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# A review of research on the intersection between breast cancer and cardiovascular research in the Women's Health Initiative (WHI) 
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Both obesity and metabolic syndrome are linked to increased incidence of type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease (CVD), and cancers of the breast (postmenopausal), and other obesity-related cancers. Over the past 50 years, the worldwide prevalence of obesity and metabolic syndrome has increased, with a concomitant higher incidence of associated co-morbidities and mortality. The precise mechanism linking metabolic syndrome to increased cancer incidence is incompletely understood, however, individual components of metabolic syndrome have been linked to increased breast cancer incidence and worse survival. There is a bidirectional relationship between the risk of CVD and cancer due to a high burden of shared risk factors and higher rates of CVD among cancer survivors, which may be impacted by the pro-inflammatory microenvironment associated with metabolic syndrome and cancer-directed therapies. The Women's Health Initiative (WHI) is an excellent resource to study a dual relationship between cancer and CVD (cardio-oncology) with extensive information on risk factors and long-term outcomes. The purpose of this review is to provide an overview of research on cardio-oncology conducted utilizing WHI data with focus on studies evaluating both breast cancer and CVD including shared risk factors and outcomes after cancer. The review also includes results on other obesity related cancers which were included in the analyses of breast cancer, articles looking at cancer after heart disease (reverse
cardio-oncology) and the role of Clonal Hematopoiesis of Indeterminate Potential (CHIP) as a shared risk factor between CVD and cancer. A summary of pertinent WHI literature helps to delineate the direction of future research evaluating the relationship between CVD and other cancer sites, and provides information on the opportunity for other novel analyses within the WHI.
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## Introduction

Over the past 50 years, obesity has increased in prevalence, with consequent increases in morbidity and mortality $(1,2)$. From 2017-2018, the prevalence of obesity in the United States was estimated at approximately $42 \%$ (2) with a projected increase to above $50 \%$ after 2030 (3). In addition, over the last decade, metabolic syndrome (MS), defined by the presence of at least three out of five cardiometabolic abnormalities [high waist circumference (WC), triglycerides, blood pressure, fasting blood glucose, and low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C)], has also increased in prevalence (4).

Both obesity and MS have been linked to increased incidence of type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease (CVD), and cancers of the breast (post-menopausal), endometrium, adenocarcinoma of the esophagus, kidney, liver, gallbladder, pancreas, ovaries, small intestine, thyroid, stomach, multiple myeloma and nonHodgkin's lymphoma (5-9). The precise pathophysiology driving the increased incidence of cancer is incompletely understood but proposed mechanisms include shared predisposing factors such as sedentary lifestyle, and lower quality diet, or common cellular pathways related to systemic inflammation (10). Individual components of MS have been linked to higher breast cancer (BC) incidence, and worse survival among cancer survivors (11, 12). There is a proposed bidirectional relationship between risk of CVD and cancer with shared risk factors and higher rates of CVD among cancer survivors, which may be worsened by a proinflammatory microenvironment (10) as well as cardiotoxic cancer therapies (13).

In this review, we provide a summary of published studies within the Women's Health Initiative (WHI) which focus on the area of "cardio-oncology" defined as intersection between cancer and CVD. The review focuses on the relationship between BC and CVD and includes studies evaluating shared risk factors and outcomes after cancer as well as "reverse cardio-oncology" investigating the risk of cancer among women with CVD. The review also covers the role of Clonal Hematopoiesis of Indeterminate Potential (CHIP) and risk of subsequent cancer
( $8,12,14-67$ ). A PubMed search of WHI articles related to CVD and cancer, as well as other non-indexed articles were selected for the review using keywords including BC, cardio-oncology, CHIP, and WHI. When applicable, results for other obesity related cancers reported in the studies evaluating BC are also included.

The WHI includes an observational study (OS) and 3 clinical trials (CT) including the dietary modification trial (DM), the hormone therapy trial (HT) and the Calcium/Vitamin D trial (CaD). Participants could be included in one or more CT. Women were included in the OS if they were not eligible or not interested in participating in a CT. The WHI study included 161,808 postmenopausal women, aged 50-79 at enrollment, and as part of the protocol, detailed information on CVD, cancer risk factors and long-term outcomes were collected (68, 69). Participants were recruited from one of 40 U.S. clinical centers between October 1, 1993, and December 31, 1998 and had a predicted survival of at least 3 years at enrollment. Follow-up was initially through March 2005, followed by two 5 -year extension periods and currently ongoing through $2027(68,69)$. The review includes publications inclusive of the entire cohort, the OS, CT or from smaller groups of participants included in ancillary studies which collected biologic or clinical information which was not part of the original protocol.

## A. Shared risk-factors

Several predisposing risk-factors and/or protective factors have been linked to CVD and cancer including physical activity, obesity, body composition, hypertension, diet, lipids, circulating cytokines and insulin resistance (70, 71). Table 1 includes WHI studies which address shared risk factors.

## Physical activity

In an analysis of 73,743 women in the OS, high levels of physical activity, reported as both walking and vigorous exercise,

TABLE 1 Summary of WHI publications on shared risk factors between cardiovascular disease and cancer, with a focus on breast cancer.

| Years of study, reference | Study population/ design | Main outcome | Study | HR, 95\% C | Main conclusion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994-1998; <br> Manson et al. (14) | $\begin{gathered} \text { N=73,743 } \\ \text { WHI-OS } \\ \text { Age }=50-79 \mathrm{y} \\ \text { Follow-up }=5.9 \mathrm{y} \end{gathered}$ | Newly diagnosed heart disease (nonfatal MI, death from coronary causes) and total cardiovascular events (MI, death from coronary causes, coronary or carotid revascularization, angina, CHF, stroke) | Quintile of total MET hr/wk (Total exercise) 1 (lowest) 2 <br> 3 <br> 4 <br> 5 (highest) | Multivariate RR of total $\begin{gathered} \text { CVD } \\ \text { Ref } \\ 0.89(0.75-1.04) \\ 0.81(0.68-0.97) \\ 0.78(0.66-0.93) \\ 0.72(0.59-0.87) \\ \mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}<0.001 \end{gathered}$ | Walking and vigorous exercise reduce incidence of CVD events, prolonged sitting increased CVD risk |
| 1993-1998; <br> McTiernan <br> et al. (15) | $\begin{gathered} \text { N=74,171 } \\ \text { WHI-OS } \\ \text { Age }=50-79 \mathrm{y} \\ \text { Follow-up }=4.7 \mathrm{y} \end{gathered}$ | Incident invasive and in-situ breast cancer | Strenuous physical activity <br> Age 18y <br> No <br> Yes <br> Age 35y <br> No <br> Yes <br> Age 50y <br> No <br> Yes | Multivariate RR of breast cancer Ref $0.94(0.85-1.04)$ Ref $0.86(0.78-0.95)$ Ref $0.92(0.83-1.01)$ | Increased physical activity associated with reduced breast cancer risk |
| 1993-1998; <br> Morimoto <br> et al. (16) | $\begin{gathered} \text { N=85,917 } \\ \text { WHI-OS } \\ \text { Age }=50-79 \mathrm{y} \\ \text { Follow-up }=34.8 \mathrm{mo} \end{gathered}$ | Relationship between several anthropometric measures and postmenopausal breast cancer risk | $\begin{gathered} \text { Baseline BMI } \\ \left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \text { in HRT } \\ \text { never users } \\ \leq 22.6 \\ >22.6-24.9 \\ >24.9-27.4 \\ >27.4-31.1 \\ >31.1 \end{gathered}$ | Multivariate RR for breast cancer Ref $1.52(0.95-2.42)$ $1.41(0.87-2.23)$ $1.70(1.08-2.68)$ $2.52(1.62-3.93)$ $\mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}<0.001$ | Generalized obesity is risk factor for breast cancer among HRT never users; waist-to-hip ratio not associated with breast cancer risk |
| 1994-1998; <br> Pradhan <br> et al. (17) | $\begin{gathered} \text { N=75,343 } \\ \text { WHI-OS } \\ \text { Age }=50-79 \mathrm{y} \\ \text { Follow-up }=2.9 \mathrm{y} \\ \text { Design }=\text { Prospective, } \\ \text { nested, case-control study } \end{gathered}$ | Incidence of first MI or death from CHD | Baseline plasma concentration quartiles for: <br> CRP <br> 1 <br> 2 <br> 3 <br> 4 <br> IL-6 <br> 1 <br> 2 <br> 3 <br> 4 | Adjusted OR for CHD <br> Ref <br> 1.4 (0.8-2.8) <br> 1.4 (0.7-2.6) <br> 2.1 (1.1-4.1) <br> $P_{\text {trend }}=0.046$ <br> Ref <br> 1.7 (0.9-3.2) <br> 1.8 (0.9-3.5) <br> 2.1 (1.1-4.0) <br> $\mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}=0.05$ | CRP and IL-6 independently predict CVD events, HRT increases CRP |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9/1/1994- } \\ & \text { 12/31/1998; } \\ & \text { Margolis } \\ & \text { et al. (18) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N=72,242 } \\ \text { WHI-OS } \\ \text { Age }=50-79 \mathrm{y} \\ \text { Follow-up }=6.1 \mathrm{y} \end{gathered}$ | Incident fatal CHD, nonfatal MI, stroke, and total mortality | WBC count $\left(\mathrm{x} 10^{9} / \mathrm{L}\right)$ quartiles for: <br> Total CVD Q1 (2.5-4.7) Q2 (4.7-5.6) Q3 (5.61-6.7) Q4 (6.71-15) <br> Total mortality Q1 <br> Q2 <br> Q3 <br> Q4 | Multivariate HR Ref $1.01(0.86-1.19)$ $1.12(0.95-1.31)$ $1.47(1.26-1.72)$ Ref $1.0(0.87-1.16)$ $1.02(0.89-1.19)$ $1.52(1.33-1.74)$ $\mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}<0.001$ for all | WBC count is an independent predictor of CVD events and allcause mortality |
| 1993-1998; <br> Cauley et al. (19) | $\mathrm{N}=156,351$ <br> WHI-OS and WHI-CT (all <br> 4) $\text { Age }=50-79 \mathrm{y}$ Follow-up=6.7y | Incident breast cancer per 1000 person-yrs | Statin use <br> No <br> Yes | Multivariate HR <br> Ref <br> 0.91 (0.8-1.05) | Overall statin use not associated with invasive breast cancer incidence |

TABLE 1 Continued

| Years of study, reference | Study population/ design | Main outcome | Study measure | HR, 95\% CI | Main conclusion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10/1993-12/ } \\ & \text { 1998; } \end{aligned}$ <br> Chlebowski <br> et al. (20) | $\mathrm{N}=2,996$ <br> WHI-OS and WHI-CT (all <br> 4) Age $=50-79 \mathrm{y}$ | Fasting insulin levels | BMI $<25$ $25-29$ $\geq 30$ Total Physical activity $(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{wk} /$ $\mathrm{kg})$ 0 $>0-3.75$ $>3.75-8.75$ $>8.75-17.5$ $>17.5$ | Mean (SD) $8.10(4.14)$ $10.4(6.93)$ $14.45(7.49)$ $13.03(9.9)$ $11.94(6.05)$ $11.33(6.64)$ $1.56(5.69)$ $9.48(5.31)$ $\mathrm{p}<0.0001$ for all | Lower BMI, higher physical activity, lower caloric intake associated with lower mean fasting insulin levels, which is a potential mediator of breast cancer risk |
| 1993-1998; <br> Howard <br> et al. (21) | $\mathrm{N}=48,835$ <br> WHI-CT (DM) Age=50-79y <br> Follow-up=8.1y <br> Design= Interventional (reduce total fat to 20\%; vegetables/fruits 5 servings/ d; grains 6 servings/d) | Fatal and nonfatal CHD and stroke, and CVD (composite of CHD and stroke) | Composite CHD Stroke Total CVD | $\begin{gathered} \text { Adjusted HR } \\ 0.97(0.9-1.06) \\ 1.02(0.9-1.15) \\ 0.98(0.92-1.05) \end{gathered}$ | Dietary intervention did not significantly reduce risk of CHD, stroke or CVD |
| 1993-2005; <br> Prentice <br> et al. (22) | $\mathrm{N}=48,835$ <br> WHI-CT (DM) $\text { Age }=50=79 \mathrm{y}$ <br> Follow-up=8.1y <br> Design=Randomized, controlled, primary intervention (same as above) | Invasive breast cancer incidence | Breast cancer Incidence Mortality | Multivariate HR <br> 0.91 (0.83-1.01) <br> 0.77 (0.48-1.22) | Low fat diet did not result in statistically significant reduction in invasive breast cancer risk |
| 1993-1998; <br> Gunter <br> et al. (23) | $\begin{gathered} \text { N=93,676 } \\ \text { WHI-OS } \\ \text { Age }=50-79 \mathrm{y} \\ \text { Follow-up=77mo } \end{gathered}$ | Incident breast cancer | Nonusers of HT <br> Insulin ( $\mu \mathrm{IU} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) <br> Quartile 1 (<3.9) <br> Quartile 2 (3.9- <br> <5.6) <br> Quartile 3 (5.6- <br> <8.8) <br> Quartile 4 ( $\geq 8.8$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Multivariate HR } \\ \text { Ref } \\ 1.04(0.59-1.84) \\ 1.45(0.81-2.58) \\ 2.48(1.38-4.47) \\ \mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}<0.001 \end{gathered}$ | Hyperinsulinemia is an independent risk factor for breast cancer |
| 1993-1998; <br> Prentice <br> et al. (24) | $\mathrm{N}=48,835$ WHI-CT (DM) Age $=50-79 \mathrm{y}$ Follow-up=8.1y Design=Interventional (same as above) | Incidence of invasive ovarian and endometrial cancer, total invasive cancer, and invasive cancer at other sites | Cancer site Ovary <br> Endometrium Breast Colorectal All other sites Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Multivariate HR } \\ 0.83(0.6-1.14) \\ 1.11(0.88-1.4) \\ 0.91(0.83-1.01) \\ 1.08(0.9-1.29) \\ 0.95(0.86-1.04) \\ 0.95(0.89-1.01) \end{gathered}$ | Low fat diet may reduce incidence of ovarian cancer |
| 1993-1998; <br> Freedman <br> et al. (25) | $\mathrm{N}=603$ cases, 1206 controls <br> WHI-CT (DM) <br> Age=50-79y <br> Follow-up=83mo <br> Design=Nested case-control | Fat-breast cancer association | Log total fat and log energy FR FFQ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Adjusted standardized log } \\ \text { RR } \\ 3.32 \\ 1.24 \\ \mathrm{p}=0.08 \end{gathered}$ | Food records (FR) may be preferable to food frequency questionnaires (FFQ) to assess diet-breast cancer relationship |
| 1993-1998; <br> Shikany <br> et al. (26) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}=148,767 \\ \text { WHI-OS and CT (all 4) } \\ \text { Age=50-79y } \\ \text { Follow-up }=8 \mathrm{y} \end{gathered}$ | Incident breast cancer | Quintiles GL (g/d) 1 2 3 3 4 5 GI 1 2 3 | Multivariate HR For total breast cancer <br> Ref <br> 1.05(0.94-1.16) <br> 0.97 (0.87-1.09) <br> 1.10 (0.97-1.25) <br> 1.08 (0.92-1.29) <br> $P_{\text {trend }}=0.27$ <br> Ref <br> 1.02(0.93-1.13) <br> 1.01 (0.92-1.12) | No association between GL, GI and carbohydrate and total breast cancer risk, with possible association between GL and insitu breast cancer |

TABLE 1 Continued
Years of

| study, |
| :--- |
| reference | | Study population/ |
| :---: |
| design |

HR, 95\% CI
Main conclusion reference

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 5 | 1.01 (0.91-1.12) |  |
|  |  |  | Carbohydrate (g/ <br> d) | $\begin{gathered} P_{\text {trend }}=0.74 \\ \text { Ref } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  |  | 1 | 0.94 (0.84-1.05) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 1.00 (0.88-1.14) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | $P_{\text {trend }}=0.98$ |  |
| 1993-1998; <br> Caan et al. (27) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}=48,835 \\ \text { WHI-CT }(\mathrm{DM}) \\ \text { Age=50-79y } \\ \text { Follow-up=8.1y } \end{gathered}$ | Invasive breast cancer incidence | Intervention vs. comparison grp No hot flashes Hot flashes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Multivariate HR } \\ 0.93(0.84-1.03) \\ 0.65(0.42-1.01) \end{gathered}$ | Hot flashes (HF) may identify women whose risk of invasive breast cancer can be reduced by low fat diet, mainly ER/PR positive tumors |
| 1993-1998; <br> Kabat et al. (28) | $\mathrm{N}=4,888$ <br> WHI-OS and CT (DM, HT, CaD ) Age=50-79y <br> Follow-up=8y | Incident breast cancer | Metabolic <br> syndrome <br> No <br> Yes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Multivariate HR } \\ \text { Ref } \\ 1.12(0.78-1.62) \end{gathered}$ | Metabolic syndrome at baseline not associated with increased risk of breast cancer, some positive association in time-dependent analyses |
| 1993-1998; <br> Welti et al. <br> (29) | $\begin{gathered} \text { N=80,943 } \\ \text { WHI-OS } \\ \text { Age }=50-79 y \\ \text { Follow-up }=20 y \end{gathered}$ | Incidence of obesity related cancers (breast, endometrial, colorectal) | Breast cancer <br> Stable weight <br> Weight gain <br> Weight loss <br> Weight cycling | Multivariate HR Ref $1.11(1.03-1.20)$ $0.90(0.75-1.08)$ $1.02(0.95-1.21)$ | Weight gain and weight cycling positively associated with risk of breast and endometrial cancer |
| 1993-1998; <br> Luo et al. (30) | $\begin{gathered} \text { N=76,628 } \\ \text { WHI-OS } \\ \text { Age=50-79y } \\ \text { Follow-up }=10.3 y \end{gathered}$ | Invasive breast cancer incidence | Smoking history in obese women <br> Never smoker <br> Ever smoker <br> Former smoker <br> Current smoker | $\begin{gathered} \text { Multivariate HR } \\ \text { Ref } \\ 0.96(0.84-1.10) \\ 0.96(0.83-1.11) \\ 0.96(0.69-1.34) \\ \mathrm{p}=0.01 \end{gathered}$ | Effect of smoking on breast cancer risk was modified by obesity |
| 1993-1998; <br> Gunter et al. (31) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}=875 \text { case, } 839 \text { control } \\ \text { WHI-OS } \\ \text { Age }=50-79 \mathrm{y} \\ \text { Follow-up }=11 \mathrm{y} \end{gathered}$ | Incident breast cancer | CRP ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) <br> Non-HT users <br> Quartile 1 <br> Quartile 2 <br> Quartile 3 <br> Quartile 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Multivariable HR } \\ \text { Ref } \\ 1.0(0.65-1.56) \\ 2.28(1.36-3.81) \\ 1.63(0.95-2.80) \\ \mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}=0.10 \end{gathered}$ | CRP is a risk factor for postmenopausal breast cancer among HT nonusers |
| Hvidtfeldt et al. (33) | $\mathrm{N}=1,601$ <br> WHI-OS $\text { Age }=50=79 \mathrm{y}$ | Breast cancer incidence | BMI (5U <br> increase) <br> Estradiol <br> Insulin | Total effect (extra cases per 100,000 women at-risk per $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{yr}) \\ 52(12.1-91.3) \end{gathered}$ <br> Proportion of total effect $\begin{gathered} 21 \% \\ 65.8 \% \end{gathered}$ | Relation of BMI to breast cancer was partly mediated through estradiol, and by insulin to a greater extent |
| 1993-1998; <br> Phipps <br> et al. (34) | $\mathrm{N}=155,723$ <br> WHI-OS and CT (all 4) $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age }=50=79 y \\ & \text { Follow-up }=7.9 y \end{aligned}$ | Incidence of triple negative and ER+ breast cancer | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BMI}\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \\ \text { quartiles } \\ \text { ER+ } \\ <23.75 \\ 23.75-26.89 \\ 26.9-31.04 \\ \geq 31.05 \\ \text { Triple negative } \\ <23.75 \\ 23.75-26.89 \\ 26.9-31.04 \\ \geq 31.05 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Multivariate HR } \\ \text { Ref } \\ 1.19(1.05-1.35) \\ 1.17(1.03-1.33) \\ 1.39(1.22-1.58) \\ \mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}<0.01 \\ \text { Ref } \\ 0.99(0.67-1.46) \\ 1.21(0.83-1.77) \\ 1.35(0.92-1.99) \\ P_{\text {trend }}=0.07 \end{gathered}$ | Triple negative and ER+ breast cancers have similar associations with BMI and physical activity |
| 1993-1998; <br> Prentice <br> et al. (35) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}=48,835 \\ \text { WHI-CT }(\mathrm{DM}) \\ \text { Age }=50-79 \mathrm{y} \end{gathered}$ | CHD and overall CVD incidence and mortality (secondary) | Cumulative CVD outcomes (intervention + | $\begin{gathered} \text { Multivariate HR } \\ 1.0(0.94-1.07) \\ 1.0(0.91-1.10) \end{gathered}$ | Overall no difference in CHD, total CVD or total mortality in |

TABLE 1 Continued

| Years of study, reference | Study population/ design | Main outcome | Study measure | HR, 95\% CI | Main conclusion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Follow-up=16y } \\ \text { Design= RCT (as above) } \end{gathered}$ |  | post-intervention period) <br> Composite CHD Stroke Total CVD Cumulative mortality CHD death CVD death All-cause | $\begin{gathered} 1.0(0.94-1.05) \\ 0.99(0.89-1.10) \\ 0.98(0.91-1.05) \\ 0.99(0.95-1.03) \end{gathered}$ | the intervention or postintervention periods |
| 1993-1998; <br> Reding <br> et al. (36) | $\mathrm{N}=56,997$ <br> WHI-OS and CT (all 4) Age=50-79y <br> Follow-up=5.7y | Incidence of CHD, HF, or composite cardiac events (CHD and HF) | Antihypertensive medication BB ACEi/ARB ACEi/ARB + BB CCB Diuretic | Ratio of multivariate HR among cancer vs. noncancer cohort Ref 2.25 (1.74-4.32) 1.53 (0.64-3.63) 1.41 (0.58-3.43) 1.40 (0.65-3.00) | Among cancer survivors, 2.24fold increased risk of total cardiac events using ACEi/ARB compared to BB |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1993-2010; } \\ & \text { Foraker } \\ & \text { et al. (37) } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{N}=161,809$ <br> WHI-OS and CT (all 4) $\begin{gathered} \text { Age=50-79y } \\ \text { Follow-up=13y } \end{gathered}$ | Incident CVD, cancer and cancer subtypes (lung, colorectal, breast) | Comparing lowest with highest CVH scores Incident cancer Incident CVD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Multivariate HR } \\ & 1.52(1.35-1.72) \\ & 6.83(5.83-8.00) \end{aligned}$ | Lower ideal CVH predicts increased risk of CVD (7 times) and cancer (52\%) |
| 1993-1998; <br> Rohan et al. <br> (38) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}=10,960 \\ \text { WHI-OS and CT (all 4) } \\ \text { Age=50-79y } \\ \text { Follow-up }=12.9 \mathrm{y} \end{gathered}$ | Incident breast cancer | Whole body fat mass quintiles 1 2 3 4 5 | Multivariate HR Ref $1.18(0.86-1.62)$ $1.57(1.16-2.13)$ $1.47(1.08-2.02)$ $1.88(1.38-2.57)$ $\mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}<0.0001$ | All baseline DXA derived body fat measures had a positive association with breast cancer risk |
| 1993-1998; <br> Neuhouser et al. (39) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}=67,142 \\ \text { WHI-CT (all 4) } \\ \text { Age }=50-79 \mathrm{y} \\ \text { Follow-up }=13 \mathrm{y} \end{gathered}$ | Incident invasive breast cancer | Obesity grade <br> (BMI) <br> Normal (<25) <br> Overweight (25- <br> <30) <br> Grade $1(30-<35)$ <br> Grade $2+3$ <br> $(\geq 35)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Multivariate HR (all } \\ \text { invasive breast cancer) } \\ \text { Ref } \\ 1.17(1.06-1.29) \\ 1.37(1.23-1.53) \\ 1.58(1.40-1.79) \\ \mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}<0.001 \end{gathered}$ | Obesity associated with increased invasive breast cancer risk, specially ER/PR+ tumors |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1993-1998; } \\ & \text { Kabat et al. } \\ & (40) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{N}=143,901$ <br> WHI-OS and CT (all 4) $\text { Age }=50-79 \mathrm{y}$ <br> Follow-up=12.7y | Incidence of four obesity-related cancers (breast, endometrial, colorectal, renal) | ABSI Quintiles of cancer type Breast 1 2 <br> 3 <br> 4 <br> 5 <br> Endometrium 1 <br> 2 <br> 3 <br> 4 <br> 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Multivariate HR } \\ \text { Ref } \\ 1.01(0.94-1.09) \\ 0.98(0.91-1.06) \\ 1.01(0.94-1.09) \\ 1.04(0.96-1.12) \\ \mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}=0.33 \\ \text { Ref } \\ 1.23(1.02-1.48) \\ 1.15(0.95-1.38) \\ 1.02(0.83-1.23) \\ 1.20(0.98-1.44) \\ P_{\text {trend }}=0.40 \end{gathered}$ | ABSI showed no association with risk of breast/endometrial cancer and weak associations with colorectal/renal cancer than other anthropometric measures of central obesity |
| 1993-1998; <br> Zheng et al. <br> (41) | $\mathrm{N}=93,676$ <br> WHI-OS and NPAAS Age=50-79y <br> Follow-up=9/2010 for CVD/cancer and 9/2012 for diabetes | Incident CVD, invasive cancer and diabetes | Disease category <br> Total CVD <br> TEC <br> AREE <br> Total invasive cancer | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Multivariate HR } \\ & \quad \text { (calibrated) } \\ & 1.49(1.23-1.81) \\ & 0.83(0.73-0.93) \\ & 1.43(1.17-1.73) \\ & 0.84(0.73-0.96) \end{aligned}$ | Calibrated TEC was positively related and AREE inversely related to risk of total CVD, cancer (including breast) and diabetes |

TABLE 1 Continued
Years of

| study, |
| :--- |
| reference | | Study population/ |
| :---: |
| design |

Study measure ere
TEC
AREE
All-obesity
related cancer
Overweight
duration (per
10 y )
Obesity duration
(per 10y)
OWY (per 100U)
OBY (per 100U)

Time to first occurrence of CHD, invasive BC , stroke, pulmonary embolism, hip fracture, colorectal, endometrial cancer, or death from any cause (Global Index Event - GIE)

| 1993-1998; | $\mathrm{N}=92,295$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thomson | WHI-OS and CT (HT/ |
| et al. (45) | CaD) |
|  | Age $=50-79 \mathrm{y}$ |
|  | Follow-up $=14.6 \pm 5.6 \mathrm{y}$ |


| 1993-1998; | $\mathrm{N}=61,335$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Chlebowski | WHI-OS |
| et al. (47) | Age $=50-79 \mathrm{y}$ |
|  | Follow-up=11.4y |


| 1993-1998; <br> Kabat et al. (8) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}=21,103 \\ \text { WHI-OS and CT (all 4) } \\ \text { Age }=50-79 \mathrm{y} \\ \text { Follow-up=14.7y } \end{gathered}$ | Incident breast, endometrial and ovarian cancer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1993-1998; <br> Luo et al. (48) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}=58,667 \\ \text { WHI-OS } \\ \text { Age }=50-79 \mathrm{y} \\ \text { Follow-up }=12 \mathrm{y} \end{gathered}$ | Incident obesity-related cancers (breast, ovary, endometrium, colorectal, esophagus, kidney, liver, multiple myeloma, pancreas, stomach, thyroid) |

GIE
No vaginal
estrogen

Vaginal estrogen Intact uterus Hysterectomy

Incident obesity-associated cancers DED quintiles (breast, colorectal, endometrium, ovary, kidney, pancreas, gallbladder, esophagus)

Incident invasive BC

Follow-up=11.4

| 1993-2005; | $\mathrm{N}=45,663$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Crandall | WHI-OS |
| et al. (44) | Age $=50-79 \mathrm{y}$ |
|  | Follow-up $=7.2 \mathrm{y}$ |



| 1993-2016; | $\mathrm{N}=131,833$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Arthur | WHI-OS and CT (all 4) | et al. (50)

$\mathrm{N}=21,000 \quad$ Incident BC
1993-1998.
Kabat et al. WHI-OS and CT (all 4)
(49)

Age $=50-79 \mathrm{y}$

$$
\text { Follow-up }=15 y
$$

for any obesity
related cancer
1

| Multivariate HR Ref | Risk of CVD and cancer not elevated in vaginal estrogen users |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.76 (0.64-0.91) |  |
| 0.68 (0.55-0.86) |  |
| 0.94 (0.7-1.26) |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age-adjusted sub-hazard } \\ \text { ratio } \\ \text { Ref } \\ 1.0(0.9-1.1) \\ 1.05(0.99-1.1) \\ 1.05(0.98-1.1) \\ 1.1(1.03-1.2) \end{gathered}$ | Higher DED associated with $10 \%$ increased risk of obesity-related cancers, including BC (6\%) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Multivariate HR } \\ \text { Ref } \\ 1.02(0.93-1.11) \\ 0.88(0.78-0.98) \end{gathered}$ | Weight loss ( $\geq 5 \%$ ) associated with lower BC risk than stable weight |
| Multivariate HR for Breast cancer Ref $1.07(0.9-1.28)$ $1.25(1.04-1.5)$ $1.41(1.16-1.72)$ | Serum insulin was positively associated with breast and endometrial cancer risk; but not ovarian cancer |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Multivariate HR } \\ 0.88(0.8-0.98) \\ 0.9(0.79-1.03) \\ 0.88(0.8-0.96) \\ 0.9(0.8-1.01) \end{gathered}$ | Intentional weight or WC loss ( $\geq 5 \%$ ) from baseline to year 3 was associated with lower risk of obesity-related cancer |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Multivariate HR } \\ \text { Ref } \\ 0.86(0.51-1.38) \\ 1.08(0.9-1.31) \\ 1.17(0.93-1.47) \\ 1.31(1.07-1.61) \\ 1.61(1.34-1.94) \end{gathered}$ | Obesity and metabolic dysregulation associated with BC risk, MUO with highest risk |
| Multivariate HR for all BC cases <br> Ref | $4 \%$ reduction in BC risk per unit increase in HLI score |

.

Weight loss ( $\geq 5 \%$ ) associated between baseline and Year 3 Stable ( $<5 \%$ )
Gain ( $\geq 5 \%$ )
Loss ( $\leq 5 \%$ )
Quartiles of
serum insulin

## (mg/l)

<33.5
$33.5->51.5$
51.5-<81.5 $\geq 81.5$
Intentional weight loss All Breast Intentional WC loss All Breast
Metabolic phenotypes in total population MHNW MUNW MHOW MUOW MHO MUO

HLI score quintiles
$\leq 9$

Serum insulin was positively endometrial cancer risk; but no ovarian cancer

Obesity and metabolic dysregulation associated with BC increase in HLI scoreMultivariate HR1.06(1.06-1.09)1.10 (1.08-1.12)
1.12 (1.09-1.15)

Longer duration and intensity of overweight and obesity associated with increased risk of many types of cancer, specially breast and

$$
1.12(1.08-1.15)
$$ endometrial

## Main conclusion

HR, 95\% CI

TABLE 1 Continued

| Years of study, reference | Study population/ design | Main outcome | Study measure | HR, 95\% CI | Main conclusion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age }=50-79 \mathrm{y} \\ \text { Follow-up }=16.9 \mathrm{y} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 10-11 \\ 12-13 \\ 14-15 \\ \geq 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.93(0.87-1.0) \\ 0.85(0.8-0.91) \\ 0.75(0.7-0.81) \\ 0.7(0.64-0.76) \\ P_{\text {trend }}<0.01 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1993-1998; <br> Iyengar <br> et al. (52) | $\mathrm{N}=3,460$ <br> WHI-OS and CT (all 4) $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age }=50-79 y \\ & \text { Follow-up }=16 y \end{aligned}$ | Incident invasive breast cancer in women with normal BMI | Whole-body fat mass (kg) by DXA $\leq 18.7$ <br> 18.8-22.0 <br> 22.1-25.1 <br> $>25.1$ | Multivariate HR Ref $\begin{gathered} 1.45(0.91-2.3) \\ 1.68(1.06-2.64) \\ 1.89(1.21-2.95) \\ \mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}=0.004 \end{gathered}$ | In women with normal BMI, higher body fat level (by DXA) associated with higher risk of invasive BC , specially $\mathrm{ER}+$ |
| 1993-1998; <br> Reding <br> et al. (61) | $\mathrm{N}=2,272$ <br> WHI-OS and CT $\begin{gathered} \text { Age=50-79y } \\ \text { Follow-up=7.2y } \end{gathered}$ | Incidence and mortality of HFpEF and HFrEF in BC survivors | Overall mortality Hospitalized HFpEF Hospitalized HFrEF | $\begin{gathered} \text { Multivariate HR } \\ 5.65(4.11-7.76) \\ 3.77(2.51-5.66) \end{gathered}$ | Incidence of HFpEF hospitalizations (6.68\%) higher than HFrEF (3.96\%) in BC survivors; HF with higher mortality risk |
| 1993-1998; <br> Arthur et al. (62) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}=137,283 \\ \text { WHI-OS and CT (all 4) } \\ \text { Age }=50-79 \mathrm{y} \\ \text { Follow-up=19y } \end{gathered}$ | Incident invasive BC | REE quintiles <br> Ikeda method <br> 1 <br> 2 <br> 3 <br> 4 <br> 5 <br> Livingston <br> method <br> 1 <br> 2 <br> 3 <br> 4 <br> 5 <br> Mifflin method <br> 1 <br> 2 <br> 3 <br> 4 <br> 5 | Multivariate HR Ref $1.06(0.99-1.14)$ $1.14(1.06-1.23)$ $1.28(1.17-1.39)$ $1.39(1.23-1.57)$ $\mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}<0.001$ Ref $1.03(0.98-1.13)$ $1.14(1.05-1.23)$ $1.25(1.14-1.37)$ $1.37(1.21-1.55)$ $\mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}<0.001$ Ref $1.16(0.99-1.14)$ $1.16(1.08-1.25)$ $1.26(1.16-1.36)$ $1.34(1.21-1.48)$ $\mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}<0.001$ | Higher REE (for all 3 methods of calculation) associated with higher BC risk |
| 1993-1998; <br> Desai et al. (72) | $\mathrm{N}=154,587$ <br> WHI-OS and CT (all 4) $\begin{gathered} \text { Age }=50-79 y \\ \text { Follow-up }=10.8 y \end{gathered}$ | Incident invasive BC | Statin use | Multivariate HR $0.94 \text { (0.83-1.06) }$ | Statins not associated with BC risk |
| Desai et al. (73) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}=128,675 \\ \text { WHI-OS and CT (all 4) } \\ \text { Age }=50-79 \mathrm{y} \\ \text { Follow-up }=11.5 \mathrm{y} \end{gathered}$ | Diagnosis of late-stage BC and BCspecific mortality | Type of statin used and latestage BC <br> Lipophilic (vs. none) <br> Hydrophilic (vs. none) <br> BC-specific mortality (statin use over time) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Multivariate HR } \\ 0.80(0.64-0.98) \\ 1.06(0.70-1.59) \\ 0.59(0.32-1.06) \end{gathered}$ | Prior statin use associated with lower BC stage at diagnosis; no significant reduction in BCspecific mortality |

[^0]were associated with lower incidence of CVD, irrespective of race or ethnicity, age and body mass index (BMI), with increasing quintiles of energy expenditure associated with lower risk ( $\mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}<0.001$ ) (14). In an analysis of self-reported physical activity at age 35 , and cancer risk among 74,171 women in the OS, there was a lower risk of BC for active vs. inactive women [Relative risk (RR) 0.86, 95\% confidence interval (CI) $0.78-0.95]$ and similar trends for physical activity reported at age 18 and 50 (15). These findings were also demonstrated in a WHI analysis which showed that higher physical activity was inversely associated with all types of BC (34). As suggested by these studies, higher levels of physical activities have the potential to lower risk of both CVD and BC.

## Obesity and body composition

Obesity and body size are well-established risk-factors for CVD $(74,75)$ and cancers including $\mathrm{BC}(6-9)$. In the OS among non-hormone therapy (HT) users, women with BMI > 31.1 had a higher risk of BC (RR 2.52, 95\%CI, 1.62-3.93) (16) and in another analysis, weight cycling over 4 to 6 times was associated with a higher BC risk [Hazard ratio (HR) 1.11, 95\%CI 1.03-1.20] (29). Among non-HT users, the proposed mechanism for increased risk is thought to be increased peripheral conversion of androgens to estrogen by the aromatase enzyme in adipose tissue (76). Another study using data from the CT also demonstrated a significant relationship between baseline overweight/obesity and BC risk with higher risk associated with overweight/obese status compared to normal weight [HR 1.58; 95\%CI 1.4-1.79] (39). Also using OS data, longer duration of being overweight was associated with a greater risk of all obesity-related cancers [Per 10-year increment HR 1.07, 95\%CI 1.06-1.09], and $5 \%$ higher risk of BC (43).

Other studies have shown that both smoking and obesity are independent risk-factors for CVD (77) and cancer (7, 8, 78). In evaluating a possible synergistic effect between smoking and obesity among 76,628 women in the OS, there was a greater BC risk noted only among non-obese women (HR 1.24, 95\% CI 1.05-1.47) (30) suggesting the possibility that the anti-estrogenic effects of smoking in obese women counterbalances the carcinogenic effects of tobacco (79).

It has been proposed that the obesity - cancer association may be due to the fact that adipose tissue is metabolically active, secreting cytokines and adipokines, which play a role in breast tumorigenesis $(80,81)$. Supportive of this hypothesis are results in the OS which demonstrated an association between higher levels of C-reactive protein (CRP) and increased BC risk among non-HT users (HR 1.67, 95\%CI 1.04-2.68) (31). Similar findings, demonstrating a relationship between higher CRP and CVD risk have also been reported in the Women's Health Study (82).

Adiposity is also associated with higher levels of endogenous estrogen and insulin, both of which are known to play a role in breast
tumorigenesis $(23,83)$. In a study of 1,601 OS women, a 5 -unit increase in BMI was associated with 50 additional BC cases per 100,000 women per year, of which $65.8 \%$ was mediated by insulin and $23.8 \%$ by estrogen (33). In contrast, the use of vaginal estrogen among OS women with or without an intact uterus was not associated with greater risk of CVD, or breast cancer (44) suggesting the lack of a systemic effect of vaginally administered estrogen.

In an analysis of both anthropometric measures and physical activity in the OS and CT, women with the highest BMI quartile compared to the two lowest quartiles had a 1.35 and 1.39 -fold higher risk of triple-negative- BC (TNBC) and estrogen receptor (ER)+ tumors, respectively (34).

In an attempt to develop a more valid measure of body fat distribution, a WHI study assessed the relationship between body fat distribution and central obesity (38) using baseline dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scans. Results from this study demonstrated a positive association between central obesity and BC risk (1.5-2 fold higher), while analyses only using anthropometric measures showed no differences in risk (38). Another analysis using a body shape index (ABSI), an index hypothesized to be an improved marker of abdominal obesity, showed no association with BC risk (40).

Other studies evaluated the impact of weight change on BC risk. In one OS analysis weight loss $(\geq 5 \%)$ at 3 -years was associated with a significantly lower risk compared to stable weight ( $<5 \%$ loss) (HR $0.88, \mathrm{p}=0.02$ ), and weight gain was associated with a higher risk for TNBC (HR 1.54, 95\% CI 1.162.05) (47). Similarly, in another OS analysis, intentional weight loss (>5\%) was associated with a lower risk of 11 obesity-related cancers (including BC) compared to stable weight [HR 0.88, 95\% CI 0.8-0.98] (48).

Lastly, in another analysis, both obesity and metabolically unhealthy categories were independently associated with increased BC risk, but the metabolically unhealthy obese (MUO) phenotype demonstrated the highest risk (HR 1.62, $95 \%$ CI 1.33-1.96) (49). Also an ancillary study of 3,460 women demonstrated that higher whole body fat measured by DXA, was associated with higher BC risk among women with normal BMI (HR 1.89, 95\%CI 1.21-2.95) (52).

In conclusion, while obesity and body composition are known risk factors for CVD, WHI research also demonstrates the relationship between obesity, body composition and cancer risk and provides evidence that measures of body composition utilizing DXA provides a more refined method in which to investigate this relationship. In addition, the WHI biospecimen repository has enabled research further investigating the relationship between insulin, inflammatory cytokines, hormones and cancer risk.

## Hyperlipidemia

Hyperlipidemia is a known risk-factor for CVD (84), and its association with BC has also been investigated (71, 85-87).

Studies in the WHI have evaluated the relationship between statin use and BC risk. In an evaluation of 156,351 women in the WHI, there was no association between statin use and BC risk overall [HR 0.91, 95\% CI 0.8-1.05] however hydrophobic statins were associated with an $18 \%$ lower risk of $\mathrm{BC}[0.82,95 \%$ CI 0.7 0.97 ] (19). The essentially null results were corroborated in a later follow-up analysis (72). In another study (73) lipophilic statins were associated with a reduction in diagnosis of late-stage BC (HR $0.80,95 \%$ CI $0.64-0.98, \mathrm{p}=0.035$ ) and by a marginally lower risk of breast cancer mortality (HR 0.59, 95\% CI 0.32-1.06, $\mathrm{p}=0.075$ ). While a protective effect of statins and breast cancer risk has not been clearly demonstrated in the WHI, other ongoing research is investigating the relationship between lipid biomarkers measured at baseline and outcomes after cancer (unpublished).

## Hyperinsulinemia, insulin resistance and impaired glucose tolerance

Fasting hyperinsulinemia is a potential mediator for breast carcinogenesis (88), and insulin and insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) may synergistically increase BC risk ( 70,89 ). In an analysis of 2,996 women in a WHI ancillary study, lower BMI ( $\mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ), higher physical activity ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) and lower caloric intake ( $\mathrm{p}<0.02$ ) were independently associated with lower mean fasting insulin levels (20). Another OS analysis among women without diabetes showed that higher fasting insulin, but not total IGF-1 was associated with a higher BC risk (HR 1.46, $P_{\text {trend }}=0.02$ ) (23). Similarly, hyperglycemia resulting from impaired glucose tolerance has been shown in other non-WHI analyses to be a risk-factor for both CVD (90) and BC (91). In another WHI ancillary study of 21,103 women, higher levels of serum insulin was associated with higher BC risk (HR 1.41, $\mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}<0.0003$ ) (8). In another overall WHI analysis there was no significant association between dietary glycemic load (GL), glycemic index (GI), or carbohydrate intake with total BC risk (26). The WHI has added to the literature on insulin resistance and impaired glucose tolerance and BC risk suggesting a relationship between diabetes and risk of $B C$.

## Cardiometabolic abnormalities and heart failure

Metabolic Syndrome (MS) has been shown by others to be associated with higher risk of type 2 diabetes and CVD (92). In an analysis of MS as measured at baseline among 4,888 women in the overall WHI cohort, there was no overall relationship between MS and risk of BC, however diastolic blood pressure (DBP) showed a borderline positive association among women without diabetes (28).

Hypertension is a known risk factor for CVD (86). In a study of 56,997 cancer survivors in the overall WHI, use of angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin-receptor-blockers was associated with 2.24 -fold risk of total cardiac events, and a 1.87 -fold increase in heart failure (HF) risk compared to use of beta-blockers; however, these findings were only seen among women with cancer (36).

In another analysis of 2,272 women with BC hospitalized for HF, (61) the incidence of HF with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) was higher (6.68\%) than the incidence of HF with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) (3.96\%). Factors associated with HFpEF included prior myocardial infarction (HR 2.83), greater WC (HR 1.99) and smoking history (HR 1.65), however these variables were not associated with HFrEF. Overall mortality among BC survivors was 5.65 -fold and 3.77 -fold higher among women with HFpEF and HFrEF respectively, compared to those without HF. In summary, the WHI has contributed research on the relationship between CVD and various components of CVD and BC risk. In addition, WHI investigators have emphasized the importance of differentiating the specific HF phenotype (93).

## Diet

In the WHI, several measures of dietary intake have been used to investigate the relationship between diet, CVD and cancer. An investigation of 131,833 women reported a $4 \%$ reduction in BC risk per unit increase in healthy lifestyle index (HLI) scores (94) based on factors including diet and exercise (50). Another analysis (37) demonstrated that a lower cardiovascular health (CVH) score (95) was associated with a 7 -fold greater risk of incident CVD, and a $52 \%$ greater risk of incident cancer, with lung cancer having the strongest association (37).

The WHI Dietary Modification (DM) CT randomly assigned 48,835 postmenopausal women to usual diet ( $60 \%$ ) vs intervention ( $40 \%$ ) that focused on reduction of total fat intake to $20 \%$ of energy intake, increased vegetable and fruit intake to 5 -servings and grains to 6 -servings/day. As measured by food frequency questionnaire (FFQ), at baseline, women consumed $32 \%$ or more of their total energy from fat (FFQ) $(96,97)$.

Several DM analyses evaluated the relationship between dietary intervention, CVD and incident cancer risk (21, 22, 24, $27,35)$. After 8.1 years of follow-up, the dietary intervention was not associated with a reduction in CVD (21), invasive BC (22), or ovarian or endometrial cancer (24); however, risk of ovarian cancer decreased with increased duration of dietary intervention (24). In another analysis, there were no differences in CHD, total CVD, or total all-cause mortality in either the intervention or post-intervention periods after 16 -years of follow-up (35). Finally, among women on a low-fat diet, baseline vasomotor
symptoms, particularly hot flashes, were associated with a lower BC risk, particularly for women with $\mathrm{ER} /$ progesterone receptor (PR)+ tumors, thought to be due to modulation of estrogen metabolism by diet (27).

An analysis comparing two dietary instruments (4-day food records [FR] and FFQs) among women in the non-intervention DM arm, showed that the FR over the FFQ, was a preferred method of dietary assessment for all types of dietary fats (25). Another study using data from the entire WHI, demonstrated that higher dietary energy density was associated with a $10 \%$ increased risk of any obesity-related cancer among women with a normal BMI (45).

In another OS analysis, various reductions in energy consumption were associated with lower risk of major incident CVD events and cancer. Specifically, a $20 \%$ reduction in total energy consumption (TEC) was associated with one-third lower risk, $20 \%$ increase in activity-related energy expenditure (AREE) one-fourth lower risk, and simultaneous TEC and AREE, a $50 \%$ lower risk (41). Another analysis of 137,283 women demonstrated that predicted resting energy expenditure (REE) was positively associated with invasive BC risk (62).

In summary, results from the OS strongly support a relationship between fat and energy consumption and risk of CVD and cancer, including alternative measures of healthy eating and lifestyle including the HLI and CVH. These results however have not been replicated in the DM thought to be at least in part due to poor dietary compliance among participants randomized to the intervention (21, 22). The interaction between diet and other shared risk factors for CVD and cancer, including weight loss, physical activity and body composition is complex and requires further evaluation regarding synergistic relationships or whether outcomes may differ depending on timing, pre-, during or post-cancer.

## B. Shared outcomes between cancer and CVD

Table 2 lists WHI studies on shared outcomes between CVD and cancer with a focus on BC. In the DM, low fat dietary intervention did not result in significant changes in CHD, total CVD, or all-cause mortality in the intervention, postintervention and cumulative (intervention + post-intervention) periods (35). In an analysis of incident CVD and total and causespecific death rates among women with and without incident BC , over 10 -years post-diagnosis, there was an increase in total mortality (HR 1.20, 95\%CI 1.04-1.39) for women with localized $B C$, aged 70-79, compared to those with no $B C$. While the risk for coronary heart disease was the same for women with and without BC, CVD was the leading cause of death for women with BC diagnosed between age 70-79 (42).

In contrast to the findings above, showing no effect of low-fat dietary intervention on CVD and all-cause mortality in the overall DM cohort (35), post-hoc analyses among women with subsequent
diagnosis of BC demonstrated, fewer deaths after BC among women randomized to the intervention (low fat dietary intake) (46). Consistent with this, in an analysis of overall survival among women randomized to the dietary intervention, survival among those diagnosed with BC was significantly higher in the intervention group ( 10 -year survival of 82 vs. $78 \%$ ). There were fewer deaths from BC (68 vs. 120), other cancers (36 vs. 65) and CVD (27 vs. 64) in the intervention arm which could partly explain the improved survival (51). Lastly, in an evaluation of the influence of the dietary intervention on BC mortality by MS components, only women with 3-4 MS components had a significant reduction in BC mortality in the intervention arm (HR $0.31, \mathrm{p}=0.01$ ), compared to those with 0 or 1-2 MS components (54). This latter result suggests that the DM intervention may be more effective among women in the highest risk group.

In a targeted analysis of 8,641 women with early-stage BC , a higher number of CM risk-factors including high waist circumference, blood pressure, cholesterol and history of type-2 diabetes, was associated with a higher risk of CVD and other-cause mortality ( $\mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}<0.001$ ) but not BC mortality ( $\mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}=0.86$ ) (12). A similar analysis on 12,076 women with early-stage obesity-related cancers (11) showed that women with 3-4 CM abnormalities (vs. none) had $1.5,1.37,4.0$, and 2.14 -fold greater risk of death from anycause, cancer, CVD and other-causes respectively, with no specific increase in BC -specific mortality as shown in the earlier report.

In another analysis of 156,262 women in the entire cohort, those that were normal-weight, with central obesity, compared with women that were normal-weight and no central obesity, had a higher risk of mortality due to CVD (HR 1.25; 95\%CI, $1.05-1.46$ ) as well as mortality due to cancer (HR $1.20 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}$, 1.01-1.43) (53). These findings support non-WHI studies which have demonstrated that excessive visceral fat is a risk-factor for greater risk of CVD and cancer (98).

Other WHI analyses have looked at diet and cancer outcomes ( $55,56,59$ ). In a study of 59,388 women in the OS, women who had higher measured Healthy Eating Index-2015 (HEI-2015) scores, reflecting more optimal diet quality, had a $21 \%$ lower risk of all-cause mortality, and an $18 \%$ lower risk of cancer mortality, but there was no association with mortality due to CVD (55). In another analysis of 22,837 women, high baseline insulin resistance, measured as higher homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) scores was associated with higher cancer-specific mortality (HR 1.26, $\mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}=0.003$ ) and all-cause mortality (HR 1.63, $\mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}<0.001$ ) (56). Lastly in a study of 96,831 women, both higher dietary cholesterol and egg intake was associated with modestly elevated risk of incident CVD, CVD mortality, and all-cause mortality, but not cancer mortality ( $\mathrm{p}=0.16$ and $\mathrm{p}=0.26$ respectively) (59).

An analysis of 544 women with non-metastatic TNBC showed that those with a greater number of MS components had a $27 \%$ lower 10 -year BC-overall survival, non-significantly higher BC-specific mortality (HR 2.05, $\mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}=0.114$ ) and significantly higher BC -overall mortality (HR 2.13,

TABLE 2 Summary of WHI publications on shared outcomes between cardiovascular disease and cancer, focusing on breast cancer.

| Years of study, reference | Study population/ design | Main outcome | Study measure | HR, 95\% CI | Main conclusion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1993-1998; } \\ & \text { Prentice } \\ & \text { et al. (35) } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{N}=48,835$ WHI-CT (DM) Age=50-79y Follow-up=16y Design= RCT (as above) | CHD and overall CVD incidence and mortality (secondary) | Cumulative mortality CHD death CVD death All-cause | Multivariate HR <br> 0.99 (0.89-1.10) <br> 0.98 (0.91-1.05) <br> 0.99 (0.95-1.03) | Overall no difference in CHD, total CVD or total mortality in the intervention or postintervention periods |
| 1993-1998; <br> Park et al. <br> (42) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}=101,916 \\ \text { WHI-OS and CT (all 4) } \\ \text { Age=50-79y } \\ \text { Follow-up }=10.4 \mathrm{y} \text { (with BC) } \\ \text { vs. } 15.7 \text { (no BC) } \end{gathered}$ | Incident CVD events, and total and cause-specific death rates | Event (localized BC, age 7079y) CVD events CVD death Total death | Multivariate HR <br> 0.84 (0.7-1.00) <br> 0.92 (0.67-1.26) <br> 1.20 (1.04-1.39) | CVD major contributor to mortality in women 70-79y with localized breast cancer |
| 1993-1998; <br> Chlebowski et al. (46) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}=48,835 \\ \text { WHI-CT (DM) } \\ \text { Age }=50-79 \mathrm{y} \\ \text { Follow-up=16.1y } \\ \text { Design=RCT } \end{gathered}$ | Annualized rate of death as a result of and after BC | Cumulative outcome (intervention + postintervention period) Invasive BC incidence Death as a result of BC Death after BC | Multivariate HR <br> 0.97 (0.9-1.04) <br> 0.91 (0.72-1.15) <br> 0.82 (0.7-0.96) | Low fat diet led to significantly lower death after BC |
| 1993-1998; Simon et al. (12) | $\mathrm{N}=8,641$ <br> WHI-OS and CT (all 4) $\begin{gathered} \text { Age=50-79y } \\ \text { Follow-up=11.3y } \end{gathered}$ | Mortality from BC, CVD and other-cause | Cardiometabolic abnormalities None 1-2 <br> 3-4 <br> None <br> 1-2 <br> 3-4 <br> None <br> 1-2 <br> 3-4 | Multivariate HR for mortality Breast cancer Ref $1.05(0.86-1.29)$ $0.97(0.65-1.46)$ CVD Ref $2.06(1.58-2.69)$ $3.29(2.25-4.82)$ Other-cause Ref $1.39(1.2-1.61)$ $1.90(1.49-2.44)$ $\mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}<0.001$ (for last 2) | Cardiometabolic risk factors are associated with CVD and othercause mortality but not BC mortality in early-stage $B C$ |
| 1993-1998; <br> Chlebowski <br> et al. (46) | N=48,835 WHI-CT (DM) Age=50-79y Follow-up $=17.7 \mathrm{y}$ Design=RCT (same as above) | Mortality from protocol specified cancers (breast, colorectal, endometrium, ovary) - individual and composite | Death from cancer <br> Breast <br> All protocol-specified Death after cancer Breast All protocol-specified | Multivariate HR <br> 0.87 (0.7-1.10) <br> 0.94 (0.83-1.08) <br> 0.85 (0.74-0.99) <br> 0.95 (0.85-1.05) | Low fat diet reduced deaths after BC, but not from or after any other cancer or cancer composite |
| 1993-1998; <br> Chlebowski <br> et al. (51) | $\mathrm{N}=48,835$ WHI-CT (DM) Age=50-79y Follow-up $=11.5 \mathrm{y}$ Design=RCT (as above) | BC overall survival | $B C$ overall survival | Multivariate HR <br> 0.78 (0.65-0.94) | $B C$ overall survival was greater in the dietary intervention group (10y survival 82 vs. $78 \%$ ) |
| 1993-1998; <br> Sun et al. <br> (53) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}=156,624 \\ \text { WHI-OS and CT (all 4) } \\ \text { Age }=50-79 \mathrm{y} \\ \text { Follow-up }=2,811,187 \\ \text { person yrs } \end{gathered}$ | Mortality from all-cause, CVD and cancer | Outcome for normal weight central obesity All-cause mortality CVD mortality Cancer mortality | Multivariate HR <br> 1.31 (1.20-1.42) <br> 1.24 (1.05-1.46) <br> 1.20 (1.01-1.43) | Normal weight central obesity associated with higher all-cause, CVD and cancer mortality |
| 1993-1998; <br> Pan et al. (54) | $\mathrm{N}=48,835$ WHI-CT (DM) Age $=50-79 \mathrm{y}$ Follow-up $=19.6 \mathrm{y}$ Design=RCT (as above) | Dietary intervention influence on death from BC | MS score Death from BC None 1-2 3-4 <br> Death after BC None 1-2 3-4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Multivariate HR } \\ 1.08(0.63-1.87) \\ 0.8(0.62-1.02) \\ 0.31(0.14-.0 .69) \\ \mathrm{p}=0.01 \\ 0.98(0.7-1.37) \\ 0.86(0.74-1.01) \\ 0.66(0.43-1.01) \\ \mathrm{p}=0.16 \end{gathered}$ | 3-4 MS components more likely to have reduction in death from BC with low fat diet |

TABLE 2 Continued

| Years of study, reference | Study population/ design | Main outcome | Study measure | HR, 95\% CI | Main conclusion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1993-2017; <br> George et al. (55) | $\begin{gathered} \text { N=59,388 } \\ \text { WHI-OS } \\ \text { Age }=50-79 \mathrm{y} \\ \text { Follow-up }=18.2 \mathrm{y} \end{gathered}$ | Death from all-cause, CVD, cancer, Alzheimer's dementia and dementia not otherwise specified | HEI-2015 Quintiles <br> All-cause death 1 <br> 2 <br> 3 <br> 4 <br> 5 <br> Cancer death <br> 1 <br> 2 <br> 3 <br> 4 <br> 5 | Multivariate HR <br> Ref <br> 0.94 (0.88-1.0) <br> 0.88 (0.83-0.94) <br> 0.84 (0.78-0.9) <br> 0.82 (0.76-0.87) <br> Ref <br> 0.92 (0.82-1.02) <br> 0.86 (0.77-0.96) <br> 0.86 (0.77-0.97) <br> 0.79 (0.7-0.88) | Higher HEI-2015 scores associated with $18 \%$ lower risk of all-cause and $21 \%$ lower risk of cancer death; but not CVD deaths |
| 1993-1998; <br> Pan et al. <br> (56) | $\mathrm{N}=22,837$ <br> WHI-OS and CT (all 4) Age=50-79y <br> Follow-up=18.9y | Cancer-specific and all-cause mortality | HOMA-IR quartiles <br> Cancer-specific 0.05-1.09 <br> >1.09-1.77 <br> $>1.77-3.03$ <br> >3.03-402.99 <br> All-cause <br> 0.05-1.09 <br> >1.09-1.77 <br> $>1.77-3.03$ <br> >3.03-402.99 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Multivariate HR } \\ \text { Ref } \\ 1.11(0.97-1.27) \\ 1.14(0.98-1.31) \\ 1.20(1.02-1.40) \\ \mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}=0.03 \\ \text { Ref } \\ 1.08(1.01-1.16) \\ 1.10(1.02-1.18) \\ 1.42(1.32-1.53) \\ \mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}<0.001 \end{gathered}$ | High insulin resistance associated with higher risk of cancerspecific and all-cause mortality |
| 1993-1998; Yuan et al. (57) | $\mathrm{N}=544$ <br> WHI-OS and CT (all 4) Age=50-79y <br> Follow-up=19.9y | Mortality after triplenegative BC (TNBC) - BCspecific and $B C$ overall mortality | MS components BC-specific mortality <br> None <br> 1-2 <br> 3-4 <br> BC-overall mortality <br> None <br> 1-2 <br> 3-4 | Multivariate HR Ref $0.86(0.53-1.4)$ $1.13(0.5-2.55)$ Ref $1.41(1.01-1.98)$ $2.13(1.22-3.71)$ $\mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}=0.006$ | TNBC with 3-4 MS components had higher BC-specific (nonsignificant) and overall mortality |
| 1993-1998; <br> Simon et al. <br> (11) | $\mathrm{N}=12,076$ <br> WHI-OS and CT (all 4) Age=50-79y <br> Follow-up=10y | All-cause, CVD, cancerspecific and other-cause mortality from obesityrelated cancers (breast, colorectal, endometrial, kidney, pancreatic, ovarian, stomach, liver, non-Hodgkin lymphoma) | Mortality by Cardiometabolic risk factors All-cause None $1-2$ $3-4$ Cancer-specific None $1-2$ $3-4$ CVD None $1-2$ $3-4$ Other-cause None $1-2$ $3-4$ | Multivariate HR Ref $1.5(1.36-1.65)$ $1.99(1.73-2.30)$ Ref $1.29(1.12-1.48)$ $1.37(1.10-1.72)$ Ref $2.52(1.95-3.26)$ 4.01 (2.88-5.57) Ref $1.45(1.23-1.70)$ $2.14(1.7-2.69)$ $\mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}<0.001$ (for all) | Cardiometabolic risk factors before any obesity-related cancer diagnosis significantly associated with higher all-cause, cancerspecific, CVD and other cause mortality in early-stage cancer; but not BC-mortality specifically |


| 1993-1998; <br> Chen et al. | $\mathrm{N}=96,831$ <br> WHI-OS and CT (all 4) | Incident CVD, and all-cause and cause-specific mortality | Dietary cholesterol quartile Incident CVD | Multivariate HR <br> Ref | High dietary cholesterol and egg consumption associated with |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (59) | Age=50-79y |  | Q1 | 1.04 (0.96-1.10) | higher risk of incident CVD and |
|  | Follow-up=18.9y |  | Q2 | 1.05 (0.96-1.12) | all-cause mortality; but not |
|  |  |  | Q3 | 1.10 (1.02-1.19) | cancer mortality |
|  |  |  | Q4 | 1.12 (1.03-1.21) |  |
|  |  |  | Q5 | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}<0.001$ |  |
|  |  |  | Cancer mortality | Ref |  |


| Years of study, reference | Study population/ design | Main outcome | Study measure | HR, 95\% CI | Main conclusion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Q1 | 0.94 (0.86-1.03) |  |
|  |  |  | Q2 | 0.98 (0.9-1.08) |  |
|  |  |  | Q3 | 1.03 (0.93-1.13) |  |
|  |  |  | Q4 | 1.03 (0.93-1.14) |  |
|  |  |  | Q5 | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}=0.16$ |  |
| 1993-1998; | $\mathrm{N}=161,308$ | BC-specific and overall | Physical activity level (all | Multivariate HR | Higher physical activity |
| Dieli- | WHI-OS and CT (all 4) | mortality | women) | Ref | associated with lower all-cause |
| Conwright | Age=50-79y |  | All-cause mortality | 0.96 (0.84-1.10) | mortality, which did not differ by |
| et al. (63) | Follow-up $=9.5 \mathrm{y}$ |  | 0 | 0.80 (0.72-0.90) | cardiometabolic risk factor |
|  |  |  | $>0-2.9$ | $0.86 \text { (0.78-0.95) }$ | number in early-stage BC |
|  |  |  | 3-8.9 | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}<0.001$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\geq 9$ | Ref |  |
|  |  |  | BC mortality | 1.0(0.76-1.31) |  |
|  |  |  | 0 | 0.92 (0.74-1.15) |  |
|  |  |  | >0-2.9 | 0.85 (0.7-1.04) |  |
|  |  |  | 3-8.9 | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}=0.09$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\geq 9$ |  |  |

WHI, Women's Health Initiative; OS, Observational Study; CT, Clinical Trial; DM, Dietary modification; CHD, Coronary heart disease; CVD, Cardiovascular disease; HR, Hazard ratio; CI, Confidence interval; RCT, Randomized controlled trial; BC, Breast cancer; MS, Metabolic syndrome; HEI-2015, Healthy Eating Index 2015; HOMA-IR, Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; TNBC, Triple-negative breast cancer; HFpEF, Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; HFrEF, Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction.
$P_{\text {trend }}=0.006$ ), likely because of reduction in other causes of death (57); while another report showed that higher physical activity was associated with lower all-cause (HR $0.86, \mathrm{P}_{\text {trend }}<0.001$ ), but not BC -specific mortality (HR $0.85, \mathrm{p}=0.09$ ) (63).

In summary, WHI analyses support the notion that shared risk-factors representing lifestyle and body composition impact both cancer and CVD outcomes, largely due to risk-factor burden. It is important for investigators interested in both CVD and cancer outcomes to investigate the impact of lifestyle interventions known to modify these risk factors, which may improve outcomes from both cancer and CVD.

## C. Reverse cardio-oncology and the role of clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential

While the increased risk of CVD in cancer survivors is well described for certain cancers (13), the term "reverse cardio-oncology" describes the increased risk of cancer, among individuals with CVD, compared to the general population (100). Factors linking CVD and cancer risk as addressed in the WHI (Supplementary Table 1) include treatment as well as pathophysiologic pathways related to inflammation, clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential (CHIP), hypoxia, microRNAs, extracellular vesicles, and circulating "cardiokines" (100).

In an analysis of 93,676 women assessing the association between baseline self-reported atrial fibrillation (AF) and incident invasive breast over 15 -years follow-up, there was a $19 \%$ excess risk of subsequent BC among women with AF (HR
$1.19,95 \%$ CI $1.03-1.38$ ). While the excess BC risk was mitigated by baseline cardiac glycoside use, the use of glycosides was also independently associated with increased BC risk (HR 1.68, 95\% CI1.33-2.12), but not CRC (32). In an analysis of the relationship between HF and incident cancer over 22 -years follow-up, HFpEF was associated with increased total cancer incidence (HR 1.34, 95\%CI 1.06-1.67), but not HFrEF (HR 0.99, 95\%CI $0.74-1.34$ ) (58). HF overall was also associated with an increased risk of obesity-related cancers but not BC specifically.

Aging is associated with acquisition of somatic mutations in the absence of neoplasia, known as clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential (CHIP), which has been linked to a higher risk of cancers as well as CVD $(64,65)$. In the WHI, CHIP has been shown to be associated with a greater risk of leukemias, as well as solid tumor-specific mortality, but not CVD mortality post cancer diagnosis $(66,67)$. In an analysis of 8,709 women with data on CHIP, the prevalence of CHIP among women free of CVD and cancer was $8.7 \%$. Further analysis of the relationship between a healthy lifestyle score (BMI, physical activity, diet and smoking) and CHIP showed that both normal BMI and neversmoking were associated with lower odds for CHIP (OR 0.71, $95 \%$ CI $0.57-0.88$ ) ( 60 ). Since obesity is associated with both breast cancer risk and CHIP, the relationship of CHIP with breast cancer risk is of scientific interest. In fact, recent analyses of UK biobank data suggest an increased risk of breast cancer in CHIP carriers (101) and similar analyses are ongoing in the WHI with longer follow up data with more incident breast cancer cases.

In summary WHI studies demonstrate a possible relationship between pre-existing CVD and increased cancer risk. In addition, CHIP is a shared risk-factor between CVD and
cancer. More importantly, several clinical associations that are seen with breast cancer are also shared with CHIP. For example, CHIP has been associated with diabetes in several cohorts and heart failure in the TOPMed consortium (that included WHI data) (102). The complex associations of CVD risk factors, CHIP and breast cancer deserve further evaluation both in terms of mediation as well as interaction together, to lead to potential worsening of outcomes. These risk factors particularly are relevant in survivorship cohorts where shared risk factors interact further with a post chemotherapy state that can impact both cardiovascular risk and CHIP penetrance.

## Future direction

This review provides an overview of published literature on shared risk-factors and outcomes between CVD and BC, highlighting a likely bidirectional risk and adding information to a recent over-arching summary of cardiovascular research in the WHI (103). The WHI findings presented here provide a unique insight into complex associations between lifestyle risk factors, CVD and BC, and long-term outcomes including CV and cancer-specific mortality. The potential clinical and public health implications of the WHI results are significant and suggest that promotion of healthy lifestyle, and behaviors in at-risk post-menopausal women, may reduce cardiovascular and cancer mortality. Importantly, this literature provides a foundation for ongoing and future research of the association between shared risk factors between CVD and cancers of other primary sites (Supplementary Table 1).
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