture waxy,<br>Flavour Mild<br>good for<br>processing | Easy to cook,<br>texture floury,             | tasyuc mild<br>tasy to cook,<br>texture mealy,<br>flavour mild                      | Easy to cook,<br>texture floury,                 | tlavour mild<br>Easy To cook,<br>Texture Waxy,<br>Flavour Mild | Cooks on<br>prolonged<br>boiling, texture<br>floury, flavour | mild<br>Easy to cook,<br>texture floury, | tlavour mild<br>Cooks on<br>prolonged<br>boiling, texture<br>floury, flavour | mild<br>Cooks on<br>prolonged<br>boiling, texture<br>floury, flavour<br>mild |
| uber Adaptability Maturity<br>yes area |                | /ledium North Indian Early<br>leep Plains and<br>iyes Plateau | shallow North Indian Late<br>Eyes Plains | hallow Hills, Plains Medium<br>Eyes and Plateau   | Aedium North-Bengal Medium<br>leep Hills and | shallow Hills and Medium<br>Plateau   | <i>A</i> edium North- Late<br>leep eastern Hills | Nes<br>Aedium North Indian Early<br>leep Plains<br>iyes        | Aedium North Indian Early<br>leep Plains and<br>iyes Plateau | shallow North Indian Late<br>Eyes Hills  | Aedium North Indian Medium<br>leep Hills<br>iyes                             | Aedium North Indian Medium<br>leep Plains<br>iyes                            |
| tuber T<br>flesh e                     | colour         | Cream A   | Cream S                                  | Cream   | Cream A                                      | Cream   | Cream A  | Cream  | White N  | White 9                                  | White N  | White N  |
| tuber tuber<br>colour shape            | _              | White Round<br>Cream  | White Ovoid<br>Cream                     | White- Ovoid<br>cream   | Red Ovoid                                    | White Ovoid<br>cream  | Yellow Round                                     | ti White Ovoid   | r White Ovoid  | rrYellow Ovoid                           | White Ovoid  | aRed Round   |
| S. Variety<br>No Name                  |                | 23 Kufri<br>Jawahar   | 24 Kufri<br>Jeevan                       | 25 Kufri Jyoti  | 26 Kufri<br>Kanchan                          | 27 Kufri Karar  | 28 Kufri<br>Khasigaro                            | 29 Kufri Khyat   | 30 Kufri Kuber   | 31 Kufri Kuma                            | 32 Kufri<br>Kundan   | 33 Kufri Lalim:  |

Kaur et al.,

| Tabl  | e 1. Conti         | inued                         |                               |                                |                                       |                      |  |  |             |                 |  |                                   |                    |          |                        |
|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|-------------|-----------------|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|----------|------------------------|
| S. No | oVariety<br>Name   | tuber tube<br>colour sha      | r tuber<br>oe flesh<br>colour | Tuber<br>eyes                  | Adaptabilit <mark>)</mark><br>area    | y Maturity           | Consumer and<br>processing<br>quality  | d Special<br>attribute   | Storability | / Iron<br>(PPM) | Zinc Ascorbi<br>(PPM) acid<br>(mg/100<br>FW) | c Anthocyanir<br>(µg/100g FW<br>g | ı Carotenoids<br>) | Dormancy | / Dry<br>Matter<br>(%) |
| 34    | Kufri Lalit        | Light Rour<br>red             | nd Light<br>Yellow            | Medium<br>deep                 | Eastern Hills                         | s Medium             | Easy to cook,<br>texture mealy,  |  | Good        | L<br>≤ 30       | L  | 1                                 |                    | >45      | 18                     |
| 35    | Kufri<br>Lauvkar   | White Rour                    | nd cream                      | eyes<br>Medium<br>deep<br>eyes | Plateau                               | Medium               | Easy to cook,<br>texture floury,<br>flavour mild,  | Heat toleran   | t Average   | L<br>≤ 30       | M M<br>16-25 26-35                           | M<br>50-100                       | M 101-350          | >45      | 19.2                   |
| 36    | Kufri Lima         | White- Ovoi<br>cream          | d cream                       | Shallow<br>Eyes                | North Indian<br>Plains                | Medium<br>to late    | eccessing<br>Easy to cook,<br>texture floury,<br>flavour good                            | Tolerant to<br>early heat,<br>leaf hopper<br>& mite and<br>suitable for<br>early and | Good        |                 |  |                                   |                    | > 60     | 6                      |
| 37    | Kufri<br>Mecha     | White Ovoi                    | d Cream                       | Medium<br>deep                 | North<br>-eastern                     | Medium               | Easy to cook,<br>texture floury  | planting   | Good        | L<br>S 30       | M M<br>16-25-26-35                           | H<br>> 100                        | L <u>≤</u> 100     | > 60     | 18.6                   |
| 38    | Kufri<br>Mohan     | White- Ovoi<br>cream          | d White                       | eyes<br>Shallow<br>Eyes        | Hills<br>Northern<br>and Eastern      | Medium               | flavour mild<br>Easy to cook,<br>texture mealy,  |  | Good        |                 |  |                                   |                    |          | 17                     |
| 39    | Kufri Muthı        | u White Ovoi                  | d Cream                       | Shallow<br>Eyes                | Plains<br>South Indiar<br>Hills       | Medium               | flavour good<br>Easy to cook,<br>texture floury,   | Tolerant to<br>hopper burn   | Poor        | L<br>≤ 30       | M M<br>16-25 26-35                           | M<br>50-100                       | M 101-350          | > 60     | 18.7                   |
| 40    | Kufri<br>Naveen    | White Rour                    | rd Yellow                     | Medium<br>deep                 | North<br>-Eastern                     | Late                 | flavour mild<br>Easy to cook,<br>texture waxy,   |  | Poor        | L<br>≤ 30       | M M<br>16-25 26-35                           | H<br>> 100                        | M 101-350          | >45      | 19.6                   |
| 41    | Kufri Neela        | a White ovoid                 | d Cream                       | eyes<br>Shallow<br>Eyes        | Hills<br>South Indiar<br>Hills        | ı Late               | flavour mild<br>Easy to cook,<br>texture floury,   |  | Average     | L<br>≤ 30       | M L<br>16-25 ≤ 25                            | H<br>> 100                        | L<br>≤100          | >45      | 19.7                   |
| 42    | Kufri<br>Neelima   | White ovoir                   | d White                       | Shallow<br>Eyes                | Nilgiri Hills                         | Medium               | flavour mild<br>Easy to cook,<br>texture floury,<br>flavour good                         | Possess<br>combined<br>resistance<br>to late blight                                  | Good        | ı               |  |                                   | ı                  | > 60     | 17                     |
| 43    | Kufri<br>Neelkanth | Dark ovoir<br>purple<br>black | d Cream                       | Medium<br>deep<br>eyes         | North Indian<br>Plains                | Medium               | Easy to cook,<br>texture mealy,<br>flavour excellen                                      | and PCN<br>Speciality<br>potatoes,<br>ntrich in anti-<br>oxidants with<br>excellent  | Good        |                 | - M<br>26-35                                 | Н<br>> 100                        | M 101-350          | > 60     | 18.5                   |
| 4     | Kufri<br>Pukhraj   | Yellow Ovo                    | id Yellow                     | Medium<br>deep<br>eyes         | North Indiar<br>palins and<br>Plateau | n Early to<br>medium | Easy to cook,<br>texture waxy,<br>flavour mild,<br>Coloration on<br>exposure to<br>light | flavour<br>Early bulker<br>suitable for<br>low-input<br>ecosystem                    | medium      | L<br>≤ 30       | M L<br>16-25 ≤25                             | M<br>50-100                       | M 101-350          | >45      | 16.1                   |

Kaur et al.,

| S. Variety<br>No Name | tuber tuber<br>colourshape | tuber<br>flesh<br>colour | Tuber<br>eyes          | Adaptability<br>area                        | Maturity           | Consumer an<br>processing<br>quality  | d Special<br>attribute   | Storability | / Iron<br>(PPM) | Zinc<br>(PPM) | Ascorbic<br>acid<br>(mg/100g<br>FW) | Anthocyani<br>(µg/100g<br>FW) | n Carotenoids | Dormanc | yDry<br>Matter<br>(%) |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---|--------------------|---|--|-------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|---------|-----------------------|
| 44 Kufri<br>Pukhraj   | Yellow Ovoid               | Yellow                   | Medium<br>deep<br>eyes | North Indian<br>palins and<br>Plateau       | Early to<br>medium | Easy to cook,<br>texture waxy,<br>flavour mild,<br>Coloration on<br>exposure to<br>ight | Early bulker,<br>suitable for<br>low-input<br>ecosystem                          | medium      | L<br>≤ 30       | M<br>16-25    | L<br><u>&lt;</u> 25                 | M<br>50-100                   | M 101-350     | >45     | 16.1                  |
| 45 Kufri<br>Pushkar   | Yellow Ovoid               | cream                    | Medium<br>deep<br>eves | North Indian<br>Plains                      | Medium             | Easy to cook,<br>texture waxy,<br>flavour mild  |  | Good        | L<br>≤ 30       | M<br>16-25    | L<br>≤ 25                           | H<br>> 100                    | L<br>≤100     | >75     | 17.5                  |
| 46 Kufri Red          | Red Round                  | Cream                    | Medium<br>deep<br>eyes | North-<br>Eastern<br>Plains                 | Medium             | Cooks on<br>prolonged<br>boiling, texture<br>waxy, flavour<br>strong                    |  | Good        | M<br>31-50      | M<br>16-25    |                                     | ı                             |               | >75     | 19.9                  |
| 47 Kufri<br>Sadabaha  | White Ovoid                | White                    | Shallow<br>Eyes        | Uttar<br>Pradesh and<br>adjoining<br>areas  | Medium             | Easy to cook,<br>texture mealy,<br>flavour mild   | Early Bulker   | Good        | L<br>≤ 30       | M<br>16-25    | L<br>≤ 25                           | M<br>50-100                   | L<br>≤100     | >45     | 17.9                  |
| 48 Kufri<br>Sahyadri  | Light Oval<br>yellow       | Yellow                   | Shallow<br>eyes        | Nilgiri Hills of<br>Tamil<br>Nadu.          | Medium             | Mealy texture,<br>good taste,<br>flavour<br>and<br>appearance.                          | PCN and<br>late blight<br>resistance,<br>suitable for<br>table and<br>processing | Good        | ı               | 1             |                                     |                               |               | >75     | 18.5                  |
| 19 Kufri Safer        | l white- Round<br>cream    | cream                    | Medium<br>deep<br>eyes | North Indian<br>Plains                      | Late               | Cooks on<br>prolonged<br>boiling, texture<br>waxy, flavour<br>mild                      |  | Good        | L<br>≤ 30       | L<br>≤ 15     | M<br>26-35                          | H<br>> 100                    | M 101-350     | 06      | 21.0                  |
| 50 Kufri<br>Sangam    | White Ovoid<br>cream       | Cream                    | Shallow<br>eyes        | Northern<br>plains and<br>Central<br>plains | Medium             | Easy to cook<br>texture mealy   | Suitable for<br>processing<br>as well<br>as table<br>purpose                     | Good        |                 |               |                                     | ı                             | ·             | > 70    | 22.0                  |
| 51 Kufri<br>Shailja   | white Ovoid<br>cream       | white                    | Shallow<br>Eyes        | North Indian<br>Hills                       | Medium             | Easy to cook,<br>texture waxy,<br>flavour mild  | -  | Average     | L<br>≤ 30       | M<br>16-25    | H<br>≥ 36                           | M 50-100                      | L<br>≤100     | > 60    | 18.2                  |
| 52 Kufri<br>Sheetman  | White- Round<br>cream      | cream                    | Medium<br>deep<br>eves | North-<br>Western<br>Plains                 | Medium             | Easy to cook,<br>texture waxy,<br>flavour mild  | Frost<br>tolerant  | Good        | L<br>≤ 30       | L<br>≤ 15     | M<br>26-35                          | M<br>50-100                   | M 101-350     | >45     | 21.9                  |
| 53 Kufri<br>Sherpa    | Yellow Round               | cream                    | Medium<br>deep<br>eyes | North<br>Bengal Hills<br>and Sikkim         | Medium             | Easy to cook,<br>texture floury,<br>flavour mild  |  | Poor        | L<br>≤ 30       | M<br>16-25    | M<br>26-35                          | M<br>50-100                   | L<br>≤100     | >45     | 21.4                  |

Kaur et al.,

| Tab   | le 1. Con         | tinued            |                |                          |                        |  |                                    |   |  |                   |                 |               |                                     |                           |              |           |                        |
|-------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--|------------------------------------|---|--|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------|------------------------|
| s. So | Variety<br>Name   | tuber<br>colour   | tuber<br>shape | tuber<br>flesh<br>colour | Tuber<br>eyes          | Adaptability<br>area   | Maturity                           | Consumer<br>and<br>processing<br>quality  | Special<br>attribute   | Storability       | / Iron<br>(PPM) | Zinc<br>(PPM) | Ascorbic<br>acid<br>(mg/100g<br>FW) | Anthocyani<br>(µg/100g FV | n Carotenoid | s Dormanc | y Dry<br>Matter<br>(%) |
| 54    | Kufri<br>Sindhuri | Red               | Round          | cream                    | Deep<br>Eyes           | North-Indian<br>Plains   | Late                               | Easy to cook,<br>texture waxy,<br>flavour mild  | Suitable for<br>low input<br>eco-system  | Good              | L<br>≤ 30       | M<br>16-25    | L<br><u>&lt;</u> 25                 | M<br>50-100               | L<br>≤100    | >75       | 20.3                   |
| 55    | Kufri<br>Surya    | white             | Oblong         | cream                    | Eyes                   | North Indian<br>Plains and<br>Plateau  | Early                              | Easy to cook,<br>texture waxy,<br>flavour mild,<br>Good for<br>making French<br>fries | Heat<br>tolerant,<br>suitable<br>for early<br>planting<br>in plains,<br>tolerant to<br>hopper burn | Good              | M<br>31-50      | M<br>16-25    | ≥ 36                                | M<br>50-100               | M 101-350    | >45       | 17.8                   |
| 56    | Kufri<br>Sutlej   | White<br>Cream    | Ovoid          | White                    | Shallow<br>Eyes        | North Indian<br>Plains   | Medium                             | Easy to cook,<br>texture waxy,<br>flavour mild  |  | Average           | L<br>≤ 30       | M<br>16-25    | L<br><u>&lt;</u> 25                 | M<br>50-100               | L<br>≤100    | > 60      | 19.2                   |
| 57    | Kufri<br>Swarna   | White<br>Cream    | Ovoid          | White                    | Shallow<br>Eyes        | South Indian<br>Hills  | Medium                             | Easy to cook,<br>texture floury   | Possess<br>combined<br>resistance<br>to late blight<br>and PCN                                     | Poor              | L<br>≤ 30       | L<br>≤ 15     | L<br>≤ 25                           | M<br>50-100               | M 101-350    | >45       | 20.1                   |
| 58    | Kufri Thai<br>1   | r Light<br>yellow | Ovoid          | Yellow                   | Medium<br>deep<br>eyes | Middle<br>Gangetic<br>plains, East<br>coast hills and<br>plains                                  | Early                              | Texture Mealy   | Water use<br>efficient<br>variety  | Medium to<br>good |                 |               | 1                                   |                           |              | >50       | >19                    |
| 20    | Kufri Thai<br>2   | r Light<br>yellow | Ovoid          | Light<br>yellow          | eyes                   | Drought prone<br>areas   | Medium                             | Pleasant<br>flavour,<br>Texture mealy   | Water use<br>efficient<br>with<br>Drought<br>tolerance,<br>high<br>resistance<br>to late blight    | Good              |                 |               |                                     |                           |              | >45       | 20-21                  |
| 60    | Kufri Thai<br>1   | r White           | Round-<br>oval | Cream                    | Medium<br>deep         | Transgangetic<br>plains, Upper<br>Gangetic<br>plains,<br>Eastern<br>plateau and<br>hills region. | : Early<br>medium<br>and<br>Medium | Easy to cook,<br>texture waxy   | Water use<br>efficient<br>variety  | Good              |                 | 1             |                                     |                           | 1            | >45       | 17.9                   |
| L: L( | w; M: mec         | lium; H: ŀ        | igh; PCI       | N: Potato                | o cyst ner             | natode   |                                    |   |  |                   |                 |               |                                     |                           |              |           |                        |

Kaur et al.,

of processed food products, feedand industrial uses. In recent years, potato has also evolved such multifarious uses, each having a specific quality requirement. This can be related to its visual appeal, organoleptic preference of the consumer, and also its ability to accommodate to the specific end use and meet market specifications. The tuber colour, shape, size, eye depth, appearance, disease or defects, flavour and texture, keeping etc. all determine the quality of the produce for varied uses. The use and the ethno-diversity of the population in a region affect the consumer preference of potato.It is evident that an understanding of the potato's culinary aptitude based on its organoleptic, intrinsic and extrinsic quality parameters is most vital for not only its better utilization but also consumer wise introduction, dissemination and acceptance. Limited study on this aspect has been carried out in India till date. Kharumnuid et al.(2020)studied that the ranking of attributes on consumer preferences of table potatoes in Punjab region of India. He reported that consumers preferred medium sized tubers, red smooth skin, round shape, with long shelf life, white flesh colour, medium eye depth, mealy texture, medium cooking time and medium aroma. With yield being a major priority in all breeding programmes (new varieties are introduced based on their higher yield and agronomical performance), the future potato industry will most likely depend on the consumer oriented marketing of higher value product in the specific sector. This will also generate greater prospects to the growers for getting premium prices for their produce and increasing their profitability.

#### Potato development as a food

In India, potato was introduced in early seventeenth century by the Portuguese in the western coast and by the British in Bengal (Pandey et al., 2003). It was cultivated in the highlands of South-India and North-eastern India. The varietal requirements for food use of potato have been described as highly varied, consisting of the sum of favourable characteristics of the tuber. It has been described as a subjective and dynamic concept influenced by tradition, lifestyles and food habits of consumers (Richards et al., 1997). The flavour of food derives from the various chemical transformations that occur during cooking/ heating and are closely associated with the cooking method used like boiling, baking, deep-frying etc. These finally result in a characteristic 'potato flavour' which is relatively unique neutral and bland and accounts for not only its world-wide acceptance and consumption but also its the ability to blend itself to a wide variety of foods. Potato is an inclusion in mostly all national cuisines and also as staple food.

#### Consumer preferences for potato as food in India

An internet search on the list of potato recipes eaten in India gives a figure of more than 140 different recipes having potato as the main ingredient. The Indian preparations of potato utilize different methods of cooking potato including boiling, steaming, pressure cooking, baking and frying. Based on its use as food popularly potatoes are defined as table potatoes and processed potatoes. Processed potato products likechips, frozen fries, dehydrated potato flakes and granules, alcohol based beverages are available in the market. Highest SI (satiety index) has been reported for boiled potatoes as compared to the 38 other foods items grouped in six food classes viz. fruit, bakery products, snack foods, carbohydrate-rich foods, protein-rich foods, and breakfast cereals (Holt *et al.*, 1995).

Dry matter is most crucial factor for consumer acceptance and use, while low glycoalkaloids content (<15mg/100gram fresh tuber weight) and ability to withstand cold induced sweetening are added advantages. Characters like tuber defects, glycoalkaloids, greening and nutritional values are highly important for both processors and table potato consumers. Characters like enzymatic browning, sugar content and dry matter are more preferred by processors as compared to table potato consumers. Flavor had higher importance for consumers as compared to processors. Relationship between dry matter, texture and its preferable typical use have been described by (Mosley and Chase 1993, Singh et al., 2016, Luthra et al., 2004, 2019). Low dry matter potatoes with dry matter lesser than 18 % having very soggy to soggy textures are preffered for pan frying, salads and canning. Higher dry matter potatoes with dry matter above 20.3% are mealy or dry and suitable for processing and baking. Medium dry matter potatoes (18-20.3 %) with dry matter within these ranges can be used for boiling, mashing and canning.

**Tuber colour, size and shape:** The wide genetic diversity of potato has allowed the perpetuation of its varied types/ varieties in the different regions of the world as well as the country *per se*. Varieties with white, yellow or red skin colour and having shallow or medium eyes are mostly preferred by consumers in India. However, there is an increased interest in yellow and coloured fleshed varieties. The morphological and organoleptic preferences among different regions also vary. The consumers of Gujarat state prefer sweet tasting and small red coloured potato tubers, while the eastern states prefer red skinned varieties.

Colour is animportant food quality parameterwhich affects both consumer acceptance and sentiments, besides indicating its higher antioxidant levels and increased health benefits(Ou *et al.*, 2004, Jansen and Flamme 2006). Dark yellow-coloured tubers reportedly indicate higher levels of vitamin A and rich flavour compared to traditional fleshed potato varieties in India, while also exhibiting less after-cooking discolouration than some red skinned varieties (Luthra *et al.*, 2004). Preference for yellow fleshed varieties with white and purple skins as compared to yellow flesh, red skin varieties has been reported from USA(Jemison *et al.*, 2008). They also reported preference to be associated with tuber skin quality.

Fernqvist et al. (2015)in their study carried out in Sweden, reported convenience as the most important factor affecting changing food behaviorof potato as compared to the other factors like health, information and packaging, sensory appeal, purchase price, familiarity and habit, sustainability and ethics. In a similar study by MacPherson et al.(2012), choice tactics at store (size, color, shape and size uniformity), post-purchase evaluation at home and the value orientations (taste and lifestyle)drove consumption in Canada. Dukeshire et al. (2016) recorded positive attitudes towards potato nutrition, taste, preparation and enjoyment among highand low-frequency potato users in eastern Canada. While age of participants and its perceived importance in everyday meal wererecorded as strong predictors of its consumption. Potato packaging, itsfirmness, and local production werethe most important point-of-purchase characteristics.Durham et al. (2015)based on consumer test of six unreleased varieties (four coloured) along with variety Yukon Gold reported that providing antioxidant information of potato tubers increased purchase intent for coloured potato varieties, indicating consumers interest in improving personal health. Therefore, availability of nutritional information can play a pivotal role in increasing the demand of a variety and also to fetch premium prices for the same.

Organoleptic characters: Organoleptic charactersare the most important criterion for the acceptability and popularity of any food product. It constitutes not only the taste and flavor but also the aroma, colorand texture of the food, and are powerful driversfor repeated food purchase. Flavor can potentially increase consumer interest for fresh market and consumption and will help counter malnutrition especially in growing children (Jansky 2010a, Poelman et al., 2013). Flavor comprises of precursors of sugars, amino acids, RNA, and lipids, which react during cooking to produce Malliard reaction products and degradation products of these precursors to impart potato flavour (Duckham et al., 2002). The factors affecting potato flavor have been reviewed by Jansky 2010a and 2010b. Although potato has a wide genetic diversity, there is a requirement of validating the screening methods for identification of superior clones based on sensory and biochemical parameters.

**Taste:** Human taste receptors can monitor a wide range of taste and their gradations like bitter, sour, sweet, salty, and umami flavors (Luthra *et al.*, 2020).Bonierbale *et al.* (2009) and Dobson *et al.* (2004), adjudged the Phureja group to be the "better tasting" correlating its taste attributes to the relatively elevated abundance of certain branched amino acids in raw tubers and of branched short-chain aldehydes in cooked tubers as compared to the Tuberosum volatile profile. The higher scoring of tubers for acceptable flavour having higher levels of the certain compounds is an important advancement in our understanding of potato flavour. These flavouring compounds have been referred to as the *umami* compounds.

Bitterness:Bitterness as a rule is a deterrent and sweetness a strong stimulant for increasing consumption of vegetables (Friedman, 2006). Glyoalkoloids (oc-solanine and oc-chaconine) which are the inherent mechanism for protection of potato tubers against pests and diseases (Valkonen et al., 1996) also impart strong bitter flavour to the tubers, making them unsuitable for consumption. Distribution of these glycoalkaloids in the tubersis not uniform. Higher concentrations occur in periderm and cortex as compared to the pith, showing both genotypic and environmental variation (Ross 1978, Omayio et al., 2016).Domestication of potato over time has led to the selection of cultivars with low levels of glycoalkaloids(Johns and Alonso 1990). Their levelsfor the release of any new cultivar/ variety have been delineated at 20mg/100g fresh weight.Although higher levels of glycoalkaloids beyond 14mg/100g can be easily detected in the form of bitterness in tubers, they have been reported to positively contribute towards the unique potato flavor at low levels (below I0 mg/I00g) (Sinden, 1976, Jansky 2010a). The Indian potato varieties contain glycoalkaloids within the permissible limit ensuring their safe consumption (Pandey and Sarkar 2005).

**Aroma:** Aroma isa complex character for sensory evaluation and identification of the better flavoured variant. Variation in consumer preference is much dependent on the region and personal attributes. A complex array of aromatic compounds are found in cooked potatoes. Coleman *et al.*(1981)identified 228 volatile compounds belonging to the lipids family, which contribute to the baked potato flavour. Although, potato lacks inherent aroma as observed in fruits and flowers, which promotes pollination and seed dispersal, its unique potato aroma is reported to inmate from cutting and cooking of potato in different ways.

Texture: Textureor mouth feel isanother important sensory attribute for potato preference which is related to the dry matter, specific gravity, starch content and quality, cell size and surface area and pectincontents in a particular potato variety(Thygesen et al., 2001, Mayano et al., 2007). Besides these cultivation and storage conditions also affect the texture of potato (Cottrell et al., 1993). In general, dry and granular texture is reported as mealy, while a moist and gummy texture as waxy. A mealy texture is reportedly associated with high dry matter (Jansky 2008; Leung et al. 1983; Van Dijk et al., 2002). The established chemical, biochemical and molecular levels markers of potato organoleptic parameters can be used to predict properties in the cooked/processed material.Presently, utility of a potato variety is generally determined by its dry matter content and texture, with a mealy texture indicating higher solids and a waxy texture low solids. Potatoes with more than 20% dry matter and a mealy texture are preferred for fried and dehydrated products, whereas small sized tubers with dry matter between 18- 20 % and waxy texture are preferred for salad making and canning. Important quality traits in respect to their importance to

processors and consumers have been compiled by Dale and Mackay (1994).

**Enzymatic Discolouration:** The enzymatic and after cooking discolouration are genotype linked characters of much consumer relevance. Enzymatic discolouration occurs on cutting and peeling of potatoes due to a chemical reaction between tuber constituents like tyrosine and ortho-dihydric phenols with oxygen in the presence of the enzyme polyphenoloxidase resulting in tuber flesh browning (Schaller and Amberger 1974b).

After cooking darkening: The After cooking darkening (ACD) is a common phenomenon where a dark coloured ranging from grey to blue or purple to black patchy coating appears on cooked potatoes. It is reported to be caused by the oxidation of ferri-chlorogenic acid, showing direct correlation with itshigher concentrations in the potato tubers as compared to citric acid (Smith 1987, Pruskiand Nowak 2004; Heisler et al., 1963). The degree of darkening shows variation inside the tuberand has been reviewed by Pruski and Novak 2004. Several methods like the exposure of potatoes to air and their immersion in water and chemical treatments have been reported to prevent ACD.Chemical chelating agents likeEthylenediaminetetraaceticacid (EDTA), sodium acid pyrophosphate (SAPP), gluconic acid, citric acid, sodium giuconate, sodium citrate, ammonium giuconate and sodium bisulfite have been reported to prevent ACD(Greig and Smith 1955, Ng and Weaver 1979;Mazza and Qi 1991). After-cooking discoloration is a major problem faced by potato consumers mainly canners. It reportedly shows both genotypic and environmental variation (Wang-Pruskiet al., 2003). Presently all the cultivated varieties in India are free from ACD(Pandey et al., 2000).

Cold induced sweetening: The harvested semi perishable potato produce is stored under cold store conditions at 2-4°C to prevent post-harvest losses. Under these conditions thetubers accumulate high amounts of reducing sugars which alters the tuber flavour making it sweet and referred to as the cold-induced sweetening' phenomenon. Besides losing theirdesirable flavour for table use the tubers also becomes unsuitable for processing into chips and french fries as the higher sugar content imparts a dark colouration on tubers during frying. Breeding varieties resistant to cold sweetening therefore becomes imperative for consumer preference of both table potato as well as processed potato consumers. Several studies on identification of varieties and germplasm resistant to cold induced sweetening, have been undertaken in India (Luthra et al., 2009; Kumar 2011). Resistance to cold induced sweetening has been reported in some accessions of the species S. albicans, S. demissum, S. jamesi, S. berthaultii, S. sparsi and S. tuberosum ssp. andigena maintained low glucose level (glucose content <50 mg/ 100 g fresh tuber weight) (Meena et al., 2009). However, at present none of the cultivated varieties in India are resistant to cold induced sweetening.

https://doi.org/10.37992/2023.1401.008

Keeping guality: The unique agro-climatic conditions of India allow potato to be cultivated inin almost every part of the county in different seasons of the year. However,90 % of this production comes from thesubtropicalplains where high temperatures are prevalent immediately after potato harvestand lead to major postharvest losses ofupto30% and therebyentailing the development of good keeping potato cultivarsto increase potato production.Post-harvest losses occur in the form of both physiological and quality losses.While, physiological losses are due to weight loss, sprouting and respiration in potato tubers, the quality losses occur in the form of rottage and reduction in firmness of tubers. The sprouting of tubers due to loss of dormany negatively affects the nutritional and processing qualities of potatoes, causing severe economic losses to producers. Currently sprouting is controlled chemically using synthetic sprout inhibitors which is a major cause of concern worldwide. Identification of key physiological processes that can be regulated naturally andgood keepinggermplasm lines to counter tuber dormancy and increase shelf life have been prioritized in recent years. In a study by Pandey et al. 2007(Pandey et al., 2007) on keeping behaviour of 37 potato varieties it was observed that the dormancy period was the longest in Kufri Sindhuri and shortest in Kufri Lauvkarvarieties. The per cent weight loss after 60 days of sprouting was lower in varieties Kufri Safedand Kufri Chandramukhi (4.6 and 5.2% respectively).Similar study by Das et al. (2004) revealed K. Ashoka as a poor keeper under storage conditions in Bihar and emphasised the development of high yielding better keeping varieties for export and domestic consumption.Gupta et al. (2015) in their investigations onstorage behaviour of forty-four indigenous potato varieties at room temperature reported a highly significant and positive correlation between weight loss with sprout weight/Kg tubers and physiological weight loss. Varieties namely, Kufri Chamatkar, Kufri Chipsona-1, Kufri Chandramukhi, Kufri Dewa, Kufri Jyoti, Kufri Kuber, Kufri Kundan. Kufri Lalima. Kufri Lauvkar. Kufri Pushkar. Kufri Red, Kufri Safed, Kufri Sheetman, Kufri Sindhuri were reported to possess excellent keeping attributes based on medium to long tuber dormancy (45 -75 days), low storage losses (upto 9.9 %), medium to high tuber dry matter (18.6-24.2) and good flavour. Development of good keeping quality with high productivity will be an unfailing solution for Indian conditions (Kaur et al., 2020). Based upon above discussion, the breeding objectives for 61 table potato varieties are listed in Table 2.

Consumer preference for potato as a health food:The traditional Indian diet is cereal-centricwhere potato is mainly consumed as a vegetable which is contradictory to its wholesome carbohydrate rich nutritional profile, mostlyoblivious to the masses, and depending on its consumption as raw or cooked, and also on the method used for cooking ((Navarre *et al.*, 2010, Jayanty *et al.*, 2019). Its close association with fast food industry in recent years has further reiterated its image as a fatty food. Frying being the preferred method of consuming potato in the form of French fries, chips, aalu tikki, veg bullets

| asic traits              | Table<br>potatoes                                | Nutritional<br>value                          | Baby<br>potatoes<br>and<br>fingerlings                      | Organic                                | Dehydrated               | French<br>fries                  | Chips                            | Canned                           | Feed for<br>animals                           | Production<br>of starch  | Alcohol<br>production             |
|--------------------------|--|---|---|--|--------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| kin colour               | White,<br>cream,<br>yellow, red<br>LP            | Yellow, red,<br>purple                        | White,<br>cream,<br>yellow,<br>red, purple<br>(visually     | White,<br>cream,<br>yellow, red,<br>LP | No<br>preference         | White or<br>yellow               | White or<br>yellow               | White or<br>yellow               | No<br>preference                              | No<br>preference   | No preference                     |
| esh colour               | Yellow,<br>cream, white<br>LP                    | Yellow,<br>red, purple<br>and their           | attractive)<br>No<br>preference                             | White,<br>cream or<br>yellow           | White or<br>yellow       | White,<br>cream or<br>yellow     | White,<br>cream or<br>yellow     | White,<br>cream or<br>yellow     | No<br>preference                              | No<br>preference   | No preference                     |
| uber size                | Medium   | combinations<br>Medium                        | Small,<br>uniformly<br>produced                             | Medium                                 | Medium                   | Large                            | Medium to<br>large               | Small                            | No<br>preference                              | Large sized<br>tubers for<br>industrial                        | No preference                     |
| uber Shape               | Round to<br>oval                                 | No<br>preference                              | Round<br>for baby<br>potatoes<br>and finger/<br>spindle for | Oval to<br>round                       | Round to<br>oval         | Oblong                           | Round to<br>oval                 | Round to<br>oval                 | No<br>preference                              | processing<br>Round or<br>oval for<br>industrial<br>processing | No preference                     |
| /e depth                 | Shallow to                                       | Shallow to                                    | tingerlings<br>Shallow                                      | Medium to                              | Shallow                  | Shallow                          | Shallow                          | Shallow                          | No  | Shallow  | Shallow                           |
| kin smoothness           | Smooth and                                       | Smooth and                                    | Smooth and  | Smooth and                             | No                       | No                               | No                               | No                               | prererice<br>No                               | Smooth and   | No preference                     |
| ganoleptic               | shiny<br>Good taste,                             | shiny<br>No                                   | shiny<br>Good taste,  | shiny<br>Good taste,                   | preference<br>Good taste | preference<br>Good taste,        | preference<br>Good taste,        | preference<br>Good taste,        | preference<br>Desirable for                   | shiny with<br>No   | No preference                     |
| operties                 | aroma,<br>texture,<br>mouth feel                 | preference                                    | aroma,<br>texture,<br>mouth feel                            | aroma,<br>texture,<br>mouth feel       |                          | aroma,<br>texture,<br>mouth feel | aroma,<br>texture,<br>mouth feel | aroma,<br>texture,<br>mouth feel | fed animals<br>based on<br>animal             | preference   |                                   |
|                          | etc.   |   | etc.  | etc.                                   |                          | etc.                             | etc.                             | etc.                             | feeding                                       |  |                                   |
| xture                    | Mealy or   | Mealy or                                      | Mealy or  | NA                                     | Fairly firm to           | Fairly firm                      | Fairly firm to                   | Waxy                             | studies<br>No                                 | Floury   | No preference                     |
| educing sugar,           | floury<br>No                                     | floury<br>No                                  | floury<br>No  | NA                                     | mealy<br>0.25            | 0.15                             | mealy<br><0.1                    | 0.5                              | preference<br>No                              | No   | No preference                     |
| fresh wt<br>y matter (%) | preference<br>18-20 for<br>boiled and<br>>20 for | preference<br>High dry<br>matter<br>preferred | preference<br>No<br>preference                              | 18-20 for<br>boiled and<br>>20 for     | >20                      | >20                              | >20                              | <18                              | preference<br>High dry<br>matter<br>preferred | preference<br>High   | High                              |
| ooking time              | baking<br>Less                                   | >18%<br>Minimal to<br>avoid losses            | Less  | baking<br>Less                         | Minimal<br>after         | Less                             | Less                             | Less                             | >18%<br>No<br>preference                      | No<br>preference   | Less (facilitate<br>hvdrolvsis of |
| sease resistance         | AD   | in nutrition<br>Preferably<br>late blight     | AD  | AD                                     | rehydration<br>AD        | AD                               | AD                               | AD                               | AD  | AD   | cárbońydrates<br>AD               |
| Ibers defect             | Low  | resistance<br>Low                             | Low   | Low                                    | Low                      | Low                              | Low                              | Low                              | Low   | Low  | Low                               |

Kaur et al.,

|   | potatoes                             | Nutritional<br>value                           | Baby<br>potatoes<br>and<br>fingerlings                    | Organic   | Dehydrated                           | French<br>fries                      | Chips  | Canned                               | Feed for<br>animals                                 | Production<br>of starch   | Alcohol<br>production   |         |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---------|
| ir cooking                                  | Absent                               | Absent   | Absent  | Absent  | Slight                               | Slight                               | Absent or<br>Slight                          | Absent                               |   | Absent  | Absent  |         |
| ooalkaloids                                 | Low <15mg/<br>100 gm                 | <15mg/ 100<br>gm fresh                         | <15mg/ 100<br>gm fresh                                    | <15mg/ 100 gm<br>fresh weight   | <15mg/ 100<br>gm fresh               | <15mg/<br>100 gm<br>froch woizht     | siigiit<br><15mg/<br>100 gm<br>froch unoicht | <15mg/<br>100 gm<br>froch moizht     | <200mg/<br>100 gm                                   | No<br>preference  | <200mg/ 100<br>gm   |         |
| slon  | lresn weignt<br>High                 | Weight   | weigni<br>Low<br>(>0.02%)                                 | High  | weigni<br>Low<br>(>0.02%)            | Iresri weigrit<br>Low<br>(>0.02%)    | Iresri weigrit<br>Low<br>(>0.02%)            | Iresn weignt<br>Low<br>(>0.02%)      | No<br>preference                                    | Low<br>(>0.02%)   | Low (>0.02%)  |         |
| e added traits<br>rient efficiency          | AD,<br>Nutrient<br>efficiency is     | No<br>preference                               | No<br>preference  | Very high   | No<br>preference                     | No<br>preference                     | No<br>preference                             | No<br>preference                     | No<br>preference                                    | No<br>preference  | No preference   |         |
| ic stress                                   | ABirable                             | AD   | AD  | Resistant to major<br>diseases and insect<br>pests prevalent<br>in the area of<br>cultivation. Should<br>giveeconomical<br>phomical corror (MA) | AD                                   | AD                                   | AD   | AD                                   | AD  | AD  | AD  |         |
| <i>it stress</i><br>ritional value          | AD<br>Rich in<br>antioxidants        | AD<br>Rich nutritive<br>bioactive<br>compounds | AD<br>Rich in<br>antioxidants                             | crienneal spray (w)<br>AD<br>Rich in antioxidants<br>(VA)   | AD<br>No<br>preference               | AD<br>No<br>preference               | AD<br>No<br>preference                       | AD<br>No<br>preference               | AD<br>Higher<br>protein<br>content,<br>minerals,    | AD<br>No<br>preference  | <i>AD</i><br>No preference  |         |
| <b>ping quality</b><br>d induced<br>etening | Good<br>keeping<br>Low/<br>resistant | Good<br>keeping<br>Low/<br>Resistant           | Good<br>keeping<br>Low/<br>Resistant                      | Good keeping<br>Low/ resistant  | Good<br>keeping<br>Low/<br>resistant | Good<br>keeping<br>Low/<br>resistant | Good<br>keeping<br>Low/<br>resistant         | Good<br>keeping<br>Low/<br>resistant | antioxidants<br>Good<br>keeping<br>No<br>preference | Good<br>keeping<br>No<br>preference                                   | No preference<br>High (for<br>increased<br>reducing sugar<br>concentrations |         |
| ening                                       | Low/<br>Resistant                    | Low/<br>Resistant                              | Low/<br>Resistant   | No preference   | No<br>preference                     | No<br>preference                     | No<br>preference                             |                                      | Low/<br>Resistant                                   |   | to alcohols)  |         |
| and Fe contents<br>cemic index              | Uesirable<br>Desirable               | High<br>Low                                    | Low   | No preference   | No<br>preference                     | No<br>preference                     | No<br>preference                             | Low                                  | No<br>preference                                    | No<br>preference  | No preference   |         |
| ch quality                                  | Not<br>applicable                    | No<br>preference                               | Very low<br>amylose<br>and high<br>amylopectin<br>content | No preference   | preference                           | preference                           | preference                                   | No<br>preference                     | preference  | Very low<br>Very low<br>amylose<br>and high<br>amylopectin<br>content | No preference   | Kaur et |

#### **FIPR**

etc., increases the overall fat content of the fried product. Thereby creating a strong delusion about potato having a fattening effect to the consumers which is in stark contrast to its virtually fat and cholesterol free nature(Storey, 2007; Camire *et al.*, 2009).

Carbohydrate rich potatoes are valuable natural source of nutrients including a wide range of vitamins, minerals and dietary fibre (Table 3). Unlike the foods that provide "empty calories" (calories with less nutrition value), potatoes are rather nutrient dense. They provide equal or greater amount of recommended daily allowances of various vitamins (Vitamin C, B6 and B1, folate), minerals(potassium, phosphorus, calcium, and magnesium, iron and zinc) and proteins of a rich amino acid profile. They have been reported to contain 10% or more of the recommended dietary intake (RDI) of vitamin C, folate, thiamine, niacin, pantothenic acid and potassium. Besides being a rich source of dietary fibre, they contain diverse range of phytonutrients, antioxidants carotenoids and tocopherols especially when eaten unpeeled with its skin. Ithas a potential to improve food security and health, especially among women and children by contributing to improved diets and reduction in mortality rates caused by malnutrition. Coloured potatoes contain natural colorant,

antioxidantsand other useful compounds which can be used as functional foods forbenefitting human health (Katan and De Roos 2004). Theirhearty consumption around the world, provides an opportunity for using them as vehicles to address health-related problems in humans(Ezekiel *et al.*, 2013).

The healing and medicinal properties of various components of potato have been reported by several workers (**Table 4**). The concentration of nutrients in potatoes varies with species, location, crop year, maturity at harvest, soil and fertilizer contents. The discovery of anti-diabetic compounds in potato, which are being sought to be augmented through biofortification has added much interestamong the researchers. Increase in the anti-diabetic ingredients reported in potato such as biguanidine and metformin are expected to improve the insulin production to combat type II diabetes. Tubers of potato variety Kufri Surya have been found to lower the sugar levels of diabetic rats.

Phenolic contents and flavonols remain unaltered during the cooking process. Anthocyanin content in raw potatoes has been reported to be higher as compared to different processed products content mainly due toits alteration

| Nutrients (per 100 g fresh weight) | White flesh and skin, raw | Red flesh and skin, raw |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Proximate composition              |                           |                         |
| Energy (kcal)                      | 69                        | 70                      |
| Protein (g)                        | 1.7                       | 1.9                     |
| Total lipid (fat) (g)              | 0.1                       | 0.1                     |
| Carbohydrate, (g)                  | 15.7                      | 15.9                    |
| Total dietary fibre (g)            | 2.4                       | 1.7                     |
| Total Sugars (g)                   | 1.2                       | 1.3                     |
| Minerals                           |                           |                         |
| Calcium, Ca (mg)                   | 9                         | 10                      |
| Magnesium, Mg (mg)                 | 21                        | 22                      |
| Potassium, K (mg)                  | 407                       | 455                     |
| Phosphorus, P (mg)                 | 62                        | 61                      |
| Sodium, Na (mg)                    | 16                        | 18                      |
| Vitamins                           |                           |                         |
| Total ascorbic acid (mg)           | 19.7                      | 8.6                     |
| Thiamin (mg)                       | 0.07                      | 0.08                    |
| Riboflavin (mg)                    | 0.03                      | 0.03                    |
| Niacin (mg)                        | 1.07                      | 1.15                    |
| Vitamin B-6 (mg)                   | 0.203                     | 0.17                    |
| Folate (µg-DFE)                    | 18                        | 18                      |
| Vitamin E (mg)                     | 0.01                      | 0.01                    |
| Vitamin K (μg)                     | 1.6                       | 2.9                     |
| Vitamin A (IU)                     | 8                         | 8                       |
| Source: USDA, 2019                 |                           |                         |

#### Table 3 Nutritional value of potatoes

| Component  | Effect  | Reference   |
|--|---|---|
| Vitamin C ascorbate  | Increases bioavailability of ironacts as anantioxidant and cofactor in enzymatic reactions, protects folates from oxidative breakdown.  | Yun <i>et al.</i> 2004; Hale <i>et al.</i><br>2008; Andre <i>et al.</i> 2010  |
| Vitamin E  | Prevents lipid peroxidation and oxidation of poly unsaturated fatty acids and low-density lipoproteins from by free radicals  | Valk and Hornstra 2000;<br>Raederstorff <i>et al</i> . 2015   |
| Carotenoids  | Prevents age related macular degeneration   | Griffiths et al. 2007   |
| Polyphenols  | Inhibits angiogenesis, cardiovascular and neurodegenerative diseases, carcinogenesis, apoptosis, and acting as a modulator in signalling cascades and apoptotic processes   | Arts and Hollman 2005,<br>Stevenson and Hurst 2007  |
| Antioxidants:<br>flavonoids,<br>carotenoids,<br>polyphenols<br>anthocyanins,<br>ascorbic acid,<br>tocopherols, alpha-<br>lipoic acid and<br>selenium | Elevated antioxidant status, Reduction in oxidative stress and<br>inflammation, DNA damage,radical scavenging action; decrease<br>in plasma cholesterol and triglyceride levels, reduced hepatic lipid<br>peroxidation and inhibition of carcinogenesis | Hakimuddin <i>et al.</i> 2004;<br>Lachman and Hamouz 2004;<br>Han <i>et al.</i> 2006; Robert <i>et al.</i><br>2006, 2008; Thompson <i>et al.</i><br>2009; Kaspar <i>et al.</i> 2011 |

#### Table 4. Antioxidants and other componentsin potato reported to effect human health

at high temperature, enzyme activity, pH changes and presence of metallic ions and proteins changes during processing (Fang *et al.*, 2011, Patras*et al.*, 2010).

Indian potato varieties have been evaluated for presence of phytochemicals viz. anthocyanins, carotenoids and phenolics by Dalamu et al. (2015) who reported eight accessionsviz. Desa Lal, Gulabia, Ultimus, CP4242, Barielly Red, Phulwa Red, Lal Mitti-2 and Pimpernel to be excelling in all these three phytonutrients. Dalamu et al. 2017 reported iron and zinc content in raw and peeled tubers showing a variation of 14.90-67.13 mg/kg (ppm) and 2.78-35.40 mg/kg (ppm) respectively on dry weight basis in tuber flesh. They also reported a significant and positive correlation between these two nutrients in some of theaccessions, indicating the feasibility of breeding for both these nutrients simultaneously. Studies by Luthra et al. (2018a) indicate that, for breeding nutritionally superior table potato genotypes moderate to high tuber dry matter, high soluble protein and high ascorbic acid may be selected in the hybrid progenies. Molecular analysis of the progenies of a cross between Bareilly Red (Red skin, round shape, deep eyes, cream flesh with red broad vascular ring) and CP3770 (Red skin, round shape, medium deep dark red eyes, yellow flesh) using a highly polymorphic and diagnostic SSR marker (STM2005) for tuber flesh colour showed segregating profiles in the progenies and five advanced generation clones could be identified having desirable nutritional profiles (Luthra et al., 2018b). An Indian variety has also been released namely Kufri Neelkanth having high antioxidant levels (Luthra et al., 2020). The identified breeding objectives of nutritionally superior potatoes has been depicted in Table 2.

#### Baby potatoes and fingerlings

Thesmall round uniformly sized tubers of baby potatoes and small and narrow tubers of fingerling tubers have much visual appeal and are sold in small packaging at premium prices. The small undersized tubers produced in ware potato varieties production are mostly sold separately as baby potatoes, while fingerlings are potato varieties that naturally grow specific long finger-like spindly shape. They are served as salad or as a side dish and do not require peeling, thereby retaining the phytonutrients in the skin and drastically reducing preparation and cooking time due to their small size. Presently, work on cultural conditions for enhancing baby potatoes of released varieties viz. Kufri Himasona, Kufri Shailja and Kufri Khyati are being evaluated in India. Besides cultural manipulation to enhance baby potato production, breeding efforts can be directed to getting large number of uniformly sized baby potatoes of organoleptic preference. However, varietal development has not reached much headway for baby potato production in India. Varieties for fingerling potatoes like Russian Banana, Purple Peruvian and Swedish Peanut Fingerling are popular in other countries, but such varieties areabsent in India at present.Simultaneously, certain indigenous cultures like Bareilly Red, Rangpuria, Badami Aalu etc.having low yield and small tuber shapes fetch premium prices in various pockets of India.

Breeding objectives for these may be directed to evolving consumer-oriented traits, namely, superior taste, visual appeal, faster preparation and increased phytonutrients. It offers new opportunities outside of the traditional potato markets which can potentially relieve some of the overproduction issues faced by growers in traditional markets. With the growing number of consumers prioritizing nutrition, there is a need for the industry and nutritionists to increase information about the product profile of baby potatoes to the consumers. The breeding objective for baby potatoes are listed in **Table 2**.

#### **Organic potatoes**

Food grown organically is safe and nutritious. With the growing health consciousness among the consumers organic food has generated a high demand in the national

and international markets, and have been related to a sense of food safety, reliability and trust among consumers (Greenway et al., 2011). A large segment of the population has started preferring organic food over the chemical laden agricultural products available in the market. The organically produced food has stated fetching premium prices thereby makingit imperative to breed for organic potatoes or any crop consumed by mankind. Availability of organic potatoes will not only boost the consumption of potatoes in India but also its export toneighboring countries. Agrochemicals are utilized in agriculture in the form of fertilizers, insecticides, pesticides and fungicides, therefore varieties, which possesssuitable biotic stress resistance and the resilience to perform well under limited inputs thereby excluding the use of fertilizers are expected to perform better under organic cultivation systems perform better. Efforts on potato breeding for organic cultivation will have to deviate itself from conventional production systems which use optimum fertilizer and pesticide. However organic cultivation has been reported to alter productivity, tolerance to abiotic and biotic stresses, storability and taste of genotypes, which would be a major consideration. In an evaluation of 54 potato genotypes includingadvanced hybrids and indigenous varieties evaluated with organic sources of nutrition, for their suitability for organic cultivation based upon their productivity Kufri Khyati and Kufri Mohan reportedly performed better (Luthra et al., 2017).Leonel et al. (2017) reportedproduction of high-quality tubers with enhanced concentrations of phenolics, reduced nitrate and attractive tuber flesh colour for yellow-fleshed potato cultivars under organic cultivation. Adoption of suitable potato varieties in organic farming systems will go a long way in supporting a balanced agro-ecosystemand fetching remunerative prices to the growers in the market.

Consumer preference for potato as a processed food

Rapid urbanization, increase in women manpower, preference to convenience food, improved living standard, longer shelf life of processed products, tourism etc. have all led to a steadily expanding potato processing industry in India (Marwaha et al., 2010). India's potato chips/crisps market was worth US\$2.59bn in 2017, with an annual growth rate of 18.7%, which is expected to reach a value of \$5.5bn in 2022. This has led to not only an increase in the number of entrepreneursand processing units but also in the capacity of existing processing units in the country. However, despite the increasing trends, only about 4% of the total potato produce in India is being processed as compared toestimated 30-67% in developed countries. (Rana and Pandey 2007). Which reveals that there is much untapped opportunity in this sector not only for development of new varieties but also for diverting the excess potato production and increasing profitability of potato stakeholders. To cater to the requirements of the processing industry, exotic processing varieties were initially introduced in the country, which however failed to perform well under the Indian agro climatic conditions.

Subsequently, breeding programmes for processing varieties were initiated in the countryand fewpotato varieties have been bred and released in India since 1998 namely Kufri Chipsona-1, Kufri Chipsona-2, Kufri Chipsona-3, Kufri Himsona, Kufri Fyrsona, Kufri Fryom (French Fries) Kufri Chiposna-4 and Kufri Sangam (Chips). The varieties Kufri Chipsona-1, Kufri Chipsona-2 were bred in arecord time of merely 8 years (Pandey et al.,2003). These have>21% dry matter and contain low reducing sugars (<0.1% on fresh weight basis) and can be grown in most parts of the country to get processing grade potato tubers which may be consumed fresh or after storage at 10-12°C with CIPC ([Isopropyl N-(3chlorophenyl) carbamate])(Pandey et al., 2002, Kumar and Ezekiel 2006; Ezekiel et al., 2007; Kumar et al., 2007). Breeding of there varieties along with the standardization of processing potato storagetechnology haschanged the processing industry scenario in the country, effectively countering the glut situation by effective management of produce and increasing profitability of farmers. Processing varieties stored at 10-12°C are popular as 'low sugar potatoes' for table or ware consumption sell premium pricesin Indian market (Pandey et al., 2008b). A few imported processing varieties like 'Atlantic' and 'Frito-Lay' hybrids are also cultivated in India.

Morphological characters like tuber shape, eye depth, skin and flesh color are of immense importance for processing varieties. There is much impetus on breeding of varieties with oblong shape type suitable for making French fries. Besides these minimum quality standards required for varieties in processing industry have been summarized in detail by Marwaha et al. (2010). High dry matter of the processing varieties in most crucial for ensuring higher weight and recovery of processed product, low oil absorption, lower energy consumption and crispy texture of the product. Specific gravity estimation is reportedly an easy and guick method for dry matter estimation (Grewal and Uppal 1989). Similarly, higher levels of reducing sugars (< 0.1% on fresh weight basis) in tubers impart unacceptable dark colour and bitter taste on frying due to 'Maillard reaction' between reducing sugars and free amino acids of tubersleading to the formation of acrylamide (Marwaha 1997; Marwaha et al., 2008). It not only affects the colour and flavour of the processed product but is a potent toxic compound having carcinogenic properties (Lo Pachin 2004).

Development of early maturing processing varieties, varieties resistant to cold induced sweeteningand antioxidant rich processed products for the consumers, are the future scopes for this flourishing industry. Additional internal quality traits like glycoalkaloids, enzymatic discoloration, nutritional quality, greening(>3%), total tuber defects and tuber dormancy also need to be considered(> 15%) (Storey, 2007, Jansky 2009, Werij 2011, Luthra *et al.*, 2018a and Luthra and Gupta, 2019). Beyond its consumption mainly as human food, potato is

consumed as raw material in various industries like starch and alcohol and also as feed for animals.

#### Potato as a feed for reared animals

Potatoes can be fed to reared animals in raw, cooked, culled ormashed form and aspeels and processed form as starch or pellets in combination with other feed products. It is an efficient strategy to manage potato tubers which do not meet market standards, are in oversupply or produced as waste from processing industry (Schroeder 2012). Ensilage of potato has been reported with dry hay, maize stover or strawthis not only improves the fibre content of the feed but also increases its shelf life and reduces choking hazard (Okine 2005, Schroeder 2012).Culled potatoes are a good, succulent feed for farm animals having higher biological value of proteins and favorable amino acid composition, but with very less protein content. It can be fortified with protein supplements and minerals (calcium and magnesium)based on the diets of the animal(Lisinska and Leszczynski, 1989, Halliday 2015). Much of potato production in the Russian Federation and east European countries is used as animal feed, where cattle are reportedly fed upto 20 kg raw potatoes and pigs 6 kg of potatoes a day. Feeding of fresh potatoes mixed as a part of the total ration or chopped had reportedly no adverse effects on acceptability, palatability and the performance of animals while the phenolics present in the peels have the potential to act as antibioticagents (Anonymous 2012, Guil-Guerrero et al., 2016, Sharma et al., 2016). However, Due care needs to be exercised to avoid feeding of potato sprouts, greened potatoes, fungal infested which contain elevated levels of toxic alkaloids ( $\alpha$ -solanine and  $\alpha$ -chaconine).

Identification of greening resistant varieties will be of much value for developing varieties for specifically animal feed as itallows their easy storage under farm conditions. Variations in greening reactions indicate variation in components of greening (external color, internal color, and depth of color) among varieties(Reeves 1998). The depth of greening has been reported to be less in russetted varieties as compared to white varieties, while red varieties showed less discoloration for all three components, indicating independent inheritance. Resistance to greening isstrongly linked to suberin content in the periderm, number of phellem cell layers and light-induced carotenoids and anthocyanins(Tanios et al., 2020). However, there is much scope for research for not only in development of varieties suitable for feed but also for strategies to prolong shelf life of potato feed products ensuring environmental and financial sustainability.

#### Preference of potato for industrial uses

**Potato starch industry:** Starch is commercially produced from maize, wheat, tapioca, and potato while in India maize and tapioca are its major sources. It is used in the manufacture of a variety of products. Its increasing demand in the country offers scope for improvement in

production efficiencies and product quality. Traditionally, the starch was mainly used for thickening and adhesion, but with development of modern applications and processing methods has led to an increasing need for further product refinement for specific uses. In recent years starch has found applications as a feeder to the paper industry, stability agent in food, warp sizing and fabric printing in textile industry, fluid loss control during deep-well drilling for oil and gas and flocculation in the purification process for drinking-water. It is also an environment friendly biodegradable substitute for several polymers like disposable plates etc. Starch extraction can be an effective strategy to manage overproduction and crashing potato prices. Simultaneously flow process waters from other potato processing industries may be directed for extraction of reclaimed potato starch.

Extraction of starch requires special potato varieties, which may not have very high food value but rich starch content. Since dry matter has a major correlation with starch content of the tuber, which is mostly genetically determined, such varieties would be important.

applications several starch its In amylose componentgelatinizes to form crystals which reduces paste claritythereby acting as a hinderance to the process.Chemical modification of amylose to prevent gelatinization is an expensive process and therefore development of suitable varieties of potato containing only amylopectin or its higher proportions as compared to amylose can be an important objective for breeding starch specific varieties. An amylose free potato variety Elaine has been commercially bred by Avebeusing traditional breeding techniques, while genetically modified Amylopectin potato variety Amflora has been developed by BASF company and commercialized in Europe. The GBSS (granule bound starch synthase) enzyme which is necessary for amylose production has been deactivated using co-suppression in this GM variety (Tilocca et al., 2014). Development of such amylopectin varieties would help in getting high quality starch at lower cost and in an eco-friendly manner.

Ethanol production: Ethanol can be produced from hydrolysis of starch and its fermentation to produce alcohol. It finds its use as a chemical and a beverage, but most importantly as a biofuel, which is being promoted for its use in combination with petrol in transport. Many countries have implemented, or are in the process of implementing, programs providing for the addition of ethanol to gasoline. Promotion of biomass energy offsets fossil fuel consumption, reduces greenhouse gas emissions and increases sustainability(Lynd 1996). Potatoes can be directly used in the process, besides the use of agro-industrial waste from potato processing plants. Ethanol is mostly produced from fermentable monosaccharides and some non-fermentable disaccharides like sugar cane. Disaccharides can be naturally and easily hydrolysed to produce ethanol by action of invertase enzyme produced by yeasts. However, alcohol production from non-fermentable carbohydrates like lignocellulose and starch present in potato require additional treatment before fermentation(Lima 2001). They are firstly hydrolysed into glucose, maltose and other carbohydrates which can readily befermented. In recent years biotechnological interventions have paved the way for new enzyme and fermentation technologies for its efficient fermentation of potato and its byproducts. Several reports of potato as a substrate for production of other fermentation products besides ethanol like acetone, butanol, lactic acid, α-amaylase, β-mannanase etc. are also available (Nimcevic et al., 1998, Jin et al., 2003, 2005, Oda et al., 2002, Saito et al., 2003, Shukla and Kar 2006, Mabrouk and El Ahwany 2008).

Similarly, specific breeding programmes may be initiated in India to develop varieties suitable for ethanol production.

Targeting of varieties to different agro-climatic regions: Requirement of potato varieties for different purpose have been compiled by Luthra et al.(2004) andLuthra and Gupta, (2019). In view of the present review these have been further elaborated in Table 2, in moving with the emerging new consumer-based uses of potato. However, the consumer-oriented breeding cannot be considered independent of the conventional breeding objectives, which affect the productivity and profitability of a variety and more importantly the overall food security of a nation. In breeding field crops, the ultimate goal is to improve yield per se, which may encompass important traits of interest like resistance etc., which affect yield (Kaur, 2017). In view of these the varietal production areas for the different consumer-oriented varieties need to be given due emphasis based on market/ consumer demand. Since 90 % of the total potato produced in the country comes from the north-western plains, most of the consumer-oriented potato varieties can be bred for in this agroclimate. Whereas table potatoes may be targeted to the different agro climatic regions to enable their easy availability based on food preference and prevalent important agro climate linked traits like abiotic and biotic resistance. Similarly processed potato varieties may be targeted for cultivation inNorth Indian plains as well as the Gujrat, Maharashtra, Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka regionswhere there is a predominance of several potato processing companies, to enable higher profitability for the farmers as well as these industries. Global climate is affecting weather patterns, resulting in extremes of heat, drought, frequent frost and snow fall in high altitudes (Dahal et al., 2019) and poses a major challenge for sustainable potato production. These tend to negatively impact plant growth, survival and crop yield and are likely to be aggravated in future because of continued greenhouse gas emissions which will intensify crop plant's exposure to abiotic and biotic stresses (Lesket al., 2016).

In potatoes tuberization is reduced at night temperatures above18°C with complete inhibition of tuberization above 25°C. High temperature stresses adversely affect plant growth, tuberization, tuber bulking, quality and tuber yield. The magnitude of loss depends on the duration, severity and plant growth stage (Minhas, 2012; Wang-Pruski and Schofield, 2012 and Evers *et al.*, 2010). In India work on heat tolerance breeding, morphological, and physiobio-chemical parameters associated for heat stress by ICAR-CPRI has led to the release of four varieties having heat tolerance (Table 1). (Luthra *et al.*, 2020; Chaudhary *et al.*, 2021).

#### Futureconsumer-orientedbreeding

In the present era Precision breeding has taken a center stage in almost all breeding programmes all over the world. Potato genetics have been described as complex, mainly due to its tetraploid nature and high heterozygosity. Although in past years several genesrelated to simple traits like tuber shape, flesh colour, eye depth etc. and resistance genes for late blight, root knot nematodes have been identified but genes for complex quantitatively inherited traits like yield, starch, processing attributes or bruising susceptibility need to be clearly evaluated (Slater et al., 2014). The resistance genes identified from wild relativeshave been successfully incorporated in commercial potato varieties to impart disease and pest resistance (Gebhardt et al., 2014). The availability of the potato genome in the public domain has accelerated gene annotations, linkage mapping, SNP assays, GWAS (Genome wide association studies) and QTL analysis. Major achievements using Illumina Infinium 8303 SNPs Potato Array (Hamilton et al., 2011; Schreiber et al., 2014; Sharma et al., 2014) genome wide association mapping (D'Hoop et al., 2008; Ramakrishnan et al., 2015)is gradually enhancing our knowledge of several complex traits like tuber dormancy, after baking darkening, maturity and starch metabolism. The new age technologies have become more accessible to breeders offering are cheaper, faster and accurate results, and can be coupled with the other omics technologies for identification of candidate genes (Gebhardt 2013). Transgenics for resistant to cold induced sweetening, late blight, starch have been produced (Clasen et al., 2016).

The availability of the potato genome(Xu *et al.*, 2011) in the public domain has accelerated development of gene annotations and linkage maps, opening avenues to new genetic resources (e.g., single nucleotide polymorphisms arrays, SNPs arrays; genome-wide association studies, GWAS) for analysing the regulation of complex traits and QTLs (quantitative traits loci).

Gene editing technologies based on CRISPR-associated (Cas) endonucleases hold great promisefor precision breeding(Symington and Gautier 2011). Potato has in time emerged from a vegetable to a serious food

security option with multifarious consumer preferences being cultivated under different agro-climatic conditions. There is immense pressure on firstly increasing the potato productivity under the limited land resources and changing climatic conditions, projected to further reduce the potato growing periods in India. Secondly, to improve the overall quality of potato as preferred by the industry as well as potato consumers various needs in the era of economic development, higher purchasing power and the willingness to pay more for the desired quality. This would be an important step towards increasing the overall profitability of the farmers, where premium prices for the produce may be sought, and simultaneously promoting export of the commodity. The involvement of all stakeholders involved in potato value chain for selecting the desired traits would be highly fruitful in delineating the breeding objectives for various purpose potatoes and making it more consumer supported. Similar trials referred as the Mother and baby trials (MBT) have been conducted by CIP in South America which yielded positive results (Rusike et al., 2004).

The future research in this direction would focus on developing consumer driven varieties like rich in antioxidants, low glycemic index, high in amylase, low cold induced sweetening, good keeping, high starch for the industry etc. New and varied uses for potato besides food are being described viz. edible films, Biodegradable packaging (Guilbert *et al.*, 1997, Kawasumi, 2004) which open newer avenues and consumer arenas for utilization of potato. The breeding programmes need to be diversified, superimposing of both agroclimatic and consumer-based objectives.

#### REFERENCES

- Andre, C.M., Larondelle, Y. and Evers, D. 2010. Dietary antioxidants and oxidative stress from a human and plant perspective: A review. *Current Nutrition and Food Science*,**6**:2–12. [Cross Ref]
- Anonymous.2012. Nurtitive value of commonly available feeds and fodders in India National Dairy Development Board. Anand, Gujrat
- Arts, I.C.W. and Hollman, P.C.H. 2005. Polyphenols and disease risk in epidemiologic studies. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*,**81**(1): 317S-325S. [Cross Ref]
- Bhardwaj, V., Luthra, S.K., Kumar, R., Kumar, S., Gupta, V.
  K., Sood, S. and Chakrabarti, S. K.2010. Indian potato breeding: present and future prospects. In: Proceedings of Global Poato Conclave 2020: Road map to a better future, Jan 28-31, 2020. Central Potato Research Institute, Shimla
- Bonierbale, M., Grüneberg, W., Amoros, W., Burgos, G., Salas, E., Porras, E. and Felde, T.Z. 2009. Total and

individual carotenoid profiles in Solanum phureja cultivated potatoes: II. Development and application of near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy (NIRS) calibrations for germplasm characterization. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*,**22**(6): 509–516. [Cross Ref]

- Burlingame, B., Mouillé, B. and Charrondière, R. 2009. Nutrients, bioactive non-nutrients and anti-nutrients in potatoes. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*,**22**(6): 494–502. [Cross Ref]
- Camire, M.E., Kubow, S. and Donnelly, D.J. 2009. Potatoes and human health. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, **49**(10): 823–840. [Cross Ref]
- Chaudhary, B., Gupta, V.K., Luthra, S.K. and Kumar, M. 2021. Selection of potato clones for high temperature stress conditions in Indo Gangetic Plains. International Web Conference on "Global Research Initiatives for Sustainable Agriculture & Allied Sciences" held at SKRAU Bikaner, Rajasthan, India during 13–15 December 2021.
- Clasen, B.M., Stoddard, T.J., Luo S., Demorest, Z.L., Li, J., Cedrone, F., Tibebu, R., Davison, S., Ray, E.E., Daulhac, A., Coffman, A., Yabandith, A., Retterath, A., Haun, W., Baltes, N.J., Mathis, L., Voytas, D.F. and Zhang, F. 2016. Improving cold storage and processing traits in potato through targeted gene knockout. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*, **14**(1):169– 176. [Cross Ref]
- Coleman, E.C., Ho, C.T. and Chang, S.S. 1981. Isolation and identification of volatile compounds from baked potatoes. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, **29**(1):42–48. [Cross Ref]
- Competing in India's complex potato chips market Sathguru News.
- D'Hoop B.B., Paulo M.J., Mank R.A., Van Eck, H.J. and Van Eeuwijk, F.A. 2008. Association mapping of quality traits in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *Euphytica*,**161**:47–60. [Cross Ref]
- Dahal, K., Li, Xiu-Qing, Tai, H., Creelman, A. andBizimungu, B. 2019. Improving potato stress tolerance and tuber yield under a climate change scenario – A Current Overview Front *Plant Science*,10: 563. [Cross Ref]
- Dalamu, Sharma, J., Sharma, V., Dua, V., Kumar, V. and Singh, B. 2017. Evaluation of Indian potato germplasm for Iron and Zinc content. *Indian Journal of Plant Genetic Resources*, **30**(3):232–236. [Cross Ref]
- Dalamu, D., Singh, B., Gupta, V. K., Chopra, S., Sharma, R. and Singh, B. P. 2015. Biochemical profiling of phytonutrients for breeding nutrient rich potatoes. *Potato Journal*, **41**(2):122-129.

- Dale, M.F.B. and Mackay, G.R. 1994. Inheritance of table and processing quality. In: Bradshaw JE, Mackay GR (eds) Potato Genetics. CAB International, Wallingford, UK. Pp 285–315
- Das, M., Ezekiel, R., Pandey, S.K. and Singh, A.N. 2004. Storage behaviour of potato varieties and advanced cultures at room temperature in Bihar. *Potato Journal*, **31**(1–2):71–75.
- Devaux, A., Kromann, P. and Ortiz, O. 2014. Potatoes for Sustainable Global Food Security. *Potato Research*, 57:185–199. [Cross Ref]
- Dobson, G., Griffiths, D.W., Davies, H.V. and McNicol, J.W. 2004.Comparison of fatty acid and polar lipid contents of tubers from two potato species, Solanum tuberosum and Solanum phureja. *Journal* of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, **52**(20):6306-6314. [Cross Ref]
- Duckham, S.C., Dodson A.T., Bakker J. and Ames J.M. 2002. Effect of cultivar and storage time on the volatile flavor components of baked potato. *Journal of Agriculture Food and Chemistry*,**50**:5640-8. [Cross Ref]
- Dukeshire, S., MacPherson, M., Veitch, S. and Wang-Pruski, G. 2016. Slicing, dicing, spicing, and pricing: factors influencing purchase and consumption of fresh potatoes. *Journal of Food Products Marketing*, 22(2):240–257. [Cross Ref]
- Durham, C.A., Wechsler, L.J. and Morrissey, M.T. 2015. Using a fractional model to measure the impact of antioxidant information, price, and liking on purchase intent for specialty potatoes. *Food Quality and Preference*, **46**:66–78. [Cross Ref]
- Evers, D., Lefevre, I., Legay, S., Lamoureux, D., Hausman, J.F. and Rosales, R.O. 2010. Identification of drought-responsive compounds in potato through a combined transcriptomic and targeted metabolite approach. *Journal of Experimental Biology*,61: 2327–2343. [Cross Ref]
- Ezekiel, R., Singh, B., Kumar, D. and Mehta, A. 2007. Processing quality of potato varieties grown at two locations and stored at 4, 10 and 12°C. *Potato Journal*, **34**:164–173.
- Ezekiel, R., Singh, N., Sharma, S. and Kaur, A. 2013. Benefecial phytochemicals in potato- a review. *Food Research International*, **50**(2):487–496. [Cross Ref]
- Fang, Z., Wu, D., Yü, D., Ye, X., Liu, D. and Chen, J. 2011. Phenolic compounds in Chinese purple yam and changes during vacuum frying. *Food Chemistry*, **128**(4):943-948. [Cross Ref]

- FAO. 2010 The second report on the state of worlds plant genetic resources for food and agriculture. Italy, Rome
- Fernqvist, F., Spendrup, S. and Ekelund, L. 2015. Changing consumer intake of potato, A focus group study. *British Food Journal*, **117**(1):210–221. [Cross Ref]
- Friedman, M. 2006. Potato glycoalkaloids and metabolites: Roles in the plant and in the diet. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*,**54**(23):8655-81. [Cross Ref]
- Gebhardt, C. 2013. Bridging the gap between genome analysis and precision breeding in potato. *Trends in Genetics*, **29**(4):248–256. [Cross Ref]
- Gebhardt, C., Urbany, C. and Stich, B. 2014. Dissection of potato complex traits by linkage and association genetics as basis for developing molecular diagnostics in breeding programs. In:Frison, R., Graner, E.A. (Eds.) Tuberosa, Genomics of Plant Genetic Resources. Springer, Dordrecht. Springer. Pp.47-85. [Cross Ref]
- Greenway, G.A., Guenthner, J.F., Makus, L.D. and Pavek, M.J. 2011. An analysis of organic potato demand in the U.S. American Journal of Potato Research, 88(2):184–189. [Cross Ref]
- Greig, W.S. and Smith, O. 1955. Potato quality IX. Use of sequestering agents in preventing after cooking darkening in pre-peeled potatoes. *American Potato Journal*, 32:1-8. [Cross Ref]
- Grewal, S.S. and Uppal, D.S. 1989. Effect of dry matter and specifi c gravity on yield, colour and oil content of potato chips. *Indian Food Packer*, **43**(1): 17–20.
- Griffiths, D.W., Dale, M.F., Morris, W.L. and Ramsay, G. 2007. Effects of season and postharvest storage on the carotenoid content of Solanum phureja potato tubers. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 55(2): 379–385. [Cross Ref]
- Guilbert, S., Cuq, B. and Gontard, N. 1997. Recent innovations in edible and/or biodegardable packaging materials. *Food Additives and Contaminants*, **14**:741-751. [Cross Ref]
- Guil-Guerrero, J.L., Ramos, L., Moreno, C., Zúñiga-Paredes, J.C., Carlosama-Yepez, M. and Ruales, P. 2016. Plant foods by-products as sources of health-promoting agents for animal production: A review focusing on the tropics. Agronomy Journal,108(5):1759-1774. [Cross Ref]
- Gupta, V.K., Luthra, S.K. and Singh, B.P. 2015. Storage behaviour and cooking quality of Indian potato varieties. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 52(8):4863–4873. [Cross Ref]

- Hakimuddin, F., Paliyath, G. and Meckling, K. 2004. Selective cytotoxicity of a red grape wine flavonoid fraction against MCF-7 cells. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*,**85**(1):65-79. [Cross Ref]
- Hale, A.L., Reddivari, L., Nzaramba, M.N., Bamberg, J.B. and Miller, J.C. 2008. Interspecific variability for antioxidant activity and phenolic content among Solanum species. *American Journal of Potato Research*, **85**(5):332. [Cross Ref]
- Halliday, L. 2015. Ensiling potatoes. In: Prince Edward Island, Canada Agric. Fish. Available from:http://www.gov. pe.ca/photos/original/af\_fact\_ensipot.pdf
- Hamilton, J.P., Hansey, C.N., Whitty, B.R., Stoffel, K., Massa, A.N., Van Deynze, A., De Jong, W.S., Douches, D.S. and Buell, C.R. 2011. Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Discovery in Elite North American Potato Germplasm. *BMC Genomics*, **12**(302). [Cross Ref]
- Han, K.H., Mitsuo S, Ken-ichiro, S.,Makoto, H., Naoto, H., Takahiro, N., Hisashi, T. andMichihiro, F. 2006. Anthocyanin-rich purple potato flake extract has antioxidant capacity and improves antioxidant potential in rats. *The British Journal of Nutrition*, **96**(6):1125–1133. [Cross Ref]
- Heisler, E.G., Siciliano, J., Treadway, R.H. and Woodward, C.F. 1963. After-cooking discoloration of potatoes. Iron content in relation to blackening tendency of tissue.*Journal of Food Science*, **28**(4):453 – 459. [Cross Ref]
- Holt, S.H.A., Brand Miller, J.C., Petocz, P. and Farmakalidis, E. 1995. A satiety index of common foods. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, **49**(9): 675–690.
- Jansen, G. and Flamme, W. 2006. Coloured potatoes (Solanum tuberosum L.) - Anthocyanin content and tuber quality. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution, 53(7):1321–1331. [Cross Ref]
- Jansky, S. 2008. Genotypic and environmental contributions to baked potato flavor. American Journal of Potato Research,85:455-65. [Cross Ref]
- Jansky, S. 2009. Breeding, genetics and cultivar development. In: Singh, J., Kaur, L. (Eds.), Advances in potato chemistry and technology. San Diego, CA: Elsevier. Pp 27-61. [Cross Ref]
- Jansky, S.H. Potato Flavor. 2010a. In: Y. H. Hui (Ed.) Handbook of Fruit and Vegetable Flavors. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons. Pp. 935-946. [Cross Ref]
- Jansky, S.H. 2010b. Potato flavor. American Journal of Potato Research, 87 (2): 209-217. [Cross Ref]

- Jayanty, S.S., Diganta, K. and Raven, B. 2019. Effects of cooking methods on nutritional content in potato tubers. *American Journal of Potato Research*, 96 (2): 183-194. [Cross Ref]
- Jemison, J.M., Sexton, P. and Camire, M.E. 2008. Factors influencing consumer preference of fresh potato varieties in maine. *American Journal of Potato Research*, **85**(2):140–149. [Cross Ref]
- Jin, B., Huang, L.P. and Lant, P. 2003. *Rhizopus arrhizus* – A producer for simultaneous saccrification and fermentation of starch waste material to L (+) lactic acid. *Biotechnology letters*, **25**(3):1983-1987. [Cross Ref]
- Jin, B., Yin, P., Ma, Y. and Zhao, L. 2005. Production of lactic acid and fungal biomass by Rhizopus fungi from food processing waste streams. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*,**32**(11-12):678-686. [Cross Ref]
- Johns, T. and Alonso, J.G. 1990. Glycoalkaloid change during the domestication of the potato, Solanum Section Petota. *Euphytica*, **50**(3):203–210. [Cross Ref]
- Kaspar, K.L., Park, J.S., Brown, C.R., Mathison, B.D., Navarre, D.A. and Chew, B.P. 2011. Pigmented potato consumption alters oxidative stress and inflammatory damage in men. *The Journal of Nutrition*, **141**(1):108–111. [Cross Ref]
- Kaur, R.P. 2017. Evaluation and selection of potato hybrid clones (Solanum tuberosum) for Yield and associated characters. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding, 8(1), 294-305. [Cross Ref]
- Kaur R.P., Kumar, R., Luthra, S.K., Singh, B., Bhardwaj, V. 2020. Selection in early clonal generation for improving keeping quality under short day conditions of North-western plains. In Abstacts: Global Potato Conclave 2020: Roadmap for a better world held at Gandhinagar, Gujrat 28-31 Janaury 2020. Pp 3
- Katan, M.B., De Roos, N.M. 2004. Promises and problems of functional foods. *Critical Reviews in Food Science* and Nutrition, 44(5):369–377. [Cross Ref]
- Kawasumi, M. 2004. The discovery of polymereclay hybrids. The Journal of Polymer Science, Part A: Polymer Chemistry, **42**: 819-824. [Cross Ref]
- Kharumnuid, P., Pandey, N.K. and Singh, D.K. 2020. Consumers' preferences for potato attributes in Jalandhar and Ludhiana districts of Punjab. In: Proceedings of Global Poato Conclave 2020: Road map to a better future, Jan 28-31, 2020. Central Potato Research Institute, Shimla.
- Kumar, D. and Ezekiel, R. 2006. Developmental changes in sugars and dry matter content of potato tuber

under sub-tropical climates. *Scientia Horticulturae*, **110**(2):129–134. [Cross Ref]

- Kumar P., Pandey S.K., Singh B.P., Singh, S.V. and Kumar, D. 2007. Effect of nitrogen rate on growth, yield, economics and crisps quality of Indian potato processing cultivars. *Potato Research*, **50**(2):143– 155. [Cross Ref]
- Kumar, A. and Pandey, S.K. 2008. Potato Production: Harbinger of Agricultural sustainability. *Indian Farming*, **58**(9):3–7.
- Kumar, D. 2011. Cold-induced sweetening development in Indian potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) varieties. Indian Journal of Biochemistry and Biophysics, 48(2):123–127.
- Kumar, A., Mosa, K.A., Ji, L., Kage, U., Dhokane, D., Karre, S., Madalageri, D. and Pathania, N. 2018. Metabolomics-assisted biotechnological interventions for developing plant-based functional foods and nutraceuticals. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, **58**(11):1791–1807. [Cross Ref]
- Lachman, J. and Hamouz, K. 2004. Red and purple coloured potatoes as a significant antioxidant source in human nutrition - A review. *Plant, Soil and Environment*, **51**(11):477-482. [Cross Ref]
- Leonel, M., Do Carmo, E.L., Fernandes, A.M., Soratto, R.P., Ebúrneo, J. A.M., Garcia, É.L., andDos Santos, T.P. R. 2017. Chemical composition of potato tubers: the effect of cultivars and growth conditions. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, **54**(8):2372–2378. [Cross Ref]
- Lesk, C., Rowhani, P. and Ramankutty, N. 2016. Influence of extreme weather disasters on global crop production. *Nature*,**529**: 84–87. [Cross Ref]
- Leung, H.K., Barron F.H. and Davis, DC. 1983. Textural and rheological properties of cooked potatoes. *Food Science*,**48**:1470-1474. [Cross Ref]
- Lima, U.A. 2001. Aquardentes. In: Aquarone, E., Lima, U.A., Borzani, W. (Eds.) Industrial Biotechnology: Food and Drinks Produced by Fermentation, Vol 3. Edgard Blücher, São Paulo.Pp. 616-625.
- Lisinska, G. and Leszczynski, W. 1989. In: Lisinska G., Leszczynski W. (Eds.) Potato Science and Technology. Elsevier Applied Science, London, UK
- Lo Pachin, R.M. 2004. The changing view of acrylamide neurotoxicity. *Neuro Toxicology.* **25**:617–630. [Cross Ref]
- Luthra, S.K., Pande, P.C. and Singh, B. 2004. Perspective planning for developing potatoes for export. In:

Khurana S.M.P., Singh B.P., Luthra S.K., (Eds.) Processing & Export Potentials of Indian Potatoes. Indian Potato Association, ICAR-Central Potato Research Institute, Shimla, India. Pp 18–27.

- Luthra, S.K., Gopal, J., Kumar, D., Singh, B.P. and Pandey, S.K. 2009. Solanum wild and cultivated species as source of resistance to cold induced sweetening. *Potato Journal*. **36**:115–120
- Luthra, S.K., Rawal, S. and Gupta, V.K. 2017. Promising potato genotypes for organic farming systems. *CPRI Newsletter, April-June* **68**:1–7.
- Luthra, S.K., Gupta, V.K., Kaundal, B. and Tiwari, J.K. 2018a. Genetic analysis of tuber yield, processing and nutritional traits in potato (*Solanum tuberosum*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **88**(8):1214– 1221.
- Luthra, S.K., Tiwari, J.K., Dalamu, Kaundal, B., Raigond, P. and Sharma, J. 2018b. Breeding for colored flesh potatoes: molecular, agronomical, and nutritional profiling. *Potato Journal*,**45**:81–92.
- Luthra, S.K. and Gupta, V.K. 2019. Development of potato varieties for processing industries -An Overview. In: Proceedings of the National Seminar on Strategic management of production & post-harvest technologies of onion, garlic & potato for uplifting livelihood of farmers, 11-12 Mar, 2019. IARI, New Delhi.
- Luthra, S.K., Gupta, V.K., Tiwari, J.K., Kumar, V., Sood, S., Dalamu, Kaur, R.P., Kumar, R., Vanishree, G., Kumar, D., Mhatre, P. and Chakrabarti, S.K.2020. Potato breeding in India. CPRI Technical Bulletin 74 (Revised), ICAR-Central Potato Research Institute, Shimla, India
- Lynd, L.R. 1996. Overview and evaluation of fuel ethanol from cellulosic biomass: Technology, economics, the environment, and policy. *Annual Review of Energy and the Environment*, **21**(11):403–465. [Cross Ref]
- Mabrouk, M.E.M. and El Ahwany, A.M.D. 2008. Production of β-mannanase by Bacillus amyloquifaciens 10A1 cultured on potato peels. African Journal of Biotechnology, 7(8): 1123-1128. https://doi. org/10.5897/AJB08.047W
- MacPherson, M., Dukeshire, S., Wang-Pruski, G. and Varma, V. 2012. Satisfaction/ dissatisfaction and choice tactic refinement for potatoes. *Qualitative Market Research*, **15**(3):309–327. [Cross Ref]
- Martin, C. and Li, J. 2017. Medicine is not health care, food is health care: plant metabolic engineering, diet and human health. *The New Phytologist*, **216**(3):699– 719. [Cross Ref]

- Marwaha, R.S. 1997. Processing of potatoes: Current status, need, future potential and suitability of Indian varieties - A critical appraisal. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, **34**(6):457-471.
- Marwaha, R.S., Kumar, D., Singh, S.V. and Pandey, S.K. 2008. Influence of blanching of slices of potato varieties on chipping quality. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, **45**(4):364-367.
- Marwaha, R.S., Pandey, S.K., Kumar, D., Singh, S. V. and Kumar, P. 2010. Potato processing scenario in India: Industrial constraints, future projections, challenges ahead and remedies - A review. *Journal* of Food Science and Technology, **47**(2):137–156. [Cross Ref]
- Mayano, P.C., Troncoso, E. and Pedreschi, F. 2007. Modeling texture kinetics during thermal processing of potato products. *Journal of Food Science*, **72**(2):102–107. [Cross Ref]
- Mazza, G. and Qi, H. 1991. Control of after-cooking darkening in potatoes with edible film-forming products and calcium chloride. *Journal of Agriculture Food and Chemistry*,**39**:2163-2166. [Cross Ref]
- Meena, R.S., Manivel, P., Bharadwaj, V. and Gopal, J. 2009. Screening potato wild species for low accumulation of reducing sugars during cold storage. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 1(1): 89-92.
- Minhas, J.S. 2012. Potato production strategies under abiotic stress, In: Tuteja, N.,Gill, S.S., Tiburcio,A.F. and Tuteja,R. (Eds.) Improving Crop Resistance to Abiotic Stress. (Weinheim: Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA) 1155–1167. [Cross Ref]
- Mosley, A.R. and Chase, R.W. 1993. Selecting cultivars and obtaining healthy seed lots. In: Rowe, R.C. (Ed.). Potato health management. APS Press, St. Paul, Minnesota Pp. 19-27.
- Navarre, D.A., Shaky,a R., Holden, J. and Kumar, S. 2010. The effect of different cooking methods on phenolics and vitamin C in developmentally young potato tubers. *American Journal of Potato Research*, 87:350–359. [Cross Ref]
- Ng, K. and Weaver, M.L. 1979. Effect of pH and temperature on the hydrolysis of disodium acid pyrophosphate (SAPP) in potato processing. *American Potato Journal*, **56**:63-69. [Cross Ref]
- Nimcevic, D., Schuster, M. and Gapes, J.R. 1998. Solvent production by *Clostridium beijerinckii* NRRL B592 growing on different potato growing media. *Applications of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, **50**(4):426-428. [Cross Ref]

- Nunn, N. and Qian, N. 2011.The potato's contribution to population and urbanization: Evidence from a historical experiment. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, **126**(2):593-650. [Cross Ref]
- Oda, Y., Saito, K., Yamauchi, H. and Mori, M. 2002. Lactic acid fermentation of potato pulp by the fungus *Rhizopus oryzae. Current Microbiology*, **45**(1):1-4. [Cross Ref]
- Okine, A., Hanada, M., Aibibuba, Y. and Okamoto, M. 2005. Ensiling of poattao pulp with or without bacterial inoculants and its effect on fermentation quality, nutrient composition and nutritive value. *Animal Feed Science Technology*, **121**(3-4):329-343. [Cross Ref]
- Omayio, D.G., Abong, G.O. and Okoth M.W.A. 2016. A review of occurrence of glycoalkaloids in potato and potato products. *Current Research in Nutrition and Food Science*, **4**(3). [Cross Ref]
- Ou, L.C., Luo, M.R., Woodcock, A. and Wright, A. 2004. A study of emotion and color preference. Part-I. Color emotions for single colors. *Color Research and Application*, **29**(3):232–240. [Cross Ref]
- Pandey, S.K. and Kaushik S.K. 2003. Origin, Evaluation, History and Spread of Potato. In: Khurana S.M.P., Minhas J.S., Pandey S.K. (Ed.).The Potato: Production and Utilization in Sub-Tropics Mehta Publishers, New Delhi. Pp 15-24.
- Pandey, P.C., Singh, S.V., Pandey, S.K. and Singh, B. 2007. Dormancy, sprouting behaviour and weight loss in Indian potato varities. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **77**(11):715–720.
- Pandey, S.K. and Sarkar, D. 2005. Potato in India: emerging trends and challenges in the new milennium. *Potato Journal*, **32**(1):93–104.
- Pandey, S.K., Shekhawat, G.S. and Sarkar, D. 2000. Quality attributes of Indian potataoes for export: priorities and posibilities. *Indian Potato Association*, **27**(1):103–111.
- Pandey, S.K., Singh, SV., Gaur, P.C., Marwaha, R.S., Kumar, D., Kumar, P. and Singh, B.P. 2008b. Chipsona varieties: A success story. CPRI Technical Bulletin No. 89 (Revised), ICAR-Central Potato Research Institute, Shimla, India
- Pandey, S.K., Singh S.V., Marwaha, R.S. and Pattanayak, D. 2009. Indian potato processing varieties: Their impact and future priorities. *Potato Journal*,**36**:95-114.
- Patras, A., Brunton, N.P., O'Donnell, C. and Tiwari, B.K. 2010. Effect of thermal processing on anthocyanin stability

in foods: mechanics and kinetics of degradation. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 21(1):3–11. [Cross Ref]

- Poelman, A.A.M., Delahunty, C.M. and de Graff, C. 2013. Cooking time but no cooking method affects children's acceptance of Brassica vegetables. *Food Quality and Preference*, **28**(2):441–448. [Cross Ref]
- Raederstorff, D., Wyss, A., Calder, P.C., Weber, P. and Eggersdorfer, M. 2015. Vitamin E function and requirements in relation to PUFA. *British Journal of Nutrition*, **114**(8):1113–1122. [Cross Ref]
- Ramakrishnan, A.P., Ritland, C.E., Blas, Sevillano, R.H. and Riseman, A. 2015. Review of potato molecular markers to enhance trait selection. *American Journal of Potato Research*, **92**(4):455–472. [Cross Ref]
- Rana, R.K. and Pandey, S.K. 2007. Processing quality potatoes in India: An estimate of industry's demand. *Processing Food Industries*, **10**(6): 26–35.
- Rawat, S., Kaur, R.P., Sood, S. and Chakrabarti, S.K. 2020. Potato Variety: A web based tool for research on potato varieties. *Potato Journal*,**47**(1): 59-64
- Reeves, A.F. 1998. Varietal differences in potato tuber greening. American Potato Journal, 65(11):651– 658. [Cross Ref]
- Richards, T.J., Kagan, A. and Gao, X.M. 1997. Factors influencing changes in poato and potato substitute demand. Agriculture and Resource Economics, 26(4):52–66. [Cross Ref]
- Robert, L., Narcy, A., Rayssiguier, Y., Mazur, A. and Rémésy, C. 2008. Lipid metabolism and antioxidant status in sucrose vs. potato-fed rats. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, **27**(1):109-16. [Cross Ref]
- Robert, L., Narcy, A., Rock, E., Demigne, C., Mazur, A. and Remesy, C. 2006. Entire potato consumption improves lipid metabolism and antioxidant status in cholestrol- fed rat. *European Journal of Nutrition*, **45**(5):267–274. [Cross Ref]
- Ross, H., Pasemann P. and Nitzsche, W. 1978. Glycoalkaloid content of potatoes and its relationship to location, year and taste. *Zeitschrift fur Philosophische Forschung*, **80**:64–79
- Rusike, J., Snapp, S.S. and Twomlow, S. 2004. Motherbaby trial approach for developing soil water and fertility management technologies. In: Field Tested Practices in Participatory Research and Development, Volume 2. International Potato Center (CIP-UPWARD), Lima, Peru. At Lima, Peru. At www.eseap.cipotato.org/upward

- Saito, K., Kawamura, Y. and Oda, Y. 2003. Role of the pectinolytic enzyme in th elactic acid fermentation of potato pulp by *Rhizopus Oryzae. Journal* of *Industrial microbiology and Biotechnology*, **30**(7):440-444. [Cross Ref]
- Schaller, K. and Amberger, A. 1974. Relationships between enzymic browning of potatoes and several constituents of the tuber. *Qualitas Plantarum Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*, **24**:183–190. [Cross Ref]
- Schreiber, L., Nader-Nieto, A.C., Schönhals, E.M., Walkemeier, B. and Gebhardt, C. 2014. SNPs in genes functional in starch-sugar interconversion associate with natural variation of tuber starch and sugar content of potato (Solanum tuberosum L.). G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics,4(10):1797-811. [Cross Ref]
- Schroeder, K. 2012. Feeding Cull Potatoes to Dairy and Beef Cattle. Extension Paper, University of Wiscosin. Wiscosin, USA
- Sharma, P.S., Datt, C., Baban, B.N., Kundu, S.S., Tyagi, N. and Sharma, V. K. 2016. Effect of inclusion of different levels of culled potatoes in replacement of maize grain in the concentrate mixture on feed intake, Nutrient utilization and growth in sahiwal calves. *Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition*, **33**(1):17– 21. [Cross Ref]
- Sharma, R., Bhardwaj, V., Dalamu, D., Kaushik, S.K., Singh, B.P., Sharma, S., Umamaheshwari, R., Baswaraj, R., Kumar, V. and Gebhardt, C. 2014. Identification of elite potato genotypes possessing multiple disease resistance genes through molecular approaches. *Scientia Horticulturae*, **179**:204-211. [Cross Ref]
- Shukla, J. and Kar, R. 2006. Potato peel as a solid state substrate for thermostable α- amylase production by thermophilic Bacillus isolates. World Journal of microbiology and Biotechnology, 22(5):417-422. [Cross Ref]
- Sinden, S.L., Deahl, K.L. and Aulenbach, B.B. 1976. Effect of glycoalkaloids and phenolics on potato flavor. *Food Science*, **41**(3):520–523. [Cross Ref]
- Singh, J., Kaur, L. and Rao, M. 2016. Textural Characteristics of Raw and Cooked Potatoes. In: Singh, J., Kaur, L. (Eds.), Advances in Potato Chemistry and Technology. San Diego, CA: Elsevier Pp 475-501. [Cross Ref]
- Slater, A.T., Cogan, N.O., Hayes, B.J., Schultz, L., Dale, M.F.B., Bryan, G.J. and Forster, J. W. 2014. Improving breeding efficiency in potato using molecular and quantitative genetics. *Theoretical* and Applied Genetics,**127**:2279–2292. [Cross Ref]

- Smith, O. 1987. Effect of cultural and environmental conditions on potatoes for processing. In: Talburt, W.F. and Smith, O. (eds), Potato Processing. 4th ed. Van Nostrand Reihold Company, Inc., New York. Pp. 108-110.
- Storey, M. and Storey, M. 2007. The harvested crop. In D. Vreugdenhil, J. Bradshaw, C. Gebhardt, F. Govers, D. MacKerron, M. Taylor, & H. Ross (Eds.), Potato Biology and Biotechnology: Advances and Perspectives. Elsevier B.V. Pp. 441–470. [Cross Ref]
- Symington, L.S. and Gautier, J. 2011. Double-strand break end resection and repair pathway choice. *Annual Review of Genetics*, **45**(9):247–71. [Cross Ref]
- Tanios, S., Thangavel, T., Eyles, A., Tegg, R.S., Nichols, D.S. and Corkrey, R. 2020. Suberin deposition in potato periderm: A novel resistance mechanism against tuber greening. *New Phytology*, **225**(3):1273–1284. [Cross Ref]
- Thompson, M.D., Thompson, H.J., McGinley, J.N., Neil, E. S., Rush, D.K., Holm, D.G. and Stushnoff, C. 2009. Functional food characteristics of potato cultivars: phytochemical composition and inhibition of I- methyl- 1 nitrosurea induced breast cancer in rats. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 22(6):571–576. [Cross Ref]
- Thygesen, L.G., Engelsen, S.B., Madsen, M.H. and Sørensen O.B. 2001. NIR spectroscopy and partial least squares regression for the determination of phosphate content and viscosity behaviour of potato starch. *Journal of Near Infrared Spectroscopy*, 9(2):133–139. [Cross Ref]
- Tilocca, M.G., Serratrice, G., Oggiano, M.A., Mancuso, M.R., Mascia, I., Marongiu, E. and Vodret, B. 2014. Monitoring the presence of genetically modified potato EH92-527-1 (BPS-25271-9) in commercial processed food. *Italian Journal of Food Safety*, **3**(1):1628. [Cross Ref]
- USDAAgriculture Research Service. 2019. Food Data Central accessed on 20 July 2021. https://fdc.nal.usda.gov/ fdc-app.html#/food-details/170026/nutrients
- Valk, E.E.J. and Hornstra, G. 2000. Relationship between vitamin E requirement and polyunsaturated fatty acid intake in man: A review. *Journal for Vitamin* and Nutrition Research, **70**(2):31–42. [Cross Ref]
- Valkonen, J.P.T., Keskitalo, M., Vasara T. and Pietilä L. 1996. Potato glycoalkaloids: A burden or a blessing? *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, **15**(1):1-20. [Cross Ref]
- Van Dijk, C., Fischer, M., Holm, J., Beekhuizen, J.G., Stolle-Smits, T. and Boeriu, C. 2002. Texture of cooked

potatoes (Solanum tuberosum). Relationships between dry matter content, sensory-perceived texture, and near-infrared spectroscopy. Journal of Agriculture Food and Chemistry, **50**(18):5082-5088. [Cross Ref]

- Wang-Pruski, G., Astatkie, T., De Jong, H. and Leclerc, Y. 2003. Genetic and environmental interactions affecting potato after-cooking darkening. Acta Horticulturae, 619:45-52. [Cross Ref]
- Wang-Pruski, G. and Nowak, J. 2004. Potato after-cooking darkening. American Journal of Potato Research, 81, 7–16. [Cross Ref]
- Wang-Pruski, G. and Schofield, A. 2012. Potato: Improving crop productivity and abiotic stress tolerance, In: Tuteja, N., Gill, S.S., Tiburcio, A.F. and Tuteja, R. (Eds.). Improving Crop Resistance to Abiotic Stress. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH Co. KGaA. Pp1121–1153. [Cross Ref]
- Werij, J. 2011. Genetic analysis of potato tuber quality traits. PhD Thesis, Wageningen University, Wageningen. At https://edepot.wur.nl/183746.
- Xu, X., Pan, S., Cheng, S., Zhang, B., Mu, D., Ni, P., Zhang, G., Yang, S., Li, R., Wang, J., Orjeda, G., Guzman, F., Torres, M., Lozano, R., Ponce, O., Martinez, D., De La Cruz, G., Chakrabarti, S. K., Patil, V., ... Visser, R. G. 2011. Genome sequence and analysis of the tuber crop potato. *Nature*, **475**(7):189–195. [Cross Ref]
- Yun, S., Habicht, J.P., Miller, D.D. and Glahn, R.P. 2004. An *in-vitro* digestion/ Caco-2 cell culture system accuratelly predicts the effects of ascorbic acid and polyphenolic compounds on iron bioavailability in humans.*Journal of Nutrition*, **134**(10):2717–2721. [Cross Ref]