

IUPUI

SCHOOL OF HEALTH &

HUMAN SCIENCES

# **Reducing Physical Activity Disparities Among Vulnerable Minorities: Methods and Preliminary Outcomes**

<sup>1</sup>Indiana University, Indianapolis, IN; <sup>2</sup>IU Center for Aging Research, Indianapolis, IN; <sup>3</sup>Regenstrief Institute, Indianapolis, IN

# INTRODUCTION & METHODS

**INTRODUCTION:** Vulnerable minorities experience high rates of chronic disease. Physical Activity (PA) is an effective preventive behavior to mitigate multiple diseases. Vulnerable minorities have low PA participation. Finding ways to engage PA in vulnerable minorities is imperative. **PURPOSE:** To describe the baseline data from a community-based wait-list pilot PA trial for vulnerable minorities.

**METHOD:** Forty-five participants from a Midwest urban community were randomized to an experimental (EXP) or wait-list control (WLC) group. EXP participants were counseled to engage in regular PA (>4d/wk for >30 mins). EXP participants received a fitness center membership, trainer, and on-site monthly education to help them develop exercise identity and habit formation. The WLC group could engage in PA if desired but did not have the same research resources. Both groups completed monthly surveys assessing exercise identity, social support, and habit formation. Baseline data included one week of moderate-to-vigorous PA (MVPA) and health-related fitness assessments (measured by accelerometry and fitness tests, respectively).

### RESULTS

	EXP
MVPA (min/wk)	127.9 ± 69.8
8ft Up-&-Go Test (secs)	5.01 ± 0.80
30s Chair Sit-to-Stand (reps)	$15.3 \pm 6.5$
30s Arm Curl Test (reps)	
Right	18.9 ± 5.1
Left	19.2 ± 5.1
Chair Sit-&-Reach (cm)	
Right	-0.1 ± 8.3
Left	-0.3 ± 8.2

Table 1. Baseline Outcomes for PA Behavior and Fitnes There were no significant differences in weekly PA beha lower/upper body muscular endurance between groups. There in lower body flexibility between groups for both right and left legs. Data reported as Mean  $\pm$  SD, \*p = 0.003, #p = 0.01.

WLC
174.7 ± 103.1
5.05 ± 1.10
17.5 ± 5.2
21.2 ± 5.7
20.1 ± 5.4
$1.0 \pm 12.2^*$
0.1 ± 11.4 <sup>#</sup>
ss Assessments: avior, agility, and
e were aimerences



Figure 1. Comparison Between Participant and Community Demographics: Race, Education, and Employment for participants (A1, B1, C1) and the sampled community (A2, B2, C2) are shown above. Data are presented as percentages (%). Community data retrieved from the U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey - 5-year estimates (2016-2020).

	EXP	WLC	CONCLUSIO
N	23	22	Data show baseline mea
Male	8	7	Moreover, our team experiment
Female	15	15	included: 1) Schedule (work
Age (yrs)	48.8 ± 13.2	40.0 ± 9.7	demands; 2) Fear of getting
Height (cm)	167.9 ± 7.0	168.5 ± 9.1	break); 4) The limited hours
Weight (kg)	85.0 ± 19.3	98.0 ± 26.4	costs of goods (e.g., gasoli
BMI (kg/m²)	$30.2 \pm 6.5$	33.6 ± 8.3	outcomes and developing re <sup>-</sup>
Body Fat %	32.9 ± 12.0	37.11 ± 10.9	ACKNOV
<b>Table 2. Baseline Characteristics:</b> 45 healthy adults were recruited for participation. Participants were included if they were not meeting US PA quidelines > 18vrs, and were not a		This work is supported by Regensterna RAA is supported by Indiana University	
member of the fitness facility. No significant differences			EMAIL: ralamill@iu.edu TWITTER: @RA Alamilla

# **Rafael A. Alamilla<sup>1</sup>, Yanoula M. Georgiadis<sup>1</sup>, Navin Kaushal<sup>1</sup>, NiCole R. Keith, FACSM<sup>1,2,3</sup>**







# **NS & LIMITATIONS**

asures did not vary between groups. rienced difficulties recruiting vulnerable barriers to participation in our study k, child's school, etc.) and conflicting life ill or getting immediate family ill (COVID, routine (e.g., children going on school of the fitness center; 5) Inflation & rising ne, food, etc.); and 6) Issues interacting trust. Next steps include reporting final efined recruitment methods.

# NLEDGEMENTS

rief Institute's Health Equity Research Pilot Grant ersity President's Graduate Diversity Fellowship