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#### Abstract

Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) have an important role in economic development in order to achieve thq quality of economic growth. Intense competition among MSMEs requires MSMEs to have a good inventory control that can help them minimize costs and maximize profits. One of the MSMEs that often experiences problems in inventory control is Sabun Bening Official. To solve the inventory problems in Sabun Bening Official, Holt-Winter Exponential Additive forecasting method is used as a guide to predict future product demand because product demand graph is seasonal and has trend pattern. After getting the value of product demand forecast, inventory control calucaltions are carried out using the Q Model probabilistic inventory method with lost sales condition. The uncertain and fluctuating demand causing the inventory system in Sabun Bening Official is probabilistic and the company will lose sales if it does not able to fulfill customer demands. Based on the research results, product forecasting for the coming period and inventory control policies which include the optimal number of product order, safety stock, reorder point, and product inventory costs can be obtained.
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## 1. Introduction

High population density in some area has an impact on ecomonic growth so it will affect the welfare of the population in the area itself. In order to achieve the quality of economic growth, national development in the economic field is needed, one of which is the empowerment of MSMEs. Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) have an important role in national economic development. In 2019, Indonesia has $65,456,497$ million MSMEs. This number increased by $1.98 \%$ when compared to 2018 which has $64,194,057$ million. Until 2019, MSMEs accommodated 119,562,843 million workers and contributed $60,51 \%$ to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) at current prices (Source: kemenkopukm.go.id). Intense competition among MSMEs requires MSMEs to have competitive advantages, one of which is good inventory management, so it can help them minimize costs and maximize profits.

One of the MSMEs that often experience problems in inventory management is Sabun Bening Official. The MSME assisted by the Ministry of Cooperatives and Small and Medium Enterprises of Republic of Indonesia is engaged in household needs and sells a variety of products, such as hand soap, softener, detergent, and dish soap. The fluctuating number of products requested by buyers and uncertain demand times are problems that are often encountered by MSME. In addition, the lack of supply due to high demand is a problem that is often experienced by MSME. Those problems lead to risk of lost sales due to the unavailability of products and the increase in ordering costs and inventory costs. If this happens frequently, MSME will suffer losses. Therefore, MSME requires an appropriate policy to meet the uncertain demand of buyers. This problem can be solved by using forecasting methods to determine the amount of demand in the future, then it will be determined the appropriate product inventory policy based on the forecasting results. The state of the art in this research can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1: State of the art research

| Researcher | Year | Title | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Siregar et al. | 2017 | Comparison of Exponential Smoothing <br> Methods in Forecasting Palm Oil Real <br> Production | Holt-winter method additive has <br> the lowest error rate. |
|  |  | ( |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| Mgale et al. 2021 | A Comparative Study of ARIMA and <br> Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing <br> Models for Rice Price Forecasting in <br> Tanzania | Holt-Winter <br> Additive has a better result than <br> the ARIMA model. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| ElHafsi $2021 \quad$Optimal production and inventory <br> control of multi-class mixed backorder <br> and lost sales demand class models | Results obtained that the Q Q <br> model with a back order case is <br> the best model. |  |
| Sabit et al. $2020 \quad$Policy determination of inventory <br> control of batik fabric using Q and P lost <br> sale probabilistic model through <br> montecarlo simulation approach as the <br> system testing analysis. | Model Q with lost sales case is <br> the most optimal policy. |  |

Based on Table 1 and the problems above, the main discussion in this research is to combine the Holt-Winter Exponential Additive forecasting method with the Q Model probabilistic inventory method with lost sales condition to solve inventory problems in MSME.

## 2. Literature Review

### 2.1. Inventory Control

Inventory control is a series of control policies to determine inventory levels that must be maintained, when orders to increase inventory must be made, and how large orders must be held (Brown, 2018).

### 2.2. Probabilistic Inventory Control Method

According to Baker \& Urban, (1988), a probabilistic inventory control method is an inventory model where conditions are not known with certainty, but the expected value, variance and distribution pattern can be predicted. The probabilistic inventory control policy is known by the existence of two methods, which are P Model and Q Model. The difference between the two models lies in the time of order.

### 2.3. Q Model with Lost Sales Condition

Q Model is a probabilistic inventory model related to the determination of operating stock and safety stock. In Q Model, order quantity is the main parameter and the amount of inventory ordered is constant (Kulińska, 2020). In a lost sales condition, when inventory is not available, the buyers is not willing to wait for the product requested until it is available in the warehouse. Buyers will go and look for the product they need elsewhere. Cost formulations considered in inventory control include:

1. Purchase $\operatorname{Cost}\left(O_{b}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{b}=D . P \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. rder $\operatorname{Cost}\left(O_{p}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{p}=\frac{A D}{Q} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Holding $\operatorname{Cost}\left(O_{s}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{s}=\left(\frac{1}{2} Q+s s\right) H \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. Shortage Cost $\left(O_{k}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{k}=C_{u} \frac{D}{Q^{*}} N \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total inventory cost equation $\left(O_{T}\right)$ can be seen in equation 5 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{T}=D P+\frac{A D}{Q}+\left(\frac{Q}{2}+\mathrm{r}-D L\right) h+C_{u} \frac{D}{Q^{*}} N \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To get the inventory solution with the Q Model with lost sales condition, the value $O_{T}$ needs to be minimized by determining the optimal value of $Q^{*}$ and $r^{*}$. To obtain this value, the Hadley-Within algorithm can be calculated in the following way (Baker \& Urban, 1988):
a. Calculate the initial value of $Q_{1}^{*}$ with the Wilson formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}^{*}=Q_{w}^{*}=\sqrt{\frac{2 A D}{H}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

b. Next, the value of the possible shortage of inventory $\alpha$ will be calculated and the value $r_{1}^{*}$ will be calculated after

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha & =\frac{H Q_{1}}{C_{u} D+H Q_{1}}  \tag{7}\\
r_{1}^{*} & =D L+Z_{\alpha} s \sqrt{L} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

c. Then find the value of $Q_{2}^{*}$ with the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{2}^{*}=\sqrt{\frac{2 D\left(A+C_{u} N\right)}{H}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

d. Recalculate the value of $\alpha$ and the value of $r_{2}^{*}$ using the following equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha & =\frac{H Q_{1}^{*}}{C_{u} D+H Q_{1}^{*}}  \tag{10}\\
r_{2}^{*} & =D L+Z_{\alpha} s \sqrt{L} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

e. If the value of $r_{2}^{*}$ relatively equal to $r_{1}^{*}$, then the iteration is finished and it will be obtained $r_{1}^{*}=r_{2}^{*}$ and $Q_{1}^{*}=Q_{2}^{*}$. If not, then return to step (c) by replacing the values $r_{1}^{*}=r_{2}^{*}$ and $Q_{1}^{*}=Q_{2}^{*}$.

### 2.4. Forecasting

Forecasting is used to estimate how much demand will be in the future which includes needs in terms of quantity, quality, and time required in order to meet demand for goods (Miller et al, 2017). One of the forecasting methods is the time series method in which the analysis is carried out based on the forecast results which are compiled on the relationship pattern between the variables being sought and the time variable that influences them. The purpose of the time series is to find patterns in historical data series and extrapolate these patterns to the future (Wahyudi, 2017). The types of data patterns in time series are divided into four, which are horizontal, seasonal, cyclical, and trend.

### 2.5. Holt-Winter Exponential Additive

Holt-Winter Exponential Additive forecasting is a method used for data that contains trend and seasonal elements, where the seasonal elements indicate a relatively constant seasonal fluctuation. According to Wheelwright (1998), this method is based on three smoothing parameters to obtain forecasting results, which are $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$. This method requires the best combination of the three parameters in order to get optimal results. The equation used for forecasting with the Holt-Winter Exponential Additive method is as follows:

1. Specifies the values of $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$

Determination of model parameters is determined through trial and error which is entering the value of $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ at intervals $(0,1)$ then selecting the best $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ that produces the smallest MAPE (Nurhamidah et al, 2020).
2. Specifies the level smoothing value $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}=\alpha\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{k}}\right)+(1-\alpha)\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}-1}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Determine the estimated value of the trend $\left(b_{t}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{t}=\beta\left(L_{t}-L_{t-1}\right)+(1-\beta) b_{t-1} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. Determine the seasonal estimated value $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}=\gamma\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)+(1-\gamma) \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{k}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

5. Determine the forecast value $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{m}}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{mb}_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{m}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the calculation of the Holt-Winter exponential additive method, it is necessary to initialize the initial value. The equation used to initialize the initial value is as follows:

1. Initialization value for exponential smoothing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}+\mathrm{X}_{3}+\cdots+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Initialization value for trend smoothing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}+1}-\mathrm{X}_{1}}{\mathrm{k}}+\frac{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}+2}-\mathrm{X}_{2}}{\mathrm{k}}+\frac{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}+3}-\mathrm{X}_{3}}{\mathrm{k}}+\cdots+\frac{\mathrm{X}_{2 \mathrm{k}}-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{k}}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Initialization values for the seasonal smoothing of periods 1 to k

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{t}=1,2,3, \ldots, \mathrm{k} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.6. Validation of Forecasting Method Accuracy

In this research, the calculation of forecasting accuracy is used with the Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE). MAPE is a measurement of accuracy by calculating the total percentage between forecasting data that deviates from the actual data (Adnan et al., 2018). The MAPE formula is as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { MAPE } & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left|P E_{t}\right|}{n}  \tag{19}\\
P E_{t} & =\left(\frac{X_{t}-F_{t}}{X_{t}}\right)(100)
\end{align*}
$$

The MAPE criteria according to Lawrence (2009) can be seen in Table 2.
Table 2: The MAPE criteria

| MAPE | Interpretation |
| :---: | :--- |
| $<10 \%$ | High forecasting accuracy |
| $10 \%-20 \%$ | Good forecasting accuracy |
| $20 \%-50 \%$ | Reasonable forecasting accuracy |
| $>50 \%$ | Weak and inaccurate predictability |

## 3. Materials and Methods

### 3.1. Materials

The object of this research is the supply of several types of products at MSME Sabun Bening Official. The MSME is located at Bandung City, West Java. The UMKM is engaged in household needs and selling offline or in person and online through the WhatsApp business platform and Tokopedia and Shopee e-commerce. Products which are the object of this research are hand soap, softener, and detergent. Those three products are the bestseller products and having the high demand from buyers. The sales data for January - August 2022 used for this research. Microsoft Excel and SPSS are the software used.

### 3.2. Methods

The research method used in this research is descriptive quantitative method. The reason for using the quantitative method is because the research data used is in the form of numbers and being analyzed using a model then the results will be given a discussion. It is said to be descriptive because the results obtained will be described and explained regarding the object under the research through the data that has been collected. As for the research process, data collection methods are obtained as follows:

1) Observation
2) Interview
3) Literature Review
4) Documentary Review

## 4. Results and Discussion

The data shown are the graph of sales data patterns for hand soap, softener and detergent products.


Figure 2: Softener product sales chart


Figure 3: Detergent product sales chart
The sales data pattern for the three products is not fixed but has elements of an increasing trend and repeated in a certain period, so it can be assumed that the data pattern is seasonal. Seasonal element checking is seen from the comparison of each individual value with the average value for each season, where one period will be less than the season's average value and another period will be greater than the season's average value. Accordingly, the three products have a seasonal length of 8 periods.

Because the data has elements of trend and seasonality, forecasting with the Holt-Winter Exponential Additive method is chosen. There are three main steps for forecasting using the Holt-Winter Exponential Additive method, which are calculating initialization values, calculating smoothing values with parameters, and calculating forecasting values.

1) Calculating Initialization Value

Calculations are calculated by using equations 16, 17, and 18. The calculation results for the initialization value of each product are presented in Tables 3 and 4.

Table 3: Product initialization value

| Product | Levels $\left(L_{8}\right)$ | Trends $\left(b_{8}\right)$ | Seasonality $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hand Soap | 344 | 0.39063 | -7 |
| Softeners | 185.625 | 2.375 | 84.275 |
| detergent | 302.75 | 1.8281 | -72.75 |

Table 4. Seasonal smoothing value period 1 to 8

| Hand Soap |  |  | Softener |  | Detergent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | Seasonal | Period | Seasonal | Period | Seasonal |  |
|  | $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ |  | $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ |  | $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ |  |
| 2 | -147 | 2 | 21.375 | 2 | -144.75 |  |
| 3 | -116 | 3 | -10.625 | 3 | 56.25 |  |
| 4 | 32 | 4 | 33.375 | 4 | 51.25 |  |
| 5 | -141 | 5 | -62.625 | 5 | 26.25 |  |
| 6 | 172 | 6 | -74.625 | 6 | 38.25 |  |
| 7 | 143 | 7 | 58.375 | 7 | -53.75 |  |
| 8 | 64 | 8 | -49.625 | 8 | 99.25 |  |

2) Calculating Smoothing Values with Parameters

Calculations are calculated by using equations 12,13 , and 14 . The results of calculating smoothing values with parameters are presented in Tables 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14.

Table 5. Product parameters

| Hand Soap |  | Softener |  | detergent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Value | Parameter | Value | Parameter | Value |
| $\alpha$ | 0.0001 | $\alpha$ | 0.001 | $\alpha$ | 0.08 |
| $\beta$ | 0.09 | $\beta$ | 0.1 | $\beta$ | 0.00001 |
| $\gamma$ | 0.507 | $\gamma$ | 0.66 | $\gamma$ | 0.685 |

Table 6. The value of smoothing the period 9 to 32 levels of hand soap product

| Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Levels <br> $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Levels <br> $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Levels <br> $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Levels <br> $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 344.3866 | 15 | 346.7530 | 21 | 349.1213 | 27 | 351.5080 |
| 10 | 344.7905 | 16 | 347.1320 | 22 | 349.5098 | 28 | 351.8975 |
| 11 | 345.1838 | 17 | 347.5422 | 23 | 349.9017 | 29 | 352,3133 |
| 12 | 345.5667 | 18 | 347.9346 | 24 | 350.3065 | 30 | 352.7257 |
| 13 | 345.9596 | 19 | 348.3269 | 25 | 350.6931 | 31 | 353.1484 |
| 14 | 346.3744 | 20 | 348.7125 | 26 | 351.1031 | 32 | 353.5457 |

Table 7. The advanced value of trend smoothing period 9 to 32 hand soap product

| Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Trends <br> $\left(b_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Trends <br> $\left(b_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Trends <br> $\left(b_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Trends <br> $\left(b_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 0.39026 | 15 | 0.39187 | 21 | 0.39336 | 27 | 0.39566 |
| 10 | 0.39148 | 16 | 0.39072 | 22 | 0.39292 | 28 | 0.39511 |
| 11 | 0.39165 | 17 | 0.39246 | 23 | 0.39283 | 29 | 0.39697 |
| 12 | 0.39086 | 18 | 0.39246 | 24 | 0.39391 | 30 | 0.39836 |
| 13 | 0.39104 | 19 | 0.39245 | 25 | 0.39324 | 31 | 0.40055 |
| 14 | 0.39318 | 20 | 0.39183 | 26 | 0.39475 | 32 | 0.40026 |

Table 8. The advanced value of seasonal smoothing for the period 9 to 32 hand soap product Period Seasonality Period Seasonality Period Seasonal Period Seasonality

| $(\mathrm{t})$ | $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | $(\mathrm{t})$ | $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | $(\mathrm{t})$ | $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | $(\mathrm{t})$ | $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | $-27,476$ | 15 | 69.10321 | 21 | -44.7924 | 27 | -56.251 |
| 10 | -77.9418 | 16 | -0.96297 | 22 | 267.6403 | 28 | -78.3981 |
| 11 | -106.46 | 17 | 70.84843 | 23 | 64.03068 | 29 | 60.39946 |
| 12 | -12.3963 | 18 | -77.9382 | 24 | 59.70282 | 30 | 345.5327 |
| 13 | -130.84 | 19 | -107.407 | 25 | 33.56285 | 31 | 187.6479 |
| 14 | 292.4762 | 20 | -47.0327 | 26 | 7.15417 | 32 | 43.35278 |

Table 9. The advanced values for period level smoothing 9 to 32 softener product

| Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Levels <br> $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Levels <br> $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Levels <br> $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Levels <br> $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 187.8966 | 15 | 202.1897 | 21 | 216.4182 | 27 | 230.6927 |
| 10 | 190.1967 | 16 | 204.6371 | 22 | 218.7834 | 28 | 233.0605 |
| 11 | 192.5569 | 17 | 207.0176 | 23 | 221.1486 | 29 | 235.5034 |
| 12 | 194.92 | 18 | 209.3991 | 24 | 223.5426 | 30 | 237.924 |
| 13 | 197.4203 | 19 | 211.7262 | 25 | 225.9139 | 31 | 240.2876 |
| 14 | 199.8851 | 20 | 214.1157 | 26 | 228.2765 | 32 | 242.6671 |

Table 10. The advanced value of trend smoothing period 9 to 32 softener product

| Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Trends <br> $\left(b_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Trends <br> $\left(b_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Trends <br> $\left(b_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Trends <br> $\left(b_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 2.36466 | 15 | 2.37443 | 21 | 2.36998 | 27 | 2.3752 |
| 10 | 2.3582 | 16 | 2.38174 | 22 | 2.36951 | 28 | 2.37446 |
| 11 | 2.35841 | 17 | 2.38161 | 23 | 2.36908 | 29 | 2.38131 |


| 12 | 2.35888 | 18 | 2.3816 | 24 | 2.37157 | 30 | 2.38524 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | 2.37301 | 19 | 2.37615 | 25 | 2.37154 | 31 | 2.38308 |
| 14 | 2.38219 | 20 | 2.37749 | 26 | 2.37064 | 32 | 2.38271 |

Table 11. The advanced value of seasonal smoothing period 9 to 32 softener product

| Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Seasonality <br> $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Seasonal <br> $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Seasonal <br> $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Seasonality <br> $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 16.216 | 15 | 7.1823 | 21 | -18.922 | 27 | -15.168 |
| 10 | -21.242 | 16 | -1.453 | 22 | -17.183 | 28 | 40.451 |
| 11 | -9.2601 | 17 | 15.402 | 23 | 4.3239 | 29 | 26.234 |
| 12 | 36.48 | 18 | -21.346 | 24 | 14.988 | 30 | 8.7279 |
| 13 | 30.57 | 19 | -45.208 | 25 | 15.193 | 31 | -9.9397 |
| 14 | -14.077 | 20 | 45.327 | 26 | -27.24 | 32 | 12.576 |

Table 12. The advanced value smoothing level period 9 to 32 detergent product

| Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Levels <br> $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Levels <br> $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Levels <br> $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Levels <br> $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 304.592 | 15 | 319.195 | 21 | 349.064 | 27 | 385.529 |
| 10 | 320.766 | 16 | 317.881 | 22 | 362.349 | 28 | 390.953 |
| 11 | 316.767 | 17 | 332.984 | 23 | 374.012 | 29 | 410.72 |
| 12 | 320.688 | 18 | 345.366 | 24 | 375.093 | 30 | 412.573 |
| 13 | 321.975 | 19 | 345.792 | 25 | 376.533 | 31 | 420.66 |
| 14 | 311.319 | 20 | 348.632 | 26 | 378.381 | 32 | 436.161 |

Table 13. The advanced value of trend smoothing period 9 to 32 detergent product

| Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Trends <br> $\left(b_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Trends <br> $\left(b_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Trends <br> $\left(b_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Trends <br> $\left(b_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 1,8281 | 15 | 1.8282 | 21 | 1.8284 | 27 | 1.8286 |
| 10 | 1.8283 | 16 | 1.8281 | 22 | 1.8285 | 28 | 1.8286 |
| 11 | 1.8282 | 17 | 1.8283 | 23 | 1.8286 | 29 | 1.8288 |
| 12 | 1.8282 | 18 | 1.8284 | 24 | 1.8286 | 30 | 1.8288 |
| 13 | 1.8282 | 19 | 1.8284 | 25 | 1.8286 | 31 | 1.8289 |
| 14 | 1.8281 | 20 | 1.8284 | 26 | 1.8286 | 32 | 1,829 |

Table 14. The advanced value of seasonal smoothing period 9 to 32 detergent product

| Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Seasonality <br> $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Seasonal <br> $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Seasonal <br> $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | Period <br> $(\mathrm{t})$ | Seasonal <br> $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | -72.642 | 15 | -6.1048 | 21 | 10.9915 | 27 | 41.199 |
| 10 | -31.736 | 16 | 74.5001 | 22 | 30.1513 | 28 | 104.024 |
| 11 | 10.3433 | 17 | 31.9288 | 23 | 71.3641 | 29 | 152.299 |
| 12 | 67.7327 | 18 | 51.4022 | 24 | 68.6139 | 30 | 30.3404 |
| 13 | 21.9861 | 19 | -0.7091 | 25 | 28.8722 | 31 | 120.667 |
| 14 | -60.095 | 20 | 75.7031 | 26 | 51.5508 | 32 | 176.313 |

3) Calculating Forecasting Value

Calculations are performed using equation 15. The results of calculating the sales forecasting value of each product are presented in Table 15.

Table 15. Product sales forecasting results from 15 August 2022 to 24 October 2022

| Hand Soap |  | Softeners |  | Detergent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | Forecasting <br> $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{m}}\right)$ | Period | Forecasting <br> $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{m}}\right)$ | Period | Forecasting |
| 33 | 388 | 33 | 260 | 33 | $\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{m}}\right)$ |
| 34 | 362 | 34 | 220 | 34 | 467 |
| 35 | 298 | 35 | 235 | 35 | 491 |
| 36 | 277 | 36 | 293 | 36 | 548 |
| 37 | 416 | 37 | 281 | 37 | 598 |
| 38 | 701 | 38 | 266 | 38 | 477 |


| 39 | 544 | 39 | 249 | 39 | 570 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 40 | 400 | 40 | 274 | 40 | 627 |
| 41 | 391 | 41 | 279 | 41 | 481 |
| 42 | 365 | 42 | 239 | 42 | 506 |
| 43 | 302 | 43 | 254 | 43 | 497 |

Based on calculations through equation 19, the result is that the MAPE value of the three products is less than $20 \%$ where the MAPE of hand soap is $18.236 \%$, softener is $14.1957 \%$, and detergent is $13.6989 \%$.

After knowing the results of product forecasting, then a normality test will be carried out on product sales data. The normality test uses the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test with the test hypothesis:

$$
H_{0}: \text { The observed frequency distribution is normal }
$$

$H_{1}$ : The observed frequency distribution is not normal
with the following test criteria:
a. If the significant value $>0,05$ then $H_{0}$ accepted
b. If the significant value $<0,05$ then $H_{0}$ rejected

Calculations are calculated with SPSS software to carry out the Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test process.

| One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Hand_Soap | Softener | Detergen |
| N |  | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Normal Parameters ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$ | Mean | 387.13 | 210.94 | 386.66 |
|  | Std. Deviation | 152.726 | 46.558 | 111.341 |
| Most Extreme Differences | Absolute | . 132 | . 114 | . 141 |
|  | Positive | . 132 | . 068 | . 141 |
|  | Negative | -. 107 | -. 114 | -. 115 |
| Test Statistic |  | . 132 | . 114 | . 141 |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) |  | $.166^{\text {c }}$ | $.200^{\text {c.d }}$ | $.108^{\text {c }}$ |
| a. Test distribution is No <br> b. Calculated from data. <br> c. Lilliefors Significance <br> d. This is a lower bound | mal. <br> orrection. <br> of the true signif | ance. |  |  |

Figure 4: Kolmogorov-Smirnov test result
Based on Figure 4, the significance value for each product is greater than 0.05 . This shows that it is $H_{0}$ accepted and the data is normally distributed. Because the data is normally distributed, the data can be used in inventory control calculations using the Q Model probabilistic inventory method with lost sales condition.

The data needed for the probabilistic inventory method calculation process Q Model with lost sales conditions can be seen in Table 16. Calculations are performed using equations 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11. The inventory control calculation results for each product are presented in Table 17, 18, and 19.

Table 16. Q Model probabilistic inventory method calculation data with lost sales condition

| Product | Hand Soap | Softener | Detergent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Requests per Week $(D)$ | 404 | 259 | 522 |
| Purchase Cost $(P)$ | IDR 6,500 | IDR 7,500 | IDR 6,500 |
| Order Cost $(A)$ |  | IDR 58,800 |  |
| Holding Cost $(H)$ | IDR 842 | IDR 952 | IDR 842 |
| Shortage Cost $\left(C_{u}\right)$ | IDR 4,500 | IDR 4,500 | IDR 11,500 |
| Lead Time $(L)$ |  | 0.142 week |  |
| Standard Deviation(s) | 122.4 | 22.16 | 54.5 |

Table 17. Hadley-Within calculation results for hand soap product inventory

| Iteration | $Q^{*}$ | $\alpha$ | $Z_{\alpha}$ | $r^{*}$ | $f\left(Z_{\alpha}\right)$ | $\psi\left(Z_{\alpha}\right)$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 238 | 0.0992 | 1.28 | 117 | 0.1714 | 0.0455 | 5 |
|  | 279 | 0.11 | 1,2 | 112 | 0.1942 | 0.0561 | 6 |
| 2 | 286 | 0.11 | 1.19 | 112 | 0.1942 | 0.0561 | 6 |

Table 18. Calculation results Hadley-Within softener product inventory

| Iteration | $Q^{*}$ | $\alpha$ | $Z_{\alpha}$ | $r^{*}$ | $f\left(Z_{\alpha}\right)$ | $\psi\left(Z_{\alpha}\right)$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 178 | 0.12 | 1.14 | 46 | 0.2059 | 0.0621 | 1 |
|  | 186 | 0.13 | 1.11 | 46 | 0.2059 | 0.0621 | 1 |

Table 19. The results of the Hadley-Within calculation of detergent product inventory

| Iteration | $Q^{*}$ | $\alpha$ | $Z_{\alpha}$ | $r^{*}$ | $f\left(Z_{\alpha}\right)$ | $\psi\left(Z_{\alpha}\right)$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 270 | 0.0364 | 1.79 | 110 | 0.0790 | 0.0143 | 1 |
|  | 295 | 0.039 | 1.75 | 110 | 0.0790 | 0.0143 | 1 |

Based on the calculations that have been carried out using the probabilistic Q Model method with lost sales conditions, the optimal number of orders, reorder point, safety stock, and total costs are obtained. The calculation results can be seen in Table 20.

Table 20. The results of the calculation of the probabilistic method $Q$ Model with lost sales condition

| Product | Order Size $\left(Q^{*}\right)$ | Reorder <br> Point $(r)$ | Safety Stock <br> $(s s)$ | Total Cost $\left(O_{T}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hand Soap | 286 liters | 112 liters | 54 liters | IDR 2,913,606 |
| Softener | 186 liters | 46 liters | 9 liters | IDR 2,127,959 |
| detergent | 295 liters | 110 liters | 35 liters | IDR 3,671,798 |

## 5. Conclussion

The results showed that the Holt-Winter Exponential Additive forecasting method is a good method to use to predict the demand for hand soap, softener, and detergent products in the MSME Sabun Bening Official because forecasting calculations for the three products produce a MAPE value of less than $20 \%$. It is also obtained the optimal number of products ordered for each order $(Q)$, indicators the company will reorder ( r ), the amount of safety stock (SS) that MSME need to provide, and the expected total inventory cost $\left(O_{T}\right)$.
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