#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Zhenhua Chen, Jinzhou Medical University, China

#### REVIEWED BY Weihua Zhuang,

Sichuan University, China Abad Khan, University of Swabi, Pakistan

#### \*CORRESPONDENCE

Fozia Rehman, I foziarehman@cuilahore.edu.pk Asif Jamal Khan, I asifj\_khan@yahoo.com

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Pharmacology of Anti-Cancer Drugs, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

RECEIVED 17 January 2023 ACCEPTED 28 March 2023 PUBLISHED 13 April 2023

#### CITATION

Rehman F, Khan AJ, Sama ZU, Alobaid HM, Gilani MA, Safi SZ, Muhammad N, Rahim A, Ali A, Guo J, Arshad M and Emran TB (2023), Surface engineered mesoporous silica carriers for the controlled delivery of anticancer drug 5-fluorouracil: Computational approach for the drug-carrier interactions using density functional theory. *Front. Pharmacol.* 14:1146562. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2023.1146562

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Rehman, Khan, Sama, Alobaid, Gilani, Safi, Muhammad, Rahim, Ali, Guo, Arshad and Emran. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Surface engineered mesoporous silica carriers for the controlled delivery of anticancer drug 5-fluorouracil: Computational approach for the drug-carrier interactions using density functional theory

Fozia Rehman<sup>1,2</sup>\*, Asif Jamal Khan<sup>3</sup>\*, Zaib Us Sama<sup>4</sup>, Hussah M. Alobaid<sup>5</sup>, Mazhar Amjad Gilani<sup>6</sup>, Sher Zaman Safi<sup>1,7</sup>, Nawshad Muhammad<sup>8</sup>, Abdur Rahim<sup>9</sup>, Abid Ali<sup>10</sup>, Jiahua Guo<sup>11</sup>, Muhammad Arshad<sup>12</sup> and Talha Bin Emran<sup>13,14</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Interdisciplinary Research Centre in Biomedical Materials (IRCBM), COMSATS University Islamabad, Lahore Campus, Lahore, Pakistan, <sup>2</sup>Institute of Chemistry, University of Campinas, UNICAMP, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil, <sup>3</sup>College of Urban and Environmental Sciences, Northwest University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China, <sup>4</sup>Department of Chemistry, Islamia College, University of Peshawar, Peshawar, Pakistan, <sup>5</sup>Department of Zoology, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, <sup>6</sup>Department of Chemistry, COMSATS University Islamabad, Lahore Campus, Islamabad, Pakistan, <sup>7</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Bioscience and Nursing, MAHSA University, Jenjarom, Selangor, Malaysia, <sup>8</sup>Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, Khyber Medical University, Peshawar, Pakistan, <sup>9</sup>Department of Chemistry, COMSATS University Islamabad, Islamabad, Pakistan, <sup>10</sup>Department of Zoology, Abdul Wali Khan University, Mardan, Pakistan, <sup>11</sup>Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Earth Surface System and Environmental Carrying Capacity, College of Urban and Environmental Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan, <sup>13</sup>Department of Pharmacy, BGC Trust University Bangladesh, Chittagong, Bangladesh, <sup>14</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, Daffodil International University, Dahaa, Bangladesh

**Introduction:** Drug delivery systems are the topmost priority to increase drug safety and efficacy. In this study, hybrid porous silicates SBA-15 and its derivatives SBA@N and SBA@3N were synthesized and loaded with an anticancer drug, 5-fluorouracil. The drug release was studied in a simulated physiological environment.

**Method:** These materials were characterized for their textural and physiochemical properties by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), small-angle X-ray diffraction (SAX), and nitrogen adsorption/desorption techniques. The surface electrostatics of the materials was measured by zeta potential.

**Results:** The drug loading efficiency of the prepared hybrid materials was about 10%. *In vitro* drug release profiles were obtained in simulated fluids. Slow drug release kinetics was observed for SBA@3N, which released 7.5% of the entrapped drug in simulated intestinal fluid (SIF, pH 7.2) and 33% in simulated body fluid (SBF, pH 7.2) for 72 h. The material SBA@N presented an initial burst release of 13% in simulated intestinal fluid and 32.6% in simulated gastric fluid (SGF, pH 1.2), while about 70% of the drug was released within the next 72 h. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations have also supported the slow drug release from the SBA@3N

material. The release mechanism of the drug from the prepared carriers was studied by first-order, second-order, Korsmeyer–Peppas, Hixson–Crowell, and Higuchi kinetic models. The drug release from these carriers follows Fickian diffusion and zero-order kinetics in SGF and SBF, whereas first-order, non-Fickian diffusion, and case-II transport were observed in SIF.

**Discussion:** Based on these findings, the proposed synthesized hybrid materials may be suggested as a potential drug delivery system for anti-cancer drugs such as 5-fluorouracil.

KEYWORDS

surface modification, SBA-15, 5-fluorouracil, drug-carrier interactions, anti-cancer, DFT



# **1** Introduction

Cancer is an increasing threat to human life and health and is the second leading cause of death. Therefore, the development of safe and effective treatments against this disease is very important (Fadeel and Alexiou, 2020). Chemotherapy and radiotherapy are the most common treatment options for cancer patients. Despite advancements in cancer treatment, administration of conventional anticancer therapeutics remains the first-line therapeutic option (Benedicto et al., 2021). The major disadvantages of direct chemotherapy delivery are their low therapeutic index and low bioavailability, non-specific targeting, need for high doses, and the most common development of multiple drug resistance. Consequently, new drug delivery systems are the top priority to address these issues to reduce the undesirable side effects of chemotherapy (Russell-Jones et al., 2004; Arias, 2008; Chandran et al., 2017).

5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) is a heterocyclic aromatic compound, similar in structure to the pyrimidine molecules of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) and ribonucleic acid (RNA) (analog of uracil with a fluorine atom at the carbon 5 in the aromatic ring). 5-FU is the first rationally synthesized anticancer drug approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to treat multiple solid tumors (Longley et al., 2003). This drug is administered as an injection to treat a range of conditions, including gastric, rectal, colon, liver, pancreatic, ovarian, bladder, and breast cancers. This drug is applied topically (cream) to treat actinic keratosis (a skin condition that may become cancerous) and certain types of basal cell skin cancer (Sharma et al., 2012; Chandran et al., 2017). This drug acts by interfering with nucleoside metabolism and thus can be incorporated into DNA and RNA, leading to cytotoxicity and later cell death (Longley et al., 2003; Sara et al., 2018).

Currently, 5-FU is being administered in combination with various other chemotherapeutic drugs. However, the high dose, reduced bioavailability, shorter half-life, and lower absorption are the serious drawbacks of these oral formulations. Furthermore, according to the FDA, 5-FU has a wide range of serious side effects, including neurologic toxicity, hyperammonemic encephalopathy, myelosuppression, mucositis, and cardiotoxicity. In basic intestinal media, this drug also produces byproducts that are toxic to the heart (Longley et al., 2003; Shoemaker et al., 2004; Sara et al., 2018). Due to its short half-life and fast metabolism, 5-FU is administered intravenously IV), in which maintenance of drug concentration in the blood is achieved through frequent doses. This drug is metabolized in the gastrointestinal tract when administered orally. Considering these shortcomings, a controlled and targeted oral drug delivery to the desired site of action is required to enhance the efficacy and reduce the cytotoxicity to the normal cell. The development of new carrier systems in nanometric size is required to tackle the associated problems with the current anticancer drugs.

The main aim of designing a drug carrier system is to address the limitations related to drug delivery to the desired sites of therapeutic action while minimizing the adverse side effects and managing the therapeutics with great selectivity and control of the targeted sites (Davis et al., 2008; Cal et al., 2014). For this purpose, so far, many materials, e.g., polymers, ceramics, bioactive glasses, organic-inorganic materials, proteins, and lipids, have been reported (Vallet-Regí, 2006; Iqbal et al., 2019; Khan et al., 2019; Tayyab et al., 2019; Malik et al., 2020; Pasha et al., 2020; Fuloria et al., 2022; Karthic et al., 2022; Nawaz et al., 2022; Thalluri et al., 2022).

Among these materials, hydrophobic polymer nanocapsules (nanolipid capsules), micelles, and hydrogels can easily solubilize water-soluble drugs into their structures and encapsulate them; however, these materials are physiochemically unstable, which results in unwanted immature drug leakage (Cal et al., 2014).

Mesoporous silica is biocompatible and physiochemically and biochemically stable compared to other inorganic materials (Fuloria et al., 2022; Karthic et al., 2022; Thalluri et al., 2022). Other advantages of these silica materials are surface modification, the ability of tunable pore size, pore volume, and high surface area, which make these materials suitable for many applications (Vallet-Regi et al., 2001; Vallet-Regí et al., 2006; He et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2011; Rehman et al., 2014a; Boccardi et al., 2015). Currently, these materials have attracted enormous attention for a variety of biomedical applications (Ahmed et al., 2016; Rehman et al., 2018; Rauti et al., 2019). Due to their biocompatible nature and high drug loading capacity, mesoporous silica has attracted significant attention in drug delivery (Vallet-Regí et al., 2006; He et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2011; Rehman et al., 2014a). Furthermore, the porous stable network (to safeguard small molecules from harsh environment) and the surface modification of these materials with organic functional groups (which allows great control over drug release) make these materials an ideal option for controlled and targeted drug delivery (Yuan et al., 2011; Kango et al., 2013; Amolegbe et al., 2016). The recent advancements in nanomedicines and bioengineering are changing the future of drug development and diagnostics.

A breakthrough in therapeutics has introduced new drugs in healthcare; however, they still face the setbacks of therapy resistance and lack of response, as well as suffering from serious adverse effects. Therefore, devising new therapeutic strategies and exploring innovative treatment combinations are the major areas of focus (Kruk et al., 2000).

This work aimed to synthesize surface-modified silica type SBA-15 to overcome the current issues of drug delivery systems of 5-FU, such as instability in the physiochemical environment, undesired immature leakage before reaching the target site, and controlled release of drug molecules. For this purpose, SBA-15 and its derivatives SBA@N and SBA@3N were synthesized, characterized, and applied for loading and release of 5-FU in a simulated physiological environment. A density functional theory (DFT) study was conducted to determine the drug-carrier interactions. The strong interaction of the 5-FU drug with the prepared carriers, and hence its slow release, has been supported by the interaction energies calculated from DFT simulations.

# 2 Experimental

## 2.1 Materials and methods

Analytical-grade ethanol, xylene, hydrochloric acid (HCl), sodium chloride, sodium hydroxide, Tris (hydroxymethyl) aminomethane (NH<sub>2</sub>C (CH<sub>2</sub>OH)<sub>3</sub>, potassium chloride, sodium bicarbonate, magnesium chloride hexahydrate, sodium sulfate, calcium chloride, potassium phosphate, dibasic trihydrate (K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O), sodium carbonate, tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS), 5-fluorouracil(5-FU), diethanolamine, vinyltriethoxysilane, and Pluronic P123 obtained from Sigma Aldrich were used in this work. Deionized water was used during the experiments.

#### 2.1.1 SBA-15 synthesis

SBA-15 silica was synthesized as previously reported (Zhao et al., 1979). To obtain 1 g of silica, about 2.0 g of the structuredirecting agent Pluronic P123 triblock polymer was stirred in deionized water (12.0 cm<sup>3</sup>) and HCl solution (60.0 cm<sup>3</sup>, 2.0 mol dm (Russell-Jones et al., 2004)) at 313 K at a speed of 200 rpm (revolution per minute) until the mixture became homogenous. About 4.0 g of TEOS was added dropwise to the reaction container and further stirred for 4 h. Afterward, the resulting product was autoclaved for crystallization for 24 h at 373 K. The prepared SBA-15 was then filtered and washed with deionized water to get a neutral pH). The powder was dried at room temperature for 48 h. To remove the surfactant P123, calcination was performed at 873 K for 6 h at a heating ramp rate of 2 per minute (Song et al., 2005; Fagundes et al., 2006).

To obtain surface-modified silica SBA@N, about 37.0 mmol of vinyltriethoxysilane was added with diethanolamine (37.0 mmol) in 70 cm<sup>3</sup> of ethanol at 323 K for 72 h to get a new silylating agent. This synthesized novel silylating agent (Scheme 1) was then reacted with



the surface silanols of SBA-15 (1.0 g) dispersed in xylene. This process was performed for 72 h at 348 K in a dry nitrogen environment. The final product SBA@N was then filtered and washed to a neutral pH and dried under a vacuum at room temperature. Another material, SBA@3N, was synthesized using the same procedure as aforementioned. For synthesizing this material, in step 1, 3-chloropropyltrimethoxysilane (37.0 mmol) was reacted with diethanolamine (18.0 mmol) in 70 cm<sup>3</sup> of ethanol at 323 K for 72 h to get a new silylating agent. For surface modification, about 1.0 g of SBA-15 was reacted with the obtained product in step 1. The solid silica was purified, cleaned, and incubated at room temperature in air. The schematic diagrams are shown in Figure 1.

#### 2.1.2 Drug loading and release

Drug loading and release tests were conducted using the immersion method. In two separate containers, 0.5 g of silica was soaked in 50 cm<sup>3</sup> (10 g dm<sup>-3</sup>) of the 5-FU solution prepared in deionized water. To prevent evaporation, these containers were closed, and the samples were incubated for 72 h and then filtered. From each filtrate,  $3.0 \text{ cm}^3$  was diluted to a further 50.0 cm<sup>3</sup> and was analyzed with a UV–Vis spectrophotometer. Eq. 1 was used to compute the drug's loading capacity (wt/wt percent) (Rehman et al., 2016; Rehman et al., 2018).

$$wt / wt\% = \frac{m_1 - \frac{50}{\nu}CV}{m_2 + (m_1 - \frac{50}{\nu}CV)} 100,$$
 (1)

where  $m_1$  is the mass of the drug,  $m_2$  is the mass of silica, C is the drug concentration in the filtrate diluted to 50 cm<sup>3</sup>, v is the filtrate volume, and V is the solvent volume.

In vitro drug release profiles were studied in simulated (gastric fluid (SGF/0.1 M HCl; pH 1.2; USP; without pepsin), intestinal fluid (SIF/phosphate buffer without pancreatin; pH 7.2; USP 26), and body fluid (SBF; pH 6.8; USP). These fluids were prepared by dissolving 0.305 g MgCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O; 0.350 g NaHCO<sub>3</sub>; 0.224 g of KCL; 7.996 g NaCl; 40 cm<sup>3</sup> HCl (1.0 M); 0.278 g CaCl<sub>2</sub>; 0.071 g Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and 6.057 g Tris (hydroxymethyl) aminomethane (NH<sub>2</sub>C(CH<sub>2</sub>OH) <sub>3</sub> in 1 L of deionized water. For the drug release studies, each 50.0 mg of the drug-loaded samples (pressed tablets at 5.0 MPa) was soaked in 250 cm<sup>3</sup> of the release medium. The sample and separate (SS) method was used to measure the released drug concentration. To analyze the drug release from a soaked tablet, 3.0 cm<sup>3</sup> of the sample was collected at intervals of 0.5, 1.0, 3.5, 7.0, 8.5, 21.0, 24.0, and 72.5 h.

The drug concentration was determined using a Shimadzu MultiSpec-1501 spectrophotometer. The original volume of the release medium was maintained by adding 3.0 cm<sup>3</sup> of fresh medium each time. A correction method was applied to the release data (Rana et al., 2011). All the analyses were performed in triplicate.

#### 2.1.3 Computational methodology

The choice of a representative model for SBA-15 silica is crucial in conducting the theoretical studies. The previous studies have proved that the bicyclic 5–6s cluster model is reliable in interpreting the properties of SBA-15 silica (Wang et al., 2011) and its subsequent derivatives (Laskowski and Laskowska, 2014). Therefore, we have chosen the same bicyclic 5–6s cluster model to represent the prepared derivatives of SBA-15 (SBA@N and SBA@3N). All the structures have been optimized at B3LYP (Zhao et al., 1979; Yuan et al., 2011; Authors Anonymous, 2020) functional with a 6-

C% δ/mmolg<sup>-</sup>  $V_p/cm^3g^-$ D<sub>p</sub>/nm SBA@N 6 37 0.28 5 30 310.85 0.51 6.82 SBA@3N 7.55 0.60 6.3 457.86 0.92 5.21

TABLE 1 Elemental analysis data: carbon and nitrogen contents (C% and N%), degree of functionality (δ/mmolg<sup>-1</sup>), surface area (S<sub>BET</sub>), pore volume (V<sub>p</sub>), and pore



diameter (D<sub>n</sub>) obtained with nitrogen adsorption and desorption techniques

31G(d,p) basis set. The frequency calculations have been performed at the same level of theory to ascertain that the optimized structures are true minima.

To confirm the interaction of the 5-fluorouracil drug with the functionalized SBA-15, adsorption energies have been calculated by using Eq. 2:

$$E_{ads} = E_{functionalized SBA15-drug} - (E_{functionalized SBA15} + E_{drug}). \quad (2)$$

Here,  $E_{functionalized SBA15-drug}$  is the total energy of functionalized SBA-15 containing the drug,  $E_{functionalized SBA15}$  is the energy for pure functionalized SBA-15, and  $E_{drug}$  is the optimized drug energy, respectively.

All the DFT computations were executed using the Gaussian 16 program package (Zhao et al., 1979; Fagundes et al., 2006), and the geometries were visualized by GaussView 6.1.1 software (Frisch et al., 2016; Sharif et al., 2022). The optimized structures have been drawn using VMD software (Wu et al., 2020).

# **3** Characterizations

Quantitative analysis of the prepared samples was performed with the PerkinElmer PE-2400 instrument to check the elemental composition.

A Bruker Avance 300 MHz spectrometer in solid-state was used to obtain the NMR spectra at room temperature. Each sample was prepared by compacting 1 g of silica in 4 mm  $ZrO_2$  rotors. The data were recorded at 59.63 MHz and 75.47 MHz (magic-angle spinning of 10 MHz), with a 3-s pulse repetition time and a 4-millisecond contact time.

Micromeritics ASAP 2000 Quanta Chrome Autosorb was used for nitrogen adsorption/desorption. Samples were degassed before the analysis at 363 K for 8 h.

A Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrophotometer, model Bomem MB-series, was used to collect the FTIR spectra. Sample scans were performed using KBr pellets. The spectra were acquired with a total of 32 scans and a resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the wavelength range 400 to 4,000 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

The crystalline structure of the produced samples was examined using the small-angle X-ray crystallography method (SAXs) using a synchrotron light D11A-SAXS line with a wavelength of 0.1488 nm.

SEM micrographs were taken with a JEOL JS 6360-LV scanning electron microscope. The Nano-ZS Zetasizer was used to measure the zeta potential. Silica samples were suspended in KCL solution for the measurement.

The *in vitro drug* release experiments were performed in a temperature-controlled shaker-incubator model MA-420-MARCONI. The drug concentration was measured with a Shimadzu-MultiSpec-1501 spectrophotometer.

A centrifuge model, Hettich Rotina-38 Zentrifugen, was used to centrifuge the drug/silica suspension at a rate of 4,000 rpm, and the SevenEasy Mettler-Toledo pH meter was used to check the pH.

## 4 Results and discussion

#### 4.1 Elemental analysis

The modified silica samples were analyzed for carbon and nitrogen contents using the elemental analyzer. The percent content of carbon and nitrogen is given in Table 1. The carbon contents of SBA@N and SBA@3N were found to be 6.37% and 7.55% and nitrogen content 0.28% and 0.60%, respectively. The degree of functionality ( $\delta$ ) was calculated with Eq. 3. The  $\delta$  values (based on carbon %) were found to be 5.30 mmol g<sup>-1</sup> and 6.30 mmol g<sup>-1</sup> for SBA@N and SBA@3N, respectively.

$$\delta = \frac{E\%}{m_a} \times 10,\tag{3}$$

where  $m_a$  represents an element's atomic mass and E% is the element percentage obtained through the elemental analyzer.

#### 4.2 Nitrogen adsorption/desorption

The nitrogen adsorption/desorption approach was used to determine the main structural features of the manufactured



materials, like surface area, pore size, and diameter. Typical type IV adsorption/desorption isotherms were observed for modified materials SBA@N and SBA@3N (Figure 2), which is a typical characteristic of mesoporous type materials as reported previously (Rehman et al., 2014b). These isotherms also suggest that the original mesoporous structure of SBA-15 silica remained preserved during the calcination and surface modification processes (Rehman et al., 2016). The surface area was calculated using the Brunauer, Emmett, and Teller (BET) method, which is widely used to calculate the contact area of mesoporous silica through the adsorption process of gas molecules. Eq. 4 can be used to evaluate the surface area model of the BET.

$$S_{BET} = \frac{V_m N.a}{m24400} \tag{4}$$

where Avogadro's number is N ( $6.022 \times 1023 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ). For nitrogen, a represents the cross-sectional area of the gas molecules, which is 0.162 nm<sup>2</sup>, and the mass of the sample in the sample holder is m. Also, for temperature and atmospheric pressure, 22,400 is the optimum volume of a gas mole (TPP) in cm<sup>3</sup>.

After surface modification, the BET surface area of both materials decreased as compared to that of the parent unmodified material, SBA-15 (1,071.0 m<sup>2</sup>g<sup>-1</sup>). For SBA@N and SBA@3N materials, the BET surface area was found to be 310.85 m<sup>2</sup>g<sup>-1</sup> and 457.85 m<sup>2</sup>g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The mean pore diameter, size, and volume distribution from nitrogen sorption isotherms were determined using the BJH (Barrett, Joyner, and Halenda) method. The concept of capillary condensation underpins the primary assumptions for estimating pore diameters. The adsorptive will condense in the apertures when critical pressure is attained. As a result, if condensation can happen at a given pressure, the pore radius can be computed. From the physisorption data, this is observed as a hysteresis loop (Figure 2).

Eq. 5 gives the Kelvin radius ( $r_{Ks}$ ) based on applied pressure for capillary condensation in cylindrical holes.

$$r_{K}\left(\frac{p}{p^{0}}\right) = \frac{2\gamma V_{L}}{RTIn\frac{p}{p^{0}}},$$
(5)

where P and P° indicate the adsorbate's optimum and saturation pressures, respectively;  $\gamma$  is the absorbed liquid's surface tension; V<sub>L</sub> is the liquid's molecular volume; and  $r_K$  is the mean radius of the liquid meniscus. The pore size,  $r_P$ , is then derived by combining the thickness of the adsorbed layer, *t*, to  $r_K$  (Eq. 6).

$$r_p = 2(r_K + t). \tag{6}$$

The difference in the amount of the adsorptive for each step in the isotherm shows that the core volume was filled or the depleted form of all pores is homogeneous to determine the number of pores of this size. Pores of this radius have a total length as well as the area of these pores, which may be determined using the volume difference between the core and the radius of a cylinder  $r_{\rm P}$ . The overall pore distribution can be derived by conducting similar computations for all steps in the isotherms.

The pore volume (BJH) was reduced after the modification of SBA-15 channels. For SBA@N, this value changed from 1.98 cm<sup>3</sup>g<sup>-1</sup> to 0.51 cm<sup>3</sup>g<sup>-1</sup> and to 0.92 cm<sup>3</sup>g<sup>-1</sup> for the SBA@3N material. The pore diameter value is listed in Table 1. The observed changes in the structural parameters suggested the successful modification of SBA-15 (Galarneau et al., 2001).

#### 4.3 Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy

The FTIR spectra of SBA-15 and its derivatives, SBA-15 and SBA@3N, are shown in Figure 3. The broad bands that appeared for all materials in the range 3,000–3,500 cm<sup>-1</sup> were attributed to the stretching vibrations of the silanol groups on the silica surface and the OH groups of the trapped H<sub>2</sub>O molecules inside the porous channels, as well as to the attached diethanolamine groups, while the band in 2,800 to 2931 cm<sup>-1</sup> can be assigned to  $\nu$  (C–H) stretching. Those bands that appeared around 1,000 to 1,100 cm<sup>-1</sup> intervals represent the Si–O–Si stretching vibration. Bands for surface silanol groups (Si-OH) appeared at 792 cm<sup>-1</sup>. New bands are expected to appear when the surface silanol groups are replaced by the silylating agent. For the modified materials, SBA@N and SBA@3N bands that appeared in the region 1,398 to 1,469 cm<sup>-1</sup> can be assigned to the C–N stretching vibration of diethanolamine groups adhered on the surface of the silica.

## 4.4 <sup>13</sup>C NMR CP-MAS spectroscopy

Figure 4 shows the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of the prepared materials. The spectra of SBA@N showed chemical shifts, appearing around 23.0 and 70.0 ppm, which can be assigned to Si-C, C-C, C-N, and





C-O bonds. Two major chemical shifts between 125 and 150 ppm can be assigned to the entrapped solvent in the mesopores of this silica (Wang et al., 2005; Rehman et al., 2014a; Khan et al., 2020) (Scheme 1). Similarly, the chemical shifts that appeared in the spectrum of SBA@3N around 10–25 ppm can be assigned to Si-C and C-C linkages, while signals around 50 ppm can be assigned to C-N. The chemical shift around 65 ppm can be assigned to C-O bonds (Scheme 2). The appearance of these chemical shifts (Figure 4) confirmed the modification of the silica surface with the prepared silylating agents containing diethanolamine functional groups.

# 4.5 Small-angle X-ray (SAX) diffraction analysis

SAX patterns for SBA-15 and modified materials SBA@N and SBA@3N are shown in Figure 5. A major reflection (110) and two small reflections indexed as (110) and (200) at  $2\theta$  were observed,

which indicated a well-ordered mesoporous structure with 2D hexagonal p6mm symmetry as reported for this type of mesoporous silica material (Ahmed et al., 2016; Rehman et al., 2018). For both materials, the appearance of well-resolved diffraction peaks also indicates that surface modification did not affect the original structure of SBA-15.

### 4.6 SEM analysis

Scanning electron micrographs of the prepared materials are depicted in Figure 6. A typical morphological structure of SBA-15 with channel-like long fibers was observed for all materials as reported previously (Heidari et al., 2009; He and Shi, 2011; Tariq et al., 2019; Osman et al., 2020; Rahman and Nasir, 2020; Al-Gamal et al., 2021). The average length of these fibers was between 10 and 12  $\mu$ m, with an average sub-particle length of 1.2  $\mu$ m of a diameter of 0.6  $\mu$ m (Figures 6A, B). The same morphology was observed for the derived surface-modified materials, SBA@N and SBA@3N. The average sub-particle length of about 1.2  $\mu$ m can be seen in SEM micrographs in Figures 6C, D. These micrographs showed that the original structure of typical SBA-15 silica remained preserved after heat treatment during calcination and surface modification.

## 4.7 Zeta potential

To quantify the electric charges on prepared silica micronsized particles, zeta potential ( $\zeta$ ) was measured (Janiak and Kofinas, 2007; Tsai et al., 2009; Rehman et al., 2018; Khan et al., 2020). For unmodified SBA-15 with free surface silanols, the  $\zeta$  value appeared at approximately –20.0 mV. A change in this value was noted for the surface-modified materials. For SBA@N and SBA@3N,  $\zeta$  values appeared as –25.0 and 10.0 mV, respectively. This change in the electric charges was expected from the surface-modified materials in which the silanol groups were replaced with diethanolamine functional groups. The particle size was also measured with a Zetasizer. The particle diameter was found to be approximately 2.4 µm and 2.9 µm for



#### FIGURE 6

SEM micrographs of (A,B) SBA-15 with different magnifications (C) SBA@N and (D) SBA@3N, scale bar 1  $\mu$ m and 5  $\mu$ m, and magnifications x10,000 and x3,500.

| TABLE 2 Drug | transport | processes | and the | diffusional e | xponent n | , which s | specifies | whether | the dru | a diffusion | is Fickian | or non-Ficki                          | an from | the re | lease s | vstem |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|-------------|------------|---------------------------------------|---------|--------|---------|-------|
|              |           |           |         |               |           | ,         |           |         |         | 9           |            | ·· ···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |         |        |         | ,     |

| Type of transport                 | Diffusional exponent(n) | Time dependence   |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Less Fickian diffusion            | <i>n</i> > 0.45         |                   |
| Fickian diffusion                 | <i>N</i> = 0.45         | t/ <sup>1/2</sup> |
| Non-Fickian (anomalous) diffusion | 0.45 < n < 0.89         | $t^{n-1}$         |
| Case II transport                 | <i>n</i> = 0.89         | Time-independent  |
| Super case II transport           | <i>n</i> > 0.89         | _                 |

SBA@N and SBA@3N, respectively, which agrees with the SEM data.

## 4.8 Drug loading and release

The drug loading capacities (wt/wt%) of the modified materials were calculated and found to be 9.42% and 9.83% for SBA@N and SBA@3N, respectively. Unmodified SBA-15 loaded a very small quantity of 5-fluorouracil.

Simulated bodily fluid (SBF, pH 7.2, USP), simulated gastric fluid (SGF, pH 1.2 without pepsin, USP), and simulated intestinal

fluid (SIF/phosphate buffer pH 6.8 without pancreatin, USP 26) were used to produce *in vitro* cumulative drug release profiles under sink circumstances at 37°C. Slow drug release kinetics was observed from the prepared materials; during the initial 6 h, less than 15% of 5-FU was liberated from the prepared carriers in all simulated physiological fluids (Figure 7). Material SBA@3N liberated approximately 8% of 5-FU in the SIF (pH 6.8) during 48 h. The release of 5-FU from SBA@3N into SBF (pH 7.2) was also slow. The material, SBA@N released about 60% of the total drug content within 48 h in SIF (pH 6.8), with an initial burst release of 13% (Figure 7). However, this material (SBA@N) released about 33% of 5-FU in SGF.



FIGURE 7

In vitro cumulative release profiles of 5-FU from (a)SBA@3N in SBF, (b)SBA@3N in SIF, (c)SBA@N in SIF, (d) SBA@N in SGF, and (e) SBA@N in SIF at  $37^{\circ}C$  +1.

## 4.9 DFT studies

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations have been performed to study the interaction of the 5-FU drug with both derivatives of SBA-15. A conformational analysis has been carried out for the installed side chains of the diethanolamine and the most stable geometries were selected for studying the interaction with the drug molecule. All interaction sites of 5-FU with SBA@N and SBA@ 3N have been considered, and the low-lying energy complexes (most stable ones) are shown in Figure 8.

It has been observed that hydrogen bonding is a decisive interaction factor in both the complexes (SBA@N-drug and SBA@3N-drug). The hydrogen bonds are formed between the carbonyl and amino groups from the 5-FU and the hydroxyl groups in the installed side chains of SBA@N and SBA@3N derivatives. The calculated interaction energies of both complexes can elucidate the strength of the complexes formed and their subsequent drug release. The interaction energy of the SBA@3N-drug (-17.5 kcal/mol) complex is



Compared to SBA@N, SBA@3N showed promising behavior, and a slow-release profile was observed in SBF and SIF, suggesting interactions of 5-FU with the functional organic groups. A clear difference in the drug release profile was observed from both materials. SBA@3N with a longer chain showed slow release of 5-FU, which indicates the significance of organic chain length attached to the silica surface *via* silanol chemistry. The longer this chain, the stronger will be the drug interaction, which results in a slow drug release process. In addition to these interactions, hydrogen bonding and other physiochemical properties such as small pore diameter, mesoporous network, and surface silanol are also the main parameters that contributed toward drug loading and release. 1.5 kcal more than that of the SBA@N-drug complex (-16.0 kcal/mol). The range of calculated energies indicates that the drug interacts with both derivatives of SBA-15 *via* physisorption. In addition, a slightly higher binding energy in the case of SBA@3N-drug indicates a slow release of the drug from the complex, which is in accordance with the experimentally observed results.

## 4.10 Drug release kinetics

Three parameters are used to assess the formulation's drug release: 1) statistical method, 2) model-dependent method, and 3)

TABLE 3 Mathematical modeling of zero-order and first-order models to the drug release data up to 24 h and of Higuchi model, Hixson–Crowell (H–C), and Korsmeyer–Peppas (K–P) up to 6 h in simulated gastric fluid (SGF), body fluid (SGF), and intestinal fluid (SIF). The correlation coefficient ( $R^2$ ), diffusion exponent (n), Fickian diffusion (FD), and zero-order (ZO).

| Silica | Release medium |                        | Linear fit     |                | Non-linear fit |                | Mechanism |           |          |
|--------|----------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
|        |                | Zero-order First-order |                | Higuchi        | H–C            | K-P            |           | Diffusion | Kinetics |
|        |                | R <sup>2</sup>         | R <sup>2</sup> | R <sup>2</sup> | R <sup>2</sup> | R <sup>2</sup> | n         |           |          |
| SBA@N  | SGF            | 0.50                   | 0.50           | 0.96           | 0.96           | 0.96           | 0.40      | FD        | ZO       |
| SBA@N  | SBF            | 0.13                   | 0.50           | 0.98           | 0.98           | 0.98           | 0.46      | FD        | ZO       |
| SBA@N  | SIF            | 0.50                   | 0.51           | 0.98           | 0.97           | 0.98           | 0.30      | NFD       | FO       |
| SBA@3N | SBF            | 0.15                   | 0.40           | 0.94           | 0.98           | 0.97           | 0.43      | FD        | ZO       |
| SBA@3N | SIF            | 0.13                   | 0.60           | 0.93           | 0.97           | 0.98           | 1.01      | SC-II     | ZO       |

model-independent method. In this work, we adopted the modeldependent method to evaluate the prepared formulations. The drug release data were processed with zero-order (ZO) and first-order (FO) kinetic models, Higuchi, Hixson–Crowell (H–C), and Korsmeyer–Peppas (K–P) models (Rehman et al., 2014a) (Eqs 7–12).

Zero – order model: 
$$\frac{mi}{mt} = kt$$
, (7)

First – order model: 
$$ln\left(1-\frac{mi}{mt}\right) = -kt$$
, (8)

Higuchi model: 
$$\frac{mi}{mt} = k\sqrt{t}$$
, (9)

Hixson-Crowell model: 
$$\sqrt[3]{\left(1 - \frac{mi}{mt}\right)} = -kt$$
, (10)

Korsmeyer – Peppas model:  $\frac{mi}{mt} = n \, Int + Ink,$  (11)

non – linear Korsmeyer – Peppas: 
$$\frac{M_t}{M_{\infty}} = kt^n$$
, (12)

where *mi/mt* are drug fractions released at time *t*; *n* is a diffusional exponent, which is an indicator of drug release mechanism; *k* is constant;  $M_t$  represents the mass of the drug liberated in the release medium at time t; and  $M_{\infty}$  is the mass of the drug liberated at an infinite time.

The drug release model Higuchi is applied to planar, geometric, and porous systems. The Hixson–Crowell model is applied to systems where a change is expected in the surface area and diameter of particles or tablets, while the fitting of the K–P model describes the drug release mechanism, such as water migration into the matrix, matrix swelling, and matrix dissolution. This model explains the drug diffusion process in the polymeric and mesoporous material-based systems. The exponent value is  $n \leq 0.45$ , suggesting that the drug is released from the system through Fickian diffusion (FD). The diffusional exponent values of

0.45 < n < 0.89 correspond to a non-Fickian or anomalous diffusion. The exponent *n* equal to 0.89 indicates case-II transport, while *n* > 0.89 represents typical ZO kinetics (Table 2).

Eqs 7–12 were used to fit the release of drug data from the produced formulations, and the parameters obtained are listed in Table 3. The best fit of the models to the data released is shown by a high correlation coefficient ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) value. A high  $\mathbb{R}^2$  value was observed with the K–P model. The FD mechanism of 5-FU in SGF and SBF was shown by the n value (0.45). The release of 5-FU in SIF from SBA@N and SBA@3N followed non-Fickian diffusion and Super case II transport and FO kinetics, respectively.

# 5 Conclusion

This work reports the synthesis, surface modification, and characterization of mesoporous silica type SBA-15 and its novel derivatives SBA@N and SBA@3N. These materials were successfully synthesized and characterized with different techniques. The drug loading efficiency of these materials was ~10%. Slow-release kinetics was observed in simulated fluids (SBF, SIF, and SGF), except for SBA@N in the simulated intestinal fluid. Different mathematical models predicted the drug release mechanism. The non-linear adjustment of the K-P model explains that the drug release is realized through Fickian diffusion, with zero-order kinetics. 5-FU drugs produce cardiotoxic byproducts that could be controlled by protecting drug molecules in porous channels in the basic intestinal media. When compared to SBA@N, SBA@ 3N showed promising behavior by showing a slow-release profile in all simulated fluids and suggesting strong interactions of 5-FU with the organic functional groups. This strong interaction of the 5-FU drug and hence its slow release

has been supported by the interaction energies calculated from DFT simulations. Based on the results, we suggest that our prepared materials could be biocompatible carriers for short-life hydrophilic anticancer drugs, such as 5-fluorouracil, to overcome the shortcomings, such as shorter life in blood plasma and fast metabolism in the gastrointestinal tract. In future, *in vitro* and *in vivo* cytotoxicity experiments will be performed to elaborate on this work further.

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusion of this article will be made available by the authors without undue reservation.

## Author contributions

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

## References

Ahmed, K., Rehman, F., Pires, C. T. G. V. M. T., Rahim, A., Santos, A. L., and Airoldi, C. (2016). Aluminum doped mesoporous silica SBA-15 for the removal of remazol yellow dye from water. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 236, 167–175. doi:10.1016/j. micromeso.2016.08.040

Al-Gamal, A. Q., Falath, W. S., and Saleh, T. A. (2021). Enhanced efficiency of polyamide membranes by incorporating TiO2-Graphene oxide for water purification. *J. Mol. Liq.* 323, 114922. doi:10.1016/j.molliq.2020.114922

Amolegbe, S. A., Ohmagari, H., Wakata, K., Takehira, H., Ohtani, R., Nakamura, M., et al. (2016). Synthesis of mesoporous materials as nano-carriers for an antimalarial drug. *J. Mater Chem. B* 4 (6), 1040–1043. doi:10.1039/C5TB02200B

Arias, J. L. (2008). Novel strategies to improve the anticancer action of 5-fluorouracil by using drug delivery systems. *Molecules* 13 (10), 2340–2369. doi:10.3390/molecules13102340

Authors Anonymous (2020). The global challenge of cancer. Nat. Cancer 1 (1), 1–2. doi:10.1038/s43018-019-0023-9

Benedicto, A., Sanz, E., and Márquez, J. (2021). Ocoxin as a complement to first line treatments in cancer. Int. J. Med. Sci. 18 (3), 835–845. doi:10.7150/ijms.50122

Boccardi, E., Philippart, A., Juhasz-Bortuzzo, J. A., Beltran, A. M., Novajra, G., Vitale-Brovarone, C., et al. (2015). Uniform surface modification of 3D bioglass(<sup>®</sup>)-based scaffolds with mesoporous silica particles (MCM-41) for enhancing drug delivery capability. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 3, 177. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2015.00177

Cal, P. M. S. D., Frade, R. F. M., Chudasama, V., Cordeiro, C., Caddick, S., and Gois, P. M. P. (2014). Targeting cancer cells with folic acid-iminoboronate fluorescent conjugates. *Chem. Commun. (Camb)* 50 (40), 5261–5263. doi:10.1039/c3cc47534d

Chandran, S. P., Natarajan, S. B., Chandraseharan, S., and Mohd Shahimi, M. S. B. (2017). Nano drug delivery strategy of 5-fluorouracil for the treatment of colorectal cancer. *J. Cancer Res. Pract.* 4 (2), 45–48. doi:10.1016/j.jcrpr.2017.02.002

Davis, M. E., Chen, Z., Georgia) and Shin, D. M. (2008). Nanoparticle therapeutics: An emerging treatment modality for cancer. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 7 (9), 771–782. doi:10. 1038/nrd2614

Fadeel, B., and Alexiou, C. (2020). Brave new world revisited: Focus on nanomedicine. *Biochem. Biophysical Res. Commun.* 533 (1), 36–49. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.08.046

Fagundes, L. B., Sousa, T. G. F., Sousa, A., Silva, V. V., and Sousa, E. M. B. (2006). SBA-15-collagen hybrid material for drug delivery applications. *J. Non-Crystalline Solids* 352 (32), 3496–3501. doi:10.1016/j.jnoncrysol.2006.03.111

Frisch, M. J., Trucks, G. W., Schlegel, H. B., Scuseria, G. E., Robb, M. A., Cheeseman, J. R., et al. (2016). *Gaussian 16, revis. B. 01.* Wallingford, CT: Gaussian, Inc. Published online.

Fuloria, S., Sekar, M., Khattulanuar, F. S., Gan, S. H., Rani, N. N. I. M., Ravi, S., et al. (2022). Chemistry, biosynthesis and Pharmacology of viniferin: Potential resveratrolderived molecules for new drug discovery, development and therapy. *Mol. (Basel, Switz.* 27 (16), 5072. doi:10.3390/molecules27165072

# Funding

The author acknowledges the researchers supporting project number (RSP2023 R174) at King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, and the financial support of CNPq Brazil.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Galarneau, A., Cambon, H., Di Renzo, F., and Fajula, F. (2001). True microporosity and surface area of mesoporous SBA-15 silicas as a function of synthesis temperature. *Langmuir* 17 (26), 8328–8335. doi:10.1021/la0105477

He, Q., Gao, Y., Zhang, L., Zhang, Z., Gao, F., Ji, X., et al. (2011). A pH-responsive mesoporous silica nanoparticles-based multi-drug delivery system for overcoming multi-drug resistance. *Biomaterials* 32 (30), 7711–7720. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials. 2011.06.066

He, Q., and Shi, J. (2011). Mesoporous silica nanoparticle based nano drug delivery systems: Synthesis, controlled drug release and delivery, pharmacokinetics and biocompatibility. J. Mater. Chem. 21 (16), 5845–5855. doi:10.1039/c0jm03851b

Heidari, A., Younesi, H., and Mehraban, Z. (2009). Removal of Ni(II), Cd(II), and Pb(II) from a ternary aqueous solution by amino functionalized mesoporous and nano mesoporous silica. *Chem. Eng. J.* 153 (1), 70–79. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2009.06.016

Iqbal, B., Muhammad, N., Rahim, A., Iqbal, F., Sharif, F., Zaman Safi, S., et al. (2019). Development of collagen/PVA composites patches for osteochondral defects using a green processing of ionic liquid. *Int. J. Polym. Mater. Polym. Biomaterials* 68 (10), 590–596. doi:10.1080/00914037.2018.1474358

Janiak, D. S., and Kofinas, P. (2007). Molecular imprinting of peptides and proteins in aqueous media. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 389 (2), 399–404. doi:10.1007/s00216-007-1327-7

Kango, S., Kalia, S., Celli, A., Njuguna, J., Habibi, Y., and Kumar, R. (2013). Surface modification of inorganic nanoparticles for development of organic-inorganic nanocomposites—a review. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* 38 (8), 1232–1261. doi:10.1016/j. progpolymsci.2013.02.003

Karthic, A., Roy, A., Lakkakula, J., Alghamdi, S., Shakoori, A., Babalghith, A. O., et al. (2022). Cyclodextrin nanoparticles for diagnosis and potential cancer therapy: A systematic review. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 10, 984311. doi:10.3389/fcell.2022.984311

Khan, A. J., Song, J., Ahmed, K., Rahim, A., Onófrio Volpe, P. L., and Rehman, F. (2020). Mesoporous silica MCM-41, SBA-15 and derived bridged polysilsesquioxane SBA-PMDA for the selective removal of textile reactive dyes from wastewater. *J. Mol. Liq.* 298, 111957. doi:10.1016/j.molliq.2019.111957

Khan, Z. U. H., Sadiq, H. M., Shah, N. S., Khan, A. U., Muhammad, N., Hassan, S. U., et al. (2019). Greener synthesis of zinc oxide nanoparticles using Trianthema portulacastrum extract and evaluation of its photocatalytic and biological applications. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B, Biol. 192, 147–157. doi:10.1016/j.jphotobiol. 2019.01.013

Kruk, M., Jaroniec, M., Ko, C. H., and Ryoo, R. (2000). Characterization of the porous structure of SBA-15. *Chem. Mater.* 12 (7), 1961–1968. doi:10.1021/cm000164e

Laskowski, L., and Laskowska, M. (2014). Functionalization of SBA-15 mesoporous silica by Cu-phosphonate units: Probing of synthesis route. *J. Solid State Chem.* 220, 221–226. doi:10.1016/j.jssc.2014.08.034

Lin, S., Shi, L., Ribeiro Carrott, M. M. L., Carrott, P., Rocha, J., Li, M., et al. (2011). Direct synthesis without addition of acid of Al-SBA-15 with controllable porosity and high hydrothermal stability. Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 142 (2-3), 526–534. doi:10.1016/j.micromeso.2010.12.043

Longley, D. B., Harkin, D. P., and Johnston, P. G. (2003). 5-Fluorouracil: Mechanisms of action and clinical strategies. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 3 (5), 330–338. doi:10.1038/nrc1074

Malik, M. H., Shahzadi, L., Batool, R., Safi, S. Z., Khan, A. S., Khan, A. F., et al. (2020). Thyroxine-loaded chitosan/carboxymethyl cellulose/hydroxyapatite hydrogels enhance angiogenesis in in-ovo experiments. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 145, 1162–1170. doi:10. 1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.10.043

Nawaz, A., Zaman Safi, S., Sikandar, S., Zeeshan, R., Zulfiqar, S., Mehmood, N., et al. (2022). Heparin-loaded alginate hydrogels: Characterization and molecular mechanisms of their angiogenic and anti-microbial potential. *Mater. (Basel, Switz.* 15 (19), 6683. doi:10.3390/ma15196683

Osman, A. M., Hendi, A. H., and Saleh, T. A. (2020). Simultaneous adsorption of dye and toxic metal ions using an interfacially polymerized silica/polyamide nanocomposite: Kinetic and thermodynamic studies. *J. Mol. Liq.* 314, 113640. doi:10.1016/j.molliq.2020.113640

Pasha, M., Muhammad, N., Nayyer, M., Bokhari, J. H., Ashraf, H., Safi, S. Z., et al. (2020). Synthesis of an anti-cariogenic experimental dental composite containing novel drug-decorated copper particles. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C, Mater. Biol. Appl.* 114, 111040. doi:10.1016/j.msec.2020.111040

Rahman, N., and Nasir, M. (2020). Effective removal of acetaminophen from aqueous solution using Ca (II)-doped chitosan/ $\beta$ -cyclodextrin composite. J. Mol. Liq. 301, 112454. doi:10.1016/j.molliq.2020.112454

Rana, V. K., Park, S. S., Parambadath, S., Kim, M. J., Kim, S. H., Mishra, S., et al. (2011). Hierarchical mesoporous bio-polymer/silica composites co-templated by trimethyl chitosan and a surfactant for controlled drug delivery. *Med. Chem. Commun.* 2 (12), 1162–1166. doi:10.1039/C0MD00222D

Rauti, R., Musto, M., Bosi, S., Prato, M., and Ballerini, L. (2019). Properties and behavior of carbon nanomaterials when interfacing neuronal cells: How far have we come? *Carbon N. Y.* 143, 430–446. doi:10.1016/j.carbon.2018.11.026

Rehman, F., Ahmed, K., Rahim, A., Muhammad, N., Tariq, S., Azhar, U., et al. (2018). Organo-bridged silsesquioxane incorporated mesoporous silica as a carrier for the controlled delivery of ibuprofen and fluorouracil. *J. Mol. Liq.* 258, 319–326. doi:10.1016/ j.molliq.2018.03.057

Rehman, F., Rahim, A., Airoldi, C., and Volpe, P. L. O. (2016). Preparation and characterization of glycidyl methacrylate organo bridges grafted mesoporous silica SBA-15 as ibuprofen and mesalamine carrier for controlled release. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 59, 970–979. doi:10.1016/j.mscc.2015.11.005

Rehman, F., Volpe, P. L. O., and Airoldi, C. (2014). Free amino and imino-bridged centres attached to organic chains bonded to structurally ordered silica for dye removal from aqueous solution. *J. Environ. Manag.* 133, 135–143. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2013. 11.042

Rehman, F., Volpe, P. L. O., and Airoldi, C. (2014). The applicability of ordered mesoporous SBA-15 and its hydrophobic glutaraldehyde-bridge derivative to improve ibuprofen-loading in releasing system. *Colloids Surfaces B Biointerfaces*. 119, 82–89. doi:10.1016/j.colsurfb.2014.03.043

Russell-Jones, G., McTavish, K., McEwan, J., Rice, J., and Nowotnik, D. (2004). Vitamin-mediated targeting as a potential mechanism to increase drug uptake by tumours. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* 98 (10), 1625–1633. doi:10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2004. 07.009

Sara, J. D., Kaur, J., Khodadadi, R., Rehman, M., Lobo, R., Chakrabarti, S., et al. (2018). 5-fluorouracil and cardiotoxicity: A review. *Ther. Adv. Med. Oncol.* 10, 1758835918780140. doi:10.1177/1758835918780140 Sharif, S., Saeed, M., Dege, N., Bano, R., Gilani, M. A., Sahin, O., et al. (2022). Solvothermal synthesis, crystal structure, thermal, magnetic properties and DFT computations of a ytterbium (III) complex derived from pyridine-2, 6-dicarboxylic acid. J. Mol. Struct. 1260, 132877. doi:10.1016/j.molstruc.2022.132877

Sharma, P. S., Pietrzyk-Le, A., D'Souza, F., and Kutner, W. (2012). Electrochemically synthesized polymers in molecular imprinting for chemical sensing. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 402 (10), 3177–3204. doi:10.1007/s00216-011-5696-6

Shoemaker, L. K., Arora, U., and Rocha Lima, C. M. S. (2004). 5-Fluorouracil-Induced coronary vasospasm. *Cancer control.* 11 (1), 46–49. doi:10.1177/ 107327480401100207

Song, S. W., Hidajat, K., and Kawi, S. (2005). Functionalized SBA-15 materials as carriers for controlled drug delivery: Influence of surface properties on Matrix–Drug interactions. *Langmuir* 21 (21), 9568–9575. doi:10.1021/la051167e

Tariq, S., Rahim, A., Muhammad, N., Rahman, S. U., Azhar, U., Sultana, K., et al. (2019). Controllable delivery from gentamicin loaded polycaprolactone/grafted silica nanoparticles composite mats. *J. Mol. Liq.* 290, 111205. doi:10.1016/j.molliq.2019. 111205

Tayyab, Z., Safi, S. Z., Rahim, A., Khan, A. S., Sharif, F., Khan, Z. U. H., et al. (2019). Preparation of cellulosic Ag-nanocomposites using an ionic liquid. *J. biomaterials Sci. Polym. Ed.* 30 (9), 785–796. doi:10.1080/09205063.2019.1605869

Thalluri, C., Amin, R., Mandhadi, J. R., Gacem, A., Emran, T. B., Dey, B. K., et al. (2022). Central composite designed fast dissolving tablets for improved solubility of the loaded drug ondansetron hydrochloride. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2022, 2467574. doi:10.1155/2022/2467574

Tsai, W. T., Hsien, K. J., and Hsu, H. C. (2009). Adsorption of organic compounds from aqueous solution onto the synthesized zeolite. *J. Hazard Mater* 166 (2-3), 635–641. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.11.071

Vallet-Regí, M. (2006). Ordered mesoporous materials in the context of drug delivery systems and bone tissue engineering. *Chem. – A Eur. J.* 12 (23), 5934–5943. doi:10.1002/ chem.200600226

Vallet-Regi, M., Rámila, A., del Real, R. P., and Pérez-Pariente, J. (2001). A new property of MCM-41: Drug delivery system. *Chem. Mater.* 13 (2), 308–311. doi:10.1021/ cm0011559

Vallet-Regí, M., Ruiz-González, L., Izquierdo-Barba, I., and González-Calbet, J. M. (2006). Revisiting silica based ordered mesoporous materials: Medical applications. *J. Mater. Chem.* 16 (1), 26–31. doi:10.1039/B509744D

Wang, X., Lin, K. S. K., Chan, J. C. C., and Cheng, S. (2005). Direct synthesis and catalytic applications of ordered large pore aminopropyl-functionalized SBA-15 mesoporous materials. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 109 (5), 1763–1769. doi:10.1021/jp045798d

Wang, Z., Wang, D., Zhao, Z., Chen, Y., and Lan, J. (2011). A DFT study of the structural units in SBA-15 mesoporous molecular sieve. *Comput. Theor. Chem.* 963 (2-3), 403–411. doi:10.1016/j.comptc.2010.11.004

Wu, C., Liu, S., Zhang, S., and Yang, Z. (2020). Molcontroller: A VMD graphical user interface featuring molecule manipulation. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 60 (10), 5126–5131. doi:10.1021/acs.jcim.0c00754

Yuan, L., Tang, Q., Yang, D., Zhang, J. Z., Zhang, F., and Hu, J. (2011). Preparation of pH-responsive mesoporous silica nanoparticles and their application in controlled drug delivery. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 115 (20), 9926–9932. doi:10.1021/jp201053d

Zhao, D., Feng, J., Huo, Q., Melosh, N., Fredrickson, G., Chmelka, B., et al. (1979). Triblock copolymer syntheses of mesoporous silica with periodic 50 to 300 angstrom pores. *Science* 279 (5350), 548–552. doi:10.1126/science.279. 5350.548