

# Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding



## Research Article

### Parent progeny regression analysis in segregating generations of drought QTLs pyramided rice lines (*Oryza sativa* L.)

F. D. Prisca Seeli<sup>1</sup>, S. Manonmani<sup>1\*</sup>, R. Pushpam<sup>1</sup> and M. Raveendran<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Plant Breeding and Genetics, TNAU, Coimbatore

<sup>2</sup>Centre for Plant Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, TNAU, Coimbatore

\*E-Mail: manonmanitnau@gmail.com

#### Abstract

The present study was undertaken to estimate the effectiveness of selection for the yield and yield contributing traits in the BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> and BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> generations of drought QTL introgressed progenies of Improved White Ponni (IWP) x Apo. A positively skewed and negatively skewed platykurtic curve was observed in many of the traits in both generations indicating the influence of a large number of genes. Significant and positive intergenerational correlation and regression coefficient values were obtained for all the yield contributing characters indicating that selection can be done in these generations for isolating superior genotypes. Narrow sense heritability estimates obtained high values for the traits days to 50 per cent flowering, the number of productive tillers, filled grains per panicle and single plant yield indicating that selection will be effective for these traits in these early generations.

**Key words:** Drought, parent progeny, regression, skewness, kurtosis, intergenerational correlation, heritability

#### INTRODUCTION

The majority of the world's food consumption is based on rice diet and its productivity is severely affected due to frequent droughts in recent years (Khan *et al.*, 2021) which requires the development of drought tolerant varieties to secure food availability in the future. Drought is the main abiotic stress in rice crops (Rasheed *et al.*, 2020). More regions are expected to become drought prone in the future in India and the percentage of drought risk areas in India, China and Japan are estimated to be above 55% (Guo *et al.*, 2021). Marker assisted selection (MAS) is a quick, effective and economical approach to improve drought tolerance in rice. The availability of elite lines with heritable target traits and the assessment of the stability of the pyramided genes evaluated in field experiments provide a better avenue for drought tolerance breeding in rice (Rasheed *et al.*, 2020). Drought tolerance, governed by many complex traits, is

linked to many undesirable traits like a late flowering, tallness, low tillers and reduced yield. For example, the drought QTL *qDTY3.1* is known to affect the yield under non-stress conditions (Dixit *et al.*, 2014). The high yielding ability under non-stress conditions is a desirable trait in drought tolerance because the plants with high yield and drought tolerance could produce relatively better yield under drought stress. Hence, selection for high yield in the earlier generations is necessary for achieving the target of yield improvement under drought tolerance. A high mean with a large amount of variation in the segregating generations is needed for the development of elite cultivars (Govintharaj *et al.*, 2017). Hence, to know the association and heritability of the traits contributing to yield in the drought QTLs introgressed segregating population of rice, parent progeny regression analysis was carried out.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Improved White Ponni (IWP) is a medium duration, popular rice variety of Tamil Nadu due to its fine grains and good cooking quality but it is drought susceptible. APO is an upland rice variety identified to harbour the QTLs *qDTY1.1*, *qDTY2.1*, *qDTY3.1*, and was used as the donor for improving the drought tolerance of IWP. The QTLs viz., *qDTY1.1*, *qDTY2.1*, *qDTY3.1* contribute for grain yield up to 58 per cent (Venuprasad *et al.*, 2012), 16.3 per cent and 30.7 per cent, genetic variation, respectively (Venuprasad *et al.*, 2009). In this study, foreground selection for all the three QTLs was done in a total of 195 progenies belonging to 65 families in the BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> generation. Fifty one positive homozygous plants identified were forwarded to BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> generation by selfing. Quantitative traits viz., days to 50 per cent flowering (DFF), plant height (PH), the number of productive tillers per plant (PT), the number of filled grains per panicle (FG), the number of chaffy grains per panicle (CG), panicle length (PL), grain yield (Yld) and thousand grain weight (TGW) were recorded on five plants and their average values were taken for analyses. Intergenerational correlation and parent progeny regression analyses were done using Origin software version 10. The mean values were used for calculating skewness and kurtosis using Microsoft excel and graphs using R software to understand gene interactions.

Narrow sense heritability (Smith and Kinman, 1965)

$$h^2 = \frac{byx}{2rxy} \times 100$$

byx= regression coefficient of BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> progeny means on BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> parental values for respective characters

rxy= Intergenerational correlation coefficient between the parent "x" and its offspring "y"

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Variation in the segregating generations provide opportunities for the selection of superior plants for the traits like earliness with high tillering ability and yield. A wide range of variation was expressed in the BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> and BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> generations. Mean and range values for the different traits were obtained for parents, BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (Table 1) and BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> (Table 2) generations. Mean values for days to 50 per cent flowering in both generations were intermediate between the parents. Plant height recorded mean closer to the height to Apo parent, whereas for the trait productive tillers the mean values in BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> and BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> were nearer to the mean value of IWP parent. Mean values of both the generations for the number of filled and

chaffy grains per panicle, panicle length and thousand grain weight were intermediate between both parents while for the trait single plant yield the mean values in BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> and BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> were highly similar to the IWP parent.

Positive skewness was observed for the traits days to 50 per cent flowering (0.06) and single plant yield (1.09) in BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> generation. In BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> generation, the traits number of productive tillers (0.59) and single plant yield (0.53) were positively skewed. Similarly, negative skewness was observed for plant height (-0.56), the number of productive tillers (-0.32), number of filled grains per panicle (-0.21), panicle length (-0.27), thousand grain weight (-0.71) in the BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> generation and the traits days to 50 per cent flowering (-0.08), plant height (-0.93), the number of filled grains per panicle (-0.31), panicle length (-0.08), thousand grain weight (-0.34) in the BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> generation (Table 3). In the BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> generation, platykurtic curve was observed for the traits days to 50 per cent flowering (kurtosis = 0.14), plant height (kurtosis = -0.14), thousand grain weight (kurtosis = 0.11) and single plant yield (kurtosis = 0.49) and leptokurtic curve was observed for the traits number of filled grains per panicle (kurtosis = -1.31) and panicle length (kurtosis = 1.71). Mesokurtic curve was observed for the number of productive tillers (kurtosis = -0.06) (Fig.1). Similarly, in the BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> generation, the traits days to 50 per cent flowering (kurtosis = 0.10), plant height (kurtosis = 0.21), the number of productive tillers (kurtosis = -0.17), the number of filled grains per panicle (kurtosis = -0.76) and panicle length (kurtosis = -0.10), single plant yield (kurtosis = 0.19) showed platykurtic curve while thousand grain weight showed leptokurtic curve (Fig.2).

Skewness and Kurtosis are used to analyse the variation in the segregating generations (Nadarajan *et al.*, 2016). Skewness gives information about gene interaction. While skewness values greater than zero indicates the presence of complementary interactions, its value lesser than zero indicates duplicate interactions for the specific traits (Choo and Reinbergs, 1982). Leptokurtic and platykurtic curves indicate the influence of a relatively fewer and larger number of genes in controlling a trait, respectively (Aananthi, 2018). In the present study, a positively skewed and platykurtic curve was observed for days to 50 per cent flowering, chaffy grains per panicle and single plant yield in BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> and for chaffy grains, productive tillers, single plant yield in BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> generations. This suggests that these traits are governed by a large number of genes with dominance based gene interactions. Intense selection is needed for faster genetic gains in these traits. Similar findings were reported by Hosagoudar *et al.* (2018), Harijan *et al.* (2021) for days to flowering, the number of tillers, days to maturity and yield per plant. The negatively skewed platykurtic curve for the

Table 1. *Per se* performance of yield and yield contributing traits in the BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> progenies


Line number	Days to 50 per cent flowering	Plant height (cm)	Number of Productive tillers	Number of chaffy grains per panicle	Number of filled grains per panicle	Panicle length (cm)	1000 grain weight (g)	Single plant yield (g)
1	115.0	115.0	23.0	90.0	180.0	21.0	18.5	43.2
2	114.0	137.1	19.0	32.5	147.3	22.8	19.5	37.0
3	96.0	77.7	20.0	41.3	85.0	19.9	19.1	22.0
4	95.0	77.3	18.0	65.3	96.3	20.9	19.5	18.1
5	110.0	135.0	20.0	45.0	102.0	23.0	18.5	21.5
6	112.0	127.9	17.9	28.6	126.9	22.7	22.0	29.0
7	106.0	138.0	20.0	10.0	90.0	21.0	15.2	31.7
8	108.0	136.0	16.0	40.0	90.0	21.1	15.7	35.8
9	108.0	140.0	20.0	31.0	115.0	22.5	19.8	28.8
10	108.0	143.5	20.9	24.0	123.3	20.8	20.5	35.0
11	107.0	139.9	18.9	58.0	97.5	24.0	20.2	20.7
12	97.0	96.9	18.4	59.0	87.5	18.0	16.4	23.4
13	105.0	139.2	23.1	33.0	135.0	22.4	24.5	44.8
14	105.0	142.0	18.0	25.0	145.0	27.2	23.5	33.6
15	105.0	135.8	21.2	31.0	121.0	23.2	20.3	31.3
16	109.0	140.0	27.0	80.0	95.0	22.0	14.8	32.8
17	96.0	142.3	22.5	34.5	145.5	20.9	21.7	30.7
18	97.0	93.5	15.0	39.5	158.5	23.5	14.5	45.4
19	95.0	91.3	14.1	30.0	85.5	21.6	24.0	25.0
20	107.0	136.8	20.9	20.0	162.0	23.5	19.4	33.1
21	95.0	140.3	21.4	57.3	146.3	22.5	24.4	22.1
22	95.0	93.1	12.3	63.0	122.0	22.9	23.7	27.3
23	100.0	139.6	23.7	83.3	100.5	22.4	21.4	30.4
24	108.0	132.2	22.4	46.7	127.3	21.7	20.6	41.9
25	105.0	77.3	18.7	63.5	95.0	17.0	20.2	26.4
26	94.0	77.4	21.0	57.3	87.5	15.6	17.6	15.1
27	118.0	115.0	13.0	22.0	168.0	20.8	22.6	24.0
28	118.0	125.0	20.0	21.0	190.0	25.0	23.6	19.5
29	119.0	125.0	28.0	29.0	187.0	23.0	21.9	14.9
30	120.0	125.0	20.0	15.0	184.0	22.6	22.9	18.8
31	116.0	112.0	9.0	23.0	122.0	22.3	23.5	18.1
32	108.0	115.0	27.8	37.0	166.3	25.1	21.3	21.6
33	105.0	105.0	27.6	48.0	167.0	19.0	23.0	22.7
34	108.0	108.0	29.1	30.0	186.3	23.0	24.3	21.6
35	106.0	115.0	28.9	33.0	128.0	24.0	24.1	20.4
36	105.0	110.0	25.2	35.0	157.2	21.6	22.2	22.0
37	107.0	116.0	26.5	31.0	151.7	19.0	23.9	22.1
38	108.0	114.0	23.5	23.0	178.0	29.0	21.3	21.5
39	105.0	108.0	22.5	25.0	176.3	21.0	19.5	18.6
40	105.0	122.0	20.0	12.0	143.0	24.5	23.8	15.9
41	105.0	126.0	25.0	10.0	110.0	22.1	22.0	19.3
42	105.0	117.0	20.0	12.0	114.0	22.3	18.6	19.6
43	106.0	115.0	24.0	37.0	175.0	25.8	19.8	23.5
44	105.0	108.0	24.0	31.0	162.0	25.2	20.5	21.3
45	105.0	110.0	30.5	34.0	173.2	22.7	22.3	22.5
46	108.0	110.0	28.0	17.0	166.2	22.0	23.4	22.5
47	106.0	109.0	17.0	32.0	164.0	23.4	21.0	20.4
48	105.0	119.0	28.0	22.0	158.9	24.3	20.1	20.1
49	107.0	109.0	28.6	25.0	146.6	23.8	19.8	22.5
50	105.0	108.0	25.5	12.0	180.0	25.1	20.7	20.4
51	105.0	116.0	25.2	13.0	173.0	24.3	21.6	21.1
<b>Mean</b>	105.92	117.79	21.77	35.64	139.11	22.45	20.85	25.51
<b>Range</b>	94-120	77.3-143.5	9-30.5	10-90	85-190	15.5-29	14.5-24.5	14.8-45.4
<b>IWP</b>	124	147.2	32.1	32.7	211.9	23.2	16.2	25.2
<b>Apo</b>	98	95.7	18.2	40.8	142.4	31.0	22.1	18.6

Table 2. *Per se* performance of the yield and yield contributing traits in the BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> progenies


Line number	Days to 50 percent flowering	Plant height (cm)	Number of Productive tillers	Number of chaffy grains per panicle	Number of filled grains per panicle	Panicle length (cm)	1000 grain weight (g)	Single plant yield (g)
1	114.00	97.64	20.20	58.00	177.40	26.87	16.9	48.50
2	113.00	95.20	17.30	48.00	134.40	23.80	20.5	36.83
3	97.00	86.35	17.13	29.00	86.70	22.45	20.1	19.08
4	98.00	79.24	17.50	38.00	100.20	21.90	20.8	21.81
5	108.00	88.00	20.00	58.00	114.50	22.45	19.5	20.00
6	104.00	105.25	21.25	49.00	137.90	24.64	19.5	22.13
7	105.00	102.90	15.60	59.00	125.90	25.68	20.1	30.05
8	106.00	108.46	15.24	29.00	162.18	22.45	21.1	33.57
9	105.00	109.28	16.00	42.00	146.50	25.60	19.6	18.98
10	105.00	105.15	17.20	46.00	100.70	23.87	20.5	35.01
11	105.00	106.16	13.24	63.00	150.24	20.78	19.6	18.09
12	105.00	71.64	20.70	46.00	114.90	18.29	18.9	24.26
13	105.00	110.00	18.00	24.00	161.00	22.50	23.4	38.00
14	102.00	98.28	16.50	41.00	133.80	23.19	22.9	28.00
15	104.00	98.27	17.50	42.00	134.90	24.65	21.6	28.00
16	108.00	61.67	16.67	52.00	94.00	21.58	15.40	30.00
17	97.00	63.25	22.00	54.00	136.50	20.50	20.50	24.25
18	97.00	79.27	14.90	42.00	149.00	24.50	19.6	31.00
19	94.00	82.65	17.70	45.00	100.00	20.46	22.6	17.70
20	108.00	82.00	14.10	22.00	108.00	22.60	18.6	26.40
21	98.00	96.34	16.90	63.00	136.00	23.48	25.4	17.56
22	96.00	81.29	11.90	28.00	118.00	26.40	24.6	11.90
23	102.00	90.89	18.89	52.00	102.00	23.34	20.6	34.51
24	106.00	88.50	16.00	38.00	105.00	23.40	19.6	31.00
25	106.00	73.64	16.80	76.00	106.00	19.70	21.5	16.80
26	98.00	65.25	20.70	60.00	79.00	19.45	15.6	20.70
27	115.00	89.50	9.00	19.00	176.80	23.40	19.4	14.50
28	116.00	86.33	11.67	18.00	134.90	21.70	21.2	14.07
29	115.00	97.00	16.00	24.00	167.50	22.80	19.8	16.75
30	116.00	100.00	18.00	19.00	182.60	26.00	22.1	17.30
31	115.00	92.50	14.50	29.00	145.20	22.60	21.6	21.00
32	109.00	108.98	24.00	19.00	146.30	25.46	20.50	28.57
33	108.00	98.00	18.00	20.00	158.90	21.67	22.40	32.25
34	108.00	97.67	24.00	21.67	167.60	23.54	21.40	21.67
35	110.00	110.56	19.00	20.67	105.60	24.60	20.40	25.33
36	104.00	92.00	28.00	16.00	135.40	20.57	22.50	41.84
37	107.00	109.87	32.00	16.89	164.90	20.54	20.80	20.97
38	107.00	110.58	19.00	15.25	154.90	22.45	23.50	26.50
39	108.00	93.75	21.00	13.25	167.70	21.85	23.50	25.00
40	107.00	102.00	12.00	4.00	136.00	22.30	20.50	37.10
41	106.00	100.00	14.00	15.00	172.00	22.80	21.60	46.30
42	108.00	105.00	16.00	18.00	188.00	24.00	21.50	25.50
43	103.00	108.00	30.00	16.00	167.90	21.24	19.50	31.20
44	105.00	105.24	29.00	14.33	147.10	24.69	21.30	33.21
45	104.00	107.20	27.00	18.24	152.70	22.45	19.80	32.80
46	109.00	100.50	22.00	16.45	147.05	22.65	19.30	30.70
47	105.00	108.24	29.00	17.67	149.10	22.49	20.60	25.90
48	106.00	109.64	27.00	18.33	137.50	23.54	23.40	24.80
49	108.00	108.94	24.00	16.36	134.90	21.87	22.90	27.94
50	105.00	94.40	25.00	17.60	176.80	25.45	21.90	32.35
51	105.00	110.58	26.00	15.00	167.90	24.67	24.40	24.36
<b>Mean</b>	105.78	95.55	19.32	32.21	139.22	22.94	20.88	26.71
<b>Range</b>	94-116	61.6-110.5	9-32	4-76	79-188	18.2-26.8	15.4-25.4	11.9-48.5
<b>IWP</b>	125	128.1	25.4	35.1	209.7	22.9	15.8	27.9
<b>Apo</b>	98	90.5	16.9	68.2	134.8	26.3	21.9	20.2

**Table 3. Skewness and Kurtosis values for the quantitative traits in BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> and BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> progenies**


Traits	BC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>3</sub>		BC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>4</sub>	
	Skewness	Kurtosis	Skewness	Kurtosis
Days to 50 per cent flowering	0.06	0.14	-0.08	0.10
Plant height	-0.56	-0.26	-0.93	0.21
Number of productive tillers	-0.32	-0.06	0.59	-0.17
Number of chaffy grains per panicle	1.05	0.79	0.62	-0.79
Number of filled grains per panicle	-0.21	-1.31	-0.31	-0.76
Panicle length	-0.27	1.71	-0.08	-0.10
Thousand grain weight	-0.71	0.11	-0.34	1.08
Single plant yield	1.09	0.49	0.53	0.19




Days to 50 per cent flowering




Plant height (cm)



Number of productive tillers



Number of chaffy grains per panicle



**Fig. 1. Frequency distribution patterns of different traits in the  $BC_2F_3$  generation**

traits plant height and thousand grain weight in the  $BC_2F_3$  generation and plant height, filled grains per panicle, panicle length in  $BC_2F_4$  generation indicated that these traits are under the control of many genes with duplicate gene action. Mild selection will help in achieving faster genetic gain in these traits.


The parent progeny regression analysis was estimated using the mean values of  $BC_2F_4$  individuals on  $BC_2F_3$  for all the traits (Fig. 3). The results showed a strong association between the traits in  $BC_2F_3$  and  $BC_2F_4$  generations. Regression coefficients were highly significant ( $p < 0.01$ )

for the traits days to 50 per cent flowering, the number of productive tillers, the number of chaffy grains per panicle, the number of filled grains per panicle, panicle length, thousand grain weight and single plant yield. The maximum regression coefficient was obtained for days to 50 per cent flowering (1.12) followed by the number of productive tillers (0.60), filled grains per panicle (0.52) and single plant yield (0.43) indicating that selection is effective for these traits in these early generations for obtaining high yield along with earliness (Table 4). Similar results were obtained for single plant yield by Anilkumar *et al.* (2011), for days to 50 per cent




**Table 4. Intergenerational correlation and regression values for the quantitative traits**


Traits	Correlation coefficient (r)	Regression coefficient (b)	Heritability h <sup>2</sup> (%)
Days to 50 per cent flowering	0.92**	1.12**	60.9
Plant height	0.30*	0.21*	35.0
Number of productive tillers	0.55**	0.60**	54.5
Number of chaffy grains per panicle	0.60**	0.56**	46.7
Number of filled grains per panicle	0.63**	0.52**	41.3
Panicle length	0.41**	0.32**	39.0
Thousand grain weight	0.51**	0.40**	39.2
Single plant yield	0.41**	0.43**	52.4




Days to 50 per cent flowering




Plant height (cm)



Number of productive tillers



Number of chaffy grains per panicle



**Fig. 2. Frequency distribution patterns of different traits in the BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> generation**

flowering, plant height and the number of productive tillers by Kavithamani *et al.* (2013) and for panicle length by Lalitha *et al.* (2018). Higher values of regression indicate greater genetic influence and less environmental effects (Palanisamy *et al.*, 2018). Real heritability should be known for the improvement of a trait (Dubey *et al.*, 2019). Regression estimates in this study indicated less environmental influence on these traits and selection based on their phenotypes in these generations is heritable. Parent-progeny regression method is used to know the narrow-sense heritability of the traits which is due to the additive genetic variance (Rani *et al.*, 2021).

Correlation coefficients were highly significant for the traits days to 50 per cent flowering, the number of productive tillers, the number of chaffy grains per panicle, the number of filled grains per panicle, panicle length, thousand grain weight and single plant yield and significant for the trait plant height. Intergenerational correlation studies help to understand the extent to which the genetic potential of the trait will be transferred to further generations (Kumar *et al.*, 2020; Rani *et al.*, 2021). In the present study, intergenerational correlation coefficient was maximum for days to 50 per cent flowering (0.92) followed by The number of filled grains per panicle (0.63), the number of




productive tillers (0.55), thousand grain weight (0.51) and single plant yield (0.41) showing that these traits have high heritability. Similar positive and significant results for plant height, the number of filled grains per panicle, hundred grain weight and panicle length were obtained by Savitha *et al.* (2015).


Narrow sense heritability estimated based on parent progeny regression method revealed high heritability for the trait days to 50 per cent flowering (60.9%), the number of productive tillers (54.5%), single plant yield (52.4%), the number of chaffy grains per panicle (46.7%), the number of filled grains per panicle (41.3%), thousand grain weight (39.2%), panicle length (39%)

and plant height (35%). Similar results were obtained by Kavithamani *et al.* (2013).


Drought tolerant upland cultivars are generally low tillering and low yielding with bold grains. These donors are crossed with the locally adapted cultivars for improving their drought tolerance. Hence, the developed segregating generations need to be carefully evaluated for improved tolerance for drought without compensating for the high yielding ability of the varieties. In the present study, high values of heritability, correlation and regression coefficients for the traits flowering, number of productive tillers, grain yield indicate that these traits can be used for the selection of elite genotypes in early generations.




Days to 50 per cent flowering



Plant height (cm)



Number of productive tillers



Number of chaffy grains per panicle




Fig. 3. Parent offspring regression for the quantitative traits

## REFERENCES

- Aanathi, N. 2018. Inter generation trait association and regression analysis in F<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>3</sub> generations of rice. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.*, **7**(8): 3651-3662. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Anilkumar, C. V. and Ramalingam, J. 2011. Parent progeny regression analysis in F<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>3</sub> generations of rice. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **2**(4): 520-522.
- Choo, T.M. and Reinbergs, E. 1982. Analysis of skewness and kurtosis for detecting gene interaction in a double haploid population. *Crop Science.*, **22**: 231-235. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Dixit, S., Singh, A., Cruz, M. T. S., Maturan, P. T., Amante, M. and Kumar, A. 2014. Multiple major QTL lead to stable yield performance of rice cultivars across varying drought intensities. *Bmc Genetics*, **15**(1): 1-13. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)

- Dubey, S. and Rangaiyah, S. 2019. Broad sense and narrow sense heritability in F<sub>4</sub> and F<sub>5</sub> generations of finger millet, *Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn.. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **10**(1): 66-75. [Cross Ref]
- Govintharaj, P., Tannidi, S., Swaminathan, M. and Sabariappan, R. 2017. Effectiveness of selection, parent-offspring correlation and regression in bacterial blight resistance genes introgressed rice segregating population. *Ciência Rural*, **47**. [Cross Ref]
- Guo, H., Wang, R., Garfin, G. M., Zhang, A. and Lin, D. 2021. Rice drought risk assessment under climate change: Based on physical vulnerability a quantitative assessment method. *Science of the Total Environment*, **751**, 141481. [Cross Ref]
- Harijan, Y., Katral, A., Mahadevaiah, C., Biradar, H., Hadimani, J. and Hittalmani, S. 2021. Genetic analysis of reciprocal differences for yield and yield attributing traits in segregating populations of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **10**(2): 614-621.
- Hosagoudar, G. N. and Shashidhar, H. E. 2018. Studies on variability and frequency distribution of yield and yield related traits in F<sub>2</sub> population of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). <http://www.ijcmas.com>, **7**(09). [Cross Ref]
- Kavithamani, D., Robin, S., Manonmani, S. and Mohanasundaram, K. 2013. Character association and parent progeny regression studies for yield in the segregating generations of TGMS rice lines. *Oryza*, **50**(1): 45-51.
- Khan, M. I. R., Palakolanu, S. R., Chopra, P., Rajurkar, A. B., Gupta, R., Iqbal, N. and Maheshwari, C. 2021. Improving drought tolerance in rice: Ensuring food security through multi-dimensional approaches. *Physiologia Plantarum*, **172**(2): 645-668. [Cross Ref]
- Kumar, S. V., Kumar, M., Singh, V., Sheokand, R. N. and Kumar, P. 2020. Regression analysis and inter generation trait association in F<sub>3</sub> and F<sub>4</sub> generation of wheat. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **11**(01): 45-53. [Cross Ref]
- Lalitha, R., Anand, G. and Arunachalam, P. 2018. Parent progeny regression analysis on yield & yield component characters in advanced breeding lines in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Green Farming*, **9**(4): 615-617.
- Nadarajan, N., Manivannan N. and Gunasekaran, M. 2016. Quantitative genetics and biometrical techniques in plant breeding. Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana.
- Palanisamy, S. 2018. Genetic analysis of biofortification of micronutrient breeding in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Rice Crop: Current Developments*, **17**. [Cross Ref]
- Rani, R., Singh, V. and Punia, M. 2021. Intergeneration correlation and parent-offspring regression in rust resistance derived F. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **91**(5): 683-8.
- Rasheed, A., Hassan, M. U., Aamer, M., Batool, M., Sheng, F. A. N. G., Ziming, W. U. and Huijie, L. I. 2020. A critical review on the improvement of drought stress tolerance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca*, **48**(4): 1756-1788. [Cross Ref]
- Savitha, P. and Kumari, R. U. 2015. Studies on skewness, kurtosis and parent progeny regression for yield and its related traits in segregating generations of rice. *ORYZA-An International Journal on Rice*, **52**(2): 80-86.
- Smith, J. D. and Kinman, M. L. 1965. The use of parent offspring regression as an estimate of heritability. *Crop Sci.*, **5**: 595-596. [Cross Ref]
- Venuprasad, R., Dalid, C.O., Del Valle, M., Zhao, D., Espiritu, M., Cruz, M.S., Amante, M., Kumar, A. and Atlin, G.N. 2009. Identification and characterization of large-effect quantitative trait loci for grain yield under lowland drought stress in rice using bulk-segregant analysis. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, **120**(1): 177-190. [Cross Ref]
- Venuprasad, R., Bool, M. E., Quiatchon, L., Cruz, M. S., Amante, M. and Atlin, G. N. 2012. A large-effect QTL for rice grain yield under upland drought stress on chromosome 1. *Molecular Breeding*, **30**(1): 535-547. [Cross Ref]