

EO-Based Mouthwashes – Is There Something That Should Be Known?

SUMMARY

The possibility to utilize the antibacterial and antibiofilm potential of essential oils (EOs) in dentistry is recognized and best seen in the design of EO-based mouthwashes. The up-to-date results, obtained with the most commonly used formulation being consisted of thymol, eucalyptol, menthol, and methyl salicylate (Listerine), proved its efficacy against plaque and gingival inflammation. However, novel alcohol-free products remain to be further investigated. Commercially available mouthwash containing curcumin, clove oil, mentha oil, eucalyptol, thymol and tea tree oil, and the mouthwash based on ginger EO (α -zingiberene, β -bisabolene, β -sesquiphellandrene, curcumene), reduced plaque index and improved periodontal status of the patients. The plaque index was also reduced and overall healing was improved after the surgical removal of the third molar by mouthwash containing John wort oil. In addition, *Cinnamomum zeylanicum* EO-based mouthwash and mouthwash containing peppermint oil were efficient against stomatitis and xerostomia, respectively. In addition to beneficial effects achieved in the mouth, i.e. at the appropriate site of application, novel investigations pointed-out that EO-based mouthwashes could be recognized as efficient in the reduction of bacterial and viral aerosols. This has been shown for Listerine, and for several mouthwashes containing following active substances of plants' EO origin: α -farnesene, β -farnesene, farnesol, nerolidol, α -bulnesene, eremanthin, β -sesquiphellandrene, spiroether, cinnamylacetate, cinnamaldehyde, eugenol, menthol, and carvacrol. This finding could be of special interest, in order to be included in further clinical studies related to COVID-19 pandemic.

Key words: COVID-19, Essential Oil, Mouthwash, Periodontal Disease, Oral Hygiene, Plaque

Jelena Marinković¹, Dragana Rakašević²,
Milica Nemoda³, Biljana Nikolić⁴, Tatjana
Marković⁴, Stevo Matijević⁵, Dejan Marković⁶

¹ Department of Physical Chemistry, "VINČA" Institute of Nuclear Sciences – National Institute of the Republic of Serbia, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

² Department of Oral Surgery, School of Dental Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

³ Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Biology, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

⁴ Institute for Medicinal Plant Research "dr Josif Pančić", Belgrade, Serbia

⁵ Clinic of Stomatology, Faculty of Medicine of the Military Medical Academy, University of Defense, Belgrade, Serbia

⁶ Department of Paediatric Dentistry, School of Dental Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

REVIEW PAPER (RP)

Balk J Dent Med, 2023;32-37

Introduction

Essential oils (EOs) are plant derivatives extracted from the plant's stems, leaves, flowers, bark, fruits, or roots. They are complex mixtures of many components, mainly characterized with several ones (2 or 3) presented in the highest abundance. The main constituents usually belong to terpenes, terpenoids, or phenylpropanoids, all having a considerable influence on EO's overall potentials. In addition to their bioactivity observed

for each of them, it is worth to note that interactions of the active constituents within the EOs could improve and multiply the overall effectivity. The antibacterial, antibiofilm, antiviral, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant potential, are just some of their various attributes, all being well-established in the literature¹⁻⁹.

The intention to utilize some of the aforementioned EO activities in dentistry, such as antibacterial and especially antibiofilm, makes perfect sense, considering that bacteria embedded in biofilm polysaccharide matrix

cause the majority of dental diseases (caries, gingivitis, periodontitis, and stomatitis). For that reason, it is not surprising that EOs are implemented within toothpastes, mouthwashes, dental gels, but also in some dental materials^{10,11}. Their well-established antibacterial and antibiofilm properties, together with the appropriate organoleptic features (i.e. pleasant, refreshing taste), make them perfect starting material for prosperous bioactive and consumers-acceptable formulations for oral hygiene maintenance.

The idea that mouthwashes should be used as an adjunct to daily oral hygiene routine is not the new one and it is based on their ability to chemically induce bacterial death and/or disruption of polysaccharide matrix within the specific microcommunity i.e. plaque (biofilm). The best confirmation that this idea is well founded, as well as that its best realization could be achieved by application of EOs and/or their active constituents, is the fact that the most commonly known mouthwash nowadays is certainly EO-based mouthwash Listerine. Taking this into account, the first section of this review will be dedicated to present up-to-date overview of the outcomes achieved with Listerine mouthwashes. Second part of this review will bring to the light other EO based mouthwashes and summarize their literature-presented potentials. Finally, having been introduced with the EO-based mouthwashes and their efficacy, the last part of the review will try to answer to the extremely topical issue: if there is a potential beneficial role of the EO-based mouthwashes in pandemic/epidemic of COVID-19 and similar communicable diseases transmissible by aerosols.

Listerine: up-to-date

Listerine was developed in 1879. by Dr. Joseph Lawrence and pharmacist Jordan Wheat Lambert. This formulation contained a highly specific mixture of essential oil constituent's i.e thymol, eucalyptol, menthol and methyl salicylate, and was initially intended as a surgical antiseptic. The formulation was designed and named after the work of Joseph Lister, the father of the modern antiseptics¹². Although formulation proved its activity, it was introduced for many other things rather than what was formulated for, and it took more than 5 decades to establish its position as the highly effective mouth rinse in prevention of periodontal diseases and biofilm (i.e plaque) formation¹².

The Listerine activity could be assigned to the antibacterial and antibiofilm activity of its constituents. It is common knowledge that the antibacterial activity of the EOs' constituents is a result of bacterial membrane penetration and disruption, consequently causing leakage of the microelements and finally leading to bacterial cell death^{2,3,13,14}. Although mechanisms of antibiofilm effect has not been studied extensively so far, available literature data indicate that natural substances (including the EOs and their constituents), could induce antibiofilm activity by multiple

mechanisms: (i) by affecting different stages of biofilm formation, such as planktonic cell adhesion, formation of microcolonies and biofilm maturation, as well as (ii) by interfering with cellular characteristic and metabolic pathways being essential for biofilm formation, such as cell communication (quorum sensing), cell flagellation and motility, cell surface properties (i.e. hydrophobicity and roughness), production of adhesins and polysaccharide extracellular matrix¹⁵. If it can be understood that plaque, the main cause of periodontal disease, is nothing more than a bacterial micro-community within the extracellular matrix settled on gingiva, it is easy to link why the Listerine proved its efficiency within the field.

Although it is advised for almost all patients as a support to ordinary oral hygiene routine, it is probably the most important for those that cannot achieve ideal manually cleaning including elderly patients, patients with physical or mental limitations, and/or patients with lower levels of manual dexterity. Additionally, Listerine is also recognized as valuable for patients with specific dental conditions including malposed teeth, bridge-work, as well as the one in the orthodontic treatment procedure. In the after mentioned cases, proper oral hygiene may be impaired, leading to the presence of residual biofilm causing consequently disease onset (i.e. caries, gingivitis). Accordingly, EO-based mouthwashes are considered a valuable supplement to toothbrushes and flossing^{1, 16-19}.

At the end of 2019., Takenaka *et al.*¹ summarized the results of many systematic reviews and meta-analyses on the subject, and stressed that previous results clearly demonstrated the efficiency of EO mouthwash (Listerine) against dental biofilm and gingival inflammation. These results showed that Listerine provides statistically significant decrease of plaque and gingival indices. Interestingly, although a comprehensive analysis was provided, Listerine remained in the research interest focus. Contrary to previous outcome, a recent study by Hunsrisakhun *et al.*²⁰ did not demonstrate any additional benefits of using alcohol-free EO containing 0.05% fluoride mouth rinse (Listerine) when compared to clear 0.05% fluoride mouthwash. Moreover, another recent clinical study had not demonstrated a greater influence on periodontal pocket depth (PPD) reduction in patients with periodontitis after additional four- and six-month use of EO-based mouthwash (Listerine) following scaling and root planning (SRP) compared to only SPR²¹.

Interestingly, the previously mentioned study conducted by Hunsrisakhun *et al.*²⁰ tested alcohol-free Listerine product. This information may be of interest, because it spreads a light over still controversial question about the alcohol role within Listerine products. Alcohol, within an EO-based mouth rinse, serves as an agent that dissolves and solubilizes EO constituents, responding for the product's long-lasting. Incorporation of alcohol in mouth rinse has limited its use in certain patient populations and/or conditions (i.e children, alcoholics,

those of certain religious beliefs, and patients with oral mucositis). In order to overcome these drawbacks, in 2012. Listerine non-alcohol products were launched. This additionally resulted in growing research interest on the subject, especially whether alcohol significantly supports the antiplaque potential of EO constituents within mouthwash or not. Studies are still scarce and Lynch *et al.*²² found no difference between mouthwashes, while contrary, Spudidaro *et al.*²³ displayed that alcohol-based mouthwashes containing EOs were more efficient compared to alcohol-free ones. It could be expected that alcohol-containing EO-based Listerine will hold its position as reliable adjunct to conventional (routine) oral hygiene in nearest future, while non-alcohol version will undergo the further time testing. Accordingly, further investigations will be needed to justify and explain whether the alcohol vehicle enhances the antibiofilm properties of EOs within mouthwash or not¹.

Other EO-based mouthwashes and their possible utilization

It was expected that success in plaque reduction of EO-based formulation Listerine will lead to novel research, summarized in Table 1. In line with this, a significant reduction in plaque index (PI) scores and clinical parameters improvement (Table 1) in patients with periodontitis and rheumatoid arthritis were observed when the experimental mouthwash (consisting of curcumin,

clove oil, mentha oil, eucalyptol, thymol, and tea tree oil) was tested. In this non-surgical periodontal therapy, SRP alone led to decrease of clinical attachment level (CAL) and periodontal pocket depth (PPD), however, the greater improvement of these parameters were achieved when SRP was employed with additional EO -based mouthwash²⁴.

The study conducted by Faria *et al.*²⁵ investigated the efficacy of *Zingiber officinale* EO mouthwash on patients with fixed orthodontic appliances. The authors presented that the *Zingiber officinale* EO mouthwash had high initial bactericidal effect and proved some reduction of PI and bleeding index after the 7 days of use. Interestingly, their result showed that placebo (flavored distilled water) also had impact on PI. Since the intergroup analysis was not provided in this study, it couldn't be certainly asserted additional benefits of EO within mouthwash.

In another study, St. John's wort oil in olive oil based mouthwash reduced efficiently PI after the surgical procedure of wisdom tooth²⁶. The data of this study confirms that the use of EOs showed similar results as the positive control (chlorhexidine gluconate mouthwash) regarding postoperative complications such as swelling, trismus, pain, infection and wound healing. Therefore, as an important part of oral hygiene maintenance, additional usage of EO- based mouthwashes after wisdom tooth surgical removal was suggested.

Table 1. EO-based mouthwashes and their clinical relevance

Mouthwash and its composition	EO-Constituents	Study group	Reduction of the	Benefit in clinical status	Reference
Commercially available mouthwash	curcumin, clove oil, mentha oil, eucalyptol, thymol and tea tree oil	patients with chronic periodontitis and rheumatoid arthritis	plaque index	regarding clinical attachment level and periodontal pocket depth	24
<i>Zingiber officinale</i> EO mouthwash	α -zingiberene				
Sodium lauryl sulfate, sorbitol polysorbate 80, <i>Z. officinale</i> essential oil, saccharin, distilled water	β -bisabolene β -sesquiphellandrene ar-curcumene, germacrene,	patients with fixed orthodontic appliances	plaque index , bleeding index	n.p.*	25
St. John's wort EO mouthwash					
<i>Hypericum perforatum</i> oil in <i>Olea europaea</i> oil	β -caryophyllene and 2-methyl-octane α -pinene ²⁷	after the surgical removal of third molar	plaque index	regarding swelling, mouth opening, pain, , infectious complications (i.e., alveolar osteitis), and periodontal healing	26
<i>Cinnamomum zeylanicum</i> EO mouthwash					
Carboxymethylcellulose, propylene glycol, tween 80, <i>C. zeylanicum</i> EO, brown dye, purified water	eugenol, caryophyllene, benzyl benzoate and linalool	oral candidiasis within denture stomatitis	n.d.	reduction of signs and symptoms of the oral candidiasis	28
Peppermint oil mouthwash					
peppermint oil, propolis, xylitol, ethyl alcohol, and water	menthol, menthone, menthofuran, 1,8-cineole, and menthyl acetate ²⁹	patients with xerostomia	gingival index, and mean salivary rate (SR) score reduction	dentinal hypersensitivity reduction and reliving dry mouth symptoms, as well as less plaque accumulation	30

*n.d.- the information has not been determined within the study

Interestingly, some of the researchers expanded the idea of the utilization of EO-based mouthwashes irrigants or sprays within other fields^{7,8,31,32}. For example Araujo *et al.*²⁸ investigated the mouthwash and spray containing EO extracted from *C. zeylanicum* leaves against oral candidiasis within denture stomatitis. The results proved efficiency of the formulations in terms of reduction of oral candidiasis signs and symptoms as well as greater reduction of *Candida* spp. isolates from oral mucosa and dentures. Another intraoral spray efficiency (consisting of peppermint oil, propolis, xylitol, ethyl alcohol, and water) was investigated by Balyan *et al.*³⁰. The oral spray proved its efficiency in terms of gingival index (GI) reduction, mean salivary rate (SR) score, and dentinal hypersensitivity. Moreover, plaque regrowth reduction was also demonstrated, making altogether quite promising its use to relieve symptoms of xerostomia.

Although the above-mentioned studies were interested to explore if EO-based mouthwashes may be used to treat specific clinical conditions, one interesting profound systematic review investigated the possibility of the EO-based mouth rinses to reduce the number of microorganisms in the dental aerosol³³. Based on a fact that some dental procedures result in dissemination of microorganisms in the aerosol, it was consider important to explore if pre-procedural mouthrinsing can decrease total CFU. The study confirmed that that pre-procedural mouth rinses significantly reduce the number of microorganisms in the dental aerosol. Encouraging results are in line with the idea that pre-procedural utilization of EO-based mouthwashes may be of benefit in the reduction of pathogens in aerosols, especially in the COVID-19 pandemic era.

Is there a place for EO mouthwashes in COVID-19 pandemic?

In December 2019, in Wuhan (China) the coronavirus infectious disease (COVID-19) was detected. After three months WHO declared outbreak of a pandemic and recognized it as a public health emergency of international concern³⁴⁻³⁵. The term COVID-19 includes respiratory conditions that can vary from the common cold to severe pneumonia (accompanied with septic shock, and multi-organ failure), while pathogenic causative agent is denoted as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). SARS-CoV-2 is primarily transmitted directly through respiratory droplets. However, indirect transmission is also possible trough hand-mediated transfer of the virus from contaminated objects or surfaces³⁵. Dental offices are places with high increased risk of virus transmission either directly or indirectly. Firstly, dental procedures including activation of slow and high-speed handpieces, ultrasonic scalers, and air-water syringes produce aerosols which mostly affect doctors and assistants working in close proximity to patients³⁵. Moreover, the contaminated dental aerosol may

settle on surfaces in the dental office and may result in the contamination of other patients via indirect contact³⁵.

Therefore, the pre-procedural mouth rinses usage has been proposed, aiming to reduce viral load in saliva and oropharyngeal tissues of the patients and consequently to reduce viral load in the dental aerosol³⁵. In the study conducted by Reis *et al.*³⁵, authors claim that EO based mouthwashes possess efficiency against many viruses similar to the inducer of the COVID-19, even against SARS-CoV-1. The explanation was in the fact that EO/EO constituents are capable to prevent the penetration of virus by rupture of viral envelope and consequent dispute of the membranes fusion. Furthermore, they also suggested that EO/ EO constituents can affect viral replication. Review conducted by Mendosa *et al.*³⁶ presented that the EO constituents (eucalyptol, menthol, methyl salicylate, and thymol) combined with ethanol (Listerine product) achieved some effect (being qualified as moderate to high) against SARS-CoV-2 in *in vitro* study. Carrouel *et al.*³⁷ also listed the concrete EO constituents as powerful against SARS-CoV-2 such as α -farnesene, β -farnesene, farnesol, nerolidol, α -bulnesene, eremanthin, β -sesquiphellandrene, spiroether, cinnamylacetate, eremanthin, cinnamaldehyde, eugenol, menthol, and carvacrol. Concerning limited number of the clinical studies with EO- based mouthwashes and COVID-19 virus³⁸, and being in accordance with the study of Reis *et al.*³⁵, the pre-procedural mouth rinse containing EO may potentially reduce SARS-CoV-2 load in saliva. Nevertheless, the future clinical trials are required.

Conclusions

Clinical studies showed that EO- based mouthwashes have a recognized role as a reliable adjunct in preventing and controlling periodontal disease. Concerning their application within different fields in dentistry as well as in the COVID pandemic, studies are still in infancy, however, the first results are encouraging, showing their beneficial activity in the healing after the surgical removal of wisdom teeth, but also in xerostomia and stomatitis. Moreover, EO-based mouthwashes demonstrated their significant role in bacterial/viral load reduction within aerosols in dental offices. Taking all into account, EO-mouthwashes could be the candidates for future studies which will explore their potential more thoroughly, and expand their utilization fields.

Acknowledgments. The authors appreciate the financial support of the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia 451-03-68/2022-14/200017, 451-03-68/2022-14/ 200178, 451-03-68/2022-14/ 200003.

Reference

1. Takenaka S, Ohsumi T, Noiri Y. Evidence-based strategy for dental biofilms: Current evidence of mouthwashes on dental biofilm and gingivitis. *Jpn Dent Sci Rev*, 2019; 55:33-40.
2. Bakkali F, Averbeck S, Averbeck D, Idaomar M. Biological effects of essential oils—a review. *Food Chem Toxicol*, 2008;46:446-475.
3. Burt S. Essential oils: their antibacterial properties and potential applications in foods—a review. *Int J Food Microbiol*, 2004; 94:223-253.
4. Marinković J, Marković T, Miličić B, Soković M, Ćiri A, Marković D. Outstanding efficacy of essential oils against oral pathogens. In *Essential Oil Research*. Springer, Cham. 2019; pp. 211-233.
5. Marinkovic J, Markovic T, Nikolic B, Soldatovic I, Ivanov M, Ciric A, et al. Antibacterial and Antibiofilm Potential of *Leptospermum petersonii* FM Bailey, *Eucalyptus citriodora* Hook., *Pelargonium graveolens* L'Hér. and *Pelargonium roseum* (Andrews) DC. *Essential Oils Against Selected Dental Isolates*. *JEOBP*, 2021;24:304-316.
6. Marinkovic J, Markovic T, Nikolic B, Ciric A, Mitic-Culafic D, Dukanovic S, et al. Biocompatibility and Antibacterial Potential of the *Cinnamomum camphora cineoliferum* (L.) J. Presl. and *Melaleuca ericifolia* Sm. *Essential Oils Against Facultative and Obligate Endodontic Anaerobes*. *JEOBP*, 2022;25:111-125.
7. Marinković J, Bošković M, Tasić G, Vasilijević B, Marković D, Marković T, et al. *Cymbopogon martinii* essential oil nanoemulsions: Physico-chemical characterization, antibacterial and antibiofilm potential against *Enterococcus faecalis*. *Ind Crops Prod*, 2022;187:115478.
8. Marinković J, Mitić-Ćulafić D, Nikolić B, Đukanović S, Marković T, Tasić G, et al. Antimicrobial potential of irrigants based on essential oils of *Cymbopogon martinii* and *Thymus zygis* towards in vitro multispecies biofilm cultured in ex vivo root canals. *Arch Oral Biol*, 2020;117:104842.
9. Marinković J, Nikolić B, Marković T, Radunović M, Ilić J, Bošković M, et al. *Cymbopogon citratus* essential oil: an active principle of nanoemulsion against *Enterococcus faecalis* root canal biofilm. *Future Microbiol*, 2021;16:907-918.
10. Singh I, Kaur P, Kaushal U, Kaur V, Shekhar N. Essential Oils in Treatment and Management of Dental Diseases. *Biointerf Res Appl Chem*, 2022;12:7267-7286.
11. Markowitz K, Moynihan M, Liu M, Kim S. Biologic properties of eugenol and zinc oxide-eugenol: A clinically oriented review. *Oral Surg Oral Medi Oral Pathol*, 1992;73:729-737.
12. Fine DH. Listerine: past, present and future – A test of thyme. *J Dent*, 2010;38:S2-S5.
13. Dorman HD, Deans SG. Antimicrobial agents from plants: antibacterial activity of plant volatile oils. *J Appl Microbiol*, 2000;88:308-316.
14. Mandel ID. Antimicrobial Mouthrinses: Overview and Update. *JADA*, 1994;125:2S-10S.
15. Elisabeth ZM. The Mechanisms of Bacterial Biofilm Inhibition and Eradication: The Search for Alternative Antibiofilm Agents. In (Ed.), *Focus on Bacterial Biofilms*. IntechOpen, 2022.
16. Haas AN, Pannuti CM, Andrade AKPD, Escobar EC, Almeida ERD, Costa FO, et al. Mouthwashes for the control of supragingival biofilm and gingivitis in orthodontic patients: evidence-based recommendations for clinicians. *Braz Oral Res*, 2014;28:1-8.
17. Milleman K, Milleman J, Bosma ML, McGuire JA, Sunkara A, DelSasso A, et al. Role of Manual Dexterity on Mechanical and Chemotherapeutic Oral Hygiene Regimens. *J Dent Hyg*, 2022;96:35-45.
18. Panagioutou A, Rossouw PE, Michelogiannakis D, Javed F. Role of Essential Oil-Based Mouthwashes in Controlling Gingivitis in Patients Undergoing Fixed Orthodontic Treatment. A Review of Clinical Trials. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 2021;18:10825.
19. Langa GPJ, Cavagni J, Muniz FWMG, Oballe HJR, Friedrich SA, Nicolini AC, et al. Antiplaque and antigingivitis efficacy of cetylpyridinium chloride with zinc lactate compared with essential oil mouthrinses: Randomized clinical trial. *JADA*, 2021; 152:105-114.
20. Hunsrisakhun J, Talungchit S, Naorungroj S. Effectiveness of alcohol-free mouth rinse containing essential oils and fluoride as an oral hygiene adjunct among pregnant Thai women: A randomized clinical trial. *JISPCD*, 2020;10:803.
21. León-Rodríguez JA, Vargas-Casana ST, Millones-Gómez PA. Effectiveness of chlorhexidine and essential oils associated with scaling and root planing in the treatment of chronic periodontitis. *Revista Ciencias de la Salud*, 2021;18:30-40.
22. Lynch MC, Cortelli SC, McGuire JA, Zhang J, Ricci-Nittel D, Mordas CJ, Aquino DR, Cortelli JR. The effects of essential oil mouthrinses with or without alcohol on plaque and gingivitis: a randomized controlled clinical study. *BMC Oral Health*, 2018;18:6.
23. Spuldaró TR, Rogério dos Santos Júnior M, Vicentis de Oliveira Fernandes G, Rösing CK. Efficacy of Essential Oil Mouthwashes With and Without Alcohol on the Plaque Formation: A Randomized, Crossover, Double-Blinded, Clinical Trial. *J Evid Based Dent Pract*, 2021;21:101527.
24. Anusha D, Chaly PE, Junaid M, Nijesh JE, Shivashankar K, Sivasamy S. Efficacy of a mouthwash containing essential oils and curcumin as an adjunct to nonsurgical periodontal therapy among rheumatoid arthritis patients with chronic periodontitis: A randomized controlled trial. *Indian J Dent Res*, 2019;30:506-511.
25. Faria TRB, Furletti-Goes V F, Franzini CM, de Aro AA, de Andrade TAM, Sartoratto A, et al. Anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial effects of *Zingiber officinale* mouthwash on patients with fixed orthodontic appliances. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 2021; 159:21-29.
26. Kaplan V, Hasanoglu Erbasar GN, Cigerim L, Altay Turgut H, Cerit A. Effect of St. John's wort oil and olive oil on the postoperative complications after third molar surgery: Randomized, double-blind clinical trial. *Clin Oral Investig*, 2021;25:2429-2438.
27. Chatzopoulou, PS, Koutsos TV, Katsiotis ST. Chemical composition of the essential oils from cultivated and wild grown St. John's Wort (*Hypericum perforatum*). *J Essent Oil Res*, 2006;18:643-646.
28. Araújo MRC, Maciel PP, Castellano LRC, Bonan PRF, Alves D da N, Medeiros ACD, et al. Efficacy of essential oil of cinnamon for the treatment of oral candidiasis: A randomized trial. *Spec Care Dent*, 2021;41:349-357.

29. Beigi M, Torki-Harchegani M, Ghasemi Pirbalouti A. Quantity and chemical composition of essential oil of peppermint (*Mentha× piperita* L.) leaves under different drying methods. *Int J Food Prop*, 2018;21:267-276.
30. Balyan V, Mahantesha S, Talwar V, Mishra S, Joseph S. Clinical Evaluation of an Essential Oil Intraoral Spray for Treatment of Dry Mouth. *World J Dent*, 2022;13:110-115.
31. Marinković J, Marković T, Brkić S, Radunović M, Soldatović I, Ćirić A, et al. Microbiological analysis of primary infected root canals with symptomatic and asymptomatic apical periodontitis of young permanent teeth. *Balk J Dent Med*, 2020;24:170-177.
32. Marinković J, Nikolić B, Marković T, Petrović B, Pašalić S, Lal M, et al. Essential oils as adjuvants in endodontic therapy: myth or reality? *Future Microbiol*, 2022;17:1487-1499.
33. Marui VC, Souto MLS, Rovai ES, Romito GA, Chambrone L, Pannuti CM. Efficacy of preprocedural mouthrinses in the reduction of microorganisms in aerosol: A systematic review. *JADA*, 2019;150:1015-1026.
34. Mateos-Moreno MV, Mira A, Ausina-Marquez V, Ferrer MD. Oral antiseptics against coronavirus: in-vitro and clinical evidence. *J Hosp Infect*, 2021;13:30-43.
35. Reis INR, do Amaral GCLS, Mendoza AAH, das Graças YT, Mendes-Correa MC, Romito GA, et al. Can preprocedural mouthrinses reduce SARS-CoV-2 load in dental aerosols? *Med Hypotheses*, 2021;146:110436.
36. Mendoza JPIM, Ubillús BPT, Bolívar GTS, Palacios RDPC, Lopez PSGH, Rodríguez DAP, et al. Antiviral effect of mouthwashes against SARS-COV-2: A systematic review. *Saudi Dent J*, 2022;34:167-193.
37. Carrouel F, Gonçalves LS, Conte MP, Campus G, Fisher J, Fraticelli L, et al. Antiviral activity of reagents in mouth rinses against SARS-CoV-2. *J Dent Res*, 2021;100:124-132.
38. Mohamed NA, Baharom N, Sulaiman WSW, Rashid ZZ, Ken WK, Ali UK, et al. Early viral Clearance among COVID-19 patients when gargling with povidone-iodine and essential oils – A clinical trial. *Int Med J*, 2020;27:651-654.

Received on November 22, 2022.

Revised on December 12, 2022.

Accepted on December 20, 2022.

Conflict of Interests: Nothing to declare.

Financial Disclosure Statement: Nothing to declare.

Human Rights Statement: All the procedures on humans were conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised 2000. Consent was obtained from the patient/s and approved for the current study by national ethical committee.

Animal Rights Statement: None required.

Correspondence

Jelena Marinković

Department of Physical Chemistry

“VINČA” Institute of Nuclear Sciences – National Institute of the Republic of Serbia

University of Belgrade, Serbia

e-mail: jelena.marinkovic@vin.bg.ac.rs