

Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis

Journal homepage: www.ejournal.uksw.edu/jeb ISSN 1979-6471 E-ISSN 2528-0147

Identification of soft competencies aspects in human resource development in the industrial era 4.0

Medianta Tarigan^{a*}, Fadillah Fadillah^b

^a Department of Psychology, Indonesian University of Education, Bandung, Indonesia; medianta@upi.edu*

^b Faculty of Art and Design, Bandung Institute of Technology, Bandung, Indonesia; fadillah@itb.ac.id

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 10-21-2021 Revised 05-09-2022 Accepted 10-17-2022

Kata Kunci:

Industry 4.0, soft competencies, systematic literature review

Keywords:

Industry 4.0, soft competencies, systematic literature review

ABSTRAK

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengetahui aspek kompetensi yang dibutuhkan pada industri 4.0. Metode yang digunakan dalam penelitian ini adalah tinjauan pustaka sistematis (Systematic Literature Review) dengan Model PRISMA dalam tahapan tinjauan pustaka. Adapun tahapan tersebut yaitu identifikasi, penyaringan, penilaian kelayakan dan inklusi. Studi awal menyertakan 284 artikel yang berasal dari database Scopus, Sciencedirect dan Springerlink serta 3 tambahan artikel berupa report article dengan rentang waktu publikasi tahun 2010 hingga 2021, yang kemudian diseleksi sesuai kriteria inklusi dan eksklusi. Terdapat 32 Artikel yang dinilai layak untuk proses analisis kualitatif lebih lanjut. Seluruh full-text dianalisis menggunakan pendekatan analisis konten dan dilakukan oleh 3 ahli. Hasil analisis menunjukkan terdapat 10 aspek soft kompetensi yang disebutkan oleh berbagai jurnal sebagai aspek yang dibutuhkan untuk mendukung Industri 4.0. Aspek-aspek tersebut adalah leadership, working with people, customer orientation, communication, task engagement & responsibility, decision making, problem solving, creative & innovative orientation, flexibility & adaptability, learning orientation, emotional resilience. Kesepuluh aspek ini kemudian dikelompokkan menjadi tiga kelompok besar yaitu Managing People, Managing Task dan Managing Self.

ABSTRACT

This systematic literature review aims to provide an overview of the research related to the soft competency aspects required in industry 4.0 on human capital development. This study used the PRISMA model and included 284 articles from the Scopus, ScienceDirect, and Springerlink databases and three additional report articles with a publication period of 2010 to 2021, which were then selected according to inclusion and exclusion criteria. Thirty-two articles are considered eligible for the further qualitative analysis process. All were analyzed using a content analysis approach that three experts carried out. The result of the analysis shows that articles mention 11 aspects of soft competencies. These aspects are leadership, working with people, customer orientation, communication, task & responsibility engagement, decision making, problem-solving, creative & innovative orientation, flexibility & adaptability, learning orientation, emotional resilience, and problem-solving. These eleven aspects are then classified into Managing People, Tasks, and Self Competencies.

INTRODUCTION

Global economic growth shows a significant increase. The rate of economic growth increased from near zero to close to 1% per year in a short period of time (Clark, 2014). Industry 4.0 challenges require quick and responsive answers. The response is in the form of changes in various areas of life, especially in the aspect of human resource development (Faisal & Syam, 2020). To be able to compete globally, an organization needs to prepare human resources who have capabilities that are increasingly specific and relevant to the needs of these changing conditions. It is estimated by experts that Industry 4.0 and related advances along these lines will have a huge effect on social life. This will naturally trigger the manufacturing community to improve their manufacturing settings to address customer needs and maintain a competitive advantage (Oztemel & Gursev, 2020). The age of Industry 4.0 requires organizations to be integrated with information technology developments, for example by digitally integrating operational processes (Ghobakhloo & Fathi, 2019).

Indonesia, through the Ministry of Empowerment of State Apparatus and Bureaucratic Reform, is currently working on a strategy to optimize human resource development through the Talent Management scheme, which aims to accelerate career development, planned succession and ensure the right placement of human resources according to their positions and qualifications (Kencana, 2019). According to the Head of Bappenas National Development Planning Agency), this talent development ecosystem is manifested in the National Talent Management which consistently provides appropriate interventions so that the quality of individual abilities can support the needs of the organization (Sekretariat Kabinet Republik Indonesia, 2021). The Expert Staff of the Minister of Industry for Communication said that there are at least five competencies that need to be developed to realize superior human resources to support the needs of industry 4.0, namely mastery of automation technology, data analysis, AI and soft skills, the ability to behave flexibly. To support this, specifically for the manufacturing sector, the government launched Making Indonesia 4.0 Roadmap as a form of readiness to enter the industrial era 4.0 (Embu, 2019). However, the detailed capabilities of superior human resources to be able to adapt to the needs of industry 4.0 have not been clearly defined.

The need for superior talent encourages the human resource development division within the organization to take on the role of strategic partners in providing

and managing talents who have specific abilities so that they can take advantage of industry 4.0 opportunities (Dhanpat et al., 2020). In addition, the human resource development division is also encouraged to have a strategic approach to increase employee capacity and be able to survive the rapidly changing work environment (Hecklau et al., 2016). Further, competition between companies in the 4.0 era also gave rise to a "talent war" or talent war. The talent war itself is a phenomenon in the 21st century in the form of competition between organizations and companies to hire and maintain superior human resources (Aguinis et al., 2012). For this reason, integration between individual competencies and organizational competencies in the form of organizational culture is needed to win the talent war (Ulrich, 2015).

Changes that occur in the industrial world also make higher education institutions have to work together with industry, professionals, and the government to maintain the quality of their graduates, especially in terms of competence (Hernandezde-Menendez et al., 2020). Millennials and above are a generation that is massively exposed to technological developments and has high demands for creative and innovative work. This needs to be managed properly so that each individual has talent development that is measurable, directed, and has a clear profile of his future career (Kemenpanrb, 2020). It is necessary to identify the congruity between competencies developed in the world of education and competencies required in the professional world. Through this, it is hoped that graduates will be better prepared to work and support organizations in facing changes and challenges of industrial competition.

Competence according to The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (Flynn, 2014) is the ability to meet complex demands by utilizing the mobilization of knowledge, skills, and psychosocial resources including skills and attitudes in certain contexts. Competence refers to both visible elements (such as knowledge and skills) and underlying characteristics (such as attitudes, traits, and motives) (Pang et al., 2019). In general, competence will affect individual performance which ultimately has an impact on the success of an organization or company. Competence is divided into two types, namely hard competency and soft competency. Hard competency is an individual's ability related to technical matters such as the use of equipment and the ability to manage information (Laker & Powell, 2011). Meanwhile, soft competency is a personal feature owned by an individual consisting of behavior, social skills, performance, and professionalism (Kolibáčová, 2015).

This literature study is to identify the aspects of soft competencies needed to support Industry 4.0. Unlike hard competencies identified in specific fields, this study focuses on identifying soft competencies because this is not related to specific business fields or specific industries. It is hoped that the results of this research can be a useful basis for company's human resource development divisions in formulating strategic steps in the provision and management of human resources. In addition, the results of this study can be a reference for educational institutions in formulating educational curricula and competency development to produce graduates that are in line with the needs of industry 4.0.

Research question

The research question is based on the needs for the selected topic in the literature review, namely: What are the soft competency aspects needed in industry 4.0?

LITERATURE REVIEW

Industry 4.0

In 2011, experts from various fields from Germany sparked the Industrial Revolution 4.0 at the Hannover Trade Fair. In 2015, Angella Markel introduced the idea of the Industrial Revolution 4.0 at the World Economic Forum (WEF). The industrial era 4.0 is an era where there is a change from a manufacturing process that is dominated by machines to a manufacturing process that is dominated by digital. The 4.0 era also triggers changes that have an impact on social aspects. Oztemel & Gursev (2020) states that there are several aspects in the 4.0 era that have an impact on social aspects, namely the Internet of Things (IoT), machine-to-machine (M2M), and cyber physical systems (CPS). IoT technology allows M2M/machines to communicate so as to produce a work environment that is free from humans. In addition, CPS technology also facilitates communication between machines, resulting in a manufacturing system that is more consistent, robust, and has intelligence capabilities. Because it is no longer enough just to optimize manufacturing processes and machines, it is necessary to empower employees to meet the challenges of the future. Enke et al., (2018) stated that there are several characteristics of Industry 4.0, namely using a technological approach, having philosophy feasibility and self-optimization, a of based on adjustments/adaptations and extensive networks, learning based on data, and obtaining information in real time. and based on the specific problem at hand.

Competences

Competition in today's industrial context is getting tougher and competencybased practices are becoming more of a concern. Competence is a construct consisting of various different competency classes, namely technical and methodological, social and communicative oriented to the implementation of skills (Adolph et al., 2014). Competence becomes more effective when it is often used for problem solving. In addition, Bermúdez & Juárez (2017) defines competence in the individual aspect as a set of behaviors, abilities, skills, analysis, decision making, and information transmission needed to carry out a position in a job. Kamaruzzaman et al. (2018) also state that competence is a personal skill that reflects the potential ability to provide an adequate or consistently high level of performance in certain job functions. Meanwhile, competence is also defined as a collection of skills, abilities and behaviors to solve problems in certain contexts (Pawlowski & Holtkamp, 2012).

Industrial developments that occur will affect the development of

competencies needed to answer the challenges that will be faced by companies, organizations, and individuals themselves. Competence development can be done both outside and inside the work process carried out by individuals. This is supported by technological developments that support the virtual learning process so that it can be more cost and time efficient. Even so, competency management is needed to determine which competencies will be developed, using what methods/approaches, and when they will be developed (Adolph et al., 2014). Competency development is especially needed by undergraduate graduates because there is often a gap between their competencies and company needs (Azevedo et al., 2012). Therefore, competency development needs to be carried out to keep individual's employability relevant to the industrial developments (De Vos et al., 2011).

Competence is defined as an ability (capability or ability), which is a set of behaviors that are related but have different intentions based on motives. Whereas behavior is an alternative manifestation of intention, which is appropriate in various situations or times (Boyatzis, 2008). Competence can be considered as a behavioral approach to emotional, social, and cognitive intelligence that is easy to use to focus attention on the emotional and social components that underlie human talent (Boyatzis, 2011). Competence is divided into two types, namely soft competence and hard competence. According to Tripathi & Agrawal (2014), soft competence is a managerial ability, or individual ability to manage work and develop interactions with other individuals. Meanwhile, hard competence is an ability competence, or individual ability related to the technical matters of a job (Tripathi & Agrawal, 2014). On the other hand, Heckman & Kautz (2012) stated that soft competence predicts success in an individual's life. This is in line with the findings of Aasheim et al. (2009) that companies need soft competencies for workers more than hard competencies. Therefore, individuals who have soft competencies that are more prominent have a greater chance of working and are needed by companies and organizations to survive and develop.

A company or organization requires different competencies of different individuals, depending on the position or position of the individual. Kolibácová (2014) classifies positions into four levels based on the strategic competencies required for work, namely upper and middle management, lower management executives, specialists, and professional staff. The competencies needed by individuals at the upper and middle level management positions are seeking profits for the company, leadership skills, the ability to resolve conflicts, provide persuasion and be assertive, and the willingness to make changes. Lower-level management executive positions require initiative competence, commitment, leadership skills, conflict resolution, maintaining quality, persuasiveness, and assertiveness. Meanwhile, specialist positions require initiative competence, commitment, customer focus, team work, persuasiveness, assertiveness, and managing information or knowledge. Lastly, professional staff positions require initiative competence, commitment, ability to maintain quality, ability to work in a team, focus on customers, and reliablity.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study uses a systematic literature review method to identify the soft competencies needed in the industrial era 4.0. The systematic literature review method examines or critically reviews knowledge, ideas, or findings, and formulates theoretical and methodological contributions to certain topics. By integrating empirical findings from multiple perspectives, a literature review can answer research questions with strengths that other studies lack (Snyder, 2019). In addition, a literature review is an excellent way to combine research findings to reveal areas that require more research which are important components of creating a theoretical framework and building a conceptual model. Systematic literature review seeks to evaluate the state of the literature on a particular topic or research question (RQ). Systematic literature review is a structured approach to research synthesis, following a number of predetermined steps (Boland et al., 2017). Systematic literature review is considered the most systematic and acceptable method because it is conducted rigorously and has the potential to hold the strongest data validity. By combining the findings of studies in the field, a systematic literature review makes it possible to (1) provide strong and broad conclusions by producing an unbiased summary of what the cumulative evidence says about a particular topic; (2) critical synthesis of literature in the field so as to identify relationships, contradictions, methodological weaknesses, gaps, and inconsistencies that inform directions for future research; (3) development of new theories or evaluation of existing theories or have clear implications for policy or practice (Siddaway et al., 2019).

Search Process

In the search for articles that were included in the literature review analysis process, the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Metanalysis) model was used. In PRISMA model, there are four stages, namely 1) literature search; 2) the extracting information from research reports is now treated as two separate stages; 3) summarizing and integrating evidence from individual studies; 4) interpreting the cumulative findings that emerged from this analysis.

Article Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The inclusion criteria used are English-language journals published in the 2010-2021 range which mention soft competencies and in a special context, namely Industry 4.0. Meanwhile, the exclusion criteria were journals in languages other than English, published before 2010 and discussions about hard competencies, hard skills and technical skills era 4.0 or non-era 4.0 soft competencies.

| No | Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion Criteria |
|----|--------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Articles published between 2010-2021 | Articles published before 2010 |
| 2 | Article uses industry 4.0 context | Article does not use industry 4.0 context |
| 3 | Article mentions soft competencies | Article mentions competencies in general and does not specifically mention soft competencies |

Table 1

Quality Assessment

Quality control refers to assessment on relevant indexed journals and manuscripts. This involved a team of 2 industrial and organizational experts, and 1 HR professional. At this stage the data were evaluated based on the following questions: QA1: Was the article published in the 2010-2021 timeframe?

QA2: Does the article mention the required soft competencies?

QA3: Does the article discuss competence in the context of industry 4.0 needs?

And each article was given a score based on the statement above and marked "Yes" or "No" accordingly.

Data Collection

Sources of data used in this study were research journals, books and other secondary data published in 2010-2021 using the Scopus, Sciendirect, Springerlink databases. The keywords used for the search include competencies, personalities, characters, 4.0, industry 4.0, 4.0 era, employee, human resources.

Data Analysis

To answer the research questions, the data analysis was carried out using content analysis. Content analysis is commonly used in both quantitative and qualitative literature studies to produce descriptive findings and the results can be reviewed contextually. Content analysis is a systematic approach with the following steps: (a) coding, (b) code grouping based on similarities, and (c) data abstraction. The results of the analysis were then validated by peer-review where the checking involved 3 experts, two of whom were academics in industry and organizations, while 1 person was a professional in the field of HRD.

ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The following is the process of identifying literature sources using the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Metanalyses) provisions.




Figure 1 PRISMA Flowchart of Systematic Literature Review Process for Identification of Soft **Competenties Aspects in Human Resource Development Industry 4.0**

Search Process and Quality Assessment Results

The search process carried out in the early stages resulted in 284 journal literature and conference proceedings that had gone through a peer-reviewed process. The results were then filtered to remove 16 literatures that were identified as duplications. On the other hand, there were 3 reports that were added because they were not captured in the database but considered relevant. A total of 268 literatures were filtered by title, abstract and keywords. There were 163 literatures that were excluded with strong reasons, namely not using English, not mentioning competence, and not in the context of industry 4.0. There were 34 remaining literatures which then undergo the Quality Assessment process.

| | | | Articles | Included in the Analy | sis Process | 5 | | |
|----------------|---|------|---|---|-----------------|------------------|---|----------------------|
| Article No. | Reseacher | Year | Title | Source | Article Type | Type of Study | Type of Respond ent | Location of Study |
| 1 | Pawlowski, J. M., & Holtkamp, P. | 2012 | Towards an internation alization of the | Multikonferenz Wirtschaftsinformat ik 2012 - Tagungsband Der | Proceed ing | Quantitati ve | Higher Education Teachers / Researche | Finlandia |

Tabla 2

| Article No. | Reseacher | Year | Title | Source | Article Type | Type of Study | Type of Respond ent | Location of Study |
|----------------|---|------|---|---|-------------------|----------------------|--|---------------------------|
| | | | informatio n systems curriculum | MKWI 2012, June, 437–449. | | | rs, Students, Professio nals | |
| 2 | Fattahi, B., Milanovich , N., Howes, S., Paccaloni, G., & Brett, F. | 2014 | Soft competenc y developme nt as a global challenge. | Journal of Petroleum Technology, 66(10), 136–141. https://doi.org/10.2 118/1014-0136-jpt | Journal | Literature Review | Employee | Australia |
| 3 | Lorenz, M., Rüßmann, M., Strack, R., Lueth, K. L., & Bolle, M. | 2015 | Man and Machine in Industry 4.0. | Man and Machine in Industry 4.0. Boston Consulting Group, 18. | Report article | Quantitati ve | Workers from difference s industries | - |
| 4 | Hecklau, F., Galeitzke, M., Flachs, S., & Kohl, H. | 2016 | Holistic Approach for Human Resource Manageme nt in Industry 4.0. | Procedia CIRP, 54, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1 016/j.procir.2016.0 5.102 | Proceed ing | Qualitativ e | - | Germany |
| 5 | Zahidi, S., Ratcheva, V., Hingel, G., & Brown, S. | 2020 | The 10 skills you need to thrive in the fourth industrial revolution. | World Economic Forum, Cologny, Switzerland. | Report article | Quantitat ive | Employee | Geneva Switzerla nd |
| 6 | Cotet, G. B., Balgiu, B. A., & Negrea, V. C. Z. | 2017 | Assessmen t procedure for the soft skills requested by Industry 4.0. | MATEC Web of Conferences, 121, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1 051/matecconf/201 712107005 | Proceed ing | Literature Review | - | Romania |
| 7 | Grzybowsk a, K., & Łupicka, A. | 2017 | Key competenc ies for Industry 4.0. | Economics and Management Innovations (ICEMI), 1(March 2018), 250–253. https://doi.org/10.2 6480/icemi.01.2017 .250.253 | Journal | Quantitati ve | Manager | Poland |
| 8 | Bermúdez, M. D., & Juárez, B. F. | 2017 | Competen cies to adopt Industry 4.0 for | Proceedings of the International Conference on Industrial Engineering and | Proceed ing | Qualitativ e | Manager | Colombia |

| Article No. | Reseacher | Year | Title | Source | Article Type | Type of Study | Type of Respond ent | Location of Study |
|----------------|---|------|---|---|-----------------|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| | | | operations manageme nt personnel at automotive parts suppliers in Nuevo Leon. | Operations Management, 2017(OCT), 736– 747. | | | | |
| 9 | Prifti, L., Knigge, M., Kienegger, H., & Krcmar, H. | 2017 | A Competen cy Model for "Industrie 4.0" Employees | 13th International Conference on Wirtschaftsinformat ik, 46–60. | Proceed ing | Qualitativ e | - | Germany |
| 10 | Karre, H., Hammer, M., Kleindienst , M., & Ramsauer, C. | 2017 | Transition towards an Industry 4.0 State of the Lean Lab at Graz University of Technolog y. | Procedia Manufacturing, 9, 206–213. https://doi.org/10.1 016/j.promfg.2017. 04.006 | Proceed ing | Qualitativ e | - | Austria |
| 11 | Łupicka, A., & Grzybowsk a, K. | 2018 | Key Manageria l Competen cies for Industry 4.0 - Practitione rs', Researcher s' and Students' Opinions. | Logistics and Transport, 39(3), 39–46. https://doi.org/10.2 6411/83-1734- 2015-3-39-4-18 | Journal | Quantitati ve | Experts nad students | Poland |
| 12 | Wang, B., & Ha- Brookshire, J. E. | 2018 | Exploratio n of Digital Competen cy Requireme nts within The Fashion Supply Chain with An | International Journal of Fashion Design. | Journal | Quantitati ve | Employee s | USA |

| Article No. | Reseacher | Year | Title | Source | Article Type | Type of Study | Type of Respond ent | Location of Study |
|----------------|--|------|--|---|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | | Anticipatio n of Industry 4.0. | | | | <u> </u> | |
| 13 | Ana, A., Meirawan, D., Dwiyanti, V., & Saripudin, S. | 2018 | Character of industrial 4.0 skilled workers | International Journal of Engineering and Technology(UAE), 7(4), 166–170. https://doi.org/10.1 4419/ijet.v7i4.33.23 524 | Journal | Qualitativ e | - | Indonesia |
| 14 | Deloitte Developme nt. | 2018 | The Fourth Industrial Revolution is here— are you ready? | Deloitte Insights, January 22. | Report article | Quantitati ve | C-level executive s | Americas Asia and Europe |
| 15 | Fitsilis, P., Tsoutsa P., & Gerogianni s, V. | | Industry 4.0: Required personnel competenc es | International Scientific Journal "Industry 4.0" | Journal | Literature Review | - | Greece |
| 16 | Cicek, K., Akyuz, E., & Celik, M. | 2019 | Future Skills Requireme nts Analysis in Maritime Industry. | Procedia Computer Science, 158, 270– 274. https://doi.org/10.1 016/j.procs.2019.09 .051 | Proceed ing | Qualitativ e | - | Turkey |
| 17 | Lubis, A. S., Absah, Y., & Lumbanraj a, P. | 2019 | Human resource competenc ies 4.0 for generation z. | European Journal of Human Resource Management Studies, 3(1), 95– 105. https://doi.org/10.5 281/zenodo.337545 8 | Journal | Quantitati ve | Undergra duate students | Indonesia n |
| 18 | Maisiri, W., Darwish, H., & VanDyk, L. | 2019 | An investigati on of industry 4.0 skills requireme nts. | South African Journal of Industrial Engineering, 30(3), 90–105. | Journal | Literature Review | - | South Africa |
| 19 | Uys, J., & Webber- Youngman, R. | 2019 | A 4.0 leadership model postulation for the Fourth Industrial Revolution | Journal of the Southern African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, 119(10), 793–800. https://doi.org/10.1 7159/2411- 9717/17/450/2019 | Journal | Qualitativ e | - | South Africa |

| Article No. | Reseacher | Year | Title | Source | Article Type | Type of Study | Type of Respond ent | Location of Study |
|----------------|--|------|---|--|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| | | | relating to the South African mining industry. | | | | | |
| 20 | Flores, E., Xu., X., & Lu, Y. | 2019 | Human Capital 4.0: a workforce competenc e typology for Industry 4.0 | Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management Vol. 31 No. 4, 2020 pp. 687-703 | Journal | Literature Review | - | New Zealand |
| 21 | Peijic- Batch, M., Bertoncel, T., Mesko, M., & Krstic, Z. | 2019 | Text mining of industry 4.0 job advertisem ents | International Journal of Information Management | Journal | Quantitati ve (Machine Learning Analysis) | - | Croatia |
| 22 | Cotet, G. B., Carutasu, N. L., & Chiscop, F. | 2020 | Industry 4.0 diagnosis from an imillennial educationa l perspectiv e | Education Sciences, 10(1). https://doi.org/10.3 390/educsci100100 21 | Journal | Quantitati ve | Undergrat uade students | Romania |
| 23 | Guzman, V. E., Muschard, B., Gerolamo, M., Kohl, H., & Rozenfeld, H. | 2020 | Characteri stics and Skills of Leadership in The Context of Industry 4.0 | Procedia Manufacturing, Volume 43, 543- 550, https://doi.org/10.1 016/j.promfg.2020. 02.167. | Proceed ing | Literature Review | - | Brazil |
| 24 | Hernandez- de- Menendez, M., Morales- Menendez, R., Escobar, C. A., & McGovern, M. | 2020 | Competen cies for Industry 4.0. | International Journal on Interactive Design and Manufacturing, 14(4), 1511–1524. https://doi.org/10.1 007/s12008-020- 00716-2 | Journal | Qualitativ e | - | Mexico |
| 25 | Jerman, A., Bertoncelj. A., Dominici, G., Bach, | 2020 | Conceptua l Key Competen cy Model for Smart | Organizacija, Sciendo, vol. 53(1), pages 68-79, February. | Journal | Qualitativ e | Experts | Italy |

| Article No. | Reseacher | Year | Title | Source | Article Type | Type of Study | Type of Respond ent | Location of Study |
|----------------|--|------|--|---|-----------------|----------------------|--|----------------------|
| | M. P., & Trnavcevic , A. | | Factories in Production Processes | | | | <u> </u> | |
| 26 | Weerasing he, W. P. T. D., Vidanagam achchi, K., & Nanayakka ra, L. D. J. F. | 2020 | Employee competenc ies developme nt framework for industry 4.0 adaptation in the healthcare sector. | Proceedings of the International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management, 0(March), 1063– 1075. | Proceed ing | Literature Review | - | Sri Lanka |
| 27 | Tan, H. Sen, Ivander, Oktarina, R., Reynaldo, V., & Sharina, C. | 2020 | Conceptua l developme nt of learning factory for industrial engineerin g education in Indonesia context as an enabler of students' competenc ies in industry 4.0 era. | IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 426(1), 0– 10. https://doi.org/10.1 088/1755- 1315/426/1/012123 | Proceed ing | Qualitativ e | - | Indonesia |
| 28 | Brezeanu, T., M & Lazarou, E. | 2020 | Alignment between engineerin g curriculum and skills developme nt for industry 4.0 | The 16th International Scientific Conference eLearning and Software for Education Bucharest, April 23-24, 2020 10.12753/2066- 026X-20-127 | Proceed ing | Literature Review | - | Romania |
| 29 | Jorge, J. M., de Oliveira, A., C., A., & dos | 2020 | Analyzing How University Is Preparing Engineerin | Conference: Volume 12: Transdisciplinary Engineering for Complex Socio- technical Systems – | Proceed ing | Qualitativ e | Undergra duate Students & Professor s | Brasilia |

| Article No. | Reseacher | Year | Title | Source | Article Type | Type of Study | Type of Respond ent | Location of Study |
|----------------|--|------|---|---|-----------------|---|---|----------------------|
| | Santos A., C. | | g Students for Industry 4.0 | Real-life Applications | | | | |
| 30 | Alharbi, O. | 2020 | Industry 4.0 Operators: Core Knowledg e and Skills | Technology and Engineering Systems Journal Vol. 5, No. 4, 177- 183 (2020). https://dx.doi.org/1 0.25046/aj050421 | Journal | Qualitativ e | Experts from difference industries | Saudi Arabia |
| | | | A Gap Study between Employers | | | | | |
| 31 | Pattanapair oj, S., Nitisiri, K., & Sethanan, K. | 2021 | Expectatio ns in Thailand and Current Competen ce of Master's Degree Students in Industrial Engineerin g under Industry 4.0 | Production Engineering Archives 2021, 27(1), 50-57. DOI: 10.30657/pea.2021. 27.7 | Journal | Mixed qualitativ e and quantitati ve research | Experts from difference industries | Thailand |
| 32 | Ada, N., Ilic, D., & Sagnak, M. | 2021 | A Framewor k for New Workforce Skills in the Era of Industry 4.0 | International Journal of Mathematical, Engineering and Management Sciences Vol. 6, No. 3, 771- 786, 2021 https://doi.org/10.3 3889/IJMEMS.202 1.6.3.046 | Journal | Mixed qualitativ e and quantitati ve research | Human Resource Manager | Turkey |
| 33 | Bianco, D., Filho, M., G., Osiro, L., & Ganga, G., M., D. | 2021 | Unlocking the Relationsh ip Between Lean Leadership Competen cies and Industry 4.0 Leadership Competen | IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management | Journal | Mixed qualitativ e and quantitati ve research | Experts from difference industries | Brightor |

| Article No. | Reseacher | Year | Title | Source | Article Type | Type of Study | Type of Respond ent | Location of Study |
|----------------|--|------|--|---|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| | | | cies: An ISM/Fuzzy MICMAC Approach | | | | | |
| 34 | Al Amri, Khetani, K., P., & Marey- Perez, m. | 2021 | Towards Sustainabl e I4.0: Key Skill Areas for Project Managers in GCC Constructi on Industry | Sustainability 2021, 13, 8121. https://doi.org/10.3 390/su13158121 | Journal | Quantitati ve | Manager | Spain |

Two literatures (article no. 15 and article no. 30) were excluded during the Quality Assessment process as these articles do not state soft competencies according to the criteria, so it was considered inadequate for the research purpose. The following table can explain the results of the Quality Assessment assessment.

| | Quality Assessment Artike | el | | | |
|----------------|--|-----|-----|-----|-----------|
| Article No. | Researcher | QA1 | QA2 | QA3 | QA Result |
| 1 | Pawlowski, J. M., & Holtkamp, P. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 2 | Fattahi, B., Milanovich, N., Howes, S., Paccaloni, G., & Brett, F. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 3 | Lorenz, M., Rüßmann, M., Strack, R., Lueth, K. L., & Bolle, M. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 4 | Hecklau, F., Galeitzke, M., Flachs, S., & Kohl, H. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 5 | Zahidi, S., Ratcheva, V., Hingel, G., & Brown, S. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 6 | Cotet, G. B., Balgiu, B. A., & Negrea, V. C. Z. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 7 | Grzybowska, K., & Łupicka, A. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 8 | Bermúdez, M. D., & Juárez, B. F. | Ya | Ya | Ya | Diterima |
| 9 | Prifti, L., Knigge, M., Kienegger, H., & Krcmar, H. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 10 | Karre, H., Hammer, M., Kleindienst, M., & Ramsauer, C. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 11 | Łupicka, A., & Grzybowska, K. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 12 | Wang, B., & Ha-Brookshire, J. E. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 13 | Ana, A., Meirawan, D., Dwiyanti, V., & Saripudin, S. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 14 | Deloitte Development. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 15 | Fitsilis, P., Tsoutsa P., & Gerogiannis, V. | Yes | No | Yes | Rejected |
| 16 | Cicek, K., Akyuz, E., & Celik, M. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 17 | Lubis, A. S., Absah, Y., & Lumbanraja, P. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 18 | Maisiri, W., Darwish, H., & VanDyk, L. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 19 | Uys, J., & Webber-Youngman, R. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |

Table 3 ality Assessment Ar

| Article No. | Researcher | QA1 | QA2 | QA3 | QA Result |
|----------------|---|-----|-----|-----|-----------|
| 20 | Flores, E., Xu., X., & Lu, Y. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 21 | Peijic-Batch, M., Bertoncel, T., Mesko, M., & Krstic, Z. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 22 | Cotet, G. B., Carutasu, N. L., & Chiscop, F. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 23 | Guzman, V. E., Muschard, B., Gerolamo, M., Kohl, H., & Rozenfeld, H. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 24 | Hernandez-de-Menendez, M., Morales-Menendez, R., Escobar, C. A., & McGovern, M. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 25 | Jerman, A., Bertoncelj. A., Dominici, G., Bach, M. P., & Trnavcevic, A. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 26 | Weerasinghe, W. P. T. D., Vidanagamachchi, K., & Nanayakkara, L. D. J. F. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 27 | Tan, H. Sen, Ivander, Oktarina, R., Reynaldo, V., & Sharina, C. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 28 | Brezeanu, T., M & Lazarou, E. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 29 | Jorge, J. M., de Oliveira, A., C., A., & dos Santos A., C. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 30 | Alharbi, O. | Yes | No | Yes | Rejected |
| 31 | Pattanapairoj, S., Nitisiri, K., & Sethanan, K. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 32 | Ada, N., Ilic, D., & Sagnak, M. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 33 | Bianco, D., Filho, M., G., Osiro, L., & Ganga, G., M., D. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |
| 34 | Al Amri, Khetani, K., P., & Marey-Perez, m. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Accepted |

Data Analysis

After going through the article eligibility selection stage, a content analysis was carried out. The initial stage of the analysis is to describe and code each soft competency mentioned in each article. Then the next stage is code classification process based on similarities and then abstraction. This process was carried out by 2 experts, and when there was a difference of opinion regarding the coding and classification of codes, the third expert gave his decision. The following table shows the identification and classification of soft competencies mentioned in each analyzed article.


| No | Broad | Specific Competencies | Article No |
|----|--------------|--|------------------------|
| | Competencies | | |
| 1 | Leadership | Leadership, Initiatively taking a lead, | 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 11, 13, |
| | | Leadership skills, Project Management and | 16, 17, 18, 26, 33 |
| | | Leadership, Leadership Capability, Leading | (n=12) |
| | | and supervising, People Management, | |
| | | Management of personnel resources | |

Table 4

| No | Broad Competencies | Specific Competencies | Article No |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | Working with others | Collaboration with external relations, Intercultural competences, Teamwork, Collaborating with others, Adapting to cultural differences, Ability to compromise and cooperate, Coordinating with Others, Cooperation skills, Collaboration, Perspective-taking, Understanding of diversity, Intercultural skills, Teamwork awareness, Compromising, Creating Business Networks, Negotiating, Work in Interdisciplinger, Environments, Team work | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20, 23, 26, 27, 29, 31, 33 (n=21) |
| 3 | Communication | Interdisciplinary Environments, Team work abilities, Social skills Effective Communication, Dialogue and conversation, Communication, Speaking, Active listening, Writing, Reading comprehension, Language skills, Communication skills, Communication, Language ability, Communication with people, Technical and literate communication, Presentation and Communication Ability, | 1, 2, 4, 6, 9, 10, 11, 16, 17, 18, 23, 27, 31, 33 (n=14) |
| 4 | Customer & Service Orientation | Targeted/Technical Communication, Communication skills Service Orientation, Being able to understand global partners and customers, Maintaining Customer Relationships, Customer Orientation, | 4, 5 , 9, 13, 26 (n=5 |
| 5 | Responsibility | Customer Relationship Management Goal orientation, Responsibility for careers, Personal achievement, Goal setting, Pride in productivity, Systems, structures, and processes, Result Orientation, Professionalism, accountability, Self- | 2, 9, 10, 17, 18, 22, 23 32, 33, 34 (n=10) |
| 6 | management, Responsibility, Taking Responsibility, Individual Responsibility Decision Making Decision Making, Decision making, Reasoning and Decision making, Judgement and Decision Making, Qualit decision making, Decision making unde | | 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 16, 17, 23, 24, 26, 28, 31 (n=12) |
| 7 | Creative & Innovative Orientation | pressure Thinking outside of the box, Creativity, Innovation, and imagination, Critical thinking, Creative & innovative orientation, Visioning, Entrepreneurial thinking, Entrepreneur Capability, Creativity in designing strategies to introduce new practices, Creating and Innovating, Sustainable mind-set, Business thinking, Creativity in designing strategies to introduce new practices Ability to adopt new models of work and organization (open to change), Strategic agility and | 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28, 31, 32, 34 (n=24) |

| No | Broad | Specific Competencies | Article No |
|----|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | Competencies | | |
| 8 | Flexibility & Adaptibility | Flexibility, Adaptability, Ambiguity tolerance, Flexibility / Adaptation to Change, Adaptive thinking, Environmental awareness, Legislation awareness, Cognitive Flexibility, Able to manage change, Change Management, Managing Complexity, Adaptability and Ability to Change Mind-set, Dynamic, Evolution, Revolution, Adaptability/ ability to change, Strategic Agility | 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 14, 16, 17, 18, 22, 25, 26, 27, 34 (n=17) |
| 9 | Learning Orientation | Educational purpose, Research Skills, Active learning, Research skills, Motivation to learn, Openness to Learning, Organizational Learning, Encourage constant learning, Have a rapid learning process, Ability to generate new management practices, Learning and Researching, Update Knowledge, Lifelong learning skills, Experimantation, Recognition of the need for lifelong learning, Self-knowledge, Literacy, Continuous improvement & lifelong learning | 4, 7, 8, 9, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27, 32 (n=13) |
| 10 | Emotional Resilience | Positive attitude, Confidence in success, Fearlessness, Competitiveness, Assertiveness, Optimism, Happiness, Self- actualization, Self-Powers, Emotional intelligence, Patience, Self-confidence, Stress management, Ability to work underpressure, Self-recognition, Self- regulation, Self-awareness | 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, 14, 16, 18, 22, 26, 27, 33 (n=12) |
| 11 | Problem Solving | Problem solving, Critical thinking, Solution appraisal, Conflict solving, Analytical Skills, Identification of key causes, Problem Identification, Cognitive ability, Complex Problem Solving, Critical and logical thinking, Trouble-shooting. | 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 11, 12, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23, 24, 28, 33 (n=15) |

The following graph can explain the distribution of the competencies and the frequency each is mentioned in all analyzed articles.



Distribution and frequency in each competency

From the graph above, it can be seen that three soft competencies that are mentioned most often in the articles analyzed are creativity and innovative orientation, working with others, and flexibility & adaptability. Meanwhile, the two least mentioned soft competencies are customer & service orientation, and responsibility. Creativity and innovation are needed in industry 4.0 as it is the key to the success and sustainability of an industry. Industrial Revolution 4.0 is characterized by efficiency in the exchange of information. At this stage, individual creativity is needed to develop a system capable of performing such efficiency (Sima *et al.*, 2020). In addition to creativity and innovation, the most important thing needed in industry 4.0 is collaborative work. The use of collaborative networks in the context of industry 4.0 can help companies achieve higher market responsiveness to be more profitable (Brettel et al., 2014). Giving team members the freedom to collaborate through the use of innovative and intuitive technology enables alignment between teams and helps organizations maintain a cohesive business vision. Collaboration among all stakeholders along the business process chain, including collaborating with business partners and customers, is also a required of an organization to face industry 4.0. Collaboration in the business ecosystem, that is between organizations, is an effort to build strategic alliances. This collaboration includes the sharing of resources and information (Camarinha-Matos et al., 2017). In industry 4.0 era, individuals are also likely to be involved in the entire production system, both in their roles as system designers, as workers, and as users of the things produced (Kinzel, 2017). Therefore, flexibility is needed to deal not only with complex roles, but also with disruptive environmental changes.

In the process of data abstraction, the eleven competencies found above were then grouped into 3 major groups, namely groups of task-related competencies, people-related competencies, and self-related competencies, which is in accordance with the concept of required managerial competencies (Smutny *et al.*, 2014). This group division also refers to that suggested by Analoui (1990) where there are three important categories, namely task-related competencies, people-related competencies and self-related competencies, which play an important role in improving effectiveness of senior managers in both private and public sector organizations.

Task-related competencies are the ability to carry out the process of identifying, setting priorities, planning, and monitoring and solving various problems related to various tasks within one's responsibilities that need to be carried out within a period of time. People-related competencies are the ability to understand the needs of others, build interactions, mobilize and direct others to build relationships in order to support productivity. Meanwhile, self-related competencies are one's ability to manage actions, be responsible for changes in behavior, and develop self-ability by using certain skills so that he/she is able to obtain what he/she wants to achieve. The following is the division of soft competencies and definitions of each as recommended in the analyzed articles.

| Orientation | Soft Competencies | Definition |
|-----------------------------|---|---|
| People-related competencies | Leadership | The ability of a person to influence, direct, motivat and supervise others to complete tasks that have bee planned to achieve certain goals. |
| | Working with people | A person's desire to work collectively by showing willingness to share responsibilities and open onese to accept ideas from others as well as a desire to shar collaboration spaces to achieve the same goal. |
| | Customer Orientation | One's ability to meet customer needs proactively b recognizing needs, establishing good relationship and continuously improving service quality. |
| | Communication | The ability to choose appropriate and effective communication behavior according to the context of the situation so as to have the effect of achieving common understanding with the communication partner. |
| Task-related competencies | Task Engagement & Responsibility | A person's ability to find ways to get involved in task, whether he likes it or not, so that he can comple it to completion with adequate results. |
| | Decision Making | A person's ability to make choices by identifyir decisions, gathering information, and assessing alternative resolutions in order to obtain more appropriate decisions. |
| | Problem Solving | The ability to recognize problems, create solvir strategies and then apply the knowledge and skil possessed to answer problems. |
| | Creative & Innovative Orientation | The ability to use new information and previou knowledge, synthesize ideas, evaluate the |
| | Orientation | effectiveness of existing ideas, and generate new idea that are considered more capable of answerin problems |

Table 5

| Orientation | Soft Competencies | Definition |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Self-related competencies | Flexibility & Adaptibility | The ability to change oneself according to circumstances so that it can survive and continue to develop even though it is in changing conditions and moves dynamically. |
| | Learning Orientation | A person's ability to improve knowledge and skills and think critically in responding to changes and challenges that exist through continuous improvement actions. |
| | Emotional Resilience | The capacity of individuals to realize, express and control their emotions so that they are able to appropriately choose actions that are appropriate to the situation at hand and survive under pressure. |

CONCLUSION, LIMITATIONS, AND SUGGESTIONS

There are several competencies that individuals need in order to survive and compete in the 4.0 era. Based on the research results, it can be concluded that based on the results of the Systematic Literature Review or SLR, there are 11 aspects of soft competence that are relevant to the needs of industry 4.0, namely leadership, working with people, customer orientation, communication, task engagement & responsibility, decision making, problem solving, creative & innovative orientation, flexibility & adaptability, learning orientation, emotional resilience. The limitation of this research is that this study cannot cover all publications related to soft competencies that may exist and have been published. The included studies were limited to certain databases, were open-access, and did not include gray literature that was not published by commercial or academic publishers. This has limited the number of manuscripts that were analyzed. In addition, language barriers are a major problem for conducting this type of systematic literature review, because the included manuscripts were only those available in English. It is suggested that further research re-confirm the results obtained through other methods such as focus group discussions or deep interviews with experts to ensure that these aspects are in accordance with the conditions in the field. In addition, more specific research can be conducted on the competencies required by certain positions or industries. Research can also be done on competencies that are no longer needed in the 4.0 era and in the next era.

REFERENCES

- Aasheim, C., Li, L., & Williams, S. (2009). Knowledge and skill requirements for entry-level information technology workers: A comparison of industry and academia. *Journal of Information Systems Education*, 20(3), 349–356.
- Adolph, S., Tisch, M., & Metternich, J. (2014). Challenges and approaches to competency development for future production. *Journal of International*

Scientific Publications, 12, 1001–1010.

- Aguinis, H., Gottfredson, R. K., & Joo, H. (2012). Using performance management to win the talent war. *Business Horizons*, 55(6), 609–616. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2012.05.007
- Analoui, F. (1990). Managerial skills for senior managers. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 3(2), 26–38. https://doi.org/10.1108/09513559010142951
- Azevedo, A., Apfelthaler, G., & Hurst, D. (2012). Competency development in business graduates: An industry-driven approach for examining the alignment of undergraduate business education with industry requirements. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 10(1), 12–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2012.02.002
- Bermúdez, M. D., & Juárez, B. F. (2017). Competencies to adopt industry 4.0 for operations management personnel at automotive parts suppliers in Nuevo Leon. Proceedings of the International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management, 736–747.
- Boland, A., Cherry, M., & Dickson, R. (2017). *Doing a systematic review: A student's guide* (2nd ed.). London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Boyatzis, R. E. (2008). Competencies in the 21st century. *Journal of Management Development*, 27(1), 5–12. https://doi.org/10.1108/02621710810840730
- Boyatzis, R. E. (2011). Managerial and leadership competencies. Vision: The Journal of Business Perspective, 15(2), 91–100. https://doi.org/10.1177/097226291101500202
- Brettel, M., Friederichsen, N., Keller, M., & Rosenberg, M. (2014). How virtualization, decentralization and network building change the manufacturing landscape: An industry 4.0 perspective. *International Journal of Mechanical, Aerospace, Industrial, Mechatronic and Manufacturing Engineering*, 8, 37– 44.
- Camarinha-Matos, L. M., Fornasiero, R., & Afsarmanesh, H. (2017). Collaborative networks as a core enabler of industry 4.0. In 2017 International Young Engineers Forum (YEF-ECE) (pp. 3–17). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-65151-4_1
- Clark, G. (2014). The industrial revolution. In *Handbook of Economic Growth* (Vol. 2, Issue 2007, pp. 217–262). Elsevier B.V. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53538-2.00005-8
- De Vos, A., De Hauw, S., & Van der Heijden, B. I. J. M. (2011). Competency development and career success: The mediating role of employability. *Journal* of Vocational Behavior, 79(2), 438–447. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2011.05.010
- Dhanpat, N., Buthelezi, Z. P., Joe, M. R., Maphela, T. V., & Shongwe, N. (2020). Industry 4.0: The role of human resource professionals. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*, *18*, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.4102/sajhrm.v18i0.1302
- Embu, W. S. (2019, September). 5 kompetensi yang perlu dimiliki pekerja RI agar

ciptakan SDM unggul. *Merdeka.Com.* https://www.merdeka.com/uang/5-kompetensi-yang-perlu-dimiliki-pekerja-ri-agar-ciptakan-sdm-unggul.html

- Enke, J., Glass, R., Kre
 ß, A., Hambach, J., Tisch, M., & Metternich, J. (2018). Industrie 4.0 – Competencies for a modern production system. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 23, 267–272. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2018.04.028
- Faisal, F., & Syam, H. (2020). Key competencies in the industrial age 4.0. Indonesian Journal of Fundamental Sciences, 6(1), 47–52. https://doi.org/10.26858/ijfs.v6i1.13966
- Flynn, T. (Terry). (2014). Do they have what it takes? A review of the literature on knowledge, competencies, and skills necessary for twenty-first-century public relations practitioners in Canada. *Canadian Journal of Communication*, 39(3), 361–384. https://doi.org/10.22230/cjc.2014v39n3a2775
- Ghobakhloo, M., & Fathi, M. (2019). Corporate survival in industry 4.0 era: The enabling role of lean-digitized manufacturing. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 31(1), 1–30. https://doi.org/10.1108/JMTM-11-2018-0417
- Hecklau, F., Galeitzke, M., Flachs, S., & Kohl, H. (2016). Holistic approach for human resource management in industry 4.0. *Procedia CIRP*, 54, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2016.05.102
- Heckman, J. J., & Kautz, T. (2012). Hard evidence on soft skills. *Labour Economics*, 19(4), 451–464. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.labeco.2012.05.014
- Hernandez-de-Menendez, M., Morales-Menendez, R., Escobar, C. A., & McGovern, M. (2020). Competencies for industry 4.0. International Journal on Interactive Design and Manufacturing (IJIDeM), 14(4), 1511–1524. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12008-020-00716-2
- Kamaruzzaman, S. N., Myeda, N. E., Zawawi, E. M. A., & Ramli, R. M. (2018). Developing facilities management (FM) competencies for Malaysia. *Journal* of Facilities Management, 16(2), 157–174. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFM-08-2017-0036
- Kemenpanrb. (2020, September). Pentingnya manajemen talenta bagi generasi milenial. *Menpan.Go.Id.* https://www.menpan.go.id/site/berita-terkini/pentingnya-manajemen-talenta-bagi-generasi-milenial
- Kencana, M. R. B. (2019, November). Pemerintah godok pembangunan SDM melalui manajemen talenta. *Liputan6.Com.* https://www.liputan6.com/bisnis/read/4101164/pemerintah-godokpembangunan-sdm-melalui-manajemen-talenta
- Kinzel, H. (2017). Industry 4.0 Where does this leave the human factor? *Journal of Urban Culture Research*, 15, 70–83.
- Kolibáčová, G. (2015). The relationship between competency and performance. Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis, 62(6), 1315–1327. https://doi.org/10.11118/actaun201462061315
- Laker, D. R., & Powell, J. L. (2011). The differences between hard and soft skills and their relative impact on training transfer. *Human Resource Development*

Quarterly, 22(1), 111-122. https://doi.org/10.1002/hrdq.20063

- Oztemel, E., & Gursev, S. (2020). Literature review of industry 4.0 and related technologies. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 31(1), 127–182. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10845-018-1433-8
- Pang, E., Wong, M., Leung, C. H., & Coombes, J. (2019). Competencies for fresh graduates' success at work: Perspectives of employers. *Industry and Higher Education*, 33(1), 55–65. https://doi.org/10.1177/0950422218792333
- Pawlowski, J. M., & Holtkamp, P. (2012). Towards an internationalization of the information systems curriculum. Proc. of MKWI 2012 (Multi Conference Business Information Systems).
- Sekretariat Kabinet Republik Indonesia. (2021). Indonesia akan segera miliki manajemen talenta nasional. Setkab.Go.Id. https://setkab.go.id/indonesia-akan-segera-miliki-manajemen-talenta-nasional/
- Siddaway, A. P., Wood, A. M., & Hedges, L. V. (2019). How to do a systematic review: A best practice guide for conducting and reporting narrative reviews, meta-analyses, and meta-syntheses. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 70(1), 747– 770. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010418-102803
- Sima, V., Gheorghe, I. G., Subić, J., & Nancu, D. (2020). Influences of the industry 4.0 revolution on the human capital development and consumer behavior: A systematic review. *Sustainability*, 12(10), 4035. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12104035
- Smutny, P., Prochazka, J., & Vaculik, M. (2014). Developing managerial competency model. Hradec Economic Days 2014: Economic Development and Management of Regions, Volume 5.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039
- Tripathi, K., & Agrawal, M. (2014). Competency based management in organizational context: A literature review. *Global Journal of Finance and Management.*, 6(4), 349–356.
- Ulrich, D. (2015). From war for talent to victory through organization. *Strategic HR Review*, 14(1/2), 8–12. https://doi.org/10.1108/SHR-01-2015-0004