

# UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

## Heavy tailed distributions in closing auctions

Derksen, M.; Kleijn, B.; de Vilder, R.

**DOI** 10.1016/j.physa.2022.126959

Publication date 2022 Document Version

Final published version

**Published in** Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications **License** 

CC BY

Link to publication

## Citation for published version (APA):

Derksen, M., Kleijn, B., & de Vilder, R. (2022). Heavy tailed distributions in closing auctions. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, *593*, [126959]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2022.126959

### **General rights**

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

### **Disclaimer/Complaints regulations**

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (https://dare.uva.nl)

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Physica A

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/physa

## Heavy tailed distributions in closing auctions

M. Derksen<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, B. Kleijn<sup>b</sup>, R. de Vilder<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Deep Blue Capital B.V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>b</sup> Korteweg-de Vries Institute for Mathematics, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 25 June 2021 Received in revised form 21 December 2021 Available online 24 January 2022

*Keywords:* Closing auction Closing prices Stochastic models Price formation Heavy tails We study the tails of closing auction return distributions for a sample of liquid European stocks. We use the stochastic call auction model of Derksen et al. [1] to derive a relation between tail exponents of limit order placement distributions and tail exponents of the resulting closing auction return distribution and we verify this relation empirically. Counter-intuitively, large closing price fluctuations are typically not caused by large market orders, instead tails become heavier when market orders are removed. The model explains this by the observation that limit orders are submitted so as to counter existing market order imbalance.

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

#### 1. Introduction

During the trading day, most securities change hands in continuous double auctions, in which buy and sell orders are immediately matched if possible. However, to determine opening and closing prices, call auctions are often conducted. In a call auction, orders are aggregated for an interval of time, after which all possible transactions are conducted against a single clearing price that maximizes trading volume. In this paper we study the tails of closing auction return distributions.

Nowadays it is widely recognized that distributions of (stock) price changes exhibit heavy tails: extreme price changes (of *e.g.* more than three standard deviations) are much more likely than in a Gaussian model or other models with exponentially decaying tails. This issue was first addressed by Mandelbrot [2] in his analysis of cotton prices, where he proposed Lévy stable distributions to model price fluctuations. It is generally assumed that the tails follow a power law asymptotically. That is, the distribution of a return *X* over some time interval satisfies, <sup>1</sup>

$$\mathbb{P}(X > x) \sim Cx^{-a}$$
, as  $x \to \infty$ ,

(1)

where C > 0 is a constant (sometimes also replaced by a slowly varying factor L(x)) and a > 0 is the *tail exponent*, determining how heavy the tail is. In early work [3], the exponent a was believed to be below 2 for stock prices (in line with the stable distributions of Mandelbrot [2]). However, subsequent analyses have shown that the exponent is more likely to be around 3 on intraday time scales (see *e.g.* [4–8], among many others). Although it is generally accepted to model the tails as power laws, the exact functional form is also subject of debate. For example, Malevergne et al. [9] conclude that the tails decay slower than stretched exponential distributions, but somewhat faster than power laws. In this paper, we do not aim to answer this question, but use power laws because they describe the tails in enough detail for our analysis. Theoretically, the functional form in Eq. (1) is justified by extreme value theory, in the Fréchet (heavy tailed) case (see *e.g.* [10]).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2022.126959





<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Deep Blue Capital B.V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands. *E-mail address:* mike.derksen@deepbluecap.com (M. Derksen).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Here, ~ denotes asymptotic equivalence defined as  $f \sim g \Leftrightarrow \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 1$ .

<sup>0378-4371/© 2022</sup> The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/).

Although most part of the relevant literature focuses on description of the tails of stock price return distributions, some effort has gone towards explanations of this tail behaviour. Gabaix et al. [11,12] argue that large price fluctuations are due to large orders submitted by large market participants. However, Farmer and Lillo [13] study the issue on the microscopic level and present evidence that fluctuations in volume alone cannot explain large price fluctuations. In the same direction, Farmer et al. [14] and Weber and Rosenow [15] find that large returns are not due to large transactions, but instead are caused by big gaps in the order book, *i.e.* fluctuations in liquidity. Closely related to these ideas is the literature on price impact, *i.e.* the relation between volume and price change, see [16] for an exhaustive discussion and [17] for more recent work on the subject. Finally, several models have been proposed to explain the observed tail behaviour. Mike and Farmer [18] propose a simulation based model for continuous trading, which suggests heavy tails in return distributions are caused by market microstructure effects, such as heavy tails in limit order placement and long memory in order flow. More theoretically, Bak et al. [19] and Cont and Bouchaud [20] propose models linking heavy tails to herd behaviour.

In this paper, we use the model of Derksen et al. [1] to study the distribution of returns in the closing auction. In the model, limit orders are submitted to the auction randomly, with a limit price that is sampled from an *order placement distribution*  $F_A$  (for sell orders) or  $F_B$  (for buy orders). We study the closing auctions of liquid European stocks listed on Euronext exchanges and find that both return distributions and order placement distributions exhibit heavy tails, with different tail exponents. Zovko and Farmer [21] conclude 'It seems that the power law for price fluctuations should be related to that of relative limit prices, but the precise nature and the cause of this relationship is not clear.' Here, we solve this problem in the context of the closing auction: we provide analytical relations between the tails of order placement distributions  $F_A$  and  $F_B$ . When we incorporate market orders, this relation changes, depending on a proportionality relation between market order and limit order imbalances. We empirically verify the relations between tail exponents of order placement and auction return distributions predicted by the model.

In theory, large market orders are a possible cause of large price fluctuations. We show however that this is typically not the case in closing auctions, which is our second important result. Somewhat counter-intuitively, the empirical study shows that closing auction return distributions would have *heavier* tails if market orders are removed, suggesting that market orders have a stabilizing effect on price formation in closing auctions. Theoretically, we show (for the right tail) that this (initially perhaps somewhat puzzling) empirical fact can only arise whenever

$$0 < \frac{M_B - M_A}{N_A - N_B} \le \frac{a_A}{a_B},\tag{2}$$

under the assumption that  $F_B$  and  $F_A$  have heavy right tails with tail exponents  $a_B$  and  $a_A$  satisfying  $a_B > a_A > 0$ . Here,  $N_A$ is the sell limit order volume,  $N_B$  the buy limit order volume and  $M_A$  and  $M_B$  denote the sell and buy market order volume. This equation poses two conditions that should be fulfilled to make it theoretically possible that tails of closing auction return distributions are heavier without market orders. First, limit order imbalance and market order imbalance should be of opposite signs (when  $M_B > M_A$ , it should hold that  $N_A > N_B$  and vice versa) and limit order imbalance  $N_A - N_B$ should be larger in absolute value than market order imbalance  $M_B - M_A$ , meaning that limit orders overcompensate for market order imbalance. Second, *a*<sub>B</sub> should not be too large, *i.e.* the right tail of the buy limit order placement distribution needs to be sufficiently heavy. We show that Eq. (2) is indeed satisfied on average empirically, which is explained by the chronology of the closing auction: most of the market orders are submitted in the first seconds, revealing early in the auction the market order imbalance. This leads to strategic behaviour in which limit orders are placed against the direction of the market order imbalance: when there are more buy than sell market orders, one can submit a (possibly large) sell order without adversely impacting the price. Our results suggest that large closing price fluctuations are not caused by large market orders (at least, not directly), but by placement of limit orders, in accordance with the intraday results of Farmer et al. [14], Weber and Rosenow [15]. Also, our results suggest that heavy tails are market microstructure effects and that the tail exponents vary between different stocks and different market mechanisms, in line with the view of Mike and Farmer [18].

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. Section 2 provides a brief description of the closing auction mechanism used on Euronext exchanges. In Section 3 the model is described and theoretical results are derived. Then in Section 4 the empirical results are presented and the relations that are predicted by the model are verified. Concluding remarks are made in Section 5. Proofs of the mathematical theory are collected in the Appendix.

#### 2. Closing auctions on Euronext

In this section, we will briefly discuss the closing auction mechanism used on Euronext exchanges (see [22] for the Euronext rulebook). On Euronext, intraday continuous trading stops at 5:30 pm, after which the call phase of the closing auction starts. During the call phase, market participants can submit orders, which are aggregated without giving rise to transactions, which is the main difference with continuous trading, where matching orders are executed immediately. Much as for continuous trading, market participants can basically submit two types of orders: limit orders (orders to buy/sell a specified quantity of the stock for a price not higher/lower than the specified limit price) or market orders (orders to buy/sell a specified quantity of the stock against any price). Orders can be entered, modified and cancelled,

while indicative price and volume imbalance information is being published continuously. The indicative price at time *t* indicates what would be the auction price if the auction would stop at time *t*, given the orders submitted up to time *t*. Imbalance information provides the sign and the size of the volume imbalance for the current indicative price, where the volume imbalance is defined as the volume of transactable buy orders minus the volume of transactable sell orders. The call phase ends at a randomly selected time between 5:35:00 pm and 5:35:30 pm, after which the system will determine the closing price, which is the price that maximizes the transacted volume. If several optimal prices can be selected, the price closest to the last transaction during continuous trading is taken. After the closing price is determined, the order book is cleared, meaning that all possible transactions are conducted against the closing price. In this process, market orders are ranked based on time-priority and have priority over limit orders, which are ranked following price-time priority.

#### 3. Theoretical results

In this section we recall the auction model of Derksen et al. [1] and derive analytical expressions for the tail behaviour of the return distribution, given the tails of order placement distributions. Section 3.1 discusses briefly the contents of Derksen et al. [1], while all results in Sections 3.2 and 3.3 are new.

#### 3.1. A stochastic model of the call auction

In the standard call auction, orders are aggregated over an interval of time and then matched to transact at a clearing price that maximizes the total transacted volume. Suppose  $N_A$  sell limit orders and  $N_B$  buy limit orders are submitted to the auction (all orders have unit size). We assume market participants on both sides of the market formulate their orders independently, according to certain order placement distributions  $F_A$  and  $F_B$ . Here,  $F_A$  denotes the distribution of sell orders and  $F_B$  the distribution of buy orders. That is, we model the sell order prices  $(A_1, \ldots, A_{N_A})$  as an *i.i.d.* sample from  $F_A$  and the buy order prices  $(B_1, \ldots, B_{N_B})$  as an *i.i.d.* sample from  $F_B$ .<sup>2</sup>

For convenience we consider the log return axis instead of the real price axis. We assume there is some *reference price*  $x_0$  (for example the last traded price before the auction starts or a volume weighted averaged version thereof) and all prices are expressed as log returns relative to this reference price. So  $F_A$  and  $F_B$  are distributions on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  and  $F_A(x)$  or  $F_B(x)$  denotes the probability that a sell or buy order price is below  $x_0e^x$ . Given  $(N_A, N_B)$ , we denote by  $\mathbb{F}_A$  and  $\mathbb{F}_B$  the empirical distribution functions corresponding to the samples  $(A_1, \ldots, A_{N_A})$  and  $(B_1, \ldots, B_{N_B})$ , meaning

$$\mathbb{F}_{A}(x) = rac{1}{N_{A}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{A}} \mathbf{1}_{\{A_{i} \leq x\}}, \quad \mathbb{F}_{B}(x) = rac{1}{N_{B}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{B}} \mathbf{1}_{\{B_{i} \leq x\}}$$

Furthermore, we define the (monotone increasing) supply curve,

$$\mathbb{D}_A(x) = N_A \mathbb{F}_A(x)$$

and the (monotone decreasing) demand curve,

$$\mathbb{D}_B(x) = N_B(1 - \mathbb{F}_B(x)).$$

The supply curve denotes for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  the number of sell orders below  $x_0e^x$ , the demand curve gives for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  the number of buy orders above  $x_0e^x$ . Given all buy and sell orders, the clearing price is the price that maximizes the transactable volume in the auction, which is the price where supply and demand curves cross. That is, the *clearing price* X is defined as the solution to the market clearing equation,

$$\mathbb{D}_A(X) = \mathbb{D}_B(X). \tag{3}$$

This definition of X may give rise to problems with uniqueness and existence of solutions to Eq. (3), as illustrated in Fig. 1. To solve these issues, consider the following definition.

**Definition 3.1.** For given supply curve  $\mathbb{D}_A$  and demand curve  $\mathbb{D}_B$ , the *lower clearing price* is defined by

$$X = \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{D}_A(x) \ge \mathbb{D}_B(x)\}$$

and the upper clearing price is defined by

$$\overline{X} = \sup\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{D}_A(x) \le \mathbb{D}_B(x)\}\$$
  
=  $\inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{D}_A(x) > \mathbb{D}_B(x)\}\}.$  (5)

The interval  $[X, \overline{X})$  is the interval of all possible clearing prices.

(4)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Of course these assumptions are not all realistic. In reality, orders have different sizes and market participants may react to each other's orders. Despite these simplifying assumptions, the model provides a reliable stochastic description of auction price formation (see [1]).



**Fig. 1.** Two examples of the supply curve  $\mathbb{D}_{A}(\cdot)$  (the increasing (red) step function) and the demand curve  $\mathbb{D}_{B}(\cdot)$  (the decreasing (blue) step function). Left panel: a situation in which there is no unique point of intersection, but an interval  $[\underline{X}, \overline{X})$  of possible clearing prices. Right panel: a situation in which there is a unique intersection point  $\underline{X} = \overline{X}$ .

**Remark 3.2.** Euronext's closing auction rules say that when there are more possible clearing prices, the price closest to the last traded price is taken ([22], Rule 4401/3, see also Section 2). This means that when there is a large positive return, the closing price is equal to the lower clearing price  $\underline{X}$ . So in order to study the right tail of the closing price return distribution, we should study  $\underline{X}$ . The same reasoning implies that for the left tail we should consider  $\overline{X}$ . Note that the model is symmetric when the roles of  $\overline{X}$  and  $\underline{X}$  and the sides of the market are interchanged. That is, the left tail of the distribution of  $\overline{X}$  behaves the same as the right tail of the distribution of  $\underline{X}$ , when  $F_A$  and  $F_B$  and  $N_A$  and  $N_B$  are interchanged. So without loss of generality we focus on the right tail of  $\underline{X}$ .

The distribution of the lower clearing price, conditional on  $(N_A, N_B)$ , has an analytically tractable distribution function, given in the following theorem (see [1], theorem 2.3).

**Theorem 3.3** (Lower Clearing Price Distribution). The distribution of the lower clearing price  $\underline{X}$ , conditional on ( $N_A$ ,  $N_B$ ), is given by its survival function,

$$\mathbb{P}(\underline{X} > x | N_A, N_B) = \sum_{k=0}^{N_A} \sum_{l=k+1}^{N_B} {N_A \choose k} {N_B \choose l} (1 - F_A(x))^{N_A - k} F_A(x)^k (1 - F_B(x))^l F_B(x)^{N_B - l}.$$
(6)

In the situation described above, only limit orders are submitted to the auction. However, market participants also have the possibility to submit market orders. We define the (possibly stochastic) *market order imbalance* by  $\Delta = M_B - M_A$ , where  $M_B$  is the number of buy market orders and  $M_A$  is the number of sell market orders. Note that market orders only play a role through  $\Delta$ , as matching market orders are executed against each other without affecting the price formation process. When market order imbalance  $\Delta$  is taken into account, the market clearing Eq. (3) becomes

$$\mathbb{D}_A(X) = \mathbb{D}_B(X) + \Delta$$

and the definitions of  $\underline{X}$  and  $\overline{X}$  change accordingly. A positive (negative) value of  $\Delta$  means there is more buy (sell) market order volume than sell (buy) market order volume, possibly pushing the price up (down). The market order imbalance alters the clearing price distribution as in the following proposition (a special case of proposition 2.8 in [1]).

**Proposition 3.4** (Lower Clearing Price Distribution in Case of Market Order Imbalance). When market order imbalance  $\Delta$  plays a role, the lower clearing price distribution as computed in Theorem 3.3 modifies into

$$\mathbb{P}(\underline{X} > x | N_A, N_B, \Delta) = \sum_{k=0}^{N_A} \sum_{l=\max(k-\Delta+1,0)}^{N_B} {N_A \choose k} {N_B \choose l} (1 - F_A(x))^{N_A - k} F_A(x)^k (1 - F_B(x))^l F_B(x)^{N_B - l}.$$

#### 3.2. Limit order auctions

Next we concentrate on the right tail of the lower clearing price return distribution, as a function of the tails of the order placement distributions  $F_A$  and  $F_B$ , initially without market orders. We make the following assumption on the tails of  $F_A$  and  $F_B$ .

**Assumption 1.** Assume  $F_A$  has a heavier right tail than  $F_B$ . That is, there exists functions  $T_A$ ,  $T_B$  such that

$$1-F_A(x)\sim T_A(x), \quad 1-F_B(x)\sim T_B(x), \quad \text{as } x\to\infty$$

and

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{T_B(x)}{T_A(x)}=0.$$

This assumption is intuitively reasonable and empirically verified in Section 4.1. Furthermore, we will assume that  $(N_A, N_B)$  follows a distribution  $P_{N_A, N_B}$  on

$$\mathcal{N} = \{1, \ldots, N\} \times \{1, \ldots, N\},\$$

for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , with probability mass function  $p_{N_A,N_B}$  assigning positive probability to any point in  $\mathcal{N}$  (we exclude the possibilities that  $N_A = 0$  or  $N_B = 0$ , which describe failing auctions in which clearing prices do not exist).

In the following proposition we first derive an expression for the right tail of the lower clearing price distribution, conditional on  $(N_A, N_B)$ . Finding an expression for the tail of the clearing price distribution amounts to finding the slowest decaying term in the double sum of Theorem 3.3. This is made formal in the following proposition, the proof of which is found in the Appendix.

Proposition 3.5. Under Assumption 1, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\underline{X} > x | N_A, N_B) \sim N_B T_B(x) T_A(x)^{N_A}, \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

When the conditional result of Proposition 3.5 is summed with respect to the distribution of ( $N_A$ ,  $N_B$ ), the unconditional tail of  $\underline{X}$  is discovered again by selecting the slowest decaying term. This leads to the main result of this subsection, a relation between the tail of the closing price return distribution and the tail of the order placement distributions in a setting without market orders (its proof is again postponed to the Appendix).

Theorem 3.6 (Right Tail of the Lower Clearing Price Distribution). Under Assumption 1 we have

 $\mathbb{P}(\underline{X} > x) \sim CT_A(x)T_B(x), \text{ as } x \to \infty,$ 

where  $C = \sum_{n=1}^{N} n p_{N_A, N_B}(1, n) = \mathbb{E}[N_B \mathbf{1}_{\{N_A=1\}}] > 0.$ 

3.3. Market orders

In this subsection we incorporate market orders in the derivation of Section 3.2. First consider the following assumption for the market order imbalance  $\Delta$ .

**Assumption 2.** We assume that  $\Delta \in (-N_B, N_A)$  with probability one.

This assumption is necessary, because otherwise the clearing prices attain the values  $\pm \infty$  with non-zero probability. Under this assumption, the right tail of the conditional lower clearing price distribution is given by the next proposition (the proof is again postponed to the Appendix and  $x_+ = \max(x, 0)$  and  $x_- = \max(-x, 0)$  denote the positive and negative part of  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ).

Proposition 3.7. Under Assumptions 1 and 2, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\underline{X} > x | N_A, N_B, \Delta) \sim K(N_A, N_B, \Delta - 1) T_B(x)^{(\Delta - 1)_-} T_A(x)^{N_A - (\Delta - 1)_+},$$

(8)

(9)

as  $x \to \infty$ , where

$$K(N_A, N_B, \Delta) = \begin{cases} \binom{N_A}{\Delta} & \text{if } \Delta > 0\\ \binom{N_B}{-\Delta} & \text{if } \Delta \le 0. \end{cases}$$

This proposition shows that market orders potentially influence the tails heavily: if  $\Delta$  is positive and large (close to  $N_A$ ) the influence of the faster decaying term  $T_B(M)$  is erased and only the slower decaying term  $T_A(M)$  is left, possibly leading to very heavy tails. On the other hand, if  $\Delta$  is negative, the influence of the faster decaying term  $T_B$  grows, leading to less heavy tails. However, which combinations are possible depends on the joint distribution of  $(N_A, N_B, \Delta)$ . Until now, the tails  $T_A$  and  $T_B$  were unspecified and few assumptions were made on the distribution of  $(N_A, N_B, \Delta)$ . To work towards an empirically testable theory, we will make the following assumptions on the distribution of  $(N_A, N_B, \Delta)$  and the tails of  $F_A, F_B$ . Empirically, these assumptions are verified in Section 4.

**Assumption 3.** Assume  $(N_A, N_B, \Delta)$  follows a distribution P on  $\{1, \ldots, N\} \times \{1, \ldots, N\} \times \{-N, \ldots, N\}$ , with probability mass function denoted by p, for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Furthermore, assume that market order imbalance  $M_B - M_A$  is proportional to limit order imbalance  $N_A - N_B$  (in the opposed direction), that is,

$$\Delta = M_B - M_A = c(N_A - N_B),$$

almost surely for some  $c \in (0, 1)$  and  $P(\Delta = 0) = 0$  (as the case  $\Delta = 0$  is already considered in Section 3.2). Finally, assume that all possible combinations have positive probability, *i.e.* 

p(n, m, d) > 0, for all  $n, m \in \{1, ..., N\}, d \in \pm \{1, ..., N\}$  such that d = c(n - m).

Eq. (9) states that limit order imbalance points in the opposed direction of market order imbalance, which resembles that limit order submitters adjust their orders to the market order imbalance. This assumption ensures Assumption 2 holds and allows us to incorporate a relation between market and limit order imbalance which is shown in Section 4 to hold approximately in reality (see Fig. 6). The assumption is ultimately justified by good agreement between resulting theoretical tail coefficients and the tails of real-world data, *cf.* Table 3.

**Assumption 4.** Assume  $F_A$ ,  $F_B$  both have power law right tails, that is,

$$1 - F_A(x) \sim T_A = L_A(x)x^{-a_A}, 1 - F_B(x) \sim T_B(x) = L_B(x)x^{-a_B}, \text{ as } x \to \infty,$$

for tail exponents  $a_B > a_A > 0$  and slowly varying functions  $L_A, L_B : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$ .

Under Assumptions 3 and 4, the following theorem (which is proved in the Appendix) describes the tail behaviour of the clearing price distribution in terms of the parameters c (controlling the relation between market and limit order imbalance) and  $a_A$  and  $a_B$  (controlling the heaviness of the tails of the buy and sell limit order placement distribution).

**Theorem 3.8** (Right Tail of the Lower Clearing Price Distribution with Market Orders). Under Assumptions 3 and 4, there exists a slowly varying function  $\underline{L} : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$ , such that

$$\mathbb{P}(X > x) \sim L(x)x^{-\underline{a}}, \text{ as } x \to \infty,$$

where

$$\underline{a} = \min\left(\frac{(c+1)a_A}{c}, a_A + 2a_B\right).$$
(10)

Note that without market order imbalance  $\Delta$  we have by Theorem 3.6  $\underline{a} = a_A + a_B$ . This theorem makes testable predictions about the relation between the tails of the closing price return distribution, the tails of the limit order placement distributions and the limit and market order imbalance. In the next section we will investigate this relation empirically.

#### 4. Empirical results

In this section we investigate empirically the relation between the tails of the closing auction return distributions and the tails of the limit order placement distributions. In order to do so, we obtain detailed order-by-order data over 2018 and 2019, for 100 liquid European stocks (with market capitalization above EUR 1 bn) listed on Euronext exchanges in Amsterdam, Paris, Brussels or Lisbon.

Estimating the tails of a distribution comes with a couple of problems. First, the power law of Eq. (1) is not assumed to hold for all values of *x*, but only for the tail. This necessarily involves a starting point  $x_{\min}$  such that the power law holds for all  $x > x_{\min}$  (see [23] for a discussion). Unfortunately, the eventual estimate for the tail exponent will depend on this cut-off point: if  $x_{\min}$  is taken too small, the bulk instead of the tail will determine the estimates. Then the second problem arises, because the cut-off eliminates most of the available data, leaving only a small fraction of the data available for estimation. Finally, models are often designed to describe only 'generic' situations well and are not intended to explain extreme events. It is a noteworthy advantage of the call auction model of Section 3 that it is suitable to model both the bulk of the data (as in [1]) and extreme events, as in the current paper.

In the past years, considerable progress has been made regarding the validation of power laws and the estimation of tail exponents, using methods based on the Hill estimator (see *e.g.* [24]). These methods are designed to estimate the tail exponent for real power law behaviour in ideal situations and validation of the power law model through goodness-of-fit tests is an important part of these methods. Although auction return distributions display almost ideal power law behaviour (see Fig. 4), the same cannot be said of order placement distributions for individual stocks (see Figs. 2 and 3). Order placement distributions are clearly heavy tailed, but do not display the idealized tail behaviour that standardized estimation methods require. The power law model in its idealized form is misspecified for order placement distributions, and consequently, robustness of said estimation methods cannot be guaranteed in these circumstances. Instead, we apply simple estimation methods for tail exponents based on visual inspection and linear fits on double logarithmic plots, to give a reasonable description of the decay of the tails.

Concerning the amount of data relevant for the tails, in every closing auction a large amount of orders is submitted, so the tails of order placement distributions can be studied per stock. Unfortunately, this is not possible for the closing auction return distribution: per stock, we have only around 500 trading days (two years of around 250 trading days per stock) and thus only that many closing auction returns, which is far insufficient to examine the tails. For example, if we take the 0.05-quantile for the cut-off point  $x_{min}$ , only about 25 data points reside in the tail, which is too few for



**Fig. 2.** Log-log plots of the tails of the order placement distributions for 4 selected stocks (ASML Holding NV, Compagnie de Saint Gobain SA, Signify NV, Ubisoft Entertainment SA). The x-axes show the number of ticks above (for the right tail) or below (for the left tail) the reference price  $x_0$ .

meaningful statistical analysis. So to investigate the tails of the closing auction return distribution, we merge together the closing auction returns of all stocks in the sample.

In the entire section, the reference price  $x_0$  will be the volume weighted average price over the last five minutes of continuous trading. Closing auction returns will be measured in log returns with respect to  $x_0$ . Following [21,25], limit order prices are measured in the number of ticks a limit order is placed away from the reference price  $x_0$ .

#### 4.1. Tails of order placement distributions

The mechanism of the call auction makes it possible to study *both* tails of *both* order placement distributions. In Fig. 2, both tails of the sell limit order distribution  $F_A$  and the buy limit order distribution  $F_B$  are shown in log–log plots, for four stocks that are representative for the sample.

Let us first focus on the right tails, *i.e.* the upper panels (a) and (b) of Fig. 2. The plots of the right tails of  $F_A$  show apparent power law behaviour in the range between 10 and 1000 ticks above the reference price. After circa 1000 ticks the tails decay faster for a while, but starting around 5000 ticks a new part of the distribution seems to start. The plot is cut-off at 10 000 ticks, but some even reach until 100 000 ticks. These extremes do not contribute to price formation in the auction at all. We focus on the interval of the price axis where price formation occurs: the intersection of the supports of  $F_A$  and  $F_B$ . For the right tail that means  $F_B$  provides the effective upper bound (note that the closing price can never take a value above the highest buy order). The support of  $F_B$  ranges until around 1000–2000 ticks above the reference price so that is the region we use in our analysis, roughly in line with the intraday results from [21].<sup>3</sup> Power law behaviour is less clear for  $F_B$ , but in the range of 100 until 1000 ticks power law behaviour can be recognized for the liquid stocks ASML and Saint Gobain. For the less liquid stocks Signify and Ubisoft it stops earlier around 500 ticks, but this can also be due to smaller volumes of available data. The lower panels (c) and (d) of Fig. 2 show the left tails of the order placement distributions. These are very similar to the right tails, when the roles of  $F_A$  and  $F_B$  are switched. Also, on the left side there is a real cut-off point, corresponding to price 0, which is found somewhere between 2000 and 10 000 ticks. In Fig. 3 we zoom in on the right tails of  $F_B$  and  $F_A$  and  $F_B$  are switched. Also, on the left side there is a real cut-off point, corresponding to price 0, which is found somewhere between 2000 and 10 000 ticks. In Fig. 3 we zoom in on the right tails of  $F_B$  and  $F_A$  until around 1000 tick sizes above the reference price and provide linear fits as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The sell orders (far) above this region can be thought of as coming from another distribution describing patient sellers not relevant to the auction result. To sketch how irrelevant those orders are: the tick size of a stock is normally between 1 and 5 basis points. Assuming a tick size of 2.5 basis points, 2000 ticks correspond to a return of 50%, while a closing auction return in the order of 1% is already high.



**Fig. 3.** Log-log plots of the right tails of the order placement distributions for 4 stocks (ASML Holding NV, Compagnie de Saint Gobain SA, Signify NV, Ubisoft Entertainment SA). The *x*-axes show the number of tick sizes above the reference price  $x_0$ . Linear fits are also plotted, fitted on the 0.05-quantile of  $F_B$  until the 0.001-quantile of  $F_B$ , to estimate  $a_B$  and  $a_A$ .

estimators for the values of  $a_A$  and  $a_B$  (the tail exponents of  $F_A$  and  $F_B$  as in Assumption 4). We perform linear least square fits on the log–log plots of the tails of  $F_B$ , starting at its 0.05-quantile. Visual inspection shows that in the extreme tails, available data points are too sparse to form a coherent picture. So we stop the fit at the 0.001-quantile of  $F_B$ , which seems reasonable when inspecting the plots and we make fits for  $F_A$  on the same interval.<sup>4</sup> For example for ASML, we obtain  $a_A \approx 1.07$ ,  $a_B \approx 2.37$ , fitted on the interval of 168 until 862 tick sizes. For all four stocks,  $F_A$  shows a straight, slowly decaying line, resembling a power law with exponents around or even below 1. Furthermore, the tails of  $F_B$  decay faster than the tails of  $F_A$ , with exponents between 2 and 4 (more results are discussed in Section 4.4).

#### 4.2. Tails of closing auction return distributions

For every stock *i* and day  $1 \le d \le n$  we have a closing auction return  $X_{i,d}$ , defined as

$$X_{i,d} = \log(C^{i,d}) - \log(x_0^{i,d}),$$

where  $C^{i,d}$  is the closing price of stock *i* on day *d* and  $x_0^{i,d}$  is the reference price of stock *i* on day *d*. Following *e.g.* [4] we standardize the returns per stock. That is, we divide for every stock *i* the return sample  $\{X_{i,d} : 1 \le d \le n\}$  by its standard deviation and obtain a sample of standardized returns of size  $n \approx 500$ . These samples are all merged together into one large sample to study the tails of the closing auction return distributions. In Fig. 4 the right and left tails of the return distribution are shown in log–log plots, showing clear power law behaviour from 2 up to 10 standard deviations for both tails. Linear least square fits are also shown (starting the fit at 2 standard deviations), giving tail exponents a = 5.28 for the left tail and a = 4.74 for the right tail.

This suggests closing auction returns are less heavy tailed than intraday returns over short time intervals, for which a tail exponent  $a \approx 3$  is widely supported in the literature (see *e.g.* [4]). This difference might be explained in qualitative terms by the large transacted volumes in the closing auctions. It is known that tails of return distributions become thinner when longer time intervals are considered, an effect that is known as *aggregational Gaussianity* (the empirical fact that return distributions converge to normal distributions when the interval length increases, see *e.g.* [26]). This is theoretically supported by the call auction model: the clearing price distribution approaches a normal distribution, when the number of orders tends to infinity (see [1], theorem 3.1). Moreover, the empirical effect is known to be stronger if time intervals

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> These choices are somewhat arbitrary, but cut-off choices need to be made in any practical tail analysis (see [23]) and moreover, results do not change substantially when we extend the fit to *e.g.* the 0.0001-quantile, or *e.g.* start the fit at the 0.01-quantile.



**Fig. 4.** Log-log plot of the tails of the closing auction return distribution for all 100 stocks in our sample. Blue dots show the right tail, that is P(X > x), red squares the left tail, that is P(X < -x), the x-axis is in standardized returns. Linear fits are also plotted, giving a tail exponent of a = 4.74 for the right tail and a = 5.28 for the left tail.

are measured in trade time [27]. In Europe nowadays around 30% of the daily volume is transacted in the closing auction, which makes the duration of the closing auction in trade time similar to approximately half a day of continuous trading.<sup>5</sup>

In order to compare auction returns with continuous trading returns, Fig. 5 shows log–log plots of the right tails of two different intraday return distributions: the distribution of returns over the last five minutes of continuous trading (for comparison in physical time) and the distribution of returns over the last interval of continuous trading in which the transacted volume is equal to the auction volume (for comparison in transaction time). As before, returns are first standardized per stock and then merged together. Aggregational Gaussianity is indeed observed, as the tail for the volume-based interval clearly differs from a clean power law, while the five minute return distribution has a clear power law tail. Comparison with the right tail in Fig. 4 makes clear that the closing auction return distribution has a less heavy tail than the last five minute intraday return distribution, but a heavier tail than the distribution of returns over intervals with volume equal to the auction volume. Hence, the large volumes that are transacted in the closing auction may indeed explain in part why closing auction return distributions are less heavy tailed than intraday five minute return distributions. However, the analysis shows that this volume-based explanation cannot account for all differences between closing auction returns and continuous trading returns, which are undoubtedly also due to differences in their underlying microstructure.

#### 4.3. The effect of market orders

Before we study the influence of market orders on the tail behaviour of closing auction return distributions, we first investigate the relation between the market order imbalance and the limit order imbalance. In Fig. 6 the market order imbalance  $\Delta = M_B - M_A$  in every closing auction is plotted against the limit order imbalance  $N_A - N_B$  in that closing auction, for the four stocks that were also studied in Section 4.1 (note that all these quantities are measured in total volume of orders, not number of orders as in the model, where all orders have unit size). The figure shows that the proportionality relation between  $\Delta$  and  $N_A - N_B$  introduced in Eq. (9) holds approximately, with values of *c* in the range 0.2–0.4, estimated using linear least square regression. This means that *limit order imbalance is generally in the opposite direction of market order imbalance.* An explanation for this lies in the chronology of the closing auction, revealing the market order imbalance early in the auction (during the accumulation phase of the auction, information on the imbalance and an indicative price is released, so it is possible to act on this information, see also Section 2). Subsequently, limit orders are placed against the direction of the market order imbalance, reflecting strategic behaviour: when there is a large positive

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The fraction of daily transacted volume that is transacted in closing auctions has increased greatly over the past years, especially since the introduction of MiFID II, see [28].



**Fig. 5.** Log-log plot of the right tails of continuous trading return distributions for all 100 stocks in our sample. Blue dots show the tail of the distribution of returns over the last five minutes of continuous trading, red squares show the tail of the distribution over the last interval of continuous trading in which a total volume is transacted that is equal to the auction volume (in the rare case that the closing auction volume is higher than the intraday volume, the open-to-close return is taken, to exclude overnight effects). Linear fits are also plotted, giving a tail exponent of a = 3.96 for the five minute returns and a = 4.93 for the volume-based interval returns.

market order imbalance (more buy market orders than sell market orders), one can submit a (possibly large) sell order without adversely affecting the price.

Next, we will investigate the effect of market orders on the tail exponents. Consider Fig. 7, where two auction results are shown. Supply and demand curves are represented by the solid lines and the point of intersection is the closing price, indicated by the black star. When market orders are removed, translated supply and demand curves (plotted by the dashed lines) lead to an alternative closing price, represented by the black square. The upper panel shows a situation in which a large positive closing auction return is caused by a high market order imbalance. When the market order imbalance would be removed, the closing price would be much lower (black square). The lower panel shows a very different situation: a small positive closing auction return, but a strongly negative market order imbalance. If in this case the market order imbalance would be removed, the closing auction return would get much higher (black square).

The two scenarios presented in Fig. 7 raise the question which is more common: are large closing auction returns caused by large market order imbalances or is this potential effect cancelled by limit order imbalance and are limit orders usually the driver of large returns? To answer this question, we also investigate the tails of the return distribution of the *alternative closing price*, defined as the intersection point of the supply and demand curves when the market orders are removed (black squares in Fig. 7). So, for every stock *i* and day *d* we have an alternative closing auction return  $\tilde{X}_{i,d}$ , defined as

$$\tilde{X}_{i,d} = \log(\tilde{C}^{i,d}) - \log(x_0^{i,d}),$$

where  $\tilde{C}_{i,d}$  is the alternative closing price of stock *i* on day *d*. We again standardize these returns per stock, giving for every stock around 500 alternative closing auction returns, which are merged to study the tails. In Fig. 8 the tails of the alternative closing price return distribution are shown, together with the tails of the real closing price return distribution from Fig. 4. The figure shows that the tails become *heavier* when market orders are removed. For the right tail we document a tail exponent a = 3.75 without market orders, compared to a = 4.74 with market orders. For the left tail, the tail exponent becomes a = 3.9 when market orders are removed, compared to the value a = 5.28 when market orders are included.

It is thus concluded that large closing price fluctuations are in general not caused by a large market order imbalance (at least, not directly). The explanation for this counter-intuitive result lies in the chronology of the auction and the placement of limit orders: when the market order imbalance is positive (negative), there are more sell (buy) limit orders submitted (*cf.* Fig. 6). Theorems 3.6 and 3.8 give the model's view on the matter and state that without market orders the tail exponent is equal to  $a_A + a_B$  and with market orders it is equal to  $\min(\frac{(c+1)a_A}{c}, a_A + 2a_B)$ . This means that tails get



**Fig. 6.** The difference  $N_A - N_B$  plotted against the market order imbalance  $\Delta$ , showing limit order imbalance goes against the direction of market order imbalance. The dashed red line is the result of linear least square regression, to estimate the value of *c* in Eq. (9), which is the slope of the dashed red line (outliers of more than four standard deviations away from the mean are removed).

heavier without market orders, whenever

$$c \le \frac{a_A}{a_B}.$$
(11)

This equation in fact resembles two conditions that should be fulfilled to make it possible that tails are heavier without market orders (see also Eq. (2)). First, *c* should be small and positive, reflecting that the abovementioned strategic behaviour is strong: when there is a large market order imbalance, in general the limit order difference overcompensates for this. Second,  $a_B$  should not be too large compared to  $a_A$ . This is a condition on the right tail of the buy limit order distribution. Without market orders, the highest buy limit order serves as an upper bound for the closing price. So to obtain heavier tails without market orders, the right tail of  $F_B$  should be sufficiently heavy (small  $a_B$ ). It turns out that condition (11) is indeed satisfied for most of the stocks: for example, for ASML we obtained estimators  $a_B \approx 2.37$ ,  $a_A \approx 1.07$ ,  $c \approx 0.329$  (*cf.* Figs. 3 and 6), satisfying the condition in Eq. (11). Indeed, Theorems 3.6 and 3.8 imply that the tail exponent for closing auction returns of ASML is  $a_A + a_B = 3.44$  without market orders and  $\frac{(c+1)a_A}{c} = 4.32$  with market orders. In the next subsection we will verify the theoretical results on the whole sample consisting of 100 stocks.

#### 4.4. Model-predicted and realized tail exponents compared

In this subsection the relations predicted by the model are tested over the whole sample of 100 stocks. For every stock we estimate the tail exponents of the order placement distributions ( $a_A$  and  $a_B$ ) and the value of the parameter c (as in Eq. (9)). The results are shown in Table 1 (for 50 stocks with the lowest market capitalizations) and Table 2 (for 50 stocks with the highest market capitalizations). To estimate the parameter c, we use linear least squares regression and to estimate the values of  $a_A$  and  $a_B$  we use the method described in Section 4.1: for every stock, we make linear least square fits on double logarithmic plots as in Fig. 3, on the interval between the 0.05- and 0.001-quantiles of  $F_B$ . The absolute values of the resulting slopes are the estimators for  $a_A$  and  $a_B$ . For example, for ASML we obtain in this way estimators





**Fig. 7.** Two closing auction results. Solid lines are the supply (red) and demand (blue) curves of the particular closing auction, including market orders (for convenience sell (buy) market orders are placed just below (above) the lowest sell (highest buy) limit order). Dashed lines show the supply and demand curves without market orders. The black dot denotes the reference price  $x_0$ , the black star denotes the closing price and the black square denotes the alternative price when only limit orders are considered.

 $a_B \approx 2.37$ ,  $a_A \approx 1.07$  and for Ubisoft we find  $a_B \approx 3.63$ ,  $a_A \approx 0.58$ , *cf.* Fig. 3. In Tables 1 and 2 the results are shown for all stocks in the sample, the columns  $a_B(r)$  and  $a_A(r)$  give the estimated tail exponents for the right tails of  $F_B$  and  $F_A$ . For the left tails, the same method applies when the roles of  $F_B$  and  $F_A$  are interchanged. On the left side,  $F_B$  has a heavier tail and  $F_A$  provides the effective lower bound.

In Fig. 9 the left tails of the order placement distributions are shown for ASML and Ubisoft, as well as the linear least square fits, showing that for the left tails  $a_A \approx 2.50$ ,  $a_B \approx 1.17$  for ASML and  $a_A \approx 2.81$ ,  $a_B \approx 0.87$  for Ubisoft. In Tables 1 and 2 the columns  $a_B(l)$  and  $a_A(l)$  give the estimated tail exponents for the left tails of  $F_B$  and  $F_A$ . Estimates for  $a_A$ ,  $a_B$  and c give rise to an estimate for the tail exponent  $\underline{a}$  for the return distribution of that particular stock. With market orders  $\underline{a} = \min(\frac{(c+1)a_A}{c}, a_A + 2a_B)$  (cf. Theorem 3.8) and without market orders  $\underline{a} = a_A + a_B$  (cf. Theorem 3.6).<sup>6</sup> Ideally, we would test these predictions against the realized tail exponents of the return distribution for every stock. However, as noted in the beginning of this section, this is not possible, because we only have around 500 closing auction returns per stock. Instead, we can verify the predictions over groups of stocks, by comparing estimated tail coefficients with the model's average predicted values.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Note that for the left tails the roles of  $a_A$  and  $a_B$  need to be interchanged (see also Remark 3.2).



Fig. 8. Log-log plots of the tails of the closing auction return distribution for all 100 stocks in the sample. Blue dots show the tails for the real closing auction return distribution, red dots the tails for the alternative closing auction returns that emerge when market orders are removed.



**Fig. 9.** Log-log plots of the left tails of the order placement distributions for ASML Holding NV and Ubisoft Entertainment SA. The x-axis shows the number of tick sizes above the reference price  $x_0$ . Linear fits are also plotted, fitted on the 0.05-quantile of  $F_A$  until the 0.001-quantile of  $F_A$ , to estimate  $a_B$  and  $a_A$ .

First, consider the whole sample of 100 stocks. In Fig. 8 it was shown that the right tail of the closing price return distribution has an estimated tail exponent of a = 4.74, which changes to a = 3.75 if market orders are removed. If we take the average of the model's predictions over all 100 stocks, we find an average predicted tail exponent of 4.89 with market orders (column ' $\underline{a}(r)$  MO' in Tables 1 and 2) and 3.89 without market orders (column ' $\underline{a}(r)$  no MO' in Tables 1 and 2) and 3.89 without market orders (column ' $\underline{a}(r)$  no MO' in Tables 1 and 2). Furthermore, Fig. 8 shows that the left tail of the closing price return distribution has an estimated tail exponent of a = 5.28, which changes to a = 3.90 if the market orders (column ' $\underline{a}(l)$  MO' in Tables 1 and 2). The predicted tail exponents vary a lot between the different stocks, suggesting that the heaviness of the tails depends on the stock. To additionally test if these per stock predictions give information about the real tail exponents, we split our sample into 50 stocks with the lowest market caps (those in Table 1) and 50 stocks with the highest market caps (Table 2). In that way, the groups are kept large enough to examine the tails of the closing auction return distributions.

In Fig. 10 the tails of the closing auction return distribution for the 50 small caps and the 50 large caps are shown in double logarithmic plots, again with and without market orders (similar to Fig. 8). The linear fits to the double logarithmic plots are the realized tail exponents for the both groups, which can again be compared to the average predicted values in Tables 1 and 2. The results are summarized in Table 3, showing first of all that the model's predicted exponents are

#### Table 1

Table of results, for the 50 stocks in our sample with the lowest market cap. The column Exch. displays the exchange the stock is traded on (Amsterdam, Paris, Brussels or Lisbon) and the column Mcap shows the market capitalization of the stock in billions of euros (in October 2020). Then,  $a_A$  and  $a_B$  are the estimated tail exponents of sell and buy limit order distributions, for the left (1) and right (r) tail. *c* is the estimator for the constant in Eq. (9) and  $\underline{a} = a_A + a_B$  without market orders (no MO), and  $\underline{a} = \min(\frac{(c+1)a_A}{c}, a_A + 2a_B)$  with market orders (MO), both displayed for left (1) and right (r) tails.

Stock	Exch.	Mcap	$a_A(1)$	$a_B(1)$	$a_A(\mathbf{r})$	$a_B(\mathbf{r})$	С	<u>a</u> (l) no MO	<u>a</u> (1) MO	<u>a</u> (r) no MO	<u>a</u> (r) MO
ASM INTL	AMS	6.7	3.312	1.154	0.717	3.377	0.127	4.466	7.778	4.094	6.357
AALBERTS	AMS	3.8	3.178	0.763	1.207	3.301	0.174	3.941	5.135	4.508	7.808
WDP REIT	BRU	5.2	3.142	3.279	1.739	3.032	0.113	6.421	9.562	4.771	7.804
REXEL	PAR	3.2	2.105	1.782	1.465	2.626	0.184	3.887	5.992	4.091	6.718
EURONEXT	PAR	6.8	3,319	1.943	1.918	3,229	0.164	5.262	8.582	5.146	8.375
IMCD GROUP	AMS	6.0	3.328	0.972	1.859	3.016	0.158	4.300	7.118	4.875	7.892
SIGNIFY	AMS	46	3 485	1 181	0.888	3 690	0.252	4 666	5 866	4 579	4 4 1 3
AITEN	PAR	2.8	3 4 4 3	1 476	1 017	2 420	0.137	4 9 1 9	8 362	3 4 3 7	5 857
BIC	PAR	19	3 025	1.644	0.916	3 820	0.137	4 669	6720	4736	3746
FUTFI SAT COM	PAR	1.0	2 969	0 993	0.510	3 752	0.521	3 962	5 972	4 4 2 9	4 068
	PAR	8.5	1 534	0.555	0.077	2 996	0.201	2 504	4.038	3 7 3 5	6.461
FURAZEO	DAR	22	1.554	1 953	1 5 3 6	3 0 2 8	0.123	6.464	10.076	5.755	0.303
AECON		5.0	1 552	0.901	0.421	2.561	0.154	2 252	2 005	2.907	3,333
		10.0	1.332	1.011	0.421	2.301	0.15	2.333	5.305	4.502	3.220
RANDSTAD	ANG	10.0	2,303	1.011	0.364	2,942	0.207	3.373	5.750	4.520	3.415 4.166
VIEDIEDDE DEIT	DAD	0.4	2.0/1	1.150	0.959	2 2 1 7	0.291	4.201	2754	2 9 2 0	4.100
CLE7		5.5	5.242 1.410	1.010	0.303	2.21/	0.571	4.239	2.734	3.020	1.030
	LIC	9.9	1.410	0.000	1 420	2.300	0.230	2.201	5.097	5.512	3.015
GALP ENERGIA		0.8	4.407	2.1/3	1.458	3.977	0.484	6.640	0.003	5.415	4.410
AKKEMA	PAK	7.2	4.292	1.231	1.354	4.441	0.278	5.522	5.659	5.795	6.225
	PAR	5.2	3.400	3.485	2.230	3.279	0.304	6.884	10.284	5.509	8./88
ICADE REIT	PAR	3.4	4.179	1.649	1.3/1	3.499	0.231	5.828	8.798	4.870	7.314
IPSEN	PAR	6.5	2.515	1.463	0.844	2.594	0.200	3.978	6.493	3.439	5.072
ORPEA	PAR	5.9	1.605	0.786	0.987	1.683	0.126	2.391	3.997	2.671	4.354
SCOR	PAR	4.5	3.363	1.4/5	0.941	3.944	0.378	4.837	5.3/2	4.885	3.427
GETLINK	PAR	6.4	1.910	1.341	1.166	3.294	0.148	3.251	5.161	4.460	7.753
J.MARTINS SGPS	LIS	9.2	4.043	1.022	1.027	3.621	0.276	5.065	4.730	4.648	4.752
DASSAULT AVIAT	PAR	6.3	4.021	1.155	0.764	4.088	0.282	5.176	5.247	4.852	3.471
EDENRED	PAR	10.1	3.832	2.070	2.352	3.763	0.282	5.902	9.419	6.115	9.879
PUBLICIS GROUPE	PAR	7.6	2.600	1.251	0.704	3.221	0.390	3.851	4.462	3.925	2.509
ATOS	PAR	7.5	2.323	0.834	0.499	2.449	0.267	3.157	3.959	2.948	2.368
JCDECAUX	PAR	2.9	3.682	1.578	1.177	3.845	0.229	5.260	8.482	5.022	6.323
EIFFAGE	PAR	6.9	4.086	1.324	1.785	4.083	0.248	5.410	6.656	5.868	8.973
GECINA	PAR	7.8	2.988	2.394	2.004	2.928	0.321	5.382	8.370	4.932	7.860
NATIXIS	PAR	6.5	0.763	0.513	0.524	1.672	0.155	1.276	2.040	2.196	3.868
SES FDR	PAR	3.0	3.138	0.649	0.905	3.218	0.186	3.786	4.132	4.123	5.768
SEB	PAR	7.6	3.998	1.284	0.998	3.807	0.215	5.282	7.246	4.805	5.629
UBISOFT	PAR	10.2	2.814	0.870	0.578	3.635	0.204	3.684	5.136	4.213	3.409
ALSTOM	PAR	9.4	1.988	0.715	0.866	2.955	0.279	2.703	3.280	3.821	3.975
TECHNIPFMC	PAR	2.6	1.113	0.729	0.552	2.478	0.217	1.842	2.955	3.029	3.097
ACCOR	PAR	5.9	2.384	0.677	0.645	3.079	0.251	3.061	3.374	3.724	3.215
VEOLIA	PAR	9.8	1.476	0.838	0.484	2.598	0.267	2.314	3.791	3.083	2.296
COLRUYT	BRU	7.3	3.340	1.373	1.245	3.390	0.318	4.713	5.689	4.635	5.159
AGEAS	BRU	6.7	2.408	1.115	1.254	2.735	0.321	3.524	4.585	3.989	5.155
SOLVAY	BRU	8.0	1.866	0.856	0.440	1.241	0.193	2.722	4.589	1.681	2.725
UMICORE	BRU	8.9	2.428	0.834	0.486	2.003	0.314	3.262	3.490	2.489	2.033
PROXIMUS	BRU	5.2	2.618	0.845	0.666	2.820	0.271	3.463	3.964	3.486	3.126
ABN AMRO BANK	AMS	6.9	1.989	1.216	0.627	3.004	0.195	3.205	5.194	3.631	3.838
CNP ASSURANCES	PAR	7.3	3.899	1.615	2.046	2.756	0.381	5.514	5.855	4.802	7.418
UNIBAIL RODAMCO	AMS	5.7	1.983	1.368	1.052	1.947	0.390	3.351	4.876	2.999	3.750
SODEXO	PAR	8.8	3.559	1.852	1.696	3.668	0.245	5.411	8.970	5.364	8.629
Average	-	6.4	2.84	1.30	1.06	3.12	0.24	4.14	5.76	4.17	5.19
0											

quite close to the realized exponents. Given that estimation of tails (and tail exponents in particular) is generally thought of as a difficult statistical problem, the congruence is quite remarkable. Second, based on the modelling assumption in Eq. (9), the model predicts correctly that the tails get heavier if market orders are removed, and by how much. The theoretical predictions are especially accurate for the case without market orders, which is not surprising: Theorem 3.6 holds very generally and follows directly from the mechanics of the closing auction. For the case with market orders, more assumptions were made (see Assumption 3). Most importantly, we assumed Eq. (9) holds true, which of course in reality holds only approximately (see also Fig. 6). When looking at Tables 1 and 2, the predictions for the case with market orders vary strongly between the stocks. We do not claim that the most extreme values that are predicted are close to reality, but we have shown that, on average, model predicted and realized tail exponents match remarkably well.

#### Table 2

Table of results, for the 50 stocks in our sample with the highest market cap. The column Exch. displays the exchange the stock is traded on (Amsterdam, Paris, Brussels or Lisbon) and the column Mcap shows the market capitalization of the stock in billions of euros (in October 2020). Then,  $a_A$  and  $a_B$  are the estimated tail exponents of sell and buy limit order distributions, for the left (l) and right (r) tail. *c* is the estimator for the constant in Eq. (9) and  $\underline{a} = a_A + a_B$  without market orders (no MO), and  $\underline{a} = \min(\frac{(c+1)a_A}{c}, a_A + 2a_B)$  with market orders (MO), both displayed for left (l) and right (r) tails.

AMUNIN         PAR         12.3         2.066         1.544         0.816         2.496         0.110         3.610         5.767         3.311         5.807           NN GROUP         AMS         10.9         3.187         1.051         1.010         3.777         0.376         4.238         3.847         4.807         3.698           SARTORIUS         PAR         2.82         2.288         1.069         1.449         2.474         0.280         3.847         4.807         3.836           SARTORIUS         PAR         13.2         2.386         1.001         1.131         2.902         0.241         3.386         2.764         4.034         5.817           POLVICUES         PAR         18.16         1.326         0.777         0.875         2.234         0.336         2.451         4.518         3.849         3.833           AHOD DEL         AMS         2.66         1.334         0.777         0.875         2.234         0.336         2.662         3.670         3.859         5.268           ASKD NOBEL         AMS         2.497         1.165         2.389         1.032         0.6616         5.601         4.539         4.4866           IENN KON	Stock	Exch.	Мсар	$a_A(1)$	$a_B(1)$	$a_A(\mathbf{r})$	$a_B(\mathbf{r})$	С	<u>a</u> (l) no MO	<u>a</u> (l) MO	<u>a</u> (r) no MO	<u>a</u> (r) MO
BIOMERIUX ORD         PAR         16.4         2.815         1.595         2.294         3.312         0.247         4.410         7.225         5.606         8.917           NN GROUP         PAR         28.9         2.928         1.069         1.449         2.474         0.280         3.997         4.807         3.638           VORUDUR         PAR         13.2         2.368         1.001         1.131         2.902         0.241         3.386         5.146         4.034         5.819           EDP         LIS         18.0         3.236         0.710         1.143         3.683         0.341         3.946         2.9703         4.727         4.106           BOUYCUIS         PAR         11.7         2.002         1.124         0.573         2.442         0.331         3.425         4.518         3.405         3.833           AND DEL         AMS         2.667         1.256         0.070         2.294         3.083         3.522         A.561         4.518         3.442         4.334           DSM LODING         AMS         1.343         1.151         1.301         1.202         4.506         0.318         5.177         4.573         3.426           D	AMUNDI	PAR	12.3	2.066	1.544	0.816	2,496	0.110	3.610	5.676	3.311	5.807
NN GROUP         AMS         10.9         3.187         1.051         1.010         3.797         0.376         4.238         3.847         4.807         3.6988           SARTORUS         PAR         13.2         2.386         1.001         1.131         2.902         0.241         3.386         2.145         4.004         5.819           EDP         LIS         18.0         3.235         0.710         1.043         6.588         0.341         3.346         2.793         4.727         4.106           DUVICUES         PAR         16.1         3.869         1.0495         2.424         0.331         3.425         4.518         3.403         3.883         3.442         4.334           DUVICUES         PAR         16.6         1.334         0.727         0.875         2.234         0.336         2.061         4.504         3.442         4.334           AKZO NOBEL         AMS         2.467         1.190         2.669         0.292         4.616         5.601         4.539         4.538         0.329         3.662         4.704         3.442         4.334           DSM KON         AMS         2.497         1.316         3.333         0.319         2.411	BIOMERIEUX ORD	PAR	16.4	2.815	1.595	2.294	3.312	0.247	4.410	7.225	5.606	8.917
SARTORUIS         PAR         28.92         2.928         1.069         1.449         2.474         0.280         3.997         4.852         3.923         6.337           WORDLDINE         PAR         13.2         2.386         1.001         1.131         2.920         0.241         3.386         5.146         4.034         5.819           EDP         LIS         18.0         3.236         0.710         1.043         3.683         0.341         3.346         4.707         4.106           ELEPERFORMANCE         PAR         11.7         2.302         1.234         0.237         0.351         2.4518         3.405         3.833           AHOLD DEL         AMS         2.667         1.344         0.352         2.669         0.222         4.599         7.276         3.859         5.288           ASML HOLDING         AMS         2.47         1.165         2.369         1.032         0.362         4.616         5.601         4.334         4.866           DAN KON         AMS         2.47         1.344         1.067         0.419         2.333         0.139         2.471         3.434         4.979         5.391           DNICKON         AMS         3.473	NN GROUP	AMS	10.9	3,187	1.051	1.010	3,797	0.376	4.238	3.847	4.807	3.698
WORDLINE         PAR         13.2         2.386         1.001         1.13         2.902         0.241         3.386         5.146         4.044         5.819           EDP         LIS         18.0         3.256         0.710         1.043         6.80         0.341         5.731         4.601         5.266         9.276           BOUYCUES         PAR         11.7         2.302         1.124         0.953         2.452         0.331         3.425         4.518         3.405         3.833           AKDA NOBEL         AMS         1.67         2.678         1.620         2.061         2.960         3.108         3.512         4.516         5.601         4.539         4.839         4.839         4.839         4.839         4.836           SMK HOLDING         AMS         2.477         1.344         1.067         0.419         2.333         0.139         2.411         3.755         2.752         3.428           ING GROP         AMS         3.75         3.712         0.498         8.843         3.660         3.18         3.262         2.063         4.503         3.662           UNILEVER         AMS         1.87.7         2.929         0.619         1.412	SARTORIUS	PAR	28.9	2.928	1.069	1.449	2.474	0.280	3.997	4.892	3.923	6.397
EDP         LIS         18.0         3.236         0.710         1.0.43         3.683         0.341         3.946         2.731         9.701         5.266         9.276           BOUYCUIPS         PAR         11.7         2.302         1.124         0.933         2.452         0.331         3.425         4.518         3.405         3.833           AHOLD DEL         AMS         2.661         1.344         0.727         0.875         2.224         0.326         2.061         2.960         3.108         3.552           AKON NOREL         AMS         17.7         2.678         1.927         0.879         3.262         4.500         4.501         4.334           DSM KON         AMS         2.407         1.161         1.146         3.831         0.312         4.616         5.601         4.539         4.839           PHILIPS KON         AMS         2.43         1.317         1.323         0.179         0.322         3.548         2.454         4.297         5.818           WOLTERS KLIWER         AMS         133.3         3.473         1.417         1.823         2.997         0.244         4.891         7.35         4.420         7.818           UNILEVER	WORLDLINE	PAR	13.2	2.386	1.001	1.131	2.902	0.241	3.386	5.146	4.034	5.819
TELEPERFORMANCE         PAR         16.1         3869         1862         12.56         4.010         0.134         5.731         9.601         5.266         9.276           BOUYGUES         PAR         11.7         2.021         11.24         0.953         2.245         0.331         3.425         4.518         3.406         3.833           AHOLDDEL         AMS         2.66         1.334         0.727         0.875         2.244         0.326         2.960         3.108         3.552           AKZO NOBEL         AMS         1.83         1.921         1.190         2.669         0.292         4.599         7.276         3.859         5.268           ANL HOLING         AMS         1.847         1.921         1.180         2.381         0.312         4.616         5.601         4.539         4.566           INK CROP         AMS         2.47         1.344         1.067         0.419         2.333         0.139         2.411         3.755         2.752         3.428           INK CROP         AMS         3.87         2.929         0.344         4.891         7.235         4.820         7.817           DANONE         PAR         3.46         2.195	EDP	LIS	18.0	3.236	0.710	1.043	3.683	0.341	3.946	2,793	4.727	4.106
DOUYCUTS         PAR         11.7         2.302         11.24         0.953         2.452         0.331         3.425         4.518         3.405         3.833           AHOLD DEL         AMS         12.6         1.344         0.727         0.875         2.244         0.326         2.061         2.960         3.108         3.552           ASML HOLDING         AMS         13.83         2.497         1.165         2.369         1.073         0.329         3.662         4.704         3.442         4.334           DSM KON         AMS         2.497         1.101         1.146         3.831         0.312         4.616         5.601         4.539         4.866           HEINEKEN         AMS         45.4         3.917         1.010         1.146         3.831         0.312         4.616         5.601         4.539         4.866           VINLIEVER         AMS         13.7         3.129         0.498         0.884         3.566         0.318         3.622         2.063         4.450         3.663         3.663         3.673         3.642         2.073         3.642         2.063         4.450         3.666         3.073         2.642           UNILEVER         AMS	TELEPERFORMANCE	PAR	16.1	3.869	1.862	1.256	4.010	0.134	5.731	9.601	5.266	9.276
AHOLD DEL         AMS         26.6         1334         0727         0.875         2.234         0.326         2.061         2.960         3.108         3.552           AKZO NOBEL         AMS         17.7         2.678         1.921         1.190         2.669         0.222         4.599         7.276         3.859         5.268           ANL HOLDING         AMS         18.3         3.283         1.133         1.158         3.381         0.312         4.616         5.601         4.334         4.334           DSM KON         AMS         42.4         3.317         1.101         1.146         3.383         0.270         5.018         5.177         4.979         5.391           ING GROEP         AMS         3.47         1.417         1.829         2.305         0.313         4.262         2.033         4.262         2.448         2.450         3.428         7.817           DANONE         PAR         3.46         2.195         1.112         0.761         2.312         0.404         3.807         3.862         3.073         2.642           BNP PARIBAS ACTA         PAR         40.2         1.326         0.71         0.536         2.133         0.223         2.048	BOUYGUES	PAR	11.7	2.302	1.124	0.953	2.452	0.331	3.425	4.518	3.405	3.833
KKZO NOBEL         ANS         177         2678         1921         1190         2669         0.292         4599         7.276         3859         5.288           ASML HOLDING         AMS         183.3         2.497         1.165         2.369         1073         0.329         3.662         4704         3.442         4.334           DSM KON         AMS         24.4         3.233         1.158         3.381         0.312         4.616         5.601         4.539         4.866           HEINEKEN         AMS         24.4         3.917         1.101         1.146         3.833         0.270         5.018         5.177         4.979         5.391           ING GKOEP         AMS         23.75         3.129         0.498         0.884         3.566         0.318         3.622         3.073         2.642           WOLTERS KLUWER         AMS         138.7         0.219         0.498         0.548         1.160         1.738         2.823         2.442         2.769         3.462         7.817           DANONE         PAR         3.46         2.195         1.12         0.761         8.187         0.321         1.438         2.178         3.420         2.441         <	AHOLD DEL	AMS	26.6	1.334	0.727	0.875	2.234	0.326	2.061	2.960	3.108	3.562
ASML HOLDING       AMS       138.3       2.497       1.65       2.369       1.073       0.329       3.652       4.704       3.442       4.334         DSM KON       AMS       2.49       3.283       1.333       1.158       3.81       0.312       4.616       5.601       4.539       4.866         HEINEKEN       AMS       45.4       3.917       1.101       1.146       3.833       0.270       5.018       5.177       4.979       5.391         ING GKOEP       AMS       42.47       1.344       1.067       0.419       2.333       0.139       2.411       3.755       2.752       3.428         UNILEVER       AMS       138.7       2.929       0.619       1.417       2.879       0.322       3.2648       2.453       4.250       7.817         DANONE       PAR       40.2       1.326       0.711       0.665       2.133       0.221       2.044       4.891       7.235       4.820       7.817         DANONE       PAR       40.2       1.366       0.740       0.659       0.548       1.878       0.321       1.438       2.178       2.426       2.253       0.244       2.869       2.712         SOCIETE GEN	AKZO NOBEL	AMS	17.7	2.678	1.921	1.190	2.669	0.292	4.599	7.276	3.859	5.268
DSM KON         AMS         24.9         3283         1.333         1.158         3.381         0.312         6.161         5.601         4.579         4.579         5.391           INC GROEP         AMS         24.41         3.017         1.014         1.146         3.833         0.270         5.018         5.177         4.979         5.391           INC GROEP         AMS         23.7         3.129         0.498         0.884         3.566         0.318         3.626         2.063         4.450         3.666           UNILEYER         AMS         13.3.7         1.417         1.823         2.997         0.244         4.891         7.235         4.820         7.817           DANONE         PAR         3.61         0.740         0.698         0.548         1.878         0.321         1.438         2.178         2.424         2.253           SOCIETE CENERALE         PAR         10.2         0.815         0.223         0.333         2.0161         1.738         2.553         2.444         2.771         1.768         2.454         2.869         2.424         2.879         2.4454         2.869         2.421         2.860         2.421         2.860         2.4216         2.869 </td <td>ASML HOLDING</td> <td>AMS</td> <td>138.3</td> <td>2.497</td> <td>1.165</td> <td>2.369</td> <td>1.073</td> <td>0.329</td> <td>3.662</td> <td>4.704</td> <td>3.442</td> <td>4.334</td>	ASML HOLDING	AMS	138.3	2.497	1.165	2.369	1.073	0.329	3.662	4.704	3.442	4.334
HEINEKEN         AMS         45.4         39.7         1.101         1.146         38.33         0.270         50.18         5.177         4.979         5.391           ING GROEP         AMS         24.7         1.344         1.067         0.419         2.333         0.139         2.411         3.755         2.752         3.428           PHILPS KON         AMS         13.8.7         2.929         0.619         1.417         2.879         0.322         3.548         2.543         4.297         5.818           WOLTERS KUWER         AMS         13.3         3.473         1.417         1.823         2.997         0.322         3.548         2.543         4.297         5.818           DANONE         PAR         3.46         2.195         1.112         0.761         2.312         0.044         4.891         7.235         4.820         3.424         2.769         3.424         2.782         3.432         2.778         2.426         2.253         5.0017         1.063         3.201         0.685         1.203         3.211         1.986         2.223         3.760         5.833           SANOF         PAR         163.0         3.201         0.402         2.0160         0.3133	DSM KON	AMS	24.9	3.283	1.333	1.158	3.381	0.312	4.616	5.601	4.539	4.866
INC GOREP         AMS         24.7         1.34         1.067         0.419         2.333         0.139         2.411         3.755         2.752         3.428           PHILIPS KON         AMS         138.7         2.929         0.691         1.417         2.879         0.224         3.546         2.063         4.450         3.666           WOLTERS KUUWER         AMS         138.7         2.929         0.619         1.417         2.879         0.244         4.891         7.235         4.820         7.817           DANONE         PAR         34.62         1.12         0.761         2.312         0.0443         3.007         3.862         3.073         2.642         2.253           SANA         PAR         40.2         1.326         0.711         0.636         2.133         0.223         3.098         3.242         2.769         3.496           XAN         PAR         163.0         3.201         0.685         1.209         2.552         0.270         3.886         3.222         3.760         5.681           SANOFI         PAR         108.1         1.245         0.741         0.790         2.800         0.411         1.986         3.222         3.760 <td< td=""><td>HEINEKEN</td><td>AMS</td><td>45.4</td><td>3.917</td><td>1.101</td><td>1.146</td><td>3.833</td><td>0.270</td><td>5.018</td><td>5.177</td><td>4.979</td><td>5.391</td></td<>	HEINEKEN	AMS	45.4	3.917	1.101	1.146	3.833	0.270	5.018	5.177	4.979	5.391
PHILIPS KON         AMS         37.5         3.129         0.498         0.884         3.566         0.318         3.626         2.063         4.450         3.666           UNILEVER         AMS         19.3         3.473         1.117         1.823         2.999         0.224         4.849         7.235         4.820         7.817           DANONE         PAR         34.6         2.195         1.112         0.761         2.312         0.404         4.891         7.235         4.820         7.817           DANONE         PAR         34.6         2.1326         0.771         0.636         2.133         0.223         2.098         3.424         2.769         3.496           AXA         PAR         36.1         0.740         0.685         1.209         2.551         0.160         1.738         2.532         2.434         2.776           ICORAIN         PAR         106.0         1.245         0.741         0.790         2.080         0.411         1.986         2.544         2.869         2.712           SANT GOBAIN         PAR         18.6         4.179         1.304         1.237         0.373         4.442         4.982         5.509         8.0243	ING GROEP	AMS	24.7	1.344	1.067	0.419	2.333	0.139	2.411	3.755	2.752	3.428
UNILEVER         AMS         138.7         2.929         0.619         1.417         2.879         0.322         3.548         2.543         4.297         5.818           WOLTERS KLUWER         AMS         19.3         3.473         1.417         1.823         2.997         0.244         4.891         7.235         4.820         7.817           DANONE         PAR         34.6         2.195         1.112         0.761         2.312         0.404         3.307         3.662         3.073         2.642           BNP PARIBAS ACT.A         PAR         40.2         1.326         0.711         0.636         2.133         0.223         1.048         2.178         2.426         2.253           SOCIETE GENERALE         PAR         10.2         0.815         0.923         0.383         2.051         0.160         1.738         2.553         2.434         2.777           L'ORKAL         PAR         166.1         1.242         0.936         0.402         2.114         0.338         3.222         3.760         5.683         3.222         3.760         5.683         3.223         5.641         7.254           TOTAL         PAR         1.86         4.179         3.551         0.33	PHILIPS KON	AMS	37.5	3.129	0.498	0.884	3.566	0.318	3.626	2.063	4.450	3.666
WOLTERS KLUWER         AMS         19.3         3.473         1.417         1.823         2.997         0.244         4.891         7.235         4.820         7.817           DANONE         PAR         34.6         2.195         1.112         0.761         2.312         0.404         3.307         3.862         3.073         2.642           BNP PARIBAS ACT.A         PAR         34.6         2.195         1.112         0.761         2.312         0.404         3.307         3.862         3.073         2.642           BNP PARIBAS ACT.A         PAR         36.1         0.740         0.698         0.548         1.878         0.321         1.438         2.178         2.426         2.2533         2.434         2.777           LOREAL         PAR         163.0         3.201         0.685         1.209         2.552         0.270         3.886         3.222         3.760         5.683           SAINT COBAIN         PAR         163.0         3.201         0.364         0.234         0.308         1.224         1.816         1.7254           TOTAL         PAR         74.8         0.552         0.632         0.544         0.3381         0.338         1.442         1.885         <	UNILEVER	AMS	138.7	2.929	0.619	1.417	2.879	0.322	3.548	2.543	4.297	5.818
DANONE         PAR         34.6         2.195         1.112         0.761         2.312         0.404         3.307         3.862         3.073         2.642           BNP PARIBAS ACT.A         PAR         40.2         1.326         0.771         0.636         2.133         0.223         2.098         3.424         2.769         3.496           AXA         PAR         36.1         0.740         0.698         0.548         1.878         0.321         1.438         2.178         2.426         2.253           SOCIETE CENERALE         PAR         10.2         0.815         0.923         0.383         2.051         0.160         1.738         2.553         2.434         2.777           SANOFI         PAR         108.1         1.245         0.741         0.790         2.080         0.411         1.986         2.544         2.869         2.712           SANOFI         PAR         18.6         4.179         1.334         1.790         3.851         0.328         5.482         5.283         5.641         7.254           TOTAL         PAR         74.8         0.592         0.532         0.549         0.333         4.442         4.982         5.509         8.025 <td>WOLTERS KLUWER</td> <td>AMS</td> <td>19.3</td> <td>3.473</td> <td>1.417</td> <td>1.823</td> <td>2.997</td> <td>0.244</td> <td>4.891</td> <td>7.235</td> <td>4.820</td> <td>7.817</td>	WOLTERS KLUWER	AMS	19.3	3.473	1.417	1.823	2.997	0.244	4.891	7.235	4.820	7.817
BNP PARIBAS ACT.A         PAR         40.2         1.326         0.771         0.636         2.133         0.223         2.098         3.424         2.769         3.496           AXA         PAR         36.1         0.740         0.698         0.548         1.878         0.321         1.438         2.178         2.426         2.253           SOCIETE CENERALE         PAR         163.0         3.201         0.685         1.209         2.552         0.270         3.886         3.222         3.760         5.683           SANOFI         PAR         108.1         1.245         0.741         0.790         2.080         0.411         1.986         2.544         2.869         2.712           SAINT COBAIN         PAR         18.6         4.179         1.304         1.790         3.3851         0.328         5.482         5.283         5.641         7.254           TOTAL         PAR         74.8         0.592         0.632         0.534         0.308         1.244         1.340         2.691         0.333         4.442         4.982         5.509         8.025           ESSILONLUXOTTICA         PAR         74.8         0.555         1.040         2.691         0.341         4	DANONE	PAR	34.6	2.195	1.112	0.761	2.312	0.404	3.307	3.862	3.073	2.642
AXA         PAR         36.1         0.740         0.698         0.548         1.878         0.321         1.438         2.178         2.426         2.253           SOCIETE CENERALE         PAR         10.2         0.815         0.923         0.383         2.051         0.160         1.738         2.553         2.434         2.777           L'OREAL         PAR         10.63         3.201         0.685         1.209         2.552         0.270         3.866         3.222         3.760         5.683           SANOFI         PAR         108.1         1.245         0.741         0.790         2.080         0.411         1.986         2.244         2.869         2.712           SAINT GOBAIN         PAR         18.6         4.179         1.304         1.790         3.851         0.328         5.482         2.583         5.641         7.254           TOTAL         PAR         74.8         0.592         0.632         0.534         0.338         1.242         1.816         1.082         1.616           HEINEKEN HOLDING         MAS         2.02         3.180         0.699         0.499         2.441         0.510         2.431         3.923         4.495	BNP PARIBAS ACT.A	PAR	40.2	1.326	0.771	0.636	2.133	0.223	2.098	3.424	2.769	3.496
SOCIETE GENERALE         PAR         10.2         0.815         0.923         0.383         2.051         0.160         1.738         2.553         2.434         2.777           L'OREAL         PAR         163.0         3.201         0.685         1.209         2.552         0.270         3.886         3.222         3.760         5.683           SANOFI         PAR         10.81         1.245         0.741         0.790         2.080         0.411         1.186         2.542         2.771           SAINT GOBAIN         PAR         20.0         1.242         0.936         0.402         2.014         0.237         2.178         3.420         2.416         2.099           LEGAND         PAR         7.48         0.592         0.632         0.534         0.303         4.42         4.982         5.509         8.025           ESSILORLUXOTTICA         PAR         50.8         2.433         0.555         1.040         2.691         0.347         2.988         2.155         3.731         4.037           AB INBEV         BRU         9.35         1.800         0.809         2.095         5.0663         3.923         4.495           CHRISTIAN DIOR SE         PAR	AXA	PAR	36.1	0.740	0.698	0.548	1.878	0.321	1.438	2.178	2.426	2.253
L'OREAL         PAR         163.0         3.201         0.685         1.209         2.552         0.270         3.886         3.222         3.760         5.683           SANOFI         PAR         108.1         1.245         0.741         0.790         2.080         0.411         1.986         2.544         2.869         2.712           SANTGOBAIN         PAR         108.1         1.245         0.936         0.402         2.014         0.237         2.178         3.420         2.446         2.099           LEGRAND         PAR         18.6         4.179         1.304         1.790         3.851         0.328         5.482         5.283         5.641         7.254           TOTAL         PAR         74.8         0.592         0.632         0.549         0.334         1.224         1.816         1.082         1.616           HEINEKEN HOLDING         MMS         9.35         1.800         0.809         0.499         2.441         0.210         2.610         4.410         2.940         2.879           DASSAULT SYSTEM         PAR         74.0         3.482         1.585         1.860         4.092         0.095         5.068         8.550         5.952         10.044 <td>SOCIETE GENERALE</td> <td>PAR</td> <td>10.2</td> <td>0.815</td> <td>0.923</td> <td>0.383</td> <td>2.051</td> <td>0.160</td> <td>1.738</td> <td>2.553</td> <td>2.434</td> <td>2.777</td>	SOCIETE GENERALE	PAR	10.2	0.815	0.923	0.383	2.051	0.160	1.738	2.553	2.434	2.777
SANOFT         PAR         108.1         1.245         0.741         0.790         2.080         0.411         1.986         2.544         2.869         2.712           SAINT GOBAIN         PAR         12.42         0.936         0.402         2.014         0.237         2.178         3.420         2.416         2.099           LECRAND         PAR         18.6         4.179         1.304         1.790         3.851         0.328         5.482         5.283         5.641         7.254           TOTAL         PAR         74.8         0.592         0.632         0.549         0.334         4.422         4.862         5.509         8.025           ESSILORLUXOTTICA         PAR         50.8         2.433         0.555         1.040         2.661         0.4410         2.940         2.879           DASSAULT SYSTEM         PAR         41.4         3.381         1.439         1.144         2.779         0.341         4.819         5.653         3.923         4.495           CHRISTIAN DIOR SE         PAR         41.467         1.154         2.380         0.386         4.411         5.267         3.534         4.141           ENGE         PAR         3.92         0.65	L'OREAL	PAR	163.0	3.201	0.685	1.209	2.552	0.270	3.886	3.222	3.760	5.683
SAINT GOBAIN         PAR         20.0         1.242         0.936         0.402         2.014         0.237         2.178         3.420         2.416         2.099           LEGRAND         PAR         18.6         4.179         1.304         1.790         3.851         0.328         5.482         5.283         5.641         7.254           TOTAL         PAR         74.8         0.552         0.632         0.549         0.333         4.442         4.982         5.509         8.025           ESSLICRUXOTTICA         PAR         50.8         2.433         0.555         1.040         2.691         0.347         2.988         2.155         3.731         4.037           AB INEV         BRU         93.5         1.800         0.809         0.499         2.441         0.210         2.610         4.410         2.940         2.879           DASSAULT SYSTEM         PAR         74.0         3.482         1.585         1.860         4.092         0.095         5.068         8.550         5.952         1.004           ARCELORMITAL         AMS         13.4         0.450         0.610         0.566         2.015         1.138         1.060         1.512         5.868         4.550<	SANOFI	PAR	108.1	1.245	0.741	0.790	2.080	0.411	1.986	2.544	2.869	2.712
LEGRAND         PAR         18.6         4.179         1.304         1.790         3.851         0.328         5.482         5.283         5.641         7.254           TOTAL         PAR         74.8         0.592         0.632         0.549         0.534         0.308         1.224         1.816         1.082         1.616           HEINEKEN HOLDING         AMS         20.2         3.198         1.244         2.004         3.506         0.333         4.442         4.982         5.509         8.025           ESSILORLUXOTTICA         PAR         50.8         2.433         0.555         1.040         2.691         0.347         2.988         2.155         3.731         4.037           AB INBEV         BRU         93.5         1.800         0.809         0.499         2.441         0.210         2.610         4.410         2.940         2.879           DASSAULT SYSTEM         PAR         41.4         3.381         1.442         2.799         0.341         4.819         5.653         3.923         4.495           CHRSITIAN DIOR SE         PAR         1.34         0.450         0.650         2.015         0.138         1.136         1.621         2.114         2.220	SAINT GOBAIN	PAR	20.0	1.242	0.936	0.402	2.014	0.237	2.178	3.420	2.416	2.099
TOTAL         PAR         74.8         0.592         0.632         0.549         0.534         0.308         1.224         1.816         1.082         1.616           HEINEKEN HOLDING         AMS         20.2         3.198         1.244         2.004         3.506         0.333         4.442         4.982         5.509         8.025           ESSLIORLUXOTTICA         PAR         50.8         2.433         0.555         1.040         2.610         4.410         2.940         2.879           DASSAULT SYSTEM         PAR         41.4         3.381         1.439         1.144         2.779         0.341         4.819         5.653         3.923         4.495           CHRISTIAN DIOR SE         PAR         74.0         3.482         1.585         1.860         4.092         0.095         5.068         8.550         5.952         1.0044           ARCELORMITTAL         AMS         1.3.4         0.450         0.610         0.566         2.015         0.138         1.060         1.511         2.580         4.555           SAFRAN         PAR         3.8.7         2.944         1.467         1.154         2.380         0.386         4.411         5.267         3.335         4.780	LEGRAND	PAR	18.6	4.179	1.304	1.790	3.851	0.328	5.482	5.283	5.641	7.254
HEINEKEN HOLDING         AMS         20.2         3.198         1.244         2.004         3.506         0.333         4.442         4.982         5.509         8.025           ESSLORIUXOTTICA         PAR         50.8         2.433         0.555         1.040         2.891         0.347         2.988         2.155         3.731         4.037           AB INBEV         BRU         93.5         1.800         0.809         0.499         2.441         0.210         2.610         4.410         2.940         2.879           DASSAULT SYSTEM         PAR         41.4         3.381         1.439         1.144         2.779         0.341         4.819         5.653         3.923         4.495           CHRISTIAN DIOR SE         PAR         74.0         3.482         1.585         1.860         4.092         0.095         5.068         8.550         5.952         10.044           ARCELORMIITAL         AMS         13.4         0.450         0.610         0.566         2.105         0.138         1.060         1.511         2.580         4.595           SAFRAN         PAR         18.7         0.622         0.269         1.846         0.138         1.162         2.114         2.220	TOTAL	PAR	74.8	0.592	0.632	0.549	0.534	0.308	1.224	1.816	1.082	1.616
ESSILORLUXOTTICA         PAR         50.8         2.433         0.555         1.040         2.691         0.347         2.988         2.155         3.731         4.037           AB INBEV         BRU         93.5         1.800         0.809         0.499         2.441         0.210         2.610         4.410         2.940         2.879           DASSAULT SYSTEM         PAR         41.4         3.381         1.439         1.144         2.779         0.341         4.819         5.653         3.923         4.495           CHRISTIAN DIOR SE         PAR         74.0         3.482         1.585         1.860         4.092         0.095         5.068         8.550         5.952         10.044           ARCELORMITTAL         AMS         1.34         0.450         0.610         0.566         2.015         0.138         1.060         1.511         2.580         4.595           SAFRAN         PAR         38.7         2.944         1.467         1.154         2.380         0.386         4.411         5.267         3.534         4.141           EDF         PAR         31.9         0.789         0.872         0.906         2.497         0.236         3.377         3.701	HEINEKEN HOLDING	AMS	20.2	3.198	1.244	2.004	3.506	0.333	4.442	4.982	5.509	8.025
AB INBEV         BRU         93.5         1.800         0.809         0.499         2.441         0.210         2.610         4.410         2.940         2.879           DASSAULT SYSTEM         PAR         41.4         3.381         1.439         1.144         2.779         0.341         4.819         5.653         3.923         4.495           CHRISTIAN DIOR SE         PAR         74.0         3.482         1.585         1.860         4.092         0.095         5.068         8.550         5.952         10.044           ARCELORMITTAL         AMS         1.467         1.154         2.380         0.386         4.411         5.267         3.534         4.141           ENGIE         PAR         28.3         0.485         0.652         0.269         1.234         1.662         2.451         3.335         4.780           CREDT AGRICOLE         PAR         31.9         0.789         0.872         0.906         2.429         0.234         1.662         2.451         3.335         4.780           CREDT AGRICOLE         PAR         12.2         0.670         1.729         0.193         1.422         2.065         2.399         4.128           CAPGEMINI         PAR	ESSILORLUXOTTICA	PAR	50.8	2.433	0.555	1.040	2.691	0.347	2.988	2.155	3.731	4.037
DASSAULT SYSTEM         PAR         41.4         3.381         1.439         1.144         2.779         0.341         4.819         5.653         3.923         4.495           CHRISTIAN DIOR SE         PAR         74.0         3.482         1.585         1.860         4.092         0.095         5.068         8.550         5.952         10.044           ARCELORMITTAL         AMS         13.4         0.450         0.610         0.566         2.015         0.138         1.060         1.511         2.580         4.595           SAFRAN         PAR         38.7         2.944         1.467         1.154         2.380         0.386         4.411         5.267         3.534         4.141           ENGIE         PAR         28.3         0.485         0.652         0.269         1.846         0.138         1.136         1.621         2.114         2.220           EDF         PAR         31.9         0.789         0.872         0.906         2.429         0.234         1.662         2.451         3.335         4.780           CAPGEMINI         PAR         18.5         2.622         0.754         0.747         2.987         0.256         3.377         3.701         3.735	AB INBEV	BRU	93.5	1.800	0.809	0.499	2.441	0.210	2.610	4.410	2.940	2.879
CHRISTIAN DIOR SE         PAR         74.0         3.482         1.585         1.860         4.092         0.095         5.068         8.550         5.952         10.044           ARCELORMITTAL         AMS         13.4         0.450         0.610         0.566         2.015         0.138         1.060         1.511         2.580         4.595           SAFRAN         PAR         38.7         2.944         1.467         1.154         2.380         0.386         4.411         5.267         3.534         4.141           ENGIE         PAR         28.3         0.485         0.652         0.269         1.846         0.138         1.136         1.621         2.114         2.220           CREDIT AGRICOLE         PAR         21.2         0.642         0.780         0.670         1.729         0.193         1.422         2.065         2.399         4.128           CAPGEMINI         PAR         18.5         2.622         0.754         0.747         2.987         0.256         3.377         3.701         3.735         3.667           AIRBUS         PAR         25.3         0.480         0.925         0.515         2.162         0.250         1.405         1.885         2.676 </td <td>DASSAULT SYSTEM</td> <td>PAR</td> <td>41.4</td> <td>3.381</td> <td>1.439</td> <td>1.144</td> <td>2.779</td> <td>0.341</td> <td>4.819</td> <td>5.653</td> <td>3.923</td> <td>4.495</td>	DASSAULT SYSTEM	PAR	41.4	3.381	1.439	1.144	2.779	0.341	4.819	5.653	3.923	4.495
ARCELORMITTAL       AMS       13.4       0.450       0.610       0.566       2.015       0.138       1.060       1.511       2.580       4.595         SAFRAN       PAR       38.7       2.944       1.467       1.154       2.380       0.386       4.411       5.267       3.534       4.141         ENGIE       PAR       28.3       0.485       0.652       0.269       1.846       0.138       1.136       1.621       2.114       2.220         EDF       PAR       31.9       0.789       0.872       0.906       2.429       0.234       1.662       2.451       3.335       4.780         CREDIT AGRICOLE       PAR       21.2       0.642       0.780       0.670       1.729       0.193       1.422       2.065       2.399       4.128         CAPGEMINI       PAR       18.5       2.622       0.754       0.747       2.987       0.256       3.377       3.701       3.735       3.667         AIRBUS       PAR       15.0       2.632       0.754       0.747       2.987       0.256       3.377       3.701       3.735       3.667         AIRBUS       PAR       15.9       2.656       1.241       0.865	CHRISTIAN DIOR SE	PAR	74.0	3.482	1.585	1.860	4.092	0.095	5.068	8.550	5.952	10.044
SAFRAN         PAR         38.7         2.944         1.467         1.154         2.380         0.386         4.411         5.267         3.534         4.141           ENGIE         PAR         28.3         0.485         0.652         0.269         1.846         0.138         1.136         1.621         2.114         2.220           EDF         PAR         31.9         0.789         0.872         0.906         2.429         0.234         1.662         2.451         3.335         4.780           CREDIT AGRICOLE         PAR         21.2         0.642         0.780         0.670         1.729         0.193         1.422         2.065         2.399         4.128           CAPGEMINI         PAR         18.5         2.622         0.754         0.747         2.987         0.256         3.377         3.701         3.735         3.667           AIRBUS         PAR         50.4         2.921         1.222         1.320         3.268         0.116         4.153         7.075         4.588         7.856           ORANGE         PAR         13.9         2.656         1.241         0.865         2.351         0.317         3.897         5.161         3.216         3.598<	ARCELORMITTAL	AMS	13.4	0.450	0.610	0.566	2.015	0.138	1.060	1.511	2.580	4.595
ENCIE       PAR       28.3       0.485       0.652       0.269       1.846       0.138       1.136       1.621       2.114       2.220         EDF       PAR       31.9       0.789       0.872       0.906       2.429       0.234       1.662       2.451       3.335       4.780         CREDIT AGRICOLE       PAR       21.2       0.642       0.780       0.670       1.729       0.193       1.422       2.065       2.399       4.128         CAPGEMINI       PAR       18.5       2.622       0.754       0.747       2.987       0.256       3.377       3.701       3.735       3.667         AIRBUS       PAR       50.4       2.921       1.232       1.320       3.268       0.116       4.153       7.075       4.588       7.856         ORANCE       PAR       13.9       2.656       1.241       0.865       2.351       0.317       3.897       5.161       3.216       3.598         MICHELIN       PAR       16.6       2.360       0.740       0.416       2.724       0.320       3.100       3.050       3.139       1.713         KEING       PAR       73.7       2.572       0.864       0.684 <td< td=""><td>SAFRAN</td><td>PAR</td><td>38.7</td><td>2.944</td><td>1.467</td><td>1.154</td><td>2.380</td><td>0.386</td><td>4.411</td><td>5.267</td><td>3.534</td><td>4.141</td></td<>	SAFRAN	PAR	38.7	2.944	1.467	1.154	2.380	0.386	4.411	5.267	3.534	4.141
EDFPAR31.90.7890.8720.9062.4290.2341.6622.4513.3354.780CREDIT AGRICOLEPAR21.20.6420.7800.6701.7290.1931.4222.0652.3994.128CAPCEMINIPAR18.52.6220.7540.7472.9870.2563.3773.7013.7353.667AIRBUSPAR50.42.9211.2321.3203.2680.1164.1537.0754.5887.856ORANGEPAR25.30.4800.9250.5152.1620.2501.4051.8852.6762.577THALESPAR13.92.6561.2410.8652.3510.3173.8975.1613.2163.598MICHELINPAR16.62.3600.7400.4162.7240.3203.1003.0503.1391.713KERINGPAR73.72.5720.8640.6842.4630.3323.4363.4663.1462.742PERNOD RICARDPAR37.12.7401.0901.1532.2560.2973.8294.7613.4095.036SCHNEIDER ELECTRICPAR58.22.6441.5341.0582.5940.3264.1786.2403.6524.304PEUGEOTPAR14.21.7250.8880.8052.2480.1282.6134.3393.0535.301ROYAL DUTCH SHELLAMS83.00.6770.529 <td>ENGIE</td> <td>PAR</td> <td>28.3</td> <td>0.485</td> <td>0.652</td> <td>0.269</td> <td>1.846</td> <td>0.138</td> <td>1.136</td> <td>1.621</td> <td>2.114</td> <td>2.220</td>	ENGIE	PAR	28.3	0.485	0.652	0.269	1.846	0.138	1.136	1.621	2.114	2.220
CREDIT AGRICOLE         PAR         21.2         0.642         0.780         0.670         1.729         0.193         1.422         2.065         2.399         4.128           CAPGEMINI         PAR         18.5         2.622         0.754         0.747         2.987         0.256         3.377         3.701         3.735         3.667           AIRBUS         PAR         50.4         2.921         1.232         1.320         3.268         0.116         4.153         7.075         4.588         7.856           ORANGE         PAR         25.3         0.480         0.925         0.515         2.162         0.250         1.405         1.885         2.676         2.577           THALES         PAR         13.9         2.656         1.241         0.865         2.351         0.317         3.897         5.161         3.216         3.598           MICHELIN         PAR         16.6         2.360         0.740         0.416         2.724         0.320         3.100         3.050         3.139         1.713           KERING         PAR         73.7         2.572         0.864         0.684         2.463         0.332         3.436         3.466         3.146	EDF	PAR	31.9	0.789	0.872	0.906	2.429	0.234	1.662	2.451	3.335	4.780
CAPGEMINI         PAR         18.5         2.622         0.754         0.747         2.987         0.256         3.377         3.701         3.735         3.667           AIRBUS         PAR         50.4         2.921         1.232         1.320         3.268         0.116         4.153         7.075         4.588         7.856           ORANCE         PAR         25.3         0.480         0.925         0.515         2.162         0.250         1.405         1.885         2.676         2.577           THALES         PAR         13.9         2.656         1.241         0.865         2.351         0.317         3.897         5.161         3.216         3.598           MICHELIN         PAR         16.6         2.360         0.740         0.416         2.724         0.320         3.100         3.050         3.139         1.713           KERING         PAR         73.7         2.572         0.864         0.684         2.463         0.332         3.436         3.466         3.146         2.742           PENDO RICARD         PAR         37.1         2.740         1.090         1.153         2.256         0.297         3.829         4.761         3.409         5.0	CREDIT AGRICOLE	PAR	21.2	0.642	0.780	0.670	1.729	0.193	1.422	2.065	2.399	4.128
AIRBUS       PAR       50.4       2.921       1.232       1.320       3.268       0.116       4.153       7.075       4.588       7.856         ORANCE       PAR       25.3       0.480       0.925       0.515       2.162       0.250       1.405       1.885       2.676       2.577         THALES       PAR       13.9       2.656       1.241       0.865       2.351       0.317       3.897       5.161       3.216       3.598         MICHELIN       PAR       16.6       2.360       0.740       0.416       2.724       0.320       3.100       3.050       3.139       1.713         KERING       PAR       73.7       2.572       0.864       0.684       2.463       0.332       3.436       3.466       3.146       2.742         PERNOD RICARD       PAR       37.1       2.740       1.090       1.153       2.256       0.297       3.829       4.761       3.409       5.036         SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC       PAR       58.2       2.644       1.534       1.058       2.594       0.326       4.178       6.240       3.652       4.304         PEUGEOT       PAR       14.2       1.725       0.888       0.805 </td <td>CAPGEMINI</td> <td>PAR</td> <td>18.5</td> <td>2.622</td> <td>0.754</td> <td>0.747</td> <td>2.987</td> <td>0.256</td> <td>3.377</td> <td>3.701</td> <td>3.735</td> <td>3.667</td>	CAPGEMINI	PAR	18.5	2.622	0.754	0.747	2.987	0.256	3.377	3.701	3.735	3.667
ORANGE         PAR         25.3         0.480         0.925         0.515         2.162         0.250         1.405         1.885         2.676         2.577           THALES         PAR         13.9         2.656         1.241         0.865         2.351         0.317         3.897         5.161         3.216         3.598           MICHELIN         PAR         16.6         2.360         0.740         0.416         2.724         0.302         3.100         3.050         3.139         1.713           KERING         PAR         73.7         2.572         0.864         0.684         2.463         0.332         3.436         3.466         3.146         2.742           PERNOD RICARD         PAR         37.1         2.740         1.090         1.153         2.256         0.297         3.829         4.761         3.409         5.036           SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC         PAR         58.2         2.644         1.534         1.058         2.594         0.326         4.178         6.240         3.652         4.304           PEUGEOT         PAR         14.2         1.725         0.888         0.805         2.248         0.128         2.613         4.339         3.053	AIRBUS	PAR	50.4	2.921	1.232	1.320	3.268	0.116	4.153	7.075	4.588	7.856
THALESPAR13.92.6561.2410.8652.3510.3173.8975.1613.2163.598MICHELINPAR16.62.3600.7400.4162.7240.3203.1003.0503.1391.713KERINGPAR73.72.5720.8640.6842.4630.3323.4363.4663.1462.742PERNOD RICARDPAR37.12.7401.0901.1532.2560.2973.8294.7613.4095.036SCHNEIDER ELECTRICPAR58.22.6441.5341.0582.5940.3264.1786.2403.6524.304PEUGEOTPAR14.21.7250.8880.8052.2480.1282.6134.3393.0535.301ROYAL DUTCH SHELLAMS83.00.6770.5290.3500.7530.1371.2061.8831.1031.856GBLBRU11.92.9521.3231.0952.4570.1944.2757.2273.5526.009KBCBRU18.52.1240.7020.7162.8810.2172.8263.9443.5974.018UCBBRU17.92.6070.7601.1363.0770.2283.3674.1014.2136.126VIVENDIPAR27.91.9680.9360.7332.8060.3182.9043.8743.5393.033Average-39.72.271.021.002.	ORANGE	PAR	25.3	0.480	0.925	0.515	2.162	0.250	1.405	1.885	2.676	2.577
MICHELIN         PAR         16.6         2.360         0.740         0.416         2.724         0.320         3.100         3.050         3.139         1.713           KERING         PAR         73.7         2.572         0.864         0.684         2.463         0.332         3.436         3.466         3.146         2.742           PERNOD RICARD         PAR         37.1         2.740         1.090         1.153         2.256         0.297         3.829         4.761         3.409         5.036           SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC         PAR         58.2         2.644         1.534         1.058         2.594         0.326         4.178         6.240         3.652         4.304           PEUGEOT         PAR         14.2         1.725         0.888         0.805         2.248         0.128         2.613         4.339         3.053         5.301           ROYAL DUTCH SHELL         AMS         83.0         0.677         0.529         0.350         0.753         0.137         1.206         1.883         1.103         1.856           GBL         BRU         11.9         2.952         1.323         1.095         2.457         0.194         4.275         7.227         3.552 </td <td>THALES</td> <td>PAR</td> <td>13.9</td> <td>2.656</td> <td>1.241</td> <td>0.865</td> <td>2.351</td> <td>0.317</td> <td>3.897</td> <td>5.161</td> <td>3.216</td> <td>3.598</td>	THALES	PAR	13.9	2.656	1.241	0.865	2.351	0.317	3.897	5.161	3.216	3.598
KERINGPAR73.72.5720.8640.6842.4630.3323.4363.4663.1462.742PERNOD RICARDPAR37.12.7401.0901.1532.2560.2973.8294.7613.4095.036SCHNEIDER ELECTRICPAR58.22.6441.5341.0582.5940.3264.1786.2403.6524.304PEUGEOTPAR14.21.7250.8880.8052.2480.1282.6134.3393.0535.301ROYAL DUTCH SHELLAMS83.00.6770.5290.3500.7530.1371.2061.8831.1031.856GBLBRU11.92.9521.3231.0952.4570.1944.2757.2273.5526.009KBCBRU18.52.1240.7020.7162.8810.2172.8263.9443.5974.018UCBBRU17.92.6070.7601.1363.0770.2283.3674.1014.2136.126VIVENDIPAR27.91.9680.9360.7332.8060.3182.9043.8743.5393.033Average-39.72.271.021.002.610.263.294.253.614.59	MICHELIN	PAR	16.6	2.360	0.740	0.416	2.724	0.320	3.100	3.050	3.139	1.713
PERNOD RICARD         PAR         37.1         2.740         1.090         1.153         2.256         0.297         3.829         4.761         3.409         5.036           SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC         PAR         58.2         2.644         1.534         1.058         2.594         0.326         4.178         6.240         3.652         4.304           PEUGEOT         PAR         14.2         1.725         0.888         0.805         2.248         0.128         2.613         4.339         3.053         5.301           ROYAL DUTCH SHELL         AMS         83.0         0.677         0.529         0.350         0.753         0.137         1.206         1.883         1.103         1.856           GBL         BRU         11.9         2.952         1.323         1.095         2.457         0.194         4.275         7.227         3.552         6.009           KBC         BRU         17.9         2.607         0.760         1.136         3.077         0.228         3.367         4.101         4.213         6.126           VIVENDI         PAR         27.9         1.968         0.936         0.733         2.806         0.318         2.904         3.874         3.539	KERING	PAR	73.7	2.572	0.864	0.684	2.463	0.332	3.436	3.466	3.146	2.742
SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC         PAR         58.2         2.644         1.534         1.058         2.594         0.326         4.178         6.240         3.652         4.304           PEUGEOT         PAR         14.2         1.725         0.888         0.805         2.248         0.128         2.613         4.339         3.053         5.301           ROYAL DUTCH SHELL         AMS         83.0         0.677         0.529         0.350         0.753         0.137         1.206         1.883         1.103         1.856           GBL         BRU         11.9         2.952         1.323         1.095         2.457         0.194         4.275         7.227         3.552         6.009           KBC         BRU         18.5         2.124         0.702         0.716         2.881         0.217         2.826         3.944         3.597         4.018           UCB         BRU         17.9         2.607         0.760         1.136         3.077         0.228         3.367         4.101         4.213         6.126           VIVENDI         PAR         27.9         1.968         0.936         0.733         2.806         0.318         2.904         3.874         3.539 <t< td=""><td>PERNOD RICARD</td><td>PAR</td><td>37.1</td><td>2.740</td><td>1.090</td><td>1.153</td><td>2.256</td><td>0.297</td><td>3.829</td><td>4.761</td><td>3.409</td><td>5.036</td></t<>	PERNOD RICARD	PAR	37.1	2.740	1.090	1.153	2.256	0.297	3.829	4.761	3.409	5.036
PEUGEOT         PAR         14.2         1.725         0.888         0.805         2.248         0.128         2.613         4.339         3.053         5.301           ROYAL DUTCH SHELL         AMS         83.0         0.677         0.529         0.350         0.753         0.137         1.206         1.883         1.103         1.856           GBL         BRU         11.9         2.952         1.323         1.095         2.457         0.194         4.275         7.227         3.552         6.009           KBC         BRU         18.5         2.124         0.702         0.716         2.881         0.217         2.826         3.944         3.597         4.018           UCB         BRU         17.9         2.607         0.760         1.163         3.077         0.228         3.367         4.101         4.213         6.126           VIVENDI         PAR         27.9         1.968         0.936         0.733         2.806         0.318         2.904         3.874         3.539         3.033           Average         -         39.7         2.27         1.02         1.00         2.61         0.26         3.29         4.25         3.61         4.59	SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC	PAR	58.2	2.644	1.534	1.058	2.594	0.326	4.178	6.240	3.652	4.304
ROYAL DUTCH SHELL         AMS         83.0         0.677         0.529         0.350         0.753         0.137         1.206         1.883         1.103         1.856           GBL         BRU         11.9         2.952         1.323         1.095         2.457         0.194         4.275         7.227         3.552         6.009           KBC         BRU         18.5         2.124         0.702         0.716         2.881         0.217         2.826         3.944         3.597         4.018           UCB         BRU         17.9         2.607         0.760         1.136         3.077         0.228         3.367         4.101         4.213         6.126           VIVENDI         PAR         27.9         1.968         0.936         0.733         2.806         0.318         2.904         3.874         3.539         3.033           Average         -         39.7         2.27         1.02         1.00         2.61         0.26         3.29         4.25         3.61         4.59	PEUGEOT	PAR	14.2	1.725	0.888	0.805	2.248	0.128	2.613	4.339	3.053	5.301
GBL         BRU         11.9         2.952         1.323         1.095         2.457         0.194         4.275         7.227         3.552         6.009           KBC         BRU         18.5         2.124         0.702         0.716         2.881         0.217         2.826         3.944         3.597         4.018           UCB         BRU         17.9         2.607         0.760         1.136         3.077         0.228         3.367         4.101         4.213         6.126           VIVENDI         PAR         27.9         1.968         0.936         0.733         2.806         0.318         2.904         3.874         3.539         3.033           Average         -         39.7         2.27         1.02         1.00         2.61         0.26         3.29         4.25         3.61         4.59	ROYAL DUTCH SHELL	AMS	83.0	0.677	0.529	0.350	0.753	0.137	1.206	1.883	1.103	1.856
KBC         BRU         18.5         2.124         0.702         0.716         2.881         0.217         2.826         3.944         3.597         4.018           UCB         BRU         17.9         2.607         0.760         1.136         3.077         0.228         3.367         4.101         4.213         6.126           VIVENDI         PAR         27.9         1.968         0.936         0.733         2.806         0.318         2.904         3.874         3.539         3.033           Average         -         39.7         2.27         1.02         1.00         2.61         0.26         3.29         4.25         3.61         4.59	GBL	BRU	11.9	2.952	1.323	1.095	2.457	0.194	4.275	7.227	3.552	6.009
UCB         BRU         17.9         2.607         0.760         1.136         3.077         0.228         3.367         4.101         4.213         6.126           VIVENDI         PAR         27.9         1.968         0.936         0.733         2.806         0.318         2.904         3.874         3.539         3.033           Average         -         39.7         2.27         1.02         1.00         2.61         0.26         3.29         4.25         3.61         4.59	КВС	BRU	18.5	2.124	0.702	0.716	2.881	0.217	2.826	3.944	3.597	4.018
VIVENDI         PAR         27.9         1.968         0.936         0.733         2.806         0.318         2.904         3.874         3.539         3.033           Average         -         39.7         2.27         1.02         1.00         2.61         0.26         3.29         4.25         3.61         4.59	UCB	BRU	17.9	2.607	0.760	1.136	3.077	0.228	3.367	4.101	4.213	6.126
Average - 39.7 2.27 1.02 1.00 2.61 0.26 3.29 4.25 3.61 4.59	VIVENDI	PAR	27.9	1.968	0.936	0.733	2.806	0.318	2.904	3.874	3.539	3.033
	Average	-	39.7	2.27	1.02	1.00	2.61	0.26	3.29	4.25	3.61	4.59

#### 5. Conclusions

In this paper we study the tails of closing auction return distributions, both from a theoretical and empirical point of view, focusing on large closing price fluctuations. Using the stochastic call auction model of Derksen et al. [1], we relate tail exponents of order placement distributions and tail exponents of the return distribution. Empirical analysis supports the model's predictions. In theory, large market orders could be a cause of large closing price fluctuations, but this potential effect is cancelled by limit orders that are submitted against the direction of the market order imbalance. Instead, limit order placement appears to be the primary cause of observed heavy tails in closing auction return distributions.



(c) Large caps, right tail return distribution.

(d) Large caps, left tail return distribution.

**Fig. 10.** Log-log plots of the tails of the closing auction return distributions for the 50 small cap stocks of Table 1 (upper panel) and 50 large cap stocks of Table 2 (lower panel). Blue dots show the tails for the real closing auction return distribution, red dots the tails for the alternative closing auction returns that emerge when market orders are removed.

#### **CRediT** authorship contribution statement

**M. Derksen:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **B. Kleijn:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Supervision. **R. de Vilder:** Resources, Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition.

#### **Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Table 3

Average predicted tail exponents compared to realized tail exponents. Predicted exponents are averages over Tables 1 and 2, realized exponents are the results of the linear fits in Figs. 8 (all stocks) and 10 (small and large caps), for the cases with (MO) and without (No MO) market orders.

	Left tails				Right tails					
	МО		No MO		MO		No MO			
	Predicted	Realized	Predicted	Realized	Predicted	Realized	Predicted	Realized		
All stocks	5.01	5.28	3.72	3.90	4.89	4.74	3.89	3.75		
Small caps	5.76	5.26	4.14	4.11	5.19	4.95	4.17	4.10		
Large caps	4.25	5.39	3.29	3.75	4.59	4.60	3.61	3.48		

### **Appendix.** Proofs

**Proposition 3.5.** Under Assumption 1, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\underline{X} > x | N_A, N_B) \sim N_B T_B(x) T_A(x)^{N_A}, \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$

(7)

**Proof.** The expression for the conditional distribution of  $\underline{X}$  in Eq. (6), implies

$$\begin{split} \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\underline{X} > x | N_A, N_B)}{T_B(x) T_A(x)^{N_A}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N_A} \sum_{l=k+1}^{N_B} \lim_{x \to \infty} \binom{N_A}{k} \binom{N_B}{l} \left(\frac{1 - F_A(x)}{T_A(x)}\right)^{N_A} (1 - F_A(x))^{-k} \\ &\times \left(\frac{1 - F_B(x)}{T_B(x)}\right) (1 - F_B(x))^{l-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N_A} \sum_{l=k+1}^{N_B} \lim_{x \to \infty} \binom{N_A}{k} \binom{N_B}{l} \left(\frac{1 - F_B(x)}{1 - F_A(x)}\right)^k (1 - F_B(x))^{l-1-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N_A} \sum_{l=k+1}^{N_B} \lim_{x \to \infty} \binom{N_A}{k} \binom{N_B}{l} \left(\frac{T_B(x)}{T_A(x)}\right)^k (1 - F_B(x))^{l-1-k} \\ &= N_B, \end{split}$$

where the last line follows because all terms are 0, except when l = 1, k = 0.  $\Box$ 

Theorem 3.6 (Right Tail of the Lower Clearing Price Distribution). Under Assumption 1 we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\underline{X} > x) \sim CT_A(x)T_B(x), \text{ as } x \to \infty,$$

where  $C = \sum_{n=1}^{N} np_{N_A, N_B}(1, n) = \mathbb{E}[N_B \mathbf{1}_{\{N_A=1\}}] > 0.$ 

**Proof.** The result of Proposition 3.5 implies

$$\begin{split} \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\underline{X} > x)}{CT_A(x)T_B(x)} &= \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}N_B T_B(x)T_A(x)^{N_A}}{CT_A(x)T_B(x)} \\ &= \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{N_A,N_B}(i,j)jT_B(x)T_A(x)^{i}}{CT_A(x)T_B(x)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{jp_{N_A,N_B}(i,j)}{C} \lim_{x \to \infty} T_A(x)^{i-1} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} jp_{N_A,N_B}(1,j)}{C} = 1, \end{split}$$

where the last line follows by the fact that all terms in the sum are 0, except for i = 1.  $\Box$ 

#### Proposition 3.7. Under Assumptions 1 and 2, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\underline{X} > x | N_A, N_B, \Delta) \sim K(N_A, N_B, \Delta - 1) T_B(x)^{(\Delta - 1)_-} T_A(x)^{N_A - (\Delta - 1)_+},$$

as  $x \to \infty$ , where

$$K(N_A, N_B, \Delta) = \begin{cases} \binom{N_A}{\Delta} & \text{if } \Delta > 0\\ \binom{N_B}{-\Delta} & \text{if } \Delta \le 0. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Suppose first that  $\Delta - 1 > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\underline{X} > x | N_A, N_B, \Delta)}{T_A(x)^{N_A - (\Delta - 1)}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N_A} \sum_{l=\max(k-\Delta+1,0)}^{N_B} \lim_{x \to \infty} \binom{N_A}{k} \binom{N_B}{l} \left(\frac{1 - F_A(x)}{T_A(x)}\right)^{N_A - (\Delta - 1)} \\ &\times (1 - F_A(x))^{\Delta - 1 - k} (1 - F_B(x))^l \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N_A} \sum_{l=\max(k-\Delta+1,0)}^{N_B} \lim_{x \to \infty} \binom{N_A}{k} \binom{N_B}{l} \frac{T_B(x)^l}{T_A(x)^{k-\Delta+1}} \\ &= \binom{N_A}{\Delta - 1}, \end{split}$$

where the last line follows because all terms are 0, except when  $k = \Delta - 1$ , l = 0. Now let  $\Delta - 1 < 0$ , then

$$\begin{split} \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\underline{X} > x | N_A, N_B, \Delta)}{T_A(x)^{N_A} T_B(x)^{1-\Delta}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N_A} \sum_{l=k+1-\Delta}^{N_B} \lim_{x \to \infty} \binom{N_A}{k} \binom{N_B}{l} \left(\frac{1-F_A(x)}{T_A(x)}\right)^{N_A-k} \\ &\quad \times T_A(x)^{-k} \left(\frac{1-F_B(x)}{T_B(x)}\right)^l T_B(x)^{l+\Delta-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N_A} \sum_{l=k+1-\Delta}^{N_B} \lim_{x \to \infty} \binom{N_A}{k} \binom{N_B}{l} \frac{T_B(x)^{l+\Delta-1}}{T_A(x)^k} \\ &= \binom{N_B}{1-\Delta}, \end{split}$$

where the last line follows because all terms are 0, except when k = 0,  $l = 1 - \Delta$ .

**Theorem 3.8** (Right Tail of the Lower Clearing Price Distribution with Market Orders). Under Assumptions 3 and 4, there exists a slowly varying function  $\underline{L} : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$ , such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\underline{X} > x) \sim \underline{L}(x)x^{-\underline{a}}, \text{ as } x \to \infty,$$

where

$$\underline{a} = \min\left(\frac{(c+1)a_A}{c}, a_A + 2a_B\right).$$
(10)

Proof. Under Assumptions 3 and 4, Proposition 3.7 transforms into,

$$\mathbb{P}(\underline{X} > x) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \sum_{d=-N}^{N} \left[ K(n, m, d-1) L_{B}(x)^{(d-1)_{-}} L_{A}(x)^{n-(d-1)_{+}} \right. \\ \left. x^{-(a_{A}(n-d+1)+(a_{B}-a_{A})\max(-d+1,0))} p(n, m, d) \right]$$

as  $x \to \infty$ . Here, we used that  $\max(-x, 0) - \max(x, 0) = -x$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . By noting that K(n, m, d) is bounded from above and below (by  $\binom{N}{N/2}$  and 1) and that  $L_B(x)^{(d-1)-}L_A(x)^{n-(d-1)+}$  is slowly varying for every possible combination of n, d, we see that the statement of the theorem holds true, for

$$\underline{a} = \min_{n,d: \ p(n,d)>0} (a_A(n-d+1) - (d-1)(a_B - a_A)\mathbf{1}_{\{d<1\}}),$$

(8)

where the minimum is taken over all n, d such that  $p(n, d) = \sum_{m} p(n, m, d) > 0$ . Now note that the function  $\underline{F}(n, d) := a_A(n - d + 1) - (d - 1)(a_B - a_A)\mathbf{1}_{\{d < 1\}}$  is increasing in n, for every d. So the minimum is attained for the lowest n with positive probability. Recall that we assumed  $\Delta = c(N_A - N_B)$  and  $N_B \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ , so p(n, d) = 0 for  $n < \frac{d}{c} + 1$ , so the lowest n with positive probability is  $\hat{n}(d) = \max(\frac{d}{c} + 1, 1)$ , for given d. Inserting into  $\underline{F}$  leads to

$$\underline{F}(\hat{n}(d), d) = \begin{cases} a_A - (d-1)a_B & \text{if } d \le -1 \\ a_A((\frac{1}{c} - 1)d + 2) & \text{if } d \ge 1, \end{cases}$$

which is minimal for  $d = \pm 1$ , proving that

$$\underline{a} = \min\left(a_A\left(\frac{1}{c}+1\right), a_A+2a_B\right) = \min\left(\frac{(c+1)a_A}{c}, a_A+2a_B\right). \quad \Box$$

#### References

- [1] M. Derksen, B. Kleijn, R. De Vilder, Clearing price distributions in call auctions, Quant. Finance 20 (9) (2020) 1475–1493.
- [2] B. Mandelbrot, The variation of certain speculative prices, J. Bus. 36 (4) (1963) 394-419.
- [3] E.F. Fama, The behavior of stock-market prices, J. Bus. 38 (1) (1965) 34-105.
- [4] P. Gopikrishnan, M. Meyer, L.A.N. Amaral, H.E. Stanley, Inverse cubic law for the probability distribution of stock price variations, Eur. Phys. J. B 3 (1998) 139–140.
- [5] P. Gopikrishnan, V. Plerou, L.A.N. Amaral, M. Meyer, H.E. Stanley, Scaling of the distribution of fluctuations of financial market indices, Phys. Rev. E 60 (1999) 5305.
- [6] G.F. Gu, W. Chen, W.X. Zhou, Empirical distributions of Chinese stock returns at different microscopic timescales, Physica A 387 (2008) 495-502.
- [7] A. Pagan, The econometrics of financial markets, J. Empir. Financ. 3 (1996) 15–102.
- [8] V. Plerou, H.E. Stanley, Stock return distributions: Tests of scaling and universality from three distinct stock markets, Phys. Rev. E 77 (2008) 037101.
- [9] Y. Malevergne, V. Pisarenko, D. Sornette, Empirical distributions of stock returns: between the stretched exponential and the power law? Quant. Finance 5 (4) (2005) 379–401.
- [10] P. Embrechts, C. Klüppelberg, T. Mikosch, Modelling Extremal Events, Springer, 2003.
- [11] X. Gabaix, P. Gopikrishnan, V. Plerou, H.E. Stanley, A theory of power-law distributions in financial market fluctuations, Nature 423 (2003) 267–270.
- [12] X. Gabaix, P. Gopikrishnan, V. Plerou, H.E. Stanley, Institutional investors and stock market volatility, Q. J. Econ. 121 (2) (2006) 461–504.
- [13] J.D. Farmer, F. Lillo, On the origin of power law tails in price fluctuations, Quant. Finance 4 (1) (2004) 7-11.
- [14] J.D. Farmer, L. Gillemot, F. Lillo, S. Mike, A. Sen, What really causes large price changes? Quant. Finance 4 (4) (2004) 383-397.
- [15] P. Weber, B. Rosenow, Large stock price changes: volume or liquidity? Quant. Finance 6 (1) (2006) 7-14.
- [16] J.P. Bouchaud, J.D. Farmer, F. Lillo, How markets slowly digest changes in supply and demand, in: T. Hens, K.R. Schenk-Hoppè (Eds.), Handbook of Financial Markets: Dynamics and Evolution, Handbook of Finance, 2009, pp. 57–160.
- [17] F. Patzelt, J.P. Bouchaud, Universal scaling and nonlinearity of aggregate price impact in financial markets, Phys. Rev. E 97 (2018) 012304.
- [18] S. Mike, J.D. Farmer, An empirical behavioral model of liquidity and volatility, J. Econom. Dynam. Control 32 (2008) 200-234.

[19] P. Bak, Paczuski. M., M. Shubik, Price variations in a stock market with many agents, Physica A 246 (3-4) (1997) 430-453.

- [20] R. Cont, J.P. Bouchaud, Herd behavior and aggregate fluctuations in financial markets, Macroecon. Dynam. 4 (2000) 170–196.
- [21] I. Zovko, J.D. Farmer, The power of patience: a behavioural regularity in limit-order placement, Quant. Finance 2 (5) (2002) 387-392.
- [22] Euronext, Euronext Harmonized Rule Book, 2019, Available online at https://www.euronext.com/en/regulation/euronext-regulated-markets.
- [23] M.E.J Newman, Power laws, Pareto distributions and Zipf's law, Contemp. Phys. 46 (2005) 323–351.
- [24] A. Clauset, C.R. Shalizi, M.E.J. Newman, Power-law distributions in empirical data, SIAM Rev. 51 (4) (2009) 661–703.
- [25] J.P. Bouchaud, M. Mézard, M. Potters, Statistical properties of stock order books: empirical results and models, Quant. Finance 2 (4) (2002) 251-256.
- [26] R. Cont, Empirical properties of asset returns: Stylized facts and statistical issues, Quant. Finance 1 (2001) 223–236.
- [27] A. Chakraborti, I. Muni Toke, M. Patriarca, F. Abergel, Econophysics review: I. Empirical facts, Quant. Finance 11 (7) (2011) 991-1012.
- [28] M. Derksen, B. Kleijn, R. De Vilder, Effects of MiFID II on stock price formation, 2020, Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=3559500.